SHORT COMMUNICATION

Obstacle negotiation in female desert locust oviposition digging

Chen Klechevski1 · Lazar Kats2 · Amir Ayali1,[3](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6152-2927)

Received: 23 May 2024 / Revised: 24 July 2024 / Accepted: 25 July 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

The female locust lays its eggs deep within soft substrate to protect them from predators and provide optimal conditions for successful development and hatching. During oviposition digging, the female's abdomen is pooled and extends into the ground, guided by a dedicated excavation mechanism at its tip, comprising two pairs of specialized digging valves. Little is known about how these active valves negotiate the various obstacles encountered on their path. In this study, female locusts oviposited their eggs in specialized sand-flled tubes with pre-inserted 3D-printed plastic obstacles. The subterranean route taken by the abdomen and digging valves upon encountering the obstacles was investigated, characterized, and compared to that in control tubes without obstacles. Data were obtained by way of visual inspection, by utilizing cone beam computed tomography scans in high-defnition mode, and by making parafn casts of the oviposition burrows (after egg hatching). We demonstrate, for the frst time, the subterranean navigation ability of the female locust's excavation mechanism and its ability to circumvent obstacles during oviposition. Finally, we discuss the role of active sensory-motor mechanisms versus the passive embodied function of the valves, central control, and decision-making.

Keywords Egg-laying · Digging valves · Sensory-motor integration · Subteranean steering · Insect

Introduction

Many insects use elongated ovipositors—the specialized apparatus at the tip of the female insect abdomen to deposit their eggs in a suitable, often difficult to reach, moist, and safe environment (e.g., Emeljanov [2014;](#page-6-0) Elias et al. [2018;](#page-6-1) Cerkvenik et al. [2019\)](#page-6-2). The female of the desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria*, lacks such long appendages, and instead extends its abdomen in order to deposit an egg pod ca. 8–10 cm deep into the ground (Fig. [1A](#page-1-0)). This is aided by two pairs of dedicated sclerotized digging valves, or oviposition valves (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)B), which are utilized for excavating a deep and narrow burrow (Vincent [1976;](#page-6-3) Das et al.

Communicated by: Matthias Waltert

- ¹ School of Zoology, Tel Aviv University, 6997801 Tel Aviv, Israel
- ² Sagol School of Neuroscience, Tel Aviv University, 6997801 Tel Aviv, Israel
- ³ School of Dental Medicine, Tel Aviv University, 6997801 Tel Aviv, Israel

[2022a\)](#page-6-4). After completing the digging, the female begins to secret into the bottom of the burrow a small amount of a specialized protein-based foam, followed by depositing the egg pod. Subsequently, while concomitantly withdrawing her abdomen, she flls the burrow to the top with this specialized foam, which is intended (in addition to its other roles, e.g., Lavy et al. [2021\)](#page-6-5) to provide the future hatchlings with a quick and safe route to the surface (Symmons and Cressman [2001](#page-6-6); Uvarov [1977](#page-6-7); Hägele et al. [2000\)](#page-6-8). The female locust abdomen at rest is no longer than 2.5–3 cm. During oviposition digging, however, it demonstrates extreme extension, two to threefold its original length (Fig. [1;](#page-1-0) Vincent [1975](#page-6-9); Jorgensen and Rice [1983](#page-6-10); Das et al. [2022b](#page-6-11)). The locust achieves this by means of a remarkable extension of the soft tissue within the abdomen. This includes extension of parts of the intersegmental membranes up to tenfold their original length (Vincent and Wood [1972;](#page-6-12) Vincent [1975](#page-6-9)) and a similar extreme elongation of certain abdominal intersegmental muscles (Jorgensen and Rice [1983](#page-6-10)). The elongation of the female locust's abdomen is also accompanied by extraordinary longitudinal-stress-induced elongation of the abdominal nervous system (Das et al. [2022b](#page-6-11)).

Both laboratory studies and feld observations have noted that gravid locust females are fastidious when choosing a site

 \boxtimes Amir Ayali ayali@post.tau.ac.il

Fig. 1 A A snapshot from a video sequence depicting oviposition digging in the female locust (taken through a glass wall). The locust is shown after completing the burrow, as she starts retracting her abdomen, discharging the foam secretion, and depositing the eggs (arrow).

at which to deposit their eggs (Kennedy [1949](#page-6-13); Popov [1958](#page-6-14); Hunter-Jones [1964](#page-6-15); Pener and Simpson [2009](#page-6-16); Tanaka and Sugahara [2017](#page-6-17)), often delaying oviposition for up to 72 h. If a suitable site is not found within this period, the locusts will dispose of their eggs in the open, avoiding the costly procedure of digging an unsuitable site and its associated dangers of predation and being trapped (if the female cannot withdraw her abdomen after digging during oviposition). Almost all previous work, however, has focused on the suitability of the oviposition site in relation to the presence of predators, shade, temperature, and, mostly, humidity (all critical factors for the survival of the female, her eggs, and hatchlings). In contrast, there is very little knowledge regarding the ability of the female to negotiate any physical obstacles encountered within the substrate during oviposition digging.

Here we sought to answer the question of how the active digging oviposition valves negotiate obstacles encountered

B A schematic illustration of the female's abdomen during excavation, depicting the digging apparatus—the ovipositor valves and the extreme extension of the abdomen. Insert shows a CT image of the digging valves

in their path. To investigate this, we provided gravid females in our gregarious locust breeding colony with access to PVC oviposition tubes flled with moist sand (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0). Some of these tubes were prepared with specialized 3-D printed plastic obstacles (mimicking natural obstacles like roots and pebbles). The females' digging paths were then reconstructed and analyzed. Our fndings revealed a remarkable ability of the locust to circumvent such impediments by steering its digging valves and abdomen around the obstacles and maintaining a general vertical digging path.

Materials and methods

Desert locusts, *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forskål), in our breeding colony at the School of Zoology, Tel Aviv University, are reared for many consecutive generations under

Fig. 2 The oviposition digging path. **A** A schematic illustration depicting the oviposition tubes and two sagittal-longitudinal sections drawn to demonstrate examples of digging paths, including egg pods (orange) and foam secretion (white), in control conditions. **B** A similar schematic illustration of an experimental oviposition tube (the two 3D printed obstacles are shown in blue with their detailed dimensions), and sagittal sections depicting two examples of the oviposition digging when the female encountered an obstacle. One failed attempt is also shown (in gray). **C**–**D** Monitoring and analyzing the oviposition digging path. Composite images, i.e., overlays of several

heavy crowding, 100–160 animals in 60-l metal cages. The cages are kept under controlled temperature and humidity conditions (30 °C, 40–60%) under a 12D:12L cycle. Direct radiant heat is supplied during daytime by incandescent electric bulbs, to reach a fnal day temperature of 35–37 °C. The locusts are fed daily with wheat seedlings and dry oats.

Cages with gravid females were supplied with 12×4 -cm PVC or cardboard tubes densely flled with wet sand for oviposition (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0). The tubes were either control (Fig. [2A](#page-2-0)) or experimental tubes (Fig. [2B](#page-2-0)). The latter contained two specially constructed and positioned 3D-printed plastic obstacles in the shape of a tilted semi-circle of a radius of 2 cm, i.e., each designed to block half of the sand tube (Fig. [2B](#page-2-0)). Obstacles were inserted at depts of either 2 and 4 cm, or 3 and 5 cm. The oviposition tubes were left overnight and checked the next day for signs of oviposition: holes in the sand indicating unsuccessful attempts, and traces of the secreted foam (foam plug) indicating completed oviposition events (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0). The females' oviposition digging paths were then studied and characterized in one of three approaches:

view plains, from examples of HDCBCT scans of sand-flled PVC oviposition tubes: Control (**C**; Foam plug and egg pod are marked by F and E, respectively**)** and tubes prepared with two plastic obstacles (arrow heads) at 2 and 4 cm (D1) or at 3 and 5 cm (D2). Foam plug and egg pod are noted with a (F) and (E) respectively. **E** and **F** Paraffn casts of the digging path remaining after the eggs hatched and the hatchlings surfaced via the foam plug. The relatively straight control digging (**E**) is compared to the obstacle circumvention in the experiments (**F**)

- (1) Visual inspection. The cardboard tubes were carefully cut longitudinally, and the sand was gently scraped with a scalpel to expose the foam and egg pod along the digging path.
- (2) Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) scans in high defnition (HD) mode. This was carried out at the Tel Aviv University Faculty of Medicine, School of Dental Medicine. CBCT scans were performed with an Ortophos 3D SL (Sirona Systems GmbH, Bensheim, Germany) in HD mode using the following protocol: field of view—11 cm \times 10 cm, X-ray tube voltage—85 kV, X-ray tube current—7 mA, isotropic voxel edge length— $160 \mu m$, and effective radiation time-4.4 s. The resulting images were analyzed using native Sidexis 4 (v. 4.3.1, Sirona Systems GmbH, Bensheim, Germany) software.
- (3) Parafn casts. To complement the above, we utilized yet another method allowing further 3D visualization of the oviposition burrows. The oviposition tubes were incubated (11 days at 37 °C) and the eggs were allowed to hatch. The hatchlings were collected at the surface,

leaving behind them the open hole and the burrow previously plugged with the foam that the hatchlings use as their route to the surface. Ordinary, commercially available paraffin wax was heated to a liquid state and carefully poured onto the surface of the sand tubes, allowing it to penetrate and completely fll the holes, all the way down to the hatched eggs. The paraffin was then allowed to harden and solidify before it was carefully extracted from the surrounding sand.

Various aspects of the digging path geometry were documented (see Fig. S1), including the initial angle of the digging path (deviation from vertical), changes in the digging direction and deviation from the initial angle, and of course the depth reached (distance from the surface).

Results

We visually inspected ca. 45 oviposition tubes, each comprising 1–5 cases of successful oviposition digging. Visual inspection data was augmented by the paraffin casts (overall 15 oviposition tubes) and by our HD-CBCT imaging (overall 8 oviposition tubes). These complementary approaches generated somewhat diferent types of data, thus confrming and strengthening the overall obtained picture. While visual inspection was the simplest and easy to conduct, it was somewhat less reliable and precise. The CBCT scans were conducted on unperturbed or unmanipulated oviposition tubes and therefore provided the most reliable and detailed data (yet, more challenging to visualize), while the paraffin casts were easiest to compare between experimental conditions.

During oviposition digging, the female locusts demonstrate positive geotropism, i.e., they tend to dig and burrow in a path that is sometimes slightly curving, but mostly close to straight-vertical (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). Accordingly, digging in the control tubes (no obstacles) was characterized by an initial practically vertical $(4.0^{\circ} \pm 5.7^{\circ}$ deviation from vertical) and a nearly straight digging path (final path showing $2.7^{\circ} \pm 4.2^{\circ}$ deviation from the initial angle), to an average depth of 9.4 ± 1.1 cm (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)A, C, and E, n = 15). The above quantifcation is based on data obtained from the CBCT scans and paraffin casts. We also note no example of distinct changes in the direction of the digging path in all visually inspected controls $(n=10)$.

We next challenged the locusts with tubes that contained obstacles comprising a 30° inclined upper surface. Our examination of the experimental oviposition tubes that contained an obstacle at 2-cm depth, revealed that upon encountering such an obstacle, the females abandoned digging and withdrew their abdomen. Such failed attempts were evident only by the relatively shallow hole in the sand. In contrast, successful oviposition was often observed in tubes that contained obstacles at 3–5-cm depth. In marked contrast to the control, in many such cases, the digging path showed bends and turns: frst, to bypass the obstacle; and, in some cases, a second turn to restore an overall vertical inclination (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)B, D, and F). This complex path was prevalent upon encountering an obstacle at 3- or 4-cm depth, but was also seen for some of the 5-cm deep obstacles. Overall, we have found a clearly curved digging path in 18 cases, out of which six showed a second turn restoring the vertical path. The initial observed digging path showed a $15.1^{\circ} \pm 10.5^{\circ}$ deviation from vertical, followed by a first turn of $33.0^{\circ} \pm 6.6^{\circ}$ and (when present) a second counterturn of $38^{\circ} \pm 5.9^{\circ}$ (data obtained from the CBCT scans and paraffin casts). In all cases, the diverted digging path was adjacent to the obstacle slope. The average depth of the digging path was found to be similar to that in control: 9.2 ± 2.7 cm.

In order to unravel the dynamics of the obstacle negotiation by the locust females, we conducted another set of experiments, in which the upper surface of the obstacles (3 and 5 cm deep) was horizontal (perpendicular to the initial digging path), and not angled at 30° as in the initial set of experiments. In these latter experiments $(n=13)$, we observed further evidence of excavation attempts that terminated upon reaching the obstacle (failing to circumvent it; Fig. [3](#page-4-0)), or after "miscalculating" and turning towards the walls of the tube rather than to the center, even clear cases of horizontal digging were observed (Fig. [3D](#page-4-0)). Some experiments, however, also presented examples of successful oviposition digging (*n*=6). These revealed an S-shaped winding path, avoiding both the frst and second obstacles (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0). Interestingly, unlike the cases of the sloped-surface obstacles, here the digging path did not touch or fank the obstacles. The complex nature of these digging paths, however, challenges their representation in 2D (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)).

Discussion

In this study, we challenged female locusts with two types of obstacles in order to examine and characterize their ability to negotiate subterranean obstacles during oviposition digging: one obstacle presented a sloped surface (30°), and the other presented a horizontal surface—perpendicular to the initial digging path. When encountering the former, the females demonstrated a digging path that closely followed the obstacle surface. Subsequently, having cleared it, they resumed their vertical inclination, suggesting that these changes in digging direction involved some sort of sensorymotor decision-making and did not merely constitute physical interaction with the obstacle. Introduction of the horizontal-surface obstacles somewhat increased the probability of failed digging attempts, i.e., subsequent retraction of the **Fig. 3** Experiments involving obstacles presenting an upper surface perpendicular to the initial vertical path. **A** A schematic illustration of the experimental oviposition tube (obstacles are shown schematically to depict the fipped orientation; cf. Figure [2B](#page-2-0)). **B** A sagittal-longitudinal section drawn to demonstrate examples of digging paths, including egg pods (orange) and foam secretion (white). One failed attempt is also shown (in gray). **C1**–**3** Optical sections from HD CBCT scans of three experiments showing failed oviposition attempts (light blue dotted lines), and digging paths successfully avoiding the obstacles (blue, dashed lines; arrow head depicting the egg pod). **D** An exceptional example of a failed oviposition attempt involving extended horizontal digging (note the diferent planes of the optical section and thus the different shapes of the obstacle)

abdomen and abandoning the dig. Nevertheless, successful cases of oviposition digging and egg deposition were also demonstrated, in which the females clearly made "the right" choice regarding the direction to take in order to circumvent the obstacle. The reorientation of the digging was mostly preceded by some retraction of the abdomen, resulting in an intricate digging path that was not closely adjacent to the obstacles. Making a wrong choice (leading to excavation in the direction and along the walls of the PVC tube) resulted in the female demonstrating its remarkable ability for extreme bending and digging in a horizontal orientation.

In her exhaustive and extremely thorough series of reports on oviposition digging in the grasshopper, including its anatomy, the motor program, and neural control, Thompson ([1986a,](#page-6-18) [b\)](#page-6-19) notes that: "Once the ovipositor has become engaged in the substrate, the female simply stands on the surface while the ovipositor burrows beneath her". This is a quite accurate description, although it downplays the amazing feat that is demonstrated by the insect, including the use of a unique digging mechanism, as well as the yet far from fully explained extreme elongation and extension of the abdomen. Most importantly, it assumes that the substrate is simple and homogenous, neglecting the sometimes intricate steering and obstacle negotiation, which is very often required in natural settings.

Overall, bending movements of the locust's abdomen are controlled by the intersegmental longitudinal muscles (Snodgrass [1935](#page-6-20)). Notably, these longitudinal muscles are largely suppressed during oviposition digging (Rose et al. [2000\)](#page-6-21). This is in order to avoid resistance to the extreme extension of the abdomen (Vincent [1975;](#page-6-9) Das et al. [2022b](#page-6-11)), i.e., to eliminate any resistance to the pulling forces exerted

by the oviposition valves as they anchor the abdominal tip in the substrate (Jorgensen and Rice [1983\)](#page-6-10). Hence, the mechanism behind the ability of the female locust's abdomen to steer free of obstacles encountered in a non-homogenous substrate during oviposition digging, is probably mostly based on the direct musculature of the ovipositor valves.

Locusts and grasshoppers are unique in possessing ovipositor valves derived from appendages and are the only reported insects whose ovipositor works by opening and closing movements rather than by sliding valves upon each other (Snodgrass [1935;](#page-6-20) Matsuda [1976](#page-6-22)). Snodgrass [\(1935\)](#page-6-20) showed that the two pairs of digging valves are hinged at their bases to each other and to a prominent pair of internal apodemes. The valves' movements were reported to involve the combined action of ten pairs of muscles in abdominal segments eight and nine (Nel [1929\)](#page-6-23). These, in turn, are controlled by 17 ovipositor motor neurons that, together with a few additional muscles in other abdominal segments, and a digging central pattern generating circuit (CPG) located in the seventh and eighth abdominal ganglia, are all involved in the complex cyclical rhythm of protraction, opening, closing, and retraction of the ovipositor valves (Ayali and Lange [2010](#page-5-0)). In practically all studied CPGs, the motor pattern is modulated by sensory inputs (e.g., Ayali et al. [2015,](#page-5-1) and references within). One prominent such input, in the case of the digging CPG, is provided by the mechanosensory hairs covering the ovipositor valves (Belanger and Orchard [1992](#page-6-24)). Kalogianni [\(1995](#page-6-25)) reported that the hairs on the external surfaces of the ventral and dorsal ovipositor valves respond to wind stimulation, whereas the hairs on the inner surfaces of the dorsal valves are not wind-sensitive. All ovipositor hairs, however, respond to tactile displacement (Kalogianni [1995](#page-6-25)), and are thus well suited to detect obstacles in the substrate. Such sensory input, in turn, can be instrumental in inducing lateral movements that will redirect the overall digging in a new direction, bypassing an obstacle.

There is also the possibility that the steering of the abdomen tip may be an indirect passive outcome of the movement dynamics and forces exerted by the valves: i.e., that the above-noted cycle of movements of the valves is sufficient to steer the abdomen sideways upon working against an obstacle, avoiding the need for an intricate sensory-motor integration process. In other words, the only type of (pseudo) decision-making involved, is an embodied one, i.e., one that results directly from the digging valves' morphology and movement dynamics. While such a mechanism may indeed be involved in obstacle negotiation during oviposition, it seems insufficient to explain our findings, being mostly not supported by the results of the experiments in which we observed two bends or turns in the digging path: a frst one that follows the surface of the obstacle; but then also a second one that restores an overall vertical inclination. Our fndings in the experiments in which the obstacles'

surface was perpendicular to the digging path are clearly more in accord with a process that involves decision-making and central control: We suggest that upon encountering an obstacle blocking her path, the female performs a limited retraction of her abdomen, followed by rerouting of her digging—both involving sensory integration, processing, and control. Though not fully supported by our data, this explanation is favored over changes in the course of digging due to a reason unrelated to the presence of the obstacle, or other chance events. Furthermore, we note again that the pronounced changes in diggings path were never observed in our control conditions.

In summary, obstacle negotiation appears to be a crucial aspect of the subterranean oviposition behavior in female locusts. Our fndings reveal that the oviposition process is signifcantly more complex than previously understood and described. Further research is required to elucidate and fully appreciate the sophisticated sensory-motor integration involved, probably encompassing obstacle sensing and directional steering, under meticulous central control.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-024-01929-1>.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Keren Levi, Bat-El Pinchasik, and Shai Sonnenreich for the constructive discussions. We thank Ben Maoz for the valuable assistance in 3D printing; and Rakesh Das and Omer Lavy for contributing to the illustration in Figure [1](#page-1-0)B.

Funding Open access funding provided by Tel Aviv University.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Ayali A, Lange AB (2010) Rhythmic behaviour and pattern-generating circuits in the locust: key concepts and recent updates. J Insect Physiol 56(8):834–843
- Ayali A, Couzin-Fuchs E, David I, Gal O, Holmes P, Knebel D (2015) Sensory feedback in cockroach locomotion: current knowledge and open questions. J Comp Physiol A 201:841–850
- Belanger JH, Orchard I (1992) The role of sensory input in maintaining output from the locust oviposition digging central pattern generator. J Comp Physiol A 171:495–503
- Cerkvenik U, Dodou D, van Leeuwen JL, Gussekloo SW (2019) Functional principles of steerable multi-element probes in insects. Biol Rev 94(2):555–574
- Das R, Gershon S, Bar-On B, Tadayon M, Ayali A, Pinchasik BE (2022a) The biomechanics of the locust ovipositor valves: a unique digging apparatus. J R Soc Interface 19(188):20210955
- Das R, Ayali A, Guershon M, Ibraheem A, Perlson E, Pinchasik BE (2022b) The biomechanics of ultra-stretchable nerves. Iscience 25(11):105295
- Elias LG, Kjellberg F, Farache FHA, Almeida EA, Rasplus JY, Cruaud A, ... Pereira RAS (2018) Ovipositor morphology correlates with life history evolution in agaonid fig wasps. Acta Oecol 90:109–116
- Emeljanov AF (2014) The evolutionary role and fate of the primary ovipositor in insects. Entomol Rev 94:367–396
- Hägele BF, Oag V, Bouaïchi A, McCafery AR, Simpson SJ (2000) The role of female accessory glands in maternal inheritance of phase in the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria*. J Insect Physiol 46:275–280
- Hunter‐Jones P (1964) Egg development in the desert locust (Schistocerca gregaria Forsk.) in relation to the availability of water. In Proceedings of the royal entomological society of London. Series A, general entomology. Blackwell Publishing Ltd., Oxford, UK, Vol. 39, No. 1‐3, pp. 25–33
- Jorgensen WK, Rice MJ (1983) Superextension and supercontraction in locust ovipositor muscles. J Insect Physiol 29(5):437–448
- Kalogianni E (1995) Physiological properties of wind-sensitive and tactile trichoid sensilla on the ovipositor and their role during oviposition in the locust. J Exp Biol 198(6):1359–1369
- Kennedy JS (1949) A preliminary analysis of oviposition behaviour by Locusta (Orthoptera, Acrididae) in relation to moisture. In Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London. Series A, General Entomology. Blackwell Publishing Ltd., Oxford, UK, Vol. 24, No. 7‐9, pp. 83–89
- Lavy O, Gophna U, Ayali A, Gihaz S, Fishman A, Gefen E (2021) The maternal foam plug constitutes a reservoir for the desert locust's bacterial symbionts. Environ Microbiol 23(5):2461–2472
- Matsuda R (1976) Morphology and evolution of the insect abdomen. Pergamon Press, New York
- Nel RI (1929) Studies on the development of the genitalia and the genital ducts in insects. I. Female of orthoptera and dermaptera. Q J Microsc Sci 73(289):25–86
- Pener MP, Simpson SJ (2009) Locust phase polyphenism: an update. Adv Insect Physiol 36:1–272
- Popov GB (1958) Ecological studies on oviposition by swarms of the desert locust (Schistocerca gregaria Forskål) in Eastern Africa. Anti-Locust Bulletin, 31:1–70.
- Rose U, Seebohm G, Hustert R (2000) The role of internal pressure and muscle activation during locust oviposition. J Insect Physiol 46(1):69–80
- Snodgrass RE (1935) The abdominal mechanisms of a grasshopper. Smithson Misc Collect 94:1–89
- Symmons PM, Cressman K (2001) Desert locust guidelines, Biology and behaviour). FAO, Rome
- Tanaka S, Sugahara R (2017) Desert locusts Schistocerca gregaria (Acrididae: Orthoptera) do not lay eggs in old sand: why? Appl Entomol Zool 52(4):635–642
- Thompson KJ (1986a) Oviposition digging in the grasshopper: I. Functional anatomy and the motor programme. J Exp Biol 122(1):387–411
- Thompson KJ (1986b) Oviposition digging in the grasshopper: II. descending neural control. J Exp Biol 122(1):413–425
- Vincent JF (1975) Locust oviposition: stress softening of the extensible intersegmental membranes. Proc R Soc Lond Ser B Biol Sci 188(1091):189–201
- Vincent JFV (1976) How does the female locust dig her oviposition hole? J Entomol Ser A Gen Entomol 50(3):175–181
- Vincent JFV, Wood SD (1972) Mechanism of abdominal extension during oviposition in Locusta. Nature 235(5334):167–168
- Uvarov B (1977) Grasshoppers and locusts. A handbookof general acridology. Vol 2. Behaviour, ecology, bioge-ography, population dynamics. Centre forOverseas Pest Research, London, UK

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.