P. Sourdille · T. Cadalen · H. Guyomarc'h J. W. Snape · M. R. Perretant · G. Charmet C. Boeuf · S. Bernard · M. Bernard

# An update of the Courtot $\times$ Chinese Spring intervarietal molecular marker linkage map for the QTL detection of agronomic traits in wheat

Received: 10 August 2001 / Accepted: 3 June 2002 / Published online: 19 September 2002 © Springer-Verlag 2002

Abstract We made an update of the intervarietal molecular marker linkage map of the wheat genome developed using a doubled-haploid (DH) population derived from the cross between the cultivars 'Courtot' and 'Chinese Spring'. This map was constructed using 187 DH lines and 659 markers. The genome was well covered (more than 95%) except for chromosomes from homoeologous group 4 and chromosomes 5D and 7D, which had gaps slightly larger than 50 cM. A core-map based on a set of 200 anchor loci (one marker each 18.4 cM) was developed. The total length of this map was 3,685 cM which is similar to the size of the international reference map of the ITMI population (3,551 cM). Map coverage was identical for the three genomes (A, B and D) and for the number of anchor loci, as well as for the size of the map. Using this map, QTLs for several agronomic traits were detected on phenotypic data from the population grown in Clermont-Ferrand (France) under natural field conditions over 6 years, and in Norwich (UK) in controlled conditions and under natural field conditions in 1 year. Almost all of the 21 chromosomes were involved in at least one trait. However, several regions seemed to contain gene clusters either for grain traits (and thus breadmaking quality) or plant development traits.

Communicated by P. Langridge

P. Sourdille · T. Cadalen · H. Guyomarc'h · M.R. Perretant G. Charmet · C. Boeuf · S. Bernard · M. Bernard (⊠) UMR INRA-UBP Amélioration et Santé des Plantes, Domaine de Crouël, 234 Avenue du Brézet, 63039 Clermont-Ferrand Cedex 2, France e-mail: Michel.Bernard@clermont.inra.fr Tel.: +33 4 73 62 43 07, Fax: +33 4 73 62 44 53

J.W. Snape

John Innes Centre, Colney Norwich, NR4 7UJ, United Kingdom

*Present address:* T. Cadalen, Florimond Desprez, B. P. 41, 59242 Cappelle-en-Pévèle, France

Present address:

H. Guyomarc'h, GEVES, La Minière, 78285 Guyancourt cedex, France

Keywords Microsatellites  $\cdot$  Genetic map  $\cdot$  QTL analysis  $\cdot$  Intervarietal cross  $\cdot$  Molecular markers

# Introduction

In hexaploid bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L. em. Thell, 2n = 6x = 42), molecular marker linkage maps have been published either in the form of separate homoeologous groups such as groups 1 (Van Deynze et al. 1995), 2 (Devos et al. 1993; Nelson et al. 1995a), 3 (Devos et al. 1992; Nelson et al. 1995b), 4, 5 (Xie et al. 1993; Devos et al. 1995; Nelson et al. 1995c), 6 (Jia et al. 1996; Marino et al. 1996) and 7 (Chao et al. 1989; Nelson et al. 1995c), or as complete maps (Liu and Tsunewaki 1991; Gale et al. 1995; Cadalen et al. 1997; Messmer et al. 1999). However, owing to the poor levels of polymorphism often encountered in wheat (Chao et al. 1989; Cadalen et al. 1997), mapping strategies were most often directed toward the use of wide crosses, involving either a synthetic wheat and a variety such as 'Chinese Spring' (Gale et al. 1995) or 'Opata' (Nelson et al. 1995a, b, c) as parents, or crosses between 'Chinese Spring' and *Triticum spelta* (Liu and Tsunewaki 1991; Messmer et al. 1999).

One of the aims of developing such maps is the elaboration of strategies to access genes of interest underlying quantitative trait loci (QTLs). An elegant model for establishing genetic/physical map relationships was proposed by Gill and Gill (1993) from a set of deleted wheat lines (cv Chinese Spring). More than 400 deletion lines were isolated for the 21 chromosomes after introducing a gametocidal chromosome from *Aegilops cylindrica* that produced chromosomal breakages on the wheat chromosomes (Endo 1988; Endo and Gill 1996). This unique material was used to physically map RFLP probes onto sub-arm chromosomal regions for homoeologous groups 1 (Kota et al. 1993; Gill et al. 1996a; Tsujimoto et al. 1999; Ma et al. 2001), 2 (Delaney et al. 1995a), 3 (Delaney et al. 1995b; Ma et al. 2001), 4 (Mickelson-Young et al. 1995), 5 (Gill et al. 1996b), 6 (Gill et al.

Table 1 Different traits observed on the Courtot × Chinese Spring population which were submitted to QTL analysis

Trait	Abbreviations	No. of Plants	Years	Reference	
Plant height Pentosan viscosity Crossability Powdery mildew	H Visc. K	106 91 187	93, 94, 95 94,95 97 98	Sourdille et al. 1998 Martinant et al. 1998 Tixier et al. 1998 Sourdille et al. 1999	
Bread making <sup>a</sup> Heading time <sup>a,b</sup> Ear compactness <sup>a</sup> Awning	W, Hard., Prot. HT, LD, DLS Cp, Ns, Sl Awn	169 172, 189, 140 172, 189, 144 144	94, 95, 96 94, 95, 97, 98 94, 95, 98 98	Perretant et al. 2000 Sourdille et al. 2000a Sourdille et al. 2000b Sourdille et al. 2002	

<sup>a</sup> Several related traits were studied in these cases: Bread-making quality: W = strength of the dough; Hard. = grain hardness; Prot. = % protein content. Heading time: HT = heading time; LD = long day conditions; DLS = difference between long- and short-day conditions. Ear compactness: Cp = ear compactness; Ns = number of spikelets; SI = spike length <sup>b</sup> Photoperiod response and heading time were evaluated in Norwich in 1997 and 1998 respectively, on 140 entries. Heading time only was evaluated in Clermont-Ferrand in 1994 and 1995 on 172 and 189 entries respectively

1993a; Weng et al. 2000) and 7 (Werner et al. 1992; Hohmann et al. 1995a, 1995b). Röder et al. (1998b) also physically mapped a restricted set of microsatellites on chromosomes of homoeologous group 2. Zhang et al. (2000) saturated the1BS satellite region with AFLP markers. Deletion mapping strategy has allowed the delineation of chromosomal regions for some important genes like *Ph1* on 5BL (Gill et al. 1993b), *Vrn1* on 5AL (Sarma et al. 1998), *Ha* on 5DS (Sarma et al. 2000) and Q on 5AL with the isolation of 'candidate clones', by combining a fingerprinting cDNA approach, Northern analysis and deletion mapping (Kojima et al. 2000).

However, the genetic dissection of complex agronomic traits through QTL analyses requires the development of molecular-marker linkage maps in an intervarietal context. Such a population of doubled-haploid lines was developed using the French cultivar 'Courtot' and the well known line 'Chinese Spring' as parents (Cadalen et al. 1997). The original 'Courtot' × 'Chinese Spring' (CtCS) map, constructed using 293 RFLP markers, covered only 60% of the whole genome, mainly because of the scarcity of markers on the D-genome chromosomes.

Recently, however, we developed a set of D genomespecific microsatellites using *Triticum tauschii* as a genomic DNA donor for the production of a microsatelliteenriched library (Guyomarc'h et al. 2002). A large proportion of these microsatellites mapped on the D genome of the CtCS map. Thus, the objective of the present study was to establish a new version of the 'Courtot' × 'Chinese Spring' linkage map, and to use this to reanalyse all previous data for the QTLs that were detected using this cross for bread-making quality traits as well as for development traits (plant height, wheat/rye crossability, ear compactness, photoperiod response).

## **Materials and methods**

Plant material and trait evaluation

The population consisted of 217 doubled-haploid (DH) lines and was produced through anther culture from Courtot (Ct) × Chinese Spring (CS)  $F_1$ -hybrids (Félix et al. 1996; Cadalen et al. 1997).

One hundred and six lines were genotyped for all the markers and an additional set of 81 DH lines were genotyped only for anchor loci. Depending on the trait to be studied, various sets among these 187 entries were sown together with the parents (autumn sowing) at Clermont-Ferrand over several years (1993–1998; see Table 1). Three-row plots (1.5 m) were grown in a nursery with two replications under normal field conditions with fungicide application to control rusts and powdery mildew.

The traits were evaluated according to the different procedures described in the publications (for references see Table 1).

Chromosomal assignment of anchor loci was realised using a set of 19 nulli-tetrasomic (NT) and 35 di-telosomic (DT) lines, kindly provided by Dr. Steve Reader (John Innes Centre, UK). This was completed by a set of 85 characterized wheat deletion lines kindly provided by Dr. Bikram Gill (Kansas State University, USA).

#### Molecular analysis

The probes used in this study, as well as the techniques for DNA extraction, digestion, electrophoresis, blotting and hybridization, were described by Cadalen et al. (1997). The protocol using non-radioactive probes was detailed in Lu et al. (1994) and Sourdille et al. (1996). Several microsatellites (Devos et al. 1995; Plaschke et al. 1995, 1996; Röder et al. 1995, 1998b; Bryan et al. 1997; Guyomarc'h et al. 2002) were also mapped on this population. Protocols for PCR reactions were as described in Tixier et al. (1998), and the detection of microsatellites using a non-radioactive silver-nitrate staining method was described by Tixier et al. (1997). Some AFLP markers obtained following the procedure described in Bert et al. (1999) were also added to the map.

#### Construction of the map

Segregation distortion for all the loci was tested using a chi-square test. Mapmaker/exp version 3.06 (Lander et al. 1987) was used to construct the maps for biased or unbiased markers separately. Linkage groups were established using LOD and  $\theta$  thresholds of 5 and 0.25 respectively. The genetic distances were calculated using the Kosambi (1944) mapping function. Anchor loci were chosen according to the following criteria: absence of segregation distortion (except for chromosomes 2B and 6B), minimum of missing data and optimal spacing along the chromosomes.

### QTL analysis

The associations between markers and the various traits were evaluated by a one-way ANOVA with  $\alpha = 0.001$  to keep the overall type-I error risk below 5%, in order to determine the markers hav-



ing the main effects. Then, estimates of the locations of the QTLs, origins of the positive alleles and additive values of the QTLs were assessed for each linkage group using the marker regression method according to Kearsey and Hyne (1994), the most significant markers from the other groups being used as covariates as proposed by Jansen and Stam (1994). The 95% confidence intervals of the QTL locations and effects were established by bootstrapping (Visscher et al. 1996) using 500 replicates.

## Results

The first version of the CtCS map obtained from the DH population was constructed using 293 markers and was detailed by Cadalen et al. (1997). The map covered nearly 1,800 cM (60% of the genome) with an average of one marker each 7.6 cM. However, the D genome was poorly represented and no markers were assigned to chromosomes 2D, 4D and 5D. The development of microsatellites (Röder et al. 1998b; Guyomarc'h et al. 2002) has allowed a new map to be obtained. This second version of the map (Fig. 1) was constructed using a set of 200 anchor loci delimiting 178 linkage blocks ('bins'). This map now covers 3,685 cM which is slightly larger than the observed size for the ITMI map (3,551 cM) derived from the cross between a synthetic wheat [reconstructed by hybridization between Triticum dicoccum (AABB) and T. tauschii (DD)] and the variety Opata (Nelson et al. 1995a, b, c; Van Deynze et al. 1995; Marino et al. 1996). The whole genome was covered except for chromosomes from homoeologous group 4 and chromosomes 5D and 7D which showed residual gaps slighty larger than 50 cM. A similar percentage of anchor markers covered a similar length of map for the three genomes: 35% of the loci representing 34% of the size were assigned to the A genome, 34% of the loci representing 32.5% of the size were assigned to the B genome, 31% of the loci representing 33.5% of the size were assigned to the D genome. However, among the 659 mapped loci, the D genome was under-represented with only 171 loci (26%), while 37% and 34% of the loci were assigned to the A and B genomes, respectively. A small number of loci (only 3%) remained unlinked to any of the 21 linkage groups. The largest chromosome maps were those from chromosomes 2D (265.6 cM) and 7A (270.0 cM) while the shortests were observed for chromosomes 4A (99.5 cM) and 4D (105.5 cM).

Such a well-satured linkage map constitutes a powerful tool for QTL mapping. We previously used the CtCS population for the study of several agronomic traits (Table 1): plant height (H), wheat/rye crossability (K), powdery mildew resistance (R), bread-making quality through the study of the strength of the dough (W), grain hardness (Hard.), total protein content (Prot.), earliness through heading time (HT) and photoperiod response under long-day conditions (LD) or the difference between long- and short-day conditions (DLS), ear compactness (Cp) together with two related traits, spike length (Sl) and the number of spikelets (Ns) and awning (Awn). Using the new version of our map, we made an update of the QTLs detected for each trait (Table 2). Only three new QTLs were detected using this new version of the map. All of them were located on chromosomes from the D genome: one on chromosome 2D for awning, and two on chromosomes 5D and 6D for ear compactness. All these three QTLs were linked to microsatellite loci newly added to the map. We were also able to precisely locate these QTLs within confidence intervals. The shortest was observed for grain hardness for which a 5-cM confidence interval was detected on the short arm of chromosome 5D carrying the hardness locus (ha). The largest was computed for awning for which a confidence interval of 163.3-cM was located on chromosome 2D.

The position on the CtCS map of the 46 QTLs which were detected are summarized in Fig. 2. Nearly all the chromosomes contained at least one QTL. Only chromosomes 1D, 3A, and 3D exhibited none. On the other hand, some chromosomes were involved in the expression of several traits. For example, chromosome 1A was involved in bread-making quality [strength (W) and kernel hardness] with a QTL close to the storage-protein locus (Glu-1), but also in resistance to powdery mildew at the top of the short arm, in plant height with a strong interaction between chromosome arms 1AS and 1BL, and in spike morphology at the bottom of the long arm (compactness and spike length). In addition, QTLs frequently co-segregated not only when traits were related to each other (for example, a QTL for compactness co-segregated with those for spike length and the number of spikelets on chromosome arm 2BS), but also when the traits were unrelated, like a QTL for awning which co-segregated with those for compactness and spike length on chromosome arm 4AS.

Moreover, numerous genes associated with simply inherited traits have already been mapped, or at least assigned, using aneuploid stocks (Sears 1954) or substitution lines (Kuspira and Unrau 1957). In most of the cases, genes known to affect a particular trait were located in the close vicinity of QTLs associated to genetic variation for the same trait. This was clearly the case for plant height where two QTLs were located on chromosome arms 4BS and 4DS close to *Rht-B1* and *Rht-D1* respectively, two genes reducing plant height in wheat.

Fig. 1 Molecular marker linkage map developed for the 'Courtot' × 'Chinese Spring' population. Recombination fractions are in Kosambi cM (1944). Positions of the deletions are indicated on the left of the chromosomes. *Dotted chromosomal segments* represent recombination values > 50 cM. *Markers with dashed lines and/or underlined* are in homoeologous positions or present homoeologous loci. Markers in *italic* characters were assigned to chromosomes using aneuploid stocks or deletion lines. Markers that significantly deviated from the 1:1 ratio at the 5%, 1% and 0.1% levels are indicated with \*, \*\*, \*\*\* respectively. The approximate position of the centromere is indicated with a *circle* 



**Table 2** QTLs detected using the new version of the map. New QTLs compared to previous analyses (Table 1) are indicated with \*. Pos.: location of the QTL from the top of the chromosome (cM). CI: confidence interval. Power: % of significant models us-

ing bootstrap re-sampling. Add.: additive value of the QTL. The sign indicates the favourable allele (+ for 'Courtot' and – for 'Chinese Spring')

Trait	Loci	Chr.	Loc. (CI)	r2	Power	Pr(F)	Add.
Н	Xglk556 Xfba211 XksuA5 XksuD2	4BS 4DL 7AL 7BL	6.1 (0-47.2) 36.8 (2.9-64.8) 168.5 (100.9-196.1) 98.0 (40.3-148.7)	19.1 16.7 11.9 12.5	0.978 0.668 0.926 0.722	$\begin{array}{c} 1.14 \times 10^{-4} \\ 8.50 \times 10^{-5} \\ 3.89 \times 10^{-5} \\ 7.63 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	9.61 8.72 8.67 7.37
К	Xfba367 Xwg583 Xtam51	5BS 5BL 7AL	11.2 (0–32.6) 76.7 (49.7–96.7) 219.5 (145.3–262.1)	16.8 3.3 5.9	0.738 0.501 0.817	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00 \times 10^{-5} \\ 3.46 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.00 \times 10^{-3} \end{array}$	13.6 6.1 8.1
Prot.	Xcdo1188 E38M60 <sub>200</sub>	1BL 6AS	65.0 (39.0–103.0) 59.0 (36.0–86.0)	6.5 17.1	0.738 0.915	$8.70 \times 10^{-5}$ $6.64 \times 10^{-5}$	-0.31 -0.50
Hard.	Xfba92 Xmta10 Xgwm55	1AL 5DS 6AL	83.0 (56.0–103.0) 1.0 (0–5.0) 53.0 (34.0–70.0)	3.1 66.9 5.5	0.502 1 0.666	$\begin{array}{c} 7.46 \times 10^{-4} \\ 0.10 \times 10^{-7} \\ 3.53 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	4.0 17.0 -4.8
W	Xfba92 XksuE3 Xmta10	1AL 3BL 5DS	84.0 (64.0–97.0) 54.0 (12.0–120.0) 4.0 (0–13.0)	10.9 9.4 19.5	0.883 0.915 0.966	$\begin{array}{c} 4.73 \times 10^{-5} \\ 2.47 \ 10^{-4} \\ 9.50 \times 10^{-5} \end{array}$	17.0 15.0 21.0
LD	Xglk407 Xgwm174 XksuD18	5AL 5DL 7BS	84.0 (58.9–158.7) 150.6 (112.5–178.1) 25.3 (3.8–44.6)	6.9 9.4 7.3	0.970 0.500 0.890	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00 \times 10^{-6} \\ 4.19 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.11 \times 10^{-3} \end{array}$	2.12 2.50 -2.20
DLS	Xfbb121 Xglk556	2BS 4BS	51.1 (30.6–87.5) 37.5 (0–74.2)	25.9 6.3	0.954 0.506	$\begin{array}{c} 7.60 \times 10^{-5} \\ 1.73 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	-5.98 -2.89
НТ	Xfbb121 Xtam75 Xfbb53 XksuD2 Xfbb366	2BS 5AL 7BS 7BL 7DL	43.5 (24.0–75.7) 134.8 (69.0–185.8) 52.1 (22.9–121.9) 237.8 (190.9–282.3) 123.8 (49.6–166.4)	44.4 8.2 9.4 5.3 5.8	0.999 0.768 0.679 0.664 0.966	$\begin{array}{c} 2.20 \times 10^{-5} \\ 3.41 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.23 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.43 \times 10^{-3} \\ 8.10 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	-3.15 1.13 1.44 -1.64 -0.92
S1	XksuG34 Xfbb121 Xgwm261 Xfba78 XksuE2	1AL 2BS 2DS 4AS 5AL	126.9 (59.3–154.5) 39.3 (11.9–73.9) 15.3 (12.1–41.8) 10.8 (0–41.3) 183.5 (124.9–212.5)	11.6 9.8 7.7 6.9 9.3	0.926 0.774 0.810 0.658 0.900	$\begin{array}{c} 3.89 \times 10^{-5} \\ 5.29 \times 10^{-4} \\ 6.16 \times 10^{-4} \\ 9.09 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.42 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	0.45 0.49 -0.36 0.40 -0.44
Ns	Xfba106 Xfbb121 Xfba43	2AS 2BS 5AL	43.2 (6.7–73.3) 25.6 (11.2–43.8) 87.2 (46.9–118.9)	9.5 15.6 8.8	0.907 0.851 0.896	$\begin{array}{c} 2.47 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.87 \times 10^{-5} \\ 5.66 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	-0.76 0.97 -0.82
Ср	XksuG34 Xwmc170 Xfbb121 Xgwm261* Xwmc173 Xfba177 Xcfd26* Xcfd38	1AL 2AL 2BS 2DS 4AS 5AL 5DL 6DL	$122.5 (51.5-140.2) \\ 87.8 (42.3-108.9) \\ 19.4 (5.7-36.4) \\ 12.9 (0-48.1) \\ 8.7 (2.4-50.1) \\ 220.8 (136.5-220.8) \\ 149.2 (88.0-174.8) \\ 68.0 (25.7-134.2) \\ \end{array}$	9.3 10.6 9.3 9.9 10.1 7.9 13.6 12.2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.923 \\ 0.840 \\ 0.648 \\ 0.966 \\ 0.874 \\ 0.734 \\ 0.624 \\ 0.700 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.47 \times 10^{-4} \\ 5.57 \times 10^{-5} \\ 2.32 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.27 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.13 \times 10^{-4} \\ 6.99 \times 10^{-4} \\ 6.95 \times 10^{-4} \\ 6.75 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.10 \\ -0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ -0.10 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.13 \end{array}$
Awn	Xgwm249* Xwmc173 XksuG12	2DS 4AS 6BL	32.6 (21.9–185.2) 29.1 (0–48.4) 97.0 (50.1–141.5)	8.5 8.4 5.7	0.760 0.756 0.668	$4.80 \times 10^{-5}$ $7.40 \times 10^{-5}$ $3.73 \times 10^{-4}$	0.262 -0.379 -0.188

## Discussion

✓ Fig. 2 Position of QTLs on the genetic wheat maps for plant height (*H*), wheat/rye crossability (*Cross*), powdery mildew resistance (*R*), strength of the dough (*W*), grain hardness (*Hard.*), total protein content (*Prot.*), heading time (*HT*), photoperiod response under long-day conditions (*LD*) or difference between long-and short-day conditions (*DLS*), ear compactness (*Cp*), spike length (*Sl*), number of spikelets (*Ns*) and awning (*Awn*). Approximate positions of the centromeres are indicated with a *circle*. *Dotted chromosomes* indicate that the linkage between the different groups was >50 cM. Approximate locations of known genes are indicated on the left of the chromosomes according to McIntosh et al. (1998)

Molecular mapping in an intervarietal context in wheat is not a simple task, but is a need in molecular based breeding strategies. Breeders will frequently be confronted by the lack of polymorphism often mentioned in the literature for bread wheat (Chao et al. 1989; Cadalen et al. 1997). For example, using a population of 194 recombinant inbred lines from the cross between the two cultivars Renan and Récital, Groos et al. (2002) built a map comprising 436 loci but with a total length of 2,260 cM representing only 62% genome coverage. In order to improve the saturation of such maps, microsatellites probably constitute the best tool because of their locus-specificity, their high level of polymorphism and their possibility for automation. Several groups have already derived microsatellites from Triticeae species (Bryan et al. 1997; Röder et al. 1998a; Guyomarc'h et al. 2002) but the effort is being continued in order to produce saturated microsatellite linkage maps as is the case for maize (1,735 public SSRs in the Maize Data Base). In our case, the previous map (Cadalen et al. 1997) was greatly improved by the addition of microsatellites, especially for the D genome where three different chromosomes had almost no RFLP loci mapped (chromosomes 2D, 4D and 5D).

In our map, the size of the individual chromosome maps and especially those from the D genome, were longer than those observed using the ITMI population (Nelson et al. 1995a, b, c; Van Deynze et al. 1995; Marino et al. 1996). This may be explained by the fact that recombination occurred more easily in an intervarietal F1 rather than in an interspecific one, which was the case for the D genome of the ITMI population, from a cross between a synthetic wheat and a cultivar. This is of great interest since breeders often look at wild related species to recover resistance genes and thus have a lot of difficulty in getting rid of deleterious traits. Furthermore, the size of our map was slightly higher than that obtained with the ITMI population (3,685 vs 3,551 cM). In addition several markers remained unlinked, suggesting that the final size of the genetic map of the wheat genome will be around 4,000 cM.

A significant number of markers (103) gave multiple loci. Even if the duplicated loci were frequently mapped at homoeologous positions (42%), they were also mapped at orthologous positions, either on the same genome (20%) or even on the same chromosome (24%). This suggests that the duplication of these loci probably occurred before polyploidisation rather than after it. Since the number of linked duplicated orthologous loci was not very high, it was not possible to define linkage blocks for duplication as this was done for the syntenic regions between rice and wheat (Moore et al. 1995). However, such blocks could probably be defined using a larger number of markers.

Concerning the QTL analyses, the present update revealed three new QTLs compared to previous analyses. They were all located on D-genome chromosomes that were not covered in the previous map (chromosomes 2D and 5D). This shows the importance of a good genome coverage for a powerful QTL detection. All the QTLs that were previously detected were confirmed and confidence intervals were computed. The mean size of these confidence intervals was 68.9 cM indicating that the precision of the location of the QTLs was not very good and that the linkage information between markers and traits of interest could not easily be used in breeding programs.

It is noteworthy that several QTLs co-segregated, and not only those involved in related traits. For example,

three QTLs for the number of spikelets, spike length, compactness and heading time were located in the same region on the short arm of chromosome 2B. This type of result always gives rise to the problem of whether there is only one gene having a pleiotropic effect or there are several genes clustered in the same region and acting for different (even related) traits. More and more studies tend to indicate that genes are often grouped in clusters. This seems particularily true for resistance genes (Chantret et al. 2000; C. Feuillet, personal communication). However, this is also probably the same for other types of genes. For example, Tranquilli et al. (1999), showed that at least three different genes coding for puroindolines (a and b) or puroindoline-like proteins (grain-softness protein: GSP) were located on the unique Triticum monococcum BAC clone of 105 kb. This suggests that genes are not evenly dispersed thoughout the genome but are rather clustered in numerous regions separated by repeated or non-coding sequences. This suggests also that it would be possible to identify BAC clones containing genes among an entire library simply by total cDNA probing.

While some QTLs frequently co-segregated with genes known to influence a trait, in some cases, they also appeared located at different regions. For example, on chromosome arm 5BS, a QTL was detected for wheat/ rye crossability (K) while the major genes (Kr) are known to be located on the long arm of this homeologous group. This suggests that other genes are involved in the expression of this trait than those observed and mapped using aneuploid stocks or mutant phenotypes and having the strongest effect. It was also oberved that QTLs were detected close to genes known to be involved in the trait, although alleles at these loci were supposed to be identical between the parents of our segregating population. This indicates that a range of allelic variation exists at these loci.

This work showed that the 'Courtot'  $\times$  'Chinese Spring' segregating population was well adapted for QTL detection. We are currently developing a larger population in order to fine map the regions bearing QTLs of interest, which will be the first step toward the molecular cloning of the genes underlying these QTLs.

Acknowledgements The authors gratefully thank C. Baron, B. Charef, A. Loussert, B. Maître and M.H. Tixier for excellent technical assistance, A. Madéore for helping producing the DH population and the technical staff for field experiments. G.I.S. Génoblé, M. Gale, K. Tsunewaki and M. Röder are greatly acknowledged for providing with the probes and the microsatellites, and S. Reader and B. Gill for furnishing the aneuploid and deletion lines. All these experiments comply with the current laws of France.

## References

- Bert PF, Charmet G, Sourdille P, Hayward M, Balfourier F (1999) High-density molecular map for ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) using AFLP markers. Theor Appl Genet 99:445–452
- Bryan GJ, Collins AJ, Stephenson P, Orry A, Smith JB, Gale MD (1997) Isolation and characterisation of microsatellites from hexaploid bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 94:367–377

- Cadalen T, Boeuf C, Bernard S, Bernard M (1997) An intervarietal molecular marker map in *Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell. and comparison with a map from a wide cross. Theor Appl Genet 94:367–377
- Chantret N, Sourdille P, Röder M, Tavaud M, Bernard M, Doussinault G (2000) Location and mapping of the powdery mildew resistance gene *MIRE* and detection of a resistance QTL by bulked segregant analysis (BSA) with microsatellites in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 100:1217–1224
- Chao S, Sharp PJ, Worland AJ, Warham EJ, Koebner RMD, Gale MD (1989) RFLP-based genetic maps of wheat homoeologous group-7 chromosomes. Theor Appl Genet 78:495–504
- Delaney DE, Nasuda S, Endo TR, Gill BS, Hulbert SH (1995a) Cytologically based physical maps of the group-2 chromosomes of wheat. Theor Appl Genet 91:568–573
- Delaney DE, Nasuda S, Endo TR, Gill BS, Hulbert SH (1995b) Cytologically based physical maps of the group-3 chromosomes of wheat. Theor Appl Genet 91:780–782
- Devos KM, Atkinson MD, Chinoy CN, Liu CJ, Gale MD (1992) RFLP-based genetic map of the homoeologous group-3 chromosomes of wheat and rye. Theor Appl Genet 83:931–939
- Devos KM, Millan T, Gale MD (1993) Comparative RFLP maps of the homoeologous group-2 chromosomes of wheat, rye and barley. Theor Appl Genet 85:784–792
- Devos KM, Bryan ĜJ, Collins AJ, Stephenson P, Gale MD (1995) Application of two microsatellite sequences in wheat storage proteins as molecular markers. Theor Appl Genet 90:247–252
- Endo TR (1988) Induction of chromosomal structural changes by a chromosome of *Aegilops cylindrica* L. in common wheat. J Hered 79:366–370
- Endo TR, Gill BS (1996) The deletion stocks of common wheat. J Hered 87:295–307
- Félix I, Martinant JP, Bernard M, Bernard S, Branlard G (1996) Genetic characterization of storage proteins in a set of F1-derived haploid lines in bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 92:340–346
- Gale MD, Atkinson MD, Chinoy CN, Harcourt RL, Jiu J, Li QY, Devos KM (1995) Genetic maps of hexaploid wheat. In: Li ZS, Xin ZY (eds) Proc 8th Int Wheat Genet Symp China Agric Scientech Press, Beijing pp 29–40
- Gill KS, Gill BS (1993) Mapping in the realm of polyploidy: The wheat model. BioEssays 16:841–846
- Gill KS, Gill BS, Endo TR (1993a) A chromosome region-specific mapping strategy reveals gene-rich telomeric ends in wheat. Chromosoma 102:374–381
- Gill KS, Gill BS, Endo TR, Mukai Y (1993b) Fine physical mapping of *Ph1*, a chromosome pairing regulator gene in polyploid wheat. Genetics 134:1231–1236
- Gill KS, Gill BS, Endo TR, Taylor T (1996a) Identification and high-density mapping of gene-rich regions in chromosome group-1 of wheat. Genetics 144:1883–1891
- Gill KS, Gill BS, Endo TR, Boyko EV (1996b) Identification and high-density mapping of gene-rich regions in chromosome group-5 of wheat. Genetics 143:1001–1012
- Groos C, Gay G, Perretant MR, Gervais L, Bernard M, Dedryver F, Charmet G (2002) Study of the relationship between pre-harvest sprouting and grain color by quantitative trait loci analysis in a white × red grain bread wheat cross. Theor Appl Genet 104:39–47
- Guyomarc'h H, Sourdille P, Charmet G, Edwards KJ, Bernard M (2002) Characterization of polymorphic microsatellite markers from *Aegilops tauschii* and transferability to the D genome of bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 104:1164–1172
- Hohmann U, Endo TR, Herrmann RG, Gill BS (1995a) Characterization of deletions in common wheat induced by an *Aegilops cylindrica* chromosome: detection of multiple chromosome rearrangements. Theor Appl Genet 91:611–617
- Hohmann U, Graner A, Endo TR, Gill BS, Herrmann RG (1995b) Comparison of wheat physical maps with barley linkage maps for group-7 chromosomes. Theor Appl Genet 91:618–626
- Jansen RC, Stam P (1994) High resolution of quantitative traits into multiple loci via interval mapping. Genetics 136:1447–1455
- Jia J, Devos KM, Chao S, Miller TE, Reader SM, Gale MD (1996) RFLP-based maps of the homoeologous group-6 chromo-

somes of wheat and their application in the tagging of Pm12, a powdery mildew resistance gene transferred from Aegilops speltoides to wheat. Theor Appl Genet 93:559–565

- Kearsey MJ, Hyne V (1994) QTL analysis: a simple 'markerregression approach'. Theor Appl Genet 89:698–702
- Kojima T, Habu Y, Iida S, Ogihara Y (2000) Direct isolation of differentially expressed genes from a specific chromosome region of common wheat: application of the amplified fragment length polymorphism-based mRNA fingerprinting (AMF) method in combination with a deletion line of wheat. Mol Gen Genet 263:635–641
- Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distances from recombination values. Ann Eugen 12:172–175
- Kota RS, Gill KS, Gill BS (1993) A cytogenetically based physical map of chromosome 1B in common wheat. Genome 36:548–554
- Kuspira J, Unrau J (1957) Genetic analyses of certain characters in common wheat using whole chromosome substitution lines. Can J Plant Sci 37:300–326
- Lander ES, Green P, Abrahamson J, Barlow A, Daly MJ, Lincoln SE, Newburg L (1987) MAPMAKER: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1:174–181
- Liu Y, Tsunewaki K (1991) Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) in wheat. II. Linkage maps of the RFLP sites in common wheat. Jpn J Genet 66:617–633
- Lu YH, Merlino M, Isaac PG, Staccy J, Bernard M, Leroy P (1994) A comparative analysis between [<sup>32</sup>P] and digoxygenin-labelled single-copy probes for RFLP detection in wheat. Agronomie 14:33–39
- Ma XF, Ross K, Gustafson JP (2001) Physical mapping of restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) markers in homoeologous groups 1 and 3 chromosomes of wheat by in situ hybridization. Genome 44:401–412
- Marino CL, Nelson JC, Lu YH, Sorrels ME, Leroy P, Lopes CR, Hart GE (1996) RFLP-based linkage maps of the homoeologous group-6 chromosomes of hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell). Genome 39:359–366
- Martinant JP, Cadalen T, Billot A, Chartier S, Leroy P, Bernard M, Saulnier L, Branlard G (1998) Genetic analysis of water-extractable arabinoxylans in bread wheat endosperm. Theor Appl Genet 97:1069–1075
- McIntosh RA, Hart GE, Devos KM, Gale MD, Rogers WJ (1998) Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat. In: Slinkard AE (ed) Proc 9th Int Wheat Genet Symp, Saskatoon, Canada, vol 5, 235p
- Messmer MM, Keller M, Zanetti S, Keller B (1999) Genetic linkage map of a wheat × spelt cross. Theor Appl Genet 98: 1163–1170
- Mickelson-Young L, Endo TR, Gill BS (1995) A cytogenetic ladder-map of the wheat homoeologous group-4 chromosomes. Theor Appl Genet 90:1007–1011
- Moore G, Devos KM, Wang Z, Gale MD (1995) Grasses, line up and form a circle. Curr Biol 5:737–739
- Nelson JC, Van Deynze AE, Autrique E, Sorrells ME, Lu YH, Merlino M, Atkinson M, Leroy P (1995a) Molecular mapping in bread wheat. Homoeologous group-2. Genome 38:516–524
- Nelson JC, Van Deynze AE, Autrique E, Sorrells ME, Lu YH, Negre S, Bernard M, Leroy P (1995b) Molecular mapping in bread wheat. Homoeologous group-3. Genome 38:525–533
- Nelson JC, Van Deynze AE, Sorrells ME, Lu YH, Atkinson M, Bernard M, Leroy P, Faris J, Anderson JA (1995c) Molecular mapping of wheat: major genes and rearrangements in homoeologous groups 4, 5 and 7. Genetics 141:721–731
- Perretant MR, Cadalen T, Charmet G, Sourdille P, Boeuf C, Tixier MH, Branlard G, Bernard S, Bernard M (2000) QTL analysis of bread-making quality in wheat using a doubledhaploid population. Theor Appl Genet 100:1167–1175
- Plaschke J, Ganal M, Röder M (1995) Detection of genetic diversity in closely related bread wheat using microsatellite markers. Theor Appl Genet 91:1001–1007
- Plaschke J, Börner A, Wendehake K, Ganal M, Röder M (1996) The use of aneuploids for the chromosomal assignment of microsatellite loci. Euphytica 89:33–44

- Röder MS, Plaschke J, König SU, Börner A, Sorrells ME, Tanksley SD, Ganal MW (1995) Abundance, variability and chromosomal location of microsatellites in wheat. Mol Gen Genet 246:327–333
- Röder MS, Korsun V, Gill BS, Ganal MW (1998a) The physical mapping of microsatellite markers in wheat. Genome 41: 278–283
- Röder MS, Korsun V, Wendehake K, Plaschke J, Tixier MH, Leroy P, Ganal MW (1998b) A microsatellite map of the wheat genome. Genetics 149:2007–2023
- Sarma RN, Gill BS, Sasaki T, Galiba G, Sutka J, Laurie DA, Snape JW (1998) Comparative mapping of the wheat chromosome 5A Vrn-A1 region with rice and its relationship to QTL for flowering time. Theor Appl Genet 97:103–109
- Sarma RN, Fish L, Gill BS, Snape JW (2000) Physical characterization of the homoeologous group-5 chromosomes of wheat in terms of rice linkage blocks, and physical mapping of some important genes. Genome 43:191–198
- Sears ER (1954) The aneuploids of common wheat. Univ Missouri Res Bull 572:1–58
- Sourdille P, Perretant MR, Charmet G, Leroy P, Gautier MF, Joudrier P, Nelson JC, Sorrells ME, Bernard M (1996) Linkage between RFLP markers and genes affecting kernel hardness in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 93:580–586
- Sourdille P, Cadalen T, Charmet G, Tixier MH, Gay G, Boeuf C, Bernard S, Leroy P, Bernard M (1998) Molecular markers linked to genes affecting plant height in wheat using a doubled-haploid population. Theor Appl Genet 96:933–940
- Sourdille P, Robe P, Tixier MH, Doussinault G, Pavoine MT, Bernard M (1999) Location of *Pm3g*, a powdery mildew resistance allele in wheat, by using a monosomic analysis and by identifying associated molecular markers. Euphytica 110:193–198
- Sourdille P, Snape JW, Cadalen T, Charmet G, Nakata N, Bernard S, Bernard M (2000a) Detection of QTLs for heading time and photoperiod response in wheat using a doubled-haploid population. Genome 43:487–494
- Sourdille P, Tixier MH, Charmet G, Gay G, Cadalen T, Bernard S, Bernard M (2000b) Location of genes involved in ear compactness in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) by means of molecular markers. Mol Breed 6:247–255

- Sourdille P, Cadalen T, Gill B, Gay G, Bernard M (2002) Molecular and physical mapping of genes affecting awning in wheat. Plant Breed (in press)
- Tixier MH, Sourdille P, Röder M, Leroy P, Bernard M (1997) Detection of wheat microsatellites using a non-radioactive silvernitrate staining method. J Genet Breed 51:175–177
- Tixier MH, Sourdille P, Charmet G, Gay G, Jaby C, Cadalen T, Bernard S, Nicolas P, Bernard M (1998) Detection of QTL for crossability in wheat using a doubled-haploid population. Theor Appl Genet 97:1076–1082 (1998)
- Tranquilli G, Lijavetzky D, Muzzi G, Dubcovsky J (1999) Genetic and physical characterization of grain texture-related loci in diploid wheat. Mol Gen Genet 262:846–850
- Tsujimoto H, Usami N, Hasegawa K, Yamada T, Nagaki K, Sasakuma T (1999) De novo synthesis of telomere sequences at the healed breakpoints of wheat deletion chromosomes. Mol Gen Genet 262:851–856
- Van Deynze AE, Dubcovsky J, Gill KS, Nelson JC, Sorrels ME, Dvorak J, Gill BS, Lagudah ES, McCouch SR, Appels R(1995) Molecular-genetic maps for group-1 chromosomes of *Triticeae* species and their relation to chromosomes in rice and oat. Genome 38:45–59
- Visscher PM, Thompson R, Haley CS (1996) Confidence intervals in QTL mapping by bootstrapping. Genetics 143:1013–1020
- Weng Y, Tuleen NA, Hart GE (2000) Extended physical maps and a consensus physical map of the homoeologous group-6 chromosomes of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em Thell). Theor Appl Genet 100:519–527
- Werner JE, Endo TR, Gill BS (1992) Toward a cytogenetically based physical map of the wheat genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89:11,307–11,311
- Xie DX, Devos KM, Moore G, Gale MD (1993) RFLP-based genetic maps of the homoeologous group-5 chromosomes of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 87: 70–74
- Zhang H, Nasuda S, Endo TR (2000) Identification of AFLP markers on the satellite region of chromosome 1BS in wheat. Genome 43:729–735