

## Joint Toxicity of Two Phthalates with Waterborne Copper to Daphnia magna and Photobacterium phosphoreum

Boyang Huang<sup>1</sup> · Dinglong Li<sup>1</sup> · Yan Yang<sup>1</sup>

Received: 26 February 2016/Accepted: 30 June 2016/Published online: 6 July 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

Abstract Di-*n*-butyl phthalate (DBP) and di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) are two widely used phthalates, while Cu(II) is a common valence state of copper. They have been ubiquitously detected in the aquatic environment, but information on their joint toxicity to aquatic organisms is scarce. In this study, we evaluated the combined effects of copper and these two phthalates to Daphnia magna and Photobacterium phosphoreum by quantifying the acute toxicity expressed by the  $EC_{50}$  (the concentration causing 50 % of maximal effect) value. The toxicity order was DEHP + Cu(II) > DBP + Cu(II) > Cu(II) > DEHP> DBP for both test species. Antagonism effects were found in the joint toxicity of Cu(II) combined with DBP or DEHP using the toxic unit method. These findings have important implications in environmental risk assessment for phthalates in the aquatic environment in the presence of heavy metals.

**Keywords** Phthalates · Copper · Joint toxicity · Daphnia magna · Photobacterium phosphoreum

Phthalic acid esters (PAEs), or phthalates, are typical plasticizers and solvents for industry and daily life uses. They help enhance the flexibility of plastics, but are not chemically bonded to plastics, so they are inclined to release from plastics and enter into the ecosystem (Teuten et al. 2009).

 Dinglong Li ldl1963@126.com
 Boyang Huang byhuang1@126.com The wide use of PAEs has resulted in their worldwide occurrence as pollutants (Chen et al. 2008). PAEs have been classified as potential toxicants since they can alter reproductive development of aquatic invertebrates and terricolous mammals (Liu et al. 2009; Martino-Andrade and Chahoud 2010).

Di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP) and di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) are two commonly used PAEs. Due to their high production and extensive application, DBP and DEHP are commonly found in wastewater and industrial sewage sludge. In China, the Environmental Quality Standard for Surface Water (GB\_3838-2002) stipulates the upper limit of DBP and DEHP as 1 and 4 µg/L, respectively. A recent study indicated that the concentration of DEHP was 78 µg/L in Bohai sea (in Tianjin, China), and DBP was also found at a relatively high concentration, 61  $\mu$ g/L, in Qinghe River (in Beijing, China) (Wu et al. 2013). DBP and DEHP are teratogenic, mutagenic and carcinogenic, and the Environmental Protection Agency of the United States (U.S. EPA) has classified them as priority pollutants (Yin et al. 2003). These two PAEs exhibited toxicity to the fish, Carassius auratus (Huang et al. 2015).

Many studies have been performed on PAE toxicity toward terrestrial species or fish, but they have largely been limited to a single phthalate or a series of PAEs (Seo et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2012; Zheng et al. 2013). Little information exists on the joint effect of phthalates with heavy metal ions. Therefore, there is no scientific basis to assess the toxicity of PAEs when they coexist with metal ions in the aquatic environment, a scenario that is common in a contaminated environment. Phthalates and copper were found to coexist in the sediment of Yellow River (Xu et al. 2007). Copper is a heavy metal that is extensively used in industry, and it is commonly found in sewage and natural water bodies. Heavy metals in the aquatic environment are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> School of Environmental and Safety Engineering, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China

known to cause several health problems to animals and human beings (Dural et al. 2007).

The toxic unit method has been used to determine the effect of joint toxicity (synergistic, additive, antagonistic and independent). It was first introduced by Marking and Mauck (1975), and has been widely used to determine the effect of joint toxicity (Playle and Richard 2004; Khan et al. 2012).

The objective of this study was to assess the joint toxicity between two PAEs and copper by measuring the  $EC_{50}$ (the concentration causing 50 % maximal effect) value of two indicator aquatic organisms: *Daphnia magna* and *Photobacterium phosphoreum* (T3 mutation). Both organisms are readily cultured in the laboratory, commonly used in aquatic toxicology, and respectively represent aquatic plankton and microorganism (Adema 1978; Brouwer et al. 1990). The joint toxicity effect was determined by the toxic unit approach.

## **Materials and Methods**

DBP (CAS No. 84-74-2) and DEHP (CAS No. 117-81-7) were purchased from Lingfeng Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, CN) and Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, CN), respectively. They were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to obtain different experimental concentrations. Copper sulfate (CuSO<sub>4</sub>), acquired from Xiaoshan Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Hangzhou, CN), was dissolved in ultrapure water as stock solution. The maximum water solubility limits for DBP and DEHP are 11.2 and 0.01 mg/L, respectively (Metcalf et al. 1973). DMSO was used as a solvent carrier in this study. The maximum concentration of DMSO used in study of *P. phosphoreum* was 1.56 g/L. The DMSO concentration in *D. magna* experiment was below 660 mg/L. All chemicals used were of analytical grade.

Freeze-dried powder of *P. phosphoreum* (a luminescent marine bacterium) was obtained from the Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Nanjing, CN). After injection of 0.5 mL of cold sterilized 2.0 % NaCl solution into a vial containing 0.5 g of freeze-dried powder, the solution was mixed thoroughly by shaking for 2 min. Then, 10  $\mu$ L of the revived bacterial liquid was diluted with 2 mL of 3.0 % NaCl solution to serve as the working bacterial suspension for subsequent tests described below.

The first generation of *D. magna* was supplied by the Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Beijing, CN). Tap water that had been passed through activated carbon and aerated for more than 48 h was used as culture water. Parent fleas were kept in the culture water (pH 7.25  $\pm$  0.25) in a 14 h light/10 h

dark cycle at 20°C, and were fed daily with green algae, *Scenedesmus obliquus*. Juvenile stage fleas that had undergone three generations of parthenogenesis (6–24 h old) were used in the experiment. The above operation complies with the National Standard Method of China (Water quality—Determination of the acute toxicity of substance to *Daphnia (Daphnia magna Straus)*. GB/T 13266-1991).

The test of acute toxicity to *P. phosphoreum* was operated following the National Standard Method of China (Water quality—Determination of the acute toxicity—Luminescent bacteria test. GB/T 15441-1995). The working solution was prepared by serially diluting the stock solution of DBP, DEHP or copper sulfate (CuSO<sub>4</sub>) with 3.0 % NaCl solution.

All the concentrations of test chemicals are nominal. In order to verify the actual concentrations, the copper sulfate (CuSO<sub>4</sub>) solutions were measured by atomic absorption spectrophotometry using a Sollar M6 instrument (Thermo Corp., Waltham, MA, USA). The minimum detection limit for Cd was 0.067  $\mu$ g/L. PAE solutions were measured by high performance liquid chromatography (Agilent Technologies Inc. Santa Clara, CA, USA). The optimum condition for HPLC analysis was achieved with acetonitrile/water (30: 70, v/v), UV detection wavelength of 224 nm, and a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The minimum detection limits of this method were 0.10 and 0.25  $\mu$ g/L for DBP and DEHP, respectively. The linearity of standard curves was above 0.9998. The recoveries of spiked test chemicals were 88 %–107 %.

In the single toxicity experiment, exposure concentrations were set at 8 concentration gradients for each toxicant [0, 64, 96, 128, 160, 200, 300, 400 mg/L for DBP and DEHP; and 0, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 mg/L for Cu(II)]. The concentration that caused a 50 percent bioluminescence inhibition was estimated as  $EC_{50}$ . The  $EC_{50}$  value was calculated based on linear curve fitting between bioluminescence inhibition and the chemical concentration.

The experiment was performed in octuplicate in a black flat-bottom 96-well (8 rows × 12 columns) microplate (Thermo Corp.). The first two columns were used to test the sensitivity of the *P. phosphoreum*: each well in the first column was filled with 180  $\mu$ L of 3 % NaCl solution as the blank control group, while an equal volume of HgCl<sub>2</sub> standard solution (0.1 mg/L) was added into each of the eight wells in the second column to serve as a positive control group. Similarly, 180  $\mu$ L from the serial dilutions of the test chemical in the order of increasing concentration were injected into the wells of the remaining columns, each concentration taking 8 wells in one column. Then, 20  $\mu$ L of the working bacterial suspension was added into each test well to achieve a test volume of 200  $\mu$ L. Bioluminescence of the treatments and controls was determined on a Tecan Infinite 200<sup>®</sup> PRO multimode microplate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, CH) after 15 min exposure at 25°C. In the joint toxicity experiment, the mixtures were prepared by mixing two chemicals at the same fractions of their respective  $EC_{50}$ . The concentrations of DBP and Cu(II) were 0, 0.25a, 0.5a, 0.625a, 0.75a, 0.875a and a (a was 390 mg/L for DBP and 7 mg/L for Cu(II), respectively, based on their  $EC_{50}$  values); while in the mixtures of DEHP and Cu(II), their concentrations were 0, 0.2b, 0.4b, 0.6b, 0.8b, b and 1.2b [b was 302 mg/L for DEHP and 7 mg/L for Cu(II)].

The acute toxicity of the chemical-spiked samples to D. magna was determined following the National Standard Method of China (GB/T 13266-1991). According to preliminary experiments, eight concentrations [0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.6, 1, 2, 3, 4 mg/L for DEHP; 0, 0.6, 1.8, 3.6, 6, 12, 18, 24 mg/L for DBP; and 0, 0.025, 0.075, 0.15, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1 mg/L for Cu(II)] were used to determine  $EC_{50}$ . Beakers were used as test vessels and 10 D. magna were added into 100 mL test solution. The vessels were placed at  $20 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C with a 14 h light/10 h dark photoperiod in an illuminating incubator. Each experiment was replicated three times. No food was provided during the experimental periods. After 24 h exposure, the number of immobilized D. magna was recorded. For joint toxicity experiment, DBP or DEHP was mixed with Cu(II) at the same fractions of their respective  $EC_{50}$  value tested as single toxicant. The concentrations of mixtures of DBP and Cu(II) were 0, 0.4c, 0.8c, c, 1.2c, 1.6c, 2c [c was 8 mg/L for DBP and 0.14 mg/L for Cu(II) according to the  $EC_{50}$  of DBP and Cu(II); while the concentrations of DEHP and Cu(II) were 0, 0.4d, 0.8d, d, 1.2d, 1.6d, 2d [d was 2 mg/ L for DEHP and 0.14 mg/L for Cu(II)].

The toxic unit approach was applied where: (1)  $TU_i = C_i/EC_{50i}$  and  $TU_i$  was the toxic unit for component *i* in the mixture,  $C_i$  was the concentration of component i when the mixture caused a 50 percent bioluminescence or *D. magna* immobilization, and  $EC_{50i}$  was the  $EC_{50}$  of compound i); and (2)  $M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} TU_i = \frac{C_1}{EC50_1} + \frac{C_2}{EC50_2} + \cdots + \frac{C_n}{EC50_n}$ . The form of joint toxicity can be determined by the value of *M*: a synergistic effect happens when M < 1; an additive effect occurs when M = 1; and an antagonistic effect takes place when M > 1. In certain cases, an independent effect may happen when the toxic unit of one chemical approaches to 1  $(TU_i = 1)$ , in which case the toxicity is primarily casued by one component in the mixture) (Marking and Mauck 1975).

## **Results and Discussion**

It has been reported that DMSO has no effect on toxicity of organic chemicals in terms of the  $EC_{50}$  values when its concentration is no more than 0.20 mol/L or 15.6 % mass fraction (Dong et al. 2013). The concentration of DMSO, used as a solvent carrier for dissolving PAEs in water in

this study, was below 0.2 mol/L, and thus was not considered to have caused any adverse effects. The nominal and measured concentrations of DBP, DEHP and Cu(II) are presented in Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.

The response curves for *P. phosphoreum* toxicity tests are shown in Fig. 1.  $EC_{50}$  values were calculated using the fitted equations shown in the figures. The abscissa is exposure concentration, and the ordinate is inhibition rate. According to the fitted equations in the figures, the value of  $EC_{50}$  can be calculated: 390 mg/L for DBP, 302.5 mg/L for DEHP and 6.7 mg/L for Cu(II). For the joint toxicity experiment of DBP and Cu(II), the concentrations of DBP and Cu(II) when 50 % inhibition occurred were 378 mg/L and 6.5 mg/L, respectively. In the mixture of DEHP and Cu(II), 50 % inhibiting concentrations were 275 mg/L for DEHP and 6.1 mg/L for Cu(II). Based on the toxic unit approach, it was calculated that

$$M_{\rm a} = \frac{378}{390} + \frac{6.5}{6.7} = 1.94 > 1,$$
  
$$M_{\rm b} = \frac{275}{302.5} + \frac{6.1}{6.7} = 1.82 > 1$$

 $M_{\rm a}$  is the *M* value of DBP combined with Cu for *P*. *phosphoreum*,  $M_{\rm b}$  is the *M* value of DEHP and Cu for *P*. *phosphoreum*. Therefore, the effect of joint toxicity of DBP and DEHP combined with Cu(II) to *P*. *phosphoreum* was antagonism.

It was shown that, when the applied concentration of DMSO was below 660 mg/L (mass fraction 6.6 ‰), it had little effect on *D. magna* and can be used as solubilizing assistant (Haap et al. 2008). The use of DMSO in this experiment of *D. magna* was below 100 mg/L, and was not considered to have resulted in any adverse effects. The results are shown in Fig. 2. According to the linear equations in Fig. 2, the EC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated for DBP, DEHP and Cu(II) as 8.0, 2.1 and 0.14 mg/L, respectively. In the joint toxicity experiments with DBP and Cu(II), the concentrations of DBP and Cu(II) that caused 50 % immobilization of *D. magna* were 7.36 and 0.129 mg/L, respectively. Meanwhile, in the test of the mixtures of DEHP and Cu(II), C<sub>DEHP</sub> was 1.764 m/L, and C<sub>Cu(II)</sub> was 0.118 mg/L. According to the toxic unit approach, it can be calculated that

$$M_{\rm c} = \frac{7.36}{8} + \frac{0.129}{0.14} = 1.84 > 1,$$
  
$$M_{\rm d} = \frac{1.764}{2.1} + \frac{0.118}{0.14} = 1.68 > 1$$

 $M_{\rm c}$  is the *M* value for DBP and Cu for *D. magna*, and  $M_{\rm d}$  is the *M* value for DHEP combined with Cu for *D. magna*. Therefore, the nature of the joint toxic effect when either DBP or DEHP was combined with Cu(II) was antagonism.

For *P. phosphoreum*,  $EC_{50}$  values were relatively high, suggesting that the endpoint was relatively insensitive to

 Table 1 The nominal and measured concentrations of DBP in single exposure solutions (mg/L)

Nominal	0.6	1.8	3.6	6	12	18	24
Measured	$0.58\pm0.03$	$1.81 \pm 0.01$	$3.67\pm0.03$	$6.07\pm0.03$	$12.86\pm0.09$	$17.47\pm0.11$	$23.57 \pm 0.63$
Nominal	64	96	128	160	200	300	400
Measured	$65.15\pm0.87$	$96.63 \pm 1.54$	$126.12\pm2.76$	$162.83 \pm 2.63$	$196.79 \pm 2.83$	$293.51 \pm 3.69$	$391.17 \pm 5.74$

Table 2 The nominal and measured concentrations of DEHP in single exposure solutions (mg/L)

Nominal	0.1	0.3	0.6	1	2	3	4
Measured	$0.11\pm0.01$	$0.28\pm0.01$	$0.59\pm0.02$	$0.99\pm0.01$	$2.03\pm0.44$	$3.10\pm0.25$	$3.97\pm0.54$
Nominal	64	96	128	160	200	300	400
Measured	$64.70\pm0.61$	$94.66 \pm 1.77$	$125.36\pm0.91$	$158.13 \pm 2.19$	$195.21 \pm 5.75$	$292.24\pm5.13$	$386.35 \pm 6.77$

Table 3 The nominal and measured concentrations of Cu(II) in single exposure solutions (mg/L)

Nominal	0.025	0.075	0.15	0.25	0.5	0.75	1	4
Measured	0.025	0.074	$0.15\pm0.01$	$0.24\pm0.03$	$0.51\pm0.02$	$0.77\pm0.04$	$1.06\pm0.09$	$4.22\pm0.15$
Nominal	5		6	8	9	10	0	11
Measured	5.05	$\pm 0.57$	$6.03\pm0.42$	$8.11 \pm 0.44$	9.08 ±	± 0.56 10	$0.05 \pm 0.48$	$11.07\pm0.75$

Table 4         The nominal and           massured concentrations of	Nominal	3.2	6.4	8	9.6	12.8	97.5
DBP in mixed exposure	Measured	$3.17\pm0.06$	$6.25\pm0.09$	$8.07\pm0.03$	$9.58\pm0.03$	$12.93\pm0.89$	95.68 ± 1.11
solutions (mg/L)	Nominal	195	243.75	292.5		341.25	390
	Measured	$191.28 \pm 2.23$	$3 237.31 \pm$	4.87 286.63	$3 \pm 6.54$	$335.08 \pm 6.76$	$384.71 \pm 8.63$
Table 5 The nominal and	Nominal	0.8	1.6	2	2.4	3.2	60.4
measured concentrations of DEHP in mixed exposure	Measured	$0.78 \pm 0.01$	$1.60 \pm 0.01$	$1.98 \pm 0.02$	$2.45 \pm 0.03$	$3.28 \pm 0.04$	$61.27 \pm 1.13$
solutions (mg/L)	Nominal	120.8	181.2	241	6 3	302	362.4

Table 6 The nominal and measured concentrations of Cu(II) in mixed exposure solutions (mg/L)

Nominal	0.056	0.112	0.14	0.168	0.224	1.4	1.75	2.8
Measured	0.061	$0.111 \pm 0.01$	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	$0.17\pm0.03$	$0.23\pm0.02$	$1.35\pm0.15$	$1.92 \pm 1.20$	$2.72\pm0.45$
Nominal	3.5	4.2	4.375	5.25	5.6	6.125	7	8.4
Measured	$3.54 \pm 0.13$	$4.31 \pm 0.59$	$4.39\pm0.31$	$5.32\pm0.51$	$5.68\pm0.24$	$6.18\pm0.62$	$7.16\pm0.55$	$8.57\pm0.64$

**Fig. 1** Effects of single and joint toxicitiy of DBP, DEHP and Cu(II) to *Photobacterium phosphoreum* in 3 % NaCl solution



**Fig. 2** Effects of single and joint toxicitiy of DBP, DEHP and Cu(II) to Daphnia magna for 24 h

the tested toxicants. The toxicity sequence of single chemicals to *P. phosphoreum* was Cu(II) > DEHP > DBP, judged by their EC<sub>50</sub>. Some comparative research studies have also found DEHP to be more toxic than DBP toward aquatic organisms (Adams et al. 1995; Zheng et al. 2013). Call et al. (2001) reported increased toxicity of several phthalate esters with increasing log Kow and lipophilicity for phthalate esters from DMP up through BBP. We propose that it may be explained by lipid solubility; in general, a molecule with higher molecular weight and longer carbon chain (i.e. DEHP) has the higher lipid solubility (Small et al. 1947). The effect of joint toxicity was shown as antagonism, which means that the joint toxicity of DBP and DEHP combined with Cu(II) was lower than the sum of their individual toxicities.

The EC<sub>50</sub> values for *D. magna*, by single chemical or mixtures, were nearly two orders of magnitude lower than that of *P. phosphoreum*, which indicated that *D. magna* was more sensitive to these toxicants. The toxicity sequence was also Cu(II) > DEHP > DBP. When mixed with Cu(II), the toxicity of mixtures was less than the sum of two compounds. So the joint toxicity also showed an antagonism effect to *D. magna*.

Our previous study on the toxicity of DBP, DEHP and Cu(II) to *Carassius auratus* also showed an antagonism effect (Huang et al. 2015). Mehler et al. (2011) researched the joint toxicity of an organic compound, cypermethrin, and a heavy metal, lead, to *Chironomus dilutus*, and found that the joint toxicity type was antagonism.

P. phosphoreum and D. magna are of significant difference in terms of biological levels, and they represent two different categories of aquatic organisms. The toxicity to D. magna was almost two orders of magnitude higher than P. phosphoreum. Some earlier studies have also found the two species to be similar to our results (García et al. 2001; Yu et al. 2009). This may be largely due to differences in organism type, as Daphnia magna is commonly amongst the more sensitive species to toxicants in aquatic toxicity tests, and bioluminescent bacteria are often amongst the least sensitive. The observed difference in sensitivity may also be due in part to different durations of exposure. It has been proposed that differences in exposure time can cause significant differences in EC<sub>50</sub> values between different organisms (Deneer et al. 1989). The longer exposure time usually leads to greater toxicity and a lower value of EC<sub>50</sub>. In this experiment, the exposure time of D. magna was 24 h, while the exposure of P. phosphoreum only lasted for 15 min. Some other factors, such as the individual size and solvent ingredient, may also contribute to the different toxicity between P. phosphoreum and D. magna.

In conclusion, the toxicity order was Cu(II) > -DEHP > DBP for both test organisms. The toxicity of the mixtures of the two PAEs with Cu(II) showed an antagonistic effect, i.e., the joint toxicity was lower than the sum of two compounds. *D. magna* was more sensitive than *P. phosphoreum* when exposed to the toxicants. The results of this experiment are the first to express the joint toxicity of waterborne PAEs with a metal ion on plankton and aquatic bacteria, which could guide a more realistic assessment on the potential toxicity of different pollutants on the aquatic organisms.

Acknowledgments This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41071319, 21377051), the Major Science and Technology Program for Water Pollution Control and Treatment of China (No. 2012ZX07506-001).

## References

- Adams WJ, Biddinger GR, Robillard KA, Gorsuch JW (1995) A summary of the acute toxicity of 14 phthalate esters to representative aquatic organisms. Environ Toxicol Chem 14(9):1569–1574
- Adema DMM (1978) *Daphnia magna* as a test animal in acute and chronic toxicity tests. Hydrobiologia 59(2):125–134
- Brouwer H, Murphy T, McArdle L (1990) A sediment-contact bioassay with *Photobacterium phosphoreum*. Environ Toxicol Chem 9(11):1353–1358
- Call DJ, Markee TP, Geiger DL, Brooke LT, VandeVenter FA, Cox DA, Genisot KI, Robillard KA, Gorsuch JW, Parkerton TF, Reiley MC, Ankley GT, Mount DR (2001) An assessment of the toxicity of phthalate esters to freshwater benthos: 1. Aqueous exposures. Environ Toxicol Chem 20(8):1798–1804
- Chen J, Liu H, Qiu Z, Shu W (2008) Analysis of di-*n*-butyl phthalate and other organic pollutants in Chongqing women undergoing parturition. Environ Pollut 156(3):849–853
- Deneer JW, van Leeuwen CJ, Seinen W, Maas-Diepeveen JL, Hermens JLM (1989) QSAR study of the toxicity of nitrobenzene derivatives towards *Daphnia magna*, *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* and *Photobacterium phosphoreum*. Aquat Toxicol 15(1):83–98
- Dong Y, Wang J, Ding L, Liu Y (2013) Influence of cosolvents on low water-solubility chemicals to *Photobacterium phosphoreum* in acute toxicity test. Proc Environ Sci 18:143–148
- Dural M, Göksu MZL, Özak AA (2007) Investigation of heavy metal levels in economically important fish species captured from the Tuzla lagoon. Food Chem 102(1):415–421
- García MT, Ribosa I, Guindulain T, Sánchez-Leal J, Vives-Rego J (2001) Fate and effect of monoalkyl quaternary ammonium surfactants in the aquatic environment. Environ Pollut 111(1):169–175
- Haap T, Triebskorn R, Köhler HR (2008) Acute effects of diclofenac and DMSO to *Daphnia magna*: immobilisation and hsp70induction. Chemosphere 73(3):353–359
- Huang B, Feng M, Li D, Yang Y (2015) Antagonistic joint toxicity assessment of two current-use phthalates with waterborne copper in liver of *Carassius auratus* using biochemical biomarkers. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 116:107–112
- Khan FR, Keller W, Yan ND, Welsh PG, Wood CM, McGeer JC (2012) Application of biotic ligand and toxic unit modeling approaches to predict improvements in zooplankton species richness in smelter-damaged lakes near Sudbury, Ontario. Environ Sci Technol 46(3):1641–1649
- Liu Y, Guan Y, Yang Z, Cai Z, Mizuno T, Tsuno H, Zhu W, Zhang X (2009) Toxicity of seven phthalate esters to embryonic

development of the abalone *Haliotis diversicolor supertexta*. Ecotoxicology 18(3):293–303

- Marking L, Mauck W (1975) Toxicity of paired mixtures of candidate forest insecticides to rainbow trout. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 13(5):518–523
- Martino-Andrade AJ, Chahoud I (2010) Reproductive toxicity of phthalate esters. Mol Nutr Food Res 54(1):148–157
- Mehler WT, Du J, Lydy MJ, You J (2011) Joint toxicity of a pyrethroid insecticide, cypermethrin, and a heavy metal, lead, to the benthic invertebrate *Chironomus dilutus*. Environ Toxicol Chem 30(12):2838–2845
- Metcalf RL, Booth GM, Schuth CK, Hansen DJ, Lu PY (1973) Uptake and fate of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate in aquatic organisms and in a model ecosystem. Environ Health Perspect 4:27
- Playle, Richard C (2004) Using multiple metal–gill binding models and the toxic unit concept to help reconcile multiple-metal toxicity results. Aquat Toxicol 67(4):359–370
- Seo KW, Kim KB, Kim YJ, Choi JY, Lee KT, Choi KS (2004) Comparison of oxidative stress and changes of xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes induced by phthalates in rats. Food Chem Toxicol 42(1):107–114
- Small LD, Bailey JH, Cavallito CJ (1947) Alkyl thiolsulfinates. J Am Chem Soc 69(7):1710–1713

- Teuten EL, Saquing JM, Knappe DRU et al (2009) Transport and release of chemicals from plastics to the environment and to wildlife. Philos Trans R Soc B 364(1526):2027–2045
- Wang W, Craig ZR, Basavarajappa MS, Gupta RK, Flaws JA (2012) Di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate inhibits growth of mouse ovarian antral follicles through an oxidative stress pathway. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 258(2):288–295
- Wu X, Hong H, Liu X, Guan W, Meng L, Ye Y, Ma Y (2013) Graphene-dispersive solid-phase extraction of phthalate acid esters from environmental water. Sci Total Environ 444:224–230
- Xu N, Ni J, Sun W (2007) Effects of copper on the sorption of phthalate esters to Yellow River sediment. Water Air Soil Pollut 184(1–4):207–216
- Yin R, Lin XG, Wang SG, Zhang HY (2003) Effect of DBP/DEHP in vegetable planted soil on the quality of capsicum fruit. Chemosphere 50(6):801–805
- Yu M, Wang SH, Luo YR, Han YW, Li XY, Zhang BJ, Wang JJ (2009) Effects of the 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide ionic liquids on the antioxidant defense system of *Daphnia magna*. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 72(6):1798–1804
- Zheng Q, Feng M, Dai Y (2013) Comparative antioxidant responses in liver of *Carassius auratus* exposed to phthalates: an integrated biomarker approach. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 36(3):741–749