NARRATIVE REVIEW

Complement system activation: bridging physiology, pathophysiology, and therapy

Elie Azoulay^{1*}[®][,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8162-1508) Julien Zuber², Ahmed Aziz Bousfiha^{3,4,5}, Yun Long⁶, Ying Tan^{7,8,9}, Sushan Luo^{10,11,12}, Meriem Essafti¹³ and Diillali Annane^{14,15,16,17}

© 2024 Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature

Abstract

The complement system is a set of over 50 proteins that constitutes an essential part of the innate immune system. Complement system activation involves an organized proteolytic cascade. Overactivation of complement system activation is the main pathogenic mechanism of several diseases and contributes to the manifestations of many other conditions. This review describes the normal complement system and the role for complement dysregulation in critical illnesses, notably sepsis and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Complement activation is involved in the immune system response to pathogens but, when excessive, can contribute to tissue damage, runaway infammation, and capillary leakage syndrome. Complement overactivation may play a key role in severe forms of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Two diseases whose manifestations are mainly caused by complement overactivation, namely, atypical hemolytic and uremic syndrome (aHUS) and myasthenia gravis, are discussed. A diagnostic algorithm for aHUS is provided. Early complement-inhibiting therapy has been proven effective. When renal transplantation is required, complement-inhibiting drugs can be used prophylactically to prevent aHUS recurrence. Similarly, acetylcholine-receptor autoantibody-positive generalized myasthenia gravis involves complement system overactivation and responds to complement inhibition. The two main complement inhibitors used in to date routine are eculizumab and ravulizumab. The main adverse event is *Neisseria* infection, which is rare and preventable, but can be fatal. The complement system is crucial to health but, when overactivated, can cause or contribute to disease. Efective complement inhibitors are now available, although additional data are required to determine optimal regimens. Further research is also needed to better understand the complement system, develop advanced diagnostic tools, and identify markers that allow the personalization of treatment strategies.

Keywords: Complement system proteins, Complement activation, Critical illness, Atypical hemolytic–uremic syndrome, Myasthenia gravis, Sepsis, Acute respiratory distress syndrome, Severe COVID-19

*Correspondence: elie.azoulay@aphp.fr

¹ Intensive Care Unit, Saint-Louis University Hospital, AP-HP, Paris Cité University, Paris, France

Full author information is available at the end of the article

Introduction

The complement system is a crucial component of the innate immune system that protects against infections and plays a key role in host homeostasis [\[1](#page-9-0), [2\]](#page-9-1). When the complement system is activated, over 50 proteins engage in a proteolytic cascade, in concert with antibodies and other immune system components. The cascade can be rapidly triggered in the presence of antigen–antibody complexes or activating surfaces such as bacteria or apoptotic necrotic cells, via three main pathways (classical, mannan-binding lectin, and alternative). One major result is the identifcation, opsonization, and elimination

of pathogens. The complement system is also involved in modulating infammation and interacting with the adaptive immune system to fne-tune the immune response. Over- or underactivity of the complement system can cause a variety of abnormalities [[3\]](#page-9-2). Tight regulation by surface-bound and soluble factors normally ensures efective pathogen clearance without excessive tissue damage or infammation. If regulation is inadequate, the complement alternative pathway (cAP) can act as an amplifcation loop for all three pathways, potentially inducing runaway infammation with damage to host tissues $[3]$ $[3]$. The endothelium is one of the primary targets of dysregulated complement activity [[4,](#page-9-3) [5\]](#page-9-4). Imbalance in complement-regulating proteins is associated with complement-mediated (atypical) hemolytic and uremic syndrome (aHUS) [[6\]](#page-9-5), myasthenia gravis (MG) [\[7](#page-9-6)], C3 glomerulopathy [\[8](#page-9-7)], and paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria [[9\]](#page-9-8). Moreover, complement overactivation triggered by various pathophysiological processes is being increasingly reported in many other diseases (Table [1](#page-2-0)) [[10–](#page-10-0)[12](#page-10-1)].

This review examines the role of complement dysregulation in common critical illness and in complementmediated diseases that often require intensive care unit (ICU) admission. The normal structure, function, and regulation of the complement system are reviewed. Then specifc attention is given to aHUS and MG, in which complement dysregulation plays a pivotal role.

The normal complement system

The role of the complement system in the immune response goes far beyond acting as a mere complement to antibody response. The numerous components of the complement system are produced primarily by the liver and are found in the blood and lymph, on cell surfaces, and in subcellular locations. This system is crucial to cell homeostasis and plays a key role in combating infections by inducing a systemic infammatory response, strengthening and modulating immune responses, and directly killing certain pathogens. It also protects against harm from endogenous sources such as immune complexes and apoptotic cells [[1](#page-9-0), [2\]](#page-9-1).

Figure [1](#page-3-0) shows that the main functions of activated complement components are lysis (of cells, bacteria, or viruses), opsonization (phagocytosis), activation of the infammatory response, and clearance of immune complexes. Figure [2](#page-3-1) describes the three main pathways of complement system activation: the classical pathway is triggered by antibody–antigen complexes and the mannan-binding lectin pathway by carbohydrates in bacterial walls, whereas the cAP is activated non-proteolytically when the water in plasma hydrolyzes the thioester bond

Take‑home message

While the complement system is integral to pathogen elimination and maintenance of host homeostasis, its overactivation can cause tissue damage and runaway infammation. Complement system dysregulation, as well as genetic factors, contribute to the symptoms of numerous critical illnesses including sepsis and ARDS. The introduction of complement inhibitors have improved the outcomes of several diseases but new tools are needed to provide early diagnosis of complement-driven diseases.

within C3, producing an active C3 convertase. After a sequence of serine protease reactions, the three pathways converge to generate C3 convertase and C5 convertase, which induce the release of anaphylatoxins (C3a and C5a) and opsonin (C3b/iC3b). The anaphylatoxins C3a and C5a bind to specifc receptors, thereby inducing the production of infammatory mediators, stimulating endothelial cells, and promoting cell migration and activation. Moreover, C5b binds with C6, C7, C8, and components of C9 to form C5b–9, also known as the membrane attack complex (MAC), at the pathogen surface, causing cell lysis (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-1) [\[1](#page-9-0), [2\]](#page-9-1).

The classical and lectin pathways are initially triggered when pattern-recognition molecules (C1q and mannosebinding lectin) recognize pathogen-associated or damage-associated molecular patterns. In contrast, the cAP is always active at a low level (a process referred to as tickover) and involves spontaneous hydrolysis of C3, which releases small amounts of C3b. C3b binds covalently to activating surfaces, producing the C3 convertase C3b.Bb and initiating the amplifcation loop. Host-tissue damage is normally prevented via tight regulation of the cAP by the soluble factors H and I and by membrane-bound factors including membrane cofactor protein (CD46), complement receptor 1 (CD35), decay-accelerating factor (CD55), and CD59.

Complement is benefcial in attacking foreign invaders, but can also damage host cells. Four levels of control of complement system activation normally ensure proper function while preventing tissue damage. The first level involves early control at the stage of pathogen-associated or damage-associated molecular pattern recognition, through plasma C1 esterase inhibitor (C1-INH), which inhibits activated-C4 cleavage in the classical and lectin pathways. The second level prevents unwanted C3b/C4b deposition on host cells, via regulators such as factor I, factor H, C4BP, and complement receptor 1. The third level increases the dissociation of C3 and C5 convertases on host cells through factor H, C4BP, CD46, complement receptor 1, and CD55. Finally, at the fourth level, regulators such as CD59, clusterin, and vitronectin prevent MAC insertion on cell surfaces. Deficiencies in these

Table 1 Complement system-related diseases

ANCA anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, *ARDS* acute respiratory distress syndrome, *C1-INH* C1 esterase inhibitor, *COPD* chronic obstructive lung disease, *COVID-19* coronavirus disease 2019, *GPI* glycosylphosphatidyl inositol, *PIGA* phosphatidylinositol glycan anchor biosynthesis class A

protective controls or overactivation of the complement system may contribute to organ damage, infections, and other unwanted events.

The complement system in critical illnesses

The complement system plays a major role in the pathogenesis of critical illnesses [\[3](#page-9-2)]. A potent infammatory response is the rule, notably in sepsis, and the complement system is therefore strongly activated [\[13](#page-10-2), [14](#page-10-3)]. Notably, complement and coagulation proteolytic cascades are intimately linked at the crossroad between blood cells and microvascular endothelium $[15]$ $[15]$. The large amounts of the proinfammatory protein C5a released by sepsis induce tissue damage, increase the risk of infection [[16\]](#page-10-5), and enhance blood thrombogenicity, mainly through upregulation of tissue factor (TF) and

plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) expression [[15\]](#page-10-4). Hence, not surprisingly, both dysregulated complement and coagulation systems are critically involved in sepsis-related disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) [[17\]](#page-10-6). C3a and C5a increase cytokine levels, leukocyte chemotaxis, and vascular endothelial permeability, thereby contributing to capillary leakage syndrome [[13](#page-10-2), 18]. The plasma serine protease C1-INH is the primary inhibitor of the classical complement pathway. Decreased C1-INH levels have been reported in patients with sepsis [[19\]](#page-10-8), notably those with refractory shock $[20]$ $[20]$, and may promote complement overactivation. C1-INH administration has been associated with improved clinical outcomes of patients with sepsis [\[21](#page-10-10), [22\]](#page-10-11). Similarly, the use of C3 blocker in a non-human primate model of sepsis decreased the coagulopathic response, preserved the

endothelial anticoagulant properties, improved cardiac function, and mitigated kidney damage [[23\]](#page-10-12).

On the other hand, greater cAP activity has been associated with better survival of critically ill patients [\[24](#page-10-13)]. However, this fnding may merely denote less complement consumption in survivors $[25]$ $[25]$. The red blood cell dysfunction and accelerated aging that occur during critical illness may be related to deposition on the cells of complement-activation products [[26,](#page-10-15) [27](#page-10-16)].

Excessive complement activation may occur in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [\[28\]](#page-10-17). However, fndings from experimental models and clinical trials are conficting [\[24](#page-10-13), [29](#page-10-18), [30\]](#page-10-19). Valuable insights have been produced by studies in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [\[31](#page-10-20)–[34\]](#page-10-21). Prolonged complement overactivation may be a key pathophysiological factor in severe COVID-19 [[35](#page-10-22)[–37](#page-10-23)]. Clinical, transcriptomic, and genetic evidence suggest that complement overactivation may be associated with worse outcomes of severe COVID-19 [\[38\]](#page-10-24). Whether measurements of complement activation and assays of specifc complement proteins are useful to guide intensive care deserves investigation. In sepsis, however, the extent of complement overactivation may be so marked that complement markers no longer predict the severity of infammation or clinical outcomes [[39\]](#page-10-25).

In a nonrandomized study, adding a complement inhibitor to standard care was associated with better survival in patients with severe COVID-19 [[35](#page-10-22)]. In a phase 2 randomized trial, the C3-blocker compstatin AMY-101 did not signifcantly improve the outcomes of severe COVID-19, perhaps due to the small sample size of only 31 patients [\[40\]](#page-10-26). However, in a randomized controlled trial in 202 patients receiving mechanical ventilation for severe COVID-19, adding the complement inhibitor ravulizumab produced no clinical benefts [\[41](#page-10-27)].

Bradykinin-related angioedema represents a classic, yet infrequent challenge in critical care settings [\[42](#page-10-28)], as opposed to histamine-mediated angioedema by its lack of response to traditional anti-allergic medications such as antihistamines, corticosteroids, and epinephrine [\[43](#page-10-29)]. This type of angioedema can be hereditary, as seen in hereditary angioedema or acquired, often linked to the use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors [\[44](#page-10-30)]. The underlying pathophysiology involves excessive production or impaired degradation of bradykinin, a potent vasodilator, which leads to increased vascular permeability and subsequent edema. Management of bradykininrelated angioedema necessitates targeted therapies [\[45](#page-10-31)], including C1-inhibitor concentrates, bradykinin receptor antagonists such as icatibant, and kallikrein inhibitors like ecallantide. In case of unavailability of these targeted

treatments [\[46](#page-10-32)], attacks should be treated with solvent detergent-treated plasma, or alternatively with fresh frozen plasma. These specific treatments have been shown to be efective in alleviating symptoms and reducing the severity of attacks, underscoring the importance of early recognition and appropriate intervention in these critical scenarios. Understanding the distinct mechanisms and treatment options for bradykinin-related angioedema is essential for optimizing patient outcomes in the emergency and critical care settings.

Complement system inhibition

A radical breakthrough in recent years has been the development of drugs capable of inhibiting the complement system. The recombinant monoclonal antibody eculizumab inhibits C5 and was approved in the United States of America (USA) in 2007 and in Europe in 2011. C5 inhibition preserves opsonization by C3b and C4b, as well as immune signaling mediated by C3a, but prevents generation of the highly proinfammatory C5a and MAC. Eculizumab is generally well tolerated, with headache being one of the most common adverse efects. In a 2023 review of 10 years of post-marketing surveillance data from Japan, the safety profle was consistent with that seen in clinical studies, and no new safety signals were recorded [\[47](#page-10-33)]. However, complement inhibition impairs defenses against encapsulated bacteria, and *Neisseria* infection is a serious but rare complication. Over the 10 years from 2007 to 2016, 76 cases of meningococcal infection were reported in eculizumab-treated patients, including 8 with fatal outcomes [\[48](#page-10-34)]. Ravulizumab was engineered from eculizumab to extend the half-life, allowing for less frequent dosing [\[49,](#page-10-35) [50\]](#page-10-36). However, as pointed out by Benatar et al., research priorities are still needed to develop new patient-reported outcomes and biomarkers-guided clinical indications, and generate up-to-date health economic data [[51\]](#page-11-0). Several drugs have been developed from the peptide compstatin AMY-101, which inhibits C3. Among them is pegcetacoplan, which is approved for paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria and geographic atrophy. Another peptide, zilucoplan, which inhibits C5, was approved in 2023 for the treatment of generalized myasthenia gravis (gMG) [[52\]](#page-11-1). Interestingly, small proteins, including nomacopan and zilucoplan, which block C5 cleavage via the binding to a diferent C5 domain than eculizumab, elicit potent clinical efficacy in the carriers of C5 variant (R885H) unlike eculizumab/ravulizumab [\[53](#page-11-2)]. A good safety profle has been reported, with no cases of *Neisseria* infection when appropriate risk mitigation measures are taken [[54\]](#page-11-3). However, caution regarding the risk of infection by encapsulated bacteria is warranted [[54](#page-11-3)]. Many other complement inhibitors are being developed [\[55](#page-11-4)].

Atypical (complement-driven) hemolytic and uremic syndrome

HUS combines kidney failure, hemolytic anemia, and thrombocytopenia and can require ICU admission [\[56](#page-11-5)]. Both HUS and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) belong to the thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) family. TMAs are characterized by thrombi in the capillaries and arterioles due to endothelial injury and dysregulated coagulation. However, renal involvement is a hallmark of HUS [[57\]](#page-11-6).

The classification of TMAs now relies on pathogenic criteria rather than on clinical characteristics. TTP is due to a defciency in ADAMTS13 (a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with a thrombospondin type 1 motif, member 13), whereas primary HUS, later renamed aHUS, is characterized by inherited or acquired dysregulation of cAP. Nevertheless, some forms of secondary TMA occurring concomitantly with other diseases or drug exposures have a more complex mechanism [[57\]](#page-11-6). Thus, extrinsic factors may cause endothelial damage that interacts with complement dysregulation, blurring the line between aHUS and various forms of secondary HUS. The multifaceted nature of HUS thus continues to raise diagnostic and classifcation challenges.

In aHUS, complement dysregulation is a primary pathogenic driver, but can be exacerbated or triggered by external insults to the endothelial cells such as infections, medications, autoimmune diseases, and other factors that can independently cause endothelial damage or stress. Among patients with aHUS, up to 62% exhibit rare variants in complement genes or signifcant genomic rearrangements [\[58](#page-11-7)]. Over 500 rare variants have been identified, predominantly located in five key complement genes, namely, *CFH*, *CFI*, *MCP*, *C3*, and *CFB* [\[59](#page-11-8)[–62](#page-11-9)].

Applying a standard diagnostic algorithm for aHUS (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)) is particularly valuable, as missing the diagnosis may delay appropriate complement-inhibiting therapy and result in underestimation of the relapse risk. In secondary HUS, whether complement activation is selflimited, inherent to the inaugural endothelial damage, or fueled by constitutive cAP dysregulation is relevant to the possible usefulness of complement-inhibition therapy [[63\]](#page-11-10).

In adult patients with thrombotic microangiopathy and organ dysfunction requiring ICU admission, we have recommended starting therapeutic daily plasma exchange allowing a delivery of 60 ml/kg of plasma (1,5 blood volume) until TTP is excluded (detectable ADAMTS13 activity) and HUS due to Shiga

toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC-HUS) and secondary HUS are ruled out $[64, 65]$ $[64, 65]$ $[64, 65]$ $[64, 65]$ $[64, 65]$. Then, eculizumab should be started without waiting for an additional complement biomarker, or any additional result. We do not support the use of C5 blockade only in case patients are refractory or dependent on plasma exchange because of the poor long-term results, particularly regarding renal outcomes [[66\]](#page-11-13). Last, whether therapeutic plasma exchange can be considered as second-line therapy where C5 blockade proves inefective has not been properly assessed.

Two clinical settings associated with HUS, namely, pregnancy and malignant hypertension, deserve note [\[56](#page-11-5), [67\]](#page-11-14). Pregnancy-related HUS is a form of aHUS triggered by the systemic endothelial stress induced by pregnancy [[68\]](#page-11-15). Rare complement variants have been detected in about half of patients with pregnancy-related HUS [\[69](#page-11-16)]. Eculizumab has been found to be safe and efective during pregnancy [\[70\]](#page-11-17).

Malignant hypertension is a recognized cause of secondary TMA, but can also be a manifestation of (primary) aHUS, and the two must be distinguished. Hypertensive emergencies accounted for over half the presentations of aHUS in one study [[37\]](#page-10-23). Interestingly, the prevalences of pathogenic gene variants and of gene variants of unknown signifcance did not difer across groups defined by hypertension severity. These variants were less common in patients of African ancestry who experienced hypertensive emergencies with TMA features [\[71\]](#page-11-18). Of 55 patients with aHUS, 36 (65%) had grade 2/3 hypertension, including 19 (35%) with malignant hypertension; and 19 (35%), including 13 with malignant hypertension, had pathogenic abnormalities in complement genes [[72](#page-11-19)]. In the same study, only 6 of 110 (5%) patients with malignant hypertension but no aHUS had TMA. In the above-mentioned study of 55 patients with aHUS, eculizumab therapy was associated with better renal and hematological responses compared to plasmapheresis alone, with no diferences according to hypertension severity or complement genetics.

It is known that untreated malignant hypertension causes widespread vascular damage afecting multiple organs, including the brain and kidneys, leading to acute renal failure, microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and hypertensive encephalopathy. In case of thrombotic microangiopathy and severe systolic or diastolic hyptertension, a fundoscopy is required to rule out malignant hypertension characterized by a bilateral grade 3 or grade 4 hypertensive retinopathy [[72](#page-11-19), [73\]](#page-11-20).

A Cochrane systematic review [\[74\]](#page-11-21) of articles on HUS treatment published up to 2020 identifed fve studies, all nonrandomized with no comparator group, including four on eculizumab [\[12,](#page-10-1) [75](#page-11-22)[–77](#page-11-23)] and one on ravulizumab $[78]$ $[78]$. The 26-week complete remission rate was 60% with eculizumab and 54% with ravulizumab and, of the patients on dialysis at medication initiation, 70% were able to discontinue dialysis. Serious adverse events occurred in 42% of patients overall, including meningococcal infection in two eculizumab-treated patients. Complement inhibition has also been reported to improve the estimated glomerular fltration rate and health-related quality of life [\[79,](#page-11-25) [80](#page-11-26)]. Of note, the response to the meningococcal vaccine may be impaired in patients with aHUS, and vaccination of the family and other close contacts to decrease the risk of *Neisseria* infection may deserve consideration [[58](#page-11-7)].

The optimal duration of complement-inhibition therapy in patients with aHUS remains under evaluation. Of 55 children and adults who discontinued eculizumab after a mean of 16.5 months, 13 (23%) relapsed, and risk factors for relapse were female sex, rare complement gene variants, and high plasma sC5b-9 level at discontinuation. Knowledge of these risk factors may help to guide individualized discontinuation decisions.

Complement-inhibiting therapy can also be used prophylactically. In a retrospective multicenter study of 126 kidney transplants in 116 adults with aHUS, the disease recurred after transplantation in 8% of patients with vs. 53% without prophylactic eculizumab therapy, which was independently associated with a lower risk of aHUS and with longer graft survival [\[6\]](#page-9-5). Prophylactic eculizumab was most efective in the sub-group at higher pre-transplantation risk for aHUS recurrence. The same study evaluated a population-based cohort of 397 adults with end-stage kidney disease and aHUS and found a sharp increase in the proportion who received kidney transplants between 2012 and 2016, from 46.2% to 72.3%, in close correlation with an increase in eculizumab use among transplant recipients [\[6](#page-9-5)]. Despite the clear evidence of efficacy, the extremely high cost of eculizumab is generating debate about the appropriateness of prophylactic eculizumab therapy. An individualized strategy reserving eculizumab prophylaxis for patients at moderate-to-high risk of aHUS recurrence after kidney transplantation may deserve evaluation.

Once aHUS has developed, early eculizumab initiation is crucial. In one study, among patients with aHUS recurrence after renal transplantation, the extent of renal function recovery decreased with increasing time from aHUS onset to eculizumab initiation $[81]$. The diagnosis of aHUS must therefore be made early. In aHUS, cAP activation occurs at the endothelial surface but not in the bloodstream, and C3 in plasma or serum therefore often remains normal. In a cohort of 214 patients, serum C3 was low at the frst evaluation in only 77 (35.9%) of cases

[[61\]](#page-11-28). An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for soluble C5b-9 had 89% positive predictive value for active aHUS, but lacked sensitivity and remained positive in some patients who were in remission [\[82](#page-11-29)]. Research is needed to develop a reliable standardized test for detecting sub-clinical complement activation. Promising avenues are C3 or C5b-9 quantifcation in resting or ADP-activated cell lines incubated with patient serum or plasma [[58](#page-11-7)] and assessment of C5b-9 deposition on cultured microvascular endothelium [\[83](#page-11-30)]. Rapid genome sequencing methods for detecting mutations in complement or ADAMTS-13 genes is developing at a brisk pace and can be expected to change clinical practice in the coming years [\[49,](#page-10-35) [84](#page-11-31), [85\]](#page-11-32).

Complement inhibition in myasthenia gravis

MG is a rare autoimmune disease of the neuromuscular junction that can result in chronic muscle function impairment [[86](#page-11-33)]. Fluctuating severe weakness of voluntary muscles that worsens after exertion is typical [[87](#page-11-34)[–89](#page-11-35)]. Ocular muscle weakness with diplopia and

ptosis is usually apparent at presentation and remains isolated in about 15% of patients, whereas generalized MG (gMG) develops in about 85% of patients [\[53](#page-11-2), [54](#page-11-3)]. The clinical manifestations of gMG are highly variable over time in a given patient. Exacerbations may be triggered by exertion or other many other precipitating factors, and sometimes occur spontaneously. Bulbar weakness with difficulty chewing and swallowing, dysarthria, and dysphonia and respiratory muscle involvement with myasthenic crisis in the most severe form usually require consulting intensivist for potential ICU admission.

The autoantibodies that cause MG symptoms mainly (in about 85% of cases) target the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor complex (AChR, IgG1, and IgG3) at the postsynaptic membrane of the neuromuscular junction (Fig. [4\)](#page-7-0) [[40](#page-10-26), [55\]](#page-11-4). Muscle-specifc kinase (MuSK) autoantibodies are less common, and autoantibodies to lowdensity lipoprotein receptor-related protein have been reported in patients without AChR or MuSK autoantibodies [[90](#page-11-36)].

Fig. 4 Anti-acetylcholine (ACh) receptor (AChR) antibodies activate the complement, causing production of the membrane attack complex (MAC), which damages the postsynaptic membrane at the neuromuscular junction. Anti-AChR antibodies can also cross-link AChRs, accelerating their internalization and degradation. Some antibodies can directly block the AChR-binding site. Anti-muscle-specific kinase (MuSK) antibodies do not activate the complement and typically prevent the interaction of MuSK and lipoprotein receptor-related protein 4 (LRP4), among other proteins, leading to reduced AChR clustering on the postsynaptic membrane. The pathogenicity of anti-LRP4 antibodies in myasthenia gravis (MG) remains to be established. Additional antibodies, such as anti-collagen Q (ColQ), anti-titin, anti-ryanodine receptor (RyR), anti-cortactin, and anti-voltagegated potassium channel (Kv1.4) have been demonstrated in patients with MG, although their pathogenic signifcance remains unknown. *Ach* acetylcholine, *AChR* acetylcholine receptor, *AChE* acetylcholinesterase, *MuSK* muscle-specifc kinase, *LRP4* low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein, *MAC* membrane attack complex, *Col*Q acetylcholinesterase collagenic tail peptide, *RyR* ryanodine receptor, *rapsyn* 43-kDa receptor-associated protein of the synapse, *VGSC* voltage-gated sodium channel, *Kv1.4* 1.4 voltage-gated potassium channel. Figure source: Pathophysiological mechanisms of myasthenia gravis at the neuromuscular junction, from [\[91\]](#page-12-0). Permission obtained

The AChR antibodies can activate the complement pathway generating excessive release of C5a causing infammation, and of the MAC damaging the post-synaptic membrane [\[92](#page-12-1)]. Both eculizumab and ravulizumab have demonstrated clinically relevant improvement in patients with AChR-related gMG, with rapid and long-lasting disease stabilization enabling to substantially decrease exposure to corticosteroids and immunosuppressive drugs $[51, 52, 56-60]$ $[51, 52, 56-60]$ $[51, 52, 56-60]$ $[51, 52, 56-60]$ $[51, 52, 56-60]$ $[51, 52, 56-60]$ $[51, 52, 56-60]$. These treatments were also found to be well tolerated without evidence for increased incidence of meningococcal

diseases pending appropriate risk mitigation strategy based on vaccination and/or antibiotic prophylaxis. Similar clinical beneft and safety profle was found with the peptide C5 inhibitor zilucoplan [[52\]](#page-11-1). Recent clinical guidelines from Japan [[93](#page-12-2)] and Germany [[94\]](#page-12-3) recommend, in active generalized MG, complement inhibitors for AChR-Ab-positive status. A more complete view on anti-complement drugs currently approved is provided in Fig. [5](#page-8-0).

Conclusion

This review underscores the pivotal role of the complement system in both health and disease, emphasizing its importance in immune defense, infammation modulation, and interaction with the adaptive immune system. While the complement system is integral to pathogen elimination and maintaining host homeostasis, complement system overactivation can cause tissue damage and runaway infammation. Complement system dysregulation is a primary driver of several diseases, many of which require intensive care. Genetic factors can contribute to impair appropriate control of complement activation. Moreover, complement system dysregulation contributes to the symptoms of numerous critical illnesses including sepsis and ARDS. The introduction of complement inhibitors has radically improved the outcomes of several diseases including aHUS and gMG. Owing to the inhibition of the membrane attack complex by a certain complement inhibitor, meningococcal risk mitigation strategy with vaccination and or antibiotic prophylaxis is mandated. In addition, these medications are costly and more work is needed to determine the optimal treatment duration depending on the profle of each patient. Many other pharmacotherapy options are being evaluated. New tools capable of providing the early diagnosis of complement-driven diseases are needed.

Author details

¹ Intensive Care Unit, Saint-Louis University Hospital, AP-HP, Paris Cité University, Paris, France.² Department of Kidney and Metabolic Diseases, Transplantation and Clinical Immunology, Necker University Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France.
³ Department of Pediatric Infectious and Immunological Diseases, IbnRochd University Hospital, Casablanca, Morocco. ⁴ Laboratory of Clinical Immunology, Inflammation and Allergy (LICIA), Casablanca, Morocco.⁵ School of Medicine and Pharmacy, Hassan II University, Casablanca, Morocco.⁶ Department of Critical Care Medicine, State Key Laboratory of Complex Severe and Rare Disease, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Peking Union Medical College, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China. ⁷ Renal Division, Department of Medicine, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, PR China.
⁸ Institute of Nephrology, Peking University, Beijing, PR China. ⁹ Key Laboratory of Renal Disease, Ministry of Health of China, Beijing, PR China. ¹⁰ Department of Neurology, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, PR China.
¹¹ Huashan Rare Diseases Center, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, PR China. ¹² National Center for Neurological Diseases, Shanghai, PR China.
¹³ Intensive Care Department, Mother-Children Center, Mohamed VI University Hospital, Marrakech, Morocco. 14 Department of Intensive Care, Raymond Poincaré Hospital, AP-HP, Garches, France. 15 Simone Veil School of Medicine, Versailles-Saint Quentin University, Paris-Saclay University, Versaillles, France.
¹⁶ Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire PROMETHEUS & Fédération Hospitalo-Universitaire SEPSIS, Paris-Saclay University, Saclay, France. 17 INSERM, Garches, France.

Author contributions

EA contributed to literature review, manuscript drafting, and designing tables and figures. JZ contributed to literature review and manuscript drafting. AAB contributed to literature review and manuscript drafting. YL contributed to literature review and data interpretation. YT contributed to literature review and data interpretation. SL contributed to literature review and data interpretation. ME contributed to literature review and data interpretation. DA contributed

to literature review and manuscript drafting. All authors have approved the submitted version.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

This study relies on previously published data.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest

EA has received fees for lectures from Alexion, Sanof, Gilead, and Pfzer. DA has received fees for lectures or to sit on advisory board from Alexion. JZ has received lecture fees, travel grants, and board member honorarium from Alexion Pharmaceuticals. AAB, YL, YT, SL, and ME report no confict of interest.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

Received: 2 July 2024 Accepted: 10 August 2024

References

- Walport MJ (2001) Complement: first of two parts. N Engl J Med 344:1058–1066. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200104053441406>
- 2. Walport MJ (2001) Complement: second of two parts. N Engl J Med 344:1140–1144. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200104123441506>
- Mannes M, Mastellos DC, Ekdahl KN et al (2022) Complement C3 activation in the ICU: disease and therapy as Bonnie and Clyde. Semin Immunol 60:101640.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2022.101640>
- 4. Cofell R, Kukreja A, Bedard K et al (2015) Eculizumab reduces complement activation, infammation, endothelial damage, thrombosis, and renal injury markers in aHUS. Blood 125:3253–3262. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2014-09-600411) [1182/blood-2014-09-600411](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2014-09-600411)
- 5. Blasco M, Guillén-Olmos E, Diaz-Ricart M, Palomo M (2022) Complement mediated endothelial damage in thrombotic microangiopathies. Front Med 9:811504. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.811504>
- 6. Zuber J, Frimat M, Caillard S et al (2019) Use of highly individualized complement blockade has revolutionized clinical outcomes after kidney transplantation and renal epidemiology of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol JASN 30:2449–2463. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2019040331) [1681/ASN.2019040331](https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2019040331)
- 7. Iwasa K, Furukawa Y, Yoshikawa H et al (2023) CD59 expression in skeletal muscles and its role in myasthenia gravis. Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinfammation 10:e200057. [https://doi.org/10.1212/NXI.0000000000](https://doi.org/10.1212/NXI.0000000000200057) [200057](https://doi.org/10.1212/NXI.0000000000200057)
- 8. Weening JJ, Ronco P, Remuzzi G (2013) Advances in the pathology of glomerular diseases. Contrib Nephrol 181:12–21. [https://doi.org/10.1159/](https://doi.org/10.1159/000348639) [000348639](https://doi.org/10.1159/000348639)
- 9. Peffault de Latour R, Hosokawa K, Risitano AM (2022) Hemolytic paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria: 20 years of medical progress. Semin Hematol 59:38–46.<https://doi.org/10.1053/j.seminhematol.2022.01.001>
- 10. Hillmen P, Szer J, Weitz I et al (2021) Pegcetacoplan versus eculizumab in paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria. N Engl J Med 384:1028–1037. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2029073>
- 11. Pittock SJ, Berthele A, Fujihara K et al (2019) Eculizumab in aquaporin-4-positive neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. N Engl J Med 381:614–625. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1900866>
- 12. Legendre CM, Licht C, Muus P et al (2013) Terminal complement inhibitor eculizumab in atypical haemolytic: uremic syndrome. N Engl J Med 368:2169–2181. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1208981>
- 13. Hack CE, Nuijens JH, Felt-Bersma RJ et al (1989) Elevated plasma levels of the anaphylatoxins C3a and C4a are associated with a fatal outcome in sepsis. Am J Med 86:20–26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343\(89\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343(89)90224-6) [90224-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343(89)90224-6)
- 14. Younger JG, Bracho DO, Chung-Esaki HM et al (2010) Complement activation in emergency department patients with severe sepsis. Acad Emerg Med Off J Soc Acad Emerg Med 17:353-359. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2010.00713.x) [1553-2712.2010.00713.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2010.00713.x)
- 15. Markiewski MM, Nilsson B, Ekdahl KN et al (2007) Complement and coagulation: strangers or partners in crime? Trends Immunol 28:184–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2007.02.006>
- 16. Wood AJT, Vassallo A, Summers C et al (2018) C5a anaphylatoxin and its role in critical illness-induced organ dysfunction. Eur J Clin Invest 48:e13028.<https://doi.org/10.1111/eci.13028>
- 17. Popescu NI, Lupu C, Lupu F (2022) Disseminated intravascular coagulation and its immune mechanisms. Blood 139:1973–1986. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020007208) [10.1182/blood.2020007208](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020007208)
- 18. Huber-Lang M, Sarma VJ, Lu KT et al (2001) Role of C5a in multiorgan failure during sepsis. J Immunol Baltim Md 1950 166:1193–1199. [https://](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.166.2.1193) doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.166.2.1193
- 19. Charchafieh J, Wei J, Labaze G et al (2012) The role of complement system in septic shock. Clin Dev Immunol 2012:407324. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/407324) [10.1155/2012/407324](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/407324)
- 20. Hirose T, Ogura H, Takahashi H et al (2018) Serial change of C1 inhibitor in patients with sepsis: a prospective observational study. J Intensive Care 6:37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40560-018-0309-5>
- 21. Igonin AA, Protsenko DN, Galstyan GM et al (2012) C1-esterase inhibitor infusion increases survival rates for patients with sepsis*. Crit Care Med 40:770–777. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e318236edb8>
- 22. Schlapbach LJ, Schibler A, Jensenius JC (2012) C1-esterase inhibitor treatment in sepsis-can we target the right patients? Crit Care Med 40:2735–2737.<https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e318258eb7a>
- 23. Silasi-Mansat R, Zhu H, Popescu NI et al (2010) Complement inhibition decreases the procoagulant response and confers organ protection in a baboon model of Escherichia coli sepsis. Blood 116:1002–1010. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-02-269746) doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-02-269746
- 24. Bain W, Li H, van der Geest R et al (2020) Increased alternative complement pathway function and improved survival during critical illness. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 202:230–240. [https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201910-2083OC) [201910-2083OC](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201910-2083OC)
- 25. Bosmann M (2020) Complement activation during critical illness: current fndings and an outlook in the era of COVID-19. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 202:163–165. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202005-1926ED>
- 26. Pietropaoli AP, Wexler O (2014) RBC dysfunction in critical illness: driven by complement? Crit Care Med 42:1323–1324. [https://doi.org/10.1097/](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000000275) [CCM.0000000000000275](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000000275)
- 27. Muroya T, Kannan L, Ghiran IC et al (2014) C4d deposits on the surface of RBCs in trauma patients and interferes with their function. Crit Care Med 42:e364-372.<https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000000231>
- 28. Hammerschmidt DE, Weaver LJ, Hudson LD et al (1980) Association of complement activation and elevated plasma-C5a with adult respiratory distress syndrome: pathophysiological relevance and possible prognostic value. Lancet Lond Engl 1:947–949. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(80)91403-8) [6736\(80\)91403-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(80)91403-8)
- 29. Mulligan MS, Schmid E, Beck-Schimmer B et al (1996) Requirement and role of C5a in acute lung infammatory injury in rats. J Clin Invest 98:503–512. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI118818>
- 30. Rittirsch D, Flierl MA, Day DE et al (2008) Acute lung injury induced by lipopolysaccharide is independent of complement activation. J Immunol

Baltim Md 1950 180:7664–7672. [https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.11.7664) [11.7664](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.11.7664)

- 31. Pefault de Latour R, Bergeron A, Lengline E et al (2020) Complement C5 inhibition in patients with COVID-19 - a promising target? Haematologica 105:2847–2850. <https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2020.260117>
- 32. Lo MW, Kemper C, Woodruff TM (2020) COVID-19: complement, coagulation, and collateral damage. J Immunol Baltim Md 205:1488–1495. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.2000644>
- 33. Helling H, Stephan B, Pindur G (2015) Coagulation and complement system in critically ill patients. Clin Hemorheol Microcirc 61:185–193. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3233/CH-151993) doi.org/10.3233/CH-151993
- 34. Ali YM, Ferrari M, Lynch NJ et al (2021) Lectin pathway mediates complement activation by SARS-CoV-2 proteins. Front Immunol 12:714511. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmmu.2021.714511](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.714511)
- 35. Annane D, Heming N, Grimaldi-Bensouda L et al (2020) Eculizumab as an emergency treatment for adult patients with severe COVID-19 in the intensive care unit: a proof-of-concept study. EClinicalMedicine 28:100590.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100590>
- 36. Defendi F, Leroy C, Epaulard O et al (2021) Complement alternative and mannose-binding lectin pathway activation is associated with COVID-19 mortality. Front Immunol 12:742446. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmmu.2021.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.742446) [742446](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.742446)
- 37. Holter JC, Pischke SE, de Boer E et al (2020) Systemic complement activation is associated with respiratory failure in COVID-19 hospitalized patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:25018–25025. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2010540117) [1073/pnas.2010540117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2010540117)
- 38. Ramlall V, Thangaraj PM, Meydan C et al (2020) Immune complement and coagulation dysfunction in adverse outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Med 26:1609–1615. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1021-2>
- 39. de Nooijer AH, Kotsaki A, Kranidioti E et al (2023) Complement activation in severely ill patients with sepsis: no relationship with infammation and disease severity. Crit Care Lond Engl 27:63. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-023-04344-6) [s13054-023-04344-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-023-04344-6)
- 40. Skendros P, Germanidis G, Mastellos DC et al (2022) Complement C3 inhibition in severe COVID-19 using compstatin AMY-101. Sci Adv 8:eabo2341.<https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abo2341>
- 41. Annane D, Pittock SJ, Kulkarni HS et al (2023) Intravenous ravulizumab in mechanically ventilated patients hospitalised with severe COVID-19: a phase 3, multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med 11:1051–1063. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(23\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(23)00082-6) [00082-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(23)00082-6)
- 42. LoVerde D, Files DC, Krishnaswamy G (2017) Angioedema. Crit Care Med 45:725–735.<https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000002281>
- 43. Loules G, Parsopoulou F, Zamanakou M et al (2020) Deciphering the genetics of primary angioedema with normal levels of C1 inhibitor. J Clin Med 9:3402. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9113402>
- 44. Zuraw BL, Christiansen SC (2011) Pathophysiology of hereditary angioedema. Am J Rhinol Allergy 25:373–378. [https://doi.org/10.2500/ajra.](https://doi.org/10.2500/ajra.2011.25.3661) [2011.25.3661](https://doi.org/10.2500/ajra.2011.25.3661)
- 45. Maurer M, Magerl M, Betschel S et al (2022) The international WAO/EAACI guideline for the management of hereditary angioedema: the 2021 revision and update. Allergy 77:1961–1990.<https://doi.org/10.1111/all.15214>
- 46. Longhurst HJ (2005) Emergency treatment of acute attacks in hereditary angioedema due to C1 inhibitor defciency: what is the evidence? Int J Clin Pract 59:594–599. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2005.00352.x>
- 47. Nishimura J-I, Kawaguchi T, Ito S et al (2023) Real-world safety profle of eculizumab in patients with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria, atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome, or generalized myasthenia gravis: an integrated analysis of post-marketing surveillance in Japan. Int J Hematol 118:419–431.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12185-023-03630-x>
- 48. Socié G, Caby-Tosi M-P, Marantz JL et al (2019) Eculizumab in paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria and atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome: 10-year pharmacovigilance analysis. Br J Haematol 185:297–310. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.15790) doi.org/10.1111/bjh.15790
- 49. Vu T, Meisel A, Mantegazza R et al (2022) Terminal complement inhibitor ravulizumab in generalized myasthenia gravis. NEJM Evid 1:EVIDoa2100066.<https://doi.org/10.1056/EVIDoa2100066>
- 50. Meisel A, Annane D, Vu T et al (2023) Long-term efficacy and safety of ravulizumab in adults with anti-acetylcholine receptor

antibody-positive generalized myasthenia gravis: results from the phase 3 CHAMPION MG open-label extension. J Neurol 270:3862–3875. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-023-11699-x>

- 51. Benatar M, Cutter G, Kaminski HJ (2023) The best and worst of times in therapy development for myasthenia gravis. Muscle Nerve 67:12–16. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.27742>
- 52. Howard JF, Bresch S, Genge A et al (2023) Safety and efficacy of zilucoplan in patients with generalised myasthenia gravis (RAISE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. Lancet Neurol 22:395–406. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(23\)00080-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(23)00080-7)
- 53. Goodship THJ, Pinto F, Weston-Davies WH et al (2017) Use of the complement inhibitor coversin to treat HSCT-associated TMA. Blood Adv 1:1254–1258. <https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2016002832>
- 54. Shirley M (2024) Zilucoplan: frst approval. Drugs 84:99–104. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-023-01977-3) doi.org/10.1007/s40265-023-01977-3
- 55. Qu H, Ricklin D, Lambris JD (2009) Recent developments in low molecular weight complement inhibitors. Mol Immunol 47:185–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2009.08.032>
- 56. Fakhouri F, Zuber J, Frémeaux-Bacchi V, Loirat C (2017) Haemolytic uraemic syndrome. Lancet Lond Engl 390:681–696. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30062-4) [1016/S0140-6736\(17\)30062-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30062-4)
- 57. Jokiranta TS (2017) HUS and atypical HUS. Blood 129:2847–2856. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-11-709865>
- 58. Leon J, LeStang M-B, Sberro-Soussan R et al (2023) Complement-driven hemolytic uremic syndrome. Am J Hematol 98(Suppl 4):S44–S56. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.26854>
- 59. Pickering MC, de Jorge EG, Martinez-Barricarte R et al (2007) Spontaneous hemolytic uremic syndrome triggered by complement factor H lacking surface recognition domains. J Exp Med 204:1249–1256. <https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20070301>
- 60. Bu F, Zhang Y, Wang K et al (2018) Genetic analysis of 400 patients refnes understanding and implicates a new gene in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol JASN 29:2809–2819. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2018070759) [org/10.1681/ASN.2018070759](https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2018070759)
- 61. Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Fakhouri F, Garnier A et al (2013) Genetics and outcome of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome: a nationwide French series comparing children and adults. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol CJASN 8:554–562.<https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.04760512>
- 62. Zipfel PF, Edey M, Heinen S et al (2007) Deletion of complement factor H-related genes CFHR1 and CFHR3 is associated with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. PLoS Genet 3:e41. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0030041) [journal.pgen.0030041](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0030041)
- 63. Palma LM, Sridharan M, Sethi S (2021) Complement in secondary thrombotic microangiopathy. Kidney Int Rep. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2020.10.009) [ekir.2020.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2020.10.009)
- 64. Azoulay E, Knoebl P, Garnacho-Montero J et al (2017) Expert statements on the standard of care in critically ill adult patients with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Chest 152:424–434. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2017.03.055) [1016/j.chest.2017.03.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2017.03.055)
- 65. Azoulay E, Bauer PR, Mariotte E et al (2019) Expert statement on the ICU management of patients with thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. Intensive Care Med 45:1518–1539. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05736-5) [s00134-019-05736-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05736-5)
- 66. Noris M, Caprioli J, Bresin E et al (2010) Relative role of genetic complement abnormalities in sporadic and familial aHUS and their impact on clinical phenotype. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol CJASN 5:1844–1859. [https://](https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.02210310) doi.org/10.2215/CJN.02210310
- 67. Fakhouri FF, Frémeaux-Bacchi V (2021) Thrombotic microangiopathy in aHUS and beyond: clinical clues from complement genetics. Nat Rev Nephrol. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-021-00424-4>
- 68. Fakhouri F, Scully M, Provôt F et al (2020) Management of thrombotic microangiopathy in pregnancy and postpartum: report from an international working group. Blood 136:2103–2117. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020005221) [1182/blood.2020005221](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020005221)
- 69. Bruel A, Kavanagh D, Noris M et al (2017) Hemolytic uremic syndrome in pregnancy and postpartum. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol CJASN 12:1237–1247. <https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.00280117>
- 70. Gaggl M, Aigner C, Csuka D et al (2018) Maternal and fetal outcomes of pregnancies in women with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol JASN.<https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2016090995>
- 71. Timmermans SAMEG, Wérion A, Damoiseaux JGMC et al (2020) Diagnostic and risk factors for complement defects in hypertensive emergency and thrombotic microangiopathy. Hypertens Dallas Tex 1979(75):422– 430. <https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.119.13714>
- 72. Cavero T, Arjona E, Soto K et al (2019) Severe and malignant hypertension are common in primary atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Kidney Int 96:995–1004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2019.05.014>
- 73. Poli F, Yusuf IH (2021) Retinopathy in malignant hypertension. N Engl J Med 385:1994. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMicm2109500>
- 74. Pugh D, O'Sullivan ED, Duthie FA et al (2021) Interventions for atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 3:CD012862. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012862.pub2>
- 75. Fakhouri F, Hourmant M, Campistol JM et al (2016) Terminal complement inhibitor eculizumab in adult patients with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome: a single-arm, open-label trial. Am J Kidney Dis Off J Natl Kidney Found 68:84–93. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2015.12.034>
- 76. Greenbaum LA, Fila M, Ardissino G et al (2016) Eculizumab is a safe and efective treatment in pediatric patients with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Kidney Int 89:701–711. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2015.11.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2015.11.026) [026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2015.11.026)
- 77. Licht C, Greenbaum LA, Muus P et al (2015) Efficacy and safety of eculizumab in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome from 2-year extensions of phase 2 studies. Kidney Int 87:1061–1073. [https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2014.423) [2014.423](https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2014.423)
- 78. Rondeau E, Scully M, Ariceta G et al (2020) The long-acting C5 inhibitor, ravulizumab, is efective and safe in adult patients with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome naïve to complement inhibitor treatment. Kidney Int 97:1287–1296.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2020.01.035>
- 79. Mukherjee AA, Kandhare AD, Bodhankar SL (2018) Evaluation of healthrelated quality of life in hemolytic uraemic syndrome patients treated with eculizumab: a systematic evaluation on basis of EMPRO. Ren Fail 40:107–118.<https://doi.org/10.1080/0886022X.2018.1427110>
- 80. Azoulay E, Souppart V, Kentish-Barnes N et al (2023) Post-traumatic stress disorder and quality of life alterations in survivors of immune-mediated thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and atypical hemolytic and uremic syndrome. J Crit Care 76:154283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2023.154283) [154283](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2023.154283)
- 81. Zuber J, Le Quintrec M, Krid S et al (2012) Eculizumab for atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome recurrence in renal transplantation. Am J Transplant Off J Am Soc Transplant Am Soc Transpl Surg 12:3337-3354. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2012.04252.x>
- 82. Bu F, Meyer NC, Zhang Y et al (2015) Soluble c5b–9 as a biomarker for complement activation in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Am J Kidney Dis Off J Natl Kidney Found 65:968-969. [https://doi.org/10.1053/j.](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2015.02.326) [ajkd.2015.02.326](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2015.02.326)
- 83. Galbusera M, Noris M, Gastoldi S et al (2019) An ex vivo test of complement activation on endothelium for individualized eculizumab therapy in hemolytic uremic syndrome. Am J Kidney Dis Off J Natl Kidney Found 74:56–72. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2018.11.012>
- 84. Doreille A, Rafat C, Rondeau E, Mesnard L (2023) How I treat thrombotic microangiopathy in the era of rapid genomics. Blood 141:147–155. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2022015583>
- 85. Owen MJ, Niemi A-K, Dimmock DP et al (2021) Rapid sequencing-based diagnosis of thiamine metabolism dysfunction syndrome. N Engl J Med 384:2159–2161. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2100365>
- 86. Gilhus NE (2016) Myasthenia gravis. N Engl J Med 375:2570–2581. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1602678) doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1602678
- 87. Mantegazza R, Bonanno S, Camera G, Antozzi C (2011) Current and emerging therapies for the treatment of myasthenia gravis. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 7:151–160. <https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S8915>
- 88. Melzer N, Ruck T, Fuhr P et al (2016) Clinical features, pathogenesis, and treatment of myasthenia gravis: a supplement to the Guidelines of the German Neurological Society. J Neurol 263:1473–1494. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-016-8045-z) [1007/s00415-016-8045-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-016-8045-z)
- 89. Meriggioli MN, Sanders DB (2009) Autoimmune myasthenia gravis: emerging clinical and biological heterogeneity. Lancet Neurol 8:475–490. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(09\)70063-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70063-8)
- 90. Pevzner A, Schoser B, Peters K et al (2012) Anti-LRP4 autoantibodies in AChR- and MuSK-antibody-negative myasthenia gravis. J Neurol 259:427–435. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-011-6194-7>
- 91. Gilhus NE, Tzartos S, Evoli A et al (2019) Myasthenia gravis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 5(1):30.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0079-y>
- 92. Sanderson NSR (2022) Complement and myasthenia gravis. Mol Immunol 151:11–18.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2022proinf.08.018>
- 93. Murai H (2024) The Japanese clinical guidelines 2022 for myasthenia gravis and lambert-eaton myasthenic syndrome: an overview. Brain

Nerve Shinkei Kenkyu No Shinpo 76:7–12. [https://doi.org/10.11477/mf.](https://doi.org/10.11477/mf.1416202551) [1416202551](https://doi.org/10.11477/mf.1416202551)

94. Wiendl H, Abicht A, Chan A et al (2023) Guideline for the management of myasthenic syndromes. Ther Adv Neurol Disord 16:17562864231213240. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17562864231213240>