ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A new model for selecting third-party reverse logistics providers in the presence of multiple dual-role factors

Reza Farzipoor Saen

Received: 1 April 2008 / Accepted: 5 May 2009 / Published online: 13 May 2009 © Springer-Verlag London Limited 2009

Abstract In traditional models of data envelopment analysis it is assumed that decision making units do not have dual-role factors. This paper proposes a model for selecting third-party reverse logistics (3PL) provider in the presence of multiple dual-role factors. A numerical example demonstrates the application of the proposed method.

Keywords Data envelopment analysis · Third-party reverse logistics provider · 3PL provider · Multiple dual-role factors

1 Introduction

Reverse logistics is the physical running from the area of consumer to the area of source. The enterprises make more benefits during the process of recycle and reuse. In particular, the manufacturer collects the used products from customers and then sells to customers as new ones after remanufacturing. Reverse logistics mainly focuses on how to take back the returns and recover them efficiently and economically. It is favorable to save natural resource, protect environment, enhance enterprises competition, and increase economic benefits for enterprises to implement reverse logistics.

At present, the lucubration or the mathematic model of the management in reserve logistics area is little. The reserve logistics is managed and evaluated mainly by the cost. It is undeniable that the cost is an important index to evaluate the status of reverse logistics, but it is not

R. F. Saen (🖂)

comprehensive because of the complexity in reverse logistics. So it is necessary to build an evaluation model from different point of view [18].

Data envelopment analysis (DEA), developed by Charnes et al. [6], provides a nonparametric methodology for evaluating the efficiency of each of a set of comparable decision making units (DMUs), relative to one another.

In the conventional application of DEA, it is assumed that one can, given a collection of available measures, clearly specify which will constitute inputs and which outputs. For example, in a conventional study of efficiency of bank branch operations, outputs used are the standard counter transactions such as deposits and withdrawals, and inputs are resources such as various staff types. Suppose, however, that one wishes to evaluate each branch's efficiency to attract investments. In this case, a factor such as the number of "high value" customers, could serve as either an input or an output. From one perspective, such a measure may play the role of proxy for future investment, hence can reasonably be classified as an output. On the other hand, it can legitimately be considered as an environmental input that aids the branch in generating its existing investment portfolio [8].

Similar arguments can be made regarding the evaluation of research productivity by universities, such as described in Beasley [2, 3]. There, "research income" is treated as both an output and input.

To deal with the dual-role factor, Cook et al. [7] developed a model that considers just a single factor and does not consider multiple dual-role factors.

The objective of this paper is to propose a model for selecting third-party reverse logistics (3PL) providers in the presence of multiple dual-role factors. This paper depicts the 3PL provider selection process through a DEA model, while allowing for the incorporation of multiple dual-role factors.

Department of Industrial Management, Faculty of Management and Accounting, Islamic Azad University-Karaj Branch, Karaj, Iran, P. O. Box: 31485-313 e-mail: farzipour@yahoo.com

This paper proceeds as follows. In Section 2, literature review is presented. Section 3 discusses the proposed method for 3PL provider selection. Numerical example and managerial implications are discussed in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. Section 6 discusses concluding remarks.

2 Literature review

Some mathematical programming approaches have been used for 3PL provider selection in the past. To select 3PL vendors, Zhang et al. [17] applied an analytic hierarchy process (AHP)-based model to a case study. Zhang and Feng [16] used fuzzy AHP to discuss a selection approach of reverse logistics provider through a practical case. Meade and Sarkis [12] modeled new factors for including in 3PL provider selection problem within a decision making framework. To this end, they applied analytic network process (ANP). To assist decision makers in determining the "most appropriate" 3PL providers in the presence of vagueness, Efendigil et al. [9] developed a two-phase model based on neural networks and fuzzy AHP in a holistic manner.

However, AHP and ANP have two main weaknesses. First, subjectivity of AHP and ANP is a weakness. The decision maker provides the values for the pairwise comparisons and, therefore, the model is very dependent on the weightings provided by the decision maker. Second, the time necessary for completion of such a model is a weakness. The number of pairwise comparisons required could become cumbersome. Meanwhile, when the number of alternatives and criteria grows, the pairwise comparison process becomes difficult, and the risk of generating inconsistencies grows, hence jeopardizing the practical applicability of AHP and ANP.

Bottani and Rizzi [4] presented a multi-attribute approach for the selection and ranking of the most suitable 3PL provider. Their approach is based on the Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) and the fuzzy set theory. Cao et al. [5] proposed a twostage method based on the social welfare function and TOPSIS. In the first stage, they used the social welfare function theory for selecting potential providers from too many 3PL providers. Then, TOPSIS was utilized for final selection, avoiding the subjective estimation of experts. Experiments are conducted to evaluate the quality of the proposed approach by using a case company. Qureshi et al. [14] presented the methodology to earmark potential 3PL services providers using TOPSIS with interval data. Criteria importance weights have been derived using AHP in order to judge 3PL services providers. As well, they illustrated the extended TOPSIS methodology, by a case problem.

Haas et al. [11] applied DEA to help logistics managers for evaluating reverse logistics channels. Their approach provides logistics managers with an understanding of the cost interactions and efficiencies of the channel members in reverse logistics channels. In an effort to help 3PL providers enhance productivity and price leverage in the increasingly competitive 3PL market, Min and Joo [13] developed a meaningful set of financial benchmarks that dictate best practices. They proposed a DEA that is proven to be useful for measuring the operational efficiency of various profit or non-profit organizations. Recently, Farzipoor Saen [10] proposed a method for selecting the best 3PL providers in the presence of both cardinal and ordinal data.

However, all of the abovementioned references do not consider dual-role factors. A technique that can deal with dual-role factors is needed to better model such situation.

To the best of author's knowledge, there is not any reference that discusses 3PL provider selection in the presence of multiple dual-role factors. The approach presented in this paper has some distinctive features.

- The proposed model does not demand exact weights from the decision maker.
- The proposed model considers multiple dual-role factors for 3PL provider selection.
- For the first time, the proposed model is used for the problem of 3PL provider selection.
- The proposed model can be easily computerized, enabling it to serve as a decision making tool to assist decision makers.

3 Proposed method for 3PL provider selection

Consider a situation where members k of a set of K DMUs are to be evaluated in terms of R outputs $Y_k = (y_{rk})_{r=1}^R$ and I inputs $X_k = (x_{ik})_{i=1}^I$. In addition, assume that a particular factor is held by each DMU in the amount wk, and serves as both an input and output factor. The proposed model for considering single dual-role factor is as follows [7].

$$\max \frac{\left(\sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_r y_{ro} + \gamma w_o - \beta w_o\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{I} v_i x_{io}},$$
(1)

st

$$\sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_r y_{rk} + \gamma w_k - \beta w_k - \sum_{i=1}^{I} v_i x_{ik} \le 0, \quad k = 1, ..., K$$
$$\mu_r, v_i, \gamma, \beta \ge 0.$$

The linear programming form of model (1) is as follows:

$$z_{0}^{*} = \max \sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_{r} y_{ro} + \gamma w_{o} - \beta w_{o},$$
st
$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} v_{i} x_{io} = 1,$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_{r} y_{rk} + \gamma w_{k} - \beta w_{k} - \sum_{i=1}^{I} v_{i} x_{ik} \leq 0,$$

$$k = 1, ..., K$$

$$\mu_{r}, v_{i}, \gamma, \beta \geq 0.$$
(2)

where μ_r is the weight given to output r and v_t is the weight given to input *i*. DMU_o is the DMU under consideration. DMU₀ consumes x_{i0} (i=1, ..., I), the amount of input i, to produce y_{ro} (r=1, ..., R), the amount of output r.

Now, to demonstrate how to consider multiple dual-role factors in the model, the new model is presented. Assume that some factors are held by each DMU in the amount w_{fk} $(f=1,\ldots,F)$, and serve as both an input and output factors. The proposed model for considering multiple dual-role factors is as follows:

$$\max \frac{\left(\sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_{r} y_{ro} + \sum_{f=1}^{F} \gamma_{f} w_{fo} - \sum_{f=1}^{F} \beta_{f} w_{fo}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{I} v_{i} x_{io}},$$

st
$$\sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_{r} y_{rk} + \sum_{f=1}^{F} \gamma_{f} w_{fk} - \sum_{f=1}^{F} \beta_{f} w_{fk} - \sum_{i=1}^{I} v_{i} x_{ik} \le 0,$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_r y_{rk} + \sum_{f=1}^{F} \gamma_f w_{fk} - \sum_{f=1}^{F} \beta_f w_{fk} - \sum_{i=1}^{I} v_i x_i$$
$$k = 1$$
$$\mu_r, v_i, \gamma_f, \beta_f \ge 0.$$

(3)

 $, \ldots, K$

$$e_{0}^{*} = \max \sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_{r} y_{ro} + \sum_{f=1}^{F} \gamma_{f} w_{fo} - \sum_{f=1}^{F} \beta_{f} w_{fo},$$

st

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} v_{i} x_{io} = 1,$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_{r} y_{rk} + \sum_{f=1}^{F} \gamma_{f} w_{fk} - \sum_{f=1}^{F} \beta_{f} w_{fk} - \sum_{i=1}^{I} v_{i} x_{ik} \le 0,$$

$$k = 1, ..., K$$

The linear programming form of model (3) is as follows:

$$\mu_r, v_i, \gamma_f, \beta_f \geq 0.$$

One of three possibilities exists in regard to the sign of $\hat{\gamma}_f - \hat{\beta}_f$, where $\hat{\gamma}_f$, $\hat{\beta}_f$ are the optimal values from model (4); $\hat{\gamma}_f - \hat{\beta}_f > 0, = 0, < 0$. It is useful to comment here on an interesting relationship that exists between the constant returns to scale (CRS) model (model (4)) and the standard (no dual-role factors present) variable returns to

scale (VRS) model of Banker et al. [1]. Specifically, the VRS model with outputs *Y* and inputs *X* is given by:

$$\max\left(\sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_r y_{ro} - \mu_o\right) \middle/ \sum_{i=1}^{I} v_i x_{io},$$
st

$$\sum_{r=1}^{R} \mu_r y_{rk} - \mu_o - \sum_{i=1}^{I} v_i x_{ik} \le 0 \qquad k = 1, \dots K,$$

$$\mu_r, v_i \ge 0, \quad \mu_o \quad \text{unrestricted in sign.}$$

In the CRS model, μ_0 is set to zero, and the supporting hyperplane to any facet of the frontier passes through the origin. Otherwise, μ_0 is a form of "Y-intercept" to use an analogy with regression techniques. It is well known that the sign of μ_0 identifies the "returns to scale" status of the DMU_o. It is useful therefore to examine the three cases in regard to this sign, which will allow us to make important interpretations pertaining to the sign of the variables $\widehat{\gamma}_f - \beta_f$.

Case 1 If $\mu > 0$ in model (5), then the DMU_o is said to be experiencing decreasing returns to scale, Banker et al. [1]. Thus, the marginal return, in terms of output, is less than the amounts of input required to produce that output. In "returns to scale" terminology, this DMU is not operating at

Table 1 Related attributes for 18 3PL providers

3PL provider no.	Input	Dual-rol	Output		
(DMU)	$\operatorname{TC}_{x_{lk}}$	EXP w _{1k}	CRE w _{2k}	R (\$1,000) <i>Y1k</i>	
1	253	240	90	187	
2	268	210	80	194	
3	259	270	70	220	
4	180	200	70	160	
5	257	160	70	204	
6	248	230	80	192	
7	272	200	90	194	
8	330	170	60	195	
9	327	180	70	200	
10	330	170	60	171	
11	321	200	80	174	
12	329	210	100	209	
13	281	300	90	165	
14	309	250	80	199	
15	291	250	90	188	
16	334	240	80	168	
17	249	210	70	177	
18	216	200	80	167	

Table 2 Efficiency scores and input/output behavior

3PL provider no. (DMU)	Efficiency	$\widehat{\gamma}_1$	$\widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_1$	$\widehat{\gamma}_1 - \widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_1$	$\widehat{\gamma}_2$	$\widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_2$	$\widehat{\gamma}_2 - \widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}_2$
1	0.939	0	0.014	-0.014	0.014	0	0.014
2	0.868	0	0.003	-0.003	0.011	0	0.011
3	1	0.004	0	0.004	0	0.003	-0.003
4	1	0	0	0	0.014	0	0.014
5	1	0	0.001	-0.001	0	0	0
6	0.886	0	0.0008	-0.0008	0	0.002	-0.002
7	1	0	0.004	-0.004	0.02	0	0.02
8	1	0	0.003	-0.003	0	0.04	-0.04
9	0.754	0	0.0006	-0.0006	0	0.001	-0.001
10	0.629	0	0.0006	-0.0006	0	0.001	-0.001
11	0.694	0	0.003	-0.003	0.009	0	0.009
12	1	0	0.007	-0.007	0.02	0	0.02
13	0.996	0.004	0	0.004	0	0.002	-0.002
14	0.744	0.004	0	0.004	0	0.002	-0.002
15	0.804	0	0.001	-0.001	0.01	0	0.01
16	0.655	0.003	0	0.003	0	0.002	-0.002
17	0.821	0	0.0008	-0.0008	0	0.002	-0.002
18	1	0	0.002	-0.002	0.02	0	0.02

the most productive scale size (MPSS), and would benefit from a reduction in size. A somewhat similar interpretation can be made in model (4) when $\hat{\gamma}_f - \hat{\beta}_f < 0$. Using research funding as the illustrative example, one might argue that DMU_o would experience an improvement in efficiency with fewer research dollars. That is, in this particular university, these factors are at a level where diminishing returns have set in, hence less of these factors would improve its performance ratio. One can say that in this case, the dual-role factors are "behaving like inputs".

Case 2 If $\mu_0 < 0$, then DMU_o is experiencing *increasing returns to scale*, and again it is not at the MPSS. This case is analogous to $\hat{\gamma}_f - \hat{\beta}_f > 0$ in model (4), meaning that this university's efficiency would benefit from increased research dollars. Specifically, these factors are at a level where they are "behaving like outputs", hence more of these factors are better, and would lead to an increase in efficiency.

Case 3 If $\mu_0=0$, then DMU_o is experiencing *constant* returns to scale and the VRS model (5) reduces to the standard CRS model of Charnes et al. [6]. The DMU_o would then be operating at the MPSS. In a university setting, the analogous situation would be to have $\hat{\gamma}_f - \hat{\beta}_f = 0$, meaning that the funding is at an equilibrium or optimal level.

In the next section, a numerical example is presented.

4 Numerical example

The data set for this example is partially taken from Talluri and Baker [15] and contains specifications on 18 3PL providers. The 3PL provider input considered is total cost of shipments $(TC)^1$. The output utilized in the study is revenue from the sale of recyclables (R). The dual-role factors are ratings for service-quality experience (EXP) and service-quality credence (CRE).

EXP and CRE could serve as either inputs or outputs. From the perspective of decision maker who intends to select the best 3PL providers, such measures may play the role of proxy for "high quality of services", hence can reasonably be classified as outputs. On the other hand, from the perspective of 3PL provider that intends to supply reverse logistics services, they can be considered as inputs that help the 3PL provider in obtaining more customers. The reason why EXP and CRE are considered as inputs is that they are as a means to attract more customers for 3PL providers. For more information on the data set, please refer to Talluri and Baker [15]. Table 1 depicts the 3PL provider's attributes.

¹ The inputs and outputs selected in this paper are not exhaustive by any means, but are some general measures that can be utilized to evaluate 3PL providers. In an actual application of this methodology, decision makers must carefully identify appropriate inputs and outputs measures to be used in the decision making process.

Applying Model (4), the efficiency scores of 3PL providers (DMUs) and their inputs/outputs behavior have been presented in Table 2.

Model (4) identified 3PL providers 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, and 18 to be efficient with a relative efficiency score of 1. The remaining 3PL providers with relative efficiency scores of less than 1 are considered to be inefficient. Therefore, decision maker can choose one or more of these efficient 3PL providers.

The 3PL providers 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 17, and 18 are those that EXP is behaving like an input. Therefore, these DMUs are said to be experiencing decreasing returns to scale.

The 3PL providers 3, 13, 14, and 16 are those that EXP is behaving like an output, where more of such factor would improve the efficiencies of related 3PL providers. Therefore, these DMUs are said to be experiencing increasing returns to scale.

The 3PL provider 4 is the DMU that EXP is in equilibrium. Therefore, this DMU is said to be experiencing constant returns to scale.

As well, the 3PL providers 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, and 17 are those that CRE is behaving like an input. Therefore, these DMUs are said to be experiencing decreasing returns to scale.

The 3PL providers 1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 12, 15, and 18 are those that CRE is behaving like an output, where more of such factor would improve the efficiencies of related 3PL providers. Therefore, these DMUs are said to be experiencing increasing returns to scale.

The 3PL provider 5 is the DMU that CRE is in equilibrium. Therefore, this DMU is said to be experiencing constant returns to scale.

5 Managerial implications

The past decade has witnessed ever-increasing concern regarding the disposal of consumer goods, since many of these products contain both large volumes of waste and substantial quantities of toxic heavy metals. Manufacturers have encountered escalating pressure from both governments and environmentally focused groups to 'reduce', 'recycle', and 'reuse' their industrial waste.

At present, reverse logistics has been regarded as a focus problem. The effective management of reverse logistics is helpful to environmental protection, and it can bring the obvious economic benefits to enterprises. Many companies do not possess enough resource or competence to manage their reverse logistics activities, thus they have to choose the third-party reverse logistics provider to those activities.

In this paper, the proposed model determines whether in a DMU the factors are behaving predominantly like inputs, hence the DMU would benefit from having less of the factors, like outputs where more of the factors are desirable, or where they are in equilibrium. Meanwhile, these ideas were connected to those involving increasing, decreasing, and constant returns to scale.

To make DEA effective, managers must establish key criteria of 3PL provider selection problem. There is no restriction on the types of data which can be collected, with one exception that it should not be in ratio form.

The important element in the use of DEA is that it opens possibilities for new directions in examining operations within 3PL provider systems where multiple outputs and inputs must be considered without explicit knowledge of the underlying relationships. This paper illustrates this with respect to 3PL provider selection problem. A difficult part of the task is undoubtedly finding an appropriate criteria.

6 Concluding remarks

In the current paper, the modification to the traditional models of DEA that permits the inclusion of multiple dualrole factors in the analysis was developed. The proposed model was applied for selecting 3PL providers. The problem considered in this study is at the initial stage of investigation and much further researches can be done based on the results of this paper. Some of them are as follows:

Similar research can be repeated for dealing with ordinal data and bounded data in the conditions that dual-role factors exist. Other potential extension to the methodology includes the case that some of the 3PL providers are slightly non-homogeneous. One of the assumptions of all the classical models of DEA is based on complete homogeneity of DMUs (3PL providers), whereas this assumption in many real applications cannot be generalized. In other words, some inputs and/or outputs are not common for all the DMUs occasionally. Therefore, there is a need to a model that deals with these conditions.

In this study, the proposed model has been applied to a problem related to 3PL provider selection. However, the same models could be applied, with minor modifications, to other problems related to selection of technologies, international markets, personnel, and many other problems.

Acknowledgements The author wishes to thank two anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions and comments.

References

 Banker RD, Charnes A, Cooper WW (1984) Some methods for estimating technical and scale inefficiencies in data envelopment analysis. Manag Sci 30(9):1078–1092

- Beasley J (1990) Comparing university departments. Omega 8 (2):171–183
- Beasley J (1995) Determining teaching and research efficiencies. J Oper Res Soc 46(4):441–452
- Bottani E, Rizzi A (2006) A Fuzzy TOPSIS methodology to support outsourcing of logistics services, supply chain management. Int J 11(4):294–308
- Cao J, Wang WW, Cao G (2007) Integration of the Social Welfare Function and TOPSIS Algorithm for 3PL Selection. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery 3:596–600
- Charnes A, Cooper WW, Rhodes E (1978) Measuring the efficiency of decision making units. Eur J Oper Res 2(6):429–444
- Cook WD, Green RH, Zhu J (2006) Dual-role factors in data envelopment analysis. IIE Trans 38(2):105–115. doi:10.1080/ 07408170500245570
- Cook WD, Zhu J (2007) Classifying inputs and outputs in data envelopment analysis. Eur J Oper Res 180(2):692–699
- Efendigil T, Önüt S, Kongar E (2008) A holistic approach for selecting a third-party reverse logistics provider in the presence of vagueness. Comput Ind Eng 54(2):269–287. doi:10.1016/j. cie.2007.07.009
- Farzipoor Saen R (2009) A mathematical model for selecting third-party reverse logistics providers. Int J Procure Manage 2 (2):180–190. doi:10.1504/IJPM.2009.023406
- Haas DA, Murphy FH, Lancioni RA (2003) Managing reverse logistics channels with data envelopment analysis. Transp J 42 (3):59–69

- Meade L, Sarkis J (2002) A conceptual model for selecting and evaluating third-party reverse logistics providers, supply chain management. Int J 7(5):283–295
- 13. Min H, Joo SJ (2006) Benchmarking the operational efficiency of third party logistics providers using data envelopment analysis, supply chain management. Int J 11 (3):259–265
- 14. Qureshi MN, Kumar D, Kumar P (2007) Selection of Potential 3PL Services Providers Using TOPSIS with Interval Data. Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management, pp. 1512– 1516
- Talluri S, Baker RC (2002) A Multi-phase mathematical programming approach for effective supply chain design. Eur J Oper Res 141(3):544–558. doi:10.1016/S0377-2217(01) 00277-6
- Zhang Y, Feng Y (2007) A Selection Approach of Reverse Logistics Provider Based on Fuzzy AHP. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery 3:479–482
- Zhang H, Li X, Liu W, Li B, Zhang Z (2004) An Application of the AHP in 3PL Vendor Selection of a 4PL System. Proceeding of the IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics 2:1255–1260
- Zhang CQ, Lu YC (2006) The Reverse Logistics Evaluation Based on KPCA-LINMAP Model, Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics pp. 2531–2535