ORIGINAL RESEARCH



Spectroscopic analyses, intra-molecular interaction, chemical reactivity and molecular docking of imerubrine into bradykinin receptor

Ambrish Kumar Srivastava $^1\cdot$ Abhishek Kumar $^1\cdot$ Sarvesh Kumar Pandey $^2\cdot$ Neeraj Misra 1

Received: 7 October 2015 / Accepted: 8 August 2016 / Published online: 31 August 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

Abstract Imerubrine, a biologically active natural product, is one of the initial members of tropoloisoquinolines and biosynthetically related to the more common azafluoranthene alkaloids. We perform a comprehensive quantum chemical analysis on imerubrine using density functional theory at B3PW91/6-311 + G(d,p) level. The equilibrium molecular structure of imerubrine has been obtained. The weak intra-molecular C-H--O interactions are recognized, characterized and quantified by quantum theory of atoms in molecule and relaxed force constants. The chemical reactivity of imerubrine is explained and discussed with the help of highest occupied molecular orbital, lowest unoccupied molecular orbital and molecular electro static potential surfaces as well as a number of reactivity descriptors. The infrared spectrum of imerubrine has been calculated and the vibrational modes have been assigned on the basis of the potential energy distribution with the highest possible accuracy. The nuclear magnetic resonance spectra of imerubrine have been calculated, analyzed and compared with available experimental data. A good agreement between experimental and calculated values has been observed. The molecular docking of imerubrine into B1 bradykinin receptor (PDB ID: 1HZ6)

shows that it is capable to bind with the receptor and hence, it can act as an effective bradykinin receptor agonist.

Keywords Imerubrine · Vibrational analysis · NMR analysis · Chemical reactivity · Molecular docking

Introduction

Imerubrine is one of the initial members of a rare class of naturally occurring tropoloisoquinolines (Buck, 1984), which is mainly extracted from Abuta imene of the Menispermacae family. It is biosynthetically related to the more common azafluoranthene alkaloids (Zhao and Snieckus, 1984; Boger and Brotherton, 1984). The azafluoranthene alkaloids show various properties of biological and technological interest. They have been patented as constituents of wound-healing agents (Lewis et al., 1992) and have been reported to possess antidepressant activity (Schwan, 1976). Furthermore, Scherowsky et al. (1997) synthesized the azafluoranthene derivative and determined its crystal structure, confirming the suitability of the tetracycle for the formation of discotic phases, and attempted to develop new approaches to discotic liquid crystals, forming tilted columnar phases with ferroelectric properties. The natural products of the azafluoranthene family and their unnatural analogs can be synthesized by direct arylation (Ponnala and Harding, 2013) and electrocyclization (Silveira et al., 2009). The total syntheses of imerubrine and related molecules based on cycloaddition have been reported by Boger and Takahashi (1995), as well as Lee and Cha (2001).

Recently, a comparative quantum chemical investigation on two azafluoranthene natural products, triclisine and

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00044-016-1710-z) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Neeraj Misra neerajmisra11@gmail.com

¹ Department of Physics, University of Lucknow, Lucknow 226007, India

² Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur 208016, India

rufescine, has been carried out (Srivastava et al., 2015). Imerubrine is a close analog of rufescine in which additional oxygen is substituted at a six membered ring. It seems, therefore, interesting to compare the molecular properties of imerubrine with those of rufescine. Vibrational spectroscopy provides immensely invaluable information about the structure and properties of molecules if used in synergy with quantum chemical calculations. Prediction of vibrational frequency of molecules by quantum chemical computation has become very popular (Srivastava et al., 2014b; Srivastava et al., 2014c; Kumar et al., 2015; Srivastava et al., 2014a) because of its accurate and therefore consistent description of the experimental data. Inter-molecular and intra-molecular bonding have important consequences on the structure and activity of the molecule (Jeffrey and Saenger, 1991). Particularly, C-H...O interactions are important in crystal engineering (Aakeroy and Seddon, 1993) due to their influence on packing motifs (Desiraju et al., 1993). Biologically, their occurrence in carbohydrate (Steiner and Saenger, 1992) and nucleosides (Saenger, 1984) can never be ignored. Using quantum theory of atoms-in-molecule (QTAIM) (Bader, 1990) method in the present study, we establish imerubrine as a C-H...O interactions rich system. The detection, characterization and estimation of C-H···O interactions have been efficiently performed within the QTAIM. In addition, the strength of these interactions is also described by relaxed force constant (RFC) which has been conceived as a "chemically more meaningful bond strength parameter than the regular force constant" (Swanson, 1976).

Methodology

The initial geometry of imerubrine molecule was modeled by standard geometrical parameters as implemented in Gauss View 5.0 program (Dennington et al., 2005) and then, it was optimized without any symmetry constraint in the potential energy surface (PES) using a hybrid functional B3PW91 (Becke, 1993; Perdew and Wang, 1992) in combination with 6-311 + G(d,p) basis set. The vibrational IR frequency calculations were performed using optimized geometry at the same level of theory. All frequencies were found to be positive ensuring the geometry corresponding to true minimum in the PES. The calculated frequencies were scaled by the factor of 0.9648 (Merrick et al., 2007) in order to account for anharmonicity of vibrations and other basis set deficiencies. All calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 program package (Frisch et al., 2010). The wavefunction output of imerubrine generated by Gaussian 09 was employed for QTAIM analysis which is performed with AIMAll program (Keith, 2012). The computations were performed with the help of a computer cluster using 8 processors.

Results and discussion

Molecular structure and intra-molecular interaction

The optimized structure of imerubrine has been displayed in Fig. 1a. Imerubrine consists of four planar ring systems: three six-membered (R1, R2, and R4) and one five-membered (R3). The upper ring R1 has nitrogen atom in the core thus making it a heterocycle. The lower rings R2 and R4 are substituted with three $-OCH_3$ groups and one $-OCH_3$ as well as =O groups, respectively. The structure of imerubrine appears to be a close analog of rufescine with a positional change in $-OCH_3$ group and substitution of =O in the ring R4. The optimized parameters (bond lengths and angles) of imerubrine can be found in supplementary Table S1.

QTAIM describes the chemical bonding and structure of the chemical system based on the topology of the electron density (ρ). In addition to bonding, QTAIM allows the calculation of certain physical properties on a per atom basis, by dividing space up into atomic volumes containing exactly one nucleus which acts as a local attractor of the electron density. The bonding is characterized by the presence of a bond critical point (BCP) between the atomic pairs. Molecular graph of imerubrine is shown in Fig. 1b which clearly reveals three intra-molecular interactions (by dotted lines). Topological parameters associated with these C-H···O interactions are given in Table 1. According to Rozas et al. (Rozas et al., 2000), the nature of C-H···O bonds are characterized as weak and electrostatic in nature due to Laplacian, $\nabla^2 \rho > 0$ and total electron energy density, H > 0. Espinosa et al. (Espinosa et al., 1998) have proposed proportionality between hydrogen bond energy (ΔE) and potential energy density (V) at BCP as:

$$\Delta E = -\frac{1}{2}V.$$

According to this equation, the energy of O20...H32, O20...H28 and O25...H19 interactions are calculated to be 2.94, 2.84 and 1.35 kcal/mol, respectively. Evidently, all these interactions are too weak to provide any significant stabilization within the molecule. However, these interactions are relatively larger in strength as compared to those in rufescine (Srivastava et al., 2015).

In order to get further insights into strength of these C–H···O interactions, we have also evaluated their RFC values. Use of the compliance constant, which is inverse of the force constant matrix elements, over the regular force constant, has been addressed by many workers (Brandhorst and Grunenberg, 2008; Jones and Swanson, 1976;

Fig. 1 Optimized structure of imerubrine calculated at B3PW91/6-311 + G(d,p) level **a** and molecular graph of imerubrine calculated by QTAIM analysis **b**. Red and green dots correspond to the ring critical points and bond critical points, respectively. The dotted lines show intra-molecular C-H…O interactions



Table 1 QTAIM parameters such as charge density (ρ) , its Laplacian $(\nabla^2 \rho)$, potential energy density (V), total energy density (H) for intramolecular interactions in imerubrine. The corresponding interaction energy (ΔE) and relaxed force constant (RFC) values are also given

Interaction	distance (Å)	ρ (a.u.)	$ abla^2 ho$ (a.u.)	V (a.u.)	Н (a.u.)	ΔE (kcal/mol)	RFC (mdyne/Å)
O20…H32	2.352	0.01393	0.05039	-0.00938	0.0016	2.94	0.060
O20…H28	2.374	0.01338	0.04848	-0.00904	0.0015	2.84	0.054
O25…H19	2.710	0.00673	0.02432	-0.00429	0.0009	1.35	0.043



Madhav and Manogaran, 2009; Majumder and Manogaran, 2013). The reciprocal of the diagonal compliance matrix element is known as the RFC which was successfully applied to the covalent bonds and also extended to non-covalent interactions like hydrogen bonding (Brandhorst and Grunenberg, 2008). Nevertheless, it was brought up that the RFCs of many non-bonded pairs have close values as bonded pairs in several molecules (Baker and Pulay, 2006; Baker, 2006). The compliance constants are calculated directly by using:

$$C_{kk} = rac{\left(R_{kk}
ight)^2}{2\Delta E}$$

where R_{kk} is the deviation in O···H bond distance for partial optimization and ΔE is the difference in energies of partial and full optimization of the molecule. Therefore, RFC values are calculated as:

$$T_{kk} = \frac{1}{C_{kk}} = \frac{2\Delta E}{\left(R_{kk}\right)^2}$$

The calculated RFC values further suggest the order of strength of C–H···O interactions, O20···H32 > O20···H28 > O25···H19, which are in accordance with the QTAIM calculations.

Vibrational spectroscopic analysis

Imerubrine ($C_{20}H_{17}NO_5$) contains 43 atoms and therefore, 123 normal modes (3*N*-6) of vibration. For the sake of simplicity of discussion, we have assigned all vibrational modes up to 400 cm⁻¹. These assignments have made on the basis of potential energy distribution (PED) calculated by VEDA 4 program (Jamroz, 2004; 2013). The internal coordinates are optimized repeatedly to maximize the PED contributions and then, the modes with PED < 15 % have been neglected. Fig. 2 plots calculated IR spectrum for a visual indication. We discuss the significant mode of vibrations in the subsections below.

C–H vibrations

Aromatic compounds commonly exhibit multiple weak bands in the region $3100-3000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (George, 2001), which is characteristic region for the identification of C–H stretching vibration. Hence, the infrared bands appearing in the region $3108-3062 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ have been assigned to C–H stretching vibrations associated with ring systems. The C–H modes associated with –OCH₃ groups are found between 3047 and 2914 cm⁻¹.

The bands due to C–H in-plane bending vibrations are observed in the region $1300-1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. In imerubrine, these modes are calculated at 1270, 1265, and 1221 cm⁻¹. The C–H out-of-plane bending vibrations are strongly coupled vibrations, occurring in the region $1000-750 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Sundaraganesan et al., 2007). The C–H out-of-plane bending vibrations are observed in the region $866-682 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in imerubrine.

C-C vibrations

The bands ranging $1650-1200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in the aromatic ring compounds are assigned to C–C stretching modes. These modes are sensitive to the substitution. However, the actual positions are determined not so much by the nature of the substitution but by their positions (Arjunan et al., 2013). The bands calculated in the range $1602-1473 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ have been assigned to C–C stretching vibrations. The C–C inplane and out-of-plane bending vibrations are identified at lower frequencies and listed in Table 2.

C–N vibrations

In aromatic compounds the C–N stretching vibrations usually lies in the region $1400-1200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The identification of C–N stretching frequency is a difficult task due to the mixing of vibrations in this region (Arivazhagan and Jevavijayan, 2011; Krishnakumar and Balachandran, 2005).

Tal G(d Cal

Calc. Frq.	Scaled Frq.	Intensity	Vibrational assignments
(cm^{-1})	(cm^{-1})	(a.u.)	PED ≥ 15 %
1424	1374	199.1	R1[v _{as} (N35-C9)(16)]
1411	1361	138.4	$R2[v_s(C2-C3)(14)]$
1405	1356	195.0	$R2[v_{as}(O20-C1)(15)]$
1362	1314	30.3	$\begin{array}{l} R2[v_{as}(C2-C3)(15) + v_{as} (O25 \\ C6)(15)] \end{array}$
1358	1310	47.8	$R2[v_{as}(C1-C2)(18)]$
1316	1270	49.4	$\begin{array}{l} R2[\upsilon_{s}(C1\text{-}C2)(16) + \sigma(H18\text{-}C14)(16)] \end{array}$
1311	1265	111.7	R1[σ(H11-C10-N35)(23)]
1266	1221	154.7	R4[σ(H18-C14-C16)(16)]
1251	1207	74.5	R2[v _s (O25-C6)(11)]
1217	1174	48.2	$R2[\tau_i(H28-C26-O25-C6)(21)]$
1212	1169	23.6	R4[σ(H18-C14-C16)(46)]
1206	1163	1.7	$R2[\tau_{o}(H22-C21-O20-C1)(40)]$
1203	1161	5.0	$R2[\tau_i(H28-C26-O25-C6)(31)]$
1199	1157	5.4	R4[<i>t</i> _i (H39-C38-O37-C17)(30)]
1176	1134	127.8	R4[τ _o (H39-C38-O37-C17)(13)
1166	1125	0.2	$\begin{array}{l} R2[\tau_{o}(H22\text{-}C21\text{-}O20\text{-}C1)(28) + \\ \omega(H22\text{-}C21\text{-}H24)(15)] \end{array}$
1165	1124	30.0	$R2[\tau_i(H28\text{-}C26\text{-}O25\text{-}C6)(34)]$
1164	1123	28.8	$\begin{array}{l} \text{R2}[\tau_{i}(\text{H22-C21-O20-C1})(28) + \\ \tau_{i}(\text{H28-C26-O25-C6})(16)] \end{array}$
1119	1080	82.1	v _s (O20-C21)(18)
1104	1065	27.3	R1[<i>o</i> (H7-C8-C10)(28)]
1096	1057	37.1	$\begin{array}{l} R2[\upsilon_{s}(C2\text{-}C3)(16)] + \upsilon_{s}(O20\text{-}\\ C21)(20) \end{array}$
1055	1018	41.9	$v_{as}(O37-C38)(18) + v_{s}(O20-C2)$ (27)
1044	1007	138.8	$v_{s}(O37-C38)(36) + v_{s}(O20-C21)$ (27)
1005	970	60.2	v _s (O20-C21)(47)
992	957	8.9	$v_{as}(O20-C21)(31)$
985	950	0.1	$R2[\tau_0(H7-C8-C3-C2)(85)]$
939	906	5.3	R1[<i>o</i> (C4-C9-N35)(16)]
916	884	7.1	$v_{as}(O20-C21)(20)$
898	866	23.0	R4[τ_{o} (H18-C14-C17-C16)(56)
868	837	12.5	R4[τ _i (H18-C14-C17-C16)(56)]
864	834	2.7	R2[o(O20-C1-C2)(13)]
845	815	27.1	$R2[\tau_{o}(H7-C8-C3-C2)(79)]$
795	767	6.7	$\begin{array}{l} \text{R2}[\tau_{\text{o}}(\text{O20-C6-C2-C1})(18) + \\ \tau_{\text{o}}(\text{C4-C8-C3-C2})(18)] \end{array}$
787	759	11.3	$\begin{array}{l} R2[\tau_{\rm o}({\rm O20\text{-}C6\text{-}C2\text{-}C1})(15) + \\ \tau_{\rm i}({\rm C3\text{-}C4\text{-}C9\text{-}N35})(20)] \end{array}$
773	746	18.8	R2[σ(O20-C1-C2)(17)]
760	733	6.7	R1[σ(C4-C9-N35)(10)]
757	730	0.5	$\begin{array}{l} R4[\tau_{o}(H18\text{-}C14\text{-}C17\text{-}C16)(21)\\ \tau_{o}(O36\text{-}C14\text{-}C17\text{-}C16)(27)] \end{array}$

2836						Me	d Chem Res (2016) 25:2832	
Table 2 Vibrational analysis of imerubrine at B3PW91/6-311 + G(d,p) level				Table 2 continued				
$\frac{1}{\text{Calc. Frq.}}$	Scaled Frq. (cm ⁻¹)	Intensity (a.u.)	Vibrational assignments PED > 15 %	Calc. Frq. (cm ⁻¹)	Scaled Frq. (cm ⁻¹)	Intensity (a.u.)	Vibrational assignments PED ≥ 15 %	
2221	2108	4.4	$P_{4[1)} = (C_{15} H_{10})(00)$	1424	1374	199.1	$R1[v_{as}(N35-C9)(16)]$	
3216	3103	4.4 7.1	$R_{1}[v_{as}(C_{13}-1119)(99)]$	1411	1361	138.4	$R2[v_s(C2-C3)(14)]$	
3210	3007	0.6	$R_{10} = \frac{11}{100}$	1405	1356	195.0	$R2[v_{as}(O20-C1)(15)]$	
3174	3067	21.6	$R_{1}^{(100)}$	1362	1314	30.3	$R2[v_{as}(C2-C3)(15) + v_{as}(e^{-C3})(15)]$	
2158	3047	17.6	$(C_{28} H_{20})(00)$				C6)(15)]	
3155	3047	17.0	$v_{as}(C_{38}-1159)(99)$	1358	1310	47.8	$R2[v_{as}(C1-C2)(18)]$	
2152	3044	11.0	$v_{as}(C26 H28)(90)$	1316	1270	49.4	$R2[v_s(C1-C2)(16) + \sigma(H18)$	
2148	3042	22.4	$v_{as}(C20-H28)(92)$	1211	1265	1117	$P_{11} = (H_{11} = C_{10} = N_{25})(22)$	
3170	3010	22.4	$v_{s}(C_{1}+1110)(97)$	1266	1205	154.7	$R_{10}(H18 C14 C16)(23)$	
2119	2008	20.4	$v_{s}(C31-H32)(92)$	1200	1221	74.5	$R_{10}(110) = 0.000000000000000000000000000000000$	
2108	2000	19.1	$v_{s}(C_{20}-H_{20})(80)$	1251	1174	18.2	$R_2[U_s(O_2J-C_0)(11)]$	
2000	2999	26.0	$v_{as}(C_{21}-H_{22})(100)$	1217	11/4	40.2	$R_2[\iota_i(1128-C20-O25-C0)(2$	
2024	2901	20.9	$v_{s}(C38-H39)(99)$	1212	1163	25.0	$R_{4}[o(1110-C14-C10)(40)]$	
2022	2921	40.0	$v_{s}(C31-C32)(83)$	1200	1161	5.0	$R_2[\iota_0(H22-C21-O20-C1)]^2$	
2021	2920	40.0 56.1	$v_{s}(C20-H28)(71)$	1100	1157	5.0	$R_2[\tau_i(1126-C20-O25-C0)(5)]$	
3031	2924	JU.1 47.2	$v_{\rm s}(C21-1122)(90)$	1175	1137	127.8	$R_{1}(1139-C38-O37-C17)($	
5020 1660	1602	47.2	$U_{\rm s}(C38-H39)(100)$	11/0	1134	0.2	R_{1}^{2} R4[$\ell_{0}(H_{2}^{2})$ C38-O37-C17)	
1654	1506	2.4	$R_2[v_{as}(C_3-C_4)(34)]$	1100	1125	0.2	ω (H22-C21-H24)(15)]	
1620	1590	2.4	$R_2[v_s(C4-C3)(32)]$	1165	1124	30.0	$R2[\tau_i(H28-C26-O25-C6)(3$	
1625	1567	152.5	$R_2[v_{as}(C4-C3)(23)]$	1164	1123	28.8	$R_{2}[\tau_{i}(H_{22}-C_{21}-O_{20}-C_{1})(2$	
1023	1510	08.0	$R_2[v_s(C4-C3)(34)]$				$\tau_{i}(H28-C26-O25-C6)(16)]$	
1522	1319	257.1	$R4[0_{as}(C10-O30)(72)]$	1119	1080	82.1	v _s (O20-C21)(18)	
1332	14/0	250.5	$C_{28}(C_{1}-C_{2})(25) + \omega(H_{39}-C_{38}-H_{41})(15)$	1104	1065	27.3	R1[<i>o</i> (H7-C8-C10)(28)]	
1527	1473	27.5	$R2[v_{as}(C3-C2)(15)]$	1096	1057	37.1	$R2[v_s(C2-C3)(16)] + v_s(O2)$	
1513	1460	156.1	$R1[\sigma(H11-C10-N35)(17)]$				C21)(20)	
1507	1454	60.8	τ (H28-C26-H27)(65) + R2 [τ_i (H28-C26-O25-C6)(15)]	1055	1018	41.9	$v_{as}(O37-C38)(18) + v_{s}(O20)(27)$	
1505	1452	18.0	τ (H28-C26-H27)(71) + R2 [τ_i (H28-C26-O25-C6)(17)]	1044	1007	138.8	$v_{\rm s}(037-C38)(36) + v_{\rm s}(020-(27))$	
1497	1444	40.2	σ(H22-C21-H24)(62)	1005	970	60.2	$v_{\rm s}({\rm O20-C21})(47)$	
1492	1439	39.6	σ (H39-C38-H41)(15) + R4	992	957	8.9	$v_{as}(O20-C21)(31)$	
			$[\tau_i(H39-C38-O37-C17)(17)]$	985	950	0.1	R2[τ_{0} (H7-C8-C3-C2)(85)]	
1489	1437	66.0	σ (H22-C21-H24)(25) + τ (H39-	939	906	5.3	$R1[\sigma(C4-C9-N35)(16)]$	
			C38-H41)(20)	916	884	7.1	$v_{as}(O20-C21)(20)$	
1488	1436	19.7	τ (H39-C38-H41)(70) + R4	898	866	23.0	R4[τ_{0} (H18-C14-C17-C16)	
1405	1422	14.0	$[\tau_i(H39-C38-O37-C17)(20)]$	868	837	12.5	R4[τ_{i} (H18-C14-C17-C16)(
1485	1433	14.9	τ (H22-C21-H24)(69) + K2 [τ :(H22-C21-O20-C1)(15)]	864	834	2.7	$R2[\sigma(O20-C1-C2)(13)]$	
1484	1432	33.2	τ (H28-C26-H27)(24) + ω (H34- C21 H22)(10)	845 795	815 767	27.1 6.7	R2[τ_{0} (H7-C8-C3-C2)(79)] R2[τ_{0} (O20-C6-C2-C1)(18)	
1400	1400 1400		-(1122 C21 H24)(28) + -(1128)				$\tau_{\rm o}({\rm C4-C8-C3-C2})(18)]$	
1482	1430	4.0	τ (H22-C21-H24)(28) + τ (H28- C26-H27)(22)	787	759	11.3	$\frac{R2[\tau_{o}(O20-C6-C2-C1)(15)}{\tau_{i}(C3-C4-C9-N35)(20)]}$	
14/8	1426	83.5	τ (H28-C20-H27)(22) + σ (H34- C31-H33)(15)	773	746	18.8	R2[o(O20-C1-C2)(17)]	
1466	1414	43	τ (H28-C26-H27)(36)	760	733	6.7	R1[<i>o</i> (C4-C9-N35)(10)]	
1461	1410	49 1	ω (H39-C38-H41)(50)	757	730	0.5	R4[<i>τ</i> _o (H18-C14-C17-C16)	
1445	1394	65 3	$\omega(H22-C21-H24)(18)$				$\tau_{\rm o}({\rm O36}\text{-}{\rm C14}\text{-}{\rm C17}\text{-}{\rm C16})(27)$	
1775	10/7	05.5	w(1122 C21-1127)(10)					

Table 2 continued

Calc. Frq. (cm ⁻¹)	Scaled Frq. (cm ⁻¹)	Intensity (a.u.)	Vibrational assignments PED $\geq 15 \%$
719	694	3.2	$\begin{array}{l} \text{R2}[\tau_{\text{o}}(\text{O25-C5-C1-C6})(15) + \\ \tau_{\text{o}}(\text{C12-C6-C4-C5})(18)] \end{array}$
707	682	1.6	R3[τ_{o} (C14-C9-C12-C13)(36)]
702	677	1.2	R1[o(C4-C9-N35)(18)]
647	624	19.3	R2[<i>ρ</i> (C1-C2-C3)(14)]
631	609	1.6	R4[o(O36-C14-C16)(13)]
590	569	0.2	R1[τ_i (C3-C4-C9-N35)(14)]
574	554	3.9	$R2[\tau_{o}(C1-C2-C3-C8)(12)]$
499	481	0.6	R2[<i>ρ</i> (C4-C2-C3)(15)]
471	454	17.8	R2[<i>o</i> (C1-C2-C3)(12)]
470	453	0.2	$\begin{array}{l} \text{R4}[\tau_{\text{o}}(\text{O36-C14-C17-C16})(25) + \\ \tau_{\text{o}}(\text{O25-C6-C1-C5})(21)] \end{array}$
451	435	4.0	R4[o(C38-O37-C17)(13)]

Abbreviations— v_{as} : asymmetric stretching, v_s : symmetric stretching, σ : scissoring, ρ : rocking, τ_o : out of plane torsion, τ_i : in the plane torsion, R1, R2, R3, and R4; ring systems

The infrared band appearing at 1374 cm^{-1} has been assigned to C–N stretching vibration. The in-plane and outof-plane bending C–N vibrations have also been identified and assigned in Table 2.

C-O and C=O vibrations

In imerubrine, the strong absorption band at 1519 cm^{-1} is assigned to the stretching of C=O group. The C–O stretching vibrations are obtained in the region 1356–884 cm⁻¹, i.e., in a lower frequency region in the present case due to the delocalization of lone pair of electrons. These observations are in good agreement with the literature value (Arjunan et al., 2004; Mukherjee and Mishra, 1996). The other mode of vibrations are also assigned within the characteristic region and presented in Table 2.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopic analysis

NMR provides the detailed information for the structural prediction of large bio-molecules (Schlick, 2010). The geometry of the studied compound, together with that of tetramethylsilane (TMS) is fully optimized at the same level of theory. ¹H and ¹³C-NMR chemical shifts are calculated with the "gauge-independent atomic orbital" approach at B3PW91/6–311 + G(d,p) method. The chemical shift of any "x" proton (δ_X) is equal to the difference between isotropic magnetic shielding (IMS) of TMS and proton (x) and also reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to TMS for ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra. It is defined by the equation:

 $\delta_{\rm X} = \rm IMS_{TMS} - \rm IMS_X$. The IMS values of C and H atoms of imerubrine are displayed in Fig. 3. The calculated values for ¹H and ¹³C-NMR chemical shifts are listed in Table 3 and compared with corresponding experimental values reported by Boger and Takahashi, 1995.

The chemical shift of non-equivalent protons have different chemical shifts and also because of the powerful deshielding due to the non-bonding electrons of carbon atom. So the chemical shift observed for the 7H, 11H, 18H, and 19H are 8.02, 8.94, 7.37, and 7.64 respectively and are in good agreement with the experimental adta. The methyl group hydrogen atom of imerubrine absorbs far up field and the chemical shift of 23H, 24H and 27H are found to be 4.14, 3.97 and 4.23 ppm respectively. Its corresponding experimental values are 4.04, 4.00, and 4.12 ppm, which are also in good agreement with experimental data. These unusual shifts are due to diamagnetic anisotropy.

Aromatic carbon atom gives peaks in the range of 100– 150 ppm in overlapped areas of the spectrum. The NMR peak of carbon atom 5C, 13C, and 15C are calculated at the 128.3, 145.3, and 114.0 ppm, which agree well with corresponding experimental values. Nitrogen atom increases the electron density of carbon atoms 9C and 10C hence corresponding ¹³C-NMR peaks are obtained at the 163.0, and 152.0 ppm, respectively. For the methyl group carbon of imerubrine chemical shift are observed at 62.2 and 57.6 ppm. All the theoretical data of ¹³C-NMR spectra are also in good agreement with experimental data, which are shown in Table 4.

Chemical reactivity

The chemical reactivity reflects the susceptibility of a molecule towards a specific chemical reaction. It plays a key role in the design of new molecules as well as in the understanding of biological systems and materials science. The chemical reactivity of imerubrine is described by various reactivity surfaces and reactivity descriptors as below.

Highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO), lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), and molecular electro static potential (MESP) analyses

The frontier molecular orbitals, namely, the highest occupied (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied (LUMO) are important due to the fact that they explore the way, a molecule interacts with other species. The energy difference between HOMO and LUMO, i.e., HOMO–LUMO gap is recognized as a stability index of the molecular system. A large HOMO–LUMO gap can be associated with high-kinetic stability because it is energetically unfavorable to add electrons to a high-lying LUMO or to extract electrons from a low-lying HOMO and so to form the activated Fig. 3 Absolute C-NMR (up) and H-NMR (down) shielding in imerubrine



Table 3 Selected NMR chemical shifts (δ , in ppm) and their assignments (s = singlet, d = doublet). Please refer to Fig. 1 for atomic labeling

Atom	δ		Assignmen	Atom	δ		Assignment
	Calc.	Expt.			Calc.	Expt.	
7H	8.02	8.05	[s, H(R1)]	5C	128.3	128.4	[s, C(R2)]
11H	8.94	8.67	[s, H(R1)]	9C	163.0	164.1	[s, C(R1)]
18H	7.37	6.86	[s, H(R4)]	10C	152.0	151.1	[s, C(R1)]
19H	7.64	7.74	[s, H(R4)]	13C	145.3	145.3	[s, C(R4)]
23H	4.14	4.04	[s, H(-OCH ₃)]	15C	114.0	115.0	[s, C(R4)]
24H	3.97	4.00	[s, H(-OCH ₃)]	31C	62.2	61.9	[s, C(-OCH ₃)]
27H	4.23	4.12	[d, H(–OCH ₃)]	38C	57.6	56.4	[s, C(-OCH ₃)]

Table 4Various reactivity descriptors of imerubrine calculated atB3PW91/6-311 + G(d,p) level

Descriptor	Value
Ι	5.45 eV
Α	2.52 eV
X	3.985 eV
ω	1.465 eV
μ	9.54 Debye

complexes of any potential reaction (Manolopoulos et al., 1991). The HOMO and LUMO surfaces of imerubrine are plotted in Fig. 4. One can see that the HOMO is delocalized over rings R2, R3, and R4 excluding upper ring (R1). This is in contrast to rufescine in which HOMO is delocalized over whole molecule (Srivastava et al., 2015). On the contrary, the LUMO of imerubrine is delocalized over whole rings including R1. Therefore, the transition from HOMO \rightarrow LUMO indicates the charge transfer to upper ring (R1). This can be expected due to the fact that the upper



Fig. 4 HOMO, LUMO, and MESP surfaces of imerubrine

ring contains electronegative nitrogen atom. The HOMO–LUMO gap of 2.93 eV measures the strength of this charge transfer interaction. This is smaller than that of rufescine (3.56 eV), suggesting more chemically reactive nature of imerubrine.

The MESP is related to the electron density and is very useful in understanding the sites for electrophilic (electronegative region) and nucleophilic (electropositive region) reactions (Luqul et al., 2000). MESP is also well suited for analyzing process based on the "recognition" of one molecule by another, as in drug receptor binding and enzyme-substrate interactions, because it is through their potentials that the two species first "see" each other (Scrocco andTomasi, 1973). The MESP surface of imerubrine is also displayed in Fig. 4 in color coding scheme. The color code in the title molecule ranges between -0.07022 a.u. for deepest red and +0.07022 a.u. for deepest blue, where red and blue indicate the most electronegative, i.e., electron rich region and electropositive, i.e., electron poor region, respectively. From the MESP surface, it is evident that the most electronegative region is located over oxygen substituted at R4 ring system which effectively acts as an easy target for electrophilic attack in the molecule. On the contrary, the most electronegative region is located over the nitrogen substituted at upper ring (R1), which effectively acts as electron donor in rufescine (Srivastava et al., 2015).

Reactivity descriptors

To further describe the chemical reactivity of imerubrine, we have calculated various reactivity descriptors viz. ionization potentials (I), electron affinity (A), absolute electronegativity (γ) and chemical hardness (η) etc. I and A are calculated as the negative of energy eigen values of HOMO and LUMO, respectively. χ and η can be calculated by using finite-difference approximations (Parr and Yang, 1989) as γ $=\frac{1}{2}(I+A)$ and $\eta =\frac{1}{2}(I-A)$. These parameters are listed in Table 4. One can note that I and γ values of imerubrine are smaller than those of rufescine (Srivastava et al., 2015), whereas A and η values are larger. Therefore, imerubrine is chemically less hard, i.e., more reactive than rufescine due to the presence of O atom. Molecular dipole moment (μ) provides a signature of the geometry and charge distribution within the molecular system. The dipole moment of imerubrine is 9.54 Debye, directed from R3 to R2 along C5-C6 moiety. This value is fairly large to establish that imerubrine is a highly polar molecule and hence, can be easily soluble into polar solvents.

Molecular docking

The molecular docking explores the way in which two molecules, such as ligand and receptor fit together and dock to each other well. The molecular docking studies have been performed with SwissDock web server (Grosdidier et al., 2011). In this process all the possible conformers of the molecule (ligand) and their corresponding all the energy values are calculated and finally the best binding modes are ranked according to the full fitness (FF) score. In order to avoid sampling bias the whole docking process performed by SwissDock as blind by covering the entire protein and not defining any specific region of the protein as bonding pocket. The resulting output clusters obtained after each run and the result shows that cluster having the best FF score. The highest negative FF score indicates a more favorable binding site between ligand and receptor. The suitable targets (receptors) have been predicted using the SwissTargetPrediction (Gfeller et al., 2013). This prediction



Fig. 5 Molecular docking of imerubrine into B1 bradykinin receptor (PDB ID: 1HZ6). Binding site has been encircled

result suggests that the suitable target for imerubrine is B1 bradykinin receptor (PDB ID: 1HZ6) (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/explore.do?structureId=1hz6), which is a G-protein coupled receptor having principal ligand is the bradykinin. It is one of two G-protein coupled receptors, which binds with bradykinin and mediate responses to pathophysiologic conditions such as inflammation, trauma, burns, shock, and allergy.

The FF score and binding affinity obtained for protein targets clearly shows that the molecule effectively bonded with 1HZ6 target with one hydrogen bond 2.099 Å (FF score = -348.03 kcal/mol, binding affinity $\Delta G = -6.76$ kcal/mol). The docking picture has been obtained from the UCSF chimera software, displayed in Fig. 5, which clearly indicates valine (val) as the active binding sites. Thus, imerubrine is capable to be an effective 1HZ6 receptor agonist, meaning thereby, it can activate the 1HZ6 receptor for bind up with bradykinin.

Conclusions

We have performed a detailed quantum chemical studies on imerubrine using density functional theory at B3PW91/6-311 + G(d,p) level. QTAIM analysis reveals three intramolecular C-H...O interactions and characterizes them as weak, which is further supported by their RFC values. Vibrational spectroscopic analysis has been performed and all modes up to 400 cm^{-1} are assigned with their PED values. The NMR chemical shifts have been calculated for C and H atoms, which are found to be in good agreement with the available experimental data. The HOMO→LUMO transition in imerubrine corresponds to the charge transfer to nitrogen containing ring and HOMO-LUMO gap, as well as other reactivity descriptors suggests its more reactive nature than rufescine. The molecular docking of imerubrine into 1HZ6 receptor suggests that it can bind and activate the receptor and therefore, it can act as an effective 1HZ6 receptor agonist. These finding may stimulate further observations on the biological activity of imerubrine and related natural products.

Acknowledgments A.K. Srivastava is thankful to Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India for providing a research fellowship via grant no. 09/107(0359)/2012-EMR-I. The Central Facility for Computational Research (CFCR), Department of Chemistry, University of Lucknow is also acknowledged.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- Aakeroy CB, Seddon KR (1993) The hydrogen bond and crystal engineering. Chem Soc Rev 22:397–407
- Arivazhagan M, Jevavijayan S (2011) Vibrational spectroscopic, firstorder hyperpolarizability and HOMO, LUMO studies of 1,2dichloro-4-nitrobenzene based on Hartree–Fock, and DFT calculations. Spectrochim Acta A 79:376–38
- Arjunan V, Sakiladevi S, Marchewka MK, Mohan S (2013) FT-IR, FT-Raman, FT-NMR and quantum chemical investigations of 3acetylcoumarin. Spectrochim Acta A 109:79
- Arjunan V, Subramaniam S, Mohan S (2004) Synthesis, Fourier transform infrared and Raman spectra, assignments and analysis of *N*-(phenyl)- and *N*-(chloro substituted phenyl)-2,2-dichloroacetamides. Spectrochim Acta A 60:1141–1159
- Bader RFW (1990) Atoms in Molecules. A Quantum Theory, 2nd ed. Oxford, New York
- Baker J (2006) A critical assessment of the use of compliance constants as bond strength descriptors for weak interatomic interactions. J Chem Phys 125:014103
- Baker J, Pulay P (2006) The interpretation of compliance constants and their suitability for characterizing hydrogen bonds and other weak interactions. J Am Chem Soc 128:11324–11325
- Becke AD (1993) Density functional thermochemistry.III. The role of exact exchange. J Chem Phys 98:5648
- Boger DL, Brotherton CE (1984) Plementary use of 3-Methoxycarbonyl-2-pyrones. J Org Chem 49:4050
- Boger DL, Takahashi K (1995) Total Synthesis of granditropone, grandirubrine, imerubrine and isoimerubrine. J Am Chem Soc 117:12452–12459
- Brandhorst K, Grunenberg J (2008) How strong is it? The interpretation of force and compliance constants as bond strength descriptors. Chem Soc Rev 37:1558–1567
- Buck KT (1984) The Alkaloids: Chemistry and Pharmacology 23:301–325
- Dennington R, Keith T and Millam J (2009) GaussView Version 5.0. Semichem Inc. Shawnee Mission, KS, USA
- Desiraju GR, Kashino S, Coombs MM, Glusker JP (1993) C-H--O packing motifs in some cyclopenta[a]phenanthrenes. Acta Cryst B 49:880–892
- Espinosa E, Molins E, Lecomte C (1998) Hydrogen bond strength revealed by topological analyses of experimentally observed electron densities. Chem Phys Lett 285:170
- Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, Zheng G, Petersson GA, Voth GA, Scalmani G, Nakatsuji H, Nakai H, Hratchian HP, Brothers E, Ogliaro F, Bloino J, Cioslowski J, Foresman JB, Burant JC, Cross JB, Jaramillo J, Knox JE, Montgomery JA, Millam JM, Normand J, Peralta JE, Heyd JJ, Dannenberg JJ, Sonnenberg JL, Hasegawa J, Tomasi J, Ortiz JV, Ochterski JW, Salvador P, Morokuma K, Raghavachari K, Toyota K, Kudin KN, Bearpark M, Caricato M, Cossi M, Ehara M, Hada M, Ishida M, Klene M, Rega N, Farkas O, Kitao O, Yazyev O, Cammi R, Fukuda R, Gomperts R, Kobayashi R, Stratmann RE, Martin RL, Iyengar SS,

Dapprich S, Keith T, Nakajima T, Vreven T, Barone V, Bakken V, Rendell V, Staroverov VN, Zakrzewski VG, Li X, Honda Y, Daniels AD, Izmaylov AF, Austin AJ, Mennucci B, Adamo C, Pomelli C, Fox DJ (2010) Gaussian 09 Revision B.01. Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT

- George S (2001) Infrared and Raman characteristic group frequencies-Tables and Charts, 3rd ed. Wiley, Chichester
- Gfeller D, Michielin O and Zoete V (2013) Bioinformatics 29: 3073
- Grosdidier A, Zoete V and Michielin O (2011) Nucleic Acids Res. 39: 270
- Jamroz MH (2004) Vibrational energy distribution analysis, VEDA 4 Program. Warsaw, Poland
- Jamroz MH (2013) Vibrational Energy Distribution Analysis (VEDA): Scopes and limitations. Spectrochim Acta A 114:220–230
- Jeffrey GA, Saenger W (1991) Hydrogen Bonding in Biological Structures. Springer–Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg
- Jones LH, Swanson BI (1976) Bonding in Metal Carbonyls. Acc Chem Res 9:128
- Keith TA (2012) AIMAll Version 12.09.23 TK Gristmill Software Overland Park KS USA
- Krishnakumar V, Balachandran V (2005) Analysis of vibrational spectra of 5-fluoro, 5-chloro and 5-bromo-cytosines based on density functional theory calculations. Spectrochim Acta A 61:1001–1006
- Kumar A, Srivastava AK, Mondal A, Brahmachari G, Misra N, Gangwar S (2015) Combined experimental (FT-IR, UV-visible spectra, NMR) and theoretical studies on the molecular structure, vibrational spectra, HOMO, LUMO, MESP surfaces, reactivity descriptor and molecular docking of Phomarin. J Mol Struct 1096:94–101
- Lee JC, Cha JK (2001) Total synthesis of tropoloisoquinolines: imerubrine, isoimerubrine, and grandirubrine. J Am Chem Soc 123:3243–3246
- Lewis WH, Stonard RJ, Porras-Reyes B and Mustoe TA (1992) (US Patent No. 5,156,847)
- Luqul FJ, Lopez JM, Orozco M (2000) Perspective on electrostatic interactions of a solute with a continuum, a direct utilization of ab initio molecular potentials for the prevision of solvent effects. Theor Chem Acc 103:343
- Madhav MV, Manogaran S (2009) A relook at the compliance constants in redundant internal coordinates and some new insights. J Chem Phys 131:174112–174116
- Majumder M, Manogaran S (2013) Redundant internal coordinates, compliance constants and non-bonded interactions-Some new insights. J Chem Sci 125:9–15
- Manolopoulos DE, May JC, Down SE (1991) Systematic relationships between fullerenes without spirals. Chem Phys Lett 181:105
- Merrick JP, Moran D, Radom L (2007) An evaluation of harmonic vibration frequency scale factors. J Phys Chem A 111: 11683–11700
- Mukherjee KM, Mishra TN (1996) Surface-enhanced raman spectra of 2- and 3-hydroxypyridines in silver sol. J Raman Spectrosc 27:595–600

- Parr RG, Yang W (1989) Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules. Oxford University Press and Clarendon Press, New York and Oxford
- Perdew JP, Wang Y (1992) Accurate and simple analytic representation of the electron-gas correlation energy. Phys Rev B 45:13244
- Ponnala S, Harding WW (2013) A route to azafluoranthene natural products through direct arylation. Eur J Org Chem 6:1107
- Rozas I, Alkorta I, Elguero J (2000) Behavior of ylides containing N, O and C atoms as hydrogen bond acceptors. J Am Chem Soc 122:11154
- Saenger W (1984) Principles of Nucleic Acid Structure. Springer, Berlin
- Scherowsky G, Frackowiak E, Adam D (1997) 4,5,6,7,8,9-Hexamethoxyindeno[1,2,3-ij] isoquinoline. Acta Cryst C 53:5
- Schlick T (2010) Molecular modelling and simulation: An Interdisciplinary Guide Vol. 21, 2nd ed. New York
- Schwan TJ (1976) (US Patent No. 3,971,788)
- Scrocco E, Tomasi J (1973) The electrostatic molecular potential as a tool for the interpretation of molecular properties. Top Curr Chem 42:95–170
- Silveira CC, Larghi EL, Mendes SR, Bracca ABJ, Rinaldi F, Kaufman TS (2009) Electrocyclization-mediated approach to 2-methyltriclisine, an unnatural analog of the azafluoranthene alkaloid triclisine. Eur J Org Chem 2:4637
- Srivastava AK, Baboo V, Narayana B, Sarojini BK, Misra N (2014a) Comparative DFT study on reactivity, acidity and vibrational spectra of halogen substituted phenylacetic acids. Indian J Pure Appl Phys 52:507–519
- Srivastava AK, Narayana B, Sarojini BK, Misra N (2014b) Vibrational, structural and hydrogen bonding analysis of N'-[(E)-4hydroxybenzylidene]-2-(naphthalene-2-yloxy) acetohydrazide: combined density functional and atoms-in-molecule based theoretical studies. Indian J Phys 88:547–556
- Srivastava AK, Pandey AK, Gangwar SK, Misra N (2014c) Structural, vibrational and electronic properties of *cis* and *trans* conformers of 4-hydroxy-*l*-proline: a density functional approach. J At Mol Sci 5:279–288
- Srivastava AK, Pandey AK, Misra N, Jain S (2015) FT-IR spectroscopy, intra-molecular C-H--O interactions, HOMO, LUMO, MESP analysis and biological activity of two natural products, triclisine and rufescine: DFT and QTAIM approaches. Spectrochim Acta A 136:682–689
- Steiner T, Saenger W (1992) Geometry of C–H---O Hydrogen bonds in Carbohydrate crystal structures, Analysis of neutron diffraction data. J Am Chem Soc 114:10146–10154
- Sundaraganesan N, Ilakiamani S, Joshua BD (2007) FT-Raman and FT-IR spectra, ab initio and density functional studies of 2amino-4,5-difluorobenzoic acid. Spectrochim Acta A 67:287–297
- Swanson BI (1976) Minimum energy coordinates. A relation between molecular vibrations and reaction coordinates. J Am Chem Soc 98:3067–3071
- Zhao B, Snieckus V (1984) Integrated aromatic metalation—cross coupling methodologies. A concise synthesis of the aza-fluoranthene alkaloid imeluteine. Tetrahedron Lett 32:5277–5278