P. E.Marik G.P. Zaloga and the Norasept II Study Investigators

Hypothermia and cytokines in septic shock

Received: 3 December 1999 Final revision received: 2 February 2000 Accepted 7 March 2000

P. E. Marik $(\boxtimes) \cdot G$. P. Zaloga Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Critical Care, Washington Hospital Center, 110 Irving Street, NW, Washington, DC 20010-2975, USA e-mail: pem4@mhg.edu Fax: + 1-2 02-2 91 03 86

Abstract Background: Hypothermic patients with sepsis have been reported to have a higher mortality than febrile septic patients. The failure to mount a febrile response in sepsis is poorly understood. Since the proinflammatory cytokines play a crucial role in the genesis of fever, we postulated that hypothermic patients with sepsis would have lower circulating levels of these cytokines than febrile patients Methods: Patients with septic shock who were enrolled into the placebo limb of the North American study of the safety and efficacy of murine monoclonal antibody to tumor necrosis factor for the treatment of septic shock (NORASEPT II) were analyzed. Body temperature, interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor α , soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor-55, and soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor-75 concentrations were measured at enrollment. The study population was divided into a hypothermic (temperature \leq 35.6 °C) and a febrile group (temperature ≥ 38.3 °C) according to the core temperature at enrollment (normothermia was an exclusion criteria). Clinical, demographic, and cytokine data were extracted, allowing for comparisons between these two groups of patients. In addition, the correlation between the core

body temperature and cytokine levels at enrollment was determined Results: A complete data set was available for 930 patients; 195 patients (21%) were hypothermic at enrollment. The 28-day survival of these patients was significantly lower than that of the febrile patients $(34\% \text{ vs. } 59\%, p < 0.001)$. Hypothermia (and enrollment temperature) were independent predictors of mortality. The hypothermic patients had a higher incidence of organ dysfunction at enrollment than the febrile patients. There was no significant difference in the cytokine profile between the two groups of patients. In addition, there was no correlation between the core body temperature at enrollment and the circulating levels of cytokines measured

Conclusion: Hypothermic patients with septic shock have a significantly higher mortality with a higher incidence of organ dysfunction than febrile septic shock patients. The hypothermia in these patients cannot be explained by lower levels of circulating proinflammatory cytokines.

Key words $Fever \cdot Hypothermia \cdot$ Cytokines · Interleukin-6 · Tumor necrosis factor \cdot Soluble tumor necrosis factor receptors

Introduction

Although fever is recognized as a cardinal feature of sepsis, some patients with serious infections are hypothermic on presentation to hospital. Although fever has some harmful effects, fever appears to be an adaptive response which has evolved to help rid the host of invading pathogens [1, 2, 3, 4]. Temperature elevation has been shown to enhance several parameters of immune function, including antibody production, T-cell activation, and neutrophil and macrophage function [5, 6, 7, 8]. Thus, inability to mount a febrile response may predispose to a worse outcome. The mortality of hypothermic patients with sepsis has been reported to be twice that of febrile septic patients [9, 10, 11]. However, the etiology of hypothermia during sepsis has not been established [9, 10, 11].

The proinflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor (TNF) α and interleukin (IL) 6 as well as IL-1 and δ -interferon play a pivotal role in the generation of the febrile response. These cytokines bind to specific receptors located in close proximity to the preoptic region of the anterior hypothalamus [12, 13]. Here the cytokine receptor interaction activates phospholipase A_2 , resulting in the liberation of plasma membrane arachidonic acid as substrate for the cyclo-oxygenase pathway. These cytokines also appear to increase cyclo-oxygenase expression directly, leading to liberation of prostaglandin $E₂$. This small lipid mediator diffuses across the blood-brain barrier, where it acts to decrease the rate of firing of preoptic warm-sensitive neurons, leading to activation of responses designed to decrease heat loss and increase heat production [12, 14].

The failure of the septic patient to mount a febrile response may conceivably result from diminished cytokine production (prehypothalamic defect), an abnormality of hypothalamic temperature regulation, or a failure of the peripheral heat generating mechanism (posthypothalamic defect) [11, 13]. We hypothesized that hypothermia in septic patients is due to a defect in proinflammatory cytokine generation (i. e., a prehypothalamic defect). In order to test this hypothesis we utilized data from the placebo limb of the North American study of the safety and efficacy of murine monoclonal antibody to TNF for the treatment of patients with septic shock (NORASEPT II). In this study IL-6, TNF- α , soluble TNF receptors 55 (sTNF-R55) and 75 (sTNF-R75) levels were drawn at randomization. A secondary aim of this study was to determine whether any clinical or laboratory features characterize patients with hypothermia.

Methods

The placebo limb of the NORASEPT II database was analyzed. The details of the NORASEPT II study have been previously published [15]. In summary, adults older than 18 years of age admitted to hospital with septic shock and who met all the following criteria were eligible for enrollment: (a) duration of shock less than 12 h, (b) clinical evidence of acute infection, (c) a core temperature of 38.3° C/101 °F or higher (febrile group) or one of 35.6° C/96 $^{\circ}$ F or lower (hypothermic group), (d) tachycardia, (e) need for mechanical ventilation or tachypnea, and (f) evidence of organ dysfunction within 12 h prior to enrollment. Shock was defined as hypotension (a systolic blood pressure less than 90 mmHg for longer than 30 min, a decrease in systolic blood pressure of greater than 40 mmHg from previously established values for longer than 30 min or vasopressor use to maintain systolic pressure greater than 90 mmHg) present at enrollment and refractory to an intravascular volume challenge of at least 500 ml organ dysfunction included: (a) oliguria (< 30 ml/h not resolved by \geq 500 ml fluid challenge), (b) coagulopathy (decrease in platelet count > 25% from the patients baseline or from the lower end of the normal range and prothrombin time > 20% normal or partial thromboplastin time > 20% normal or fibrin split products > 20% normal or D-dimer > 500 ng), (c) unexplained metabolic acidosis ($pH < 7.3$) or elevated plasma lactate level, (d) acute change in mental status not due to exogenous drugs or localized central nervous system (CNS) infection, and (e) hypoxemia (PaO₂/FiO_{2 \lt} 280). Patients who met the inclusion criteria, and in whom informed consent was obtained were randomized to receive either TNF- α monoclonal antibody or placebo. The patients were followed for 28 days. A complete data set was available for 930 patients. There were 563 (61%) male patients; 527 (64%) patients were white, 197 (22%) black, and 77 (8%) Hispanic. The patients' mean age was 59 ± 17 years (range 18±102).

The investigators identified the primary infection leading to shock, causative organisms, and therapy received. IL-6, TNF- α , sTNF-R55, and sTNF-R75 concentrations were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay at enrollment (Cistron Biotechnology, Pine Brook, N.Y., and Bayer, Berkeley, Calif., USA). The enrollment serum cytokine samples were obtained at the time that the enrollment clinical data (including temperature) was recorded. The minimum detectable concentration for TNF- α was 15 pg/ml, 75 pg/ml for IL-6, and 0.4 ng/ml for the sTNF-R55 and sTNF-R75 assays. The intra and interassay coefficients of variation were less than 9%.

The placebo NORASEPT II data were provided by the sponsor (Bayer, West Haven, Conn., USA) as 30 comma delimited text files. These files were imported into Access 97 (Microsoft, Redmond, Wash., USA) and linked to form a relational database. The study population was divided into a hypothermic and febrile group according to the core temperature at enrollment (normothermia was an exclusion criteria). The following data were extracted to describe each group: patient demographics, acute physiology and laboratory variables including cytokine levels at enrollment, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) score (day 1), primary pathogens, and 28-day outcome.

Summary statistics were computed to describe the two groups of patients. A χ^2 analysis was used to compare categorical data. Student's t test and the Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare continuous variables. The correlation between the enrollment core body temperature and enrollment cytokine levels were determined using Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient. Logistic regression analysis with forward variable selection was performed to determine those variables independently predictive

of mortality. Unless otherwise stated, all data are expressed as mean \pm SD, with statistical significance declared for probability values of 0.05 or less.

Results

The 28-day survival was 57%. The core body temperature at enrollment was 38.7 ± 1.8 °C in the survivors and 37.6 ± 2.4 °C in non-survivors ($p < 0.001$). One hundred and ninety-five patients (21%) were hypothermic at enrollment. The 28-day survival was significantly lower in the hypothermic patients compared to the febrile patients (34% vs. 59%, $p < 0.001$). The was no gender difference in survival (men 56%, women 59%).

The clinical and laboratory data of the hypothermic and febrile patients at enrollment are listed in Table 1. The hypothermic patients had significantly higher APACHE II scores, more organ dysfunction at enrollment (renal, CNS, and coagulopathy), with significantly greater hepatocellular dysfunction than the febrile patients. Logistic regression analysis identified the APACHE II score, followed by enrollment temperature (or hypothermia), IL-6 level, serum creatinine, and sTNF-R55 level as independent predictors of mortality. There was no correlation between the APACHE II score and enrollment temperature $(R^2 = 0.03)$.

The cytokine levels of the hypothermic and febrile patients are listed in Table 2. There was no significant difference in the cytokine profile between the two groups of patients. Furthermore, there was no correlation between core temperature and the circulating levels of IL-6 and TNF- α or the levels of the circulating soluble TNF receptors (IL-6, $R^2 = 0.0005$, $p = 0.6$; TNF- α , $R^2 = 0.006$, $p = 0.12$; sTNF-R55, $R^2 = 0.002$, $p = 0.2$; sTNF-R75, $\mathbb{R}^2 = 0.0$, $p = 0.97$). Scatter plots of the enrollment temperature and IL-6 and TNF- α levels are depicted in Figs. 1 and 2. The was no gender difference in cytokines levels (IL-6, $45.5 \pm 142.4 \,\mu$ g/ml in men and $42.9 \pm 111.0 \,\mu$ g/ml in women; TNF- α , $411.7 \pm 497.9 \,\text{pg}/$ ml in men and 394.4 ± 441.8 pg/ml in women; sTNF-R75, 21.7 ± 20.6 ng/ml in men and 22.7 ± 21.6 ng/ml in men; and sTNF-R55, 23.8 ± 18.6 ng/ml in men and 24.2 ± 20.1 ng/ml in women. The bacterial isolates are provided in Table 3. There was no significant difference in the spectrum of infecting pathogens between the hypothermic and febrile patients.

Discussion

We found that hypothermic patients with septic shock had a mortality almost twice that of febrile patients with septic shock. In addition, hypothermic patients

Fig. 1 Scatter plot of the enrollment temperature and IL-6 level

Fig. 2 Scatter plots of the enrollment temperature and TNF- α level

were more likely to have organ dysfunction at presentation. Surprisingly, there was no difference between the circulating levels of IL-6, TNF- α , sTNF-R55, and sTNF-R75 between these two groups of patients at enrollment, and no correlation between the core body temperature at enrollment and the circulating levels of these cytokines. In addition, there was no difference in the spectrum of infecting pathogens between the hypothermic and febrile patients.

The incidence of hypothermia at enrollment was 9% in the Methylprednisolone Severe Sepsis Study [9], 9.7% in the Veteran Administration Systemic Sepsis Cooperative Study of Glucocorticoid Therapy [10], and 10% in the Ibuprofen Sepsis Study Group [11]. The higher incidence of hypothermia in our study may be related to the stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria of the NORASEPT II study and the exclusion of normothermic septic patients. Furthermore, the higher incidence

Table 2 Cytokine levels in the hypothermic and febrile patients

	Hypothermic $(n = 195)$	Febrile $(n = 735)^*$
IL-6 \dagger	$123(63\%)$	443 (60%)
IL-6 (ug/ml)	50.2 ± 122.4	42.9 ± 133.2
TNF +	$80(41\%)$	287 (39%)
TNF (pg/ml)	385 ± 355	413 ± 502
$sTNF-R75$ †	174 (89%)	$632(86\%)$
$sTNF-R75$ (ng/ml)	22.6 ± 17.9	22.0 ± 21.1
$sTNF-R55$ †	$166(85\%)$	608 (83%)
$sTNF-R55$ (ng/ml)	26.4 ± 21.4	23.3 ± 18.5

* no significant difference between groups

² number of patients (%) in whom cytokine/cytokine receptor levels were detected in serum

Table 3 Implicated pathogens in the hypothermic and febrile patients

Hypothermic $(n = 195)$	Febrile $(n = 735)^*$
47 (24 %)	$181(25\%)$
$24(12\%)$	133 (18%)
$26(13\%)$	125 (17%)
$39(20\%)$	$110(15\%)$
$28(14\%)$	87 (12%)
$13(6\%)$	48 (7%)
$8(4\%)$	43 (6%)
15 (8%)	$39(5\%)$
$4(4\%)$	32(4%)

* no significant difference between groups

of hypothermia in our study may be related to the fact that the previous studies enrolled a wide spectrum of septic patients, whereas this study included only patients with septic shock [9, 10, 11]. However, in agreement with these other studies the mortality was significantly higher in the hypothermic patients. In the Methylprednisolone Severe Sepsis Study the mortality was 62% in the hypothermic patients compared to 26% in the febrile group [9]. In addition, these authors reported that the hypothermic patients had a higher incidence of altered mental status and elevated serum bilirubin levels when compared with the febrile patients. In the Veteran Administration Systemic Sepsis Cooperative Study the mortality of the hypothermic patients was 57% compared to 28% in the febrile patients. Similarly, in the Ibuprofen Sepsis Study Group the mortality rate was 70% in the hypothermic patients as compared to 35% for the febrile patients [11].

Since IL-6 and TNF- α play a pivotal role in the generation of the febrile response, we were surprised that their was no significant difference in the levels of these cytokines between the two groups of patients. Furthermore, the circulating levels of the soluble TNF receptors were similar between the two groups of patients. As

TNF- α is released early in the inflammatory cascade and has a short half-life, and because $TNF-\alpha$ is the major factor responsible for the release of its receptors, the circulating levels of sTNF-R55 and sTNF-R75 have been suggested to reflect the earlier TNF- α peak [16, 17, 18, 18, 20, 21, 22]. The fact that the levels of the soluble TNF receptors were similar between the two groups of patients provides further evidence that there was no significant difference in the cytokine profile between the hypothermic and febrile patients. In the Ibuprofen Sepsis Study Group ($n = 455$), TNF- α and IL-6 levels were measured in 30 hypothermic patients and 44 randomly selected febrile patients at enrollment [11]. Contrary to our findings, both the TNF- α and IL-6 were significantly higher in the hypothermic patients. We have no explanation for these differing results, except for the fact that our study sample was much larger than that of the Ibuprofen Sepsis Study Group. Although the TNF- α levels in both these studies were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (which measures total circulating levels of TNF- α), it is possible that differences in the sensitivity and specificity of the assays could account for these disparate results. Potential limitations of our study include the fact that IL-1 levels were not available at enrollment and that the total circulating levels of TNF- α were measured rather than the free biologically active molecule. While the TNF- α level as measured in this study reflects both free biologically active and receptor-bound TNF, it does provide an index of the total amount of circulating $TNF-\alpha$ and total TNF- α production.

The exact etiology of hypothermia in sepsis remains unknown; however, the results of our study suggest that it may not be due to decreased circulating levels of pyrogenic cytokines (i. e., prehypothalamic causes). We suggest that the hypothermia of sepsis may be due to hypothalamic dysfunction with alteration in the thermal setpoint. In hypothalamic hypothermia, with lowering of the thermal set-point, there is a loss of reactive peripheral vasoconstriction and shivering. In our study, as in theMethylprednisolone Severe Sepsis Study, there was a higher incidence of mental status changes in the hypothermic patients [9].We suggest that hypothermia may be a manifestation of CNS dysfunction induced by altered brain neurotransmitter activity in patients with sepsis. Depressed levels of norepinephrine, dopamine, 5-hydroxytryptamine, and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid have been demonstrated in the brains of septic animals, while the levels of phenylacetic acid are markedly increased [23, 24, 25]. Hypothalamic-pituitary dysfunction is common in sepsis and results in altered levels of thyroid hormone, prolactin, luteinizing hormone, follicle-stimulating hormone, and other pituitary hormones [26, 27, 28]. Neuromedin C, a peptide found in high concentrations in the hypothalamus, as well as thyrotropin-releasing hormone, have been demonstrated to produce dose-dependent decreases in body temperature [29, 30]. Altered levels of these and other neurotransmitters and hormones may therefore be responsible for the hypothermia of sepsis. It is, however, also plausible that a circulating "mediatorº or cryogen produced by the inflammatory cascade may act directly to lower the thermal set-point [31].

Although men predominate $(60-65\%$ of patients) in the sepsis studies which have been performed to date, the role of gender on the outcome in sepsis and on the circulating levels of cytokines has not been well studied [32]. Female sex hormones have been demonstrated to have immunostimulating properties while androgenic hormones are immunosuppressive [33, 34, 35, 36]. Furthermore, in a cecal ligation model, Zellweger and colleagues [37] demonstrated an improved cell-mediated immune response and higher survival in female than in male mice. In our study there was no difference in survival or the levels of circulating proinflammatory cytokines between men and women.

In conclusion, the findings of our study support the notion that hypothermic septic patients are clinically distinct from febrile septic patients [11]. These patients frequently have evidence of marked cellular and organ dysfunction and have a higher mortality than febrile septic patients. We report that hypothermia in septic shock patients does not result from decreased levels of circulating proinflammatory cytokines. We suggest that hypothermia of sepsis is a consequence of hypothalamic dysfunction in these patients. However, it is unclear from our study whether hypothermia is merely a marker of patients who have sustained a more severe physiological insult than febrile patients, or whether hypothermia is a pathophysiological factor in itself. Further, studies are required to better define the etiology of hypothermia during sepsis. The studies should focus on hypothalamic and posthypothalamic causes of hypothermia.

Acknowledgements The authors express their gratitude to Mr. Thomas E. Mansfield III, Senior Clinical Program Analyst, Bayer Corporation, for his invaluable help in preparing the data for analysis and the Bayer Corporation for providing access to the data.

References

- 1. Kluger MJ, Kozak W, Conn CA, Leon LR, Soszynski D (1996) The adaptive value of fever. Infect Dis Clin North Am 10:1-20
- 2. Kluger MJ, Vaughn LK (1978) Fever and survival in rabbits infected with Pasteurella multocida. J Physiol (Lond) 282: 243±251
- 3. Bernheim HA, Kluger MJ (1976) Fever and antipyresis in the lizard Dipsosaurus dorsalis. Am J Physiol 231: 198-203
- 4. D'Alecy LG, Kluger MJ (1975) Avian febrile response. J Physiol (Lond) 253: 223±232
- 5. Jampel HD, Duff GW, Gershon RK, Atkins E, Durum SK (1983) Fever and immunoregulation. III. Hyperthermia augments the primary in vitro humoral immune response. J Exp Med 157: 1229±1238
- 6. Oss CJ van, Absolom DR, Moore LL, Park BH, Humbert JR (1980) Effect of temperature on the chemotaxis, phagocytic engulfment, digestion and $O₂$ consumption of human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. J Reticuloendothelial Soc 27: 561-565
- 7. Biggar WD, Bohn DJ, Kent G, Barker C, Hamilton G (1984) Neutrophil migration in vitro and in vivo during hypothermia. Infect Immun 46: 857-859
- 8. Azocar J, Yunis EJ, Essex M (1982) Sensitivity of human natural killer cells to hyperthermia. Lancet 1: 16–17
- 9. Clemmer TP, Fisher CJ Jr, Bone RC, Slotman GJ, Metz CA, Thomas FO (1992) Hypothermia in the sepsis syndrome and clinical outcome. The Methylprednisolone Severe Sepsis Study Group. Crit Care Med 20: 1395-1401
- 10. Sprung CL, Peduzzi PN, Shatney CH, et al (1990) Impact of encephalopathy on mortality in the sepsis syndrome. The Veterans Administration Systemic Sepsis Cooperative Study Group. Crit Care Med 18: 801–806
- 11. Arons MM, Wheeler AP, Bernard GR, et al (1999) Effects of ibuprofen on the physiology and survival of hypothermic sepsis. Crit Care Med 27: 699-707
- 12. Mackowiak PA (1998) Concepts of fever. Arch Intern Med 158: 1870-1881
- 13. Saper CB, Breder CD (1994) The neurologic basis of fever. N Engl J Med 330: 1880±1886
- 14. Mackowiak PA, Bartlett JG, Borden EC, et al (1997) Concepts of fever: recent advances and lingering dogma. Clin Infect Dis 25: 119-138
- 15. Abraham E, Anzueto A, Gutierrez G, et al (1998) Double-blind randomized controlled trial of monoclonal antibody to human tumor necrosis factor in treatment of septic shock. Lancet 351: 929±933
- 16. Curfs JH, Meis JF, Hoogkamp-Korstanje JA (1997) A primer on cytokines: sources, receptors, effects, and inducers. Clin Microbiol Rev 10: 742-780
- 17. Lantz M, Gullberg U, Nilsson E, Olsson I (1990) Characterization in vitro of a human tumor necrosis factor-binding protein. A soluble form of a tumor necrosis factor receptor. J Clin Invest 86: 1396±1342
- 18. Lantz M, Malik S, Slevin ML, Olsson I (1990) Infusion of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) causes an increase in circulating TNF-binding protein in humans. Cytokine 2: 402-406
- 19. Presterl E, Staudinger T, Pettermann M, et al (1997) Cytokine profile and correlation to the APACHE III and MPM II scores in patients with sepsis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 156: 825±832
- 20. Redl H, Schlag G, Adolf GR, Natmessnig B, Davies J (1995) Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-dependent shedding of the p55 TNF receptor in a baboon model of bacteremia. Infect Immun 63: 297±300
- 21. Kern WV, Engel A, Kern P (1995) Soluble tumor necrosis factor receptors in febrile neutropenic cancer patients. Infection 23: 64-65
- 22. Van Zee KJ, Kohno T, Fischer E, Rock CS, Moldawer LL, Lowry SF (1992) Tumor necrosis factor soluble receptors circulate during experimental and clinical inflammation and can protect against excessive tumor necrosis factor alpha in vitro and in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 89: 4845-4849
- 23. Mizock BA, Sabelli HC, Dubin A, Javaid JI, Poulos A, Rackow EC (1990) Septic encephalopathy. Evidence for altered phenylalanine metabolism and comparison with hepatic encephalopathy. Arch Intern Med 150: 443-449
- 24. Bowton DL (1989) CNS effects of sepsis. Crit Care Clin 5: 785-592
- 25. Freund HR, Muggia-Sullam M, Peiser J, Melamed E (1985) Brain neurotransmitter profile is deranged during sepsis and septic encephalopathy in the rat. J Surg Res 38: 267–271
- 26. Frayn KN (1986) Hormonal control of metabolism in trauma and sepsis. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 24: 577-599
- 27. Dennhardt R, Gramm HJ, Meinhold K, Voigt K (1989) Patterns of endocrine secretion during sepsis. Prog Clin Biol Res 308: 751-756
- 28. Sumita S, Ujike Y, Namiki A, et al (1994) Suppression of the thyrotropin response to thyrotropin-releasing hormone and its association with severity of critical illness. Crit Care Med 22: 1603±1609
- 29. Itoh S, Takashima A, Itoh T, Morimoto T (1995) Effects of neuromedins and related peptides on the body temperature of rats. Jpn J Physiol 45: 37-45
- 30. Hendriksen JH, Bachelor PA, Newman RJ, Stanton TL (1992) Thyrotropin-releasing hormone action in the preoptic/ anterior hypothalamus decreases thermoregulatory set point in ground squirrels. Regul Pept 38: 55-69
- 31. Kluger MJ (1991) Fever: role of pyrogens and cryogens. Physiol Rev 71: $93 - 127$
- 32. Bone RC (1992) Toward an epidemiology and natural history of SIRS (systemic inflammatory response syndrome). JAMA 268: 3452-3455
- 33. Wichmann MW, Zellweger R, DeMaso CM, Ayala A, Chaudry IH (1996) Enhanced immune responses in females, as opposed to decreased responses in males following haemorrhagic shock and resuscitation. Cytokine 8: 853-863
- 34. Olsen NJ, Kovacs WJ (1996) Gonadal steroids and immunity. Endocrine Rev 17: 369±384
- 35. Wichmann MW, Zellweger R, DeMaso CM, Ayala A, Chaudry IH (1996) Mechanism of immunosuppression in males following trauma-hemorrhage. Critical role of testosterone. Arch Surg 131: 1186±1191
- 36. Grossman CJ (1985) Interactions between the gonadal steroids and the immune response. Science 227: 257-261
- 37. Zellweger R, Wichmann MW, Ayala A, Stein S, DeMaso CM, Chaudry IH (1997) Females in proestrus state maintain splenic immune functions and tolerate sepsis better than males. Crit Care Med 25: 106-110