ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Variable sampling inspection plans with screening for assuring average outgoing surplus quality loss limit indexed by Taguchi*'*s loss

Maiko Morita · Ikuo Arizono · Yasuhiko Takemoto

Received: 1 October 2007 /Accepted: 25 April 2008 / Published online: 10 June 2008 \oslash Springer-Verlag London Limited 2008

Abstract Taguchi has proposed a variable-quality evaluation called "Taguchi's quality loss" instead of the attribute quality evaluation such as the proportion of nonconforming items. Arizono et al. have proposed a single acceptance sampling plan based on operating characteristics from the viewpoint of assuring Taguchi's quality loss. This sampling plan is designed to guarantee the constraints of the prescribed acceptance probabilities for respective lots with the allowable quality loss limit and the unallowable quality loss limit. However, in the acceptance sampling plan based on operating characteristics, the corrective action for rejected lot is not prescribed. On the other hand, the sampling inspection plan with screening is well known as the sampling scheme with the corrective action for rejected lots. Then, there is the attribute sampling inspection scheme with screening in order to guarantee the expectation of the proportion of nonconforming items in the shipping lot. However, the variable sampling inspection scheme with screening has not yet been prescribed. Then, in this article, we propose a variable sampling scheme with screening procedure for the purpose of assuring the upper limit of the maximum expected surplus loss indexed by Taguchi's loss.

M. Morita : I. Arizono Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka Prefecture University, Osaka, Japan

M. Morita e-mail: morita@eis.osakafu-u.ac.jp

I. Arizono e-mail: arizono@eis.osakafu-u.ac.jp

Y. Takemoto (***) Faculty of Management and Information Systems, Prefectural University of Hiroshima, Hiroshima, Japan e-mail: ys-take@pu-hiroshima.ac.jp

Keywords Average outgoing surplus quality loss limit . Maximum likelihood method \cdot Patnaik's approximation \cdot Taguchi's quality loss. Variable sampling plan with screening

1 Introduction

Taguchi [[9,](#page-7-0) [10\]](#page-7-0) has presented a new approach to quality improvement where the reduction of deviation from a specified target value is the guiding principle. In this approach, Taguchi has proposed the variable quality evaluation, which is called "Taguchi's quality loss" instead of the attribute quality evaluation such as the proportion of nonconforming items. According to the theory of the Taguchi method, the loss caused by the deviation from its target value is expressed as a quadratic form with respect to the difference between the actual value x and the target value μ_0 . Then, this quality evaluation as the quality loss has been applied in many fields of decision-making about the quality improvement [\[2](#page-7-0), [3](#page-7-0), [5,](#page-7-0) [6,](#page-7-0) [11\]](#page-7-0). However, the concept of "quality loss" has hardly been applied to the design of acceptance sampling plans for assuring the lot quality.

When the mean and variance of the lot quality are given as μ and σ^2 , the expected loss in the Taguchi method can be evaluated as $k \left\{ \sigma^2 + (\mu - \mu_0)^2 \right\}$, where k denotes the proportional coefficient based on the functional limit of items and the monetary loss brought by the product which cannot fulfill its fundamental function. In the viewpoint of the Taguchi's expected quality loss, every lot is not necessarily uniform in the loss even though the respective lots have the same proportion of nonconforming items. Arizono et al. [\[1](#page-7-0)] proposed a single acceptance sampling plan based on operating characteristics in the viewpoint of assuring Taguchi's quality loss. This sampling plan is designed for guaranteeing that the acceptance probabilities

against the respective lots with the allowable quality loss limit and the unallowable quality loss limit are less than or equal to the prescribed producer's risk and consumer's risk.

By the way, the corrective action for the rejected lot isn't prescribed in the sampling inspection scheme based on operating characteristics. On the other hand, the corrective action for the rejected lot is prescribed in the sampling inspection scheme with screening. However, although the existent sampling inspection scheme with screening has been designed for the attribute quality evaluation such as the proportion of nonconforming items, there is no sampling inspection plan with screening for the variable quality evaluation.

In this article, we adopt the quality evaluation based on Taguchi's quality loss instead of the traditional quality evaluation such as the proportion of nonconforming items. Accordingly, we consider the variable acceptance sampling inspection plan with screening. In this scheme, the sampling plan is decided so that the upper limit of the maximum expected surplus loss (the average outgoing surplus quality loss limit; AOSQLL) indexed by Taguchi's loss after the corrective action is less than or equal to the permissible average outgoing surplus quality loss; PAOSQL. Then, we develop the decision algorithm for determining the economical sample size and the criterion of acceptance rule. The effectiveness of the variable sampling inspection plan with screening proposed in this article is explained and is verified through some numerical examples.

2 Notations

In this section, we define and explain some symbols and abbreviations in this article.

Abbreviations

3 Concept of assurance for the average outgoing surplus quality loss

Suppose that the quality characteristics obey a normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. According to the concept of Taguchi's quality loss, the loss is expressed as the quadratic form with respect to the difference between the actual value x and target value μ_0 . Then, the expected loss per item is obtained as follows:

$$
E\left[k(x - \mu_0)^2\right] = k\left\{(\mu - \mu_0)^2 + \sigma^2\right\}.
$$
 (1)

Simply, we denote $k=1$ without the loss of generality because k is constant. Then let $(\mu, \sigma^2) = (\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$ be the mean and variance in the ideal quality characteristic mean and variance in the ideal quality characteristic distribution. In this case, from Eq. (1), we have

$$
E[(x - \mu_0)^2 | (\mu, \sigma^2) = (\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)] = \sigma_0^2 \equiv \tau_0^2.
$$

Then, τ_0^2 can be interpreted as the unavoidable quality loss
in the ideal quality characteristic distribution in the ideal quality characteristic distribution.

Because we define σ_0^2 as the variance in the ideal quality
practatistic, distribution, we assume the variance of characteristic distribution, we assume the variance of quality characteristics to be as follows:

$$
\sigma^2 \ge \sigma_0^2. \tag{2}
$$

Then, the following relation for τ^2 has to be satisfied:

$$
\tau^2 = (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + \sigma^2 \ge \tau_0^2 = \sigma_0^2.
$$
 (3)

Equation (3) denotes that the expected loss per item is always more than or equal to that in the ideal situation.

Since Q (τ^2) means the acceptance probability of lots with τ_0^2 , $\{1-Q(\tau_0^2)\}$ means the rejection probability of lots with τ^2 and is less than or equal to the specified lots with τ_0^2 and is less than or equal to the specified
producer's risk α . Then we inspect the items in the rejected producer's risk α . Then we inspect the items in the rejected lots and all the discovered nonconforming items are replaced by the conforming items. When we let T^2 and L be the expected loss per item on the screened lot and the expected loss per item on all lots after screening and replacing, the following relation is derived:

$$
L = \tau^2 Q(\tau^2) + T^2 \{1 - Q(\tau^2)\}.
$$
 (4)

Then, the difference $L - \tau_0^2$ means the avoidable quality
loss and is defined as the average outgoing surplus quality loss, and is defined as the average outgoing surplus quality loss (AOSQL) in this article. However, it is not easy to obtain the value L , because it is difficult to evaluate the value of T^2 exactly.

We should discuss the screening rule. The quality loss τ_0^2
the expected loss on the ideal quality characteristics is the expected loss on the ideal quality characteristics, therefore, we decide the screening rule so that the expected loss after screening and replacing falls below τ_0^2 . In the case
that the quality of items is evaluated by whether it conforms that the quality of items is evaluated by whether it conforms or not, the lot after screening and replacing do not include the nonconforming item. Then it is obvious that the proportion of nonconforming items is 0, and the lot quality is simply evaluated. On one hand, the expected loss after screening and replacing depends on the quality loss of the exchanged items in the case that the quality of items is evaluated by the Taguchi's quality loss.

Accordingly, in order to ensure that the expected loss after screening and replacing is less than or equal to τ_0^2 , we
adopt the following screening procedure as the simplest and adopt the following screening procedure as the simplest and easiest procedure, that is, we employ the screening rule that the items having a quality loss more than τ_0^2 detected in the total inspection are replaced with the jtems with the quality total inspection are replaced with the items with the quality loss less than τ_0^2 . Therefore, the item is not replaced when
the following relation for x is satisfied the following relation for x is satisfied

$$
(x - \mu_0)^2 \le \tau_0^2 \left(= \sigma_0^2 \right),
$$

where this expression is rewritten as:

$$
\mu_0 - \sigma_0 \le x \le \mu_0 + \sigma_0. \tag{5}
$$

Then the screening rule for the actual value x can be presented as:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\text{if } x \text{ satisfy the relation of Eq.}(5), \text{ then ship it,} \\
\text{otherwise, replace it by the item} \\
\text{satisfying the relation of Eq.}(5).\n\end{cases}
$$

From the screening rule mentioned above, it is clear that the value T^2 is less than or equal to τ_0^2 from Eq. (5). If T^2 is replaced by τ^2 on Eq. (4). *L* is modified to the upper limit replaced by τ_0^2 on Eq. (4), L is modified to the upper limit of the expected quality loss on the lot after screening and of the expected quality loss on the lot after screening and replacing, i.e., L_{upper} . Then, we have

$$
L_{\text{upper}} = \tau^2 Q(\tau^2) + \tau_0^2 \{ 1 - Q(\tau^2) \}.
$$
 (6)

Further, we can obtain the following relation:

$$
L_{\text{upper}} - \tau_0^2 = (\tau^2 - \tau_0^2) Q(\tau^2) \equiv S. \tag{7}
$$

Then, the value S in Eq. (7) is interpreted to be the maximum value of the surplus expected quality loss (in

what follows, we call "average outgoing surplus quality loss limit (AOSQLL)"). We consider the acceptance sampling plan assuring that the value S is less than or equal to a specified PAOSQL Slimit. Consequently, let α be the specified producer's risk on the expected loss τ_0^2 , in this article. We propose the variable acceptance sampling plan article. We propose the variable acceptance sampling plan that S is less than or equal to S_{limit} , that is, the algorithm for deciding the sampling plan satisfying the following relation:

$$
(\tau^2 - \tau_0^2) Q(\tau^2) \le S_{\text{limit}}, \tag{8}
$$

is developed.

4 Proposal of design procedure for variable sampling plans with screening inspection

Let x_j , j=1,2,...,*n*, be random samples from a normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, but μ and σ^2 is unknown. Taguchi has proposed the estimator $\hat{\tau}^2$ of the expected loss defined by

$$
\widehat{\tau}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2 = (\overline{x} - \mu_0)^2 + s^2,
$$
\n(9)

where \bar{x} and s^2 denote the maximum likelihood estimates of μ and σ^2 calculated as follows:

$$
\overline{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i,
$$

$$
s^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}.
$$

It is known that the statistic $n\hat{\tau}^2/\sigma^2$ obeys a noncentral
Chi square distribution with *n* degrees of freedom and Chi-square distribution with n degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter $n\xi^2$ [[8\]](#page-7-0), where ξ^2 is defined as

$$
\xi^2 = \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma^2}.
$$
\n(10)

Then, we discuss a statistic ρ , where ρ is defined as

$$
\rho = \frac{1 + \xi^2}{1 + 2\xi^2} \frac{n\hat{\tau}^2}{\sigma^2}.
$$
\n(11)

Based on the noncentral Chi-square distribution with n degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter $n\xi^2$, the mean and variance of the statistic ρ are respectively given by

$$
E[\rho] = \frac{1+\xi^2}{1+2\xi^2} E\left[\frac{n\hat{\tau}^2}{\sigma^2}\right] = \frac{n(1+\xi^2)^2}{1+2\xi^2},
$$

$$
V[\rho] = \left(\frac{1+\xi^2}{1+2\xi^2}\right)^2 V\left[\frac{n\hat{\tau}^2}{\sigma^2}\right] = \frac{2n(1+\xi^2)^2}{1+2\xi^2},
$$

and coincide with those of the central Chi-square distribution with ϕ degrees of freedom, where

$$
\phi = \frac{n(1 + \xi^2)^2}{1 + 2\xi^2}.
$$
\n(12)

Accordingly, the central Chi-square distribution with ϕ degrees of freedom can be employed as the approximate distribution of ρ .

From Eq. ([3\)](#page-1-0) and Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-2-0)–(12), we have the following relation

$$
\rho = \phi \frac{\hat{\tau}^2}{\tau^2}.
$$
\n(13)

Then, by using the Patnaik's approximation [\[7](#page-7-0)], we obtain the approximation model:

$$
\widehat{\tau}_{\phi}^2 \sim \frac{\tau^2 \chi_{\phi}^2}{\phi},\tag{14}
$$

where χ^2_{ϕ} means the central Chi-square distribution with ϕ degrees of freedom. By making use of this approximation model, we formulate the inspection plan. Let D be the acceptance value, the acceptance rule is constructed as

 \int if $\hat{\tau}^2$ <D, then accept the lot,
otherwise reject the lot otherwise; reject the lot:

And the rejected lot is inspected totally. When the expected loss on the lot in the ideal quality characteristic distribution is τ_0^2 , we design the acceptance sampling plan in order that
the producer's risk is less than or equal to the specified the producer's risk is less than or equal to the specified value α . Then, let us consider two cases, $\tau^2 = \tau_0^2$ and $\tau^2 = \tau^2 \times \tau^2$. In the case of $\tau^2 = \tau^2$ since the distribution $\tau^2 = \tau_1^2 > \tau_0^2$. In the case of $\tau^2 = \tau_0^2$, since the distribution
of the quality characteristics is defined as $N(u, \tau^2)$, the of the quality characteristics is defined as $N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$, the approximation model is given as

$$
\widehat{\tau}^2 \sim \frac{\tau_0^2 \chi_n^2}{n}.\tag{15}
$$

Then, ϕ_0 , which is the degrees of freedom on the approximation model, satisfies the relation of $\phi_0 = n$, because of the condition of $\xi^2=0$ from Eq. [\(10](#page-2-0)). Therefore, the acceptance value $D = \tau_0^2 \chi_n^2(\alpha)/n$ is derived as the percentile
for the producer's risk α by the approximation model on for the producer's risk α by the approximation model on Eq. (15), where $\chi_n^2(\alpha)$ denotes the upper 100 α % percentile
of the central Chi causes distribution with n degrees of of the central Chi-square distribution with n degrees of freedom. Accordingly, the acceptance rule is determined as

if
$$
\hat{\tau}^2 \le \frac{\chi_n^2(\alpha)}{n} \tau_0^2
$$
, then accept the lot. (16)

In the case of $\tau^2 = \tau_1^2$, the probability that the lot on τ_1^2 is accepted, $Q(\tau_1^2)$, is derived from the condition that $\triangle OSOI$ is less than or equal to PAOSOL S_{tran} as follows: AOSQLL is less than or equal to PAOSQL S_{limit} as follows:

$$
Q(\tau_1^2) \le \frac{S_{\text{limit}}}{\tau_1^2 - \tau_0^2}.
$$
\n(17)

There are innumerable pairs of (μ_1, σ_1^2) with the same τ_1^2
due to the relation of $\tau^2 = \sigma_1^2 + (\mu_1 - \mu_1)^2$. Naturally due to the relation of $\tau_1^2 = \sigma_1^2 + (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^2$. Naturally,
 $\Omega(\tau^2)$ is a function for (μ, σ^2) because τ^2 is a function $Q(\tau_1^2)$ is a function for (μ_1, σ_1^2) because τ_1^2 is a function
for (μ, σ_1^2) . Since the relation of Eq. (17) should be satisfied for (μ_1, σ_1^2) . Since the relation of Eq. (17) should be satisfied
for all pairs of (μ, σ^2) with same τ^2 . Eq. (17) peeds to be for all pairs of (μ_1, σ_1^2) with same τ_1^2 , Eq. (17) needs to be rewritten as follows: rewritten as follows:

$$
\max_{(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) \in \tau_1^2} Q(\tau_1^2) \le \frac{S_{\text{limit}}}{\tau_1^2 - \tau_0^2}.
$$
 (18)

When ϕ_1 is the degree of freedom in the distribution of $\hat{\tau}^2$ for given τ_1^2 , we obtain the approximation model:

$$
\widehat{\tau}^2 \sim \frac{\tau_1^2 \chi_{\phi_1}^2}{\phi_1},\tag{19}
$$

where

$$
\phi_1 = \frac{n\left(1 + \xi_1^2\right)^2}{1 + 2\xi_1^2}, \xi_1^2 = \frac{\left(\mu_1 - \mu_0\right)^2}{\sigma_1^2}.
$$

The probability that the lot with the quality loss τ_1^2 is rejected
by the acceptance rule of Eq. (16) has to satisfy the by the acceptance rule of Eq. (16) has to satisfy the following relation:

$$
\min_{(\mu_1,\sigma_1^2)\in\tau_1^2}\Pr\left(\widehat{\tau}^2\geq \frac{\chi_n^2(\alpha)}{n}\tau_0^2\right)\geq 1-\frac{S_{\text{limit}}}{\tau_1^2-\tau_0^2}.
$$

Therefore, we can obtain the relation as

$$
\frac{\chi_n^2(\alpha)}{n} \tau_0^2 \le \min_{(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) \in \tau_1^2} \frac{\chi_{\phi_1}^2(\varepsilon)}{\phi_1} \tau_1^2,
$$
 (20)

where

$$
\varepsilon \equiv 1 - \frac{S_{\text{limit}}}{\tau_1^2 - \tau_0^2}.
$$

In this case, by applying the Wilson-Hilferty approximation [\[4](#page-7-0)], we consider the behavior of $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon)/\phi_1$ in ϕ_1 , that is, a pair of (μ_1, σ_1^2) . Next, in order to obtain the pair of (μ_1, σ_1^2)
minimizing the right side of Eq. (20), we have further three minimizing the right side of Eq. (20), we have further three sub-cases as follows:

a)
$$
\tau_1^2 \leq \tau_0^2 + S_{\text{limit}}
$$

It means $\varepsilon \leq 0$. Then, because the expected loss is always less than or equal to S_{limit} , it isn't necessary to consider this sub-case on designing the sampling plan.

b)
$$
\tau_0^2 + S_{\text{limit}} < \tau_1^2 \le \tau_0^2 + 2S_{\text{limit}}
$$

Then, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 0.5$. Based on the Wilson-Hilferty approximation, this case can correspond to $0 \le u_{\varepsilon} < \infty$, where $u_{1-\theta}$ represents the upper 100(1−θ) percentile of the standard normal distribution. Then, in the range:

$$
0\leq u_{\varepsilon}\,<\,\sqrt{\frac{8}{9n}},
$$

we know that the function $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon)/\phi_1$ is concave. While, the function $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon)/\phi_1$ is monotonous decreasing function for ϕ_1 in the range:

$$
\sqrt{\frac{8}{9n}}\leq u_\varepsilon\ <\ \infty.
$$

See Appendix [A](#page-6-0). Therefore, we must have further two conditions as follows, where γ is defined by the following relation:

$$
u_{\gamma}=\sqrt{\frac{8}{9n}}.
$$

b-i)
$$
\tau_0^2 + S_{\text{limit}} < \tau_1^2 \le \tau_0^2 + \frac{S_{\text{limit}}}{\gamma}
$$

Then, $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \gamma$. Under this condition, from Appendix [A,](#page-6-0) $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon)/\phi_1$ is monotonous decreasing function in ϕ_1 . Therefore, $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon)/\phi_1$ is the minimum value when ϕ_1 has the maximum value in the range $\phi_1 \geq n$. In this case, ϕ_1 is monotonous increasing function in ξ_1 and ξ_1 is expressed by Eq. ([12\)](#page-3-0) as follows:

$$
\xi_1^2 = \frac{\tau_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} - 1.
$$

For fixed τ_1^2 , ξ_1 has the maximum value in the condition of $\tau_1^2 = \tau_1^2$. Therefore, when the parameter ξ_1^2 is defined by $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_0^2$. Therefore, when the parameter ξ_1^2 is defined by the following pair of (μ, σ^2) for fixed τ^2 . the following pair of (μ_1, σ_1^2) for fixed τ_1^2 :

$$
(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) = \left(\mu_0 \pm \sqrt{\tau_1^2 - \sigma_0^2}, \sigma_0^2\right).
$$

When the maximum value of ϕ_1 for given condition is denoted as $\phi_{1_{\max}}, \chi^2_{\phi_{1_{\max}}}(\varepsilon) / \phi_{1_{\max}}$ reaches the minimum value. Then the following inequality regarding sample size *n* is derived from Eq. (20) (20) (20) :

$$
\frac{\tau_0^2}{\tau_1^2} \le \frac{n}{\chi_n^2(\alpha)} \frac{\chi_{\phi_{1_{\text{max}}}}^2(\varepsilon)}{\phi_{1_{\text{max}}}}.
$$
\n(21)

$$
\text{b--ii)}\quad \tau_0^2+\tfrac{S_{limit}}{\gamma}<\ \tau_1^2\leq \tau_0^2+2S_{limit}
$$

Then, $1 - \gamma < \varepsilon \leq 0.5$. Under this condition, from [A](#page-6-0)ppendix A, $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon)$ $\left/ \phi_1 \right.$ is concave in ϕ_1 . Then, let $\phi_{1_{\text{min}}}$ and $\phi_{1_{\text{max}}}$ be the minimum value and maximum value of ϕ_1 , respectively. Then, $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon)/\phi_1$ takes the minimum value when ϕ_1 is either ϕ_1 _{nin} or ϕ_1 _{nax}. Since ϕ_1 is the monotonous increasing function in $\xi_1^2(\geq 0)$, ϕ_1 takes the
minimum value $\phi_1 = n$ in the case of $\xi_1^2 = 0$, that is minimum value $\phi_{1_{min}} = n$ in the case of $\xi_1^2 = 0$, that is,
(*u* $\sigma^2 = (\mu \sigma^2)$, While ϕ is obtained in the case of $(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) = (\mu_0, \tau_1^2)$. While, $\phi_{1_{\text{max}}}$ is obtained in the case of $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_0^2$:

$$
(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) = \left(\mu_0 \pm \sqrt{\tau_1^2 - \sigma_0^2}, \sigma_0^2\right).
$$

Consequently, the following inequality regarding sample size n is derived

$$
\frac{\tau_0^2}{\tau_1^2} \le \frac{n}{\chi_n^2(\alpha)} \min\left(\frac{\chi_{\phi_{1_{\max}}}^2(\varepsilon)}{\phi_{1_{\max}}}, \frac{\chi_n^2(\varepsilon)}{n}\right). \tag{22}
$$

c) $\tau_0^2 + 2S_{\text{limit}} < \tau_1^2$

Then, it is obvious that $0.5 < \varepsilon$. Under this condition, $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon)$ \bigg/ϕ_1 is the monotonous increasing function in ϕ_1 from Appendix [B](#page-7-0). Therefore, $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon)$ ϕ_1 is minimized in the range $\phi_1 \geq n$ under the following condition:

$$
(\mu_1,\sigma_1^2)=(\mu_0,\tau_1^2).
$$

Then we have $\phi_1 = \phi_{1_{\min}} = n$, and the following inequality regarding sample size n is derived

$$
\frac{\tau_0^2}{\tau_1^2} \le \frac{n}{\chi_n^2(\alpha)} \frac{\chi_n^2(\varepsilon)}{n} = \frac{\chi_n^2(\varepsilon)}{\chi_n^2(\alpha)}.
$$
\n(23)

As mentioned above, the purpose of this article is to provide the design procedure for the sampling inspection plan that S is less than or equal to S_{limit} for any $\tau_1^2(>\tau_0^2)$.
Therefore, we should employ the maximum value among Therefore, we should employ the maximum value among sample sizes obtained by using Eqs. (21) – (23) . In this case, we denote the adopted sample size as n_{max} , we can obtain the following acceptance rule:

if
$$
\hat{\tau}^2 \le \frac{\chi^2_{n_{\text{max}}}(\alpha)}{n_{\text{max}}} \tau_0^2 \equiv D
$$
, the lot is accepted. (24)

By the above, we can decide the acceptance sampling plan for assuring that AOSQLL is less than or equal to PAOSQL under the given producer's risk α on τ_0^2 .

5 Numerical examples

In order to illustrate the validity of the provided procedure, we show some numerical examples. Let $N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2) = N(0, 0, 1, 0)$ and then the ideal expected loss is given as $N(0.0, 1.0)$, and then the ideal expected loss is given as $\tau_0^2 = 1.0$ $\tau_0^2 = 1.0$ $\tau_0^2 = 1.0$. Then, let $\alpha = 0.05$ and $S_{\text{limit}} = 0.25$. Figure 1 shows
the required sample size for each of the expected loss τ^2 . In the required sample size for each of the expected loss τ_1^2 . In this case, we can obtain sample size $n = 30$ and the this case, we can obtain sample size $n_{\text{max}}=30$ and the acceptance value $D=1.459$ for satisfying that AOSQLL is less than or equal to a given value PAOSQL. Similarly, Fig. [2](#page-5-0) shows the required sample size for each of the expected loss τ_1^2 in the case of α =0.05 and S_{limit} =0.35.
Furthermore, the required sample size for each of the Furthermore, the required sample size for each of the expected loss τ_1^2 in the case of $\alpha = 0.01$ and $S_{\text{limit}} = 0.25$ is illustrated by Fig. 3. In these cases, we can obtain sample illustrated by Fig. [3.](#page-5-0) In these cases, we can obtain sample size $n_{\text{max}}=17$ and $n_{\text{max}}=63$, respectively. We can confirm that n_{max} in Fig. [2](#page-5-0) is smaller than that in Fig. [1](#page-5-0) because the expected loss in Fig. [2](#page-5-0) is larger than that in Fig. [1.](#page-5-0) On the

Fig. 1 The required sample size for each of the expected loss $\tau_1^2(S_{\text{limit}} = 0.25, \alpha = 0.05)$

other hand, we can confirm that n_{max} in Fig. 3 is larger than that in Fig. 1 because a producer's risk in Fig. 3 is smaller than that in Fig. 1.

Next we verify that the AOSQLL for each τ_1^2 is satisfied
applying the proposed sampling plan $(n - D)$. The by applying the proposed sampling plan (n_{max}, D) . The approximation distribution $\hat{\tau}^2$ for τ_1^2 is given in Eq. [\(19](#page-3-0)).
Let S^{*} be AOSOLL for each τ^2 . Then S^{*} should satisfy the Let S^{*} be AOSQLL for each τ_1^2 . Then S^{*} should satisfy the following condition in Eq. (20). following condition in Eq. ([20\)](#page-3-0):

$$
\frac{\chi_{\phi_0}^2(\alpha)}{n_{\max}}\tau_0^2=\frac{\chi_{\phi_1}^2(\varepsilon*)}{\phi_1}\tau_1^2,
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon* = 1 - \frac{S*}{\tau_1^2 - \tau_0^2}.
$$

Therefore, we obtain the following relation:

$$
\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon*) = \frac{\phi_1 \tau_0^2}{n_{\max} \tau_1^2} \chi^2_{\phi_0}(\alpha).
$$

Fig. 2 The required sample size for each of the expected loss $\tau_1^2(S_{\text{limit}} = 0.35, \alpha = 0.05)$

Fig. 3 The required sample size for each of the expected loss $\tau_1^2(S_{\text{limit}} = 0.25, \alpha = 0.01)$

Furthermore, we can obtain $u_{\varepsilon*}$ by using the Wilson-Hilferty approximation [\[4](#page-7-0)] as follows:

$$
u_{\varepsilon*} = \sqrt{\frac{9\phi_1}{2}} \left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon*)}{\phi_1}} + \frac{2}{9\phi_1} - 1 \right).
$$

In this case, we can evaluate the AOSQLL S^* for each τ_1^2 as

$$
S* = (\tau_1^2 - \tau_0^2)(1 - \Phi(u_{\varepsilon*})), \tag{25}
$$

where $\Phi(u)$ denotes the distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

Then, in Figs. 4, [5,](#page-6-0) and [6](#page-6-0), the AOSQLL S^* for each τ_1^2
third by the proposed inspection plan derived from Eq. realized by the proposed inspection plan derived from Eq. (25) is illustrated. The setting parameters in Figs. 4, [5](#page-6-0), and [6](#page-6-0) correspond to those of Figs. 1, 2, and 3, respectively. In Figs. 4, [5,](#page-6-0) and [6](#page-6-0), we can find that the AOSQLL S^* is less than or equal to the PAOSQL Slimit on the sampling plan n_{max} .

Fig. 4 AOSQL for τ_1^2 under n_{max} (S_{limit}=0.25, α =0.05)

6 Concluding remarks

In this article, we have propose the acceptance sampling scheme with screening to assure that the AOSQLL based on Taguchi's quality loss is always less than or equal to the specified PAOSQL, and provided the design procedure for this sampling plan. In the Taguchi's quality loss, there are innumerable pairs of the mean and variance in the qulaity characteristic distribution for the given expected quality loss. Then, we have defined the AOSQL, and further the AOSQLL has been derived as the upper limit of AOSQL. Finally, we have verified that the AOSQLL is less than or equal to the specified permissible average outgoing surplus quality loss PAOSQL through some numerical examples.

In the Taguchi's quality loss based on the deviation from the target value of the quality characteristic, the loss is evaluated even if the quality characteristics is in the range of the standard specification limits. In this point, the quality control technique based on the concept of the Taguchi's quality loss is different from the quality control technique

Fig. 6 AOSQL for τ_1^2 under n_{max} (S_{limit}=0.25, α =0.01)

based on the concept of the traditional loss by the nonconforming item. The quality control technique based on the Taguchi's quality loss is understood as the quality control technique to aim at higher quality. Therefore, we are convinced that the proposed inspection scheme will very much contribute as a technique for quality control in a real industrial environment. In addition, through the case study in the real industrial environment, the authors would like to present the benefit of the proposed inspection scheme. Unfortunately, we don't yet have an opportunity to apply the proposed inspection plan in the real industrial environment. Therefore, we would like to make this problem a subject future study.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the editor and referees for their helpful, useful, and constructive comments and suggestions regarding this article. This research was partially supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No.20510138, 2008– 2010. We appreciate the grant for our research.

Appendix A: Behavior of
$$
\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon) / \phi_1
$$
 for ϕ_1 in $\tau_0^2 + S_{\text{limit}} \leq \tau_1^2 < \tau_0^2 + 2S_{\text{limit}}$

We employ the Wilson-Hilferty approximation for the upper percentile of central Chi-square distribution:

$$
\chi^2_{\phi_1}(1-\theta) = \phi_1 \left\{ 1 - \frac{2}{9\phi_1} + u_{1-\theta} \sqrt{\frac{2}{9\phi_1}} \right\}^3,
$$

where $u_{1-\theta}$ for θ (≥ 0.5) denotes the upper 100(1– θ) percentile of the standard normal distribution. We consider the function:

$$
\frac{\chi^2_{\phi_1}(1-\theta)}{\phi_1} = \left\{1 - \frac{2}{9\phi_1} + u_{1-\theta}\sqrt{\frac{2}{9\phi_1}}\right\}^3.
$$

Then we have

$$
\frac{d}{d\phi_1} \frac{\chi^2_{\phi_1}(1-\theta)}{\phi_1} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6\phi_1^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{8}{9\phi_1}} - u_{1-\theta} \right\}
$$

$$
\cdot \left\{ 1 - \frac{2}{9\phi_1} + u_{1-\theta} \sqrt{\frac{2}{9\alpha_1}} \right\}^2.
$$

Further, since $\phi_1 \ge n$, in the case that $u_{1-\theta} > \sqrt{\frac{8}{9n}}$, it is obvious that

$$
\sqrt{\frac{8}{9\phi_1}}-u_{1-\theta}<0.
$$

Then, we know that the function of $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(1-\theta)/\phi_1$ is the monotonous decreasing function in ϕ_1 . On the other hand monotonous decreasing function in ϕ_1 . On the other hand, in the case that $u_{1-\theta} \leq \sqrt{\frac{8}{9n}}$, we know that the function $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(1-\theta)\bigg/\phi_1$ is the concave in ϕ_1 .

Appendix B: Behavior of $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(\varepsilon) \Big/ \phi_1$ for ϕ_1 in $\tau_0^2 + 2S_{\phi_1} \Big/ \varepsilon^2$ $2S$ _{limit} $\leq \tau_1^2$

Based on the approximation of the upper percentile of central Chi-square distribution for θ < 0.5, we have also

$$
\frac{\chi^2_{\phi_1}(1-\theta)}{\phi_1} = \left\{1 - \frac{2}{9\phi_1} - u_{1-\theta}\sqrt{\frac{2}{9\phi_1}}\right\}^3.
$$

Then the differential coefficient for ϕ_1 is derived as

$$
\frac{d}{d\phi_1} \frac{\chi_{\phi_1}^2(1-\theta)}{\phi_1} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6\phi_1^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{8}{9\phi_1}} + u_{1-\theta} \right\}
$$

$$
\left\{ 1 - \frac{2}{9\phi_1} - u_{1-\theta} \sqrt{\frac{2}{9\phi_1}} \right\}^2
$$

Since ϕ_1 , $u_\theta > 0$, it is obvious that

$$
\frac{d}{d\phi_1}\frac{\chi^2_{\phi_1}(1-\theta)}{\phi_1} > 0.
$$

Therefore, we know that the function $\chi^2_{\phi_1}(1-\theta)/\phi_1$ is the monotonous increasing function in ϕ_1 .

:

References

- 1. Arizono I, Kanagawa A, Ohta H, Watakabe K, Tateishi K (1997) Variable sampling plans for normal distribution indexed by Taguchi's loss function. Nav Res Logist 44(6):591–603
- 2. Ben-Daya M, Duffuaa SO (2003) Integration of Taguchi's loss function approach in the economic design of \bar{x} Chart. Int J Qual Reliab Manage 20(4):607–619
- 3. Festervand TA, Kethley RB, Waller BD (2001) The marketing of industrial real estate: application of Taguchi loss functions. J Multi-criteria Decis Anal 10(4):219–228
- 4. Johnson NL, Kotz S, Balakrishnan N (1994) Continuous univariate distributions. Wiley, New York
- 5. Kobayashi J, Arizono I, Takemoto Y (2003) Economical operation of (\bar{x}, s) control chart indexed by Taguchi's loss function. Int J Prod Res 41(6):1115–1132
- 6. Maghsoodloo S, Li MC (2000) Optimal asymmetric tolerance design. IIE Trans 32(12):1127–1137
- 7. Patnaik PB (1949) The non-central χ^2 and *F*-distribution and their applications. Biometrika 36:202–232
- 8. Serfling RJ (1980) Approximation theorems of mathematical statistics. Wiley, New York
- 9. Taguchi G (1985) A tutorial on quality control and assurance the Taguchi methods. 1985 Annual Meeting, Las Vegas, Nevada
- 10. Taguchi G (1986) Introduction to quality engineering. Asian Productivity Organization, Tokyo, Japan
- 11. Wu Z, Shamsuzzaman M, Pan ES (2004) Optimization design of control charts based on Taguchi's loss function and random process shift. Int J Prod Res 42(2):379–390