

# Banach Spaces of Solutions of the Helmholtz Equation in the Plane

Josefina Alvarez, Magali Folch-Gabayet, and Salvador Pérez-Esteva

Communicated by Carlos Kenig

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this article is to study the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{W}^2$  consisting of all solutions of the Helmholtz equation  $\Delta u + u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that are the image under the Fourier transform of  $L^2$  densities in the unit circle. We characterize this space as a close subspace of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^2$  of all functions belonging to  $L^2(|x|^{-3}dx)$  jointly with their angular and radial derivatives, in the complement of the unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We calculate the reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{W}^2$  and study its reproducing properties in the corresponding spaces  $\mathcal{H}^p$ , for  $p > 1$ .

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The purpose of this article is to study Banach spaces of solutions of the Helmholtz equation  $\Delta u + u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . One can generate solutions to this equation from  $L^1$  densities in the unit circle  $T$  through the operator (see [3, p. 3])

$$Wf(s, t) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(s \sin \theta + t \cos \theta)} f(\theta) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

where  $f \in L^1(T)$ . The function  $Wf$  is nothing else but the Fourier transform of the density  $f$  on  $T$ , considered as a tempered distribution in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Much work has been done in the study of the Fourier transform of distributions supported in a surface and the related problem of the restriction of the Fourier transform. In this article we describe  $W(L^2(T))$  as a function space. In  $n$  dimensions, this problem was studied by Guo in [5], where he gave a necessary and a sufficient condition in terms of mixed norms, for a temperate distribution to be the Fourier transform of an  $L^2$  density in the sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In two dimensions, González-Casanova and Wolf proved in [3] that given  $f \in L^2(T)$ , the restriction  $(Wf(\cdot, 0), \frac{\partial Wf}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0))$  determines  $Wf$  completely. Then they constructed a reproducing kernel for the space of these restrictions in a certain Hilbert space provided with a nonlocal inner product.

*Math Subject Classifications.* 46E15.

*Keywords and Phrases.* Helmholtz equation, reproducing kernel.

*Acknowledgements and Notes.* Josefina Alvarez: partially supported by Arts and Sciences Research Center 01-3-43903, New Mexico State University. Magali Folch-Gabayet and Salvador Pérez-Esteva: partially supported by PAPIIT-UNAM IN106997 and CONACyT 32408-E.

In this article, we characterize the image  $W(L^2(T))$  as a closed subspace of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^2$ . This space  $\mathcal{H}^2$  consists of all functions belonging to  $L^2(|x|^{-3} dx)$  jointly with their angular and radial derivatives, in the complement of the unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We also construct and study the reproducing kernel for  $W(L^2(T))$ . This work is done in Section 2. In Section 3 we consider the  $p$ -version,  $\mathcal{H}^p$ , of the space  $\mathcal{H}^2$  and we study the class of solutions of the Helmholtz equation belonging to  $\mathcal{H}^p$ . These turn out to be Banach spaces and the projection  $\mathcal{P}$  found in Section 2 provides a reproducing formula for every  $1 < p < \infty$ . We also show by means of an example that in general the operator  $\mathcal{P}$  cannot be extended as a bounded operator in  $\mathcal{H}^p$ .

Throughout this article we will use the following notations and results:  $D$  will denote the open unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{D}$ . The conjugate exponent of  $p > 1$  will be denoted by  $p'$ .

For every integer  $n$ , the Bessel function  $J_n(r)$  can be defined (see [7, p. 20]) by

$$J_n(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(r \sin \theta - n\theta)} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

The Bessel functions satisfy the following functional relations (see [4])

$$2J_n'(r) = J_{n-1}(r) - J_{n+1}(r), \quad (1.1)$$

$$-rJ_{n+1}(r) = rJ_n'(r) - nJ_n(r), \quad (1.2)$$

$$J_{-n}(r) = (-1)^n J_n(r), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J_n(r)^2 = 1. \quad (1.4)$$

For  $n \geq 0$  we have the estimate (see [7, p. 16])

$$|J_n(r)| \leq \frac{r^n}{n!2^n} e^{r^2/4}. \quad (1.5)$$

Hence, for  $n \geq 1$  we obtain

$$\int_0^1 J_n^2(r) \frac{dr}{r^2} = o\left(\frac{1}{n!2^n}\right).$$

Also for  $n \geq 1$ , we have [4, p. 715]

$$\int_0^\infty J_n^2(r) \frac{dr}{r^2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{n^2 - 1/4}.$$

Then, we conclude that for every  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\int_1^\infty J_n^2(r) \frac{dr}{r^2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{n^2 - 1/4} + o\left(\frac{1}{n!2^n}\right). \quad (1.6)$$

With  $r = \sqrt{s^2 + t^2}$  and  $\theta = \arctan \frac{t}{s}$ , we consider the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} &= \frac{s}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} + \frac{t}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} &= -t \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} + s \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \end{aligned}$$

defined on  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$ . Given a smooth function  $u$ , then  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}$  are the radial and angular derivatives of  $u$ , respectively.

**Definition 1.** (a) For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we denote with  $\mathcal{H}^p$  the space of all  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  such that  $u$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  and

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} = \left\{ \iint_{\Omega} \left( |u|^p + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^p + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right|^p \right) \frac{dx}{r^3} \right\}^{1/p} < \infty.$$

(b) We will denote with  $\mathcal{W}^p$  the space of all functions  $u \in \mathcal{H}^p$  satisfying the Helmholtz equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Remark 1.** (1) For any bounded open set  $U \subset \Omega$ , the restriction operator is continuous from  $\mathcal{H}^p$  into the standard Sobolev space  $W^{p,1}(U)$ . Using this fact, it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{H}^p$  is a Banach space.

(2) One can represent the dual space of  $\mathcal{H}^p$  as in the case of the Sobolev space  $W^{p,1}(\Omega)$ . In fact, every  $L \in (\mathcal{H}^p)^*$  can be represented as

$$Lu = \iint_{\Omega} \left( u F_1 + \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} F_2 + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} F_3 \right) \frac{dx}{|x|^3},$$

where  $F_i \in L^{p'}(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$  and  $\|L\| \approx \sum_{i=1}^3 \|F_i\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)}$  and as we said before,  $p'$  is the conjugate exponent of  $p$ . The proof is the same as in the case of the space  $W^{p,1}(\Omega)$ .

(3) If  $u$  satisfies the Helmholtz equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $u = 0$  in  $\Omega$ , then  $u$  is identically zero (see for example (3.1) below). Hence  $\mathcal{W}^p$  may be thought of as a space of functions in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}$  as a well defined norm.

(4) Since the measure  $\frac{dx}{|x|^3}$  is finite in  $\Omega$ , we have  $\mathcal{H}^{p_1} \subset \mathcal{H}^{p_2}$ , when  $p_1 > p_2$ . The same can be said about the space  $\mathcal{W}^p$ .

We end this section with the following estimates used in Section 3:

**Lemma 1.**

For each  $\gamma > 2$ , there exist  $C > 0$  and  $C_\gamma > 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad \iint_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{(1+|x-y|)^{3/2}|y|^2} \leq C \frac{\log|x|}{|x|^{3/2}}, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$(2) \quad \iint_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{(1+|x-y|)^{3/2}|y|^\gamma} \leq \frac{C_\gamma}{|x|^{3/2}}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

**Proof.** The proof follows from elementary estimates on these integrals splitting

$\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3 \cup \Omega_4$ , where

$\Omega_1 = \{y \in \Omega : |x - y| \leq |x|/2\}$ ,

$\Omega_2 = \{y \in \Omega : 1 < |y| \leq |x|/2\}$ ,

$\Omega_3 = \{y \in \Omega : |x|/2 \leq |y| \leq 3|x|/2, |x|/2 \leq |x - y|\}$ ,

$\Omega_4 = \{y \in \Omega : 3|x|/2 \leq |y|\}$ .  $\square$

For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $x \cdot y$  will denote the dot product of  $x$  and  $y$ . Also, if  $y = (y_1, y_2)$  we will denote  $iy = (-y_2, y_1)$ .

Throughout this article  $c$  and  $C$  will denote generic positive constants that may change in each occurrence.

## 2. A Reproducing Kernel for the Space $\mathcal{W}^2$

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $e_n(\varphi) = e^{-in\varphi}$ . We also consider the translation operator  $f_\theta(\varphi) = f(\varphi - \theta)$  and the rotation operator  $T_\theta$ , that is the complex multiplication by  $e^{i\theta}$ . Then

- (1)  $Wf_\theta = Wf \circ T_\theta$ ;
- (2) Since  $(e_n)_\theta = e^{in\theta} e_n$ , we have  $We_n(T_\theta(s, t)) = e^{in\theta} We_n(s, t)$ .  
In particular, if  $(r, \theta)$  are the polar coordinates of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ; then
- (3)  $We_n(x) = e^{in\theta} We_n(r, 0) = e^{in\theta} J_n(r)$ .

Hence, we can represent the functions in  $W(L^2(T))$  in polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , as series

$$u(r, \theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n J_n(r) e^{in\theta}$$

with  $\sum |a_n|^2 < \infty$ .

According to (1.5), this series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Moreover, using the identity (1.1) repeatedly, one can see that the series can be differentiated term by term, with absolute and uniform convergence in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Following [7, p. 522], we will call these series Neumann series.

**Theorem 1.**

*The operator  $W$  is a topological isomorphism of  $L^2(T)$  onto  $\mathcal{W}^2$ .*

**Proof.** For every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $F_n(re^{i\theta}) = J_n(r)e^{in\theta}$ . The family  $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is orthogonal in  $\mathcal{W}^2$ . Furthermore, using (1.1) and integrating in polar coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_n\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2 &= \iint_{\Omega} \left( |F_n(x)|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial \theta}(x) \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial r}(x) \right|^2 \right) \frac{dx}{r^3} \\ &= 2\pi \int_1^\infty (1+n^2) J_n^2(r) \frac{dr}{r^2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \int_1^\infty (J_{n-1}(r) - J_{n+1}(r))^2 \frac{dr}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.6), there exists  $c > 0$ , such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0$ ,

$$\|F_n\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} > c$$

and

$$\|F_n\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} = \sqrt{2} + O\left(1/n^2\right). \quad (2.1)$$

Thus, using the orthogonality of  $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , we can conclude that

$$c \|f\|_2 \leq \|Wf\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} \leq C \|f\|_2.$$

It remains to prove that  $W$  is onto.

Given  $u \in \mathcal{W}^2$ , the ellipticity of the Helmholtz operator implies, in particular, that  $u$  is smooth in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If we consider the Fourier series representation of  $u(r, \theta)$ ,

$$u(r, \theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n(r) e^{in\theta},$$

the smoothness of  $u$  implies that the coefficients

$$A_n(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} u(r, \theta) e^{-in\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad (2.2)$$

satisfy the following:

For each  $k, l \geq 0$ , the series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n^k \left| \frac{d^l A_n}{dr^l}(r) \right|$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $(0, \infty)$ . Thus, the Helmholtz operator written in polar coordinates,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + 1$$

can be applied term by term.

We obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( r^2 A_n''(r) + r A_n'(r) + (r^2 - n^2) A_n(r) \right) e^{in\theta} = 0.$$

The orthogonality of the functions  $e_n(\theta)$ , implies that for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the function  $A_n(r)$  satisfies the Bessel equation of order  $n$ ,

$$r^2 A_n''(r) + r A_n'(r) + (r^2 - n^2) A_n(r) = 0.$$

Then,  $A_n$  can be written as a linear combination,

$$A_n(r) = a_n J_n(r) + b_n N_n(r),$$

where  $N_n(r)$  is the Neumann function of order  $n$  (see [4, p. 960]). Since  $N_n$  has a singularity at  $r = 0$  and  $A_n(r)$  is bounded, it follows that  $b_n = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We claim that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2 \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2$ .

In fact, by (1.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < |n| < N} |a_n|^2 &\leq C \sum_{0 < |n| < N} \int_1^\infty |a_n n|^2 J_n^2(r) \frac{dr}{r^2} \\ &= C \sum_{|n| < N} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^R |a_n n|^2 J_n^2(r) \frac{dr}{r^2} \\ &\leq C \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^R \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n n|^2 J_n^2(r) \frac{dr}{r^2} \\ &= C \iint_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta) \right|^2 d\theta \frac{dr}{r^2} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in (2.2) with  $n = 0$  and integrating both sides of the resulting inequality on  $(1, \infty)$  with respect to  $\frac{dr}{r^2}$ , we obtain

$$|a_0|^2 \int_1^\infty J_0^2(r) \frac{dr}{r^2} \leq \iint_{\Omega} |u(r, \theta)|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \frac{dr}{r^2}.$$

Since  $0 < \int_1^\infty \frac{J_0^2(r)}{r^2} dr < \infty$ , we have

$$|a_0|^2 \leq \left( 2\pi \int_1^\infty \frac{J_0^2(r)}{r^2} dr \right)^{-1} \iint_{\Omega} |u(r, \theta)|^2 d\theta \frac{dr}{r^2}.$$

Finally,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2 \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2.$$

We conclude that  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e_n$  belongs to  $L^2(T)$  and  $u = Wf$ .  $\square$

We will now construct the reproducing kernel for  $\mathcal{W}^2$ , as a subspace of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^2$ .

In the proof of Theorem 1, we observed that if we set  $\beta_n = \|F_n\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}$ , the family  $\{\beta_n^{-1} F_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{W}^2$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(x, y) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{F_n(x) \overline{F_n(y)}}{\beta_n^2} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{J_n(r) J_n(s)}{\beta_n^2} e^{in(\theta - \varphi)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

In (2.3) and in the rest of this article,  $(r, \theta)$  and  $(s, \varphi)$  will denote the polar coordinates of points  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , respectively.

By (1.5), the series (2.3) that defines  $\mathcal{K}(x, y)$ , converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Notice that by (1.3), we have

$$\beta_n = \beta_{-n},$$

and  $\mathcal{K}(x, y)$  is real and symmetric.

Also, from (1.4), we have that  $\mathcal{K}(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{W}^2$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , with the series

$$\mathcal{K}(x, \cdot) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{F_n(x)}{\beta_n^2} \overline{F_n}$$

converging in  $\mathcal{W}^2$ .

The orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{H}^2$  onto  $\mathcal{W}^2$  is given by

$$\mathcal{P}u = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\langle u, \beta_n^{-1} F_n \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2} \beta_n^{-1} F_n$$

with convergence in  $\mathcal{W}^2$  and also pointwise.

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  fixed we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}u(x) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\langle u, \beta_n^{-2} \overline{F_n(x)} F_n \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2} = \left\langle u, \overline{\mathcal{K}(x, \cdot)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2} \\ &= \iint_{\Omega} \left[ \mathcal{K}(x, y) u(y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{K}(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \mathcal{K}(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} u(y) \right] \frac{dy}{s^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We would like to write the kernel  $\mathcal{K}(x, y)$  in a closed form. We will be able to do it up to composition with a topological isomorphism of  $\mathcal{W}^2$ . More precisely we can prove the following.

**Lemma 2.**

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the Fourier multiplier operator defined by the sequence  $(\beta_n^2)$ . That is,

$$\mathcal{M} \left( \sum \alpha_n e^{in\theta} \right) = \sum \beta_n^2 \alpha_n e^{in\theta},$$

for any trigonometric polynomial  $\sum \alpha_n e^{in\theta}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a topological isomorphism of  $\mathcal{W}^2$  onto itself. Moreover, the kernel of the composition  $\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{P}$  is the function  $J_0(|x - y|)$ .

**Proof.** Notice that for some constants  $c, C > 0$ , we have

$$c \leq \beta_n^2 \leq C .$$

Then it is clear that the action of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{W}^2$  defined by

$$\mathcal{M} \left( J_n(r) e^{in\theta} \right) = \beta_n^2 J_n(r) e^{in\theta}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is a topological isomorphism. In particular, we have that (see [4, p. 992])

$$\mathcal{MK}(x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J_n(r) J_n(s) e^{in(\theta - \varphi)} = J_0(|x - y|)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  may be thought of as acting on  $\theta$  or on  $\varphi$ , since  $\beta_n = \beta_{-n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The kernel  $J_0(|x - y|)$  has the same properties as  $\mathcal{K}$ . Hence it defines a continuous operator  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  from  $\mathcal{H}^2$  into itself, given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}u(x) = \iint_{\Omega} \left[ J_0(|x - y|)u(y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} J_0(|x - y|) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u(y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} J_0(|x - y|) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} u(y) \right] \frac{dy}{s^3} .$$

It is easy to verify that the operators  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{P}$  coincide on the space  $\mathcal{H}_0$  defined as the linear span of the set  $\{A(r)e^{in\theta} : A \in C_c^\infty(0, \infty)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}^2$ , we conclude that  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{P}$ .  $\square$

### 3. The Space $\mathcal{W}^p$

#### Theorem 2.

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then,

- (1)  $\mathcal{W}^p$  is a Banach space.
- (2) The linear span of  $\{J_n(r)e^{in\theta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{W}^p$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $\Phi(x, y)$  be the fundamental solution of the Helmholtz equation in two dimensions [1, p. 341] and [2, p. 106]), given as

$$\Phi(x, y) = \frac{i}{4} (J_0(|x - y|) + iN_0(|x - y|)) .$$

Let  $D_R$  be the open disk centered at the origin with radius  $R > 1$ . If  $v \in \mathcal{W}^p$  and  $x \in D_R$ , then for  $l \in [2R, 3R]$  we can write (see [1] and [2])

$$v(x) = \int_{|y|=l} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial s}(y) \Phi(x, y) - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s}(x, y) v(y) \right) d\sigma(y) , \quad (3.1)$$

where  $d\sigma$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $|y| = l$ . Integrating both sides of the equation above with respect to  $l$  on  $[2R, 3R]$ , we obtain

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{R} \iint_{2R \leq |y| \leq 3R} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial s}(y) \Phi(x, y) - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s}(x, y) v(y) \right) dy . \quad (3.2)$$

Using the reproducing formula (3.2), we can now show that  $\mathcal{W}^p$  is complete.

Let  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{W}^p$  converging to  $u \in \mathcal{H}^p$ . The function  $\Phi(x, y)$  is smooth in  $\overline{D_R} \times (\overline{D_{3R}} \setminus D_{2R})$ . Moreover, for each  $y \in \overline{D_{3R}} \setminus D_{2R}$ , the functions  $\Phi(\cdot, y)$  and  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s}(\cdot, y)$  satisfy the Helmholtz equation. Thus, we can conclude that the limit function  $u$  satisfies (3.2) and it is a solution of the Helmholtz equation in  $D_R$ , for every  $R > 1$ . It follows that  $u \in \mathcal{W}^p$ , and we have proved that  $\mathcal{W}^p$  is closed in  $\mathcal{H}^p$ .

(2) Let

$$K_N(\theta) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N+1}\right) e^{in\theta}$$

be the Féjer kernel.

Given  $u \in \mathcal{W}^p$ , the proof of the surjectivity in Theorem 1 shows that we can write

$$u(r, \theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n J_n(r) e^{in\theta}$$

for some  $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , where the convergence is absolute and uniform in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Consider now

$$\begin{aligned} u_N(r, \theta) &= K_N * (u(r, \cdot))(\theta) \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{n=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N+1}\right) a_n J_n(r) e^{in\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we clearly have that  $u_N \in \mathcal{W}^p$ . The fast decay of  $a_n J_n(r)$ , uniform with respect to  $r$  in compact subsets of  $(0, \infty)$ , implies that  $u_N$  converges to  $u$  uniformly in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as well. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_N^p(r) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ |u_N(r, \theta) - u(r, \theta)|^p + \left| \frac{\partial (u_N - u)}{\partial r}(r, \theta) \right|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \frac{\partial (u_N - u)}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta) \right|^p \right] d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  inside the convolution that defines  $u_N(r, \theta)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_N^p(r) &\leq C \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ |u(r, \theta)|^p + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(r, \theta) \right|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta) \right|^p \right] d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By the dominated convergence theorem it follows that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^\infty \Psi_N^p(r) \frac{dr}{r^2} = 0.$$

That is, we have shown that  $u_N$  converges to  $u$  in  $\mathcal{W}^p$ .  $\square$

In general, the operator  $\mathcal{P}$  cannot be extended to a continuous operator from  $\mathcal{H}^p$  into  $\mathcal{W}^p$ . In fact, Proposition 2 below shows that  $\mathcal{P}$  cannot be extended to a continuous operator from  $\mathcal{H}^p$  into itself, for  $p < 4/3$ . However, the next two results will show that  $\mathcal{P}$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{H}^p$  into  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$  and that it has a reproducing property, namely, given  $u \in \mathcal{H}^p$  the function  $u \in \mathcal{W}^p$  if and only if  $\mathcal{P}u = u$ .



**Proposition 1.**

The operator  $\mathcal{P}$  has a continuous extension from  $\mathcal{H}^p$  into  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$ .

**Proof.** As observed in (2.1), for  $n \neq 0$

$$\beta_n^2 = 2 + O\left(1/n^2\right),$$

then

$$\beta_n^{-2} = 1/2 + O\left(1/n^2\right).$$

Hence, the Fourier multiplier operators  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{-1}$  are continuous in  $L^p(T)$  for every  $1 < p < \infty$  (see for example [6, Prop. 4.1, Ch. V]). They also may be thought of as acting continuously on  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$ , starting with their natural action on  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , which is also dense in  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$ . In fact, by Fubini's theorem we can find constants  $c, C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} c \left\| \sum_n A_n(r) e^{in\theta} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)} &\leq \left\| \sum_n \beta_n^2 A_n(r) e^{in\theta} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)} \\ &\leq C \left\| \sum_n A_n(r) e^{in\theta} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)}, \end{aligned}$$

for every finite sum  $\sum_n A_n(r) e^{in\theta}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

We observe that  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}^p$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then the claimed continuity of  $\mathcal{P}$  will be proved once we prove this continuity for  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{P}$ , originally defined on  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . To this end we shall prove the continuity on  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$  of the operators  $M_1, M_2$  and  $M_3$  with kernels  $J_0(|x-y|)$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} J_0(|x-y|)$ , and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} J_0(|x-y|)$ , respectively. We have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad |J_0(|x-y|)| &\leq \frac{C}{(1+|x-y|)^{1/2}}; \\ (b) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} J_0(|x-y|) \right| &= \left| J_1(|x-y|) \frac{(x-y) \cdot y}{|x-y||y|} \right| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|x-y|)^{1/2}}; \text{ and} \\ (c) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} J_0(|x-y|) \right| &= \left| J_1(|x-y|) \frac{(x-y) \cdot iy}{|x-y|} \right| = \left| J_1(|x-y|) \frac{x \cdot iy}{|x-y|} \right| \leq \frac{C|x||y|}{(1+|x-y|)^{3/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the above inequalities, one observes that

$$|J_n(r)| \leq C_n r^{-1/2}$$

for  $r > 0$ , and that the function  $J_n(r)$  has a zero of order  $n$  at  $r = 0$ .

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 1, we see that the integral

$$\iint_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1+|x-y|)^{1/2}} \frac{dy}{|y|^3}$$

is a bounded function of  $x \in \Omega$ .

Since the kernel  $\frac{1}{(1+|x-y|)^{1/2}}$  is symmetric, we can use Schur's lemma to conclude that it defines a bounded operator on  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . As a consequence,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  have the same continuity property. Finally, we consider the operator  $M_3$ . According to the estimate (c) above, it suffices to prove that the operator  $T_3$  with kernel  $\frac{|x||y|}{(1+|x-y|)^{3/2}}$  is a bounded operator from  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$  into itself for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

We have the following estimates, for every  $x \in \Omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |T_3 f(x)|^p &= \left| \iint_{\Omega} \frac{|x| |y|}{(1 + |x - y|)^{3/2}} f(y) \frac{dy}{|y|^3} \right|^p \\ &\leq \left( \iint_{\Omega} \frac{|x| |y|}{(1 + |x - y|)^{3/2}} |f(y)| \frac{dy}{|y|^3} \right)^p \\ &= |x|^p \left( \iint_{\Omega} \frac{|y|}{(1 + |x - y|)^{3/2}} |f(y)| \frac{dy}{|y|^3} \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality to this last integral, we obtain

$$\leq |x|^p \left( \iint_{\Omega} \frac{|y|}{(1 + |x - y|)^{3/2}} \frac{dy}{|y|^3} \right)^{p/p'} \iint_{\Omega} \frac{|y| |f(y)|^p}{(1 + |x - y|)^{3/2} |y|^3} dy.$$

Using Lemma 1, we can estimate the above by

$$\leq C |x|^p \left( \frac{\log |x|}{|x|^{3/2}} \right)^{p/p'} \iint_{\Omega} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{(1 + |x - y|)^{3/2} |y|^2} dy.$$

Then fixing  $0 < \beta < 1/2$  we obtain from  $\frac{\log |x|}{|x|^{3/2}} \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{1+\beta}}$ ,

$$|T_3 f(x)|^p \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\beta(p-1)-1}} \iint_{\Omega} \frac{|f(y)|^p}{(1 + |x - y|)^{3/2} |y|^2} dy.$$

By Fubini's Theorem and Lemma 1, we finally have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} |T_3 f(x)|^p \frac{dx}{|x|^3} &\leq C \iint_{\Omega} |f(y)|^p \frac{dy}{|y|^2} \iint_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x - y|)^{3/2} |x|^{\beta(p-1)+2}} \\ &\leq C \iint_{\Omega} |f(y)|^p \frac{dy}{|y|^{2+3/2}} \\ &\leq C \iint_{\Omega} |f(y)|^p \frac{dy}{|y|^3}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the continuity of  $M_3$  for any  $p > 1$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the reproducing property mentioned previously.

**Theorem 3.**

Given  $u \in \mathcal{H}^p$ , the function  $u \in \mathcal{W}^p$  if and only if  $\mathcal{P}u = u$ .

**Proof.** Given  $u \in \mathcal{H}^p$ , we claim that the function  $\mathcal{P}u(x)$  is well defined for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and it satisfies the Helmholtz equation on the plane. In fact, as shown by (2.4), the operator  $\mathcal{P}$  consists of three terms. Let us consider first

$$\iint_{\Omega} \mathcal{K}(x, y) u(y) \frac{dy}{s^3} = \iint_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{J_n(r) J_n(s)}{\beta_n^2} e^{in(\theta - \varphi)} \right) u(y) \frac{dy}{s^3}. \quad (3.3)$$

Using the uniform estimate [5, Lemma 3.4]

$$|J_n(s)| \leq Cs^{-1/3},$$

valid for  $s \geq 1$ , we obtain that the norms  $\|F_n\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)}$  are uniformly bounded. Then, the estimate (1.5) implies that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , the integrand in (3.3) belongs to  $L^1(\Omega, |y|^{-3} dy)$ . Moreover, its norm is bounded uniformly with respect to  $x$  in each compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

This argument can be applied to the other two terms in the representation of  $\mathcal{P}u$  given by (2.4). Moreover, it is legitimate to take derivatives of  $\mathcal{P}u$  of any order under the integral sign. Since  $\mathcal{K}(\cdot, y)$  satisfies the Helmholtz equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for each  $y \in \Omega$ , so does  $\mathcal{P}u$ . Then, we can conclude that  $u \in \mathcal{W}^p$  if we assume that  $\mathcal{P}u = u$ .

To prove the converse, we recall that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a continuous projection from  $\mathcal{H}^2$  onto  $\mathcal{W}^2$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{P}u = u$  for each  $u$  in the linear span of  $\{J_n(r)e^{in\theta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . According to Theorem 2, this linear span is dense in  $\mathcal{W}^p$ . Thus, Proposition 1 implies that  $\mathcal{P}u = u$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{W}^p$ .  $\square$

### Proposition 2.

*The operator  $\mathcal{P}$  cannot be extended to a bounded operator in  $\mathcal{H}^p$  for any  $1 < p < 4/3$ .*

**Proof.** As in the proof of Proposition 1, we can show that  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{-1}$  are continuous operators in  $\mathcal{H}^p$ , for  $1 < p < \infty$ , assuming that they are initially defined on the linear span of  $\{J_n(r)e^{in\theta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Thus, the operators  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  will have the same continuity properties on  $\mathcal{H}^p$ .

We observe that  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}^p$ . Let  $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . To estimate  $\|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}u\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}$  we have to calculate the norm in  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}u$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}u$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}u$ .

According to the proof of Proposition 1, the kernels  $J_0(|x-y|)$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}J_0(|x-y|)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}J_0(|x-y|)$  define continuous operators on  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$ .

It follows that

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}u\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}.$$

The function  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}u$  involves the kernels  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}J_0(|x-y|)$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial s}J_0(|x-y|)$  and  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial \varphi}J_0(|x-y|)$ . These kernels are all bounded in modulus by a constant multiple of  $\frac{|x||y|}{(1+|x-y|)^{3/2}}$ , which is the kernel of the operator  $T_3$  that we used in the proof of Proposition 1. Thus we have also

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}u \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}.$$

Finally, we need to consider the function  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}u$ . This function is again the sum of three terms

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}u &= \iint_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} J_0(|x-y|)u(y) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial s} J_0(|x-y|) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta \partial \varphi} J_0(|x-y|) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} u(y) \right] \frac{dy}{s^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Repeating the argument above, we can prove that the kernels  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}J_0(|x-y|)$  and  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial s}J_0(|x-y|)$  define continuous operators on  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$ .

The last term in (3.4) is an integral operator evaluated in  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}u$ , with kernel

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \varphi} J_0(|x-y|) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[ \frac{J_1(|x-y|)}{|x-y|} x \cdot iy \right] \\ &= \frac{J_1(|x-y|)}{|x-y|} ix \cdot iy + \frac{J_2(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^2} (y \cdot ix) (x \cdot iy). \end{aligned}$$

Once again, the term  $\frac{J_1(|x-y|)}{|x-y|}ix \cdot iy$  can be handled by the above argument.

Recalling that  $J_2(t)$  has a zero of order 2 at  $t = 0$ , the asymptotic expansion [4, p. 972]

$$J_2(t) = \sqrt{2/\pi t} \cos(t - 3\pi/4) + O(t^{-3/2}),$$

and the relations  $(x - y) \cdot ix = x \cdot iy = (x - y) \cdot iy$ , we can write

$$\frac{J_2(|x - y|)}{|x - y|^2} (y \cdot ix) (x \cdot iy) = L(x, y) + \sqrt{2/\pi} \frac{\cos(|x - y| - 3\pi/4)}{(1 + |x - y|)^{5/2}} (x \cdot iy)^2,$$

with

$$|L(x, y)| \leq \frac{C |x| |y|}{(1 + |x - y|)^{3/2}}.$$

Hence, we obtain a representation of the integral operator

$$\iint_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta \partial \varphi} J_0(|x - y|) f(y) \frac{dy}{s^3} = Rf(x) + Tf(x),$$

where  $R$  is a bounded operator in  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$  and

$$Tf(x) = \iint_{\Omega} \frac{\cos(|x - y| - 3\pi/4)}{(1 + |x - y|)^{5/2}} (x \cdot iy)^2 f(y) \frac{dy}{|y|^3}.$$

The discontinuity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  will come from the term  $T(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \varphi})$  as we shall see.

To this end, we write  $(x \cdot iy)^2 = |x|^2 |y|^2 \sin^2(\alpha(x, y))$ , where  $\alpha(x, y)$  is any choice of the angle between  $x$  and  $y$ .

For every positive integer  $m$ , let  $D_m$  be the disk of center  $(0, 2\pi m)$  and fixed radius  $\varepsilon \leq \pi/8$ . For  $k \geq m$  let  $A_k$  be the region in the first quadrant between the circles centered at  $(0, 2\pi m)$  with radii  $2\pi k + \frac{3\pi}{4}$  and  $2\pi k + \frac{7\pi}{8}$  respectively, below the diagonal and above the horizontal line that passes through  $(0, 2\pi m)$ . Let  $\psi$  be a nonnegative smooth function supported in the disk centered at the origin with radius  $\varepsilon$  such that  $1/2 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1$  for  $|x| \leq \varepsilon/2$ . Define

$$f_m(x) = \psi(x + (0, 2\pi m)).$$

For every  $y \in D_m$  and  $x \in A_k$  we have that  $ck \leq |x| \leq Ck$ ,  $cm \leq |y| \leq Cm$  and  $ck \leq |x - y| \leq Ck$  for some positive constants  $c$  and  $C$ . We also have that  $\sin^2(\alpha(x, y)) \geq c$  and  $\cos(|x - y| - \frac{3\pi}{4}) \geq c$ , for  $c$  small enough.

Hence, for  $x \in A_k$

$$\begin{aligned} |Tf_m(x)| &\geq c|x|^2 \iint_{D_m} \frac{f_m(y)}{|x - y|^{5/2} |y|} dy \\ &\geq c|x|^2 k^{-5/2} m^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{A_k} \frac{|Tf_m(x)|^p}{|x|^3} dx &\geq ck^{-5p/2} m^{-p} \iint_{A_k} |x|^{2p-3} dx \\ &\geq ck^{2p-3} k^{-5p/2} m^{-p} |A_k| \geq ck^{-p/2-2} m^{-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding on  $k$  we obtain the estimate

$$\|Tf_m\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)} \geq cm^{-3/2-1/p}. \quad (3.5)$$

Now consider the Fourier series expansion of  $f_m$  in polar coordinates

$$f_m = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n^{(m)}(r) e^{in\theta} .$$

It is easy to see that  $|f_m|$  and  $|\nabla f_m|$  are uniformly bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then, since the distance of  $D_m$  to the origin is of order  $m$ , it is not hard to see that for every  $r > 1$ ,

$$\left| A_0^{(m)}(r) \right| + \left| A_0^{(m)'}(r) \right| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f_m \left( r e^{i\theta} \right) \right| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \nabla f_m \left( r e^{i\theta} \right) \right| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq \frac{C}{m} .$$

Hence, the following estimates hold

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_m\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)}^p + \|\nabla f_m\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)}^p &= O(m^{-3}) , \\ \|A_0^{(m)}\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)}^p + \|A_0^{(m)'}\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)}^p &= O(m^{-3}) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Thus

$$\left\| \sum_{n \neq 0} A_n^{(m)}(r) e^{in\theta} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)}^p + \left\| \sum_{n \neq 0} A_n^{(m)'}(r) e^{in\theta} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)}^p = C m^{-3} . \quad (3.7)$$

Finally, we define

$$u_m = m^{3/p} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{A_n^{(m)}(r)}{in} e^{in\theta} .$$

From (3.6), (3.7), and the  $L^p$  continuity of the Fourier multiplier (see [6, Prop. 4.1, Ch. V])

$$\lambda_n = \begin{cases} 1/n : n \neq 0 \\ 0 : n = 0 \end{cases}$$

it follows that

$$\|u_m\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq C . \quad (3.8)$$

Since for every  $x \in \Omega$

$$\iint_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} J_0(|x-y|) A_0^{(m)}(s) \frac{dy}{s^3} = 0 ,$$

then (3.5) and (3.8) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \iint_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} J_0(|\cdot - y|) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} u_m(y) \frac{dy}{s^3} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)} \\ &= \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \iint_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} J_0(|\cdot - y|) m^{3/p} f_m(y) \frac{dy}{s^3} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)} \\ &= \left\| R \left( m^{3/p} f_m \right) + T \left( m^{3/p} f_m \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)} \\ &\geq m^{3/p} \|T f_m\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)} - \left\| R \left( m^{3/p} f_m \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)} \\ &\geq C m^{3/p} \|T f_m\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)} \geq C m^{2/p-3/2} , \end{aligned}$$

valid for  $m$  large enough, where we have used that  $R$  is a continuous operator so that  $\|R(m^{3/p} f_m)\|_{L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)}$  is a bounded sequence, and  $2/p - 3/2 > 0$ . Since  $\{u_m\}_{m \geq 0}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{H}^p$ , this completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Notice that by a standard duality argument, we can conclude that the operator  $T$  in the proof of Proposition 2 cannot be extended to a bounded operator in  $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-3} dx)$  for  $|1/p - 1/2| < 1/4$ . However, we were not able to conclude anything about the continuity of  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{H}^p$  for  $p > 4$ , or  $p \in [4/3, 4] \setminus \{2\}$ .

## References

- [1] Chen, G. and Zhou, J. (1992). *Boundary Element Methods*, Series Computational Mathematics and Applications, Academic Press.,
- [2] Colton, R. and Kress, R. (1992). *Integral Equation Methods in Scattering Theory*, Kreiger Publishing Company, Malabar, FL.
- [3] González-Casanova, P. and Wolf, K.B. (1995). Interpolation for solutions of the Helmholtz equation, *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, **11**, 77–91.
- [4] Gradshteyn, I.M. and Ryzhik, I.M. (1994). *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press.
- [5] Guo, K. (1997). A uniform  $L^p$  estimate of Bessel functions and distributions supported on  $S^{n-1}$ , *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **125**, 1329–1340.
- [6] Torchinsky, A. (1986). *Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*, Academic Press.
- [7] Watson, G.N. (1995). *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press.

---

Received August 31, 1999

Department of Mathematics, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM 88003, USA  
e-mail: jalvarez@nmsu.edu

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, México D.F., 04510, México  
e-mail: folchgab@matem.unam.mx

Instituto de Matemáticas Unidad Cuernavaca, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, A.P. 273-3 ADMON 3,  
Cuernavaca, Mor., 62251, México  
e-mail: salvador@matcuer.unam.mx