

# Forcing Magidor iteration over a core model below $0^{\sharp}$

Omer Ben-Neria

Received: 23 October 2011 / Accepted: 5 February 2014 / Published online: 29 March 2014  
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

**Abstract** We study the Magidor iteration of Prikry forcings, and the resulting normal measures on  $\kappa$ , the first measurable cardinal in a generic extension. We show that when applying the iteration to a core model below  $0^{\sharp}$ , then there exists a natural correspondence between the normal measures on  $\kappa$  in the ground model, and those of the generic extension.

**Keywords** Normal measures · Magidor iteration · Prikry forcing · Core model

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 03E45 · 03E55

## 1 Introduction

In this work, we study the celebrated Magidor iteration of Prikry forcings. We are primarily interested in the normal measures on the first measurable cardinal  $\kappa$  (i.e.,  $\kappa$  complete normal ultrafilters on  $\kappa$ ). In [8], Magidor introduced his iterating style of Prikry type forcings and used such an iteration to achieve consistency results comparing the first strongly compact cardinals with the first measurable in one case and with the first super-compact cardinal in the other.

It seems natural to ask whether this iteration can produce some other results. One such issue to be considered is the number of normal measures on the first measurable cardinal  $\kappa$ . This has been extensively studied. Results by Kunen [6] and Stewart Baldwin [2] have been obtained using inner model constructions, while Kunen–Paris [7], Apter et al. [1], and Jeffery Scott Leaning [5] obtained results using forcing. The question has been finally settled by Magidor and Friedman [3], which showed how to

---

O. Ben-Neria (✉)  
Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel  
e-mail: omerbe3@tau.ac.il

achieved models with arbitrary number normal measures  $\lambda \leq \kappa^{++}$  (with  $\kappa^{++} = 2^{2^\kappa}$ ) on the first measurable cardinal  $\kappa$  from the minimal assumption of a single measurable cardinal. It is still unknown how many normal measures can a strongly compact cardinal have. James Cummings asked whether it is possible to use the Magidor iteration forcing up to a measurable  $\kappa$  over  $V$ , in which  $o(\kappa)^V = \lambda$  and  $\lambda \leq \kappa^{++}$ , to achieve an extension in which the first measurable cardinal  $\kappa$  has exactly  $\lambda$  many normal measures. In this paper we address this question giving an affirmative answer when assuming  $V$  is a suitable fine structural core model. For every  $U$ , a normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V$ , we associate a normal measure  $U^\times$  in the generic extension. The main result of this study is the following Theorem,

**Theorem 1.1** *Assuming that  $0^\sharp$  does not exist, and  $V$  is the core model, then all the normal measures on  $\kappa$  in a generic extension  $V^{\mathbb{P}_\kappa}$  are precisely those of the form  $U^\times$  where  $U$  is a normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V$ , and they are all distinct.*

In particular if  $o(\kappa) = \lambda$  then there are exactly  $\lambda$  many normal measures on  $\kappa$  in a generic extension.

This work is an extension of the author’s results from his M.Sc thesis. I wish to thank my advisor Prof. Moti Gitik for his valuable guidance and dedication. I am also grateful to an anonymous referee for many important corrections and useful suggestions which greatly improved both the content and clarity of this paper.

*A road map to this work*—In the remainder of this section we survey the necessary preliminary material on the Magidor iteration of Prikry forcing notions up to  $\kappa$  denoted  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ . In Sect. 2 we study the extensions of ground model normal measures in a generic extension. We show that every normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V$  has a natural extension in  $V^{\mathbb{P}_\kappa}$ , and study these extensions. The results in this section requires only mild assumptions about  $V$  which do not involve inner model theory. In Sect. 3 we incorporate the assumption of the ground model being a core model without overlapping extenders. Given a normal measure  $W$  on  $\kappa$  in the generic extension, then by studying the restriction of its corresponding elementary embedding  $j_W$  to  $V$  we relate  $W$  to the normal measures of  $V$ . Throughout this work we use forcing conventions by which  $p < q$  means that  $q$  is *stronger* (more informative) than  $p$ . A weakest element of a forcing notion  $\mathbb{P}$  will be denoted by  $0_\mathbb{P}$ . Regarding measurability notations, a *measure* on  $\kappa$  is a  $\kappa$  complete ultrafilter  $U \subset \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$  on  $\kappa$ . It is a *normal* if this ultrafilter is closed to diagonal intersections.

### 1.1 The Magidor iteration

Let  $\mathbb{P}_\lambda = \langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda \rangle$  be the Magidor iteration as defined in [4]. For every  $\alpha < \lambda$  either

1.  $0_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$  forces  $\alpha$  is measurable and then  $(\mathcal{Q}_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, \leq_\alpha^*) = (\mathbb{P}(U_\alpha^*), \leq, \leq^*)$ , a Prikry forcing [9] by some normal measure  $U_\alpha^*$  on  $\alpha$  which does not concentrate on the set of former measurable cardinals below  $\alpha$  (i.e. measurable cardinals in  $V$ ), or
2.  $0_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$  forces  $\alpha$  is not measurable and then  $(\mathcal{Q}_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, \leq_\alpha^*)$  is taken to be trivial forcing.

$\mathbb{P}_\alpha$  consists of conditions  $p$  of the form  $\langle \mathcal{P}_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle$  where for each  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $0_{\mathbb{P}_\beta}$  forces  $\mathcal{P}_\beta \in \mathcal{Q}_\beta$ . Whenever  $\alpha$  is measurable in  $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$ , we denote by  $\langle \mathcal{S}_\beta(p), \mathcal{X}_\beta(p) \rangle$  a

couple which represents  $p_\beta$  as a condition in the Prikry forcing, i.e.,  $s_\beta(p)$  is a name for a finite sequence of ordinals below  $\beta$ , and  $\tilde{X}_\beta(p)$  a name for a (measure one) set in  $\mathcal{U}_\beta^*$ . We note that the ordinals  $\alpha$  for which 1. applies to are exactly the measurable cardinals  $\alpha < \kappa$  in  $V$  (see [4]).

We use standard notation to describe different parts in the elements of  $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$ . For every condition  $p = \langle p_\beta \mid \beta < \kappa \rangle \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  and  $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$ , let  $p \upharpoonright \beta = \langle p_\gamma \mid \gamma < \beta \rangle \in \mathbb{P}_\beta$ ,  $p \setminus \beta = \langle p_\gamma \mid \beta \leq \gamma \rangle \in \mathbb{P}_\alpha \setminus \beta$ , and  $p \upharpoonright [\alpha, \beta) = (p \upharpoonright \beta) \setminus \alpha$ .

Suppose  $p = \langle p_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle$  and  $p' = \langle p'_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle$  are conditions of  $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$ . Then  $p$  is an *extension* of  $p'$  denoted by  $p \geq_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha} p'$ , if and only if

1. for every  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $p \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\beta} \mathcal{R}_\beta \geq_\beta \mathcal{R}'_\beta$ .
2. There is a finite set  $b \subset \alpha$  such that for every  $\beta \in \alpha \setminus b$ ,  $p \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\beta} \mathcal{R}_\beta \geq_\beta^* \mathcal{R}'_\beta$ .

Also, if  $b = \emptyset$  then  $p$  is a *direct extension* of  $p'$ ,  $p \geq_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}^* p'$ .

So roughly, when extending a condition  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\alpha$ , we may shrink the measure one sets at each measurable  $\beta < \alpha$  but adding elements to the Prikry sequences only in finitely many  $\beta$ 's.

We are interested only in conditions of  $(\mathbb{P}_\alpha, \geq_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}, \geq_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}^*)$  which are extensions of the zero condition  $0_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha} = \langle 0_{Q_\beta} \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle$ . Therefore, throughout this work, whenever a generic set  $G_\alpha \subset \mathbb{P}_\alpha$  is taken, we assume it contains  $0_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$ .

We define for every  $p = \langle p_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha \rangle$  the *support* of  $p$ ,  $supp(p)$  to be the minimal finite set  $b \subset \alpha$  such that for every  $\beta \in \alpha \setminus b$ ,  $p \upharpoonright \beta \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\beta} \mathcal{R}_\beta \geq_\beta^* 0_{Q_\beta}$ .

*Remark 1.2* Recall that as in any iterated forcing notion, for every  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  such that  $p \geq q$ , then one can construct a  $p$ -equivalent condition  $p'$ , such that for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $0_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha} \Vdash p'_\alpha \geq q_\alpha$ . In particular, the same is true when replacing  $\geq$  with  $\geq^*$ .

Proofs for the following can be found in [4]:

**Proposition 1.3** 1.  $(\mathbb{P}_\alpha, \geq_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}, \geq_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}^*)$  is a Prikry type forcing.

2.  $(\mathbb{P}_\alpha, \geq_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha})$  does not collapse cardinals.
3.  $\alpha$  is measurable in  $V^{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$  if and only if it is measurable in  $V$ .

Therefore, by forcing this iteration  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ , then for every  $\mu < \kappa$ , the stage  $\mu + 1$  of the iteration  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$  is non-trivial if and only if  $\mu$  is a measurable cardinal in the ground model  $V$ . Denote  $\Delta \subset \kappa$ ,

$$\Delta = \{\mu < \kappa \mid \mu \text{ is measurable}\}.$$

Let us elaborate about the argument  $(\kappa \text{ is measurable in } V) \implies (\kappa \text{ is measurable in } V^{\mathbb{P}_\kappa})$ . Let  $U$  be a measure on  $\kappa$  in the ground model  $V$ . Denote by  $M \cong Ult(V, U)$  the corresponding ultrapower and by  $j : V \longrightarrow M$  its elementary embedding. By the elementarity of  $j$  we get that  $supp(j(p)) = j(supp(p))$  for every  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$ , and  $j(supp(p)) = supp(p)$  since  $supp(p)$  is a finite subset of  $\kappa$ . We conclude that  $j(p) \setminus \kappa \geq^* 0_{\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa) \setminus \kappa}} = \langle 0_{Q_\beta} \mid \kappa \leq \beta < j(\kappa) \rangle$ .

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\tilde{U}^*$  be the  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ -name consists of all pairs  $\langle \tilde{X}, p \rangle$  such that:

1.  $\tilde{X}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ -name for a subset of  $\kappa$ .

2.  $p$  is a condition in  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$  for which there is some  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ -name  $q$  such that  $0_{\mathbb{P}_\kappa} \Vdash q \geq^* j(p) \setminus \kappa$ , and

$$p \frown q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)}} \check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X}).$$

**Lemma 1.4**  $U^*$  is a  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter extending  $U$ .

*Proof* First, let us show that for every name  $X$  for a subset of  $\kappa$  and a condition  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  then  $p$  forces “ $X \in U^*$ ” actually implies  $\langle X, p \rangle \in U^*$ . Suppose that  $p \Vdash X \in \tilde{U}^*$ . Let  $G_\kappa \subset \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  be a generic set with  $p \in \tilde{G}_\kappa$ . Turning to  $M[G_\kappa]$ , we use Prikry condition of  $(\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)} \setminus \kappa, \leq, \geq^*)$  to find a direct extension  $q \geq^* j(p) \setminus \kappa$  which decides the statement “ $\check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X})$ ”. Returning to  $M$ , let  $\check{q}$  be a uniform name for the described condition  $q$ . We get that  $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\kappa} (\check{q} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa) \setminus \kappa}} \check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X}))$ , and claim that  $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\kappa} (\check{q} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa) \setminus \kappa}} \check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X}))$ . Suppose otherwise, then there would be some  $p^* \geq p$  such that  $p^* \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\kappa} (\check{q} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa) \setminus \kappa}} \check{\kappa} \notin j(\check{X}))$ . So  $p^* \frown \check{q} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)}} \check{\kappa} \notin j(\check{X})$ . However, we have  $p^* \Vdash X \in U^*$  which implies there is a condition  $p^{**}$ , compatible with  $p^*$ , such that  $\langle X, p^{**} \rangle \in \tilde{U}^*$ . This means we can find a direct extension,  $q^{**} \geq^* j(p^{**}) \setminus \kappa$  such that  $p^{**} \frown q^{**} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X})$ , but this is impossible as  $p^* \frown \check{q}$  and  $p^{**} \frown q^{**}$  are compatible. We conclude that  $p \frown \check{q} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X})$ .

By the definition of  $U^*$ , it is clear that  $U \subseteq U^*$ , thus  $U^*$  is not principle. Let  $G_\kappa$  be a generic subset of  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ . Suppose that  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq \kappa$  are sets in  $V[G_\kappa]$  and  $X \in U^*$ . Choose a name  $\check{X}$  for  $X$ , and a condition  $p \in G_\kappa$  which forces “ $X \in U^*$  and  $X \subset Y$ ”. Hence we can find a direct extension  $q \geq^* j(p) \setminus \kappa$  such that  $p \frown \check{q} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X})$ ,  $p \frown \check{q} \geq j(p)$ , and  $j(p)$  forces “ $j(\check{X}) \subseteq j(\check{Y})$ ” thus  $p \frown \check{q} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j(\check{Y})$ . Hence,  $Y \in U^*$  as well.

Next, let  $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \kappa$ . Assume that both are in  $U^*$ . Take  $p \in G_\kappa$  which forces this. Using the previous Lemma, we can find direct extensions,  $q_1, q_2 \geq^* j(p) \setminus \kappa$  such that

$$p \frown q_i \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X}_i), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Now both  $q_1, q_2$  are direct extensions of  $j(p) \setminus \kappa$  and therefore they are  $\geq^*$  compatible. Let  $q$  be a common direct extension of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ . Then  $p \frown q \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X}_1 \cap \check{X}_2)$ , thus  $X_1 \cap X_2 \in U^*$ .

Finally, let us establish  $\kappa$ -completeness for  $U^*$ . Suppose  $\langle X_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda < \kappa \rangle$  is a partition of  $\kappa$ . We need to show that there is a unique  $\tau < \lambda$  such that  $X_\tau$  belongs to  $U^*$ . Pick names  $\langle \check{X}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda \rangle$  and a condition  $p \in G_\kappa$  which forces “ $\langle \check{X}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda \rangle$  is a partition of  $\check{\kappa}$ ”. Using the elementarity of  $j$ , we conclude that in  $M$ ,

$$j(p) \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha < \lambda} j(\check{X}_\alpha).$$

Since  $j(p) \restriction \kappa = p$  it follows that  $j(p) \setminus \kappa$  forces the same statement in  $M[G_\kappa]$ . As  $(\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa) \setminus \kappa}, \leq^*)$  is  $\kappa$ -closed, we are able to find a condition  $q \geq^* j(p) \setminus \kappa$  which decides all the statements “ $\check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X}_\alpha)$ ”,  $\alpha < \lambda$ . Hence, there must be a unique  $\tau < \lambda$  for which  $q \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X}_\tau)$ . Back in  $M$ , take a suitable name  $\check{q}$  for  $q$ . Then there are  $r \in G_\kappa$  and  $\tau < \lambda$  such that  $r \frown \check{q} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j(\check{X}_\tau)$ , so  $X_\tau \in U^*$ .  $\square$

We introduce a useful operation applied to conditions in  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ .

**Definition 1.2** 1. For every  $\alpha < \kappa$  let  $p^{-\alpha}$  be the direct extension of  $p$ , obtained by throwing out all ordinals  $\leq \alpha$  from all the measure one sets of measurable cardinal above  $\alpha$ , i.e, for every  $\beta \in \Delta$ ,  $p^{-\alpha} \restriction \beta$  forces

$$X_\beta(p^{-\alpha}) = \begin{cases} X_\beta(p), & \text{if } \beta \leq \alpha \\ X_\beta(p) \setminus (\alpha + 1), & \text{if } \beta > \alpha. \end{cases}$$

2. Let  $p$  be a condition in  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$  and suppose that  $Q = \langle p_{(\alpha)} : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  is a sequence of condition, all are direct extensions of  $p$ . Define a new condition  $p_Q \geq^* p$ , by taking

$$X_\alpha(p_Q) = X_\alpha(p_{(\alpha)}) \cap (\Delta_{\beta < \alpha} X_\alpha(p_{(\beta)})), \text{ for every } \alpha < \kappa.$$

We say that  $p_Q$  the **diagonalization** of  $Q$  above  $p$ .

Note that both operations have uniform definitions (e.g., the construction of  $p_\beta^{-\alpha}$  over  $p_\beta$  is independent of  $p \restriction \beta$ ), therefore for every  $\alpha \leq \beta < \kappa$  we see that  $0_{\mathbb{P}_\beta} \Vdash (p_Q^{-\alpha})_\beta \geq^* (p_{(\alpha)})_\beta$ .

It is clear from the definition of  $p_Q$  that  $0_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha}$  forces

$$(*) \quad (p_Q \setminus \alpha)^{-\alpha} \geq^* p_{(\alpha)}^{-\alpha} \setminus \alpha \text{ for all } \alpha < \kappa.$$

Assume that for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $p_{(\alpha)}$  extends  $p$  only in coordinates  $\geq \alpha$ , i.e,  $p \restriction \alpha = p_{(\alpha)} \restriction \alpha$ . We have  $p_Q \restriction \alpha \geq^* p_{(\alpha)} \restriction \alpha$  since  $p_Q \geq^* p$ , so together with (\*) we get that  $p_Q^{-\alpha} \geq^* p_{(\alpha)}$ . Let  $M \cong \text{Ult}(V, U)$  be the ultrapower of  $V$  induced by  $U$ , and  $j : V \rightarrow M$  its elementary embedding. Consider the condition  $q \in \mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)}$  represented by the sequence  $Q = \langle p_{(\alpha)} : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ ,  $q = j(Q)(\kappa)$ . Clearly  $q \geq^* j(p)$ . Since  $p_Q^{-\alpha} \geq^* p_{(\alpha)}$  for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ , we see that  $j(p_Q)^{-\kappa} \geq^* q$ . Given  $X \in U^*$  we can apply this construction to some  $q \in \mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)}$  used in Definition 1.1 and conclude the following property,

**Lemma 1.5** For every  $X \in U^*$  there exists a name  $\tilde{X}$  and some  $p \in G_\kappa$  such that  $\tilde{X}^{G_\kappa} = X$ , and

$$j(p)^{-\kappa} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j(\tilde{X}).$$

## 2 Prikry function and generic normal measures

We now introduce the notion of generic *Prikry function*  $d$  which plays a major role in the characterization of all normal measures in the generic extension. We show that whenever  $U \in V$  concentrate in measurable cardinals below  $\kappa$ , then  $d$  induces a projection of its extension  $U^*$  to a *normal* measure on  $\kappa$ . At the end of this section we list some properties of this generic function which will be used extensively throughout this paper.

**Definition 2.1** For a generic set  $G_\kappa \subset \mathbb{P}_\kappa$ , let  $d : \Delta \rightarrow \kappa$  be the induced function sending every (old) measurable  $\mu \in \Delta$  to the first element of its Prikrý sequence. We call  $d$  the *Prikrý function* induced by  $G_\kappa$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *The measure  $U^*$  is normal if and only if  $\Delta \notin U$ . Furthermore, if  $\Delta \in U$  then  $d$  induces a projection of  $U^*$  to a normal measure.*

*Remark 2.2* Trivially, the function  $d : \Delta \rightarrow \kappa$  is regressive. We claim that  $d$  cannot be constant on any infinite set. Indeed, fix  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Given a condition  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$ , let  $b = \text{supp}(p)$ , so  $b$  a finite subset of  $\kappa$ . We get that the condition  $p^{-\alpha} \geq p$  forces “ $\check{d}^{-1}(\{\check{\alpha}\}) \subset \check{b}$ ”. Hence,  $|d^{-1}(\{\alpha\})| < \aleph_0$  in the generic extension.

*Proof* For the first part, note that  $\Delta \notin U$  implies that  $(\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)} \setminus \kappa)$  is  $\kappa^+$  closed as  $\kappa$  is not measurable in  $M$  or in  $M^{\mathbb{P}_\kappa}$ . Therefore, just run the argument used to establish the  $\kappa$ -completeness of  $U^*$ , on a regressive function, i.e., use the  $\kappa^+$  closure to guess the value  $j(\check{f})(\check{\kappa}) < \kappa$  whenever  $f$  is a regressive function in the generic extension.

Suppose  $\Delta \in U$  then  $\Delta \in U^*$  as well. As seen in Remark 2.2, the Prikrý function  $d : \Delta \rightarrow \kappa$  is regressive but not constant on any infinite set. Let us show  $d$  induces a projection of  $U^*$  to a normal ultrafilter.

Let  $G_\kappa$  be a generic subset of  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ . Suppose  $f$  is a function in  $V[G_\kappa]$  with  $f(\alpha) < d(\alpha)$  on a measure one set in  $U^*$ . Choose conditions  $p \in G_\kappa$  and  $q \geq^* j(p) \setminus \kappa$  such that  $p \hat{\smallfrown} q \Vdash j(\check{f})(\check{\kappa}) < j(\check{d})(\check{\kappa})$ .  $\kappa$  is measurable in  $M$  as  $\Delta \in U$ . Moreover, Proposition 1.3 ensures that it remains measurable in  $M[G_\kappa]$ . Let  $U_\kappa$  be the  $M[G_\kappa]$ -measure on  $\kappa$  used for the  $\kappa + 1$  stage of the iteration,  $\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)}$ , namely  $Q_\kappa = \mathbb{P}(U_\kappa)$ . Working in  $M[G_\kappa]$ , we have  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)} \setminus \kappa} j(\check{f})(\check{\kappa}) < j(\check{d})(\check{\kappa}) < \kappa$ . Unlike before,  $(\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)} \setminus \kappa, \geq^*)$  is not  $\kappa^+$ -closed, so the proof of the first part above ( $\Delta \notin U$ ) cannot be applied directly in this case. Nevertheless, after forcing at  $\kappa$ , the rest of the iteration  $(\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa) \setminus (\kappa+1)}, \geq^*)$  is  $\kappa^+$ -closed. Hence, by applying the argument above, we can find a  $\mathbb{P}(U_\kappa)$ -name of an ordinal  $\pi$  and a direct extension  $t_{>\kappa} \geq^* q \setminus (\kappa + 1)$  such that

$$q_\kappa \hat{\smallfrown} t_{>\kappa} \Vdash \pi = j(\check{f})(\check{\kappa}) < j(\check{d})(\check{\kappa}).$$

Now, as both  $\pi$  and  $j(\check{d})(\check{\kappa})$  are names in the forcing language of  $\mathbb{P}(U_\kappa)$ , we conclude that the condition  $q_\kappa$  forces the statement “ $\pi < j(\check{d})(\check{\kappa})$ ” above.

Note that when forcing Prikrý forcing  $\mathbb{P}(U)$  by a single normal measure  $U$  on  $\kappa$ , then for every  $\mathbb{P}(U)$  name  $\pi$  of an ordinal and  $p \in \mathbb{P}(U)$  such that,  $p \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}(U)}$  “ $\pi$  is smaller than the first element of the generic Prikrý sequence” then the identity of  $\pi$  can be decided by a direct extension of  $p$ . So there exists a direct extension  $t_\kappa \geq^*_{\mathbb{P}(U_\kappa)} q_\kappa$  such that  $t_\kappa \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}(U_\kappa)} \pi = \check{\beta}$  for some  $\beta < \kappa$ . Let  $t = t_\kappa \hat{\smallfrown} t_{>\kappa}$ . Then  $t \geq^* q$  and  $t \Vdash j(\check{f})(\check{\kappa}) = \pi = \check{\beta}$ , so there exists some  $r \in G_\kappa$  such that  $r \hat{\smallfrown} t \Vdash j(\check{f})(\check{\kappa}) = \check{\beta}$ . As  $r$  forces  $t$ ,  $j(r) \setminus \kappa$  are both direct extensions of  $0_{j(\mathbb{P}_\kappa) \setminus \kappa}$  it also force they are  $\leq^*$  compatible. If  $t'$  is a name of a common direct extension then  $r \hat{\smallfrown} t' \geq^* j(r)$  and  $r \hat{\smallfrown} t \Vdash j(\check{f})(\check{\kappa}) = \check{\beta}$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(\beta) \in U^*$ . □

**Notation 2.3** Denote by  $U^\times$  the measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$  projected from  $U^*$ . By the proposition above  $U^\times = U^*$  if  $\Delta \notin U$  and  $U^\times = d_*(U^*)$  otherwise.

Let  $U$  be a normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V$  which concentrates on  $\Delta$ . The considerations above implies that For every  $X \subseteq \kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$  then  $X \in U^\times$  if and only if there are  $p \in G_\kappa$  and  $q \geq^* j(p) \setminus \kappa$  such that  $p \frown q \Vdash j(\check{d})(\check{\kappa}) \in j(\check{X})$ .

**Assumption 2.4** Let us add an additional assumption regarding the construction of  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ . Consider a non trivial stage  $\mu \in \Delta$  of the iteration  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ . Previously, the only requirement from the normal measure  $U_\mu^*$ , used at stage  $\mu$ , was that it does not concentrate on  $\Delta \cap \mu$ . In general this does not determine uniquely the normal measure. In order to avoid unnecessary complication let us add the assumption that in  $V$ , every  $\mu \in \Delta$  has a unique normal measure  $U_{\mu,0}$  which does not include  $\Delta \cap \mu$  (i.e. of order 0), and then, at stage  $\mu$ , use its normal extension  $U_{\mu,0}^*$  constructed above. We note that this assumption typically holds in core models below certain large cardinal property. In the core model theory below  $\aleph_1$ , presented in [11], the uniqueness follows from the fact that every such measure is correct, and must appear on the model’s extender sequence. As this sequence is coherent, it may include at most one such measure for every cardinal. Schlutzenberg [10] (Corollary 2.18) proves this property holds for inner model below a superstrong cardinal.

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $G_\kappa \subset \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  be a generic set. Then in the generic extension  $V[G_\kappa]$ , there is a finite set  $b \subset \kappa$  such that every pair of measurable cardinals  $\lambda < \mu$  in  $\Delta \setminus b$ , satisfies  $d(\mu) \notin [d(\lambda), \lambda]$ . In particular, the elements of the sequence  $\langle d(\mu) : \mu \in \Delta \setminus b \rangle$  are pairwise distinct.*

*Proof* Fix  $\mu \in \Delta$ . Suppose  $Q_\mu = \mathbb{P}(U_\mu^*)$  where  $U_\mu$  is an order-0 normal measure on  $\mu$  (in  $V$ ). Denote by  $j : V \rightarrow M \cong \tilde{Ult}(V, U_\mu)$  the induced elementary embedding. Let  $B_\mu$  be the  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ -name for the set

$$\mu \setminus \left( \bigcup_{\lambda \in (\Delta \cap \mu)} [d(\lambda), \lambda] \right).$$

Since we now assume  $\Delta \cap \mu \notin U_\mu$ , it is easily seen that  $j(q)^{-\mu} \Vdash \check{\mu} \in j(B_\mu)$  for every  $q \in \mathbb{P}_\mu$ , therefore  $0_{\mathbb{P}_\mu} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\mu} B_\mu \in U_\mu^*$ .

Given  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  let  $b = \text{supp}(p)$ , and for every  $\mu \in \Delta$  let  $A_\mu = X(p_\mu)$  and  $A'_\mu$  be the name of  $B_\mu \cap A_\mu$ , then  $p \restriction \mu \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{\mu \setminus \kappa}} A'_\mu \in U_\mu^*$ . If  $q \geq^* p$  be the direct extension of  $p$  obtained by taking  $A'_\mu$  instead of  $A_\mu$  in all the coordinates  $\mu \in \Delta$ . Then  $q$  forces that  $d(\mu) \notin [d(\lambda), \lambda]$  whenever  $\mu > \lambda$  are in  $\Delta$  and  $\mu \notin b$ .  $\square$

In order to give a better description of the behavior of  $d$ , we define the following sets,

**Definition 2.2** Suppose  $G_\kappa$  is a generic subset of  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ . Define:

1.  $\Gamma = d''\Delta$ , the set of first elements of all Prikry sequences in  $G_\kappa$ .
2.  $\Pi = \{ \alpha : |d^{-1}(\alpha)| = 1 \text{ and}$

$$\forall \mu \in \Delta. (\mu > d^{-1}(\alpha)) \rightarrow (d(\mu) \notin [\alpha, d^{-1}(\alpha)]) \}.$$

3.  $\Sigma = \{\alpha < \kappa : \text{if } \mu > \alpha \text{ is measurable then } d(\mu) \geq \alpha\}$ .

Clearly,  $d^{-1}$  is a well defined function on  $\Pi$ ,  $d^{-1} : \Pi \rightarrow \Delta$ . By Lemma 2.5 there is a finite set  $b \subset \kappa$  such that  $d(\beta) \in \Pi$  for every  $\beta > \max(b)$ . Hence,  $\Gamma \setminus \Pi$  is bounded in  $\kappa$ .

We point out that  $\Sigma$  is a club. Trivially,  $\Sigma$  is closed, thus it is sufficient to show that the complement of  $\Sigma$  is non stationary. Let  $g(\alpha) = \min\{\alpha' : \exists \mu > \alpha \ \alpha' = d(\mu)\}$ . Clearly,  $g$  is regressive on  $\kappa \setminus \Sigma$ . Hence, if  $\kappa \setminus \Sigma$  was stationary then there would be an ordinal  $\alpha^* < \kappa$  with  $g^{-1}(\{\alpha^*\})$  stationary. However, this is impossible since  $g^{-1}(\{\alpha^*\}) \subseteq \max(d^{-1}(\{\alpha^*\})) < \kappa$ .

Given a condition  $p$ , and  $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$  with  $\beta \in \Delta$ , we define a new condition  $p^{+(\alpha,\beta)}$  which need not be an extension of  $p$ :

**Definition 2.3** For every  $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$  with  $\beta \in \Delta$ , let  $p^{+(\alpha,\beta)}$  be the condition obtained by adding  $\alpha$  to sequence  $s_\beta(p)$ , i.e,  $(p^{+(\alpha,\beta)})_\gamma = p_\gamma$  for every  $\gamma \neq \beta$ , and

$$p^{+(\alpha,\beta)} \restriction \beta \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\beta} s_\beta(p^{+(\alpha,\beta)}) = s_\beta(p) \cup \{\alpha\} \text{ and } X_\beta(p^{+(\alpha,\beta)}) = X_\beta(p) \setminus (\alpha + 1)$$

We say that  $\alpha$  is *first available* at  $p_\beta$  if  $p \restriction \beta \Vdash s_\beta(p) = \emptyset$  and  $\check{\alpha} \in X_\beta(p)$ . In such case we get that  $p^{+(\alpha,\beta)} \geq p$  and  $p^{+(\alpha,\beta)} \Vdash \check{d}(\check{\beta}) = \check{\alpha}$

*Remark 2.6* We demonstrate how the sets  $\Sigma, \Gamma, \Pi$  indicates on certain closer properties of the generic set  $G_\kappa$  with respect to operations defined in Definitions 1.2, 2.3. Fix  $p \in G_\kappa$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha$  belongs to  $\Sigma \setminus \Gamma$ . Then neither  $\alpha$  nor any  $\alpha' < \alpha$  appears as a first element of a Prikry sequence for a measurable cardinal  $\beta > \alpha$ . Thus, the condition  $p^{-\alpha}$  remains in  $G_\kappa$ .

Similarly, if  $\alpha \in \Pi \cap \Sigma$  and  $\beta = d^{-1}(\alpha)$  then  $p^{+(\alpha,\beta)} \in G_\kappa$ , and since  $\alpha \in \Pi \cap \Sigma$  then  $p^{+(\alpha,\beta)-\alpha} \in G_\kappa$ . Furthermore, the fact  $\alpha \in \Pi$  ensures that  $p^{+(\alpha,\beta)-\alpha-\beta}$  belongs to  $G_\kappa$  as well.

Let  $U_1, U_0$  be two normal measures on  $\kappa$  in  $V$  such that  $\Delta \in U_1 \setminus U_0$ , and  $U_0 \triangleleft U_1$ . Denote by  $M_1 \cong V^\kappa / U_1, M_0 \cong V^\kappa / U_0$  the induced ultrapowers and  $j_1 : V \rightarrow M_1, j_0 : V \rightarrow M_0$  their corresponding elementary embeddings. Since  $U_0 \in M_1$ , we can also take its ultrapower inside  $M_1$ . Denote by  $j_0^1 : M_1 \rightarrow M_{1,0} \cong Ult(M_1, U_0)$  the induced ultrapower of  $M_1$  and its embedding, and by  $j_{1,0} = j_0^1 \circ j_1 : V \rightarrow M_{1,0}$  the composite elementary embedding.

Recall that the iterated ultrapower  $M_{1,0}$  can be perceived in two more different ways: The first is the two step iteration obtained by taking the  $M_0$ -ultrapower by the  $j_0(\kappa)$  ultrafilter  $j_0(U_1)$ . The second is by a single ultrapower using the  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter  $U_0 \times U_1$  on  $\kappa^2$ . Thus

$$M_0^{j_0(\kappa)} / j_0(U_1) \cong M_{1,0} \cong V^{\kappa^2} / (U_0 \times U_1).$$

Suppose  $G_\kappa \subset \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  is a  $V$ -generic set. We establish straightforward criterions for a subset of  $\kappa, X \in V[G_\kappa]$  to be included in the normal measure  $U_1^\times = d^*(U_1^*)$ .



**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $u$  be an condition in  $j_1(\mathbb{P}_\kappa)$ . If  $u \upharpoonright \kappa \Vdash s(u_\kappa) = \emptyset$  then there exists a direct extension  $r \geq^* j_0^1(u) \upharpoonright [\kappa, j_0(\kappa))$  in  $M_{1,0}$ , such that  $\kappa$  is first available at  $t_{j_0(\kappa)}$  (i.e.  $t^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))} \geq t$ ), where  $t = u \upharpoonright \kappa \frown r \frown (j_0^1(u) \setminus j_0(\kappa))$  is a condition in  $j_{1,0}(\mathbb{P}_\kappa)$ .*

*Proof* First, note that  $\kappa$  is measurable in  $M_1$  so it is a non trivial point of the iteration  $j_1(\mathbb{P}_\kappa) = \mathbb{P}_{j_1(\kappa)}^{M_1}$ . Also,  $U_0$  is the only measure on  $\kappa$  in  $M_1$  which does not include  $\Delta$ . Hence, by the assumption added in Assumption 2.4,  $U_0^*$  is the normal measure used at stage  $\kappa$  of  $\mathbb{P}_{j_1(\kappa)}^{M_1}$ . The construction of the name for  $U_0^*$  is taken inside  $M_1$ , but since  $\mathbb{P}_{j_1(\kappa)}^{M_1} \upharpoonright \kappa = \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  and  $V_{\kappa+1} = (M_1)_{\kappa+1}$  then this construction coincide with the one in  $V$ . Now, for every  $u \in \mathbb{P}_{j_1(\kappa)}^{M_1}$ ,  $u \upharpoonright \kappa \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\kappa} X(u_\kappa) \in U_{\sim 0}^*$ . Therefore there exists a direct extension  $r \geq^* j_0^1(u \upharpoonright \kappa) \setminus \kappa$  such that  $u \upharpoonright \kappa \frown r \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j_0^1(X(u_\kappa))$ . Note that  $j_0^1(X(u_\kappa)) = X_{j_0(\kappa)}(j_0^1(u))$ .

Moving to  $M_{1,0}$ , let  $t$  be the direct extension of  $j_0^1(u)$  defined by

$$t = u \upharpoonright \kappa \frown r \frown (j_0^1(u) \setminus j_0(\kappa)).$$

Since we assumed  $u \upharpoonright \kappa \Vdash s(u_\kappa) = \emptyset$  we have:

1.  $t_{j_0(\kappa)} = j_0^1(u_\kappa)$ , thus  $j_0(u \upharpoonright \kappa)$  forces  $X(t_{j_0(\kappa)}) = j_0^1(X(u_\kappa))$  and

$$j_0(u \upharpoonright \kappa) \Vdash s(t_{j_0(\kappa)}) = \emptyset.$$

2.  $t \upharpoonright j_0(\kappa) = u \upharpoonright \kappa \frown r$ .

We get that  $t \upharpoonright j_0(\kappa) \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in X(t_{j_0(\kappa)})$ , hence,  $\kappa$  is first available at  $t_{j_0(\kappa)}$ . □

*Remark 2.8* The direct extension  $r$  taken inside the iterated ultrapower  $M_{1,0}$  can be described as  $r = [R]_{U_0 \times U_1}$ , where  $R : \kappa^2 \rightarrow V$ . Without lost of generality we may assume that for every  $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$   $R(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa \upharpoonright_{[\alpha, \beta]}$ .

We can say more about the structure of possible  $R$ : As  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa^V = \mathbb{P}_\kappa^{M_1}$  and  $u \upharpoonright \kappa \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\kappa^V} X(u_\kappa) \in U_{\sim 0}^*$  (i.e. forcing this statement over  $V$ ),  $r$  can be taken from  $M_0$ , i.e.  $r \geq^* j_0(u \upharpoonright \kappa) \setminus \kappa$  and

$$u \upharpoonright \kappa \frown r \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{j_0(\kappa)}} \check{\kappa} \in X_{j_0(\kappa)}(j_0(u)).$$

Therefore, we can choose a representing function  $R : \kappa^2 \rightarrow V$  for  $r$  with a simpler structure. Let  $\langle r_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  be a sequence representing  $r$  in  $M_0$ , then the function  $R$  defined by  $R(\alpha, \beta) = r_\alpha \upharpoonright \beta$  works.

For every  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$ , it is possible to apply the previous Lemma to the condition  $u = j_1(p) \in \mathbb{P}_{j_1(\kappa)}$  and conclude

**Corollary 2.9** *For every condition  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  there exists a direct extension  $q \geq^* j_{1,0}(p) \setminus \kappa$  in  $M_{1,0}$  such that  $p \frown q^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))} \geq p \frown q$ , and  $p \frown q^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))} \Vdash d(j_0(\kappa)) = \kappa$ . Note that  $\kappa$  is first available at  $j_{1,0}(\kappa)$  for  $p \frown q$ .*

**Proposition 2.10** *Let  $G_\kappa$  be a generic subset of  $\mathbb{P}_\kappa$ . For every  $X \subseteq \kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$ ,  $X \in U_1^\times$  iff there are conditions  $p \in G_\kappa$  and  $q \geq^* j_{1,0}(p) \setminus \kappa$  in  $M_{1,0}$  such that  $p \frown q^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))} \geq p \frown q$  and  $p \frown q^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j_{1,0}(\check{X})$ .*

*Proof* Let  $U^\times$  be the collection of all sets  $X \subseteq \kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$  for which there are  $p \in G_\kappa$  and  $q \geq^* j_{1,0}(p) \setminus \kappa$  such that  $p \frown q^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j_{1,0}(\check{X})$ . Suppose that the conditions  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  are compatible and  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{P}_{j_{1,0}(\kappa)}$  are suitable extensions:  $q_i \geq^* j_{1,0}(p_i) \setminus \kappa, i = 1, 2$ . Note that  $q_1, q_2$  are compatible as well, hence the conditions  $p_1 \frown q_1^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))}, p_2 \frown q_2^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))}$  are compatible and cannot force contradictory statements. We conclude that for every  $X \subseteq \kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$ , it is impossible to have both  $X$  and  $\kappa \setminus X$  inside  $U^\times$ . Hence, in order to establish that  $U_1^\times = U^\times$ , it is sufficient to show that  $U_1^\times \subseteq U^\times$ . Let  $X \in U_1^\times$ . Pick a suitable name  $\check{X}$  and conditions  $p \in G_\kappa, q \geq^* j_1(p) \setminus \kappa$  such that  $p \frown q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{j_1(\kappa)}} j_1(\check{d})(\check{\kappa}) \in \check{j}_1(\check{X})$ . Applying the elementary embedding  $j_0^1$  we get that

$$j_0^1(p \frown q) \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_{j_{1,0}(\kappa)}} j_{1,0}(\check{d})(j_0(\check{\kappa})) \in j_{1,0}(\check{X}).$$

Now,  $p \Vdash s(q_\kappa) = \emptyset$  so by Lemma 2.7 (applied to the condition  $p \frown q \in \mathbb{P}_{j_1(\kappa)}$ ) there is a direct extension  $t \geq^* j_0^1(p \frown q)$  with  $t \upharpoonright \kappa = p$ , such that  $\kappa$  is first available at  $t_{j_0(\kappa)}$ . On the one hand we have  $t^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))} \Vdash j_{1,0}(\check{d})(j_0(\check{\kappa})) \in j_{1,0}(\check{X})$  since  $t$  forces this statement and  $t^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))} \geq t$ . But on the other hand  $t^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))} \Vdash \check{\kappa} = j_{1,0}(\check{d})(j_0(\check{\kappa}))$ . It follows that  $t^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j_{1,0}(\check{X})$ . Finally, let  $q' = t \setminus \kappa$ , then  $p$  forces  $q' \geq^* j_0^1(p \frown q) \setminus \kappa \geq^* j_{1,0}(p) \setminus \kappa$ , and  $p \frown q'^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j_{1,0}(\check{X})$ .  $\square$

The following property is a key component in the proof of our main result, Theorem 2.11,

**Theorem 2.11** *For every  $X \in U_1^\times$  there exists some  $A \in U_1$  such that  $d^{``}A \cap \Sigma \cap \Pi \subseteq X$ .*

We start by proving the following Lemma,

**Lemma 2.12** *For every name  $\check{X}$  of a subset of  $\kappa$  such that  $(\check{X})_{G_\kappa} \in U_1^\times$ , there exists some  $p \in G_\kappa$  such that*

$$j_{1,0}(p)^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))-\kappa-j_0(\kappa)} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j_{1,0}(\check{X}).$$

Note that we do not require that  $j_{1,0}(p)^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))-\kappa-j_0(\kappa)}$  is an extension of  $j_{1,0}(p)$ . This will not be needed in the proof of Theorem 2.11.

*Proof* Fix  $X \in U_1^\times$ . By Lemma 1.5, We know there is a condition  $p \in G_\kappa$  so that  $j_1(p)^{-\kappa} \Vdash \check{d}(\check{\kappa}) \in j_1(\check{X})$ . Applying the elementary embedding  $j_0^1$ , we get that  $j_{1,0}(p)^{-j_0(\kappa)} \Vdash \check{d}(j_0(\check{\kappa})) \in j_{1,0}(\check{X})$ . Also, by Lemma 2.7 there is a direct extension  $r \geq^* j_{1,0}(p)^{-j_0(\kappa)} \upharpoonright [\kappa, j_0(\kappa))$  in  $M_{1,0}$ , such that  $\kappa$  is first available at coordinate  $j_0(\kappa)$  of the condition  $t = p \frown r \frown (j_{1,0}(p) \setminus j_0(\kappa))^{-j_0(\kappa)} \in \mathbb{P}_{j_{1,0}(\kappa)}$ . Therefore, we have

$$(*) \quad p \frown r \frown (j_{1,0}(p) \setminus j_0(\kappa))^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa))-\kappa-j_0(\kappa)} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j_{1,0}(\check{X}).$$

We identify  $M_{1,0}$  with the single ultrapower of  $V$  by  $U_0 \times U_1$ ,  $M_{1,0} \cong Ult(V, U_0 \times U_1)$ . Let  $R : \kappa^2 \rightarrow V$  be a function which represents  $r$  in this ultrapower.  $(*)$  above indicates there is a measure one set  $T \in U_0 \times U_1$  such that for all  $(\alpha, \beta) \in T$ , the condition  $R(\alpha, \beta)$  is a direct extension of  $p \restriction [\alpha, \beta)$  with

$$(**) \quad (p \restriction \alpha \frown R(\alpha, \beta) \frown p \restriction \beta)^{+(\alpha, \beta) - \beta} \Vdash \check{\alpha} \in \check{X}.$$

By Remark 2.8, we can assume that for some sequence  $\langle r_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  with  $r_\alpha \geq^* p \restriction \alpha$ , then  $R(\alpha, \beta) = r_\alpha \restriction \beta$  for all  $(\alpha, \beta) \in T$ . We may assume that  $(r_\alpha)_\beta \geq^* (p \restriction \alpha)_\beta$  is being forced by  $0_{\mathbb{P}_\beta}$  for every  $\beta \geq \alpha$  (otherwise use equivalent conditions as suggested in Remark 1.2).

Consider the sequence of conditions  $Q = \langle p_{(\alpha)} \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  where  $p_{(\alpha)} = p \restriction \alpha \frown r_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ . For every  $(\alpha, \beta) \in T$  we have  $p_{(\alpha)} \geq^* p \restriction \alpha \frown R(\alpha, \beta) \frown p \restriction \beta$ .

Now, although  $p_{(\alpha)}^{+(\alpha, \beta) - \beta}$  need not be an extension of  $p_{(\alpha)}$ , it is still a direct extension of  $(p \restriction \alpha \frown R(\alpha, \beta) \frown p \restriction \beta)^{+(\alpha, \beta) - \beta}$ , so  $(**)$  implies

$$p_{(\alpha)}^{+(\alpha, \beta) - \beta} \Vdash \check{\alpha} \in \check{X}.$$

Let  $p_Q \geq^* p$  be the diagonalization of the sequence  $Q$ . It is easy to verify that the definition of  $p_Q$  (1.2) implies that  $(p_Q^{+(\alpha, \beta) - \beta})^{-\alpha} \geq^* p_{(\alpha)}^{+(\alpha, \beta) - \beta}$  for every  $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$ . We get that  $p_Q^{+(\alpha, \beta) - \alpha - \beta} \Vdash \check{\alpha} \in \check{X}$  for every  $(\alpha, \beta) \in T$ , so  $j_{1,0}(p_Q)^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa)) - \kappa - j_0(\kappa)} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j_{1,0}(\check{X})$  since  $T' \in U_0 \times U_1$ . The claim follows by a standard density argument.  $\square$

We can now prove the the Theorem.

*Proof* (Theorem 2.11) Pick a name  $\check{X}$  for  $X$ . By the previous lemma, there is a condition  $p \in G_\kappa$  such that

$$j_{1,0}(p)^{+(\kappa, j_0(\kappa)) - \kappa - j_0(\kappa)} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j_{1,0}(\check{X}).$$

Consider the set of all pairs  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \kappa^2$  for which  $p^{+(\alpha, \beta) - \alpha - \beta} \Vdash \check{\alpha} \in \check{X}$ . Denote this set by  $T$ . Clearly  $T \in U_0 \times U_1$ .

Let  $\langle U_{0,\beta} \mid \beta < \kappa \rangle$  be the sequence of normal measures which represents  $U_0$  in the ultrapower  $M_1$ . For every  $\beta < \kappa$ , define

$$T_\beta = \{ \alpha < \beta : (\alpha, \beta) \in T \}.$$

The fact that  $T \in U_0 \times U_1$  implies there is some  $B \in U_1$  such that  $T_\beta \in U_{0,\beta}$  for every  $\beta \in B$ .

For every condition  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  let  $p_T$  be the direct extension of  $p$ , obtained by shrinking the one measure sets:

$$X_\beta(p_T) := X_\beta(p) \cap T_\beta, \text{ for all } \beta \in B.$$

Let  $b$  be the support of  $p$ . Then  $p_T \Vdash \check{d}(\beta) \in \check{T}_\beta$  for all  $\beta \in (\check{B} \setminus \check{b})$ . So by a standard density argument we see that in the generic extension  $V[G_\kappa]$  there is a finite set  $b \subset \kappa$  such that  $d(\beta) \in T_\beta$  for all  $\beta \in B \setminus b$ .

Let  $A = B \setminus b$ , then  $A \in U_1$ . For every  $\alpha \in d^{\ast}A \cap \Sigma \cap \Pi$ , let  $\beta = d^{-1}(\alpha)$ . We get that  $\beta \in A$  and  $(\alpha, \beta) \in T$ , so  $p^{+(\alpha, \beta) - \alpha - \beta} \Vdash \check{\alpha} \in \check{X}$ . But  $p^{+(\alpha, \beta) - \alpha - \beta}$  belongs to  $G_\kappa$  since  $p \in G_\kappa$  and  $\alpha \in \Sigma \cap \Pi$ . Hence,  $\alpha \in X$ . □

### 3 Core model aspects

In this section we add the assumption there is no inner model with overlapping extenders and consider a generic extension of the core model  $K$ . See [11] for the general theory and for a description of the inner model theory used in this paper. We shall use the description of  $K$  of [11], which is based on premice with Jensen’s  $\lambda$ -indexing. This description simplifies our analysis of the iterations assuming  $-0^\sharp$ , as all iterations are linear. Let  $G_\kappa \subset \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  be a  $V$ -generic set. In this section we consider normal measures  $W$  on  $\kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$ . Denote by  $j_W : V[G_\kappa] \rightarrow M_W \cong Ult(V[G_\kappa], W)$  the corresponding elementary embedding, and let  $j = j_W \upharpoonright V : V \rightarrow M$  be its restriction to  $V$ . Since  $V$  is the core model of  $V[G_\kappa]$  then  $M$  is a normal iterate of  $V$ , and  $M_W = M[G_W]$  where  $G_W \subset \mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)}$  is  $M$  generic (see 7.4 and 8.3 of [11]). For simplicity, we extend the notations from the previous section. Thus, we write  $d : j(\Delta) \rightarrow j(\kappa)$  for the extended Prikry function (which sends every measurable cardinal  $< j(\kappa)$  in  $M$ , to the first element of his Prikry sequence), induced by the generic  $G_W \subset \mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)}^M$ . Throughout this section, we use these notations when describing an arbitrary measure on  $\kappa$  in the generic extension  $V[G_\kappa]$ .

#### 3.1 Key generators

Let  $G_\kappa \subset \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  be a  $V$ -generic set. In Definition 2.2 we defined the sets  $\Gamma$  and  $\Pi$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$ . We saw that  $\Gamma \setminus \Pi$  is bounded in  $\kappa$ , and proved that for every normal measure  $U$  on  $\kappa$  in  $V$ , if  $o(U) \geq 1$  then  $\Gamma$  belongs to its corresponding normal measure  $U^\times$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$ .

Let  $W$  be any normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$  such that  $\Gamma \in W$ . Then  $\Pi \in W$  and therefore in  $M_W \cong Ult(V[G_\kappa], W)$  we have  $\kappa \in j_W(\Pi)$ . Using the notations from the beginning of the section to consider  $M_W$  as the generic extension  $M[G_W]$  of  $M$ , it follows that  $\kappa$  is the first element of a Prikry sequence in the generic set  $G_W \subset \mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)}$  and  $d^{-1}(\kappa)$  is a unique measurable cardinal in  $M$ . As it will turn out (in the end of this section), the ordinal  $d^{-1}(\kappa)$  determines a normal measure  $U_W$  on  $\kappa$  in  $V$  such that  $U_W^\times = W$ .

Consider  $j^{\mathcal{F}in} : V \rightarrow M^{\mathcal{F}in}$ , a finite sub iteration of  $j$ . Let us describe an ultra-power construction of  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$ ,  $j^{\mathcal{F}in}$ . Let  $n < \omega$  be the length of the iteration, then there are elementary embeddings  $j_k : V \rightarrow M_k$  for every integer  $k \leq n$ , and extenders  $E_k$  for every  $k < n$ , such that:

1.  $M_0 = V$ ,  $j_0 = id_{M_0}$ .

2. For every  $k < n$ ,  $E_k$  is an extender in  $M_k$ ,  $M_{k+1} \cong Ult(M_k, E_k)$ ,  $j_{k,k+1} : M_k \rightarrow M_{k+1}$  is the ultrapower map, and  $j_{k+1} = j_{k,k+1} \circ j_k$ .
3.  $j^{\mathcal{F}in} = j_n$  and  $M^{\mathcal{F}in} = M_n$

**Definition 3.1** Under the above notations, consider the critical points  $crit(E_k)$  for  $k < n$ .

1. We say  $crit(E_k)$  is a *normal generator* of the iteration if  $crit(E_k) \leq j_k(\kappa)$ .
2. We say  $crit(E_k)$  is a *key generator* if and only if  $crit(E_k) = j_k(\kappa)$ .
3. We denote the largest key generator in  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  by  $\kappa^*$ .

Let  $\{crit(E_{k_m}) \mid 0 \leq m \leq n^*\}$  the list of key generators. The description above of  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$ ,  $j^{\mathcal{F}in}$  is unique if we assume it to be normal. We shall therefore use only normal iteration to describe  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$ ,  $j^{\mathcal{F}in}$ . Assuming  $\neg 0^{\mathbb{I}}$ , normality implies that  $crit(E_{k_{m_1}}) < crit(E_{k_{m_2}})$  for every  $m_1 < m_2 \leq n^*$ .

Our intension is to use the finite sub iterations  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  of  $M$  in order to have a simple ultrapower description to some elements of  $M$ . Since  $crit(j) = \kappa$ , we shall restrict our attention to  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  so that  $crit(j^{\mathcal{F}in}) = \kappa$ , i.e.  $crit(E_0) = \kappa$ . In particular if  $n \geq 1$  then there must be a largest key generator  $\kappa^* \geq \kappa$ .

- Lemma 3.1**
1. The set  $\{j^{\mathcal{F}in}(f)(\kappa^*) \mid f \in \kappa^\kappa \cap V\}$  is cofinal in  $j^{\mathcal{F}in}(\kappa)$ .
  2. Let  $\mu^* < j^{\mathcal{F}in}(\kappa)$  be a measurable cardinal in  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$ . If  $\mu^*$  is bigger than the largest key generator  $\kappa^*$ , then for every condition  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  there exists a direct extension  $p^* \geq^* p$ , such that  $j^{\mathcal{F}in}(p^*) \Vdash \check{\kappa} \neq \check{d}(\mu^*)$ .

*Proof* 1. We use the iteration parts  $M_k, j_k, E_k, k \leq n$  described above and prove by induction on  $k \leq n$  that if  $\kappa^*$  is the maximal key generator of the sub iteration  $j_k : V \rightarrow M_k$ , then  $\{j_k(f)(\kappa^*) \mid f \in \kappa^\kappa \cap V\}$  is cofinal in  $j_k(\kappa)$ . First note that it is sufficient to prove that for all  $\delta' < j_k(\kappa)$ , if  $\delta'$  is a generator of the iteration  $j_k$  i.e. a generator of one of the extenders  $\langle E_i \mid i < k \rangle$  used to form  $j_k$  then it is bounded by  $j_k(f_{\delta'})(\kappa^*)$  for some  $f_{\delta'} \in \kappa^\kappa \cap V$ . This is because for every ordinal  $\gamma < j_k(\kappa)$  there exists  $m < \omega, h : \kappa^m \rightarrow \kappa$ , and generators  $\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{m-1} < j_k(\kappa)$  such that  $\gamma = j_k(h)(\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{m-1})$ . So by defining  $h_\gamma : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  by  $h_\gamma(v) = \sup(\{h(v_0, \dots, v_{l-m}) \mid \forall i < m, v_i < f_{\delta_i}(v)\}) + 1$ , then  $j_k(h_\gamma)(\kappa^*) > \gamma$ .

When  $n = 0$  there are no key generators so there is nothing to prove. Suppose this claim holds for some  $k < n$  and consider the next ultrapower  $j_{k,k+1} : M_k \rightarrow M_{k+1} \cong Ult(M_k, E_k)$ . Denote  $crit(E_k)$  by  $\delta$ . Note that  $\delta \geq \kappa^*$  since the iteration is normal. We split the argument to into three cases:

- (a) If  $\delta > j_k(\kappa)$  then an ultrapower by  $E_k$  does not change any of the structure below  $\delta$ . In particular  $\kappa^*$  is still the maximal key generator and the claim holds true.
- (b) Suppose  $\kappa^* < \delta < j_k(\kappa)$ . Since there are no overlapping extenders in  $M_k$  then all generators of  $E_k$  are bounded below  $j_k(\kappa)$ . By our inductive assumption, for every generator  $\delta'$  of  $E_k$  there exists some  $f_{\delta'} \in \kappa^\kappa \cap V$  such that  $j_k(f_{\delta'})(\kappa^*) > \delta'$ . We claim that the same function  $f_{\delta'}$  works for  $j_{k+1} = j_{k,k+1} \circ j_k$ . Indeed  $\kappa^* < \delta = crit(E_k)$  hence  $j_{k,k+1}(\kappa^*) = \kappa^*$ . Hence  $j_{k+1}(f_{\delta'})(\kappa^*) = (j_{k,k+1} \circ j_k)(f_{\delta'})(j_{k,k+1}(\kappa^*)) = j_{k,k+1}(j_k(f_{\delta'})(\kappa^*)) > j_{k,k+1}(\delta') > \delta'$ .

- (c) Suppose  $\delta = j_k(\kappa)$ . Then  $\kappa^* = \delta$  is the new maximal key generator in  $M_{k+1}$ . The generators of  $j_{k+1} : V \rightarrow M_{k+1}$  are either generators of  $j_k : V \rightarrow M_k$  or generators of  $E_k$ . If  $\delta$  is a generator of  $j_k$  smaller than  $j_{k+1}(\kappa)$  then  $\delta < j_k(\kappa) = \kappa^*$  so  $f_\delta = id_\kappa$  works. As to the generators of  $E_k$ , if  $E_k$  is a normal measure then this is immediate. Otherwise  $o(\delta)^{M_k} > 0$  so there are unbounded many measurable cardinals below  $\delta$  in  $M_k$ , and as  $\delta = j_k(\kappa)$ , the same is true for  $\kappa$  in  $V$ . Define  $r : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  by mapping every  $\nu < \kappa$  to the least measurable cardinal above  $\nu$ . Since there are no overlapping extenders in  $M_k$ , then the first measurable cardinal above  $\delta$  in  $M_{k+1}$  is an upper bound to all the generators of  $E_k$ . We get that  $j_{k+1}(r)(\kappa^*) = j_{k+1}(r)(\delta)$  is greater than all the generators of  $E_k$ .
2. Pick  $m < \omega$ ,  $h : \kappa^m \rightarrow \kappa$  in  $V$ , and generators  $\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{m-1} < j^{\mathcal{F}in}(\kappa)$  such that  $\mu^* = j^{\mathcal{F}in}(h)(\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{m-1})$ . Also for every  $i < m$  choose a function  $f_{\delta_i} \in \kappa^\kappa \cap V$  such that  $\delta_i < j^{\mathcal{F}in}(f_{\delta_i})(\kappa^*)$ . Define

$$Z = \{(\theta, \theta^*, v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}) \in \kappa^{m+2} \mid h(v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}) > \theta^*, \theta \leq \theta^*, \text{ and } \theta \leq v_i < f_{\delta_i}(\theta^*) \text{ for every } i < n\}.$$

then  $(\kappa, \kappa^*, \delta_0, \dots, \delta_{m-1}) \in j^{\mathcal{F}in}(Z)$ , and  $|\{(\theta, \theta^*, v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}) \in Z \mid \theta^* = \lambda\}| < \kappa$  for every  $\lambda < \kappa$ .

Define a closed unbounded sequence in  $\kappa$ ,  $C = \langle \lambda_i \mid i < \kappa \rangle$ . Set  $\lambda_0 = 0$ . Suppose that  $\langle \lambda_j \mid j < i \rangle$  has been defined. When  $i$  is a limit ordinal set  $\lambda_i = \bigcup_{j < i} \lambda_j$ . When  $i = i' + 1$ , if there are  $\theta, v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}$  such that  $(\theta, \lambda_{i'}, v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}) \in Z$  then define

$$\lambda_i = \bigcup \{h(v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}) \mid \exists \theta, v_0, \dots, v_{m-1} (\theta, \lambda_{i'}, v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}) \in Z\} + 1,$$

and  $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i'} + 1$  otherwise.

Since  $C$  is a closed unbounded in  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa^*$  is a normal generator (i.e. generator of a normal measure) then  $\kappa^* \in j^{\mathcal{F}in}(C)$  and therefore  $(\kappa, \kappa^*, \delta_0, \dots, \delta_{m-1}) \in j^{\mathcal{F}in}(Z \upharpoonright C)$  where  $Z \upharpoonright C = \{(\theta, \theta^*, v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}) \in Z \mid \theta^* \in C\}$ .

Define  $H : Z \upharpoonright C \rightarrow \kappa$  by  $H(\theta, \theta^*, v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}) = h(v_0, \dots, v_{m-1})$ . By the construction of  $C$  we get that for every  $\nu < \kappa$ , if  $H^{-1}(\{\nu\})$  is not empty, then there exists a unique  $\lambda \in C$ ,  $\lambda < \nu$ , such that  $\lambda = \theta^*$  for every  $(\theta, \theta^*, v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}) \in H^{-1}(\{\nu\})$ . We then denote  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda(\nu)$ .

For every  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  let us construct a direct extension  $p^* \geq p$  such that  $j^{\mathcal{F}in}(p^*) \Vdash \check{\kappa} \neq \check{d}(\mu^*)$ .

For every measurable cardinal  $\nu < \kappa$  set (the name of)  $X_\nu(p^*)$  to be

$$X_\nu(p^*) = \begin{cases} X_\nu(p) \setminus (\lambda(\nu) + 1) & \text{if } H^{-1}(\{\nu\}) \neq \emptyset \\ X_\nu(p) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The definitions of  $Z \upharpoonright C$  and  $p^*$  imply that for every  $(\theta, \theta^*, v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}) \in Z \upharpoonright C$  then  $p^* \Vdash \check{\theta} \neq \check{d}(h(v_0, \dots, v_{m-1}))$ . The claim follows as  $(\kappa, \kappa^*, \delta_0, \dots, \delta_{m-1}) \in j^{\mathcal{F}in}(Z \upharpoonright C)$  and  $\mu^* = j^{\mathcal{F}in}(h)(\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{m-1})$ . □

Let  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  be a finite sub-iteration of  $M$ . Denote by  $i^{\mathcal{F}in} : M^{\mathcal{F}in} \rightarrow M$  the embedding of  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  in  $M$  (i.e.  $j = i^{\mathcal{F}in} \circ j^{\mathcal{F}in}$ ). For every  $\mu \in M$ , we say that  $\mu$  is represented in  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  if there is an ordinal  $\mu^* \in M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  such that  $i^{\mathcal{F}in}(\mu^*) = \mu$ . Notice that for every  $\mu < j(\kappa)$  in  $M$ , the fact that a representative of  $\mu$  is a key generator of its finite sub-iteration, does not depend on a particular choice of a finite sub-iteration of  $M$  (as long as  $\mu$  is represented there). We expand Definition 3.1.

**Definition 3.2** We say that an ordinal  $\mu < j(\kappa)$  is a *key generator* of  $M$  if and only if for every (some) finite sub-iteration  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  in which  $\mu$  is represented by some  $\mu^* \in M^{\mathcal{F}in}$ , then  $\mu^*$  is a key-generator of  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  in the sense of Definition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.2** Suppose that  $\Gamma \in W$ . Let  $d^{-1}(\kappa) < j_W(\kappa)$  be the preimage of  $\kappa$ , as computed in  $M_W = M[G_W]$ , then  $d^{-1}(\kappa) \in M$  is a key generator of  $M$ .

*Proof* First, note that  $\kappa$  cannot be a measurable cardinal in  $M$  as otherwise we would have to add a Prikry sequence to  $\kappa$  when forcing with  $\mathbb{P}_{j(\kappa)}^M$ , so  $cf(\kappa)^{M[G_W]} = \omega$ . This is impossible since  $M[G_W] = M_W$  is an ultrapower of the generic extension  $V[G_\kappa]$  where  $\kappa$  is measurable. Therefore, there must be a  $\kappa$ -measure  $U_0$  which is included in the construction of  $M$  and does not concentrate on  $\Delta$ .

Let  $\mu < j(\kappa)$  is measurable cardinal in  $M$  which is not a key generator of  $M$ . Let  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  be a finite sub-iteration which has representative  $\mu^* \in M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  for  $\mu$ . We can assume that  $\mu^*$  is bigger than the largest key generator  $\kappa^*$  (since ultrapowers by extenders whose critical points are *above*  $\mu^*$  are not relevant to  $\mu^*$ ) and that  $\kappa$  is not measurable in  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  (as we can assume  $U_0$  was included in this finite iteration). By the previous Proposition 3.1, there is a condition  $p \in G_\kappa$  such that  $j^{\mathcal{F}in}(p) \Vdash \check{\kappa} \neq \check{d}(\mu^*)$ . Denote by  $i^{\mathcal{F}in} : M^{\mathcal{F}in} \rightarrow M$  the embedding of  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  into the direct limit  $M$ . Then  $i^{\mathcal{F}in}(\kappa) = \kappa$  since  $\kappa$  is not measurable in  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$ . By the elementarity of  $i^{\mathcal{F}in}$  we get that  $j(p) \Vdash \check{\kappa} \neq \check{d}(\mu)$ . But  $G_W = j_W(G_\kappa)$ , hence  $j(p) = j_W(p) \in G_W$  so  $\kappa \neq d(\mu)$  in the generic extension  $M[G_W] = M_W$ .  $\square$

### 3.1.1 One Measure

In this section we establish first results regarding possible normal measures on  $\kappa$  in a generic extension  $V^{\mathbb{P}_\kappa}$ . These results are based on our assumptions of  $\neg 0^\sharp$  and that the  $V$  is the core model.

Let  $U_0$  be a normal measure on  $\kappa$  in the ground model  $V$ , which does not concentrate on  $\Delta$ . In Proposition 2.1 we proved that  $U_0^* = U_0^\times$  is a normal ultrafilter on  $\kappa$  in  $V^{\mathbb{P}_\kappa}$ . In this part of the section we show that  $U_0^*$  is the only normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V^{\mathbb{P}_\kappa}$  which does not include  $\Gamma = d''\Delta$ . As a corollary we prove that in case  $\kappa$  has a unique normal measure in the ground model  $V = \mathcal{K}$ , then the same holds in a generic extension  $V^{\mathbb{P}_\kappa}$ .

*Remark 3.3* In the first part of proof 3.2 we established that whenever  $G_\kappa \subset \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  is  $V$  generic and  $W$  is a normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$ , then  $\kappa$  is not measurable in  $M_W \cong Ult(V[G_\kappa], W)$ . Hence  $\Delta \notin W$ . The fact  $V = K$  is the core model, implies that  $W \cap V$  is a normal ultrafilter on  $\kappa$  in  $V$ , and must coincide with  $U_0$ . Using  $W$  to form an ultrapower of  $V = K$ , the resulting model is an iterate of  $K$ . This iteration must use the the unique 0-order measure on the extender sequence of  $K$ , and therefore coincide with  $W \cap V$  (see also [10]).

**Proposition 3.4**  $U_0^*$  is the only normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$  which does not concentrate on  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof* Denote by  $j_0 : V \rightarrow M_0 \cong Ult(V, U_0)$  be the corresponding elementary embedding. By lemma 1.5 for every  $X \in U_0^*$  there exists some  $p \in G_\kappa$  such that  $j_0(p)^{-\kappa} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j_0(\check{X})$ . It follows that  $\Gamma \notin U_0^*$  since  $j_0(p)^{-\kappa} \Vdash d^{-1}(\{\kappa\}) = \emptyset$ .

Next, suppose  $W$  is a normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$  with  $\Gamma \notin W$ , and let us show that  $U_0^* = W$ . It is sufficient to verify that  $U_0^* \subseteq W$ . By remark 3.3 we know that  $U_0 \subset W$ . Suppose  $X \in U_0^*$ . Choose any  $p \in G_\kappa$  such that  $j_0(p)^{-\kappa} \Vdash \check{\kappa} \in j_0(\check{X})$  and define the following subset of  $\kappa$ ,

$$B = \{\alpha < \kappa \mid p^{-\alpha} \Vdash \check{\alpha} \in \check{X}\}.$$

Clearly,  $\kappa \in j_0(B)$ , thus  $B \in U_0$ . In Definition 2.2 we defined  $\Sigma, \Pi$ , subsets of  $\kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$ , and proved that  $\Sigma$  is a club,  $\Gamma \setminus \Pi$  is bounded in  $\kappa$ , and that for every  $\alpha \in \Sigma \setminus \Gamma$  and  $p \in G_\kappa$ , then  $p^{-\alpha} \in G_\kappa$  (see Remark 2.6). Therefore if  $\alpha \in B \cap (\Sigma \setminus \Gamma)$  then  $p^{-\alpha}$  belongs to  $G_\kappa$  and forces “ $\check{\alpha} \in \check{X}$ ”. We conclude  $(\Sigma \setminus \Gamma) \cap B \subseteq X$ . It follows that  $X \in W$  since  $\Sigma$  is closed unbounded in  $\kappa$ ,  $\Gamma \notin W$ , and  $B \in U_0 \subset W$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5** Assuming that  $0^\sharp$  does not exist, and  $V$  is the core model in which  $\kappa$  has a unique normal measure  $U_0$ , then in a generic extension  $V^{\mathbb{P}_\kappa}$ ,  $U_0^*$  is the only normal measure on  $\kappa$ .

*Proof* Note that since  $U_0$  does not include  $\Delta$  since it is the only normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V$ . By Proposition 3.4 we know that  $U_0^*$  is the only measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$  which does not include  $\Gamma$ . We claim there is no  $W \in V[G_\kappa]$  which is normal measure on  $\kappa$  and  $\Gamma \in W$ . If there was such  $W$ , then  $d^{-1}(\kappa) \neq \emptyset$ . By Proposition 3.2 the ordinal  $d^{-1}(\kappa)$  is a measurable cardinal in  $M$  which is a key generator. This is impossible because all key generators are obtained by iterating  $U_0$  which not concentrate on the set of measurable cardinals, so all key generators are not measurables in  $M$ .  $\square$

### 3.2 Identifying all the normal measures on $\kappa$

Assume  $W$  is normal and  $\Gamma \in W$ . Denote by  $j_W : V[G_\kappa] \rightarrow M_W$  the corresponding ultrapower and elementary embedding.

$\Gamma \in W$  implies  $\Pi \in W$  which in turn, implies that in  $M_W = M[G_W]$ ,  $|d^{-1}(\{\kappa\})| = 1$ . Denote  $\mu = d^{-1}(\kappa)$ . By Proposition 3.2,  $\mu$  is a key generator of the iteration  $M$ . Let  $j^{\mathcal{F}in} : V \rightarrow M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  be a finite sub-iteration of  $j$  which has a representative  $\mu^*$  for  $\mu$ . We may assume that  $\mu^* = \kappa^*$  is the maximal key generator. We focus our attention on the following  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter of  $V$ .

**Definition 3.3** Define  $U_W = \{X \subseteq \kappa \mid \mu^* \in j^{\mathcal{F}in}(X)\}$ .

**Lemma 3.6**  $U_W \in V$  is a normal measure on  $\kappa$ .

*Proof* First, note that  $U_W \in V$  as  $j^{\mathcal{F}in}$  is definable in  $V$ . Let  $i : V \rightarrow N \cong Ult(V, U_W)$  be the induced ultrapower embedding and let  $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  be a function representing  $\kappa$  in this ultrapower. If the statement of the proposition is false then



there exists such a function  $f$  which is regressive. We will use such  $f$  to establish a contradiction by showing that there is a condition  $q \in G_\kappa$  such that  $j(q) \Vdash \check{d}(\check{\mu}) > \check{\kappa}$ . This is absurd as we assumed  $j''G_\kappa \subseteq G_W$  and  $d(\mu) = \kappa$  in  $M[G_W]$ . Note that  $N$  can be factored from the iterated ultrapower  $M^{\mathcal{F}in}$ . The map  $k : N \rightarrow M^{\mathcal{F}in}$  defined by  $k([g]_{U_W}) = j^{\mathcal{F}in}(g)(\mu^*)$  is elementary and  $j^{\mathcal{F}in} = k \circ i$ .

For every  $p \in \mathbb{P}_\kappa$  define a direct extension  $p^{-f} \geq^* p$  by reducing the (name of) every measure one set  $X_\nu(p)$  for all  $\nu \in \Delta$  such that

$$p^{-f} \upharpoonright_\nu \Vdash X_\nu(p^{-f}) = X_\nu(p) \setminus (f(\nu) + 1).$$

The definition of  $p^{-f}$  implies that there is a finite subset  $b \subset \Delta$  such that for every  $\nu \in \Delta \setminus b$ ,  $p^{-f} \Vdash \check{d}(\check{\nu}) > f(\nu)$ . From this we conclude  $i(p^{-f}) \Vdash \check{d}([id]_{U_W}) > \kappa$ , and by applying  $k$  that  $j^{\mathcal{F}in}(p^{-f}) \Vdash \check{d}(\mu^*) > k(\kappa) \geq \kappa$ . The result follows by applying a standard density argument and lifting the forcing assertion to  $M$  via  $i^{\mathcal{F}in}$ . □

We are now ready to prove the main result.

*Proof* (Theorem 1.1) Suppose  $\kappa$  is measurable in  $V$ . As usual, we denote by  $U_0$  the unique normal measure on  $\kappa$  which does not concentrate on  $\Delta$ . By Proposition 3.4 we know that  $U_0^\times = U_0^*$  is the unique normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V[G_\kappa]$  which does not include  $\Gamma$ . It is therefore sufficient to consider normal measures on  $\kappa$  in  $V^{\mathbb{P}_\kappa}$  which include  $\Gamma$ . First note that for every  $U \neq U_0$ , a normal measure on  $\kappa$  in  $V$ , then  $\Delta \in U$  and therefore by Proposition 2.1  $\Gamma \in U^\times$ . So  $U^\times \neq U_0^\times$ . Also, as  $U \subset U^*$  and  $d$  is injective above some bounded set in  $\kappa$  then distinct normal measures  $U$  in  $V$  induce distinct normal measures  $U^\times$  in the generic extension.

Finally, let  $W \in V^{\mathbb{P}_\kappa}$  be a normal measure on  $\kappa$  with  $\Gamma \in W$ . We use the facts and notations established at the start of Sect. 3.2 and Lemma 3.6. We claim that  $W = U_W^\times$ . It is sufficient to prove  $U_W^\times \subset W$  which, by Theorem 2.11, amounts to proving that  $d''A \in W$  for every  $A \in U_W$  (as  $\Sigma$  is a club and  $\Gamma \setminus \Pi$  is bounded below  $\kappa$ ). By the definition of  $U_W$ ,  $\mu^* \in j^{\mathcal{F}in}(A)$  for every  $A \in U_W$ . Applying the direct limit map  $i^{\mathcal{F}in}$  we find that  $\mu \in j(A)$ . The last implies  $\kappa \in j_W(d''A)$  in  $M_W = M[G_W]$ , and hence  $d''A \in W$ . □

### References

1. Apter, A., Cummings, J., Hamkins, J.: Large cardinals with few measures. In: Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 135, no. 7 (2007)
2. Baldwin, S.: The  $\leftarrow$ -ordering on normal ultrafilters. *J. Symb. Log.* **50**, 936–952 (1985)
3. Friedman, S.-D., Magidor, M.: The number of normal measures. *J. Symb. Log.* **74**, 1069–1080 (2009)
4. Gitik, M.: Prikry type forcings. In: Foreman, M., Kanamori, A. (eds.) *Handbook of Set Theory*, vol. 2, pp. 1351–1448. Springer (2010)
5. Jeffrey, S.: *Leaning Disassociated Indiscernibles*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Florida (1999)
6. Kunen, K.: Some application of iterated ultrapowers in set theory. *Ann. Math. Log.* **1**, 179–227 (1970)
7. Kunen, K., Paris, J.B.: Boolean extensions and measurable cardinals. *Ann. Math. Log.* **2**, 359–377 (1970/71)
8. Magidor, M.: How large is the first strongly compact cardinal? or A study on identity crisis. *Ann. Math. Log.* **10**, 33–57 (1976)

9. Prikry, K.: Changing measurable into accessible cardinals. *Dissertations Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* 68 (1970)
10. Schlutzenberg, F.: Measures in Mice. Ph.D. dissertation
11. Zeman, M.: Inner Models and Large Cardinals. de Gruyter series in Mathematical Logic, vol. 5. Walter de Gruyter & Co (2002)