KNEE

Assessment of the midfexion rotational laxity in posterior‑stabilized total knee arthroplasty

Kazunori Hino1 · Tatsuhiko Kutsuna1 · Yoshio Oonishi1 · Kunihiko Watamori1 · Hiroshi Kiyomatsu¹ · Yasutake Iseki1 · Seiji Watanabe1 · Yasumitsu Ishimaru1 · Hiromasa Miura¹

Received: 14 December 2015 / Accepted: 17 May 2016 / Published online: 31 May 2016 © European Society of Sports Traumatology, Knee Surgery, Arthroscopy (ESSKA) 2016

Abstract

Purpose To evaluate changes in midfexion rotational laxity before and after posterior-stabilized (PS)-total knee arthroplasty (TKA).

Methods Twenty-nine knees that underwent PS-TKA were evaluated. Manual mild passive rotational stress was applied to the knees, and the internal–external rotational angle was measured automatically by a navigation system at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of knee fexion.

Results The post-operative internal rotational laxity was statistically signifcantly increased compared to the preoperative level at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion. The

Tatsuhiko Kutsuna kutsuna@m.ehime-u.ac.jp

Yoshio Oonishi keishomth@gmail.com

Kunihiko Watamori watamori.1010@gmail.com

Hiroshi Kiyomatsu hirokiyomatsu@gmail.com

Yasutake Iseki yasutake2257@gmail.com

Seiji Watanabe seiji-wa@m.ehime-u.ac.jp

Yasumitsu Ishimaru marup_59@yahoo.co.jp

Hiromasa Miura hmiura8@gmail.com

¹ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Ehime University Graduate School of Medicine, Shitsukawa, Toon, Ehime 790-0295, Japan

post-operative external rotational laxity was statistically signifcantly decreased compared to the preoperative level at 45° and 60° of fexion. The post-operative internal–external rotational laxity was statistically signifcantly increased compared to the preoperative level only at 30° of fexion. The preoperative and post-operative rotational laxity showed a significant correlation at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion.

Conclusion Internal–external rotational laxity increases at the initial fexion range due to resection of both the anterior or posterior cruciate ligaments and retention of the collateral ligaments in PS-TKA. Preoperative and post-operative rotational laxity indicated a signifcant correlation at the midfexion range. This study showed that a large preoperative rotational laxity increased the risk of a large postoperative laxity, especially at the initial fexion range in PS-TKA.

Level of evidence III.

Keywords Total knee arthroplasty · Soft tissue balance · Midfexion laxity · Rotational laxity · Navigation system · Posterior-stabilized

Introduction

Accurate alignment and proper soft tissue balance are crucial for a successful clinical outcome after total knee arthroplasty (TKA) [\[13](#page-5-0), [14\]](#page-5-1). Appropriate soft tissue balance is largely dependent on the surgeon's intuitive judgment during surgery, and joint instability is recognized as one of the major causes for early TKA failure [[9,](#page-4-0) [25\]](#page-5-2). Inappropriate soft tissue balance causes overload on the weightbearing surface and induces abrasive wear [\[6](#page-4-1), [10\]](#page-4-2). In addition, activities of daily living often cause mechanical load

to the knee joint in full extension and in midfexion. Midfexion stability is considered a key factor for improving the outcome of TKA.

Intraoperative laxity has been measured using various methods [\[7](#page-4-3), [18](#page-5-3)[–20](#page-5-4), [23\]](#page-5-5). However, there is a paucity of research on how to best evaluate midfexion laxity intraoperatively. A navigation system has been developed to assist with precise bone cutting and accurate placement of prosthetic components. Navigation systems are also useful for monitoring joint positioning and kinematics intraoperatively [\[2](#page-4-4), [4\]](#page-4-5). There are some reports on anterior–posterior, varus–valgus laxity, and gap balance; however, there are few reports on the rotational laxity at the midfexion range [\[3](#page-4-6), [11,](#page-4-7) [21,](#page-5-6) [23](#page-5-5), [27](#page-5-7)]. The cruciate ligaments are thought to serve as stabilizers of the knee during internal and external rotational stress [[1,](#page-4-8) [15\]](#page-5-8). However, the infuence of cruciate ligament resection due to TKA on post-operative laxity has not been fully investigated. It is hypothesized that postoperative midfexion rotational laxity is greater than preoperative laxity and that preoperative laxity impacts postoperative laxity throughout the range of motion (ROM) in posterior-stabilized (PS)-TKA. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate midfexion rotational laxity before and after PS-TKA using a navigation system.

Materials and methods

Twenty-nine patients underwent TKA with the PS prosthesis NexGen LPS-fex (Zimmer, Warsaw, IN, USA) using a navigation system (Precision Knee Navigation Software, version 4.0; Stryker, Kalamazoo, MI, USA). To fairly assess and minimize the infuences of clinical variables, patients with a valgus deformity, preoperative severe fexion $(< 90^{\circ})$, and extension $(> 20^{\circ})$ restriction were excluded. The patient population comprised 16 women and 13 men with a mean age of 78 \pm 5.0 years. The study population was normally distributed. The average hip-knee-ankle angle was $13.0^{\circ} \pm 5.6^{\circ}$ in varus preoperatively.

The femoral rotational axis was defned by referring to the average rotational axis perpendicular to the Whiteside line and the transepicondylar axis. The tibial rotational axis was set parallel to the line connecting one-third of the tibial tubercle to the centre of the cut surface. The joint capsule was temporarily closed with four strands of suture after registration.

The investigator gently applied physiologically allowable maximal manual internal–external rotation stress to the knee without angular acceleration, and the mechanical femoral–tibial rotational angle was measured automatically by the navigation system at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of knee fexion. These measurements were performed in the presence of osteophytes and soft tissues; thus, the anterior cruciate ligament was preserved.

Next, the distal femur was cut using the navigationassisted measured resection technique. An incision was made into the proximal tibial by using a measured resection approach, and extramedullary alignment rods were placed. After removing the osteophytes, trial components were placed, and the knee was manually manoeuvred throughout ROM to assess the medial–lateral balance. When the soft tissue balance was inappropriate, the medial collateral ligament, posterior knee capsule, or other tissue was carefully released in an incremental manner for proper adjustment. After confrming that the TKA components and inserts were frmly placed in an appropriate position, the surgical incision was completely closed.

Then the same procedure as described for the pre-TKA measurements was repeated to measure and record the femoral–tibial rotational angles at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° by using the navigation system. The navigation system enabled us to assess the internal–external rotational angle independently of the knee fexion angle and varus–valgus angle (internal–external rotational laxity was expressed as the sum of the absolute internal and external stress angles. Positive values indicate internal orientation, and negative values indicate external orientation). The accuracy of the navigation system has been established at 0.5°.

The test–retest reliability of these internal–external rotational stress angle indicated that interclass and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) were sufficiently high, with values >0.9 at 30 $^{\circ}$, 45 $^{\circ}$, 60 $^{\circ}$, and 90 $^{\circ}$, respectively.

This study was approved by the institutional review board of Ehime University (Identifcation Number: 1411020), and informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Statistical analysis

Non-parametric tests were used due to the small sample size, although an arithmetically sufficient normal distribution was found. Preoperative and post-operative TKA comparisons were performed by using the non-parametric Wilcoxon's signed-rank test. Correlations were evaluated by using Spearman's correlation coefficients. Analyses were performed with JMP, version 11.0 (SAS Institute, Tokyo, Japan). $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses for ICCs were performed by using IBM SPSS, version 23 (IBM, Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). An ICC >0.81 was judged as an almost perfect correlation. A sample size calculation was performed by using Power and Sample Size Calculations software, version 3.1.2 (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA). After measuring rotational laxity in the frst 10 patients, the mean and standard deviation of the preoperative and post-operative TKA data were calculated. To achieve a correlation of $\delta = 3$, $\sigma = 5$ with 80 % power, and an $\alpha = 0.05$, we determined that a

minimum sample size of 24 patients would be required. To compensate for the small sample size, 29 patients were assessed.

Results

The average preoperative and post-operative values of internal rotational laxity were $8.9^{\circ} \pm 7.4^{\circ}$, $10.6^{\circ} \pm 7.2^{\circ}$, $11.6^{\circ} \pm 6.7^{\circ}$, and $14.1^{\circ} \pm 6.9^{\circ}$ and $12.5^{\circ} \pm 7.8^{\circ}$, $13.3^{\circ} \pm 6.9^{\circ}$, $14.5^{\circ} \pm 6.8^{\circ}$, and $16.9^{\circ} \pm 7.3^{\circ}$ at 30°, 45°, 60° , and 90° of flexion, respectively (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). The postoperative internal rotational laxity values were signifcantly higher than the preoperative values at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion (*p* < 0.0001, <0.0001, 0.0002, and 0.004 at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion, respectively; Fig. [1](#page-2-0)).

The average preoperative and post-operative values of external rotational laxity were −8.9° ± 7.1°, $-11.2^{\circ} \pm 5.9^{\circ}$, $-11.0^{\circ} \pm 6.1^{\circ}$, and $-7.8^{\circ} \pm 5.4^{\circ}$ and $-9.0^{\circ} \pm 7.7^{\circ}$, $-9.5^{\circ} \pm 7.7^{\circ}$, $-8.8^{\circ} \pm 7.3^{\circ}$, and $-6.6^{\circ} \pm 6.2^{\circ}$ at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of flexion, respectively (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-1). The values of post-operative external rotational laxity were signifcantly lower than those at the preoperative level at 45° and 60° of flexion $[p]$ = not significant (n.s.), 0.048, 0.004, and n.s. at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion, respectively; Fig. [2](#page-2-1)].

As a result, the average values of preoperative and post-operative internal–external rotational laxity were $17.8^{\circ} \pm 4.8^{\circ}$, $21.8^{\circ} \pm 4.5^{\circ}$, $22.6^{\circ} \pm 4.9^{\circ}$, and $21.8^{\circ} \pm 4.8^{\circ}$ and $21.5^{\circ} \pm 7.8^{\circ}$, $22.8^{\circ} \pm 7.0^{\circ}$, $23.3^{\circ} \pm 6.5^{\circ}$, and $23.4^{\circ} \pm 7.8^{\circ}$ at 30° , 45° , 60° , and 90° of flexion,

Fig. 1 Comparison of internal rotational laxity at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion angle between preoperative and post-operative total knee arthroplasty. For both charts: *Y*-axis label, joint laxity (°); *X*-axis label, knee fexion angle (°). *Upper whiskers* indicate the standard deviation. **p* < 0.05, Wilcoxon's signed-rank test

respectively (Fig. [3\)](#page-2-2). The values of post-operative internal–external rotational laxity were signifcantly higher than those at the preoperative level at 30 $^{\circ}$ of flexion ($p = 0.002$, n.s., n.s., and n.s. at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion, respectively; Fig. [3\)](#page-2-2).

The changing ratio of post-operative over preoperative rotational internal–external laxity was 1.24 ± 0.46 at 30° of flexion, whereas flexion angles of 45 $^{\circ}$, 60 $^{\circ}$, and 90 $^{\circ}$ had changing ratio values of 1.07 ± 0.38 , 1.05 ± 0.33 , and

Fig. 2 Comparison of external rotational laxity at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion angle between preoperative and post-operative total knee arthroplasty. For both charts: *Y*-axis label, joint laxity (°); *X*-axis label, knee fexion angle (°). *Upper whiskers* indicate the standard deviation. $* p < 0.05$, Wilcoxon's signed-rank test

Fig. 3 Comparison of internal–external rotational laxity at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion angle between preoperative and post-operative total knee arthroplasty. The internal–external rotational laxity is expressed as the sum of the maximum internal and maximum external rotational laxity angle measured under rotational stress. For both charts: *Y*-axis label, joint laxity (°); *X*-axis label, knee fexion angle (°). *Upper whiskers* indicate the standard deviation. **p* < 0.05, Wilcoxon's signed-rank test

Fig. 4 Comparison of the post-operative and preoperative changing rate of internal–external rotational laxity. *Y*-axis label, changing rate of laxity; *X*-axis label, knee fexion angle (°). *Bars* show the values dividing the mean post-operative rotational laxity values by the mean preoperative laxity values at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion angle. *Upper whiskers* indicate the standard deviation. $* p < 0.05$, Wilcoxon's signed-rank test

Table 1 Spearman's correlations (*ρ*) between pre and post-operative internal-external rotational laxity

Flexion angle	ρ	p
30°	0.55	0.002
45°	0.41	0.026
60°	0.47	0.01
90°	0.6	0.001

 1.09 ± 0.36 , respectively (Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0). The changing ratio of internal–external rotational laxity at 30° was signifcantly different compared to that at 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion $(45^{\circ}: p = 0.001, 60^{\circ}: p = 0.004, 90^{\circ}: p = 0.038;$ Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0).

Spearman's single rank correlation coefficients of preoperative and post-operative rotational laxity were correlated at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90° of flexion ($\rho = 0.55$, $p = 0.002$; $\rho = 0.41, p = 0.026; \rho = 0.47, p = 0.01; \text{ and } \rho = 0.6,$ $p = 0.001$ at 30°, 45°, 60°, and 90°, respectively; Table [1\)](#page-3-1).

Discussion

The most important fndings of the study were that postoperative rotational laxity at a fexion angle of 30° showed a statistically signifcant increase from the preoperative levels,

whereas no remarkable change was shown at flexion angles of 45°, 60°, and 90°. Internal rotational laxity increased and external rotational laxity decreased post-operatively, resulting in no net change compared to the preoperative internal–external rotational laxity at 45°, 60°, and 90° of fexion. Zaffagnini et al. [[29\]](#page-5-9) showed that the implanted tibia was generally more internally rotated compared with the native tibia throughout the range of motion. They discussed this is probably because arthritic knees are often associated with some varus deformity which joint arthroplasty corrects, thereby altering the position of the tibia relative to the femur. Our results are in agreement with these fndings.

It has been suggested that internal–external rotational laxity increases at fexion angles of 30° due to resection of both the anterior and posterior cruciate ligaments in PS-TKA. The cause of no further changes in internal–external rotational laxity seen at fexion angles of 45°, 60°, and 90° was likely due to the fact that all subjects had varus osteoarthritis and retained their medial collateral ligaments, which when accompanied by alignment correction, affected rotational laxity. It has been reported that collateral ligaments are elongated after TKA [[24\]](#page-5-10). We reported greater varus–valgus laxity during midfexion, particularly at the initial fexion range, comparison with PS-TKA than with CR-TKA [\[11](#page-4-7)]. Minoda et al. [[22\]](#page-5-11) also reported that the centre size of the joint gap was loose especially at 30° of fexion. Many researchers have emphasized the importance of anatomically correct component alignment and joint stability for successful TKA surgery [\[8](#page-4-9), [28](#page-5-12)]. There are relatively few methods for evaluating joint stability during TKA surgery, despite it being clinical signifcance. In most cases, the surgeon evaluates joint stability by performing a manual stress test intraoperatively, although such methods fall short of providing objective assessment measures. In addition, activities of daily living often cause mechanical load to the knee joint in full extension and in midfexion [\[16](#page-5-13), [17](#page-5-14)]. However, midfexion rotational laxity is seldom evaluated in clinical practice.

The cruciate ligaments function as stabilizers against rotational torque [\[1](#page-4-8), [15](#page-5-8)]. Regardless, few published studies have reported on intraoperative internal–external rotational laxity. Hunt et al. [[12\]](#page-5-15) reported no statistically significant difference in laxity between cruciate retaining (CR)-TKA and PS-TKA in a cadaver study. They also reported that internal–external rotational laxity decreased compared to its baseline levels [\[12](#page-5-15)]. Differences in our results from those of Hunt et al. [\[12](#page-5-15)] can be attributed to factors such as the implant design, soft tissue release, and implant installation angle. Moreover, osteoarthritic knees were evaluated in our study, whereas Hunt et al. [\[12](#page-5-15)] evaluated cadavers with near-normal knees, which potentially had a large effect on their results. The rotational tolerance due to the component design can be considered a factor that affects

rotational laxity. One feature of the TKA design is its use of either a single radius in which rotation is centred on the sagittal plane, or a multi-radius in which the rotational centre is incrementally displaced. Hunt et al. [\[12](#page-5-15)] evaluation of single radius Triathlon knee arthroplasty also led to some results that differed with ours, because multi-radius LPSfex knee arthroplasty was evaluated in our study. Stoddard et al. [\[26](#page-5-16)] hypothesized that displacement in the multiradius model produces laxity, which leads to midfexion instability. Therefore, they studied midfexion instability with both models. However, this hypothesis was not supported, as they found no signifcant differences between the two models [[26\]](#page-5-16). Nevertheless, stability improvement has been reported in midfexion laxity of cadavers in which a femoral component was ftted to match the continuous displacement of the rotational centre [[5\]](#page-4-10). Although these were cadaveric studies, their results suggest that differences in midfexion laxity can be due to whether a CR or PS model is selected, the design of the components used, or tibial– femoral size matching; thus, these aspects are potential topics for further research.

Removing the anterior–posterior cruciate ligaments in PS-TKA would lead to greater rotational laxity throughout ROM. However, contrary to our expectations, changes in rotational laxity were only seen at the initial fexion range. In our study, post-operative rotational laxity increased at a fexion angle of 30°, preoperative and post-operative rotational laxity showed a signifcant correlation at 30° of fexion, which can potentially impact stability due to the effect of daily activities on component wear in PS-TKA. It is suggested by our results that some patients are unable to recover proper rotational stability at the initial fexion range despite the recovery of proper soft tissue balance in 0° of knee extension and 90° of knee fexion. Attention to rotational instability would be necessary when a large preoperative laxity exists at the initial fexion range in PS-TKA.

This study has some limitations. Surgery was performed by infating the tourniquet and non-load-bearing conditions under general anaesthesia. Bone cutting was performed with the measured resection technique. The study had a small sample size, and only patients with varustype arthritis were treated. Finally, the procedure involved manual passive stress by the operator. These limitations may restrict the generalization of our results; thus, further research is also necessary to determine the degree of rotational laxity adequate for TKA procedures. Future studies should examine the relationship between rotational laxity and the patient's clinical course. The fndings of this study may shed light on defning suitable laxity for TKA.

It is hypothesized that preoperative laxity impacts postoperative laxity. Using the same coordinate system preoperatively and post-operatively was essential for examining these hypotheses. The strengths of the current study were

the use of a navigation system that enabled precise evaluation of rotational position throughout ROM, and using the same coordinate system preoperatively and post-operatively. Additionally, evaluating the preoperative data with the cruciate ligaments and meniscus preserved by using a strong suture at the capsule was clinically meaningful. This study showed that a large preoperative rotational laxity increased the risk of a large post-operative laxity, especially at the initial fexion range in PS-TKA. TKA requires exceedingly fne surgical adjustment of two counter opposed factors: stability and laxity.

Conclusion

Post-operative rotational laxity is greater than preoperative laxity at the initial fexion range in PS-TKA. Preoperative and post-operative rotational laxity indicated a signifcant correlation at the midfexion range.

References

- 1. Amis AA (2012) The functions of the fber bundles of the anterior cruciate ligament in anterior drawer, rotational laxity and the pivot shift. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 20:613–620
- 2. Bellemans J, D'Hooghe P, Vandenneucker H, Van Damme G, Victor J (2006) Soft tissue balance in total knee arthroplasty: does stress relaxation occur perioperatively? Clin Orthop Relat Res 452:49–52
- 3. Casino D, Zaffagnini S, Martelli S, Lopomo N, Bignozzi S, Iacono F, Russo A, Marcacci M (2009) Intraoperative evaluation of total knee arthroplasty: kinematic assessment with a navigation system. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 17:369–373
- 4. Chauhan SK, Scott RG, Breidahl W, Beaver RJ (2004) Computer-assisted knee arthroplasty versus a conventional jig-based technique. A randomised, prospective trial. J Bone Joint Surg Br 86:372–377
- 5. Clary CW, Fitzpatrick CK, Maletsky LP, Rullkoetter PJ (2013) The infuence of total knee arthroplasty geometry on mid-fexion stability: an experimental and fnite element study. J Biomech 46:1351–1357
- 6. Collier JP, Mayor MB, Surprenant VA, Surprenant HP, Dauphinais LA, Jensen RE (1990) The biomechanical problems of polyethylene as a bearing surface. Clin Orthop Relat Res 261:107–113
- 7. Draganich LF, Pottenger LA (2000) The TRAC PS mobile-bearing prosthesis: design rationale and in vivo 3-dimensional laxity. J Arthroplasty 15:102–112
- 8. Fang DM, Ritter MA, Davis KE (2009) Coronal alignment in total knee arthroplasty: just how important is it? J Arthroplasty 24:39–43
- 9. Fehring TK, Odum S, Griffn WL, Mason JB, Nadaud M (2001) Early failures in total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 392:315–318
- 10. Feng EL, Stulberg SD, Wixson RL (1994) Progressive subluxation and polyethylene wear in total knee arthroplastys with fat articular surfaces. Clin Orthop Relat Res 299:60–71
- 11. Hino K, Ishimaru M, Iseki Y, Watanabe S, Onishi Y, Miura H (2013) Mid-fexion laxity is greater after posterior-stabilised

total knee arthroplasty than with cruciate-retaining procedures: a computer navigation study. Bone Joint J 95(B):493–497

- 12. Hunt NC, Ghosh KM, Blain AP, Rushton SP, Longstaff LM, Deehan DJ (2015) No statistically signifcant kinematic difference found between a cruciate-retaining and posterior-stabilised Triathlon knee arthroplasty: a laboratory study involving eight cadavers examining soft-tissue laxity. Bone Joint J 97(B):642–648
- 13. Insall J, Tria AJ, Scott WN (1979) The total condylar knee prosthesis: the frst 5 years. Clin Orthop Relat Res 145:68–77
- 14. Insall JN, Binazzi R, Soudry M, Mestriner LA (1985) Total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 192:13–22
- 15. Kennedy NI, Wijdicks CA, Goldsmith MT, Michalski MP, Devitt BM, Aroen A, Engebretsen L, LaPrade RF (2013) Kinematic analysis of the posterior cruciate ligament, part 1: the individual and collective function of the anterolateral and posteromedial bundles. Am J Sports Med 41:2828–2838
- 16. Kettelkamp DB, Johnson RJ, Smidt GL, Chao EY, Walker M (1970) An electrogoniometric study of knee motion in normal gait. J Bone Joint Surg Am 52:775–790
- 17. Laubenthal KN, Smidt GL, Kettelkamp DB (1972) A quantitative analysis of knee motion during activities of daily living. Phys Ther 52:34–43
- 18. Louis S, Siebold R, Canty J, Bartlett RJ (2005) Assessment of posterior stability in total knee arthroplasty by stress radiographs: prospective comparison of two different types of mobile bearing implants. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 13:476–482
- 19. Matsuda S, Miura H, Nagamine R, Urabe K, Matsunobu T, Iwamoto Y (1999) Knee stability in posterior cruciate ligament retaining total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 366:169–173
- 20. Matsumoto T, Kubo S, Muratsu H, Matsushita T, Ishida K, Kawakami Y, Oka S, Matsuzaki T, Kuroda Y, Nishida K, Akisue T, Kuroda R, Kurosaka M (2013) Different pattern in gap

balancing between the cruciate-retaining and posterior-stabilized total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 21:2338–2345

- 21. Matsuda Y, Ishii Y, Noguchi H, Ishii R (2005) Varus–valgus balance and range of movement after total knee arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Br 87:804–808
- 22. Minoda Y, Nakagawa S, Sugama R, Ikawa T, Noguchi T, Hirakawa M, Nakamura H (2014) Intraoperative assessment of midfexion laxity in total knee prosthesis. Knee 21:810–814
- 23. Nabeyama R, Matsuda S, Miura H, Kawano T, Nagamine R, Mawatari T, Tanaka K, Iwamoto Y (2003) Changes in anteroposterior stability following total knee arthroplasty. J Orthop Sci 8:526–531
- 24. Park KK, Hosseini A, Tsai TY, Kwon YM, Li G (2015) Elongation of the collateral ligaments after cruciate retaining total knee arthroplasty and the maximum fexion of the knee. J Biomech 48:418–424
- 25. Sharkey PF, Hozack WJ, Rothman RH, Shastri S, Jacoby SM (2002) Insall Award paper. Why are total knee arthroplasties failing today? Clin Orthop Relat Res 404:7–13
- 26. Stoddard JE, Deehan DJ, Bull AM, McCaskie AW, Amis AA (2013) The kinematics and stability of single-radius versus multi-radius femoral components related to mid-range instability after TKA. J Orthop Res 31:53–58
- 27. Van Damme G, Defoort K, Ducoulombier Y, Van Glabbeek F, Bellemans J, Victor J (2005) What should the surgeon aim for when performing computer-assisted total knee arthroplasty? J Bone Joint Surg Am 87(Suppl 2):52–58
- 28. Whiteside LA (2002) Soft tissue balancing: the knee. J Arthroplasty 17:23–27
- 29. Zaffagnini S, Bignozzi S, Saffarini M, Colle F, Sharma B, Kinov P, Marcacci M, Dejour D (2014) Comparison of stability and kinematics of the natural knee versus a PS TKA with a 'third condyle'. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 22:1778–1785