The Hardy Inequality for Hermite Expansions

Zhongkai Li · Yufeng Yu · Yehao Shi

Received: 24 April 2014 / Revised: 12 August 2014 / Published online: 10 October 2014 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Abstract The purpose of the paper is to prove a sharp form of Hardy-type inequality, conjectured by Kanjin, for Hermite expansions of functions in the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, that is, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{4}} |a_n(f)| \le A ||f||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ for all $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, where A is a constant independent of f.

Keywords Hardy inequality · Hermite expansion · Hardy space

Mathematics Subject Classification 42B30 · 42C10 · 42B15

1 Introduction and Result

The Hermite polynomials $H_n(x)$ $(n \ge 0)$ are defined by the orthogonal relation (cf. [15,16])

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} H_n(x) H_m(x) dx = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^n n! \delta_{nm}$$

and the Hermite functions are given by

Z. Li · Y. Yu

Y. Shi (🖂)

Communicated by A. J. E. M. Janssen.

Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China e-mail: lizk@mail.cnu.edu.cn

Elementary Education College, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China e-mail: cnusyh@163.com

$$\mathcal{H}_n(x) = \left(\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^n n!\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} H_n(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

which are orthonormal over $(-\infty, \infty)$ associated with the Lebesgue measure.

For $f \in L(\mathbb{R})$, its Hermite expansion is

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(f) \mathcal{H}_n(x), \quad a_n(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mathcal{H}_n(x) dx.$$

The Hardy type inequality for Hermite expansions of functions in the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ has been studied in several works. The first step was done by Kanjin [5] who proved the inequality

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{29}{36}} |a_n(f)| \le A \| f \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}, \quad f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}),$$
(1)

where A > 0 is a constant independent of f. Balasubramanian and Radha [2] extended Kanjin's result to $H^p(\mathbb{R})$, 0 . Radha and Thangavelu [10] (cf [17] also) obtained inequalities of Hardy type for <math>d-dimensional Hermite and special Hermite expansions for $d \ge 2$, where the constant they determined, in place of $\frac{29}{36}$, is

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{d}{2} + 1\right) \left(\frac{2-p}{2}\right)$$

for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, 0 . In comparison with the case of*d* $-dimension <math>(d \ge 2)$, the Hardy inequality for one-dimensional Hermite expansions should be the one as (1) but with $\frac{3}{4}$ instead of $\frac{29}{36}$. However the method in [10] does not work for d = 1. An improved form of (1) with $\frac{3}{4} + \epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$ in place of $\frac{29}{36}$ was obtained by Kanjin [6]. Moreover Kanjin [6] proved his inequality for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, that is,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon} |a_n(f)| \le A \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$
(2)

This again leads Kanjin to conjecture that the possible form of the Hardy inequality for Hermite expansions would be

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{4}} |a_n(f)| \le A \| f \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$
(3)

We shall give a positive answer to this conjecture in the present paper. Kanjin [6] also showed that there exists a function $f_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{4}} |a_n(f_0)| = \infty,$$

so the Hardy space norm is required in (3).

The proofs of (1) and (2) in [5,6] were based on the pointwise estimate of the Hermite functions as follows: for given $\tau > 0$, there exist positive constants *A*, η and ξ such that

$$|\mathcal{H}_n(x)| \le A \left(|x| + \sqrt{n} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(||x| - \sqrt{2n}| + n^{-\frac{1}{6}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Psi_n(x) \tag{4}$$

holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \ge 1$, where

$$\Psi_{n}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } 0 \le |x| \le \sqrt{2n}; \\ \exp\left(-\eta n^{\frac{1}{4}} ||x| - \sqrt{2n}|^{3/2}\right), & \text{for } \sqrt{2n} \le |x| \le (1+\tau)\sqrt{2n}; \\ e^{-\xi x^{2}}, & \text{for } (1+\tau)\sqrt{2n} \le |x|. \end{cases}$$
(5)

A separate description of (4) is given in [1] due to Skovgaard. The unified and simplified form as (4) is stated in [7], in virtue of the relation [16, (1.1.52), (1.1.53)] of Hermite polynomials and Laguerre polynomials and a unified description [9, (2.2)] of Laguerre polynomials based on [8] and the table in [1, p. 699].

A direct consequence of (4) and (5) is

$$|\mathcal{H}_n(x)| \le An^{-\frac{1}{12}},$$

and $\mathcal{H}_n(x)$ attains this bound near the point $x = \sqrt{2n}$. But for most x it has a much smaller bound as a multiple of $n^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. It is a very hard work to apply such a nonproportional property of the Hermite functions as Kanjin did in [5,6], and certainly, it is also difficult to achieve the best result for related problems. However, if for $d \ge 2$, we denote by $\Phi_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, the *d*-dimensional Hermite functions, namely,

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(x_1,\ldots,x_d)=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}(x_1)\cdots\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_d}(x_d), \quad \alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_d),$$

then there exists a constant A > 0 independent of *n* and (x_1, \ldots, x_d) such that (see [16, Lemma 3.2.2])

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=n} |\Phi_{\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_d)|^2 \le A(n+1)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}.$$
(6)

Obviously this is not true for d = 1. The bound in (6) has been used in research of various problems for $d \ge 2$, as in [16] for example; it is also the key in the proof of the inequalities of Hardy type in [10] for d-dimensional Hermite expansions for $d \ge 2$.

In order to prove the Hardy inequality (3), we shall follow a different approach, by evaluating the square integration of the Poisson integral associated to Hermite expansions of functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Indeed, we shall work with the generalized Hermite expansions of functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. If $\lambda > -1/2$, the generalized Hermite polynomials $H_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$ $(n \ge 0)$ are

defined by (see [3])

$$H_{2k}^{(\lambda)}(x) = \left(\frac{k!}{\Gamma(k+\lambda+1/2)}\right)^{1/2} L_k^{(\lambda-1/2)}(x^2),\tag{7}$$

$$H_{2k-1}^{(\lambda)}(x) = \left(\frac{(k-1)!}{\Gamma(k+\lambda+1/2)}\right)^{1/2} x L_{k-1}^{(\lambda+1/2)}(x^2), \tag{8}$$

where $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ ($\alpha > -1, n \ge 0$) are the Laguerre polynomials determined by the orthogonal relation (see [15, 16])

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^\alpha L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) L_m^{(\alpha)}(x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!} \delta_{mn}.$$

The system $\{H_n^{(\lambda)}(x)\}$ is orthonormal over $(-\infty, +\infty)$ with respect to the weight $|x|^{2\lambda}e^{-x^2}$, and $H_n(x) = H_n^{(0)}(x)$ $(n \ge 0)$ are the usual Hermite polynomials (up to constants).

The generalized Hermite functions $\mathcal{H}_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$ $(n \ge 0)$ are given by

$$\mathcal{H}_n^{(\lambda)}(x) = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} |x|^{\lambda} H_n^{(\lambda)}(x),$$

which are orthonormal over $(-\infty, \infty)$ associated with Lebesgue measure. For a function $f \in L(\mathbb{R})$, its generalized Hermite expansion is

$$f \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(\lambda)}(f) \mathcal{H}_n^{(\lambda)}(x), \qquad a_n^{(\lambda)}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \mathcal{H}_n^{(\lambda)}(t) dt.$$
(9)

In what follows we assume that $\lambda \ge 0$. Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 Let $\lambda \ge 0$. Then there exists a constant A > 0 such that for all $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{4}} |a_n^{(\lambda)}(f)| \le A \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$
(10)

The generalized Hermite polynomials $H_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$ $(n \ge 0)$ were used in a Bose-like oscillator calculus in [11]; their further generalizations to the Dunkl setting in several variables can be found in [13] and those in the A_{d-1} case are eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian of the linear quantum Calogero-Moser-Sutherland model (with some modification) in \mathbb{R}^d (see [13] and references therein). It would be interesting to extend various results on the usual Hermite expansions such as in [10] and others to orthogonal expansions associated to these generalizations. We remark that in [4], the Hermite functions were used in a description of Feichtinger's space S_0 . Throughout the paper, $U \leq V$ means that $U \leq cV$ for some positive constant *c* independent of variables, functions, *n*, *k*, etc., but possibly dependent of the parameter λ .

2 Some Facts on the Poisson kernel

The Poisson kernel of the generalized Hermite polynomials $H_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$ $(n \ge 0)$ is, for $0 \le r < 1$,

$$P^{(\lambda)}(r; x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n H_n^{(\lambda)}(x) H_n^{(\lambda)}(y).$$

If we write $P^{(\lambda)}(r; x, y)$ into two parts, one being the summation for even *n* and the other for odd *n*, then from (7), (8), and by [15] or [16, (1.1.47)], we have

$$P^{(\lambda)}(r; x, y) = \frac{(1 - r^2)^{-\lambda - 1/2}}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{1 - r^2}(x^2 + y^2)\right) E_{\lambda}\left(\frac{2rxy}{1 - r^2}\right),$$

where $E_{\lambda}(z)$ is the one-dimensional Dunkl kernel

$$E_{\lambda}(z) = j_{\lambda-1/2}(iz) + \frac{z}{2\lambda+1}j_{\lambda+1/2}(iz),$$

and $j_{\alpha}(z)$ is the normalized Bessel function

$$j_{\alpha}(z) = 2^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \frac{J_{\alpha}(z)}{z^{\alpha}} = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{2n}}{n! \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}.$$

If $\lambda = 0$, $E_0(z) = e^z$, and for $\lambda > 0$, a Laplace-type representation of $E_{\lambda}(z)$ is (cf. [12, Lemma 2.1])

$$E_{\lambda}(z) = c'_{\lambda} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{zt} (1+t)(1-t^2)^{\lambda-1} dt, \quad c'_{\lambda} = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(1/2)}.$$
 (11)

By (9), the Poisson kernel $\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r; x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \mathcal{H}_n^{(\lambda)}(x) \mathcal{H}_n^{(\lambda)}(y)$ of the generalized Hermite functions $\mathcal{H}_n^{(\lambda)}(n \ge 0)$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,y) = |xy|^{\lambda} \frac{(1-r^2)^{-\lambda-1/2}}{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)} \exp\left(-\frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2} \cdot \frac{x^2+y^2}{2}\right) E_{\lambda}\left(\frac{2rxy}{1-r^2}\right).$$

The Poisson integral of the generalized Hermite expansion of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by

$$f_{\lambda}(r;x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,y) f(y) dy.$$
(12)

For $\lambda = 0$, $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}(r; x, y)$ is consistent with the Poisson kernel of the usual Hermite functions \mathcal{H}_n ($n \ge 0$) (see [16])

$$\mathcal{P}(r; x, y) = \frac{(1 - r^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{2r(x - y)^2 + (1 - r)^2(x^2 + y^2)}{2(1 - r^2)}\right)$$

In order to give some necessary estimates of the Poisson kernel $\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r; x, y)$ we rewrite it into

$$\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,y) = \frac{(1-r^2)^{-\lambda-1/2}}{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)} |xy|^{\lambda} \psi_{r,x}(y) e^{-|\xi y|} E_{\lambda}(\xi y), \quad \xi = \frac{2rx}{1-r^2}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\psi_{r,x}(y) = \exp\left(-\frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2}\frac{x^2+y^2}{2} + \frac{2r|xy|}{1-r^2}\right).$$

We shall need several preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 For $y \neq 0$,

- (i) $\left|e^{-|\xi y|}E_{\lambda}(\xi y)\right| \lesssim (1+|\xi y|)^{-\lambda};$
- (ii) $\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(e^{-|\xi y|}E_{\lambda}(\xi y)\right)\right| \lesssim |\xi|(1+|\xi y|)^{-\lambda-1}.$

Proof If $\lambda = 0$, both (i) and (ii) are trivial. In what follows we assume that $\lambda > 0$. From (11) we have

$$\begin{split} \left| e^{-|\xi y|} E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right| &\leq 2c_{\lambda}' \int_{-1}^{1} e^{-|\xi y|(1-|t|)} (1-t^2)^{\lambda-1} dt \lesssim \int_{0}^{1} e^{-|\xi y|(1-t)} (1-t)^{\lambda-1} dt \\ &= |\xi y|^{-\lambda} \int_{0}^{|\xi y|} e^{-s} s^{\lambda-1} ds, \end{split}$$

which is bounded by a multiple of $(1+|\xi y|)^{-\lambda}$, since $\int_0^A e^{-s}s^{\lambda-1}ds \simeq (A/(A+1))^{\lambda}$. Furthermore, from (11) we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(e^{-|\xi y|} E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right) = -c_{\lambda}' \xi \int_{-1}^{1} e^{-\xi y(1-t)} (1+t)^{\lambda} (1-t)^{\lambda} dt \quad \text{for} \quad \xi y > 0;$$
$$= c_{\lambda}' \xi \int_{-1}^{1} e^{\xi y(1-t)} (1+t)^{\lambda-1} (1-t)^{\lambda+1} dt \quad \text{for} \quad \xi y < 0.$$

In the both cases we split the integral into two parts as $\int_{-1}^{1} = \int_{-1}^{0} + \int_{0}^{1}$, to get

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(e^{-|\xi y|} E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right) \right| \lesssim |\xi| \left[e^{-|\xi y|} + \int_{0}^{1} e^{-|\xi y|(1-t)} (1-t)^{\lambda} dt \right]$$
$$= |\xi| \left[e^{-|\xi y|} + |\xi y|^{-\lambda - 1} \int_{0}^{|\xi y|} e^{-s} s^{\lambda} ds \right]$$

which implies the desired estimate in part (ii), since $\int_0^A e^{-s} s^{\lambda} ds \simeq (A/(A+1))^{\lambda+1}$.

Lemma 2.2 (i) For $0 \le r < 1$,

$$\psi_{r,x}(y) = \exp\left(-\frac{r(|x| - |y|)^2}{1 - r^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1 - r}{1 + r}\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2}\right);$$
(14)

(ii) for $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{2}$, $\psi_{r,x}(y) \lesssim \exp\left(-c_1(x^2+y^2)\right)$, and for $\frac{1}{2} \le r < 1$, $\psi_{r,x}(y) \lesssim \exp\left(-c_2\frac{(|x|-|y|)^2}{1-r}\right)$, where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are independent of x, y and r.

Part (i) is obvious and implies part (ii) immediately.

Lemma 2.3 *For* $x, y \in (-\infty, \infty)$ *and* $r \in [0, 1)$ *,*

$$\frac{(1-r)^{1/2}|x|}{1-r+|xy|} \lesssim 1 + \frac{||x|-|y||}{(1-r)^{1/2}}.$$

Indeed, for $|y| \le |x|/2$ the left hand side is bounded by $|x|/(1-r)^{1/2} \le 2||x| - |y||/(1-r)^{1/2}$, and for $|y| \ge |x|/2$, by $|x|/(2|xy|^{1/2}) \le 1$.

Proposition 2.4 (i) If $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{2}$, then for $y \ne 0$,

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,y)\right| \lesssim |x|^{\lambda}|y|^{\lambda-1} \left(\lambda + |xy| + |y|^2\right) \exp\left(-c_1(x^2 + y^2)\right);$$
(15)

(ii) if $\frac{1}{2} \le r < 1$, then for $y \ne 0$,

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,y)\right| \lesssim \lambda \frac{(1-r)^{-1/2}|x|^{\lambda}|y|^{\lambda-1}}{(1-r+|xy|)^{\lambda}}\psi_{r,x}(y) + (1-r)^{-1}\psi_{r,x}(y)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(16)

Proof For $y \neq 0$, from (13) we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,y) = \frac{(1-r^2)^{-\lambda-1/2}}{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)} \left(U_1(x,y) + U_2(x,y)\right),$$

where

$$U_1(x, y) = \lambda |x|^{\lambda} |y|^{\lambda-2} y \left(\psi_{r,x}(y) e^{-|\xi y|} E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right),$$

$$U_2(x, y) = |xy|^{\lambda} \left(\psi_{r,x}(y) e^{-|\xi y|} E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right)'_y.$$

For $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{2}$, it follows from Lemmas 2.1(i) and 2.2(ii) that $(1 - r^2)^{-\lambda - 1/2}U_1(x, y)$ is bounded by a multiple of $\lambda |x|^{\lambda} |y|^{\lambda - 1} \exp(-c_1(x^2 + y^2))$, and for $\frac{1}{2} \le r < 1$, by a multiple of

$$\lambda \frac{(1-r)^{-\lambda-1/2} |x|^{\lambda} |y|^{\lambda-1}}{(1+|\xi y|)^{\lambda}} \psi_{r,x}(y) \lesssim \lambda \frac{(1-r)^{-1/2} |x|^{\lambda} |y|^{\lambda-1}}{(1-r+|xy|)^{\lambda}} \psi_{r,x}(y)$$

In order to verify that $(1 - r^2)^{-\lambda - 1/2} U_2(x, y)$ has the desired estimates as in (15) and (16), we shall show that for $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\left| \left(\psi_{r,x}(y) e^{-|\xi y|} E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right)_{y}' \right| \lesssim (|x| + |y|) \exp\left(-c_{1}(x^{2} + y^{2}) \right);$$
(17)

and for $\frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1$,

$$\left| \left(\psi_{r,x}(y)e^{-|\xi y|} E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right)_{y}' \right| \\ \lesssim \frac{\psi_{r,x}(y)}{(1-r)^{1-\lambda}} \left[\frac{||x|-|y||+(1-r)^{2}|y|}{(1-r+|xy|)^{\lambda}} + \frac{(1-r)|x|}{(1-r+|xy|)^{\lambda+1}} \right].$$
(18)

Indeed, since from (14),

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{r,x}(y)e^{-|\xi y|}E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \end{pmatrix}'_{y} \\ = \left[\frac{2r(|x| - |y|)(\operatorname{sgn} y) - (1 - r)^{2}y}{1 - r^{2}} \left(e^{-|\xi y|}E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right) + \left(e^{-|\xi y|}E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right)'_{y} \right] \psi_{r,x}(y),$$

by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\left| \left(\psi_{r,x}(y) e^{-|\xi y|} E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right)_{y}' \right| \lesssim \left[\frac{||x| - |y|| + (1 - r)^{2} |y|}{(1 - r)(1 + |\xi y|)^{\lambda}} + \frac{|\xi|}{(1 + |\xi y|)^{\lambda + 1}} \right] \psi_{r,x}(y).$$

Thus (17) and (18) follow immediately.

For $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{2}$, from (17) it is obvious that

$$\left| (1-r^2)^{-\lambda-1/2} U_2(x,y) \right| \lesssim |xy|^{\lambda} (|x|+|y|) \exp\left(-c_1(x^2+y^2)\right);$$

and for $\frac{1}{2} \le r < 1$, from (18) we have

$$\left| (1-r^2)^{-\lambda-1/2} U_2(x,y) \right| \lesssim \frac{\psi_{r,x}(y)}{1-r} \left[\frac{||x|-|y||}{(1-r)^{1/2}} + (1-r)^{3/2} |y| + \frac{(1-r)^{1/2} |x|}{1-r+|xy|} \right].$$

By Lemma 2.3, it is easy to see that the expression in the brackets above is bounded by a multiple of $\psi_{r,x}(y)^{-1/2}$, and so $|(1-r^2)^{-\lambda-1/2}U_2(x, y)| \leq \psi_{r,x}(y)^{1/2}/(1-r)$. The proof of the proposition is finished.

3 Proof of the Main Result

The following theorem is crucial in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that $\lambda \geq 0$. There is a positive constant A such that for all $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\int_{0}^{1} (1-r)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_{\lambda}(r; y)|^{2} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dr \le A \|f\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})},$$
(19)

where $f_{\lambda}(r; y)$ is the Poisson integral (12) associated to the generalized Hermite expansion of f.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is based upon some norm estimates stated below.

Lemma 3.2 (i) If $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda > 1$, then for $y, \bar{y} \in (-\infty, \infty)$,

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r; x, y) - \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r; x, \bar{y}) \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|}{(1 - r)^{3/4}};$$
(20)

(ii) If $0 < \lambda \le 1$, then for $y, \bar{y} \in (-\infty, \infty)$,

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r; x, y) - \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r; x, \bar{y}) \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r)^{(2\lambda + 1)/4}} \left(1 + \frac{|y - \bar{y}|}{(1 - r)^{1/2}} \right).$$

Proof For $\lambda > 1$ and $y > \overline{y}$ we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;\cdot,y) - \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;\cdot,\bar{y}) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} &= \left\| \int_{\bar{y}}^{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;\cdot,z) dz \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \int_{\bar{y}}^{y} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;\cdot,z) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} dz. \end{split}$$

If $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{2}$, then by Proposition 2.4(i), $\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r; \cdot, z) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim 1$, so that

$$\left\|\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;\cdot,y)-\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;\cdot,\bar{y})\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |y-\bar{y}| \lesssim \frac{|y-\bar{y}|}{(1-r)^{3/4}}.$$

Since for $\lambda > 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} \le r < 1$, by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\frac{(1-r)^{-1/2}|x|^{\lambda}|y|^{\lambda-1}}{(1-r+|xy|)^{\lambda}} \le \frac{(1-r)^{-1/2}|x|}{1-r+|xy|} \lesssim \frac{1}{1-r} \left(1 + \frac{||x|-|y||}{(1-r)^{1/2}}\right) \lesssim \frac{\psi_{r,x}(y)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{1-r},$$

inserting this into (16) yields that $\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,y)\right| \lesssim (1-r)^{-1}\psi_{r,x}(y)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and hence

$$\left\| \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;\cdot,y) - \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;\cdot,\bar{y}) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{1}{1-r} \int_{\bar{y}}^{y} \left\| \psi_{r,x}(z)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} dz \lesssim \frac{|y-\bar{y}|}{(1-r)^{3/4}}.$$

This proves part (i) for $\lambda > 1$.

If $\lambda = 0$, by Proposition 2.4 $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mathcal{P}^{(0)}(r; x, y) \right|$ is bounded by a multiple of $(|x| + |y|)e^{-c_1(x^2+y^2)}$ for $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{2}$, and $(1-r)^{-1}\psi_{r,x}(y)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\frac{1}{2} \le r < 1$. Hence the above process still works for $\lambda = 0$. This completes the proof of part (i).

Now we turn to the proof of part (ii). For $0 < \lambda \le 1$ and $y > \overline{y}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,y) &- \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,\bar{y}) \\ &= \frac{(1-r^2)^{-\lambda-1/2}}{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)} \left[\int_{\bar{y}}^{y} U_2(x,z) dz + |x|^{\lambda} (|y|^{\lambda} - |\bar{y}|^{\lambda}) \psi_{r,x}(y) e^{-|\xi y|} E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right. \\ &+ \int_{\bar{y}}^{y} |x|^{\lambda} (|\bar{y}|^{\lambda} - |z|^{\lambda}) \left(\psi_{r,x}(z) e^{-|\xi z|} E_{\lambda}(\xi z) \right)_{z}' dz \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $U_2(x, z)$ is defined as the same as in the proof of Proposition 2.4. There we have shown that (for all $\lambda \ge 0$) $(1 - r^2)^{-\lambda - 1/2} U_2(x, z)$ is bounded by a multiple of $|xz|^{\lambda}(|x| + |z|) \exp(-c_1(x^2 + z^2))$ for $0 \le r \le 1/2$, and of $\psi_{r,x}(z)^{1/2}/(1 - r)$ for $1/2 \le r < 1$. From the proof of part (i) above it follows that

$$\left\| \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r; \cdot, y) - \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r; \cdot, \bar{y}) \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|}{(1 - r)^{3/4}} + V_{1} + V_{2},$$
(21)

where

$$V_{1} = \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r)^{\lambda + 1/2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| |x|^{\lambda} \psi_{r,x}(y) e^{-|\xi y|} E_{\lambda}(\xi y) \right|^{2} dx \right)^{1/2},$$

$$V_{2} = \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r)^{\lambda + 1/2}} \int_{\bar{y}}^{y} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| |x|^{\lambda} \left(\psi_{r,x}(z) e^{-|\xi z|} E_{\lambda}(\xi z) \right)_{z}' \right|^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} dz.$$

If $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{2}$, then by Lemmas 2.1(i) and 2.2(ii),

$$V_{1} \lesssim |y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{2\lambda} \exp\left(-2c_{1}(x^{2} + y^{2})\right) dx \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\lesssim |y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda} \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r)^{(2\lambda + 1)/4}};$$
(22)

and from (17),

$$V_{2} \lesssim |y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda} \int_{\bar{y}}^{y} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{2\lambda} (|x| + |z|)^{2} \exp\left(-2c_{1}(x^{2} + z^{2})\right) dx \right)^{1/2} dz$$

$$\lesssim |y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda + 1} \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda + 1}}{(1 - r)^{(2\lambda + 3)/4}}.$$
 (23)

If $\frac{1}{2} \le r < 1$, then by Lemmas 2.1(i), 2.2(ii) and 2.3,

$$V_{1} \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r)^{1/2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \psi_{r,x}(y) \frac{|x|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r + |xy|)^{\lambda}} \right|^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r)^{(\lambda + 1)/2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{||x| - |y||}{(1 - r)^{1/2}} \right)^{2\lambda} \exp\left(-2c_{2} \frac{(|x| - |y|)^{2}}{1 - r} \right) dx \right)^{1/2} \\ \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r)^{(2\lambda + 1)/4}};$$
(24)

and by (18), Lemmas 2.2(ii) and 2.3,

$$V_{2} \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r)^{(\lambda + 2)/2}} \int_{\bar{y}}^{y} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{(1 - r)^{1/2} |x|}{1 - r + |xz|} \right)^{\lambda} \left(\frac{||x| - |z||}{(1 - r)^{1/2}} + (1 - r)^{\frac{3}{2}} |z| \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{(1 - r)^{1/2} |x|}{1 - r + |xz|} \right)^{\lambda + 1} \right]^{2} \psi_{r,x}(z)^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} dz \\ \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r)^{(\lambda + 2)/2}} \int_{\bar{y}}^{y} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left(1 + \frac{||x| - |z||}{(1 - r)^{1/2}} \right)^{\lambda} \left(\frac{||x| - |z||}{(1 - r)^{1/2}} + (1 - r)^{\frac{3}{2}} |z| \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left(1 + \frac{||x| - |z||}{(1 - r)^{1/2}} \right)^{\lambda + 1} \right]^{2} \psi_{r,x}(z)^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} dz \\ \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r)^{(\lambda + 2)/2}} \int_{\bar{y}}^{y} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{r,x}(z) dx \right)^{1/2} dz \\ \lesssim \frac{|y - \bar{y}|^{\lambda}}{(1 - r)^{(\lambda + 2)/2}} \int_{\bar{y}}^{y} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{r,x}(z) dx \right)^{1/2} dz$$

$$(25)$$

Collecting the estimates of (22), (23), (24) and (25) into (21), we finish the proof of part (ii) of the lemma. $\hfill \Box$

Now we come to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Let us first recall the atom characterization of the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ (cf. [14, 18]). An H^1 atom is a measurable function a on \mathbb{R} satisfying

(i) supp $a \subseteq I$ for some interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$;

(ii) $||a||_{L^{\infty}} \le |I|^{-1}, |I|$ denoting the length of *I*; (iii) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(x)dx = 0.$

For a function $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, there are a sequence $\{a_k\}$ of H^1 atoms and a sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ of complex numbers satisfying $\sum |\lambda_k| < \infty$, such that $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k$ and

$$A_1 \| f \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \sum |\lambda_k| \le A_2 \| f \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})},$$

where A_1, A_2 are positive constants independent of f.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, it suffices to verify the inequality (19) for each H^1 atom f = a, namely, there exists a absolute constant A > 0, such that

$$\int_{0}^{1} (1-r)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|a_{\lambda}(r;\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} dr \le A$$
(26)

holds for all H^1 atoms *a* satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii) above, where $a_{\lambda}(r; y)$ is the Poisson integral (12) of *a* associated to its generalized Hermite expansion.

By Parseval's inequality, from (i) and (ii) we have

$$\|a_{\lambda}(r; \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \le \|a\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \le |I|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(27)

(27) is useful for atoms with larger supports, but we also need a more accurate estimate for atoms with smaller supports.

For an atom *a* satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii), let \bar{y} be the left endpoint of the interval *I*. Applying the cancelation property (iii) and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_{\lambda}(r;\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{I} \left(\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,y) - \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,\bar{y})\right) a(y) dy\right]^{2} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \int_{I} |a(y)| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,y) - \mathcal{P}^{(\lambda)}(r;x,\bar{y})\right|^{2} dx\right)^{1/2} dy. \end{aligned}$$

By means of Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$\|a_{\lambda}(r;\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \frac{|I|}{(1-r)^{3/4}} + \frac{|I|^{\lambda}}{(1-r)^{(2\lambda+1)/4}} + \frac{|I|^{\lambda+1}}{(1-r)^{(2\lambda+3)/4}}.$$
 (28)

If $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda > 1$, the first term on the right hand side appears only.

If $|I| \ge 1$, from (27) it is trivial that

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|a_{\lambda}(r;\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} dr \le 4|I|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le 4;$$

and if |I| < 1, we split the integral into two parts, over $[1 - |I|^2, 1)$ and $[0, 1 - |I|^2]$ respectively, and apply (27) and (28) to obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^1 (1-r)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|a_{\lambda}(r;\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} dr \lesssim \int_{1-|I|^2}^1 |I|^{-1/2} (1-r)^{-3/4} dr \\ &+ \int_0^{1-|I|^2} \left(\frac{|I|}{(1-r)^{3/2}} + \frac{|I|^{\lambda}}{(1-r)^{(\lambda+2)/2}} + \frac{|I|^{\lambda+1}}{(1-r)^{(\lambda+3)/2}} \right) dr. \end{split}$$

It is easy to see that the values of the two integrals above are independent of |I| < 1. This proves (26), and hence Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 By Hölder's inequality one has

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{2n} |a_n^{(\lambda)}(f)| \le \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{2n}\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{2n} |a_n^{(\lambda)}(f)|^2\right)^{1/2} \le (1-r)^{-1/2} \|f_{\lambda}(r;\cdot)\|_{L^2}.$$

Multiplying $(1 - r)^{-1/4}$ on both sides and taking integration over [0, 1) respectively, then by Theorem 3.1 we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B(2n+1,3/4) |a_n^{(\lambda)}(f)| \le A \|f\|_{H^1},$$

where $B(\alpha, \beta)$ denotes the beta function. Finally, the Hardy inequality (10) follows from the fact that $B(2n + 1, 3/4) \sim (n + 1)^{-3/4}$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

Acknowledgments Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11371258), the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (No. 1122011).

References

- 1. Askey, R., Wainger, S.: Mean convergence of expansions in Laguerre and Hermite series. Am. J. Math. **87**, 695–708 (1965)
- Balasubramanian, R., Radha, R.: Hardy-type inequalities for Hermite expansions. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 6, 1–4 (2005)
- 3. Chihara, T.S.: An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials. Gordon and Breach, New York (1978)
- Janssen, A.J.E.M.: Hermite function description of Feichtinger's space S₀. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 11, 577–588 (2005)
- Kanjin, Y.: Hardy's inequalities for Hermite and Laguerre expansions. Bull. London Math. Soc. 29, 331–337 (1997)
- Kanjin, Y.: Hardy's inequalities for Hermite and Laguerre expansions revisited. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 63, 753–767 (2011)
- Li, Zh.-K., Shi, Y.-H.: Multipliers of Hardy spaces associated with generalized Hermite expansions. Constr. Approx. 39, 517–540 (2014)
- Muckenhoupt, B.: Asymptotic forms for Laguerre polynomials. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 24, 288–292 (1970)

- Muckenhoupt, B., Webb, D.W.: Two-weight norm inequalities for Cesàro means of Laguerre expansions. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 353, 1119–1149 (2000)
- Radha, R., Thangavelu, S.: Hardy's inequalities for Hermite and Laguerre expansions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 132, 3525–3536 (2004)
- Rosenblum, M.: Generalized Hermite polynomials and the Bose-like oscillator calculus. In: Feintuch, A., Gohberg, I. (eds.) Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 73, pp. 369–396. Birkhäuser, Basel (1994)
- Rösler, M.: Bessel-type signed hypergroups on R. In: Heyer, H., Mukherjea, A. (eds.) Probability Measures on Groups and Related Structures XI, pp. 292–304. World Scientific, Singapore (1995)
- Rösler, M.: Generalized Hermite polynomials and the heat equation for Dunkl operators. Commun. Math. Phys. 192, 519–542 (1998)
- Stein, E.M.: Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1993)
- Szegö, G.: Orthogonal Polynomials, vol. 23, 4th edn. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publisher, Providence (1975)
- 16. Thangavelu, S.: Hermite and Laguerre Expansions. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1993)
- Thangavelu, S.: On regularity of twisted spherical means and special Hermite expansion. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 103, 303–320 (1993)
- 18. Uchiyama, A.: Hardy Spaces on the Euclidean Space. Springer, Berlin (2001)