



## Blow-up time estimates in porous medium equations with nonlinear boundary conditions

Juntang Ding  and Xuhui Shen

**Abstract.** In this paper, we consider the blow-up problem of the following porous medium equations with nonlinear boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u^m + k(t)f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g(u) & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

where  $m > 1$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is a bounded convex domain with smooth boundary. Under appropriate assumptions on the data, a criterion is given to guarantee that solution  $u$  blows up at finite time, and an upper bound for blow-up time is derived. Moreover, a lower bound for blow-up time is also obtained.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 35B44, 35K65.

**Keywords.** Blowup, Porous medium equation, Lower bound.

### 1. Introduction

Porous medium equations as representative examples of parabolic equations have been widely studied by many authors [1, 5–7, 14, 23, 26]. There are a lot of physical applications where porous medium model appears in a natural way to describe processes involving diffusion or heat transfer. The best known of them is the description of the gas or fluid in porous media [24, 28].

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the blow-up phenomena of the following porous medium problems

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u^m + k(t)f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g(u) & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $m > 1$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is a bounded convex domain with smooth boundary,  $t^*$  is the blow-up time,  $\partial/\partial\nu$  is the outward normal derivative on  $\partial\Omega$ . Set  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$ . We suppose, in this paper, that  $f$  and  $g$  are nonnegative  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$  functions, and  $k$  is a positive  $C^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$  function, and  $u_0(x)$  is a nonnegative  $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  function satisfying the compatibility condition.

At present, many articles are known for the study of blow-up phenomena for the parabolic equations (for instance, [8, 10–13, 16–18, 25, 27]). Recently, some new developments have been made in the study of the blow-up time estimates for parabolic equations under nonlinear boundary conditions. We refer to [9, 15, 20–22]. In order to investigate the blow-up problems of (1.1), we focus on the papers [15, 20, 22]. In [22], Payne and Schaefer studied the following problems

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g(u) & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is a bounded convex domain with smooth boundary. When  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , authors got a lower bound estimate for the blow-up time under the suitable conditions on the nonlinearities. In addition, when  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), authors derived a sufficient condition to guarantee that solution blows up and obtained an upper bound for  $t^*$ . Payne et al. [20] considered the following problems

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g(u) & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is a bounded convex domain with smooth boundary. They established conditions on data sufficient to ensure that solution  $u$  exists for all time  $t$  as well as conditions on data forcing  $u$  to blow up in finite time  $t^*$ . Moreover, an upper bound for  $t^*$  was obtained. Under more restrictive conditions, when  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , a lower bound for  $t^*$  was derived. Li and Li [15] studied the following problems

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} - f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}\nu_j = g(u) & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Under certain conditions on data, they showed that the solution blows up or remains global for  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). For  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , a lower bound for blow-up time was also derived.

Inspired by the above research, we study the blow-up phenomena of (1.1). The crucial idea in the paper is to make use of the Sobolev inequality in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) and a modified differential inequality technique. The key to using this method is to construct some suitable auxiliary functions. However, we find that the auxiliary functions defined in [15, 20, 22] seem to be no longer applicable to (1.1). As an innovation of this paper, we need to construct new auxiliary functions to complete our research.

We proceed as follows. In Sect. 2, when  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), we establish conditions to guarantee that the solution  $u$  blows up in finite time and derive an upper bound for  $t^*$ . In Sect. 3, when  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), a lower bound for  $t^*$  is obtained when blowup does occur. Section 4 is devoted to presenting an example to illustrate abstract results of this paper.

## 2. An upper bound for $t^*$

We seek, in this section, the sufficient conditions on data under which the nonnegative classical solution of (1.1) blows up at finite time  $t^*$ . To this end, we assume that functions  $f$  and  $k$  satisfy

$$f(s) \geq as^q, \quad s \geq 0, \quad k(t) \geq r, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $a, r, q$  are positive constants and

$$q > m. \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $\lambda_1$  be the first eigenvalue and  $\omega_1$  be the corresponding eigenfunction of the following fixed membrane problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\omega + \lambda\omega = 0, \quad \omega > 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \omega = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

with

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega^2 dx = 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Inspired by [3, 4, 29], we define the auxiliary function of the form

$$B(t) = \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u dx, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.1 is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $u$  be a nonnegative classical solution of problem (1.1). Suppose (2.1) and (2.2) hold. In addition, we also assume that the initial value  $u_0$  satisfies*

$$arB^{q-m}(0) - 2\lambda_1 > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Then, the solution  $u$  blows up at  $t^*$  in measure  $B(t)$  with

$$t^* \leq \int_{B(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{d\eta}{ar\eta^q - 2\lambda_1\eta^m}.$$

*Proof.* Differentiating  $B(t)$  and using (2.1), (2.3), and the divergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B'(t) &= \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u_t dx = \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 [\Delta u^m + k(t)f(u)] dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 \Delta u^m dx + ak(t) \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \Delta \omega_1^2 u^m dx + ak(t) \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\Omega} u^m |\nabla \omega_1|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \omega_1 u^m \Delta \omega_1 dx + ak(t) \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\Omega} u^m |\nabla \omega_1|^2 dx - 2\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^m dx + ak(t) \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \\ &\geq -2\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^m dx + ar \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

It follows from (2.2), (2.4), and the Hölder inequality that

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^m dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \right)^{\frac{m}{q}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 dx \right)^{\frac{q-m}{q}} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \right)^{\frac{m}{q}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Inserting (2.7) into (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} B'(t) &\geq -2\lambda_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \right)^{\frac{m}{q}} + ar \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \right)^{\frac{m}{q}} \left( ar \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \right)^{\frac{q-m}{q}} - 2\lambda_1 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 dx \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{2.9}$$

we can rewrite (2.8) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} B'(t) &\geq \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \right)^{\frac{m}{q}} \left( ar \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u dx \right)^{q-m} - 2\lambda_1 \right) \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q dx \right)^{\frac{m}{q}} (ar B^{q-m}(t) - 2\lambda_1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

We note that (2.5) means

$$ar B^{q-m}(t) - 2\lambda_1 > 0, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.11}$$

In fact, if inequality (2.11) does not hold, then we let

$$t_1 = \min \{ t > 0 \mid ar B^{q-m}(t) - 2\lambda_1 \leq 0 \}. \tag{2.12}$$

We deduce

$$ar B^{q-m}(t) - 2\lambda_1 > 0, \quad 0 \leq t < t_1.$$

By (2.10), we have

$$B'(t) > 0, \quad 0 \leq t < t_1,$$

from which and (2.5), we get

$$B(t_1) > B(0) > \left( \frac{2\lambda_1}{ar} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-m}}.$$

Hence

$$ar B^{q-m}(t_1) - 2\lambda_1 > 0,$$

which contradicts with (2.12). This contradiction shows that inequality (2.11) holds.

Now, inserting (2.9) into (2.10) and using (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B'(t) &\geq \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u^q \right)^{\frac{m}{q}} (ar B^{q-m}(t) - 2\lambda_1) \geq \left( \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u dx \right)^m (ar B^{q-m}(t) - 2\lambda_1) \\ &= ar B^q(t) - 2\lambda_1 B^m(t) > 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

We integrate (2.13) over  $[0, t]$  to obtain

$$t \leq \int_{B(0)}^{B(t)} \frac{d\eta}{ar\eta^q - 2\lambda_1\eta^m}. \tag{2.14}$$

Inequality (2.14) implies that solution  $u$  blows up at finite time  $t^*$  in the measure  $B(t)$ . In fact, if the solution  $u$  remains global in the measure  $B(t)$ , we have

$$B(t) < +\infty, \quad t \geq 0$$

and

$$t \leq \int_{B(0)}^{B(t)} \frac{d\eta}{ar\eta^q - 2\lambda_1\eta^m} < \int_{B(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{d\eta}{ar\eta^q - 2\lambda_1\eta^m}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, letting  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , we derive

$$\int_{B(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{d\eta}{ar\eta^q - 2\lambda_1\eta^m} = +\infty.$$

The fact that  $q > m > 1$  and  $B(0) > 0$  implies

$$\int_{B(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{d\eta}{ar\eta^q - 2\lambda_1\eta^m} < +\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $u$  blows up at finite time  $t^*$  in the measure  $B(t)$ . Passing to the limit as  $t \rightarrow t^*$  in (2.14), we get

$$t^* \leq \int_{B(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{d\eta}{ar\eta^q - 2\lambda_1\eta^m}.$$

□

### 3. A lower bound for $t^*$

We look for, in this section, a lower bound for  $t^*$  by restricting  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ). To achieve it, we suppose that functions  $f, g$ , and  $k$  satisfy

$$f(s) \leq as^q, \quad g(s) \leq bs^p, \quad s \geq 0, \quad k(t) \leq M, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $a, b, p, q, M$  are some positive constants and

$$m + 2p > q + 2, \quad p > 1. \tag{3.2}$$

The auxiliary function is defined as follows

$$\Phi(t) = \int_{\Omega} u^\beta dx$$

with

$$\beta > \max \{1, 3 - m, n(p - 1)\}. \tag{3.3}$$

In this section, we need to use the following Sobolev inequality (see [2], Corollary 9.14, p.284)

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} \left( u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \leq C_s \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-1} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $C_s = C_s(n, \Omega)$  is an embedding constant depending on  $n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) and  $\Omega$ . We state our result in Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $u$  be a nonnegative classical solution of problem (1.1), which becomes unbounded in the measure  $\Phi(t)$  at  $t^*$ . Assume that (3.1)–(3.3) hold. Then, there exist computable positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  such that the blow-up time  $t^*$  is bounded below by*

$$t^* \geq \int_{\Phi(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{C_1 + C_2 \tau^{\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta}}}.$$

*Proof.* Using (3.1), (3.3), and the divergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(t) &= \beta \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta-1} [\Delta u^m + k(t)f(u)] dx \\ &= \beta \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta-1} \nabla \cdot (mu^{m-1} \nabla u) dx + \beta k(t) \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta-1} f(u) dx \\ &= m\beta \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (u^{m+\beta-2} \nabla u) dx - m\beta(\beta-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \beta k(t) \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta-1} f(u) dx \\ &= m\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} u^{m+\beta-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} dS - m\beta(\beta-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \beta k(t) \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta-1} f(u) dx \\ &= m\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} u^{m+\beta-2} g(u) dS - m\beta(\beta-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \beta k(t) \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta-1} f(u) dx \\ &\leq bm\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-2} dS - m\beta(\beta-1) \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + a\beta M \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+q-1} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

We note

$$\frac{4}{(m+\beta-1)^2} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 = u^{m+\beta-3} |\nabla u|^2. \tag{3.6}$$

Inserting (3.6) into (3.5), we get

$$\Phi'(t) \leq bm\beta \int_{\partial\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-2} dS - \frac{4m\beta(\beta-1)}{(m+\beta-1)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx + a\beta M \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+q-1} dx. \tag{3.7}$$

To the first term of right side of (3.7), we apply the Lemma in [15] to obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-2} dS \leq \frac{n}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-2} dx + \frac{(m+\beta+p-2)d}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-3} |\nabla u| dx, \tag{3.8}$$

where  $L_0 = \min_{\partial\Omega} (x \cdot \nu)$  and  $d = \max_{\Omega} |x|$ . By (3.6), the Hölder inequality, and the Young inequality, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-3} |\nabla u| dx &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-3} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \varepsilon_1 \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-3} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{2\varepsilon_1}{(m + \beta - 1)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{L_0(\beta - 1)}{bd(m + \beta + p - 2)}. \tag{3.10}$$

Substituting (3.8)–(3.10) into (3.7), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(t) &\leq bmn\beta \left( \frac{n}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-2} dx + \frac{(m + \beta + p - 2)d}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-3} |\nabla u| dx \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{4m\beta(\beta - 1)}{(m + \beta - 1)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx + a\beta M \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+q-1} dx \\ &\leq \frac{bmn\beta}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-2} dx + \frac{bdm\beta(m + \beta + p - 2)}{L_0} \left( \frac{2\varepsilon_1}{(m + \beta - 1)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \right) - \frac{4m\beta(\beta - 1)}{(m + \beta - 1)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx + a\beta M \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+q-1} dx \\ &= \frac{bmn\beta}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-2} dx + \frac{bdm\beta(m + \beta + p - 2)}{2L_0\varepsilon_1} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{2bdm\beta(m + \beta + p - 2)}{L_0(m + \beta - 1)^2} \varepsilon_1 - \frac{4m\beta(\beta - 1)}{(m + \beta - 1)^2} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx + a\beta M \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+q-1} dx \\ &= \frac{bmn\beta}{L_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-2} dx + \frac{bdm\beta(m + \beta + p - 2)}{2L_0\varepsilon_1} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{2m\beta(\beta - 1)}{(m + \beta - 1)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx + a\beta M \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+q-1} dx. \tag{3.11} \end{aligned}$$

We use the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality to the first and fourth terms of right side of (3.11) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+p-2} dx &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \right)^{\frac{m+\beta+p-2}{m+\beta+2p-3}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-1}{m+\beta+2p-3}} \\ &\leq \frac{m + \beta + p - 2}{m + \beta + 2p - 3} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx + \frac{p - 1}{m + \beta + 2p - 3} |\Omega| \tag{3.12} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta+q-1} dx &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \right)^{\frac{\beta+q-1}{m+\beta+2p-3}} |\Omega|^{\frac{m+2p-q-2}{m+\beta+2p-3}} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta + q - 1}{m + \beta + 2p - 3} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx + \frac{m + 2p - q - 2}{m + \beta + 2p - 3} |\Omega|, \tag{3.13} \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < \frac{m+\beta+p-2}{m+\beta+2p-3} < 1$  and  $0 < \frac{m+2p-q-2}{m+\beta+2p-3} < 1$  in consideration of (3.2) and (3.3), and  $|\Omega|$  is the measure of  $\Omega$ . Inserting (3.12) and (3.13) into (3.11), we have

$$\Phi'(t) \leq A_1 + A_2 \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx - \frac{2m\beta(\beta-1)}{(m+\beta-1)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx, \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{bmn\beta(p-1) + a\beta L_0 M(m+2p-q-2)}{L_0(m+\beta+2p-3)} |\Omega| \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$A_2 = \frac{bmn\beta(m+\beta+p-2) + a\beta M L_0(\beta+q-1)}{L_0(m+\beta+2p-3)} + \frac{b\delta m\beta(m+\beta+p-2)}{2L_0\varepsilon_1}. \tag{3.16}$$

By making use of (3.4) and the Hölder inequality, the second term on the right-hand side of (3.14) can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \\ & \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2n(1-p)+2(m+\beta+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{(m+2p-3)(n-2)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \\ & \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2n(1-p)+2(m+\beta+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( C_s^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-1} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{(m+2p-3)(n-2)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \\ & = C_s^{\frac{2n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2n(1-p)+2(m+\beta+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-1} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where  $0 < \frac{(m+2p-3)(n-2)}{n(m-1)+2\beta} < 1$  in view of (3.3). For (3.17), by using the following basic inequality

$$(j_1 + j_2)^l \leq 2^l (j_1^l + j_2^l), \quad j_1 > 0, \quad j_2 > 0, \quad l > 0,$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \\ & \leq (2C_s^2)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2n(1-p)+2(m+\beta+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-1} dx \right)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \\ & \quad + (2C_s^2)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2n(1-p)+2(m+\beta+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Due to (3.3), we have

$$0 < \frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta} < 1. \tag{3.19}$$



By (3.19) and the Young inequality, the first term of (3.18) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2C_s^2)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2n(1-p)+2(m+\beta+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-1} dx \right)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \\
&= \left( (2C_s^2)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \left( \frac{n(m-1)+2\beta}{n(m+2p-3)} \right)^{-\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta}} \right)^{\frac{2n(1-p)+2\beta}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \\
&\quad \times \left( \frac{n(m-1)+2\beta}{n(m+2p-3)} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-1} dx \right)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \\
&\leq \frac{2n(1-p)+2\beta}{n(m-1)+2\beta} (2C_s^2)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \left( \frac{n(m-1)+2\beta}{n(m+2p-3)} \right)^{-\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta}} \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-1} dx. \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta-1} dx \\
&\leq \left( \frac{m+\beta+2p-3}{2(m+\beta-1)} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \right)^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{m+\beta+2p-3}} \left( \left( \frac{m+\beta+2p-3}{2(m+\beta-1)} \right)^{-\frac{m+\beta-1}{2(p-1)}} |\Omega| \right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{m+\beta+2p-3}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx + \frac{2(p-1)}{m+\beta+2p-3} \left( \frac{m+\beta+2p-3}{2(m+\beta-1)} \right)^{-\frac{m+\beta-1}{2(p-1)}} |\Omega|, \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < \frac{m+\beta-1}{m+\beta+2p-3} < 1$  in view of (3.2) and (3.3). For the second term of (3.18), we apply (3.19) and the Young inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2C_s^2)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{2n(1-p)+2(m+\beta+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \\
&= \left( (2C_s^2)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \varepsilon_2^{-\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta}} \right)^{\frac{2n(1-p)+2\beta}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \\
&\quad \times \left( \varepsilon_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{n(m-1)+2\beta}} \\
&\leq \frac{2n(1-p)+2\beta}{n(m-1)+2\beta} (2C_s^2)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \varepsilon_2^{-\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{n(m + 2p - 3)}{n(m - 1) + 2\beta} \varepsilon_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx, \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_2 = \frac{m\beta(\beta - 1)[n(m - 1) + 2\beta]}{nA_2(m + 2p - 3)(m + \beta - 1)^2}. \tag{3.23}$$

Now inserting (3.20)–(3.22) into (3.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} u^{m+\beta+2p-3} dx \\ & \leq \frac{4n(1-p) + 4\beta}{n(m-1) + 2\beta} (2C_s^2)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \left( \left( \frac{n(m-1) + 2\beta}{n(m+2p-3)} \right)^{-\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} + \varepsilon_2^{-\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta}} + \frac{4(p-1)}{m + \beta + 2p - 3} \left( \frac{m + \beta + 2p - 3}{2(m + \beta - 1)} \right)^{-\frac{m+\beta-1}{2(p-1)}} |\Omega| \\ & \quad + \frac{2n(m + 2p - 3)}{n(m - 1) + 2\beta} \varepsilon_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{\frac{m+\beta-1}{2}}|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

We substitute (3.24) into (3.14) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(t) & \leq A_1 + A_2 \frac{4(p-1)}{m + \beta + 2p - 3} \left( \frac{m + \beta + 2p - 3}{2(m + \beta - 1)} \right)^{-\frac{m+\beta-1}{2(p-1)}} |\Omega| \\ & \quad + A_2 \left[ \frac{4n(1-p) + 4\beta}{n(m-1) + 2\beta} (2C_s^2)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \left( \left( \frac{n(m-1) + 2\beta}{n(m+2p-3)} \right)^{-\frac{n(m-1)+2\beta}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} + \varepsilon_2^{-\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \right) \right] \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\beta} dx \right)^{\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta}} \\ & = C_1 + C_2 \Phi^{\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta}}(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where

$$C_1 = A_1 + A_2 \frac{4(p-1)}{m + \beta + 2p - 3} \left( \frac{m + \beta + 2p - 3}{2(m + \beta - 1)} \right)^{-\frac{m+\beta-1}{2(p-1)}} |\Omega| \tag{3.26}$$

and

$$C_2 = A_2 \frac{4n(1-p) + 4\beta}{n(m-1) + 2\beta} (2C_s^2)^{\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \left( \left( \frac{n(m-1) + 2\beta}{n(m+2p-3)} \right)^{-\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} + \varepsilon_2^{-\frac{n(m+2p-3)}{2n(1-p)+2\beta}} \right). \tag{3.27}$$

Integrating (3.25) from 0 to  $t$ , we have

$$t \geq \int_{\Phi(0)}^{\Phi(t)} \frac{d\tau}{C_1 + C_2 \tau^{\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta}}}.$$

Since  $u$  blows up in measure  $\Phi(t)$  at  $t^*$ , we pass the limits as  $t \rightarrow t^{*-}$  to obtain a lower bound

$$t^* \geq \int_{\Phi(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{C_1 + C_2\tau^{\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta}}},$$

where  $\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta} > 1$  in view of (3.2) and (3.3). □

#### 4. Application

We present an example to demonstrate applications of Theorems 2.1 and 3.1.

*Example 4.1.* Let  $u$  be a nonnegative classical solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u^2 + 10(3 - e^{-t})u^3, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{1}{128}u^2 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ u(x, 0) = \frac{1}{256}|x|^2 + \frac{255}{256} & \text{in } \bar{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid |x|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < 1\}$  be a unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Now

$$f(u) = 10u^3, \quad k(t) = 3 - e^{-t}, \quad g(u) = \frac{1}{128}u^2, \quad u(x, 0) = \frac{1}{256}|x|^2 + \frac{255}{256}, \quad m = 2, \quad n = 3.$$

It follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that  $\lambda_1 = \pi^2$  and  $\omega_1(x) = \frac{\sin \pi|x|}{\sqrt{2\pi}|x|}$ . We then have

$$B(t) = \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u dx = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\sin \pi|x|}{\sqrt{2\pi}|x|} \right)^2 u dx$$

and

$$B(0) = \int_{\Omega} \omega_1^2 u_0 dx = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\sin \pi|x|}{\sqrt{2\pi}|x|} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{256}|x|^2 + \frac{255}{256} \right) dx = 0.9972.$$

By choosing  $a = 10$ ,  $r = 2$ , and  $q = 3$ , we easily check that (2.1), (2.2), and (2.5) hold. From Theorem 2.1, it follows that  $u$  blows up at finite time  $t^*$  in measure  $B(t)$  and

$$t^* \leq \int_{B(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{d\eta}{ar\eta^q - 2\lambda_1\eta^m} = \int_{0.9972}^{+\infty} \frac{d\eta}{20\eta^3 - 2\pi^2\eta^2} = 0.1842, \tag{4.1}$$

which is an upper bound for  $t^*$ .

In order to obtain a lower bound for  $t^*$ , we select  $a = 10$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{128}$ ,  $M = 3$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $q = 3$ , and  $\beta = 8$ . By a simple computation, we have  $L_0 = 1$ ,  $d = 1$ , and  $|\Omega| = \frac{4}{3}\pi$ . It is easy to see that (3.1)–(3.3) are valid. It follows from Theorems 2.1 and 3.2 in [19] that the Sobolev embedding constant  $C_s = 5.6948$ . Putting the above parameters into (3.10), (3.15)–(3.16), (3.23), and (3.26)–(3.27), we get  $\varepsilon_1 = 89.6$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 = 1.0633 \times 10^{-2}$ ,  $A_1 = 91.5346$ ,  $A_2 = 274.5227$ ,  $C_1 = 3926.7819$ , and  $C_2 = 7.4362 \times 10^5$ . Now we have

$$\Phi(t) = \int_{\Omega} u^8 dx$$

and

$$\Phi(0) = \int_{\Omega} u_0^8 dx = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{256} |x|^2 + \frac{255}{256} \right)^8 dx = 4.1369.$$

Since  $u$  blows up in measure  $B(t)$  at  $t^*$ ,  $u$  must blow up in measure  $\Phi(t)$  at  $t^*$ . By Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$t^* \geq \int_{\Phi(0)}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{C_1 + C_2 \tau^{\frac{n(1-p)+m+\beta+2p-3}{n(1-p)+\beta}}} = \int_{4.1369}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau}{3926.7819 + 7.4362 \times 10^5 \tau^{\frac{8}{5}}} = 9.5580 \times 10^{-7}, \quad (4.2)$$

which is a lower bound for  $t^*$ . Combining (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$9.5580 \times 10^{-7} \leq t^* \leq 0.1842.$$

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61473180).

## References

- [1] Aronson, D.G.: The porous medium equation. In: *Nonlinear Diffusion Problems* (Montecatini Terme, 1985), pp. 1–46. *Lecture Notes in Math*, vol. 1224. Springer, Berlin (1986)
- [2] Brezis, H.: *Functional Analysis. Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations* (Universitext). Springer, New York (2011)
- [3] Brezis, H., Cabré, X.: Some simple nonlinear PDE's without solutions. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **1**, 233–262 (1998)
- [4] Coclite, M.M.: On a singular nonlinear Dirichlet problem-II. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **5**, 955–975 (1991)
- [5] Coclite, G.M., Coclite, M.M.: On a model for the evolution of morphogens in a growing tissue II:  $\theta = \log(2)$  case. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **68**, 92–112 (2017)
- [6] Coclite, G.M., Coclite, M.M.: On a model for the evolution of morphogens in a growing tissue III:  $\theta < \log(2)$ . *J. Differ. Equ.* **263**, 1079–1124 (2017)
- [7] Coclite, G.M., Coclite, M.M., Mishra, S.: On a model for the evolution of morphogens in a growing tissue. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **48**, 1575–1615 (2016)
- [8] Ding, J.T., Hu, H.J.: Blow-up and global solutions for a class of nonlinear reaction diffusion equations under Dirichlet boundary conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **433**, 1718–1735 (2016)
- [9] Ding, J.T., Shen, X.H.: Blow-up in  $p$ -Laplacian heat equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **67**, 1–18 (2016)
- [10] Ding, J.T., Shen, X.H.: Blow-up analysis for a class of nonlinear reaction diffusion equations with Robin boundary conditions. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **41**, 1683–1696 (2018)
- [11] Ding, J.T., Shen, X.H.: Blow-up analysis in quasilinear reaction-diffusion problems with weighted nonlocal source. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **75**, 1288–1301 (2018)
- [12] Ding, J.T., Shen, X.H.: Blow-up problems for quasilinear reaction diffusion equations with weighted nonlocal source. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **99**, 1–15 (2017)
- [13] Hu, B.: *Blow-Up Theories for Semilinear Parabolic Equations*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 2018. Springer, Heidelberg (2011)
- [14] Levine, H.A., Payne, L.E.: Nonexistence theorems for the heat equation with nonlinear boundary conditions and for the porous medium equation backward in time. *J. Differ. Equ.* **16**, 319–334 (1974)
- [15] Li, F.S., Li, J.L.: Global existence and blow-up phenomena for nonlinear divergence form parabolic equations with inhomogeneous Neumann boundary. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **385**, 1005–1014 (2012)
- [16] Marras, M., Vernier-Piro, S.: Blow-up time estimates in nonlocal reaction-diffusion systems under various boundary conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2**, 1–16 (2017)
- [17] Marras, M., Vernier-Piro, S., Viglialoro, G.: Lower bounds for blow-up time in a parabolic problem with a gradient term under various boundary conditions. *Kodai Math. J.* **37**, 532–543 (2014)
- [18] Marras, M., Vernier-Piro, S., Viglialoro, G.: Blow-up phenomena for nonlinear pseudo-parabolic equations with gradient term. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **22**, 2291–2300 (2017)

- [19] Mizuguchi, M., Tanaka, K., Sekine, K., Oishi, S.: Estimation of Sobolev embedding constant on a domain dividable into bounded convex domains. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **17**, 1–18 (2017)
- [20] Payne, L.E., Philippin, G.A., Vernier Piro, S.: Blow-up phenomena for a semilinear heat equation with nonlinear boundary condition. I. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **61**, 999–1007 (2010)
- [21] Payne, L.E., Philippin, G.A., Vernier Piro, S.: Blow-up phenomena for a semilinear heat equation with nonlinear boundary condition. II. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **73**, 971–978 (2010)
- [22] Payne, L.E., Schaefer, P.W.: Bounds for the blow-up time for the heat equation under nonlinear boundary conditions. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A* **139**, 1289–1296 (2009)
- [23] Payne, L.E., Straughan, B.: Stability in the initial-time geometry problem for the Brinkman and Darcy equations of flow in porous media. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **75**, 225–271 (1996)
- [24] Rajagopal, K.R.: On a hierarchy of approximate models for flows of incompressible fluids through porous solids. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **17**, 215–252 (2007)
- [25] Straughan, B.: *Explosive Instabilities in Mechanics*. Springer, Berlin (1988)
- [26] Straughan, B.: *Stability and Wave Motion in Porous Media*. Springer, New York (2008)
- [27] Viglialoro, G.: On the blow-up time of a parabolic system with damping terms. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.* **67**, 1223–1232 (2014)
- [28] Vázquez, J.L.: *The Porous Medium Equation: Mathematical Theory*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford (2008)
- [29] Zhao, Z.: Green functions for Schrödinger operator and conditioned Feynman–Kac gauge. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **116**, 309–334 (1986)

Juntang Ding and Xuhui Shen  
School of Mathematical Sciences  
Shanxi University  
Taiyuan 030006  
People's Republic of China  
e-mail: djuntang@sxu.edu.cn

Xuhui Shen  
e-mail: xhuishen@163.com

(Received: January 24, 2018; revised: June 26, 2018)