### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Resistance of** *QYm.nau‑2D* **to wheat yellow mosaic virus was derived from an alien introgression into common wheat**

Yiming Chen<sup>1</sup> · Jialun Ji<sup>1</sup> · Dehui Kong<sup>1</sup> · Xiong Tang<sup>1</sup> · Mingxing Wen<sup>1,2</sup> · Guoqing Wang<sup>1</sup> · Keli Dai<sup>1,3</sup> · Peiyao Shi<sup>1</sup> ·  ${\sf Xu}$  Zhang $^1\cdot$ Huajian Zhang $^1\cdot$ Chengzhi Jiao $^1\cdot$ Zongkuan Wang $^1\cdot$ Li Sun $^1\cdot$ Chunxia Yuan $^1\cdot$ Haiyan Wang $^1\cdot$  ${\sf Xueyong\ Zhang}^4\cdot{\sf Bingjian\ Sun}^5\cdot{\sf Xinru\ Fei}^6\cdot{\sf Hong\ Guo}^6\cdot{\sf Jin\ Xiao}^1\cdot{\sf Xiue\ Wang}^1$  ${\sf Xueyong\ Zhang}^4\cdot{\sf Bingjian\ Sun}^5\cdot{\sf Xinru\ Fei}^6\cdot{\sf Hong\ Guo}^6\cdot{\sf Jin\ Xiao}^1\cdot{\sf Xiue\ Wang}^1$  ${\sf Xueyong\ Zhang}^4\cdot{\sf Bingjian\ Sun}^5\cdot{\sf Xinru\ Fei}^6\cdot{\sf Hong\ Guo}^6\cdot{\sf Jin\ Xiao}^1\cdot{\sf Xiue\ Wang}^1$ 

Received: 22 August 2022 / Accepted: 31 October 2022 / Published online: 18 January 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

# **Abstract**

*Key message* **The** *QYm.nau-2D* **locus conferring wheat yellow mosaic virus resistance is an exotic introgression and we developed 11 diagnostic markers tightly linked to** *QYm.nau-2D.*

**Abstract** Wheat yellow mosaic virus (WYMV) is a serious disease of winter wheat in China. Breeding resistant varieties is the most efective strategy for WYMV control. A WYMV resistant locus *QYm.nau-2D* on the chromosome arm 2DL has been repeatedly reported but the mapped region is large. In the present study, we screened recombinants using a biparental population and mapped *QYm.nau-2D* into an 18.8 Mb physical interval. By genome-wide association studies of 372 wheat varieties for WYMV resistance in four environments, we narrowed down *QYm.nau-2D* into a 16.4 Mb interval. Haplotype analysis indicated *QYm.nau-2D* were present as six diferent states due to recombination during hybridization breeding. *QYm.nau-2D* was fnally mapped into a linkage block of 11.2 Mb. Chromosome painting using 2D specifc probes and collinearity analysis among the published sequences corresponding to *QYm.nau-2D* region indicated the block was an exotic introgression. The Illumina-sequenced reads of four diploid *Aegilops* species were mapped to the sequence of Fielder, a variety having the introgression. The mapping reads were signifcantly increased at the putative introgression regions of Fielder. *Ae. uniaristata* (NN) had the highest mapping reads, suggesting that *QYm.nau-2D* was possibly an introgression from genome N. We investigated the agronomic performances of diferent haplotypes and observed no linkage drag of the alien introgression for the 15 tested traits. For marker-assisted selection of *QYm.nau-2D*, we developed 11 diagnostic markers tightly linked to the locus. This research provided a case study of an exotic introgression, which has been utilized in wheat improvement for WYMV resistance.

Communicated by Thomas Miedaner.

 $\boxtimes$  Jin Xiao xiaojin@njau.edu.cn

- $\boxtimes$  Xiue Wang xiuew@njau.edu.cn
- State Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics and Germplasm Enhancement, Cytogenetics Institute, Nanjing Agricultural University/JCIC-MCP, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210095, China
- <sup>2</sup> Zhenjiang Institute of Agricultural Science, Jurong, Jiangsu 212400, China
- <sup>3</sup> Present Address: College of Agriculture, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu, Shanxi 030801, China

# **Introduction**

Food production has advanced from the original form where humans gathered food from the wild to cultivation and selection of wild plants (landraces), and further to modern-day

- <sup>4</sup> Key Laboratory of Crop Gene Resources and Germplasm Enhancement, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Afairs/The National Key Facility for Crop Gene Resources and Genetic Improvement/Institute of Crop Sciences, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science, Beijing 100081, China
- <sup>5</sup> College of Plant Protection, Henan Agricultural University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450002, China
- <sup>6</sup> Yandu District Agricultural Science Research Institute, Yancheng, Jiangsu 224011, China

plant breeding of new varieties and cultivars with suitability to human requirements for taste, yield, and storage (Meyer and Purugganan [2013](#page-14-0)). While the currently grown crop cultivars provide stable and essential diets for the living of mankind, they only represent a fraction of the large gene pool and their genetic diversity has been narrowed by domestication and modern breeding (Glaszmann et al. [2010\)](#page-13-0). Wild species are highly diverse and variable in terms of agronomic and morphological traits. Crop wild relatives (CWR), which includes the progenitors of crops and closely related species, have provided plant breeders with a broad pool of potentially useful genetic resources (Tanksley and McCouch [1997](#page-15-0)). These CWRs may provide new alleles for resistance to biotic (insects, fungi, bacteria, viruses, or viroids) or abiotic stresses (extreme temperatures, drought/foods, salinity, etc.), novel favors and nutrients, and genes that may afect yield and quality traits (Bohra et al. [2022\)](#page-13-1). The utilization of CWRs for crop improvement is impressive in wheat breeding. Modern bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L, 2*n*=6*x*=42, AABBDD) originated from two natural wide-hybridization events involving three grass species (International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium [2014](#page-13-2)). Their closely related wild species that were not part of this crossing have been used to provide modern wheat with a boost of useful genetic diversity. Wheat wild relatives contain a large number of favorable genes for crop production and have gained prominence with their use in the improvement, especially of various fungi disease resistance (Pour-Aboughadareh et al. [2021](#page-14-1)). The most notable examples are the powdery mildew resistance genes *Pm8* and *Pm21*, which were incorporated from rye and *Dasypyrum villosum*, respectively (Chen et al. [1995;](#page-13-3) Hurni et al. [2014](#page-13-4)), Fusarium head blight resistance gene *Fhb7* from *Thinopyrum elongatum* (Wang et al. [2020](#page-15-1)), and rust resistance gene *Yr17*/*Lr37*/*Sr38* from *Aegilops ventricosa* (McIntosh et al. [1995\)](#page-14-2). Recently, widespread alien introgressions from wild relatives into modern cultivated wheat have been revealed by pan-genome sequencing (Walkowiak et al. [2020](#page-15-2)) and whole-genome resequencing (Cheng et al. [2019](#page-13-5); Hao et al. [2020\)](#page-13-6). These suggest the importance of closely related wild species for providing modern wheat with a boost of useful genetic diversity.

Wheat yellow mosaic virus (WYMV) is one of the major soil-borne bymoviruses that cause diseases on autumn-sown varieties and threaten wheat production in many areas of China (Xu et al. [2018\)](#page-15-3). The disease was frstly reported in Sichuan in 1960s (Wang et al. [1980\)](#page-15-4), and spread gradually into the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River wheat-growing region (Sun et al. [2013\)](#page-15-5), and recently Huang-Huai-Hai wheat-growing region (Yang et al. [2022\)](#page-15-6). The infectious viruses were vectored by the obligate root-habitat plasmodiophorid *Polymyxa graminis*, which produces mobile primary and secondary zoospores and thick-walled resting spores within the colonized cereal roots (Chen [1993](#page-13-7)). The virus particles were incorporated from the zoospores of *P*. *graminis* into the seedling root cells and then transported to the newly emerging young leaves (Liu et al. [2016\)](#page-14-3). The infected seedlings displayed visible symptoms in the early spring, characterized by a yellow-striped mosaic pattern on leaves and mildly stunted spring growth at jointing phase (Xiao et al. [2016](#page-15-7)). This resulted in decreases in tillers and shorter wheat spike, low seed setting rate and hollow kernels at harvest, and fnally compromised severe yield losses (Han et al. [2000](#page-13-8)). It typically causes yield losses of 10–30%, and could be as much as 70% in severe epidemic year (Chen [2005](#page-13-9)).

The thick-walled dormant spores of *P. graminis* can survive in soil for more than 10 years (Chen [1992\)](#page-13-10). Therefore, it is extremely difficult to remove *P. graminis* containing WYMV from infected soil. Pesticide control and agronomic methods also have a limited efect on eliminating fungal spores and WYMV in infected felds (Kanyuka et al. [2003](#page-14-4)). Breeding WYMV resistant cultivars is considered a sustainable and economical way of virus control (Barbosa et al. [2001](#page-13-11)). The genetic variations in common wheat for WYMV resistance have been characterized, from high susceptibility to complete resistance. To date, seven WYMV resistance genes/quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been reported in wheat. They were genetically controlled in a dominant manner and mapped to chromosomes 2DL, 2A, 3BS, 5AL, 7A, and 7BS of common wheat and 4VS of *D*. *villosum*, a wheat wild relative with the resistance gene designated *Wss1* (Zhang et al. [2005\)](#page-15-8). Two QTLs were detected in Xifeng wheat, each was located on 3BS (*QYm.njau-3B.1*) and 5AL (*QYm.njau-5A.1*), respectively (Zhu et al. [2012](#page-15-9)). Besides, two QTLs on 7A and 7BS of emmer wheat showing wheat spindle streak mosaic virus (WSSMV) resistance were named *Qssm-mtpsa-7A* and *Qssm-mtpsa-7BS* (Holtz et al. [2017\)](#page-13-12). The mostly reported resistant genes/QTLs were on homoeologous group 2 chromosomes. *YmNM* from the wheat cultivar Ningmai9 was frstly mapped to chromosome 2A (Liu et al. [2005a\)](#page-14-5). Five independent studies reported genes/QTLs on 2DL in diferent wheat cultivars: *QYm.nau-2D* from Chinese cultivar Yining Xiaomai (Xiao et al. [2016\)](#page-15-7), *YmYF* from Chinese cultivar Yangfu9311 (Liu et al. [2005b\)](#page-14-6), *YmIb* from European cultivar Ibis (Nishio et al. [2010](#page-14-7)), *Qym1* from American cultivar Madsen (Suzuki et al. [2015\)](#page-15-10), and *Q.Ymym* from Japanese cultivar Yumechikara (Kojima et al. [2015\)](#page-14-8). Comparison of the above reported 2DL resistance loci indicated that they are all located within the same genetic region. Thus, they could be controlled by the same dominant gene, which is widely distributed in common wheat from diferent origins (Kobayashi et al. [2020\)](#page-14-9).

Toward better utilization, fne mapping and cloning of the *QYm.nau-2D*, in the present study, we performed linkage and association mapping studies by taking advantage of recently released wheat genome sequences (International

Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium et al. [2018](#page-13-13); Sato et al. [2021;](#page-15-11) Walkowiak et al. [2020](#page-15-2)). We demonstrated the introgression fragment into chromosome 2D harboring *QYm. nau-2D* has been selected and utilized worldwide in breeding program for improving WYMV resistance. Eleven diagnostic markers for molecular marker-assisted selection of *QYm.nau-2D* were developed and can be used in breeding for WYMV resistance.

# **Materials and methods**

#### **Plant materials**

A biparental population of 11,265  $F_2$  individuals derived from the cross between 2011I-78 (WYMV susceptible) and 'Yining Xiaomai' (WYMV resistant) was used for fne mapping of *QYm.nau-2D*. The 2011I-78 is a Chinese Spring substitution line, in which Chinese Spring chromosome 2D is substituted by *Aegilops tauschii* 2D. 2011I-78 was kindly provided by Dr. J. Dvorak (University of California, Davis, USA). A total of 53  $F_{2:3}$  family lines from  $F_2$ -derived recombinant plants were evaluated for WYMV resistance and to validate the genotypes of their corresponding  $F_2$  plants.

A natural population consisting of 372 wheat varieties (Supplementary Table 1) was selected as an association panel for evaluation of WYMV resistance, genome-wide association study (GWAS) and haplotype analysis.

# **DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis**

Genomic DNA was extracted from young leaves using a modified cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Murray and Thompson [1980\)](#page-14-10). PCR was performed on a programmable thermal cycler (PTC-200, BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) in total volumes of 10 μL, containing 50 ng genomic DNA, 2 μM each of the primer pairs and 5 μL of 2×Taq Master Mix (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). The amplifcation program began with 1 cycle of primary denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturing at 94 °C for 30 s, 51, 55 or 61 °C (varying with annealing temperatures of the primer pairs) for annealing and 72 °C for extension. One additional cycle was performed at 72 °C for 10 min for fnal elongation of the PCR products. PCR products were resolved in 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels  $(Acr:Bis = 19:1$  or 39:1) and were visualized by silver staining (Bassam and Gresshoff [2007\)](#page-13-14).

### **RNA extraction and reverse transcription (RT)**

Leaf samples were collected from the WYMV nursery. Virus-free leaf samples were collected from a greenhouse

and used as controls. Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The HiScript® II First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) was used to synthesize the first-strand cDNA using 1 µg total RNA. Each 20 µL reaction contained 10 µL  $2 \times RT$  Mix, 4 µL HiScript II Enzyme Mix, 1  $\mu$ L oligo (dT)<sub>23</sub>VN (50  $\mu$ M), and nucleasefree  $H_2O$  up to 20 µL. The reverse transcription program was 25 °C for 5 min, 50 °C for 15 min, and 85 °C for 2 min.

#### **Detection of WYMV by RT‑PCR**

Degenerate primer pair (*WMVCP*-F: gctgcggacacacaaacwgacg, *WMVCP*-R: ggttagctctggrtgtccatcag; "w" represents "A" & "T", "r" represents "A" & "G") were synthesized for detection of WYMV (Clover and Henry [1999;](#page-13-15) Lei et al. [1998\)](#page-14-11) and used to investigate the presence of the WYMV virus in the nursery. The *Tubulin* gene (*Tubulin-*F: agaacactgttgtaaggctcaac, *Tubulin*-R: gagctttactgcctcgaacatgg) was used for the normalization of cDNA concentrations. PCR was performed using cDNA samples. The obtained products were separated and analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

### **Development of molecular markers**

To enrich the marker density of the *QYm.nau-2D* region, four diferent kinds of molecular markers were developed to saturate this region. Primer sequences of the selected markers are listed in Supplementary Table 2 based on the research result previously. The previously reported *QYm.nau-2D* flanking markers (Xiao et al. [2016](#page-15-7)), *Xwmc41* (F: tccctcttccaagcgcggatag, R: ggaggaagatctcccggagcag) and *2SNP71* (Outer-F: ttctcaagcaacttgtaacgtaccggtgga, Outer-R: gcagttgcttcccgggaagcgattcgttc, Inner-F: caatctccacttccgattcggccttgaat, Inner-R: tgctcaactttcccgctagcctaatag), were used as the queries in BLASTn (version 2.12.0) searches against the chromosome 2D sequence of *Ae. tauschii* (cv. AL8/78) reference v4.0 (Luo et al. [2017\)](#page-14-12) and Chinese Spring reference v1.0 (International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium et al.  $2018$ ), covering ~ 48.8 Mb (from 576.1 Mb to 624.9 Mb) and~49.0 Mb (from 577.4 Mb to 626.4 Mb), respectively.

### **Simple sequence repeat (SSR) marker**

By using a Perl script-based program, MISA software (MIcroSAtellite identifcation tool, [http://pgrc.ipk-gatersle](http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/)[ben.de/misa/](http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/)) (Beier et al. [2017;](#page-13-16) Thiel et al. [2003\)](#page-15-12), SSR sites were identifed from 2D genome sequences of 576.1 Mb to 624.9 Mb in AL8/78 and of 577.4 Mb to 626.4 Mb in Chinese Spring. Searching criteria for repeat motif identifcation was following: minimum repeat length criteria as ten repeat units for mononucleotides, six repeat units for dinucleotides, fve repeat units for tri- and tetra-nucleotides, and four repeat units for penta- and hexa-nucleotides (Portis et al. [2007](#page-14-13)). Primer pairs for SSR markers were designed from the 200 bp up/downstream sequence of SSR sites screened based on the criteria above using Primer3 software (version 2.4.0) (Rozen and Skaletsky [2000;](#page-15-13) Untergasser et al. [2012](#page-15-14)) with following parameters: target amplicon size of 100–280 bp, optimal annealing temperature of 55–60 °C, GC content of 50–60% and primer length of 18–22 bp.

#### **Insertion‑deletion (InDel) marker**

The chromosome 2D sequence of Fielder genome (from 588.8 Mb to 637.9 Mb) was extracted based on the *QYm. nau-2D* flanking markers mentioned above (Sato et al. [2021\)](#page-15-11). The sequence diferences between Chinese Spring and Fielder at the *QYm.nau-2D* mapping region were identifed by the difseq program (version 3.6) (Rice et al. [2000\)](#page-15-15) embedded EMBOSS with parameters—wordsize 10. The flanking sequences of InDel sites (different lengths > 20 bp) between two genomes were extracted to design InDel markers by Primer3 using the same parameters mentioned above.

#### **Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) marker**

SNP between Yining Xiaomai and 2011I-78 detected by Wheat 55K SNP array were selected to convert into tetraprimer markers (Chiapparino et al. [2004](#page-13-17); Medrano and De Oliveira [2014\)](#page-14-14). The fanking sequences of the SNPs (500 bp upstream and downstream) were identifed by BLASTn search against the Chinese Spring reference genome sequence (International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium et al. [2018\)](#page-13-13). Tetra-primer markers were designed using the BatchPrimer3 program ([https://probes.pw.usda.](https://probes.pw.usda.gov/batchprimer3/) [gov/batchprimer3/\)](https://probes.pw.usda.gov/batchprimer3/) (You et al. [2008](#page-15-16)).

### **Sequence‑tagged site (STS) marker**

Intron length polymorphism of homologous genes annotated in the *QYm.nau-2D* mapping region between AL8/78 and Fielder was designed as STS markers according to the protocols described by Zhang et al. ([2017](#page-15-17)).

All the four types of primer pair sequences were pre-fltered with e-PCR (Electronic PCR) software (version 2.3.12) (Schuler [1997,](#page-15-18) [1998\)](#page-15-19) to ensure the specifcity on chromosome 2D of Chinese Spring reference v1.0 with parameters  $D=50-500$   $N=2$   $G=2$   $T=3$ . The 2D specific primer pairs were synthesized by TSINGKE (Beijing TsingKe Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) and used to test the polymorphism between 2011I-78 and Yining Xiaomai by PCR products analysis.

# **Genotyping by Wheat 55K SNP array and genome‑wide association study (GWAS)**

The genotyping analysis with Wheat 55K SNP array, which contains 53,063 SNPs, was performed by Capital-Bio Technology Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China). Genomic DNA of Yining Xiaomai, 2011I-78 and 372 wheat varieties were extracted. The DNA integrity was confrmed on agarose gels, and DNA quantity was measured spectrophotometrically and used for Wheat 55K SNP array. The accuracy of Wheat 55K SNP array genotyping was initially assessed according to the Afymetrix Best Practices Workfow.

For GWAS, we frstly removed SNPs without location information according to the annotation of Wheat 55K SNP array, then fltered SNPs with parameter minor allele frequencies (MAF) <  $0.05$ , missing data >  $20\%$ , and 44,215 SNPs were reserved for further analysis. The population structure was determined using STRUCTURE software (version 2.3.4) (Hubisz et al. [2009\)](#page-13-18). Determination of optimal *K* values  $(K=2)$  using a mixed and correlated allele frequency model of Monte Carlo Markov Chain with 10,000 iterations of aging and 10,000 iterations of Monte Carlo Markov Chain. The Kinship matrix was calculated by the GCTA software (version 1.94.1) (Yang et al. [2011](#page-15-20)). GWAS was performed using the mixed linear model  $(Q+K)$  by TASSEL software (version 5.0) (Bradbury et al. [2007\)](#page-13-19). The K matrix was considered a random-efect factor, whereas the Q matrix was considered a fxed-efect factor. The signifcant threshold for *P* value was calculated using a modifed Bonferroni correction (Genetic type 1 Error Calculator, version 0.2) with formula  $P = 0.01/n$ (where n is the efective number of independent SNPs) (Li et al. [2012\)](#page-14-15). The Manhattan plots using the CMplot package in R (Yin [2020\)](#page-15-21).

### **Haplotype analysis**

The 585 SNPs located in the *QYm.nau-2D* associated region of chromosome 2D (570.4–619.2 Mb) were used for haplotype analysis. Phylogenetic tree was constructed by MEGA-X (Kumar et al. [2018\)](#page-14-16) with the maximum likelihood method and visualized by Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL, [https://itol.](https://itol.embl.de/) [embl.de/](https://itol.embl.de/)) (Letunic and Bork [2021\)](#page-14-17). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed with the glPca function of ADEGENET (version 2.0.1) (Jombart and Ahmed [2011\)](#page-14-18) in R. SNP variants were transformed into numbers before the patterns of SNPs analysis in *QYm.nau-2D* linkage block. Heterozygous sites were marked as '1' and failure sites were marked as '−1'. SNP variants same with 'Yining Xiaomai' in diferent samples were marked as '0', SNP variants different from 'Yining Xiaomai' were marked as '2'. The result was plotted by pheatmap package in R (Kolde [2019\)](#page-14-19).

#### **Collinearity analysis**

Flanking SNPs (*AX-111678254*, *AX-110524750*) from the Wheat 55K SNP array which overlapped the *QYm. nau-2D* region were used as landmarks to retrieve genomic sequences from hexaploid wheat varieties with chromosome-level genome assembly, including 'Chinese Spring' (560.9–623.2 Mb) (International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium et al. [2018](#page-13-13)), 'Fielder' (568.9–634.8 Mb) (Sato et al. [2021\)](#page-15-11), and 'Mace' (558.0–620.3 Mb), 'LongReach Lancer' (558.0–619.5 Mb), 'CDC Stanley' (565.6–626.0 Mb), 'CDC Landmark' (564.2–626.5 Mb), 'Norin61' (559.7–620.8 Mb), 'Arina*Lr-For*' (562.3–629.3 Mb), 'Jagger' (579.0–646.4 Mb), 'Julius' (569.5–636.7 Mb), 'Spelt (PI190962)' (560.7–622.4 Mb), 'SY Mattis' (559.5–625.3 Mb) (Walkowiak et al. [2020](#page-15-2)) by BLASTn software for following analysis. The structural variations (SVs) were detected using the SyRI (Synteny and Rearrangement Identifer, version 1.6.1) pipeline (Goel et al. [2019\)](#page-13-20) with default parameters. For this, the fragment of Chinese Spring Refseqv1.0 genome was aligned to the fragments from other 11 sequenced genomes using nucmer utility from MUMmer toolbox (version 4.0) (Marçais et al. [2018\)](#page-14-20) with parameter setting -mum -c 100 -l 40 -L 100. The delta fle was fltered using the delta-flter utility from MUMmer with a minimum 500 bp alignments length and a minimum 50 percent alignment identity. The output filterdelta fle was converted to stdout format using the showcoords utility from MUMmer. Alignment results were then used for identifying genomic SVs by SyRI with default parameter. SyRI analysis discovered genome syntenic, duplication, translocation and inversion sequences and plotted by plotsr (version 0.5.4) (Goel and Schneeberger [2022](#page-13-21)).

#### **Chromosome painting of 2D**

The Yining Xiaomai and Chinese Spring were used for chromosome painting using the chromosome 2D-specifc probe library developed according to the Chinese Spring 2D sequence. The preparations of chromosome spreads and chromosome 2D oligonucleotide painting probes and fuorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) were performed according to the protocols described by Shi et al. [\(2022\)](#page-15-22).

### **Mapping coverage analysis**

Four *Aegilops* species, including *Ae. umbellulata* (UU), *Ae. markgrafi* (CC), *Ae. comosa* (MM), and *Ae. uniaristata* (NN) were Illumina-sequenced (Shi et al. [2022](#page-15-22)). The obtained clean data (100 million reads) of four species were, respectively, mapped against the Fielder reference genome using BWA-mem (version 0.7.17-r1188). The mean mapped read depth was calculated on chromosome 2D of Fielder with a 10 kb genomic window by SAMtools (version 0.1.19-44428cd). The depth of coverage was visualized with R plot.

# **Evaluation of WYMV resistance**

The  $F_2$  population along with the two parents (2017–2018) and  $F_2$  recombinant plants derived  $F_{2:3}$  families along with the two parents (2018–2019) were grown in a WYMV nursery in Zhumadian, Henan, China. The 372 wheat varieties were grown in two WYMV nurseries in Zhumadian, Henan, China in 2018–2019, 2020–2021 and Yandu, Jiangsu, China in 2020–2021, 2021–2022. The experimental design was randomized complete blocks with two replications. Each plot comprised 1 m rows spaced 25 cm apart, with 15 seeds in each row. All the feld trials were managed according to local practices. Control cultivars with known resistance or susceptibility were Yining Xiaomai (resistant to WYMV), Yangmai158 (susceptible to WYMV), and 2011I-78 (susceptible to WYMV).

The disease rates (DRs) for WYMV were recorded on a 0–5 disease scale using grading standards described by Kojima et al.  $(2015)$  $(2015)$  $(2015)$  with 0 = no visible symptoms,  $1 =$ slightly purple leaves,  $2 =$ slightly yellowish leaves with a mild streak mosaic,  $3$  = yellowish leaves with a clear streak mosaic on less than half of the leaves,  $4 = a$  distinct yellow and streak mosaic covering almost all of the leaves, and  $5 =$ yellowish-brown leaves with more than half of the plant dead. A plant was graded as R (resistance, DR 0–2) if no visible symptom was observed and graded as S (susceptible, DR 3–5) if obvious mosaic, streak spots and dwarf symptoms were observed (Nishio et al. [2010\)](#page-14-7).

The WYMV DRs for the  $F<sub>2</sub>$  recombinants were collected from a nursery in Zhumadian, Henan, on March 15 and March 27 in 2018, and DRs for  $F_{2:3}$  progenies were collected on February 24 and March 12 in 2019. For each  $F_2$ individual or  $F_{2:3}$  family, the DRs of all plants were scored. We used the data when the disease symptoms of the susceptible controls were the most severe.

The WYMV DRs for the natural population of 372 wheat varieties were collected on February 24 and March 12 in 2019, and on January 21 and March 3 in 2021 from a nursery in Zhumadian, Henan, on February 22 and March 10 in 2021, and on February 23 and March 4 in 2022 from the nursery in Yandu, Jiangsu. For each variety, the DRs were collected from ten individuals with the most severe disease symptoms. The mean disease rates of WYMV of each wheat variety from four environments were utilized to calculate the best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP). Genotypes of 372 wheat varieties and four environments were treated as random efects in a linear mixed model to estimate BLUP value using the lme4 package (Bates et al. [2015\)](#page-13-22) in the R (version 4.2.0). The mean WYMV DRs for each variety in four environments and BLUP value were used to calculate correlation coefficients and plotted by GGally package (Schloerke et al. [2018](#page-15-23)) in the R.

### **Agronomical trait evaluation and statistical analysis**

The agronomic traits of 372 wheat varieties were evaluated in three disease-free environments at Zhenjiang (Jiangsu) in 2017–2018, 2018–2019, and 2019–2020. At maturity, ten main spikes in the middle of each row were selected from each plot to measure the plant height (PH, cm), seven spike-related traits, including spike length (SL, cm); spikelet density (SD); spike weight (SW, g); total spikelet number per spike (SPN); fertile spikelet number per spike (FSPN); top sterile spikelet number per spike (TSSN); basal sterile spikelet number per spike (BSSN), and seven grain-related traits, including the number of kernel per spike (KNS); kernel length (KL, mm); kernel width (KW, mm); kernel length-to-width ratio (KLWR); thousand kernel weight  $(TKW, g)$ ; kernel area size  $(KAS, mm^2)$ ; kernel perimeter length (KPL, mm). For each variety, the mean values of each trait were calculated across two replicates in each environment. The BLUP of target traits across three environments were obtained using lme4 package in R. Comparison of agronomic performance among the seven haplotypes using BLUP value LSD (Least Signifcance Diference) multiple comparisons by agricolae (De Mendiburu [2014\)](#page-13-23) package in R was tested for each trait between diferent haplotypes, setting  $\alpha$  = 0.05. The results were plotted by ggplot<sub>2</sub> (Wickham [2009](#page-15-24)) package in R.

# **Results**

# **Fine mapping of** *QYm.nau‑2D*

Our previous research has mapped *QYm.nau-2D* to the distal region of chromosome arm 2DL (Xiao et al. [2016](#page-15-7)), fanked by markers *WXE1339* (594.6 Mb), and *2EST784* (617.4 Mb) (Chinese Spring reference v1.0). We enriched the marker density within an expanded marker interval between *Xwmc41* (577.4 Mb) and *2SNP71* (626.4 Mb) by developing new molecular markers. In total, we designed and identifed 50 markers (including 19 SSR markers, 21 InDel markers, four SNP markers and six STS markers) showing polymorphism between the two parents of the mapping population, Yining Xiaomai and 2011I-78 (Supplementary Table 2). The specificity of the above markers on 2D was validated by amplifying polymorphic bands using DNA of homoeologous-group 2 nulli-tetrasomic lines of Chinese Spring.

Screening of the 11,265 individuals of the (2011I-78  $\times$  Yining Xiaomai) F<sub>2</sub> population using markers *Xwmc41* (577.4 Mb) and *2SNP71* (626.4 Mb) identifed 53 recombinants. These recombinants represented 13 recombination types in the examined region. Evaluation of WYMV for  $F_2$  recombinants and  $F_{2:3}$  families indicated that five were resistant and eight were susceptible (Fig. [1,](#page-6-0) Supplementary Table 3). The *QYm.nau-2D* falled into an interval of genetic distances of 0.01 cM and 0.13 cM, fanked by *InDel\_M41* and *InDel\_M412*. The interval corresponds to a physical distance of 18.8 Mb from 596.0 to 614.8 Mb on chromosome 2D of Chinese Spring.

# **GWAS for WYMV resistance**

A panel of 372 wheat varieties was genotyped using Wheat 55K SNP array. After fltering with the criteria MAF<0.05, missing data>20%, 44,215 SNPs were retained and used for GWAS. The panel was evaluated for WYMV resistance in four environments, and the DRs showed a bimodal frequency distribution in each of the environments and BLUP values across the environments. According to the frequency distribution, all the varieties were mainly classifed into two groups, resistant and susceptible (Supplementary Figure 1A). Pearson correlation analysis showed high repeatability among the environments (Supplementary Figure 1B). GWAS of WYMV resistance were conducted separately using WYMV phenotyping data collected from four environments and BLUP values. A total of 74 stably significant SNPs ( $P$  value  $\lt$  0.01/44,215) associated with WYMV resistance in four environments and BLUP values were identifed, and they were on 1D, 2A, 2B, 2D, 4B, and 7D, respectively. Except for the previously reported QTL on 2A and 2D, the other fve were new QTLs detected in the present research. The number of SNPs on 2D chromosome occupied the most proportions, 83.7% (SNPs on 2D/ all  $SNPs = 62/74$ ) (Fig. [2A](#page-7-0); Supplementary Table 4). These SNPs were all aligned to the distal region of chromosome arm 2DL from 596.7 to 613.1 Mb (Fig. [2B](#page-7-0)), in which *QYm. nau-2D* was mapped. GWAS validated the presence of the WYMV resistance QTL *QYm.nau-2D*, which can be mapped to a physical distance of 16.4 Mb.

#### **Haplotype analysis of** *QYm.nau‑2D* **linkage block**

Out of 62 signifcant SNPs on chromosome 2D, 45 highly associated SNPs (*P* value <  $10^{-12}$ , Supplementary Table 4) were selected to represent *QYm.nau-2D* linkage block and used for haplotype analysis. Two haplotypes, designated Hap\_a and Hap\_b, were identifed. Hap\_a consisting of 106 varieties was the same as resistant parent Yining Xiaomai. Hap\_b consisting of the remaining 266 varieties was the same as susceptible parent 2011I-78 (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)B). All the Hap\_a varieties showed high resistance to WYMV (Supplementary Figure 1), implying they harbor *QYm.nau-2D* resistance. Most of Hap\_b varieties were susceptible. Sixty-fve Hap\_b varieties showed stable resistance to WYMV in four



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Mapping result of wheat yellow mosaic virus (WYMV) resistant QTL *QYm.nau-2D* using resistant Yining Xiaomai and susceptible 2011I-78 derived  $F<sub>2</sub>$  population. S: susceptible; R: resistant;

environments, indicating they might have resistance genes/ QTLs other than *QYm.nau-2D*. We excluded 106 Hap\_a varieties and re-performed GWAS using the remained 266 Hap b varieties. No significant SNP on chromosome 2D was detected to be associated with WYMV resistance, further demonstrating the presence of *QYm.nau-2D* in 106 Hap\_a varieties (Supplementary Figure 2). The haplotype analysis also showed a lack of recombination within the large associated region (16.4 Mb), implying that *QYm.nau-2D* was located in a recombination suppressed linkage block. We found that wheat variety Fielder was resistant to WYMV and it belonged to Hap\_a (Fig. [2B](#page-7-0)), revealing that Fielder also contained the *QYm.nau-2D*.

The detailed genotyping results were analyzed using all the fltered SNPs in the enlarged associated region corresponding to 560.0 Mb to 623.0 Mb of Chinese Spring 2D. The SNP alleles were discriminated into three types: the same as Yining Xiaomai, diferent from Yining Xiaomai and failure genotypes. The Hap\_a varieties harboring *QYm.nau-2D* could be further classifed into six sub-haplotypes I–VI by phylogenetic tree and principal component analysis, and each contained 59, 14, 10, 1,

WYMV+indicated WYMV could be detected by RT-PCR, while WYMV- indicated WYMV could not be detected. A-M indicated 13 recombinant haplotypes

14, and 8 varieties, respectively (Fig. [3](#page-7-1)A, Supplementary Figure 3). Genotyping identifed four obvious recombination events, each at 579.5 Mb (Hap\_a- VI), 596.7 Mb (Hap\_a-III, Hap\_a-V, and Hap\_a-VI), 601.9 Mb (Hap\_a-IV) and 613.1 Mb (Hap\_a-II and Hap\_a-III) (Fig. [3B](#page-7-1), Supplementary Figure 5). We propose all *QYm.nau-2D* sub-haplotypes might be originated from a same fragment, and Yining Xiaomai represented Hap\_a-I with the largest fragment. The other fve diferent sub-haplotypes may result from diferent recombination events occurred in the association region during wheat breeding via hybridization (Supplementary Figure 5). The Hap\_a-III and Hap\_a-VI may originate from recombination events (Fig. [3](#page-7-1)B). Only a European landrace BARBELA GROSSO representing Hap  $a$ -IV, and its recombination events happened at 601.9 Mb region. This region had high frequency of failure genotyping, which may be due to the high sequence divergence from the counterparts of Chinese Spring (Supplementary Figure 5; Supplementary Table 1). Since BARB-ELA GROSSO is resistant to WYMV, *QYm.nau-2D* could be further narrowed to an 11.2 Mb interval of Chinese Spring 2D (601.9–613.1 Mb) (Fig. [3](#page-7-1)B).



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Genome-wide association study of wheat yellow mosaic virus (WYMV) resistance. **A** Circles from outlayer to inlayer indicated the number of SNPs within 1 Mb window size and five environments (2019 and 2021 in Zhumadian, Henan (2019\_ZMD and 2021\_ZMD), 2021 and 2022 in Yandu, Jiangsu (2021\_YD and 2022\_YD), and their best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) value across the nurseries); yellow dots indicated 0.05 Bonferroni corrected signifcance

with  $-\log(P \text{ value})$  > 5.947 and ≤6.646; red dots indicated 0.01 Bonferroni corrected signifcance with −log(*P* value)>6.646; **B** distribution of signifcant SNPs associated with WYMV resistance on chromosome 2D of Chinese Spring; The 45 highly associated SNPs (*P* value) on Chinese Spring 2D were used to represent the *QYm.nau-2D* linkage block for haplotype analysis of a panel of 372 wheat varieties and 12 sequenced wheat varieties (color fgure online)



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 3** Haplotype analysis of a panel of 372 wheat varieties. **A** Phylogenetic tree based on the 585 SNPs from 570.4 to 619.2 Mb on Chinese Spring 2D classifed the varieties into seven haplotypes: Hap\_a-I~VI (106 varieties) and Hap\_b (266 varieties); **B** The patterns of SNPs analysis in *QYm.nau-2D* linkage block region on Chinese Spring 2D. Each column indicated a wheat material, and each row was an SNP site

# **Putative origin of the alien introgression by chromosome painting, collinearity analysis and mapping coverage analysis**

Shi et al ([2022](#page-15-22)) developed and successfully used the Chinese Spring 2D specifc oligonucleotide probe library to paint chromosome 2D by fuorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). We performed FISH using the library to compare the 2D in Yining Xiaomai and Chinese Spring. The results indicated the signals were evenly distributed on the pair of Chinese Spring 2D, while we failed to observe the painting signals near the distal of 2D long arm of Yining Xiaomai (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)), revealing the diversifcation of this region with that in Chinese spring. Our genotyping results indicated that, in *QYm.nau-2D* region from 596.0 to 614.8 Mb, the proportion of failure genotypes in the 106 Hap\_a varieties harboring  $QYm$ .nau-2D ( $>$  29.0%) was much higher than the remained Hap\_b varieties without *QYm.nau-2D* (Supplementary Figure 4; Supplementary Table 1). Combining the fndings from genotyping and chromosome painting, we propose the *QYm. nau-2D* might have originated from an alien introgression, and this may explain the low recombination within the linkage block harboring *QYm.nau-2D*.

To investigate whether any variety having available genome sequences has the same alien introgression as those in Hap\_a varieties, the 63 Mb (560.0 Mb to 623.0 Mb) 2D sequences in Chinese Spring were aligned with the assembled pseudomolecule level genome sequences of Fielder and  $10 +$  wheat pan-genome project (Walkowiak et al. [2020](#page-15-2)). The dot plot analysis highlighted low fragment collinearity between Chinese Spring and fve varieties (Fielder, Jagger, Arina*LrFor*, Julius, and SY Mattis), i.e., two fragments in Fielder (corresponding to Chinese Spring 2D region of 10 Mb, 569.0–579.0 Mb and 23 Mb, 596.0–619.0 Mb) and one fragment in other four varieties (corresponding to Chinese Spring 2D region of 44 Mb, 569.0–613.0 Mb) (Fig. [5](#page-9-0), Supplementary Figure 6). In contrast, the fve varieties showed strong homology in these genome regions (Fig. [5\)](#page-9-0). Jagger was reported to be resistant to WYMV and we identifed Fielder was also WYMV resistant, and they both had *QYm.nau-2D* (Kobayashi et al. [2020](#page-14-9)). We propose the other three varieties are WYMV resistant and contain *QYm.nau-2D*. Moreover, according to the sequence alignment results and haplotype analysis, Fielder represented Hap\_a-VI, and the other four varieties belonged to Hap\_a-II. All these genome sequences could be used as a reference for *QYm. nau-2D* candidate gene screening and cloning.

Genomes U, M, C, and N are closely related to genome D (Shi et al. [2022](#page-15-22)). To predict the putative origin of the alien introgression, by taking the advantage of the Illumina sequence of four *Aegilops* species, we mapped the short reads of *Ae. umbellulata* (UU), *Ae. markgrafi* (CC), *Ae. comosa* (MM), and *Ae. uniaristata* (NN), to chromosome 2D of Fielder which contained the introgression harboring *QYm.nau-2D* resistance. For all four species, the proportions covered by mapped reads were increased in the putative alien introgression fragments (shown in gray shadow), 578.0–590.0 Mb and 606.0–631.0 Mb on the chromosome 2D of Fielder, with genome NN having the highest reads depth, followed by genomes CC, MM and UU (Supplementary Figure 7). This indicated the high sequence similarity of the two fragment regions with the reads from the four *Aegilops* species, with genome N of *Ae. uniaristata* having the highest similarity. We propose that the introgression was originally from the *Aegilops* species, with *Ae. uniaristata* being the most closely related species to the donor of this introgression. But whether N genome is the donor of this introgression needs more evidence.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Oligonucleotide FISHbased karyotype of Chinese Spring and Yining Xiaomai using a set of probes specifc for chromosome 2D. Arrow indicated *QYm.nau-2D* region resembling the secondary restriction due to the alien introgressive segment on chromosome 2D. Bar =  $10 \mu m$ 





<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Collinearity analysis of *QYm.nau-2D* linkage block among 12 sequenced genomes. The collinear regions corresponded to 560.0 Mb to 623.0 Mb on chromosome 2D of Chinese Spring. Left is a diagram

# **Potential utilization of** *QYm.nau‑2D* **in wheat breeding by development of diagnostic markers**

We evaluated the genetic effects of the alien introgression on agronomic traits. A total of 15 agronomic traits were compared among the seven haplotypes (Hap\_a-I~VI and Hap b). All haplotypes had no significant differences for all the investigated traits in all environments (Fig. [6\)](#page-10-0). It indicated that the alien introgression harboring *QYm.nau-2D* did not have additional efects on these tested yield-related traits, implying its unitary utilization in wheat breeding of WYMV resistance.

Due to the putatively reduced recombination rate within *QYm.nau-2D* linkage block, molecular markers developed in this region should be tightly linked to WYMV resistance conferred by *QYm.nau-2D*. The 596.0–614.8 Mb sequence of Chinese Spring chromosome 2D was compared with the counterparts in Fielder. Eleven primer pairs for distinguishing polymorphic insertion-deletion fragments were designed (Supplementary Table 2). All primer pairs generated polymorphism between Hap\_a and Hap\_b, having identical fragment size PCR products in the 105 wheat varieties (Hap\_a, except for BARBELA GROSSO) harboring *QYm.nau-2D*, while having diferent fragment size PCR products in Hap\_b varieties (Fig. [7\)](#page-10-1). All of them can serve as codominant

showing the collinearity among the three haplotypes which contained 12 sequenced genomes on the right. The putative introgression fragments were indicated in red (color fgure online)

diagnostic markers to trace WYMV resistance conferred by *QYm.nau-2D.*

# **Discussion**

Introgressions of widespread alien chromatins from wild species into modern cultivated wheat are generally acknowledged as one of factors afecting genomic diversity of bread wheat (Cui et al. [2015](#page-13-24); Hegde and Waines [2004;](#page-13-25) Pour-Aboughadareh et al. [2021](#page-14-1)). These introgressions probably contributed to favorable alleles associated with excellent agronomic performance in wheat. The identifcation of alien introgressions and their possible donors were facilitated by progress in the explosive whole-genome sequences in Triticeae species and the advanced sequencing technology. Taking the wheat variety LongReach Lancer for an example, its chromosome 3D contained a region of approximately 60 Mb from a *Th*. *ponticum* introgression and chromosome 2B was made up of the majority (427 Mb) of *T*. *timopheevii* chromosome 2G (Walkowiak et al. [2020\)](#page-15-2). The introgressions contribute benefcial alleles related to various wheat disease resistance (Bariana et al. [2001](#page-13-26); Chemayek et al. [2017;](#page-13-27) Wan et al. [2020](#page-15-25)). The introgression haplo-blocks on chromosome 2D were identifed through a comparative sequence analysis



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Comparison of agronomic performance among the seven haplotypes for plant height, spike-related and kernel-related traits using the best linear unbiased predictions values across the 3 years trials. H1–H7 are Hap\_a-I, Hap\_a-II, Hap\_a-III, Hap\_a-IV, Hap\_a-V, Hap\_a-VI, Hap\_b, respectively. Abbreviations: SL, spike length; PH, plant height; ESN, efective spike number; KNS, number of kernels

per spike; TKW, thousand kernel weight; TSSN, top sterile spikelet number per spike; BSSN, basal sterile spikelet number per spike; FSPN, fertile spikelet number per spike; SPN, total spikelet number per spike; SD, spikelet density; KAS, kernel area size; KPL, kernel perimeter length; KLWR, kernel length-to-width ratio; KL, kernel length; KW, kernel width

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Fig. 7** Development of PCRbased codominant InDel markers within *QYm.nau-2D* linkage block for distinguishing Hap\_a (I-VI) from Hap\_b. 1–4: Hap\_a-I representative varieties: RIETI, NANAH-LEB, Dazibai, Zhenmai 3; 5–8: Hap\_a-II representative varieties: Zhongzhimai 4, Xinmai 26, Jining 13, Xuzhou 25; 9–12: Hap\_a-III representative varieties: Ningchun 4, Zhenmai 366, Chuanyu 20, Shuangji 4; 13–16: Hap\_a-V representative varieties: Yannong 19, Huaimai 33, Xumai 32, Zhongyun 1; 17–20: Hap\_a-VI representative varieties: Fielder, Ningzimai 1, Fengyou 3, Qingchun 5; 21–24: Hap\_b representative varieties: Mavrogan, Hindi 62, Baihuomai, Yangmai 158



(Cheng et al. [2019](#page-13-5)) and a whole-genome resequencing study (Walkowiak et al. [2020\)](#page-15-2). One of the introgression segments is related to the WYMV resistant locus *Qym.nau-2D* on 2DL by comparative analysis of several short sequences for the linkage marker (Kobayashi et al. [2020\)](#page-14-9).

In the present study, we further demonstrated that the WYMV resistance conferred by *Qym.nau-2D* is a putative alien introgression that was incorporated into the distal region of chromosome arm 2DL of common wheat. The resistant parent Yining Xiaomai was genotyped as Hap\_a-I, which has the largest introgression segment from 570.4 Mb to 619.2 Mb. Despite a considerable number of  $F_2$  individuals being used for screening, no recombination within 596.0 Mb to 614.8 Mb region occurred, indicating this haplo-block was maintained by a low recombination rate due to high divergence between resistant and susceptible parents. The resistant haplo-block was further broken by recombination in several varieties in a core collection by GWAS. By employing these natural recombinants, especially one of the European cultivars BARBELA GROSSO with the recombination site at 601.9 Mb, we narrowed the *Qym.nau-2D* to 601.9–613.1 Mb region. This is the most divergent region with the highest density of failure genotyping data in the Hap\_a group harboring *Qym.nau-2D* (Supplementary Figure 4). Therefore, markers developed in this block might be tightly linked to *Qym.nau-2D* and the 11 markers developed in our study would be ideal to diagnose WYMV resistance in breeding (Fig. [7\)](#page-10-1).

The assessment of a pseudomolecule of the chromosome 2D of variety 'CH Campala *Lr22a*' has shown that introgressions from wild relatives contributed variations to wheat genome 2D (Thind et al. [2018](#page-15-26)). Kobayashi et al. ([2020\)](#page-14-9) identifed four haplo-blocks associated with the alien introgressions on 2D, and the 48 Mb haplo-block *c* resided in the WYMV resistance gene/QTL on 2D (designated *WYM-2D*). Comparison of short sequences cloned from Yumechikara within *WYM-2D* region with haplo-block *c* of 'CH Campala *Lr22a*' 2D pseudomolecule indicated that they shared more than 99% identity (Kobayashi et al. [2020](#page-14-9)). These reveal that *WYM-2D* containing haplo-block *c* might be an alien introgression based on the marker analysis of only fve varieties. In our research, using 106 varieties harboring *Qym.nau-2D*, we have identifed six haplo-blocks with diferent fragment sizes of alien introgression (Supplementary Figure 5). Haplotype analysis indicated that all the six haplotypes harboring *Qym.nau-2D* might be closely related, although they contained diferent introgression segment sizes due to a few of recombination at this region.

Although the varieties in Hap\_a-I group have the largest introgression (570.4 Mb to 619.2 Mb), they might be not primitive. A Portugal landrace (C10) was reported to possess introgression from~570 Mb to the end of chromosome 2D (Cheng et al. [2019](#page-13-5)), which encompassed the alien segments in all above genotypes. It may represent the original introgression fragment derived from a terminal translocation between wheat and the wild relative donor (Fig. [8](#page-12-0); Supplementary Figure 5). The intact introgression fragment was then broken by recombination in some other varieties, given the intense efforts of hybridization breeding using this European landrace (designated as Hap\_a-o). In our GWAS collection, the introgression segment was present much more in the varieties than landraces (95:11), supporting this active selection in breeding process.

According to the geographical distribution of the haplotypes, an extensive and independent exploration of the resistant gene in various countries was proposed (Fig. [8\)](#page-12-0). In addition to 106 varieties in this study, four sequenced varieties (Arina*LrFor*, etc.), fve varieties (Yumechikara, etc.) reported in Kobayashi et al.'s research (2020) and Fielder were also included. The breeding programs initiated from Hap\_a-I both with the largest introgressive segment and the greatest number (59/116) of wheat varieties. The introduction of Hap\_a-I into China has some relationship with Rieti which was the crossing parent of Nanda2419 (Rieti/ Wihelmina//Akagomughi), a widely used cultivar for wheat production and breeding in the 1950s of China (Jia et al. [2013](#page-14-21)). Breeding programs in China generated a new haplotype Hap\_a-V probably in Huang-Huai-Hai winter wheatgrowing region and subsequent Hap\_a-VI (Mianyang 15, etc.) in the Southwest winter wheat-growing region (Supplementary Figure 8). In Europe, Hap\_a-I was used to generate two haplotypes Hap\_a-II (Ibis, etc.) and Hap\_a-IV (BARB-ELA GROSSO) (Fig. [8](#page-12-0)). Hap\_a-II has been introduced to diferent countries, such as Ukraine (Odeskaya 51), the USA (Madsen), Japan (Yumechikara) as well as northern China (Zhongmai 175, etc.) (Fig. [8](#page-12-0)). Local breeding efforts using Hap\_a-II in China generated a new haplotype Hap\_a-III (Ningchun 4, etc.) (Fig. [8](#page-12-0)). Most proportion (70/98, 71.4%) of the WYMV resistant cultivars were from Huang-Huai-Hai and North winter wheat-growing regions, followed by those (20/98, 20.4%) from the Middle and Lower Reaches of the Yangtze River and Southwest wheat-growing regions in China. The high frequency of WYMV resistant varieties in these regions, where winter temperature is favorable for WYMV pathogenesis, might be due to the pressure from WYMV epidemic.

While introgressions from CWRs can bring beneficial traits into elite wheat, it may also have deleterious efects on desirable traits, including yield (Summers and Brown [2013](#page-15-27)). We comprehensively analyzed the genomic and phenotypic impacts of alien introgression into cultivated wheat varieties and found all the haplotypes (except one Hap\_a-IV variety) displayed no signifcant diference for all the 15 investigated traits. It indicated that this introgression did not have obviously negative efects on the agronomic performances. Moreover, positive efects on grain yield were



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Proposed diagram for the utilization of *QYm.nau-2D* in worldwide breeding programs

detected for this introgression. Haplotype efect analysis of local genomic estimated breeding values (GEBVs) showed that one of the LD blocks 2D\_b003483, which spanned SNPs from 570,951,341 to 608,198,626 bp on chromosome 2D, exhibited the highest observed local GEBV variance for grain yield under optimum conditions, with estimated haplotype efects ranging from~40 to>30 kg ha−1 (Voss-Fels et al. [2019\)](#page-15-28). The block was found to be under strong selection for the number of grains per  $m<sup>2</sup>$  and total plant biomass. According to the same physical location, this block probably referred to *QYm.nau-2D* linkage block. However, in our study, the positive efects were not detected which might be attributed to the data for the agronomic traits collected from the feld without obvious WYMV infection.

To conclude, this study provided a case study of an alien introgression fragment on chromosome 2D, which is selected for its role in the enhancement of WYMV resistance. We could foresee that the further fne mapping of *QYm.nau-2D* was inevitably impeded by the inhibition of allogeneic chromosome recombination. To overcome recombination suppression, we have introduced a *ph1b*-based strategy to produce introgressions with reduced segments for the fne mapping and cloning of *QYm.nau-2D*.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-023-04286-1>.

**Author contribution statement** Conceptualization—XW and JX; Methodology—YC, HW, CY, and PS; Investigation—YC, JJ, DK, XT, KD, MW, and XF; Formal analysis—YC, JX, CJ and GW; Visualization—YC, XZ, GW, and HZ; Writing original draft—YC and JX; Writing review and editing—XW, JX and HW; Funding acquisition—XW, LS and JX; Resources—ZW, MW, HG and HW; Supervision—XW, JX, BS, XYZ and HW.

**Funding** This work was supported by Intergovernmental Key Items for International Scientific and Technological Innovation Cooperation (2018YFE0107700), Fundamental Research Funds for the central University (No. KYZZ2022003), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 32172076), National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2020YFE0202900), Seed Industry Revitalization Project of Jiangsu Province (JBGS (2021)006), Jiangsu Provincial Key Research and Development Program (BE2022346), the Jiangsu Agricultural Technology System (JATS) (No. JATS[2022]464), the Joint Research of Improved Wheat Variety of Anhui.

**Data availability** Phenotype data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary fles). Genotypic data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Theoretical and Applied Genetics (2023) 136:3

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no confict of interest.

**Ethical standards** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that the experiments comply with the current laws of the country in which they were performed.

# **References**

- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Barbosa MM, Goulart LR, Prestes AM, Juliatti FC (2001) Genetic control of resistance to soilborne wheat mosaic virus in Brazilian cultivars of *Triticum aestivum* L. Thell Euphytica 122:417–422
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>Bariana HS, Hayden MJ, Ahmed NU, Bell JA, Sharp PJ, McIntosh RA (2001) Mapping of durable adult plant and seedling resistances to stripe rust and stem rust diseases in wheat. Aust J Agric Res 52:1247–1255
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Bassam BJ, Gresshoff PM (2007) Silver staining DNA in polyacrylamide gels. Nat Protoc 2:2649–2654
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker BM, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixed-efects models using lme4. J Stat Softw 67:1–48
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Beier S, Thiel T, Münch T, Scholz U, Mascher M (2017) MISAweb: a web server for microsatellite prediction. Bioinformatics 33:2583–2585
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Bohra A, Kilian B, Sivasankar S, Caccamo M, Mba C, McCouch SR, Varshney RK (2022) Reap the crop wild relatives for breeding future crops. Trends Biotechnol 40:412–431
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>Bradbury PJ, Zhang Z, Kroon DE, Casstevens TM, Ramdoss Y, Buckler ES (2007) TASSEL: software for association mapping of complex traits in diverse samples. Bioinformatics 23:2633–2635
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>Chemayek B, Bansal UK, Qureshi N, Zhang P, Wagoire WW, Bariana HS (2017) Tight repulsion linkage between *Sr36* and *Sr39* was revealed by genetic, cytogenetic and molecular analyses. Theor Appl Genet 130:587–595
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Chen J (1992) Research advance on barley yellow mosaic viruses and their fungal vector *Polymyxa graminis* L. Virol Sinica (in Chinese)  $7:1-10$
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Chen J (1993) Occurrence of fungally transmitted wheat mosaic viruses in China. Ann Appl Biol 123:55–61
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Chen J (2005) Progress and prospects of studies on *Polymyxa graminis* and its transmitted cereal viruses in China. Prog Nat Sci 15:481–490
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Chen P, Qi L, Zhou B, Zhang S, Liu D (1995) Development and molecular cytogenetic analysis of wheat-*Haynaldia villosa* 6VS/6AL translocation lines specifying resistance to powdery mildew. Theor Appl Genet 91:1125–1128
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Cheng H, Liu J, Wen J, Nie X, Xu L, Chen N, Li Z, Wang Q, Zheng Z, Li M, Cui L, Liu Z, Bian J, Wang Z, Xu S, Yang Q, Appels R, Han D, Song W, Sun Q, Jiang Y (2019) Frequent intra- and interspecies introgression shapes the landscape of genetic variation in bread wheat. Genome Biol 20:136
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Chiapparino E, Lee D, Donini P (2004) Genotyping single nucleotide polymorphisms in barley by tetra-primer ARMS–PCR. Genome 47:414–420
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Clover G, Henry C (1999) Detection and discrimination of wheat spindle streak mosaic virus and wheat yellow mosaic virus using multiplex RT-PCR. Eur J Plant Pathol 105:891–896
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>Cui H, Sun Y, Deng J, Wang M, Xia G (2015) Chromosome elimination and introgression following somatic hybridization between

bread wheat and other grass species. Plant Cell Tissue Organ 120:203–210

- <span id="page-13-23"></span>De Mendiburu F (2014) Agricolae: statistical procedures for agricultural research. R package version 1:1–4
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Glaszmann JC, Kilian B, Upadhyaya HD, Varshney RK (2010) Accessing genetic diversity for crop improvement. Curr Opin Plant Biol 13:167–173
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>Goel M, Schneeberger K (2022) plotsr: visualizing structural similarities and rearrangements between multiple genomes. Bioinformatics 38:2922–2926
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>Goel M, Sun H, Jiao W-B, Schneeberger K (2019) SyRI: fnding genomic rearrangements and local sequence diferences from whole-genome assemblies. Genome Biol 20:1–13
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Han C, Li D, Xing Y, Zhu K, Tian Z, Cai Z, Yu J, Liu Y (2000) Wheat yellow mosaic virus widely occurring in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in China. Plant Dis 84:627–630
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Hao C, Jiao C, Hou J, Li T, Liu H, Wang Y, Zheng J, Liu H, Bi Z, Xu F (2020) Resequencing of 145 landmark cultivars reveals asymmetric sub-genome selection and strong founder genotype efects on wheat breeding in China. Mol Plant 13:1733–1751
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>Hegde SG, Waines JG (2004) Hybridization and introgression between bread wheat and wild and weedy relatives in North America. Crop Sci 44:1145–1155
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Holtz Y, Bonnefoy M, Viader V, Ardisson M, Rode NO, Poux G, Roumet P, Marie-Jeanne V, Ranwez V, Santoni S, Gouache D, David JL (2017) Epistatic determinism of durum wheat resistance to the wheat spindle streak mosaic virus. Theor Appl Genet 130:1491–1505
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Hubisz MJ, Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2009) Inferring weak population structure with the assistance of sample group information. Mol Ecol Resour 9:1322–1332
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Hurni S, Brunner S, Stirnweis D, Herren G, Peditto D, McIntosh RA, Keller B (2014) The powdery mildew resistance gene *Pm8* derived from rye is suppressed by its wheat ortholog *Pm3*. Plant J 79:904–913
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (2014) A chromosome-based draft sequence of the hexaploid bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) genome. Science 345:1251788
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium, Appels R, Eversole K, Feuillet C, Keller B, Rogers J, Stein N, Pozniak CJ, Stein N, Choulet F, Distelfeld A, Eversole K, Poland J, Rogers J, Ronen G, Sharpe AG, assembly, Pozniak C, Ronen G, Stein N, Barad O, Baruch K, Choulet F, Keeble-Gagnere G, Mascher M, Sharpe AG, Ben-Zvi G, Josselin AA, Hi Cd-bs, Stein N, Mascher M, Himmelbach A, Whole-genome assembly quality c, analyses, Choulet F, Keeble-Gagnere G, Mascher M, Rogers J, Balfourier F, Gutierrez-Gonzalez J, Hayden M, Josselin AA, Koh C, Muehlbauer G, Pasam RK, Paux E, Pozniak CJ, Rigault P, Sharpe AG, Tibbits J, Tiwari V, Pseudomolecule a, Choulet F, Keeble-Gagnere G, Mascher M, Josselin AA, Rogers J, RefSeq genome s, gene a, Spannagl M, Choulet F, Lang D, Gundlach H, Haberer G, Keeble-Gagnere G, Mayer KFX, Ormanbekova D, Paux E, Prade V, Simkova H, Wicker T, Automated a, Choulet F, Spannagl M, Swarbreck D, Rimbert H, Felder M, Guilhot N, Gundlach H, Haberer G, Kaithakottil G, Keilwagen J, Lang D, Leroy P, Lux T, Mayer KFX, Twardziok S, Venturini L, Manual gene c, Appels R, Rimbert H, Choulet F, Juhasz A, Keeble-Gagnere G, Subgenome comparative a, Choulet F, Spannagl M, Lang D, Abrouk M, Haberer G, Keeble-Gagnere G, Mayer KFX, Wicker T, Transposable e, Choulet F, Wicker T, Gundlach H, Lang D, Spannagl M, Phylogenomic a, Lang D, Spannagl M, Appels R, Fischer I, Transcriptome a, data RN-s, Uauy C, Borrill P, Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Appels R, Arnaud D, Chalabi S, Chalhoub B, Choulet F, Cory A, Datla R, Davey MW, Hayden M, Jacobs J, Lang D, Robinson SJ, Spannagl M, Steuernagel B, Tibbits J, Tiwari V, van Ex F, Wulf BBH, Whole-genome m, Pozniak CJ,

Robinson SJ, Sharpe AG, Cory A, Histone mark a, Benhamed M, Paux E, Bendahmane A, Concia L, Latrasse D, tags BACcMI-BW-GP, Rogers J, Jacobs J, Alaux M, Appels R, Bartos J, Bellec A, Berges H, Dolezel J, Feuillet C, Frenkel Z, Gill B, Korol A, Letellier T, Olsen OA, Simkova H, Singh K, Valarik M, van der Vossen E, Vautrin S, Weining S, Chromosome LTCm, physical mapping quality c, Korol A, Frenkel Z, Fahima T, Glikson V, Raats D, Rogers J, mapping RH, Tiwari V, Gill B, Paux E, Poland J, Optical m, Dolezel J, Cihalikova J, Simkova H, Toegelova H, Vrana J, Recombination a, Sourdille P, Darrier B, Gene family a, Appels R, Spannagl M, Lang D, Fischer I, Ormanbekova D, Prade V, family CBFg, Barabaschi D, Cattivelli L, Dehydrin gene f, Hernandez P, Galvez S, Budak H, family NLRg, Steuernagel B, Jones JDG, Witek K, Wulff BBH, Yu G, family PPRg, Small I, Melonek J, Zhou R, Prolamin gene f, Juhasz A, Belova T, Appels R, Olsen OA, family WAKg, Kanyuka K, King R, Stem solidness QTLt, Nilsen K, Walkowiak S, Pozniak CJ, Cuthbert R, Datla R, Knox R, Wiebe K, Xiang D, Flowering locus Cgt, Rohde A, Golds T, Genome size a, Dolezel J, Cizkova J, Tibbits J, Micro-Rna, t RNAa, Budak H, Akpinar BA, Biyiklioglu S, Genetic m, mapping, Muehlbauer G, Poland J, Gao L, Gutierrez-Gonzalez J, N'Daiye A, libraries BAC, chromosome s, Dolezel J, Simkova H, Cihalikova J, Kubalakova M, Safar J, Vrana J, Bac pooling BAClr, access, Berges H, Bellec A, Vautrin S, sequence I, data r, access, Alaux M, Alfama F, Adam-Blondon AF, Flores R, Guerche C, Letellier T, Loaec M, Quesneville H, Physical m, sequences BA-b, sequencing AB, assembly, Pozniak CJ, Sharpe AG, Walkowiak S, Budak H, Condie J, Ens J, Koh C, Maclachlan R, Tan Y, Wicker T, sequencing BB, assembly, Choulet F, Paux E, Alberti A, Aury JM, Balfourier F, Barbe V, Couloux A, Cruaud C, Labadie K, Mangenot S, Wincker P, D D, mapping Dp, Gill B, Kaur G, Luo M, Sehgal S, mapping ALp, Singh K, Chhuneja P, Gupta OP, Jindal S, Kaur P, Malik P, Sharma P, Yadav B, mapping ASp, Singh NK, Khurana J, Chaudhary C, Khurana P, Kumar V, Mahato A, Mathur S, Sevanthi A, Sharma N, Tomar RS, B DBB, maps DI-BW-GPp, Rogers J, Jacobs J, Alaux M, Bellec A, Berges H, Dolezel J, Feuillet C, Frenkel Z, Gill B, Korol A, van der Vossen E, Vautrin S, mapping ALp, Gill B, Kaur G, Luo M, Sehgal S, mapping DSp, sequencing BAC, assembly, Bartos J, Holusova K, Plihal O, sequencing DB, assembly, Clark MD, Heavens D, Kettleborough G, Wright J, A physical mapping BACsa, annotation, Valarik M, Abrouk M, Balcarkova B, Holusova K, Hu Y, Luo M, sequencing BB, assembly, Salina E, Ravin N, Skryabin K, Beletsky A, Kadnikov V, Mardanov A, Nesterov M, Rakitin A, Sergeeva E, sequencing BB, assembly, Handa H, Kanamori H, Katagiri S, Kobayashi F, Nasuda S, Tanaka T, Wu J, mapping Ap, sequencing BAC, Appels R, Hayden M, Keeble-Gagnere G, Rigault P, Tibbits J, B physical mapping BACs, assembly, Olsen OA, Belova T, Cattonaro F, Jiumeng M, Kugler K, Mayer KFX, Pfeifer M, Sandve S, Xun X, Zhan B, sequencing DB, assembly, Simkova H, Abrouk M, Batley J, Bayer PE, Edwards D, Hayashi S, Toegelova H, Tulpova Z, Visendi P, mapping DLp, sequencing BAC, Weining S, Cui L, Du X, Feng K, Nie X, Tong W, Wang L, Figures, Borrill P, Gundlach H, Galvez S, Kaithakottil G, Lang D, Lux T, Mascher M, Ormanbekova D, Prade V, Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Spannagl M, Stein N, Uauy C, Venturini L, Manuscript writing t, Stein N, Appels R, Eversole K, Rogers J, Borrill P, Cattivelli L, Choulet F, Hernandez P, Kanyuka K, Lang D, Mascher M, Nilsen K, Paux E, Pozniak CJ, Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Simkova H, Small I, Spannagl M, Swarbreck D, Uauy C (2018) Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. Science 361:eaar7191

<span id="page-14-21"></span>Jia H, Wan H, Yang S, Zhang Z, Kong Z, Xue S, Zhang L, Ma Z (2013) Genetic dissection of yield-related traits in a recombinant inbred line population created using a key breeding parent in China's wheat breeding. Theor Appl Genet 126:2123–2139

- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Jombart T, Ahmed I (2011) adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP data. Bioinformatics 27:3070–3071
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Kanyuka K, Ward E, Adams MJ (2003) *Polymyxa graminis* and the cereal viruses it transmits: a research challenge. Mol Plant Pathol 4:393–406
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Kobayashi F, Kojima H, Tanaka T, Saito M, Kiribuchi-Otobe C, Nakamura T (2020) Characterization of the *Q.Ymym* region on wheat chromosome 2D associated with wheat yellow mosaic virus resistance. Plant Breeding 139:93–106
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Kojima H, Nishio Z, Kobayashi F, Saito M, Sasaya T, Kiribuchi-Otobe C, Seki M, Oda S, Nakamura T (2015) Identifcation and validation of a quantitative trait locus associated with wheat yellow mosaic virus pathotype I resistance in a Japanese wheat variety. Plant Breed 134:373–378
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>Kolde R (2019) pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. R package version 1:12
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547–1549
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Lei J, Chen J, Chen J, Zheng T, Cheng Y (1998) Identifcation of Chinese fungal transmitted flamentous wheat mosaic virus by RT-PCR and SSCP. Virol Sinica (in Chinese) 13:89–96
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Letunic I, Bork P (2021) Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 49:W293–W296
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>Li M, Yeung JM, Cherny SS, Sham PC (2012) Evaluating the efective numbers of independent tests and signifcant p-value thresholds in commercial genotyping arrays and public imputation reference datasets. Hum Genet 131:747–756
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Liu W, Nie H, He Z, Chen X, Han Y, Wang J, Li X, Han C, Yu J (2005a) Mapping of a wheat resistance gene to yellow mosaic disease by amplifed fragment length polymorphism and simple sequence repeat markers. J Integr Plant Biol 47:1133–1139
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Liu W, Nie H, Wang S, Li X, He Z, Han C, Wang J, Chen X, Li L, Yu J (2005b) Mapping a resistance gene in wheat cultivar Yangfu 9311 to yellow mosaic virus, using microsatellite markers. Theor Appl Genet 111:651–657
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Liu C, Suzuki T, Mishina K, Habekuss A, Ziegler A, Li C, Sakuma S, Chen GX, Pourkheirandish M, Komatsuda T (2016) Wheat yellow mosaic virus resistance in wheat cultivar Madsen acts in roots but not in leaves. J Gen Plant Pathol 82:261–267
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>Luo M, Gu Y, Puiu D, Wang H, Twardziok SO, Deal KR, Huo N, Zhu T, Wang L, Wang Y (2017) Genome sequence of the progenitor of the wheat D genome *Aegilops tauschii*. Nature 551:498–502
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>Marçais G, Delcher AL, Phillippy AM, Coston R, Salzberg SL, Zimin A (2018) MUMmer4: a fast and versatile genome alignment system. PLoS Comput Biol 14:e1005944
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>McIntosh RA, Wellings CR, Park RF (1995) Wheat rusts: an atlas of resistance genes. CSIRO Publications, Melbourne
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>Medrano RFV, De Oliveira CA (2014) Guidelines for the tetra-primer ARMS-PCR technique development. Mol Biotechnol 56:599–608
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Meyer RS, Purugganan MD (2013) Evolution of crop species: genetics of domestication and diversifcation. Nat Rev Genet 14:840–852
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Murray MG, Thompson W (1980) Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 8:4321–4326
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Nishio Z, Kojima H, Hayata A, Iriki N, Tabiki T, Ito M, Yamauchi H, Murray TD (2010) Mapping a gene conferring resistance to wheat yellow mosaic virus in European winter wheat cultivar "Ibis" (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Euphytica 176:223–229
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Portis E, Nagy I, Sasvári Z, Stágel A, Barchi L, Lanteri S (2007) The design of Capsicum spp. SSR assays via analysis of *in silico* DNA sequence, and their potential utility for genetic mapping. Plant Sci 172:640–648
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Pour-Aboughadareh A, Kianersi F, Poczai P, Moradkhani H (2021) Potential of of wild relatives of wheat: ideal genetic resources for future breeding programs. Agronomy-Basel 11:1656
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Rice P, Longden I, Bleasby A (2000) EMBOSS: the European molecular biology open software suite. Trends Genet 16:276–277
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Rozen S, Skaletsky H (2000) Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. Methods Mol Biol 132:365–386
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>Sato K, Abe F, Mascher M, Haberer G, Gundlach H, Spannagl M, Shirasawa K, Isobe S (2021) Chromosome-scale genome assembly of the transformation-amenable common wheat cultivar "Fielder." DNA Res 28:dsab008
- <span id="page-15-23"></span>Schloerke B, Crowley J, Cook D, Briatte F, Marbach M, Thoen E, Elberg A, Larmarange J (2018) GGally: extension to 'ggplot2'. R package version 1.4. 0. R Foundation for Statistical Computing
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>Schuler GD (1997) Sequence mapping by electronic PCR. Genome Res 7:541–550
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>Schuler GD (1998) Electronic PCR: bridging the gap between genome mapping and genome sequencing. Trends Biotechnol 16:456–459
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>Shi P, Sun H, Liu G, Zhang X, Zhou J, Song R, Xiao J, Yuan C, Sun L, Wang Z, Lou Q, Jiang J, Wang X, Wang H (2022) Chromosome painting reveals inter-chromosomal rearrangements and evolution of the subgenome D of wheat. Plant J. 112:55-67
- <span id="page-15-27"></span>Summers RW, Brown JKM (2013) Constraints on breeding for disease resistance in commercially competitive wheat cultivars. Plant Pathol 62:115–121
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Sun B, Sun L, Tugume AK, Adams MJ, Yang J, Xie L, Chen J (2013) Selection pressure and founder effects constrain genetic variation in diferentiated populations of soilborne bymovirus wheat yellow mosaic virus (*Potyviridae*) in China. Phytopathology 103:949–959
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Suzuki T, Murai MN, Hayashi T, Nasuda S, Yoshimura Y, Komatsuda T (2015) Resistance to wheat yellow mosaic virus in Madsen wheat is controlled by two major complementary QTLs. Theor Appl Genet 128:1569–1578
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Tanksley SD, McCouch SR (1997) Seed banks and molecular maps: unlocking genetic potential from the wild. Science 277:1063–1066
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Thiel T, Michalek W, Varshney R, Graner A (2003) Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of gene-derived SSR-markers in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Theor Appl Genet 106:411–422
- <span id="page-15-26"></span>Thind AK, Wicker T, Muller T, Ackermann PM, Steuernagel B, Wulf BBH, Spannagl M, Twardziok SO, Felder M, Lux T, Mayer KFX, Keller B, Krattinger SG, Sequencing IWG (2018) Chromosomescale comparative sequence analysis unravels molecular mechanisms of genome dynamics between two wheat cultivars. Genome Biol 19:104
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, Rozen SG (2012) Primer3–new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res 40:e115
- <span id="page-15-28"></span>Voss-Fels KP, Stahl A, Wittkop B, Lichthardt C, Nagler S, Rose T, Chen TW, Zetzsche H, Seddig S, Majid Baig M, Ballvora A, Frisch M, Ross E, Hayes BJ, Hayden MJ, Ordon F, Leon J, Kage H, Friedt W, Stutzel H, Snowdon RJ (2019) Breeding improves wheat productivity under contrasting agrochemical input levels. Nature Plants 5:706–714
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Walkowiak S, Gao L, Monat C, Haberer G, Kassa MT, Brinton J, Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Kolodziej MC, Delorean E, Thambugala D, Klymiuk V, Byrns B, Gundlach H, Bandi V, Siri JN, Nilsen K, Aquino C, Himmelbach A, Copetti D, Ban T, Venturini L, Bevan M, Clavijo B, Koo DH, Ens J, Wiebe K, N'Diaye A, Fritz AK, Gutwin C, Fiebig A, Fosker C, Fu BX, Accinelli GG, Gardner KA, Fradgley N, Gutierrez-Gonzalez J, Halstead-Nussloch G, Hatakeyama M, Koh CS, Deek J, Costamagna AC, Fobert P, Heavens D, Kanamori H, Kawaura K, Kobayashi F, Krasileva K, Kuo T, McKenzie N, Murata K, Nabeka Y, Paape T, Padmarasu S, Percival-Alwyn L, Kagale S, Scholz U, Sese J, Juliana P, Singh R, Shimizu-Inatsugi R, Swarbreck D, Cockram J, Budak H, Tameshige T, Tanaka T, Tsuji H, Wright J, Wu J, Steuernagel B, Small I, Cloutier S, Keeble-Gagnere G,

Muehlbauer G, Tibbets J, Nasuda S, Melonek J, Hucl PJ, Sharpe AG, Clark M, Legg E, Bharti A, Langridge P, Hall A, Uauy C, Mascher M, Krattinger SG, Handa H, Shimizu KK, Distelfeld A, Chalmers K, Keller B, Mayer KFX, Poland J, Stein N, McCartney CA, Spannagl M, Wicker T, Pozniak CJ (2020) Multiple wheat genomes reveal global variation in modern breeding. Nature 588:277–283

- <span id="page-15-25"></span>Wan W, Xiao J, Li M, Tang X, Wen M, Cheruiyot AK, Li Y, Wang H, Wang X (2020) Fine mapping of wheat powdery mildew resistance gene *Pm6* using 2B/2G homoeologous recombinants induced by the *ph1b* mutant. Theor Appl Genet 133:1265–1275
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Wang M, Liu G, Lu X (1980) A primary confrmation on wheat spind streak mosaic virus occurring in China. Agric Sci Technol Sichuan (in Chinese) 1:34–35
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Wang H, Sun S, Ge W, Zhao L, Hou B, Wang K, Lyu Z, Chen L, Xu S, Guo J, Li M, Su P, Li X, Wang G, Bo C, Fang X, Zhuang W, Cheng X, Wu J, Dong L, Chen W, Li W, Xiao G, Zhao J, Hao Y, Xu Y, Gao Y, Liu W, Liu Y, Yin H, Li J, Li X, Zhao Y, Wang X, Ni F, Ma X, Li A, Xu SS, Bai G, Nevo E, Gao C, Ohm H, Kong L (2020) Horizontal gene transfer of *Fhb7* from fungus underlies *Fusarium* head blight resistance in wheat. Science 368:eaba5435
- <span id="page-15-24"></span>Wickham H (2009) Ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer, New York
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Xiao J, Chen X, Xu Z, Guo J, Wu Z, Wang H, Zhu X, Nie M, Bie T, Cheng S, Zhu T, Luo M, You FM, Wang X (2016) Validation and diagnostic marker development for a genetic region associated with wheat yellow mosaic virus resistance. Euphytica 211:91–101
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Xu Y, Hu L, Li L, Zhang Y, Sun B, Meng X, Zhu T, Sun Z, Hong G, Chen Y, Yan F, Yang J, Li J, Chen J (2018) Ribotypes of *Polymyxa graminis* in wheat samples infected with soilborne wheat viruses in China. Plant Dis 102:948–954
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM (2011) GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. Am J Hum Genet 88:76–82
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Yang J, Liu P, Zhong K, Ge T, Chen L, Hu H, Zhang T, Zhang H, Guo J, Sun B, Chen J (2022) Advances in understanding the soil-borne viruses of wheat: from the laboratory bench to strategies for disease control in the feld. Phytopathol Res 4:27
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>Yin L (2020) CMplot: circle manhattan plot
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>You FM, Huo N, Gu Y, Luo M, Ma Y, Hane D, Lazo GR, Dvorak J, Anderson OD (2008) BatchPrimer3: a high throughput web application for PCR and sequencing primer design. BMC Bioinform 9:1–13
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Zhang Q, Li Q, Wang X, Wang H, Lang S, Wang Y, Wang S, Chen P, Liu D (2005) Development and characterization of a *Triticum aestivum-Haynaldia villosa* translocation line T4VS·4DL conferring resistance to wheat spindle streak mosaic virus. Euphytica 145:317–320
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>Zhang X, Wei X, Xiao J, Yuan C, Wu Y, Cao A, Xing L, Chen P, Zhang S, Wang X (2017) Whole genome development of intron targeting (IT) markers specifc for *Dasypyrum villosum* chromosomes based on next-generation sequencing technology. Mol Breed 37:1–11
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Zhu X, Wang H, Guo J, Wu Z, Cao A, Bie T, Nie M, You FM, Cheng Z, Xiao J, Liu Y, Cheng S, Chen P, Wang X (2012) Mapping and validation of quantitative trait loci associated with wheat yellow mosaic bymovirus resistance in bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 124:177–188

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.