C. A. McCartney · A. L. Brûlé-Babel · L. Lamari · D. J. Somers

# Chromosomal location of a race-specific resistance gene to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in the spring wheat ST6

Received: 11 November 2002 / Accepted: 11 June 2003 / Published online: 26 July 2003 © Springer-Verlag 2003

Abstract Septoria tritici blotch, caused by Mycosphaerella graminicola, is a serious foliar disease of wheat worldwide. Qualitative, race-specific resistance sources have been identified and utilized for resistant cultivar development. However, septoria tritici blotch resistant varieties have succumbed to changes in virulence of *M. graminicola* on at least three continents. The use of resistance gene pyramids may slow or prevent the breakdown of resistance. A clear understanding of the genetics of resistance and the identification of linked PCR-based markers will facilitate the recovery of wheat lines carrying multiple septoria tritici blotch resistance genes. The resistance gene in ST6 to isolate MG2 of M. graminicola was mapped with microsatellite markers in two populations, ST6/Erik and ST6/Katepwa. Bulk segregant analysis identified a marker on chromosome 4AL putatively linked to the resistance gene. A large linkage group was identified in each population using additional microsatellite markers mapping to chromosome 4AL. The resistance gene in ST6 mapped to the distal end of chromosome 4AL in each mapping population and was designated Stb7. Three of the microsatellite loci, *Xwmc313*, *Xwmc219* and *Xgwm160*, mapped within 3.5 cM of Stb7; however, none flanked Stb7. Xwmc313 was the closest and mapped 0.3 and 0.5 cM from Stb7 in the crosses ST6/Katepwa and ST6/Erik, respectively. WMC313 will be very useful for marker-assisted selection of Stb7 in Canadian breeding programs because the ST6 allele of Xwmc313 was not identified in any of the Canadian common wheat cultivars tested.

Communicated by P. Langridge

C. A. McCartney · A. L. Brûlé-Babel ()∞) · L. Lamari Department of Plant Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2, Canada, e-mail: Anita\_BruleBabel@umanitoba.ca Fax: +1-204-4747528

D. J. Somers

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Cereal Research Centre, 195 Dafoe Road, Winnipeg, MB R3T 2M9, Canada

**Keywords** Disease resistance gene · Linkage analysis · Microsatellite marker · *Triticum aestivum* · *Mycosphaerella graminicola* 

# Introduction

Septoria tritici blotch, caused by the ascomycete fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola* (Fuckel) J. Schröt. in Cohn (anamorph: *Septoria tritici* Roberge in Desmaz.), is a major foliar disease of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in Canada and other wheat-producing areas of the world (Eyal et al. 1987; Gilbert et al. 1998). The temperature range and the leaf wetness period are important environmental factors affecting the development of septoria tritici blotch (Magboul et al. 1992). Severe epidemics of septoria tritici blotch result in considerable yield losses and shriveled seed unfit for milling (Eyal 1981). The development of resistant cultivars will provide an economically and environmentally sound method of control of this disease.

Septoria tritici blotch resistance has been reported as either a qualitative (Wilson 1979; Somasco et al. 1996; McCartney et al. 2002) or quantitative trait (Jlibene et al. 1994; Simon and Cordo 1998). A gene-for-gene interaction was recently reported for the septoria tritici blotch resistance gene *Stb6* (Brading et al. 2002). It is unknown whether other *Stb* genes (*Stb1*, *Stb2*, *Stb3*, *Stb4* and *Stb5*) are involved in gene-for-gene interactions. The breakdown of septoria tritici blotch resistance in Australia, Israel and the USA (Eyal et al. 1973; Ballantyne and Thomson 1995; Cowger et al. 2000) is also consistent with a gene-for-gene interaction and underlines the need for an improved understanding of this pathosystem.

Pyramiding resistance genes involved in gene-for-gene interactions may provide durable septoria tritici blotch resistance. Resistance gene pyramids can be developed by screening breeding populations for resistance to isolates with specific virulence patterns and/or with DNA-based markers linked to resistance genes. Markers are particularly useful for gene pyramiding when pathogen races carrying single avirulence genes are not available. In the absence of such races, the number of resistance genes in a plant may not be phenotypically distinguishable. Markers are also useful to maintain genetic advance in breeding populations when disease epidemics cannot be established. Currently, only two septoria tritici blotch resistance genes have been mapped to chromosomal locations in wheat. Stb5, derived from Triticum tauschii, maps near the centromere on chromosome 7DS (Arraiano et al. 2001; Simon et al. 2001). Xgwm44 and the anthocyanin pigment gene Rc3 flank Stb5 and mapped 7.2 and 6.6 cM from Stb5, respectively. Stb6 mapped to the distal portion of chromosome 3AL with Xgwm369 mapping 2 cM from Stb6 (Brading et al. 2002). The markers identified in these studies will be useful for marker-assisted selection (MAS). The resistance genes, *Stb1*, *Stb2*, *Stb3* and *Stb4*, have not been assigned to chromosomes.

The objective of this study was to determine the chromosomal location of the septoria tritici blotch resistance gene in ST6 and to identify microsatellite markers useful for marker-assisted selection of septoria tritici blotch resistance.

## **Materials and methods**

## Plant material

A race-specific resistant *T. aestivum* line ST6 (a selection of cv Estanzuela Federal) was crossed to two susceptible *T. aestivum* lines, Katepwa and Erik. One hundred  $F_{2:3}$  families of ST6/Katepwa, 100  $F_{2:3}$  families of Katepwa/ST6, 55  $F_{2:3}$  families of ST6/Erik and 55  $F_{2:3}$  families of Erik/ST6 were generated previously (McCartney et al. 2002). A set of 36 Canadian wheat cultivars representative of different wheat classes was used to evaluate the utility of microsatellite markers for wheat breeding in Canada.

## Disease evaluation

Wheat seedlings of the F2:3 families were evaluated for disease reaction previously (McCartney et al. 2002). Briefly, 20 F<sub>3</sub> families of 20 individuals, ten individuals of each control wheat line (Salamouni, ST6, Katepwa, Erik, Coulter and 4B1149), and four to ten F<sub>1</sub> individuals of the cross being evaluated, and its reciprocal, were included in each inoculation experiment. All seeds were germinated in the dark prior to planting (2 days at 4 °C, 2 days at room temperature) to ensure uniform emergence. Seedlings were grown in the greenhouse prior to inoculation, where temperature ranged from 18 °C at night to 25 °C during the day and supplemental lighting provided a 16-h photoperiod (260  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Seedlings were evaluated for disease reaction with a single-spore culture of M. graminicola isolate MG2, which was isolated from a Manitoba wheat field (Grieger 2001). The MG2 culture was grown on yeast malt agar (Eyal et al. 1987) under fluorescent lights for 7 days. A  $10^7$  conidia per ml suspension was sprayed on seedlings at the three-leaf stage. Plants were incubated in a humidity chamber that maintained continuous leaf wetness for 67 to 72 h. Wheat seedlings were moved to a growth cabinet set at 21/19 °C (day/night) with a 16-h photoperiod (390  $\mu$ E m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and relative humidity between 70 and 80%. Disease ratings were made 17 days after inoculation. A 0 to 5 scale was used to evaluate seedling reactions (McCartney et al. 2002). This rating scale was modified slightly from the scale developed by Rosielle (1972). Reaction types 0 to 3 were resistant and 4 and 5 were susceptible. F<sub>3</sub> families were classified as homozygous resistant, segregating, or homozygous susceptible. Data was tested for goodness of fit to specific genetic ratios using chisquare analysis (Strickberger 1985). Data from reciprocal crosses were tested for homogeneity prior to pooling data (Strickberger 1985).

#### DNA extraction

Leaf tissue was collected and lyophilized from the youngest (noninoculated) leaf tissue of  $F_3$  and parental plants 22 days after inoculation.  $F_2$  individuals were reconstituted by pooling equal amounts of leaf tissue from 20 individuals of a particular  $F_3$  family for DNA extraction. Leaf tissue was placed in a 15-ml falcon tube (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, Pa.) with six 3-mm tungsten carbide beads (Qiagen, Mississauga, Ont.) and ground into a powder by shaking in a paint shaker for 15 min. DNA was extracted with a DNeasy 96 Plant Kit (Qiagen, Mississauga, Ont.). DNA was quantified by fluorimetry using Hoechst 33258 stain.

#### Bulked segregant analysis

A total of 279 microsatellite-marker primer pairs were screened using the bulked segregant analysis (BSA) strategy on the cross ST6/Erik (Michelmore et al. 1991). The resistant and susceptible bulks were created by combining equal amounts of DNA from ten homozygous resistant  $F_3$  families and ten homozygous susceptible  $F_3$  families, respectively. Each of the microsatellite markers was screened on the parental lines and the two bulks to identify putatively linked markers.

### PCR amplification

PCR reactions were performed in 10-µl volumes and included 36 ng of template DNA, 1 U of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Gibco/BRL, Mississauga, Ont.), 1× PCR buffer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.), 1.5 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200  $\mu$ M of each dNTP, 20  $\mu$ M of forward primer, 180  $\mu$ M of 6-FAM/HEX/NED-labelled M13 primer  $(5' \rightarrow 3' CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC; Applied Biosys$ tems, Foster City, Calif.), and 200  $\mu$ M of reverse primer. Table 1 shows the forward and reverse primer sequences and annealing temperature of the microsatellite markers that map to chromosome 4AL. GWM and WMC primer sequences were obtained from Röder et al. (1998) and Gupta et al. (2002), respectively, except for WMC283 and WMC313. Primer sequences for WMC283 and WMC313 were obtained from GrainGenes (http://wheat.pw.usda. gov) and Sejet Plant Breeding, respectively. All forward microsatellite primers were modified to contain a 5', 19-nucleotide M13 tail (Schuelke 2000). The reaction mixture was denatured at 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 51/61 °C for 50 s, 73 °C for 1 min, with a final extension step of 73 °C for 5 min. PCR amplicons were resolved in an ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.) with GeneScan software and GeneScan-500 ROX as an internal size standard (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif.). Genographer version 1.6.0 (http://hordeum.oscs.montana.edu/genographer) was used to convert chromatograms to gel images.

#### Linkage analysis

Microsatellite markers putatively linked to the resistance gene, based on the results of BSA, were screened on the entire mapping populations of the ST6/Erik and ST6/Katepwa crosses, and their reciprocals, to verify linkage. The chromosomal locations of these markers were previously determined in other mapping populations (Röder et al. 1998; Chalmers et al. 2001; Gupta et al. 2002; Somers, unpublished data). This information was used to select additional markers in order to identify a large linkage group. Table 1 Primer sequences and annealing temperatures of the microsatellite markers found on chromosome 4AL

| Marker | Forward primer $(5' \rightarrow 3')^a$       | Reverse primer $(5' \rightarrow 3')$ | Annealing<br>temperature<br>(°C) |
|--------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| GWM160 | CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACTTCAATTCAGTCTTGGCTTGG     | CTGCAGGAAAAAAAGTACACCC               | 61                               |
| GWM397 | CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACTGTCATGGATTATTTGGTCGG     | CTGCACTCTCGGTATACCAGC                | 61                               |
| GWM637 | CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACAAAGAGGTCTGCCGCTAACA      | TATACGGTTTTGTGAGGGGG                 | 51                               |
| WMC161 | CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACACCTTCTTTGGGATGGAAGTAA    | GTACTGAACCACTTGTAACGCA               | 51                               |
| WMC219 | CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACTGCTAGTTTGTCATCCGGGCGA    | CAATCCCGTTCTACAAGTCCA                | 51                               |
| WMC232 | CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACGAGATTTGTTCATTTCATCTTCGCA | TATATTAAAGGTTAGAGGTAGTCAG            | 61                               |
| WMC262 | CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACGCTTTAACAAAGATCCAAGTGGCAT | GTAAACATCCAAACAAAGTCGAACG            | 51                               |
| WMC283 | CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACCGTTGGCTGGGTTATATCATCT    | GACCCGCGTGTAAGTGATAGGA               | 51                               |
| WMC313 | CACGACGTTGTAAAACGACGCAGTCTAATTATCTGCTGGCG    | GGGTCCTTGTCTACTCATGTCT               | 51                               |

<sup>a</sup> The underlined portion of the forward primers is the M13 tail

Linkage analysis was conducted with Mapmaker/exp version 3.0b software (Lander et al. 1987; Lincoln et al. 1993). Map distances were converted to centimorgans using the Kosambi function (Kosambi 1944). Linkage groups and genetic-linkage maps were generated using a maximum Kosambi distance of 37.2 cM and a minimum LOD of 2.3 and 3.0 for ST6/Erik and ST6/ Katepwa populations, respectively. The 'compare', 'try' and 'map' commands were used to determine the marker order and calculate the map distances.

# Results

Disease reaction of F<sub>3</sub> families

 $F_{2:3}$  family reactions of the crosses, ST6/Katepwa, Katepwa/ST6, ST6/Erik and Erik/ST6, were reported previously (McCartney et al. 2002). Reciprocal effects were not observed allowing data from reciprocal crosses to be pooled. The observed  $F_3$  family reactions to isolate MG2 were 42:102:56 and 28:57:25 homozygous resistant:segregating:homozygous susceptible for the ST6/ Katepwa and ST6/Erik populations, respectively (Mc-Cartney et al. 2002). Both datasets fit a 1:2:1 segregation ratio, indicating that ST6 has a single incompletely dominant gene conferring resistance to isolate MG2 of *M. graminicola*. The symbol *Stb7* (*Reaction to M. graminicola 7*) is proposed to designate the resistance gene in ST6 controlling reaction to isolate MG2 of *M. graminicola*.

Marker and linkage analysis

WMC219 was the only marker, of 279 microsatellite markers, that appeared to be linked to *Stb7* in the BSA of the ST6/Erik population. The ST6 allele only amplified in the resistant bulk and the Erik allele only amplified in the susceptible bulk (Fig. 1). The PCR profiles of the ten  $F_3$  families in the resistant bulk and the ten  $F_3$  families in the resistant bulk are also shown. This result demonstrated a linkage between *Xwmc219* and *Stb7*. The ST6, Erik and Katepwa alleles were 175 bp, 226 bp and 230 bp, respectively.



**Fig. 1** PCR profiles produced by amplification of WMC219 in the ST6/Erik population. Numbers on the left indicated the size in bp of the amplicon. Abbreviations: *R Bulk* is the resistant bulk, *S Bulk* is the susceptible bulk, *R1–R10* are the homozygous resistant  $F_3$  families in the resistant bulk, and *S1–S10* are the homozygous susceptible  $F_3$  families in the susceptible bulk

WMC219 mapped to chromosome 4AL in the W7984/ Opata reference mapping population (Gupta et al. 2002). The entire ST6/Erik mapping population was screened with WMC219 and other microsatellite markers that map to chromosome 4AL. Linkage analysis of these markers generated a 108.1 cM linkage group (Fig. 2A). *Xwmc313* and *Xgwm219* mapped 0.5 and 1.0 cM from *Stb7*, respectively.

The chromosomal location of *Stb7* was verified in the ST6/Katepwa mapping population (Fig. 2B). The same microsatellite markers formed a 108.3 cM linkage group in this population. *Xwmc313*, *Xwmc219* and *Xgwm160* mapped 0.3, 1.1 and 3.5 cM from *Stb7*, respectively. The linkage groups for the ST6/Erik and ST6/Katepwa populations were nearly identical (Fig. 2). No markers were identified that flanked the resistance gene. The maps of chromosome 4AL produced in this study are consistent with maps of 4AL in other mapping populations (Röder et al. 1998; Chalmers et al. 2001; Gupta et al. 2002; Somers, unpublished data). *Stb7* is located at the distal end of chromosome 4AL.

Canadian wheat cultivars representative of different wheat classes were screened with WMC313 to determine whether the marker will be useful for MAS of *Stb7* in Canadian wheat breeding programs. The ST6 allele of WMC313 was not detected in Canadian common wheat cultivars (Fig. 3). The majority of Canadian common wheat cultivars produced a 229 bp amplicon similar to Katepwa and Erik. The remaining common wheat cultivars had null alleles. Eight of nine Canada Western Amber Durum (CWAD) wheat cultivars had a 206 bp amplicon similar to ST6, the other CWAD cultivar Sceptre had a 227 bp amplicon.



**Fig. 2A, B** Linkage maps displaying the microsatellite loci and *Stb7* on chromosome 4AL in the (A) ST6/Erik and (B) ST6/Katepwa crosses. *Stb7* is located at the distal end of chromosome 4AL. Distances are reported in Kosambi centimorgans and the linkage groups were established at LOD 2.3 and 3.0 for the ST6/Erik and ST6/Katepwa populations, respectively

# Discussion

The present study indicates that *Stb7* is located at the distal end of chromosome 4AL and is the third *Triticum*derived *Stb* gene to be mapped to a chromosomal location. *Stb7* confers resistance to isolate MG2 of *M. graminicola* (McCartney et al. 2002), a representative member of one of the two races identified in western Canada (Grieger 2001). The present results illustrate the utility of microsatellite markers for locating genes on chromosomes. *Stb7* may be linked to other disease/pest resistance loci, possibly in a resistance gene cluster, since a number of resistance loci have been identified on chromosome 4A. These include *H25*, *Lr28*, *Lr30*, *Pm16*, *Sr7*, *Wsm1*, *YrMin* and *YrND* (McIntosh et al. 1998).

The relationships between the described Stb genes have not been completely studied. Stb1, Stb2 and Stb3 are not genetically linked (Wilson 1985). Stb4 is not linked to Stb1, but whether it is linked to Stb2 or Stb3 is unknown (Somasco et al. 1996). Stb1, Stb2, Stb3 and Stb4 have not been assigned to chromosomes. Stb5 maps near the centromere of chromosome 7DS (Arraiano et al. 2001; Simon et al. 2001) and Stb6 maps to the distal end to chromosome 3AS (Brading et al. 2002). This information and the present study reveal that Stb5, Stb6 and Stb7 are not linked to each other. However, their relationship to Stb1, Stb2, Stb3 and Stb4 is unknown. The T. aestivum line Salamouni has three additional Stb genes that are unlinked to Stb7, but their relationship to other Stb genes is unknown (McCartney et al. 2002). The number of allelism tests required to differentiate these genes grows rapidly as additional septoria tritici blotch resistance sources are described. Mapping Stb genes to chromosomal locations is most likely the quickest method of differentiating different Stb genes. Allelism tests can then be performed on genes that map to similar chromosomal locations.

Scoring reaction to *M. graminicola* in segregating generations is difficult and could be facilitated with MAS. Progeny tests appear to provide the most accurate phenotypic ratings (Brading et al. 2002; McCartney et al. 2002), but these are labour intensive. Individual plant



**Fig. 3** PCR profiles produced by amplification of WMC313 in a set of Canadian wheat cultivars representative of different wheat classes. Numbers on the left indicated the size in bp of the amplicon. Wheat class abbreviations: *CWRS* is Canada Western Red Spring, *CWES* is Canada Western Extra Strong Red Spring,

*CPSR* is Canada Prairie Spring Red, *CPSW* is Canada Prairie Spring White, *CWSWS* is Canada Western Soft White Spring, *CWRW* is Canada Western Red Winter and *CWAD* is Canada Western Amber Durum

ratings can be very accurate in controlled growth rooms (McCartney et al. 2002). However, individual plant ratings in the field or greenhouse would not be as accurate due to decreased control of temperature and humidity, which would not allow for maximal expression of resistance and susceptibility. Also, foliar pathogens of wheat often occur together in the field since they require similar conditions for infection (Gilbert et al. 1998) and can be difficult to distinguish. Improper disease identification will result in inaccurate disease data and decrease the genetic gain in breeding populations. Furthermore, M. graminicola is known to interact with other wheat pathogens. Brokenshire (1974) reported that Blumeria graminis f. sp. tritici infection allowed M. graminicola to sporulate on a cultivar that is resistant to that M. graminicola isolate. MAS can overcome these diseasescreening problems because selection is based on genotype rather than phenotype. WMC313 will be very useful for MAS of *Stb7* in Canadian wheat breeding programs given its tight linkage to Stb7 and that the ST6 allele of Xwmc313 is rare or absent in elite Canadian common wheat germplasm.

The production of PCR amplicons of 206 bp in length in ST6, and eight of nine CWAD wheat cultivars using WMC313 is intriguing as it suggests that Stb7 may be commonly present in CWAD cultivars. Other data support this possibility. First, CWAD cultivars appear to have septoria tritici blotch resistance gene(s) absent in Canadian common wheat cultivars, because the prevalence of *M. graminicola* in CWAD wheat fields was significantly lower than in CWRS and CPS wheat fields in a survey of leaf-spotting pathogens in Manitoba from 1990 to 1995 (Gilbert et al. 1998). Second, the CWAD cultivar Coulter has two septoria tritici blotch resistance genes, one of which has the same race specificity as Stb7 based on the available data (McCartney et al. 2002). If certain septoria tritici blotch resistance genes, such as Stb7, are common in durum cultivars and rare in common wheat cultivars or vice-versa, this would explain the host-species specialization reported previously in M. graminicola (Kema et al. 1996). Further research is needed to determine whether Stb7 is present in CWAD cultivars to lend further support to this hypothesis.

Introgression of *Stb7* into Canadian common wheat germplasm has been initiated. An  $F_3$  family was detected in the ST6/Katewpa population that is segregating for *Stb7* but is homozygous for Katepwa alleles for the majority of chromosome 4A. A recombination event has occurred between *Xwmc313* and *Xwmc219* in this line, which will limit the amount of ST6 DNA that will be introduced into Canadian breeding material.

In summary, *Stb7* was mapped to the distal end of chromosome 4AL and tagged with three tightly linked microsatellite markers, GWM160, WMC219 and WMC313. WMC313 will be useful for MAS of *Stb7* in Canadian breeding programs since it is tightly linked to *Stb7*, and the ST6 allele of *Xwmc313* is absent or rare in Canadian common wheat cultivars.

Acknowledgements We thank Sejet Plant Breeding (Denmark) for permission to publish the primer sequences of WMC313. Thanks also to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Western Grains Research Foundation, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada and the Canadian Wheat Board for their financial support.

## References

- Arraiano LS, Worland AJ, Ellerbrook C, Brown JKM (2001) Chromosomal location of a gene for resistance to septoria tritici blotch (*Mycosphaerella graminicola*) in the hexaploid wheat 'Synthetic 6x'. Theor Appl Genet 103:758–764
- Ballantyne B, Thomson F (1995) Pathogenic variation in Australian isolates of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. Aust J Agric Res 46:921–934
- Brading PA, Verstappen ECP, Kema GHJ, Brown JKM (2002) A gene-for-gene relationship between wheat and *Mycosphaerella* graminicola, the Septoria tritici blotch pathogen. Phytopathology 92:439–445
- Brokenshire T (1974) Predisposition of wheat to Septoria infection following attack by Erysiphe. Trans Br Mycol Soc 63:393–397
- Chalmers KJ, Campbell AW, Kretschmer J, Karakousis A, Henschke PH, Pierens S, Harker N, Pallotta M, Cornish GB, Shariflou MR, Rampling LR, McLauchlan A, Daggard G, Sharp PJ, Holton TA, Sutherland MW, Appels R, Langridge P (2001) Construction of three linkage maps in bread wheat, *Triticum aestivum*. Aust J Agric Res 52:1089–1119
- Cowger C, Hoffer ME, Mundt CC (2000) Specific adaptation by Mycosphaerella graminicola to a resistant wheat cultivar. Plant Pathol 49:445–451
- Eyal Z (1981) Integrated control of septoria diseases of wheat. Plant Dis 65:763–768
- Eyal Z, Amiri Z, Wahl I (1973) Physiological specialization of Septoria tritici. Phytopathology 63:1087–1091
- Eyal Z, Scharen AL, Prescott JM, van Ginkel M (1987) The septoria diseases of wheat: concepts and methods of disease management. CIMMYT, Mexico, D.F
- Gilbert J, Woods SM, Tekauz A (1998) Relationship between environmental variables and the prevalence and isolation frequency of leaf-spotting pathogens in spring wheat. Can J Plant Pathol 20:158–164
- Grieger AP (2001) Host-pathogen interactions in the wheat-Mycosphaerella graminicola pathosystem. MSc Thesis, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada Gupta PK, Balyan HS, Edwards KJ, Isaac P, Korzun V, Röder M,
- Gupta PK, Balyan HS, Edwards KJ, Isaac P, Korzun V, Röder M, Gautier M-F, Joudrier P, Schlatter AR, Dubcovsky J, De la Pena RC, Khairallah M, Penner G, Hayden MJ, Sharp P, Keller B, Wang RCC, Hardouin JP, Jack P, Leroy P (2002) Genetic mapping of 66 new microsatellite (SSR) loci in bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 105:413–422
- Jlibene M, Gustafson JP, Rajaram S (1994) Inheritance of resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in hexaploid wheat. Plant Breed 112:301–310
- Kema GHJ, Annone JG, Sayoud R, Van Silfhout CH, Van Ginkel M, de Bree J (1996) Genetic variation for virulence and resistance in the wheat-*Mycosphaerella graminicola* pathosystem. I. Interactions between pathogen isolates and host cultivars. Phytopathology 86:200–212
- Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distances from recombination values. Ann Eugen 12:172–175
- Lander ES, Green P, Abrahamson J, Barlow A, Daly MJ, Lincoln SE, Newburg L (1987) MAPMAKER: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1:174–181
- Lincoln SE, Daly MJ, Lander ES (1993) Constructing genetic maps with MAPMAKER/EXP version 3.0: a tutorial and reference manual. Whitehead Institute for the Biomedical Research Technical Report, 3rd edn. Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

- Magboul AM, Geng S, Gilchrist DG, Jackson LF (1992) Environment influence on the infection of wheat by *Mycosphaerella* graminicola. Phytopathology 82:1407–1413
- McCartney CA, Brûlé-Babel AL, Lamari L (2002) Inheritance of race-specific resistance to *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in wheat. Phytopathology 92:138–144
- McIntosh RA, Hart GE, Devos KM, Gale MD, Rogers WJ (1998) Catalogue of Gene Symbols for Wheat. Proc 9th Int Wheat Genetics Symposium, 2–7 August 1998 Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada
- Michelmore RW, Paran I, Kesseli RV (1991) Identification of markers linked to disease resistance genes by bulked segregant analysis: a rapid method to detect markers in specific genomic regions by using segregating populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:9828–9832
- Röder MS, Korzun V, Wendehake K, Plaschke J, Tixier MH, Leroy P, Ganal MW (1998) A microsatellite map of wheat. Genetics 149:2007–2023
- Rosielle AA (1972) Sources of resistance in wheat to speckled leaf blotch caused by *Septoria tritici*. Euphytica 21:152–161
- Schuelke M (2000) An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. Nature Biotech 18:233–234

- Simon MR, Cordo CA (1998) Diallel analysis of four resistance components to Septoria tritici in six crosses of wheat (Triticum aestivum). Plant Breed 117:123–126
- Simon MR, Worland AJ, Cordo CA, Struik PC (2001) Chromosomal location of resistance to Septoria tritici in seedlings of a synthetic hexaploid wheat, Triticum spelta, and two cultivars of Triticum aestivum. Euphytica 119:149–153
- Somasco OA, Qualset CO, Gilchrist DG (1996) Single-gene resistance to *Septoria tritici* blotch in the spring wheat cultivar 'Tadinia'. Plant Breed 115:261–267
- Strickberger MW (1985) Genetics, 3rd edn. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, USA
- Wilson RE (1979) Resistance to *Septoria tritici* in two wheat cultivars, determined by independent single dominant genes. Aust Plant Pathol 8:16–18
- Wilson RE (1985) Inheritance of resistance to Septoria tritici in wheat. In: Scharen AL (ed) Septoria of cereals: proceedings of the workshop. Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, USA pp 33–35