CrossMark

Permanent knee sensorimotor system changes following ACL injury and surgery

John Nyland1 · Collin Gamble2 · Tifany Franklin1 · David N. M. Caborn³

Received: 30 August 2016 / Accepted: 12 January 2017 / Published online: 2 February 2017 © European Society of Sports Traumatology, Knee Surgery, Arthroscopy (ESSKA) 2017

Abstract The cruciate ligaments are components of the knee capsuloligamentous system providing vital neurosensory and biomechanical function. Since most historical primary ACL repair attempts were unsuccessful, reconstruction has become the preferred surgery. However, an increased understanding of the efficacy of lesion-site scaffolding, innovative suturing methods and materials, and evolving use of biological healing mediators such as platelet-rich plasma and stem cells has prompted reconsideration of what was once believed to be impossible. A growing number of in vivo animal studies and prospective clinical studies are providing increasing support for this intervention. The signifcance of ACL repair rather than reconstruction is that it more likely preserves the native neurosensory system, entheses, and ACL footprints. Tissue preservation combined with restored biomechanical function increases the likelihood for premorbid neuromuscular control system and dynamic knee stability recovery. This recovery should increase the potential for more patients to safely return to sports at their desired intensity and frequency. This current concepts paper revisits cruciate ligament neurosensory and neurovascular anatomy from the perspective of knee capsuloligamentous system function. Peripheral and central nerve pathways and central cortical representation mapping

are also discussed. Surgical restoration of a more physiologically sound knee joint may be essential to solving the osteoarthritis dilemma. Innovative rehabilitative strategies and outcome measurement methodologies using more holistic and clinically relevant measurements that closely link biomechanical and neurosensory characteristics of physiological ACL function are discussed. Greater consideration of task-specifc patient physical function and psychobehavioral links should better delineate the true efficacy of all ACL surgical and non-surgical interventions.

Level of evidence IV.

Keywords Proprioception · Neuroanatomy · Repair biology · Neuromuscular control · Therapeutic exercise

Introduction

The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) and posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) function with the collateral ligaments [\[1](#page-8-0)[–6](#page-9-0)] and meniscocapsular tissue in close synchrony with natural joint arthrology as part of a knee capsuloligamentous (CL) system. The ACL and PCL exist in the intercondylar space helping control knee motion at six degrees of freedom [\[7](#page-9-1), [8\]](#page-9-2). The cruciate ligaments control tibial rotation relative to the femur in subtle balance with CL tissues, menisci, collateral ligaments [[9\]](#page-9-3), condylar geometry, and joint surface contact. This system provides non-contractile joint stability, and through mechanoreceptors embedded in collagen CL matrices, transmits neurosensory information to the spinal cord and brain for precise neuromuscular responses $[10-13]$ $[10-13]$.

 \boxtimes John Nyland jnyland@spalding.edu

¹ Athletic Training Program Director and Professor, Kosair Charities College of Health and Natural Sciences, Spalding University, 901 South 4th Street, Louisville, KY 40203, USA

² School of Medicine, University of Louisville, 323 East Chestnut Street, Louisville, KY 40202, USA

³ Shea Orthopedic Group, KentuckyOne Health, 201 Abraham Flexner Way, Ste. 100, Louisville, KY 40202, USA

Lesions to the ACL are common [\[14](#page-9-6)] and remain puzzling [[15,](#page-9-7) [16\]](#page-9-8). Following ACL injury, surgery has traditionally focused on reconstruction [\[16](#page-9-8), [17](#page-9-9)]. Although this practice has displayed reasonable efficacy, long-term patient outcomes are often lacking in terms of neuromuscular control, dynamic knee stability, return to sports success, and the prevention of early knee osteoarthritis (OA) [[16,](#page-9-8) [18](#page-9-10)[–22](#page-9-11)]. More natural knee biomechanics can be restored with an anatomical double-bundle ACL reconstruction than with a non-anatomical, single trans-tibial tunnel approach [\[23](#page-9-12)[–29](#page-9-13)]. Current ACL reconstruction practices, however, are better at restoring biomechanical than neurosensory knee function.

A growing emphasis is being placed on primary ACL repair or remnant-preserving reconstruction methods [[30,](#page-9-14) [31](#page-9-15)]. Remnant tissue may enhance reconstructed or repaired ACL re-vascularization, stability, and proprioception [\[32](#page-9-16)[–35](#page-9-17)]. Restoration of non-impaired neurosensory signals to the brain sensory cortex may facilitate the motor planning centre to more efectively regulate neuromuscular function [\[36](#page-9-18)[–38](#page-10-0)]. Associated with this lies the potential to more efectively restore balanced hip, knee and ankle neuromuscular contributions to composite lower extremity extensor moments, primarily through more normalized quadriceps femoris function [[39,](#page-10-1) [40\]](#page-10-2).

What historically was considered either an inefective procedure [[17\]](#page-9-9), or an exclusive intervention for paediatric clients post-insertion avulsion [[41\]](#page-10-3) is now being used to treat athletically active patients of widely varying ages with acute ACL lesions [\[31](#page-9-15), [41](#page-10-3)[–44](#page-10-4)]. The complete ACL insertional remnant debridement that enables precise graft placement, and then drilling through the entheses for reconstruction, creates a structure that difers greatly from the natural ligament. Solely focusing on ACL biomechanics during surgery may also contribute to an under appreciation for concomitant CL injuries and neurosensory impairments to synergistic tissues [[9,](#page-9-3) [45](#page-10-5), [46](#page-10-6)]. Healing that culminates in residual knee laxity, and/or poorly organized, weakened, or lesser quality collagen fbre type or orientation may be directly related to impaired mechanoreceptor function.

Limited evidence suggests that ACL reconstruction and rehabilitation efectively restores neurosensory or neuromuscular function to premorbid levels [\[47](#page-10-7), [48\]](#page-10-8). Associated with this, knee OA rates remain high [\[19](#page-9-19), [21](#page-9-20)], and less than optimal outcomes exist for patients who desire to participate in intense athletic activities [[16,](#page-9-8) [18](#page-9-10), [39,](#page-10-1) [49](#page-10-9), [50\]](#page-10-10). To date, a more mechanocentric ACL reconstruction rationale has taken precedence over attempting to re-establish normal ACL neurosensory or neuromuscular functions. This current concepts paper revisits cruciate ligament neurosensory and neurovascular anatomy from the perspective of knee CL system function. Peripheral and central afferent–efferent pathways are described based on contemporary brain function mapping. The tissue preservation provided by native ACL repair may have greater neuromuscular control system recovery potential and, therefore, dynamic knee stability restoration that more closely matches premorbid levels than ACL reconstruction [[51\]](#page-10-11). This potential increases the likelihood that athletically active patients would be better able to safely return to intense, high-frequency athletic movements while avoiding knee re-injury, contralateral knee injury, or early-onset knee OA.

Native cruciate ligament anatomy

The level of scrutiny given to the dimensions, orientation, and location of the native ACL or graft has led to its being described over time as a strand, a bundle, more than one bundle, or a ribbon [[24,](#page-9-21) [34](#page-9-22), [52–](#page-10-12)[55\]](#page-10-13). This attention has largely focused on optimizing ACL biomechanical function through more precise insertional footprint restoration [\[54](#page-10-14), [56](#page-10-15)], and more anatomic bone tunnel placements. Few studies have attempted to better delineate the neurosensory anatomy of this region, or the vascularity that could potentially facilitate a more favourable ACL healing response following repetitive strain-induced microtrauma [[57\]](#page-10-16). An improved understanding of these characteristics is important when considering the efficacy of ACL repair rather than reconstruction for some patients [\[51](#page-10-11)].

The enthesis is the region in which a tendon, ligament, or joint capsule attaches to bone [\[58](#page-10-17)]. Benjamin et al. [[58,](#page-10-17) [59](#page-10-18)] suggested that entheses are best understood by considering ligament insertions not solely as focal attachment sites, but as parts of an "enthesis organ complex". Ultrasound and MRI imaging suggest that entheses help dissipate bony interface stress concentrations away from the insertion sites [[58,](#page-10-17) [59\]](#page-10-18). The ACL insertions form a simple enthesis organ with an articular cartilage/fbrocartilage covering, adjacent subchondral bone, and the intervening knee joint cavity. When stress is dissipated away from a bony insertion because of efective enthesis function, pathologic changes may occur at or adjacent to the ACL insertions. Much remains to be learned about the potential for better replicating natural enthesis organ function post-ACL repair, compared to reconstruction.

Native neurovascular cruciate ligament anatomy

The major vascular contributions to the native ACL and PCL occurs at the proximal and distal ends, with minimal central vascularization [[60,](#page-10-19) [61\]](#page-10-20). Blood supply is primarily provided by middle genicular artery branches which arise from the popliteal artery, penetrate the caudal joint capsule, and pass craniodistally to the fossa intercondylaris, running cranially between the cruciate ligaments. Cruciate ligament blood supply is primarily of soft tissue origin with negligible osseous contributions. Blood supply is also provided by the medial and lateral genicular arteries, the infrapatellar fat pad, the synovial membranes that ensheath the cruciate ligaments and extensive extra- and intra-ligamentous anastomoses (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0).

The ACL and PCL insertions are also neurosensory critical areas $[11, 13, 62-75]$ $[11, 13, 62-75]$ $[11, 13, 62-75]$ $[11, 13, 62-75]$ $[11, 13, 62-75]$ $[11, 13, 62-75]$ $[11, 13, 62-75]$ $[11, 13, 62-75]$ with high concentrations of Pacinian (rapid movement), Ruffinian (stretch), Golgilike (tension) mechanoreceptors, and free nerve endings (pain) [[63](#page-10-23), [65](#page-10-24), [66,](#page-10-25) [76\]](#page-11-0). This complex population of ACL, PCL, CL and meniscal mechanoreceptors provides the central nervous system with information on knee position, movement, and noxious events [\[11,](#page-9-23) [70](#page-10-26), [77](#page-11-1)[–79\]](#page-11-2). In addition to transmitting aferent neural signals, nerve branches, primarily from the posterior articular nerve, regulate cruciate ligament vascular dilation [[13](#page-9-5), [52](#page-10-12)]. The main articular nerve bundles are located at the stifer, femoral end of the cruciate ligaments in association with mechanoreceptors that are highly sensitive to subtle movement positioning errors [\[13,](#page-9-5) [72,](#page-10-27) [74,](#page-10-28) [80](#page-11-3), [81](#page-11-4)]. The middle third of the cruciate ligaments has lower mechanoreceptor density [[11](#page-9-23), [69](#page-10-29), [76,](#page-11-0) [82](#page-11-5)]. Other nerves contribute cruciate ligament aferent fbres to a variable extent. Axons radiate toward the centre of the cruciate liga-ments from the richly vascular, peripheral synovium [\[13,](#page-9-5) [75](#page-10-22)]. Within the cruciate ligaments, most nerves course along the epi- and endoligamentous blood vessels in the inter-fascicular areolar spaces. As per Hilton's Law [[83](#page-11-6)], nerves that innervate knee region muscles contribute sen-sory branches to adjacent knee CL tissues [[13](#page-9-5), [46](#page-10-6), [71,](#page-10-30) [83](#page-11-6)]. Intramuscular articular nerves occur more frequently in muscles above the knee joint than below it, and are more common in extensor than flexor muscles [[76](#page-11-0)]. These articular nerve dispositions are extremely variable between individuals and no consistent pattern has been identifed.

A cruciate ligament-mediated neuromuscular refex can help protect the knee from injury at motion extremes [\[10](#page-9-4), [13,](#page-9-5) [69](#page-10-29), [70](#page-10-26), [74,](#page-10-28) [84,](#page-11-7) [85](#page-11-8)]. Through this refex, the cruciate ligament sensory system modifes adjacent muscle stifness to increase dynamic knee stability [[10,](#page-9-4) [11\]](#page-9-23). This is not conscious perception, but rather it represents a central and peripheral nervous system-mediated reaction to mechanically evoked sensory signals. Even moderate cruciate ligament stretching may induce major neuromuscular joint stifness and intersegmental lower extremity coordination changes [\[10](#page-9-4), [11](#page-9-23)]. Neuromuscularly mediated dynamic knee stability reduces CL laxity and increases extrinsic knee joint resistance to de-stabilizing movements. This process becomes impaired with the interruption of aferent impulses from injured CL structures. Loss of joint mechanoreception is part of the degenerative knee OA process [[86\]](#page-11-9). Any combination of repetitive or highload tibiofemoral joint rolling, rocking, and translational movements may impair cruciate ligament, meniscus and CL tissue function. Localized, primary CL microtrauma may not initially appear to be clinically signifcant, but with repetitive, progressively larger lesions, or with sudden rupture, biomechanical and neurosensory functions are likely compromised in direct relationship to lesion severity and chronicity [[11,](#page-9-23) [72\]](#page-10-27). Poorly understood functional signifcance, path intricacy and network variability has led to ACL reconstruction procedures not routinely attempting to preserve ligament neurosensory function [\[87](#page-11-10)].

Knee capsuloligamentous stability, mechanoreception, and lesion progression

Variable CL structures neutralize forces resisting knee laxity at diferent positions [\[88](#page-11-11), [89\]](#page-11-12). If unrestricted, increased knee fexion is accompanied by increased tibial internal rotation. As the knee fexes, the cruciate ligaments wrap upon each other, and spiral upon themselves. Higher cruciate ligament strain limits the magnitude of tibial internal rotation on the femur. The cruciate ligaments become primary CL restraints to excess movement if there is loss of collateral ligament support. Joint compression and neuromuscular activation greatly assist the joint stability provided by CL tissues. The cruciate ligaments sequentially tighten through full knee fexion–extension in direct relationship to their anatomic locations and orientations within the joint. Diferent fbres within both cruciate ligaments are stressed with the multi-axial stresses of normal knee function and range of motion. Adjacent intra- and peri-articular tissues act in synchrony as motion constraints in various movement planes (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)a–c).

Progressive mechanical overload weakens the crimped collagen fbril pattern observed in seemingly healthy cruciate ligaments and further tensile loading causes fascicle disruption. Excessive collagen remodelling predisposes the cruciate ligaments to increased laxity and neurosensory impairment. Joint infammation and ischemia further contribute to matrix changes and to the neurovascular mechanisms that may be linked to the pathophysiology of cruciate ligament disease [\[13](#page-9-5), [52,](#page-10-12) [61](#page-10-20)]. Blood supply to the cruciate ligament core is already marginal and tissue hypoxia associated with micro-injury further weakens the ligament midsubstance decreasing the possibility of any healing bridge formation across the injury site [\[52](#page-10-12), [61](#page-10-20)]. Because healthy cruciate ligaments are enveloped in a protective synovium layer, they are extra-synovial. Therefore, the collagen tissue that contributes to their biomechanical strength is normally obscured from immunologic surveillance. However, when

Fig. 2 a In conjunction with natural joint arthrology and peripheral capsuloligamentous tissues, the ACL, PCL and menisci form an intricate biomechanical and neurosensory organ with reciprocating cruciate and capsuloligamentous tension that occurs with normal knee motion. **b** *Shaded regions* represent increased tissue tension in the anteromedial ACL bundle, anterolateral ligament, lateral collateral ligament, arcuate ligament complex, posterior oblique ligament, and anterolateral PCL bundle as the knee moves from relative extension-external rotation to 90° fexion-internal rotation. **c** *Shaded regions* represent increased tissue tension in the medial collateral ligament, the posterolateral ACL bundle, the arcuate ligament complex, and the posteromedial PCL bundle as the knee moves from relative fexion-internal rotation to −20° extension-external rotation

Moving from relative Extension + External Rotation to 90° Flexion + Internal Rotation

Moving from relative Flexion + Internal Rotation to -20° Extension + External Rotation

this synovial layer is violated as with injury, the exposed collagen may act as a self-antigen, counteracting any tissue healing response. When this occurs, seemingly isolated and uniplanar micro-traumatic cruciate ligament lesions may progress to more multi-planar, multi-directional, macrotraumatic lesions and generalized knee joint impairments or sudden failure. This, in combination with CL injury may impair both biomechanical and neurosensory knee function.

Capsuloligamentous system (somatosensory aference)

The posterior articular nerve transmits signals to the posterior tibial and sciatic nerves before synapsing at the lumbar dorsal root ganglions entering the spinal cord between lumbar vertebral level 4 and sacral vertebral level 3 (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0) [\[90](#page-11-13), [91\]](#page-11-14). Axons then ascend the spinal cord within the fasciculus gracilis (dorsal columns) and synapse at the nucleus gracilis in the caudal medulla before traversing across the arcuate fbres and ascending up through the brainstem and synapsing within the somatosensory cortex of the brain.

The ACL: brain linkage

Somatosensory information from the knee is integrated with information from the adjacent lower extremity, other body regions, and exteroreceptors (visual, auditory, vestibular systems) to generate a detailed, multi-modal central or "cortical" representation map [\[92](#page-11-15)]. Studies of systemic neurophysiological dysfunction and central nervous system reorganization associated with peripheral joint injury is a growing and rapidly changing research area [\[86](#page-11-9), [93](#page-11-16)[–101](#page-11-17)]. New discoveries in this area are occurring at a rapid rate, and innovative measurement methodologies are becoming more clinically accessible and relevant.

Capsuloligamentous system and neuromuscular control (eference)

The brain cortex motor region creates a motor plan or program designed to optimize the eferent response directly based on the aggregate aferent information that is received. In addition to motor signals transmitted from the primary motor cortex, the premotor cortex provides modulatory, efferent signal refinement while the supplementary motor area supports postural control, bilateral movement coordination, and movement sequence control [\[92](#page-11-15), [102](#page-11-18)]. Motor signals are transmitted from the primary motor cortex (pyramidal cells in layer V) descending through the posterior limb of the internal capsule, coursing midline to the medullary pyramids, descending via the cortico-spinal tract, and synapsing with lower motor neurons in the anterior horn of the spinal cord (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)). Motor signals are then transmitted from lumbar vertebral level 2 to sacral vertebral

Fig. 3 The neurosensory system transmits aferent information from the knee to the primary and secondary somatosensory cortex located in the posterior parietal region of the brain

Fig. 4 The neuromotor system transmits efferent information from the primary motor cortex, to the knee region and adjacent lower extremity musculature. The premotor cortex and supplementary motor area provide associated modulatory functions

level 2 to provide motor function to the knee region and adjacent regions beyond the scope of this review.

ACL‑brain linkage impairment

With progressive biomechanical and neurosensory impairments, the sensory cortex of the brain receives vastly diferent aferent transmissions from the knee. In receiving altered afferent contributions, the sensory cortex transmits less accurate three-dimensional knee position and/or movement information to the motor cortex. Because of this, the central representation or "cortical map" of aferent neurosensory signals transmitted to the sensory cortex changes, sharing vastly diferent aferent transmissions with the motor cortex [\[38,](#page-10-0) [103,](#page-11-19) [104\]](#page-11-20). With these changes, there may be a greater tendency for the neuromuscular system to evoke maladaptive compensations throughout both the involved and the contralateral lower extremities [[39,](#page-10-1) [95–](#page-11-21)[97,](#page-11-22) [101,](#page-11-17) [105](#page-11-23), [106](#page-11-24)]. Absent or signifcantly altered neurosensory signals from the knee likely propagate a modifed motor plan that may bypass or otherwise not focus as strictly on knee region contributions. In the presence of impaired aferent feedback, the modifed motor plan likely places greater reliance on aferent information from adjacent, intact neurosensory joints such as the hip and ankle-subtalar joint complex, tending to upregulate more proximal composite lower extremity neuromuscular function through the hip and perhaps also more distally through the ankle/subtalar joints. These motor plan modifcations enable continued function; however, they also contribute to the development of compensatory movement patterns [[36](#page-9-18), [37,](#page-9-24) [106](#page-11-24)]. These compensations may become manifest in the modifed kinematic, kinetic, and EMG characteristics associated with imbalanced hip–knee–ankle/subtalar joint function. Reduced knee aference, particularly from the injured ACL, produces a concomitant decrease in quadriceps femoris and gastrocnemius fast twitch muscle fbre volume and neuromuscular activation [[14,](#page-9-6) [39,](#page-10-1) [107](#page-11-25)–[109\]](#page-11-26) in addition to decreased hamstring muscle group activation responsiveness [[110](#page-11-27)]. Associated with this, fast twitch, power generating quadriceps femoris and gastrocnemius muscle fbres atrophy and a functional bias is directed toward greater activation of more postural slow twitch muscle fbres to enable continued performance of less demanding movements in the presence of chronic knee laxity and impaired CL neurosensory acuity [\[14,](#page-9-6) [108,](#page-11-28) [109](#page-11-26), [111\]](#page-11-29). In the absence of optimal quadriceps femoris and gastrocnemius fast twitch muscle fbre function, hip extensor/external rotator neuromuscular activation may be upregulated to increase the direct control of frontal plane hip position and the indirect control of transverse plane knee internal–external rotation through the long axis of the femur, thereby increasing indirect control of sagittal plane knee fexion–extension positioning [\[39,](#page-10-1)

[112](#page-11-30)]. Soleus muscle activation may be similarly upregulated to dynamically control long axis tibial rotation through the ankle and subtalar joints.

ACL repair versus reconstruction

The reconstructed ACL no longer transmits neurosensory information to the brain in any way similar to its premorbid state, representing a severely sensory compromised or "dead" zone to the sensory cortex. No matter what graft type, placement, fxation or drilling method, ACL or PCL reconstruction restores biomechanical function much more closely than neurosensory function. Even the fully remodelled ACL or PCL graft never restores native neurosensory tissue characteristics [\[113](#page-11-31)]. An accurate analogy might be that the knee surgeon generally performs effective carpentry (restored biomechanical function); however, no electrician (restored neurosensory function) has been hired to complete the job. Because of this diference there is a growing movement to more closely restore the natural ACL or PCL insertional enthesis, and to preserve the proximal and distal mechanoreceptor-rich regions of remaining ACL or PCL remnants and their associated neurosensory properties (particularly on the femoral side) [\[7](#page-9-1), [30](#page-9-14), [45,](#page-10-5) [114,](#page-11-32) [115](#page-12-0)]. The primary issue with current ACL reconstruction methods may not be the few millimetres of residual laxity, the couple degrees of impaired proprioception, or perceived function survey point deficits, as much as it may be the lack of central representation or "cortical mapping" that is normally provided by the healthy ACL to the brain sensory cortex [[36,](#page-9-18) [37](#page-9-24), [103,](#page-11-19) [104](#page-11-20)]. By preserving more natural entheses, native mechanoreceptor dense tissues and existing neurosensory structures (thereby reducing central representation deficits $[103, 104]$ $[103, 104]$ $[103, 104]$ $[103, 104]$, the repaired ACL has the potential to better match premorbid physiology [\[116](#page-12-1)[–118](#page-12-2)]. Post-ACL injury bone mineral density (BMD) decreases throughout the traumatized lower extremity and this decrease is most apparent in the ACL injury region [[119,](#page-12-3) [120](#page-12-4)]. Studies suggest that BMD improves following ACL reconstruction but never returns to the pre-injury condition [\[120](#page-12-4)]. From a BMD, neurosensory and neuromotor perspective, ACL injury creates somewhat permanent effects. Subchondral bone injury is a known precursor to the articular cartilage apoptosis that precedes knee OA.

Biologic ACL repair mediation

Diverse biologic mediator use such as platelet-rich plasma (PRP) [[121](#page-12-5), [122](#page-12-6)], and stem cells [\[123\]](#page-12-7) to enhance ACL healing is increasing despite limited scientifc evidence. This trend is occurring in direct association with a growing appreciation for lesion healing potential, preserving both natural ACL mechanoreceptor and enthesis function [\[51](#page-10-11), [116\]](#page-12-1). Some have recommended ACL repair augmentation with collagen-coated suture, submucosa, or magnesium ring scaffolding [\[9](#page-9-3), [46,](#page-10-6) [124,](#page-12-8) [125\]](#page-12-9). The knowledge base regarding biologically mediated tissue healing enhancement has prompted a surgical management "pendulum swing" away from a solely mechanocentric focus of ACL reconstruction to greater consideration of a biocentric repair approach [[126,](#page-12-10) [127](#page-12-11)]. Provided it can adequately simulate non-impaired biomechanical function, the more intact neurosensory system of a repaired ACL may enable quicker, more precise neuromuscular activation responses, more robust fast twitch muscle fbre viability, joint position sense and kinesthesia [[39,](#page-10-1) [96](#page-11-33), [122](#page-12-6)].

When scaffolding materials are used, it is important to discern the true balance between the support provided by the healing ACL tissue versus the scafold material, and whether or not this will change over time. Permanent scaffold material may stress shield the repaired ACL. The end goal for bracing or scafolding use should be to eventually restore natural ACL biomechanical and neurosensory physiological function. The potential for more natural physiological load transference with surgical repair may eliminate the reliance on large diameter bone tunnels, autograft harvest, and the use of large, permanent fxation devices such as screws and buttons. In combination with mechanoreceptor and enthesis preservation and biologically mediated tissue healing, use of smaller diameter bone channels and fxation devices [[125](#page-12-9)] for ACL repair may become more feasible surgical options.

As knee surgeons develop improved ACL reconstruction or repair methods, they should direct greater attention to restoring the physiological function of all knee tissues. Longitudinal BMD studies that provide evidence of more efective osseous and osteochondral remodelling for a given ACL repair or reconstruction method would suggest superior physiological load transfer through and neurosensory signals from the surgical knee. Rehabilitation strategies should likewise select movement task challenges that blend appropriate biomechanical loads and neurosensory stimulation in a manner that better facilitates tissue healing, remodelling, physiological, and psychobehavioral (increased self-efficacy, decreased kinesiophobia) function at key recovery time periods. This represents a major shift in ACL injury management philosophy and recovery expectations. Much remains to be determined about appropriate patient selection, and longterm outcome efficacy; however, several recent clinical ACL repair studies have shown promising early results [[42–](#page-10-31)[44](#page-10-4), [128](#page-12-12)[–130\]](#page-12-13).

Motor learning and dynamic knee stability

Preservation of mechanoreceptor dense ACL regions, natural footprints and entheses [[58,](#page-10-17) [59](#page-10-18), [131](#page-12-14)[–134\]](#page-12-15), synovial membrane neurovascularity, and the intricate ACL neural network provides the surgeon with many reasons to approach ACL repair or reconstruction from a tissue preservation perspective [[135](#page-12-16)]. The motor learning that occurs as patients perform directional change and sudden acceleration or deceleration movements promotes the development of efficient motor plans that are more adaptable to instantaneous and often chaotic neuromuscular control system and dynamic knee joint stability needs. The motor learning process fnetunes motor plan selection and execution with improved adaptability and efficiency. Ideally, the selected primary motor plan decreases intersegmental lower extremity movement variability and knee-specifc movement constraint, while also restoring movement task performance variability that better matches premorbid function [\[112,](#page-11-30) [136](#page-12-17)–[138](#page-12-18)].

The brain has a tremendous capacity for positively infuencing physical function [\[18,](#page-9-10) [92](#page-11-15), [139\]](#page-12-19) and much of this capacity is largely untapped by the medical, surgical and rehabilitation communities who provide care to patients following ACL injury, repair, or reconstruction [\[39,](#page-10-1) [139\]](#page-12-19). Fisher et al. [\[140\]](#page-12-20) used transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) motor-evoked potentials to measure brain activation as healthy subjects performed targeted gluteus maximus muscle training exercises. In less than 1 week, improved corticomotor excitability and inhibitory processes were observed, suggesting a strong therapeutic exercise-corticoplasticity infuence.

The goal of ACL repair or reconstruction should not solely be to alleviate knee joint instability. Rather, the goal should also be to re-establish the natural biomechanical and neurosensory function that in combination with efective rehabilitation motor learning restores physiological ACL function for neuromuscular control and dynamic knee joint stability purposes. Much remains to be learned about the true impact of ACL neurosensory and biomechanical impairment on brain function. Obtaining a better understanding of BMD changes post-injury, surgery, and rehabilitation, and focused research on sensorimotor cortical integration may provide the most revealing information about the true capacity for ACL repair to more efectively re-establish physiological function. Improving this understanding will help make therapeutic exercise movement task selection more precise, develop more physiologically and psychologically sound evidence-based rehabilitation programs [[18](#page-9-10), [39\]](#page-10-1), and implement innovative, clinically applicable measurement strategies that better validate the patient's true ability to safely return to intense athletic activities at a high frequency without reduced performance capability.

The path to improved future patient outcomes

Historically, knee surgeons and rehabilitation clinicians have focused primarily on reducing knee laxity and normalizing quadriceps femoris and hamstring muscle group strength post-ACL surgery [\[98](#page-11-34)]. Identifcation of direct ACL load–thigh muscle tone relationships has set the stage for an evolving focus on peripheral and central neural infuences on physiological knee function [\[79](#page-11-2), [105](#page-11-23), [141](#page-12-21)[–146](#page-12-22)]. Sensorimotor cruciate ligament attributes are more susceptible to injury than their biomechanical properties [\[147](#page-12-23)]. Research has shown that direct electrical stimulation to a healthy ACL activates a hamstring reflex [\[110](#page-11-27), [147](#page-12-23)[–151](#page-12-24)]. Both in vivo animal [[149,](#page-12-25) [152](#page-13-0)] and human [\[153](#page-13-1)[–155](#page-13-2)] studies have identifed likely permanent protective neuromuscular refex impairments over varying time periods following ACL reconstruction. These deficiencies may be primarily due to cortical sensorimotor impairments rather than the complete absence of ACL-neuromuscular refexes [[47,](#page-10-7) [149,](#page-12-25) [153](#page-13-1)].

The ACL injury research evolution has progressed to directly measuring brain cortex sensorimotor characteristics [[156\]](#page-13-3), changes in patient psychobehaviors [\[18](#page-9-10)], and changes in intersegmental joint movement coordination variability and knee joint constraint properties [[43,](#page-10-32) [136](#page-12-17)[–138](#page-12-18), [157](#page-13-4)]. Using functional MRI, Shanahan et al. [\[86](#page-11-9)] reported that subjects with knee OA had more anterior brain cortical representation loci, had poorer knee task performance, and substituted ankle for knee motor cortex representations more than a healthy control group. Pietrosimone et al. [[158\]](#page-13-5) used transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) to compare vastus medialis active motor threshold, Hofman refex:muscle response ratio (H:M ratio), and voluntary activation diferences between patients at 48.1 ± 36 months post-ACL reconstruction (BPTB or hamstring autografts) and a healthy control group. The active motor threshold was higher in the injured than in the uninjured lower extremity of the ACL reconstructed group and it was also higher than the matched lower extremity of the control group. The H:M ratio was bilaterally higher in the ACL reconstructed group compared with the control group. They concluded that higher involved side vastus medialis activation thresholds among ACL reconstructed patients represented post-surgical corticomotor deficits, while higher bilateral H:M ratios represented a strategy to increase refex excitability. In a similar study, Kuenze et al. [\[96](#page-11-33)] identifed quadriceps femoris function and cortical excitability measurement asymmetries between patients at 31.5 ± 23.5 months (range=7–80 months) post-ACL

reconstruction using hamstring or BPTB autografts and a healthy control group. They concluded that measuring these asymmetries post-ACL reconstruction is an essential step to better understanding long-term self-reported function impairments and the increased rate of subse-quent knee injury. Ward et al. [[159\]](#page-13-6) used TMS to assess corticomotor excitability related to rectus femoris function among subjects at 69.5 ± 42 days post-ACL injury compared to a healthy control group. They found that the cortical silent period (measure of sub-clinical motor system disturbance) was longer at the injured side of the ACL injury group compared to the uninjured side. Baumeister et al. [[141\]](#page-12-21) used EEG to compare force sensation and cortical activation between patients at 12 ± 4.7 months post-ACL reconstruction using quadrupled hamstring autografts and healthy control group subjects as they attempted to reproduce 50% of a maximal voluntary quadriceps femoris isometric contraction. The ACL reconstructed group had increased anterior cingulate brain cortical activity and higher frontal Theta power when the surgical side attempted force reproduction, suggesting proprioceptive impairment. In a case–control study, Grooms et al. [[95\]](#page-11-21) used brain function MRI during knee fexion–extension to compare a patient post-ACL reconstruction using a BPTB autograft with a healthy, control subject. Measurements were taken after return to sports (10 months post-injury), 26 days prior to sustaining a contralateral ACL injury. The ACL-injured patient had bilaterally increased motor planning, sensory processing, and visual motor control brain cortex area activation compared to the control subject. The authors concluded that altered neurophysiological function may have contributed to the primary knee injury, and that bilateral neuroplasticity post-ACL injury was associated with increased bilateral knee injury risk. Using EMG and TMS methods, Lepley et al. [\[97](#page-11-22)] compared the quadriceps femoris cortico-spinal excitability and spinal-refex excitability of patients before and after ACL reconstruction (BPTB or hamstring autograft) compared to a healthy control group. Patients had decreased bilateral spinal-refex excitability compared to the control group before surgery and at 2 weeks post-surgery. Patients also had higher active motor thresholds at 6 months post-surgery in both lower extremities. They concluded that rehabilitation should target spinal-refex excitability early post-ACL reconstruction and cortico-spinal excitability later.

To achieve better outcomes [\[49](#page-10-9), [50\]](#page-10-10), future research needs to improve how to match the individual patient to a specifc procedure (conservative care, partial repair, full repair, partial reconstruction, full reconstruction) and rehabilitation progression [\[34](#page-9-22), [135,](#page-12-16) [160\]](#page-13-7). Remnant typing [\[115](#page-12-0)], mechanoreceptor viability assessments [\[161](#page-13-8)], neurosensory recovery potential determination [\[95](#page-11-21), [97\]](#page-11-22) and scafold support augmentation needs [\[116](#page-12-1)[–118](#page-12-2), [127\]](#page-12-11)

will help determine repair viability. In addition to restoring peak lower extremity strength–power [[40,](#page-10-2) [121,](#page-12-5) [162](#page-13-9)], and improving thigh and calf girth (fast twitch muscle fbre integrity) [\[107](#page-11-25), [162](#page-13-9)], verifcation of more normal sensorimotor brain communication [\[36](#page-9-18), [37,](#page-9-24) [159](#page-13-6)] and sensory–visual aspects of motor function and motor learning adaptations [\[39](#page-10-1), [94,](#page-11-35) [140,](#page-12-20) [163](#page-13-10), [164](#page-13-11)] during therapeutic exercise performance will become essential elements of outcome assessment. Neurosensory and psychobehavioral aspects of recovery [[18,](#page-9-10) [22,](#page-9-11) [100,](#page-11-36) [165](#page-13-12)] including improved dynamic knee joint constraint and more natural motor control variability [[43,](#page-10-32) [136–](#page-12-17)[138\]](#page-12-18) must be restored. Long-term, longitudinal measurements of these important recovery factors will better elucidate the most effective path to complete functional recovery and validate the true efficacy of ACL injury care.

Conclusion

Surgical restoration of a more physiologically sound knee joint may be essential to solving the osteoarthritis dilemma. Innovative rehabilitative strategies and outcome measurement methodologies using more holistic and clinically relevant measurements that closely link biomechanical and neurosensory characteristics of physiological ACL function with task-specifc patient physical function and psychobehavioral factors should better delineate the true efficacy of all ACL surgical and non-surgical interventions.

Compliance with ethical standards

Confict of interest The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

Funding This study received no funding to complete.

Ethical approval This study did not require ethics approval at its institution.

Informed consent As there was no individual participants in this study informed consent was not required.

References

- 1. Claes S, Vereecke E, Maes M, Victor J, Verdonk P, Bellemans J (2013) Anatomy of the anterolateral ligament of the knee. J Anat 223(4):321–328
- 2. Daggett M, Claes S, Helito CP et al (2016) The role of the anterolateral structures and the ACL in controlling laxity of the intact and ACL-deficient knee: letter to the editor. Am J Sports Med 44(4):NP14–15e
- 3. Ferretti A, Monaco E, Fabbri M, Maestri B, De Carli A (2017) Prevalence and classifcation of injuries of

anterolateral complex in acute anterior cruciate ligament tears. Arthroscopy 33:147–154

- 4. Hewison CE, Tran MN, Kaniki N, Remtulla A, Bryant D, Getgood AM (2015) Lateral extra-articular tenodesis reduces rotational laxity when combined with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review of the literature. Arthroscopy 31:2022–2034
- 5. Kennedy MI, Claes S, Fuso FA et al (2015) The anterolateral ligament: an anatomic, radiographic, and biomechanical analysis. Am J Sports Med 43:1606–1615
- 6. Roessler PP, Schüttler KF, Heyse TJ, Wirtz DC, Efe T (2016) The anterolateral ligament (ALL) and its role in rotational extra-articular stability of the knee joint: a review of anatomy and surgical concepts. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 136:305–313
- 7. Muneta T, Koga H, Young-Jin J, Horie M, Nakamura T, Sekiya I (2013) Remnant volume of anterior cruciate correlates preoperative patients' status and postoperative outcome. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 21:906–913
- 8. Ochi M, Murao T, Sumen Y, Kobayashi K, Adachi N (1999) Isolated posterior cruciate ligament insufficiency induces morphological changes of anterior cruciate ligament collagen fbrils. Arthroscopy 15:292–296
- 9. Anoka N, Nyland J, McGinnis M, Lee D, Doral MN, Caborn DN (2012) Consideration of growth factors and bio-scafolds for treatment of combined grade II MCL and ACL injury. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 20:878–888
- 10. Johansson H, Sjolander P, Sojka P et al (1989) Refex actions on the gamma muscle spindle systems of muscles acting at the knee joint elicited by stretch of the posterior cruciate ligament. Neuro Orthoped 8:9–21
- 11. Johansson H, Sjolander P, Sojka P (1991) A sensory role for the cruciate ligaments. Clin Orthop Relat Res 268:161–178
- 12. Kennedy JC, Alexander IJ, Hayes KC (1982) Nerve supply of the human knee and its functional importance. Am J Sports Med 10:329–335
- 13. Kennedy JC, Weinberg HW, Wilson AS (1974) The anatomy and function of the anterior cruciate ligament. As determined by clinical and morphological studies. J Bone Joint Surg Am 56:223–235
- 14. Mall NA, Chalmers PN, Moric M et al (2014) Incidence and trends of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in the United States. Am J Sports Med 42:2363–2370
- 15. McLean SG (2008) The ACL injury enigma: we can't prevent what we don't understand. J Athl Train 43:538–540
- 16. Rodriguez-Merchan EC (2015) Evidence-based ACL reconstruction. Arch Bone Jt Surg 3:9–12
- 17. Feagin JA, Pierce CM, Geyer MR (2013) ACL primary repair: what we did, the results, and how it helps today to tailor treatments to the patient and the pathology. Chapter 8. In: Sanchis-Alfonso V, Monllau JC (eds) The ACL-defcient knee. Springer-Verlag, London, pp 97–104
- 18. Ardern CL, Taylor NF, Feller JA, Whitehead TS, Webster KE (2013) Psychological responses matter in returning to preinjury level of sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery. Am J Sports Med 41:1549–1558
- 19. Holm I, Oistad BE, Risberg MA, Aune AK (2010) No difference in knee function or prevalence of osteoarthritis after reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament with 4-strand hamstring autograft versus patellar tendon-bone autograft: a randomized study with 10-year follow-up. Am J Sports Med 38:448–454
- 20. Mai HT, Alvarez AP, Freshman RD et al (2016) The NFL Orthopaedic Surgery Outcomes Database (NO-SOD): the efect of common orthopaedic procedures on football careers. Am J Sports Med 44:2255–2262
- 21. Oiestand BE, Holm I, Aune AK et al (2010) Knee function and prevalence of knee osteoarthritis after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a prospective study with 10 to 15 years of follow-up. Am J Sports Med 38:2201–2210
- 22. Tjong VK, Murnaghan L, Nyhof-Young JM, Ogilvie-Harris DJ (2014) A qualitative investigation of the decision to return to sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: to play or not to play. Am J Sports Med 42:336–342
- 23. Aglietti P, Giron F, Cuomo P, Losco M, Mondanelli N (2007) Single- and double-bundle incision double-bundle ACL reconstruction. Clin Orthop Relat Res 454:108–113
- 24. Crawford C, Nyland J, Landes S, Jackson R, Chang HC, Nawab A, Caborn DN (2007) Anatomic double bundle ACL reconstruction: a literature review. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 15:946–964
- 25. Jarvela T (2007) Double bundle versus single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 15:500–507
- 26. Kondo E, Yasuda K, Azuma H, Tanabe Y, Yagi T (2008) Prospective clinical comparisons of anatomic double-bundle versus single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction procedures in 328 consecutive patients. Am J Sports Med 36:1675–1687
- 27. Muneta T, Koga H, Mochizuki T et al (2007) A prospective randomized study of 4-strand semitendinosus tendon anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction comparing single-bundle and double-bundle techniques. Arthroscopy 23:618–628
- 28. Woo SL, Kanamori A, Zeminski J, Yagi M, Papageorgiou C, Fu FH (2002) The efectiveness of reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament with hamstrings and patellar tendon. A cadaveric study comparing anterior tibial and rotational loads. J Bone Joint Surg Am 84:907–914
- 29. Yagi M, Kuroda R, Nagamune K, Yoshiya S, Kurosaka R (2007) Double-bundle ACL reconstruction can improve rotational stability. Clin Orthop Relat Res 454:100–107
- 30. Koga H, Muneta T, Yagishita K et al (2015) Evaluation of a behind-remnant approach for femoral tunnel creation in remnant-preserving double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction—comparison with standard approach. Knee 22:249–255
- 31. Kurosawa H (1997) Conservative repair for acute anterior cruciate ligament injury. In: Niwa S, Yoshino S, Kurosaka M, Shino K, Yamamoto S (eds) Reconstruction of the knee joint. Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, pp 28–35
- 32. Colombet P, Dejour D, Panisset JC, Siebold R (2010) The French Arthroscopy Society: current concept of partial anterior cruciate ligament ruptures. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 965:S109–S118
- 33. Lee BI, Min KD, Choi HS et al (2009) Immunohistochemical study of mechanoreceptors in the tibial remnant of the ruptured anterior cruciate ligament in human knees. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 17:1095–1101
- 34. Siebold R, Fu FH (2008) Assessment and augmentation of symptomatic anteriomedial and posterolateral bundle tears of the anterior cruciate ligament. Arthroscopy 24:1289–1298
- 35. Yasuda K, Kondo E, Kitamura N, Kawaguchi Y, Kai S, Tanabe Y (2012) A pilot study of antatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with ligament remnant tissue preservation. Arthroscopy 28:343–353
- 36. Kapreli E, Athanasopoulos S (2006) The anterior cruciate ligament deficiency as a model of brain plasticity. Med Hypotheses 67:645–650
- 37. Kapreli E, Athanasopoulos S, Gliatis J et al (2009) Anterior cruciate ligament defciency causes brain plasticity: a functional MRI study. Am J Sports Med 37:2419–2426
- 39. Nyland J, Mattocks A, Kibbe S, Kalloub A, Greene JW, Caborn DN (2016) Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, rehabilitation, and return to play: 2015 update. Open Access J Sports Med 7:21–32
- 40. Pietrosimone B, Lepley AS, Harkey MS et al (2016) Quadriceps strength predicts self-reported function post ACL reconstruction. Med Sci Sports Exerc 48:1671–1677
- 41. Steadman JR, Matheny LM, Briggs KK, Rodkey WG, Carreira DS (2012) Outcomes following healing response in older, active patients: a primary anterior cruciate ligament repair technique. J Knee Surg 25:255–260
- 42. Büchler L, Regli D, Evangelopoulos DS et al (2016) Functional recovery following primary ACL repair with dynamic intraligamentary stabilization. Knee 23:549–553
- 43. Kohl S, Evangelopoulos DS, Schär MO et al (2016) Dynamic intraligamentary stabilisation: initial experience with treatment of acute ACL ruptures. Bone Joint J 98-B:793–798
- 44. Smith JO, Yasen SK, Palmer HC, Lord BR, Britton EM, Wilson AJ (2016) Paediatric ACL repair reinforced with temporary internal bracing. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 24:1845–1851
- 45. Koga H, Muneta T, Yagishita K, Ju YJ, Sekiya I (2012) Surgical management of grade 3 medial knee injuries combined with cruciate ligament injuries. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 20:88–94
- 46. Nguyen DT, Dellbrügge S, Tak PP, Woo SL, Blankevoort L, van Dijk NC (2015) Histological characteristics of ligament healing after bio-enhanced repair of the transected goat ACL. J Exp Orthop 2:4. doi[:10.1186/s40634-015-0021-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40634-015-0021-5)
- 47. Angoules AG (2013) Anterior cruciate ligament mechanoreceptors regeneration following reconstruction using autografts. J Sports Med Doping Stud 3:e136. doi:[10.4172/2161-0673.1000e136](http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2161-0673.1000e136)
- 48. Ochi M, Iwasa J, Uchio Y, Adachi N, Sumen Y (1999) The regeneration of sensory neurones in the reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. J Bone Joint Surg Br 81:902–906
- 49. Collins NJ, Misra D, Felson DT, Crossley KM, Roos E (2011) Measures of knee function: International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) Subjective Knee Evaluation form, Knee Injury and Osteo-arthritis Outcome Score (KOOS), Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score Physical Function Short Form (KOOS-PS), Knee Outcome Survey Activities of Daily Living Scale (KOS-ADL), Lysholm Knee Scoring Scale, Oxford Knee Score (OKS), Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), Activity Rating Scale (ARS), and Tegner Activity Score (TAS). Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 63:S208–S228
- 50. Irrgang JJ (2008) Current status of measuring clinical outcomes after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: are we good enough? Oper Tech Sports Med 16:119–124
- 51. Vavken P, Murray MM (2011) The potential for primary repair of the ACL. Sports Med Arthrosc 19:44–49
- 52. Arnoczky SP (1983) Anatomy of the anterior cruciate ligament. Clin Orthop Relat Res 172:19–25
- 53. Sasaki N, Ishibashi Y, Tsuda E et al (2012) The femoral insertion of the anterior cruciate ligament: discrepancy between macroscopic and histological observations. Arthroscopy 28:1135–1146
- 54. Siebold R, Schuhmacher P, Fernandez F et al (2015) Flat midsubstance of the anterior cruciate ligament with tibial "C" shaped insertion site. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 23:3136–3142
- 55. Śmigielski R, Zdanowicz U, Drwięga M, Ciszek B, Ciszkowska-Łysoń B, Siebold R (2015) Ribbon like appearance of the midsubstance fbres of the anterior cruciate ligament close to its femoral insertion site: a cadaveric study including 111 knees. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 23:3143–3150
- 56. Tsukada H, Ishibashi Y, Tsuda E, Fukuda A, Toh S (2008) Anatomical analysis of the anterior cruciate ligament femoral and tibial footprints. J Orthop Sci 13:122–129
- 57. Azangwe G, Mathias KJ, Marshall D (2000) Macro and microscopic examination of the ruptured surfaces of the anterior cruciate ligament of rabbits. J Bone Joint Surg Br 82:450–456
- 58. Benjamin M, Moriggi B, Brenner E, Emergy P, McGonagle D, Redman S (2004) The "Enthesis Organ" concept: why enthesopathies may not present as focal insertional disorders. Arthritis Rheum 50:3306–3313
- 59. Benjamin M, McGonagle D (2001) The anatomical basis for disease localization in seronegative spondyloarthropathy at entheses and related sites. J Anat 199:503–526
- 60. Arnoczky SP (1985) Blood supply to the anterior cruciate ligament and supporting structures. Orthop Clin N Am 16:15–28
- 61. Toy BJ, Yeasting RA, Morse DE, McCann P (1995) Arterial supply to the human anterior cruciate ligament. J Athl Train 30:149–152
- 62. De Rooster H, De Bruin T, Van Bree H (2006) Morphologic and functional features of the canine cruciate ligaments. Vet Surg 35:769–780
- 63. Dhillon MS., Ball K, Prabhakar S (2012) Diferences among mechanoreceptors in healthy and injured anterior cruciate ligaments and their clinical importance. Muscles Ligaments Tendon J 2:38–43
- 64. Franchi A, Zaccherotti G, Aglietti P (1995) Neural system of the human posterior cruciate ligament in osteoarthritis. J Arthroplasty 10:679–682
- 65. Halata Z, Haus J (1989) The ultrastructure of sensory endings in human anterior cruciate ligament. Anat Embryol 179:415–421
- 66. Hogervorst T, Brand RA (1998) Mechanoreceptors in joint function. J Bone Joint Surg Am 80:1365–1378
- 67. Katonis P, Papouisidakis A, Aligizakis, Tzanakakis G, Kontakis GM, Papagelopoulos PJ (2008) Mechanoreceptors of the posterior cruciate ligament. J Int Med Res 36:387–393
- 68. Katonis PG, Assimakopoulos AP, Agapitos MV, Exarchou EI (1991) Mechanoreceptors in the posterior cruciate ligament: histologic study on cadaver knees. Acta Orthop Scand 62:276–278
- 69. Krauspe R, Schmidt M, Schaible H-G (1992) Sensory innervations of the anterior cruciate ligament. J Bone Joint Surg Am 74:390–397
- 70. Miyatsu M, Atsuta Y, Watakabe M (1993) The physiology of mechanoreceptors in the anterior cruciate ligament. An experimental study in decerebrate-spinalised animals. J Bone Joint Surg Br 75:653–657
- 71. Nyland J, Brosky T, Currier D, Nitz A, Caborn D (1994) Review of the aferent neural system of the knee and its contribution to motor learning. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 19:2–11
- 72. O'Connor BL, Woodbury P (1982) The primary articular nerves to the dogs knee. J Anat 134:563–572
- 73. Petersen W, Tillmann B (1999) Structure and vascularization of the cruciate ligaments of the human knee joint. Anat Embryol 200:325–334
- 74. Schultz RA, Miller DC, Kerr CS et al (1984) Mechanoreceptors in human cruciate ligaments: a histological study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 66:1072–1076
- 75. Yahia LH, Newman NW, St-Georges M (1992) Innervation of the canine cruciate ligaments. A neurohistological study. Anat Histol Embryol 21:1–8
- 76. Freeman MAR, Wyke B (1967) The innervation of the knee joint. An anatomical and histological study in the cat. J Anat 101:505–532
- 77. Adachi N, Ochi M, Uchio Y, Iwasa J, Ryoke K, Kuriwaka M (2002) Mechanoreceptors in the anterior cruciate ligament contribute to the joint position sense. Acta Orthop Scand 73:330–334
- 78. Godinho P, Nicoliche E, Cossich V, de Sousa EB, Velaques B, Salles JI (2014) Proprioceptive deficit in patients with complete tearing of the anterior cruciate ligament. Rev Bras Ortop 49:613–618
- 79. Sjolander P, Johansson H, Djupsjobacka M (2002) Spinal and superaspinal effects of activity in ligament afferents. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 12:167–176
- 80. O'Connor BL, Seipel J (1983) Anatomical variations of the posterior articular nerve to the cat knee joint. J Anat 136:27–34
- 81. Solomonow M, Krogsgaard M (2001) Sensorimotor control of knee stability. A review. Scan J Med Sci Sports 11:64–80
- 82. Biedert RM, Staufer E, Friederich NF (1992) Occurrence of free nerve endings in the soft tissue of the knee joint. A histologic investigation. Am J Sports Med 20:430–433
- 83. Hebert-Blouin M-N, Tubbs RS, Carmichael SW, Spinner RJ (2014) Hilton's law revisited. Clin Anat 27:548–555
- 84. Biedert RM, Zwick EB (1998) Ligament-muscle refex arc after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: electromyographic evaluation. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 118:81–84
- 85. Gomez-Barrena E, Bonsflls N, Martin JG, Ballesteros-Masso R, Foruria A, Nunez-Molina A (2008) Insufficient recovery of neuromuscular activity around the knee after experimental anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Acta Orthop Scand 79:39–47
- 86. Shanahan CJ, Hodges PW, Wrigley TV, Bennell KL, Farrell MJ (2015) Organisation of the motor cortex difers between people with and without knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 17:164
- 87. Kerver ALA, Leliveld MS, den Hartog D, Verhofstad MHJ, Kleinrensink GJ (2013) The surgical anatomy of the infrapatellar branch of the saphenous nerve in relation to incisions for anteromedial knee surgery. J Bone Joint Surg Am 95:2119–2125
- 88. Noyes FR, Grood ES (1987) Classifcation of ligament injuries: why an anterolateral laxity or anteromedial laxity is not a diagnostic entity. Instr Course Lect 36:185–200
- 89. Terry GC, Hughston JC (1985) Associated joint pathology in the anterior cruciate-defcient knee with emphasis on a classifcation system and injuries to the meniscocapsular ligamentmusculotendinous unit complex. Orthop Clin N Am 16:29–39
- 90. Crystal R, Malone AA, Eastwood DM (2005) Motor points for neuromuscular blockade of the adductor muscle group. Clin Orthop Relat Res 437:196–200
- 91. Fanelli A, Ghisi D, Melotti RM (2016) An update around the evidence base for the lower extremity ultrasound regional block technique. F1000Res. doi[:10.12688/f1000research.7199.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7199.1)
- 92. Glasser MF, Coalson TS, Robinson EC et al (2016) A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. Nature. doi:[10.1038/nature18933](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature18933)
- 93. Grooms DR, Myer GD (2016) Upgraded hardware-what about the software? Brain updates for return to play following ACL reconstruction. Br J Sports Med. doi[:10.1136/bjsports-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096658)[2016-096658](http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096658)**(pii:bjsports-2016-096658, [Epub ahead of print])**
- 94. Grooms DR, Page SJ, Nichols-Larsen DS, Chaudhari AM, White SE, Onate JA (2016) Neuroplasticity associated with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 5:1–27
- 95. Grooms DR, Page SJ, Onate JA (2015) Brain activation for knee movement measured days before second anterior cruciate

ligament injury: neuroimaging in musculoskeletal medicine. J Athl Train 50:1005–1010

- 96. Kuenze CM, Hertel J, Weltman A, Diduch D, Saliba SA, Hart JM (2015) Persistent neuromuscular and corticomotor quadriceps asymmetry after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Athl Train 50:303–312
- 97. Lepley AS, Gribble PA, Thomas AC, Tevald MA, Sohn DH, Pietrosimone BG (2015) Quadriceps neural alterations in anterior cruciate ligament reconstructed patients: a 6-month longitudinal investigation. Scand J Med Sci Sports 25:828–839
- 98. Petersen W, Taheri P, Forkel P, Zantop T (2014) Return to play following ACL reconstruction: a systematic review about strength deficits. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 134:1417-1428
- 99. Swanik CB (2015) Brains and sprains: the brain's role in noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries. J Athl Train 50:1100–1102
- 100. Swanik CB, Covassin T, Stearne DJ, Schatz P (2007) The relationship between neuro-cognitive function and noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries. Am J Sports Med 35:943–948
- 101. Ward S, Pearce AJ, Pietrosimone B, Bennell K, Clark R, Bryant AL (2015) Neuromuscular deficits after peripheral nerve injury: a neurophysiological hypothesis. Muscle Nerve 51:327–332
- 102. Seidler RD (2010) Neural correlates of motor learning, transfer of learning, and learning to learn. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 38:3–9
- 103. Courtney CA, Rine RM (2006) Central somatosensory changes associated with improved dynamic balance in subjects with anterior cruciate ligament defciency. Gait Posture 24:190–195
- 104. Courtney C, Rine RM, Kroll P (2005) Central somatosensory changes and altered muscle synergies in subjects with anterior cruciate ligament defciency. Gait Posture 22:69–74
- 105. Di Stasi S, Myer GD, Hewett TE (2013) Neuromuscular training to target deficits associated with second anterior cruciate ligament injury. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 43:777–792
- 106. Harkey MS, Luc-Harkey BA, Lepley AS et al (2016) Persistent muscle inhibition after ACL reconstruction: role of refex excitability. Med Sci Sports Exerc 48:2370–2377
- 107. Kuenze C, Blemker SS, Hart JM (2016) Quadriceps function relates to muscle size following ACL reconstruction. J Orthop Res 34:1656–1662
- 108. Snyder-Mackler L, Binder-Macleod SA, Williams PR (1993) Fatigability of human quadriceps femoris muscle following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Med Sci Sports Exerc 25:783–789
- 109. Stockmar C, Lill H, Trapp A, Josten C, Punkt K (2006) Fibre type related changes in the metabolic profle and fbre diameter of human vastus medialis muscle after anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Acta Histochem 108:335–342
- 110. Beard DJ, Kyberd PJ, O'Connor JJ, Fergusson CM, Dodd CA (1994) Refex hamstring contraction latency in anterior cruciate ligament defciency. J Orthop Res 12:219–228
- 111. McHugh MP, Tyler TF, Nicholas SJ, Browne MG, Gleim GW (2001) Electromyographic analysis of quadriceps fatigue after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 31:25–32
- 112. Tsai LE, Powers CM (2013) Increased hip and knee fexion during landing decreases tibiofemoral compressive forces in women who have undergone anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 41:423–429
- 113. Claes S, Verdonk P, Forsyth R, Bellemans J (2011) The "Ligamentization" process in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: what happens to the human graft? A systematic review of the literature. Am J Sports Med 39:2476–2483
- 114. Adachi N, Ochi M, Takazawa K et al (2016) Morphologic evaluation of remnant anterior cruciate ligament bundles after injury with three-dimensional computed tomography. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 24:148–153
- 115. Muneta T, Koga H, Nakamura T, Horie M, Watanabe T, Sekiya I (2015) Behind-remnant arthroscopic observation and scoring of femoral attachment of injured anterior cruciate ligament. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 24:2906–2914
- 116. Murray MM, Fleming BC (2013) The biology of anterior cruciate ligament injury and repair: Kappa Delta Ann Doner Vaughn Award Paper 2013. J Orthop Res 31:1501–1506
- 117. Murray MM, Fleming BC (2013) Use of a bioactive scafold to stimulate ACL healing also minimizes post-traumatic osteoarthritis after surgery. Am J Sports Med 41:1762–1770
- 118. Murray MM, Spindler KP, Abreu E et al (2007) Collagenplatelet rich plasma hydrogel enhances primary repair of the porcine anterior cruciate ligament. J Orthop Res 25:81–91
- 119. García-Castellano JM, Díaz-Herrera P, Morcuende JA (2000) Is bone a target-tissue for the nervous system? New advances on the understanding of their interactions. Iowa Orthop J 20:49–58
- 120. Nyland J, Fisher B, Brand E, Krupp R, Caborn DN (2010) Osseous deficits after anterior cruciate ligament injury and reconstruction: a systematic literature review with suggestions to improve osseous homeostasis. Arthroscopy 26:1248–1257
- 121. Andriolo L, Di Matteo B, Kon E, Filardo G, Venieri G, Marcacci M (2015) PRP augmentation for ACL reconstruction. BioMed Res Int. doi[:10.1155/2015/371746](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/371746)
- 122. Vavken P, Sadoghi P, Murray MM (2011) The efect of platelet concentrates on graft maturation and graft-bone interface healing in ACL reconstruction in human patients: a systematic review of controlled trials. Arthroscopy 27:1573–1583
- 123. Steinert AF, Kunz M, Prager P et al (2011) Mesenchymal stem cell characteristics of human anterior cruciate ligament outgrowth cells. Tissue Eng Part A 17:1375–1388
- 124. Farraro KF, Sasaki N, Woo SL et al (2016) A magnesium ring device to restore function of a transected anterior cruciate ligament in the goat stife joint. J Orthop Res 34:2001–2008
- 125. Mackay GM, Blyth MJ, Anthony I, Hopper GP, Ribbans WJ (2015) A review of ligament augmentation with the Internal-Brace™: the surgical principle is described for the lateral ankle ligament and ACL repair in particular, and a comprehensive review of other surgical applications and techniques is presented. Surg Technol Int 26:239–255
- 126. Kiapour AM, Murray MM (2014) Basic science of anterior cruciate ligament repair. Bone Joint Res 3:20–31
- 127. Lubowitz JH, MacKay G, Gilmer B (2014) Knee medial collateral ligament with posteromedial corner anatomic repair with internal bracing. Arthrosc Tech 3:e505–e508
- 128. Achtnich A, Herbst E, Forkel P et al (2016) Acute proximal anterior cruciate ligament tears: outcomes after arthroscopic suture anchor repair versus anatomic single-bundle reconstruction. Arthroscopy 32:2562–2569
- 129. DiFelice GS, Villegas C, Taylor S (2015) Anterior cruciate ligament preservation: early results of a novel arthroscopic technique for suture anchor primary anterior cruciate ligament repair. Arthroscopy 31:2162–2171
- 130. Evangelopoulos DS, Kohl S, Schwienbacher S, Gantenbein B, Exadaktylos A, Ahmad SS (2015) Collagen application reduces complication rates of mid-substance ACL tears treated with dynamic intraligamentary stabilization. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc **(Epub ahead of print)**
- 131. Beaulieu ML, Carey GE, Schlecht SH, Wojtys EM, Ashton-Miller JA (2015) Quantitative comparison of the microscopic anatomy of the human ACL femoral and tibial entheses. J Orthop Res 33:1811–1817
- 132. Dai C, Guo L, Yang L, Wu Y, Gou J, Li B (2015) Regional fbrocartilage variations in human anterior cruciate ligament tibial insertion: a histological three-dimensional reconstruction. Connect Tissue Res 56:18–24
- 133. Thambyah A, Lei Z, Broom N (2014) Microanatomy of the medial collateral ligament enthesis in the bovine knee. Anat Rec (Hoboken) 297:2254–2261
- 134. Zhao L, Thambyah A, Broom ND (2014) A multi-scale structural study of the porcine anterior cruciate ligament tibial enthesis. J Anat 224:624–633
- 135. Gobbi A, Bathan L, Boldrini L (2009) Primary repair combined with bone marrow stimulation in acute anterior cruciate ligament lesions. Results in a group of athletes. Am J Sports Med 37:571–578
- 136. Gribbin TC, Slater LV, Herb CC et al (2016) Diferences in hipknee joint coupling during gait after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 32:64–71
- 137. Kiefer AW, Ford KR, Paterno MV et al (2013) TE. Inter-segmental postural coordination measures diferentiate athletes with ACL reconstruction from uninjured athletes. Gait Posture 37:149–153
- 138. Pollard CD, Stearns KM, Hayes AT, Heiderscheit BC (2015) Altered lower extremity movement variability in female soccer players during side-step cutting after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 43:460–465
- 139. Lebon F, Guillot A, Collet C (2012) Increased muscle activation following motor imagery during the rehabilitation of the anterior cruciate ligament. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback 37:45–51
- 140. Fisher BE, Southam AC, Kuo YL, Lee YY, Powers CM (2016) Evidence of altered corticomotor excitability following targeted activation of gluteus maximus training in healthy individuals. Neuroreport 27:415–421
- 141. Baumeister J, Reinecke K, Schubert M, Weiss M (2011) Altered electrocortical brain activity after ACL reconstruction during force control. J Orthop Res 29:1383–1389
- 142. Courtney CA, Rine R, Jenk DT, Collier PD, Waters A (2013) Enhanced proprioceptive acuity at the knee in the competitive athlete. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 43:422–426
- 143. Raunest J, Sager M, Burgener E (1996) Proprioceptive mechanisms in the cruciate ligaments: an electromyographic study on refex activity in the thigh muscles. J Trauma 41:488–493
- 144. Reich TE, Lindstedt SL, LaStayo PC, Pierotti DJ (2000) Is the spring quality of muscle plastic? Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 278:R1661–R1666
- 145. Valeriani M, Restuccia D, Di Lazzaro V, Franceschi F, Fabbriciani C, Tonali P (1996) Central nervous system modifcations in patients with lesion of the anterior cruciate ligament of the knee. Brain 119:1751–1762
- 146. Valeriani M, Restuccia D, Di Lazzaro V, Franceschi F, Fabbriciani C, Tonali P (1999) Clinical and neurophysiological abnormalities before and after reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament of the knee. Acta Neurol Scand 99:303–307
- 147. Fremerey R, Freitag N, Wippermann B, Stalp M, Fu FH (2006) Sensorimotor potential of the intact and injured anterior and posterior cruciate ligaments—a neurophysiological study in an animal model. Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb 144:158–163
- 148. Dyhre-Poulsen P, Krogsgaard MR (2000) Muscular refexes elicited by electrical stimulation of the anterior cruciate ligament in humans. J Appl Physiol 89:2191–2195
- 149. Krogsgaard MR, Fischer-Rasmussen T, Dyhre-Poulsen P (2011) Absence of sensory function in the reconstructed anterior cruciate ligament. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 21:82–86
- 150. Tsuda E, Ishibashi Y, Okamura Y, Toh S (2003) Restoration of anterior cruciate ligament-hamstring refex arc after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 11:63–67
- 151. Tsuda E, Okamura Y, Otsuka H, Komatsu T, Tokuya S (2001) Direct evidence of the anterior cruciate ligament-hamstring refex arc in humans. Am J Sports Med 29:83–87
- 152. Bonsflls N, Gomez-Barrena E, Raygoza JJ, Nunez A (2008) Loss of neuromuscular control related to motion in the acutely ACL-injured knee: an experimental study. Eur J Appl Physiol 104:567–577
- 153. Friemert B, Faist M, Spengler C, Gerngross H, Claes L, Melnyk M (2005) Intraoperative direct mechanical stimulation of the anterior cruciate ligament elicits short- and medium-latency hamstring refexes. J Neurophysiol 94:3996–4001
- 154. Iwasa J, Ochi M, Uchio Y, Adachi N, Kawasaki K (2006) Decrease in anterior knee laxity by electrical stimulation of normal and reconstructed anterior cruciate ligaments. J Bone Joint Surg Br 88:477–483
- 155. Ristanis S, Tsepis E, Giotis D, Stergiou N, Cerulli G, Georgoulis AD (2009) Electro-mechanical delay of the knee fexor muscles is impaired after harvesting hamstring tendons for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med 37:2179–2186
- 156. Krogsgaard MR, Dyhre-Poulsen P, Fischer-Rasmussen T (2002) Cruciate ligament refexes. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 12:177–182
- 157. Chmielewski TL, Hurd WJ, Rudolph KS, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L (2005) Perturbation training improves knee kinematics and reduces muscle co-contraction after complete unilateral anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Phys Ther 85:740–749
- 158. Pietrosimone BG, Lepley AS, Ericksen HM, Clements A, Sohn DH, Gribble PA (2015) Neural excitability alterations after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Athl Train 50:665–674
- 159. Ward SH, Pearce A, Bennell KL, Peitrosimone B, Bryant AL (2016) Quadriceps cortical adaptations in individuals with an anterior cruciate ligament injury. Knee 23:582–587
- 160. Hurd WJ, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L (2008) Infuence of age, gender, and injury mechanism on the development of dynamic knee stability after acute ACL rupture. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 38:36–41
- 161. Bali K, Dhillon MS, Vasistha RK, Kakkar N, Chana R, Prabhakar S (2012) Efficacy of immuno histological methods in detecting functionally viable mechanoreceptors in the remnant stumps of injured anterior cruciate ligaments and its clinical importance. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 20:75–80
- 162. Angelozzi M, Madama M, Corsica C et al (2012) Rate of force development as an adjunctive outcome measure for return-tosport decisions after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 42:772–780
- 163. Louw Q, Gillion N, van Niekerk SM, Morris L, Baumeister J (2015) The efect of vision on knee biomechanics during functional activities—a systematic review. J Sci Med Sport 18:469–474
- 164. Pamukoff DN, Pietrosimone B, Lewek MD et al (2016) Wholebody and local muscle vibration immediately improve quadriceps function in individuals with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 97:1121–1129
- 165. Leventer L, Dicks M, Duarte R, Davids K, Araujo D (2015) Emergence of contact injuries in invasion team sports: an ecological dynamics rationale. Sports Med 45:153–159