

REDUCTION OF SYMPLECTIC GROUPOIDS AND QUOTIENTS OF QUASI-POISSON MANIFOLDS

DANIEL ÁLVAREZ

Departamento de Matemáticas
Facultad de Ciencias UNAM
CP 04510, México
verbum@ciencias.unam.mx

Abstract. In this work, we study the integrability of quotients of quasi-Poisson manifolds. Our approach allows us to put several classical results about the integrability of Poisson quotients in a common framework. By categorifying one of the already known methods of reducing symplectic groupoids we also describe double symplectic groupoids, which integrate the recently introduced Poisson groupoid structures on gauge groupoids.

Introduction

One of the main tools in the study of Poisson manifolds is the concept of symplectic groupoid; see [13], [24], [15], [16], [19] for some of its most exciting applications. So a basic problem in Poisson geometry is the construction of interesting examples of symplectic groupoids. Unlike finite-dimensional Lie algebras, which always admit integrations to Lie groups, not every Poisson manifold is “integrable” to a symplectic groupoid [47]. Although general criteria for the integrability of Poisson manifolds (and Lie algebroids in general) were established in [17], [18], in most cases, these conditions do not easily lead to an explicit finite-dimensional construction.

In this paper, we address the problem of describing symplectic groupoids which integrate Poisson manifolds obtained as quotients of Lie groupoid actions on q -Poisson manifolds. The study of the integrability of quotient Poisson structures began with [38], where it was established that the quotient of a symplectic manifold S by a Lie group action is integrable by performing Marsden–Weinstein reduction on the fundamental groupoid of S . Subsequently, it was proven in [22] that the quotient of an integrable Poisson manifold S by a Lie group action by automorphisms is also integrable by a Marsden–Weinstein quotient of the source-simply-connected integration of S . The work in [23, 44] generalized this result for Poisson actions of Poisson groupoids on integrable Poisson manifolds. In this work, we generalize these results even further by considering Poisson quotients of *quasi-Poisson* (q -Poisson) manifolds.

DOI: 10.1007/s00031-022-09700-4

Received December 8, 2020. Accepted June 8, 2021.

Published online March 2, 2022.

Corresponding Author: Daniel Álvarez, e-mail: verbum@ciencias.unam.mx

The study of q -Poisson manifolds began with the finite-dimensional description of Poisson structures on representation varieties provided in [3]. Later on, it was realized that most of the known methods of Poisson reduction by symmetries could be described in terms of an even broader notion of q -Poisson manifold [41]. Roughly, a q -Poisson manifold (in the general sense of [41]) is a manifold endowed with a suitable (global or infinitesimal) action and a bilinear bracket on the space of smooth functions that fails to be Poisson in a way controlled by the action; an important feature is that the orbit space of such an action turns out to carry a genuine Poisson structure, provided it is smooth. Let (S, π) be a q -Poisson manifold for a Lie quasi-bialgebroid (A, δ, χ) such that the moment map $J : S \rightarrow M$ is a surjective submersion. There is a canonical Lie algebroid structure on the conormal bundle C of the A -orbits as long as it is a smooth vector bundle. If the A -action on S integrates to a G -action, where $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a Lie groupoid integrating A , and if the G -action on S is free and proper, then the quotient S/G inherits a canonical Poisson structure σ .

Theorem 0.1. *The Poisson manifold $(S/G, \sigma)$ is integrable if and only if the Lie algebroid C is integrable. Moreover, if $\mathcal{G}(C)$ is the source-simply-connected integration of C , then there is a lifted G -action on $\mathcal{G}(C)$ such that the orbit space $\mathcal{G}(C)/G$ is a symplectic groupoid integrating $(S/G, \sigma)$.*

Theorem 0.1 is a consequence of the integrability of Lie groupoid actions on Lie algebroids established in [39]. We obtain some corollaries about Poisson reduction such as the following. Let G be a Poisson group acting freely and properly by a Poisson action on a Poisson manifold (S, π) . Then the induced Poisson structure on $\bar{S} = S/G$ is integrable if S is integrable [22], [23]. For the original q -Poisson \mathfrak{g} -manifolds of [3] we get a completely analogous result. If (S, π) is a q -Poisson G -manifold, then there is a nonobvious but canonical Lie algebroid structure on T^*S [27]. Theorem 0.1 implies that the Poisson structure induced on $\bar{S} = S/G$ is integrable if T^*S is integrable. In both of these situations, the Lie algebroid C that appears in Theorem 0.1 controlling the integrability of the quotient can be interpreted as the Lie algebroid of the level set corresponding to the unit of a Lie group valued moment map as in [28] in the former case and in the sense of [2] in the latter. This last observation is related to the integration of Poisson structures on moduli spaces of flat G -bundles that shall be studied in a companion paper, see [4].

Poisson actions also allow us to obtain Poisson quotients by considering the action restricted to a coisotropic subgroup [43], [28]. In this situation, the integrability of the quotient can be proved only under the assumption that the acting Poisson group is complete [23]. We have the following result which can be seen as a simple categorification of the idea behind the proof of [23, Thm. 3.11].

Theorem 0.2. *Let G be a complete Poisson group acting freely and properly on a Poisson manifold M . If M is integrable, then the gauge Poisson groupoid $(M \times \bar{M})/G \rightrightarrows M/G$ is integrable by a double symplectic groupoid.*

This last result, together with an observation coming from [6] about the symplectic leaves of Poisson groupoids, can be applied to an interesting family of examples

of gauge Poisson groupoids recently introduced by J.-H. Lu and her collaborators, thereby producing a number of new examples of symplectic groupoids: see [29], [30].

Acknowledgments. The author thanks CNPq for the financial support and H. Bursztyn for his constant advice and support. The author also thanks the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids

A smooth groupoid G over a manifold M , denoted by $G \rightrightarrows M$, is a groupoid object in the category of not necessarily Hausdorff smooth manifolds, such that its source map is a submersion. The structure maps of a groupoid are its source, target, multiplication, unit map and inversion, denoted respectively by $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{i}$. For the sake of brevity, we also denote $\mathfrak{m}(a, b)$ by ab . A Lie groupoid is a smooth groupoid such that its base and source-fibers are Hausdorff manifolds, see [40], [21]. A Lie groupoid is *source-simply-connected* if its source fibres are 1-connected.

A left Lie groupoid action of a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ on a map $J : S \rightarrow M$ is a smooth map $a : G_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_J S \rightarrow S$ such that (1) $a(\mathfrak{m}(g, h), x) = a(g, a(h, x))$ for all $g, h \in G$ and for all $x \in S$ for which a and \mathfrak{m} are defined, and (2) $a(\mathfrak{u}(J(x)), x) = x$ for all $x \in S$, the fiber product $G_{\mathfrak{t}} \times_J S$ is denoted by $G \times_M S$. There is a Lie groupoid structure on $G \times_M S$ over S with the projection $\text{pr}_2 : G \times_M S \rightarrow S$ being the source map, a being the target map, and the multiplication given by $(g, a(h, p))(h, p) = (gh, p)$. The Lie groupoid $G \times_M S \rightrightarrows S$ thus obtained is called an *action groupoid*. A Lie groupoid action as before is free if the associated action groupoid has trivial isotropy groups; a Lie groupoid action is proper if the map $(a, \text{pr}_2) : G \times_M S \rightarrow S \times S$ is proper. If a Lie groupoid is a Lie group, this recovers the usual notion of free and proper actions.

A Lie algebroid is a vector bundle A over a manifold M together with (1) a bundle map $\mathfrak{a} : A \rightarrow TM$ called the *anchor* and (2) a Lie algebra structure $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $\Gamma(A)$ such that the Leibniz rule holds

$$[u, fv] = f[u, v] + (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{a}(u)}f)v,$$

for all $u, v \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. See [25, 45] for the definition of Lie algebroid morphism.

The Lie algebroid $A = A_G$ of a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows B$ is the vector bundle $A = \ker T\mathfrak{s}|_B$ endowed with the restriction of $T\mathfrak{t}$ to A as the anchor and with the bracket defined by means of right invariant vector fields [40], [35]. A Lie groupoid morphism induces a Lie algebroid morphism between the associated Lie algebroids; this construction defines a functor called the *Lie functor* that we denote by Lie . A Lie algebroid which is isomorphic to the Lie algebroid of a Lie groupoid is called *integrable*. If A is an integrable Lie algebroid, we denote by $\mathcal{G}(A)$ its source-simply-connected integration (which is unique up to isomorphism).

A fundamental result relating Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids is *Lie's second theorem*. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a Lie algebroid morphism between integrable Lie algebroids. Then for every Lie groupoid K integrating B there exists a unique Lie groupoid morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{G}(A) \rightarrow K$ such that $\text{Lie}(\Phi) = \phi$ [39], [40].

1.2. Poisson structures, closed IM 2-forms and symplectic groupoids

A *Poisson structure* on a manifold M is a bivector field $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TM)$ such that $[\pi, \pi] = 0$, where $[\ , \]$ is the Schouten bracket; with this kind of structure, (M, π) is called a *Poisson manifold*. There is a canonical Lie algebroid structure on the cotangent bundle of a Poisson manifold in which the Lie bracket on $\Omega^1(M)$ is given by

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha - d\pi(\alpha, \beta)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M)$, and the anchor is the map π^\sharp defined by $\alpha \mapsto i_\alpha\pi$; T^*M is usually called the *cotangent Lie algebroid* of (M, π) . A *Poisson morphism* $J : (P, \pi_P) \rightarrow (Q, \pi_Q)$ between Poisson manifolds is a smooth map which satisfies that $\pi_P^\sharp(J^*\alpha)$ is J -related to $\pi_Q^\sharp(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \Omega^1(Q)$.

Let A be a Lie algebroid over M . A *closed IM 2-form on A* [11] is a vector bundle morphism $\mu : A \rightarrow T^*M$ over the identity such that

$$\langle \mu(v), \mathbf{a}(u) \rangle = -\langle \mu(u), \mathbf{a}(v) \rangle, \tag{1}$$

$$\mu([u, v]) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{a}(u)}\mu(v) - i_{\mathbf{a}(v)}d\mu(u) \tag{2}$$

for all $u, v \in \Gamma(A)$. In the case of a Poisson manifold (M, π) , the identity on T^*M is a closed IM 2-form. Closed IM 2-forms are the basic infrastructure necessary for performing Poisson reduction as we shall see below; see [12] for a general discussion. At the Lie groupoid level, a closed IM 2-form induces a *closed multiplicative 2-form*: let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and take $\omega \in \Omega^2(G)$; ω is called multiplicative if $\text{pr}_1^*\omega + \text{pr}_2^*\omega - \text{pr}_3^*\omega$ vanishes on the graph of the multiplication inside $G \times G \times G$. A Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows B$ is a *symplectic groupoid* [47], [26] if it is endowed with a symplectic form which is multiplicative. If the cotangent Lie algebroid of a Poisson manifold M is integrable, we shall say that M is *integrable*. If M is an integrable Poisson manifold, we denote by $\Sigma(M) \rightrightarrows M$ its source-simply-connected integration which naturally becomes a symplectic groupoid by integrating its canonical closed IM 2-form [11].

2. Integrability of quotients of quasi-Poisson manifolds

The integrability of Poisson manifolds obtained by reduction has been studied in [38], [22], [44], [23], [12]. In this section, we put some of the results contained in those works in the broader context of q-Poisson manifolds.

Poisson structures and quasi-Poisson manifolds.

Definition 2.1 ([42]). A *Lie quasi-bialgebroid* is a Lie algebroid A over M endowed with a degree one derivation $\delta : \Gamma(\wedge^k A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} A)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ which is a derivation of the bracket on A

$$\delta([u, v]) = [\delta(u), v] + (-1)^{p-1}[u, \delta(v)]$$

for all $u \in \Gamma(\wedge^p A)$, $v \in \Gamma(\wedge^q A)$ and satisfies $\delta^2 = [\chi, \]$, where $\chi \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A)$ is such that $\delta(\chi) = 0$.

Since δ is a derivation, it is determined by its restriction to degree 0 and degree 1 where it is given respectively by a vector bundle map $\mathbf{a}_* : A^* \rightarrow TM$ and a map $\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ called the *cobracket*.

Example 2.2. A *Lie bialgebroid* (A, A^*) is a Lie quasi-bialgebroid (A, δ, χ) in which $\chi = 0$ and hence the differential δ satisfies $\delta^2 = 0$ [37]. Since the dual of a differential which squares to zero is a Lie bracket, a Lie bialgebroid consists of a pair of Lie algebroid structures on A and A^* which are compatible in a suitable sense. A *Lie bialgebra* $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a Lie bialgebroid over a point [20].

For the next definition we shall need the concept of a *Lie algebroid action*: a Lie algebroid A over M acts on a map $J : S \rightarrow M$ if there is a Lie algebra morphism $\rho : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S)$ such that $u_S := \rho(u)$ is J -related to $\mathfrak{a}(u)$ for all $u \in \Gamma(A)$: see [35].

Definition 2.3 ([41]). A *quasi-Poisson manifold* (or a *Hamiltonian space*) for a Lie quasi-bialgebroid (A, δ, χ) on M consists of a Lie algebroid action $\rho : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S)$ of A on a smooth map $J : S \rightarrow M$ and a bivector field π on S such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}[\pi, \pi] &= \rho(\chi), \\ \mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\pi &= \rho(\delta(U)), \quad \forall U \in \Gamma(A), \\ \pi^\sharp J^* &= \rho \circ \mathfrak{a}_*, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{a}_* : A^* \rightarrow TM$ is the component of δ in degree zero as before.

Example 2.4. An infinitesimal Poisson action $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow TS$ of the tangent Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ of a Poisson group [20], [28] can be expressed by saying that (S, π, ρ) is a Hamiltonian space for $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, 0)$, where δ is the differential dual to the bracket on \mathfrak{g}^* .

Example 2.5. The original q -Poisson manifolds, which were introduced in [3], are a special case of Definition 2.3. Consider a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} endowed with an Ad-invariant symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form B . Then there is a Lie quasi-bialgebra structure $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \chi)$ on \mathfrak{g} which depends on B and is determined by the splitting of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ as the sum of the diagonal Lie subalgebra and the anti-diagonal [1], [3]. The q -Poisson manifolds corresponding to $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \chi)$ shall be called *q-Poisson \mathfrak{g} -manifolds* in accordance to [3], [27].

The main feature of this notion is the following well-known reduction construction which we rephrase in the language introduced in [12]. Let (S, π) be a quasi-Poisson manifold for a Lie quasi-bialgebroid (A, δ, χ) with action map $\rho : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S)$. Suppose that the A -action on S induces a simple foliation, then the leaf space \bar{S} of this foliation inherits a unique Poisson structure σ such that $Tq \circ \pi^\sharp \circ q^* = \sigma^\sharp$, where $q : S \rightarrow \bar{S}$ is the projection. In fact, the cotangent Lie algebroid of \bar{S} can be described as the quotient of a Lie algebroid over S : the conormal bundle of the A -orbits in S , that we denote by C , admits (1) a distinguished Lie algebroid structure (Proposition 2.6 below) and (2) a distinguished closed linear 2-form, both of which are reducible along q .

First of all, it is immediate to check, based on (4) below, that the inclusion $\mu : C \hookrightarrow T^*S$ constitutes a closed IM 2-form on C . Let λ be the canonical 1-form on T^*S and let us put $\Lambda_\mu = d(\mu^*\lambda) \in \Omega^2(C)$: see [9, Ex. 2.6]. We have that Λ_μ is a linear 2-form on C which is *kernel-reducible* [12, Def. 2.27]. This follows from

the following fact. Define $Q : C \rightarrow T^*\overline{S}$ by

$$\langle Q(\alpha), X \rangle = \langle \alpha, X' \rangle \tag{3}$$

where $\alpha \in C_x$, $X \in T_{q(x)}\overline{S}$ and $X' \in T_xS$ is such that $Tq(X') = X$. Take the canonical symplectic form $\omega_{\text{can}} \in \Omega^2(T^*\overline{S})$, then we can see that $Q^*\omega_{\text{can}} = \Lambda_\mu$. Finally, [12, Thm. 2.33] implies that there is a unique Lie algebroid structure on $T^*\overline{S}$ such that Q is a Lie algebroid morphism, this structure is isomorphic to the cotangent Lie algebroid of (\overline{S}, σ) . So we have the following diagram corresponding to this infinitesimal reduction procedure

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T^*S \\ Q \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T^*\overline{S} & & \end{array} ;$$

if (A, δ, χ) is a Lie quasi-bialgebra as in Example 2.5, there is a natural Lie algebroid structure on T^*S such that μ is a Lie algebroid morphism [27, Thm. 1]. We shall see in Theorem 2.11 that, whenever C is integrable, the existence of a free and proper groupoid action integrating the A -action on S allows us to integrate this infinitesimal diagram to a global reduction of Lie groupoids, which gives us a symplectic groupoid integrating (\overline{S}, σ) .

Proposition 2.6. *If the A -action induces a regular foliation on S , then the conormal bundle C of the A -orbits is a Lie algebroid with the anchor defined by $\alpha \mapsto \pi^\sharp(\alpha)$ and the Lie bracket*

$$[\alpha, \beta]_C := \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - i_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}d\alpha \tag{4}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(C)$.

Proof. Take $U \in \Gamma(A)$, $\alpha \in \Gamma(C)$ and let $\beta \in \Omega^1(S)$ be arbitrary. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta, \mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}(\pi^\sharp(\alpha)) \rangle &= \mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\langle \beta, \pi^\sharp(\alpha) \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\beta, \pi^\sharp(\alpha) \rangle \\ &= \langle \rho(\delta(U)), \alpha \wedge \beta \rangle + \langle \beta, \pi^\sharp(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\alpha) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the identity $\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\pi = \rho(\delta(U))$ and the fact that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}(\pi(\alpha, \beta)) = (\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\pi)(\alpha, \beta) + \pi(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\alpha, \beta) + \pi(\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\beta).$$

But $\langle \rho(\delta(U)), \alpha \wedge \beta \rangle = 0$ since α lies in the annihilator of $\rho(\Gamma(A))$. As a consequence,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\pi^\sharp(\alpha) = \pi^\sharp(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\alpha). \tag{5}$$

Now suppose that also β lies in $\Gamma(C)$. We shall check that $[\alpha, \beta]_C \in \Gamma(C)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta, \rho(U) \rangle &= \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\langle \beta, \rho(U) \rangle - \langle \beta, \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\rho(U) \rangle \\ &= \langle \beta, [\rho(U), \pi^\sharp(\alpha)] \rangle = -\langle \mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\alpha, \pi^\sharp(\beta) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\langle \beta, \rho(U) \rangle = 0$ in the first equality and (5) in the last one. On the other hand,

$$\langle i_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}d\alpha, \rho(U) \rangle = d\alpha(\pi^\sharp(\beta), \rho(U)) = -\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\langle \alpha, \pi^\sharp(\beta) \rangle + \langle \alpha, \mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\pi^\sharp(\beta) \rangle.$$

By combining the last two equations we get that

$$\langle [\alpha, \beta]_C, \rho(U) \rangle = \langle \alpha, \mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}(\pi^\sharp(\beta)) \rangle = (\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\pi)(\alpha, \beta),$$

and this last term is zero because $\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\pi = \rho(\delta(U))$ is generated by vectors tangent to the A -orbits. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^\sharp([\alpha, \beta]_C) &= [\pi^\sharp(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta)] - \frac{1}{2}i_{\alpha\wedge\beta}[\pi, \pi] \\ &= [\pi^\sharp(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta)] - i_{\alpha\wedge\beta}\rho(\chi) = [\pi^\sharp(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta)]. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The Leibniz rule is automatic for $[\ , \]_C$ and the anchor π^\sharp ; it follows from equation (6) that the Jacobiator of $[\ , \]_C$

$$\text{Jac}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := [\alpha, [\beta, \gamma]_C]_C + [\gamma, [\alpha, \beta]_C]_C + [\beta, [\gamma, \alpha]_C]_C$$

is $C^\infty(S)$ -linear in each entry, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(C)$. As a consequence, in order to verify the Jacobi identity, we just have to check that it holds for locally defined exact 1-forms. Take a foliation chart $T \subset S$ for the orbits of the A -action and suppose that $f, g, h \in C^\infty(T)$ are constant along the orbits of the A -action restricted to T . We have that $[df, dg]_C = d(\pi(df, dg))$ and the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(f, g, h) &:= \pi(df, d(\pi(dg, dh))) + \pi(dh, d(\pi(df, dg))) + \pi(dg, d(\pi(dh, df))) \\ &= -\mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp([dg, dh]_C)}f + \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(dg)}\mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(dh)}f - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(dh)}\mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(dg)}f = 0 \end{aligned}$$

follows also from (6). Therefore, $\text{Jac}(df, dg, dh) = d(\phi(f, g, h)) = 0$. So the bracket $[\ , \]_C$ and the anchor π^\sharp endow C with a Lie algebroid structure. \square

Remark 2.7. As we shall see next, the integrability of the quotient Poisson structure is controlled by the integrability of this Lie algebroid structure on C . Let us notice that C can also be seen as a Lie subalgebroid of a Dirac structure $L \hookrightarrow TM \oplus T^*M$ over S [11], which is spanned by the space of sections

$$\{\rho(u) + \pi^\sharp(\alpha) \oplus \alpha \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma(C), u \in \Gamma(A)\}.$$

If the A -action on S induces a simple foliation with projection to its leaf space denoted by $q : S \rightarrow \overline{S}$, we can see L as the pullback Dirac structure of the quotient

Poisson structure $\text{graph}(\sigma) \hookrightarrow T\overline{S} \oplus T^*\overline{S}$ along q [11, Ex. 6.3]. So we can also see the cotangent Lie algebroid $T^*\overline{S}$ as a reduction of L with the quotient map given by composing the projection $L \rightarrow C$ with Q as in (3). Using this approach, we can see that q is of *pullback type* with respect to L [12, Rem. 2.16]. We can also use L to study the integrability of the Poisson quotient from the viewpoint of [5] but our current approach seems better suited for our desired applications.

A q-Poisson manifold (S, π) for a Lie quasi-bialgebroid (A, δ, χ) comes naturally equipped with a canonical action of A on C as in Proposition 2.6 in the following sense. Let $J : S \rightarrow M$ be a surjective submersion, let A be a Lie algebroid over M and let C be a Lie algebroid over S such that $TJ \circ \mathbf{a} = 0$, where \mathbf{a} is the anchor of C .

Definition 2.8 ([25], [39]). Let $\text{Der}(C)$ be the space of derivations of C . An *action of A on a Lie algebroid C over S* is an A -action $\rho : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(S)$ on J and a Lie algebra morphism $\psi : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}(C)$, which is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear and is such that the symbol of $\psi(u)$ is $\rho(u)$ for all $u \in \Gamma(A)$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let (S, π) be a Hamiltonian space for a Lie quasi-bialgebroid (A, δ, χ) on M with moment map $J : S \rightarrow M$. If J is a surjective submersion and the A -action induces a regular foliation on S , then the map given by $U \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}$ for all $U \in \Gamma(A)$ defines an infinitesimal action of A on C : the conormal bundle of the A -orbits.*

Proof. First of all, equation (5) implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}[\alpha, \beta]_C = [\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\alpha, \beta]_C + [\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\beta]_C.$$

On the other hand, the equation $\pi^\#J^* = \rho \circ \mathbf{a}_*^*$ implies that $TJ \circ \pi^\# : C \rightarrow TM$ is the zero map. Since $\mathcal{L}_{f\rho(U)}\alpha = f\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\alpha$ by Cartan’s formula, the map ψ is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear and so we are done. \square

We are only interested in the situation in which the previous infinitesimal action of A on C is integrable by a global action of the following kind. Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid which integrates A and suppose that it acts on a surjective submersion $J : S \rightarrow M$. Let C be a Lie algebroid over S such that $TJ \circ \mathbf{a} = 0$. In this situation we have an action of C on the projection $G \times_M S \rightarrow S$ given by $X \mapsto (0, \mathbf{a}(X))$ for all $X \in \Gamma(C)$. Hence, there is an action Lie algebroid structure on $G \times_M C$ over $G \times_M S$. Let $p : C \rightarrow S$ be the vector bundle projection.

Definition 2.10 ([39]). An *action of $G \rightrightarrows M$ on C* is a Lie groupoid action of G on $J \circ p : C \rightarrow S$ such that the structure maps of the action groupoid $G \times_M C \rightrightarrows C$ are Lie algebroid morphisms over the structure maps of the action groupoid $G \times_M S \rightrightarrows S$.

In the previous definition, $G \times_M C \rightrightarrows C$ is a vacant LA-groupoid [33].

The integrability criterion.

Let (S, π) be a q-Poisson manifold for a Lie quasi-bialgebroid (A, δ, χ) such that the moment map $J : S \rightarrow M$ is a surjective submersion and the A -action on S induces a regular foliation. Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid integrating A and

suppose that the A -action on C integrates to a G -action $\alpha : G \times_M C \rightarrow C$ as in Definition 2.10. If the G -action is free and proper, then the quotient S/G is a smooth manifold and it inherits a Poisson structure σ with the property that $Tq \circ \pi^\sharp \circ q^* = \sigma^\sharp$, where $q : S \rightarrow S/G$ is the quotient map.

Theorem 2.11. *The Poisson manifold $(S/G, \sigma)$ is integrable if and only if the Lie algebroid C is integrable. Moreover, if $\mathcal{G}(C)$ is the source-simply-connected integration of C , then there is a lifted G -action on $\mathcal{G}(C)$ such that the orbit space $\mathcal{G}(C)/G$ is a symplectic groupoid integrating $(S/G, \sigma)$.*

Remark 2.12. The source-simply-connected integration of A is a quasi-Poisson groupoid [41], but we do not need $G \rightrightarrows M$ to be endowed with such a structure. The previous result generalizes [44, Thm 3.4.4] which applies only to Poisson groupoid actions.

The G -action on $\mathcal{G}(C)$ as in the previous theorem is compatible with the groupoid structure in the following sense.

Let $K \rightrightarrows S$ and $G \rightrightarrows M$ be Lie groupoids and let $J : S \rightarrow M$ be a surjective submersion such that $J \circ \mathfrak{s} = J \circ \mathfrak{t}$.

Definition 2.13 ([25]). An action of $G \rightrightarrows M$ on $K \rightrightarrows S$ is an action on the map $J \circ \mathfrak{s} = J \circ \mathfrak{t} : K \rightarrow M$, which is a Lie groupoid action $G \times_M K \rightarrow K$ such that it is a Lie groupoid morphism with respect to the fiber product groupoid $G \times_M K \rightrightarrows G \times_M S$.

Notice that when M is a point, a G -action in the previous sense is a G -action by automorphisms on K .

Proof of Theorem 2.11. Suppose that C is integrable.

Step 1: Lift of the G -action to $\mathcal{G}(C)$.

First of all, [39, Thm. 3.6] implies that the G -action on C lifts to a G -action on $\mathcal{G}(C)$. On the other hand, this lifted action is principal since it is principal on the base and hence the quotient $\mathcal{G}(C)/G$ inherits a unique Lie groupoid structure such that the projection map $\mathcal{G}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(C)/G$ is a Lie groupoid morphism [39, Lem. 2.1].

Step 2: Existence of a canonical multiplicative 2-form on $\mathcal{G}(C)$.

Recall that the inclusion $\mu : C \hookrightarrow T^*S$ constitutes a closed IM 2-form on C . Let λ be the canonical 1-form on T^*S and let us denote $\Lambda_\mu = d(\mu^*\lambda) \in \Omega^2(C)$, see [9, Ex. 2.6]. Then $\Lambda_\mu : TC \oplus_C TC \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lie algebroid morphism [9, Thm. 3.1] which lifts to a Lie groupoid morphism $\omega : T\mathcal{G}(C) \oplus T\mathcal{G}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property that ω is a multiplicative closed 2-form on $\mathcal{G}(C)$ [9, Thm. 4.6].

Step 3: Reduction of ω to a symplectic form on $\mathcal{G}(C)/G$.

The lifted G -action on $\mathcal{G}(C)$ is obtained as the integration $\tilde{\alpha} : G \times_M \mathcal{G}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(C)$ of the Lie algebroid morphism $\alpha : G \times_M C \rightarrow C$; see the proof of [39, Thm. 3.6]. Since $\tilde{\alpha}$ is also an action, $\Gamma := G \times_M \mathcal{G}(C) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}(C)$ inherits an action groupoid structure, where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is its target map and the projection $\text{pr}_2 : G \times_M \mathcal{G}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(C)$ is its source. In order to prove that ω descends to a symplectic form on $\mathcal{G}(C)/G$, we have to check that it is basic with respect to the action $\tilde{\alpha}$: (1) the G -orbits are tangent to $\ker \omega$ and (2) $\mathfrak{t}_\Gamma^* \omega = \tilde{\alpha}^* \omega = \mathfrak{s}_\Gamma^* \omega = \text{pr}_2^* \omega$.

Step 4: The G -orbits are tangent to $\ker \omega$.

Infinitesimally, the A -action on C lifts to $\mathcal{G}(C)$ as follows. Take $U \in \Gamma(A)$ of compact support. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}$ is a derivation of C over $\rho(U)$, it integrates to a 1-parameter family of automorphisms ψ_t of C . Lie's second theorem implies that ψ_t lifts to a family of Lie groupoid automorphisms Ψ_t of $\mathcal{G}(C)$. The infinitesimal generator of Ψ_t is a multiplicative vector field $\tilde{U} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{G}(C))$: i.e., a vector field which is a Lie groupoid morphism $\tilde{U} : \mathcal{G}(C) \rightarrow T\mathcal{G}(C)$. Since $i_{\tilde{U}}\omega : T\mathcal{G}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lie groupoid morphism, in order to prove that $i_{\tilde{U}}\omega = 0$ we just have to check that its associated Lie algebroid morphism is zero. Let us denote by \mathcal{B} the distribution tangent to the A -orbits; by construction, it is generated by the vector fields of the form \tilde{U} .

The Lie algebroid morphism $\bar{\Lambda}_\mu : TC \oplus_C TC \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with $\omega : T\mathcal{G}(C) \oplus T\mathcal{G}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by the linear 2-form $\Lambda_\mu = \mu^*\omega_{\text{can}}$, where ω_{can} is the canonical symplectic form on T^*S [9, Ex. 2.6]. On the other hand, we have that \tilde{U} induces a Lie algebroid morphism $U' : C \rightarrow TC$ in the following way

$$U'(\alpha_p) = T_p\alpha(\rho(U)_p) - \overline{(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\alpha)_p} \quad \forall p \in S,$$

where $\alpha \in \Gamma(C)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\alpha}_p$ is the vertical tangent vector to C at α_p associated to $(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(U)}\alpha)_p$, see the proof of [39, Thm. 4.5]. So we have immediately that $i_{U'}\Lambda_\mu = 0$ and hence $i_{\tilde{U}}\omega = 0$, which proves $\mathcal{B} \subset \ker \omega$.

Take $g \in \mathcal{G}(C)$. The fact that ω is multiplicative with associated IM 2-form μ , implies that we have an explicit description for $\omega_{\mathfrak{t}(g)}$ [11, Rem. 3.6]:

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{t}(g)}(X \oplus \alpha, Y \oplus \beta) = \langle \mu(\alpha), Y \rangle - \langle \mu(\beta), X \rangle + \langle \mu(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta) \rangle,$$

where we are identifying $T\mathcal{G}(C)_{\mathfrak{t}(g)}$ with $T_{\mathfrak{t}(g)}S \oplus C_{\mathfrak{t}(g)}$ and we are taking $X \oplus \alpha, Y \oplus \beta \in T_{\mathfrak{t}(g)}S \oplus C_{\mathfrak{t}(g)}$. As a consequence, the injectivity of μ implies that $\ker \omega_{\mathfrak{t}(g)} \cap \ker T_{\mathfrak{t}(g)}\mathfrak{s} = 0$ and hence

$$\ker \omega_g \cap \ker T_g\mathfrak{s} \cong \ker \omega_{\mathfrak{t}(g)} \cap \ker T_{\mathfrak{t}(g)}\mathfrak{s} = 0 \tag{7}$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{G}(C)$ [11, Lem. 3.1]. If $V \in \ker \omega_g$ and $W \in T_{\mathfrak{s}(g)}G$, then for (any) $X \in T_g\mathcal{G}(C)$ composable with W we have that

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{s}(g)}(T\mathfrak{s}(V), W) = \omega_g(V, T\mathfrak{m}(W, X)) - \omega_g(V, X) = 0$$

and so $T_g\mathfrak{s}(v) \in \ker \omega_{\mathfrak{s}(g)}$. But $T_g\mathfrak{s}$ restricted to $\ker \omega_g$ is injective by (7), so $\dim \ker \omega_g \leq \dim \ker \omega_{\mathfrak{s}(g)} = \dim B = \dim \mathcal{B}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{B} = \ker \omega$.

Step 5: $\mathfrak{t}_\Gamma^\omega - \mathfrak{s}_\Gamma^*\omega = 0$.*

We have to show that the linear 2-form corresponding to the multiplicative 2-form $\mathfrak{t}_\Gamma^*\omega - \mathfrak{s}_\Gamma^*\omega$ on Γ vanishes. But this linear 2-form is nothing but $d(\alpha^*\lambda - \text{pr}_2^*\lambda) \in \Omega^2(G \times_M C)$ [9, Ex. 2.6] and we have that $\alpha^*\lambda - \text{pr}_2^*\lambda = 0$. Therefore, ω is Γ -basic and it descends to a multiplicative symplectic form on the quotient $\mathcal{G}(C)/G$.

Finally, if $(S/G, \sigma)$ is integrable, then its pullback Dirac structure L along the projection map $S \rightarrow S/G$ is integrable; see Remark 2.7 and [11, Ex. 6.3]. Since C can be identified with a Lie subalgebroid of L , it is also integrable [40]. \square

Remark 2.14. A closer look at the G -action on $\mathcal{G}(C)$ reveals that it does not identify points on the same \mathfrak{s} -fiber, therefore $\mathcal{G}(C)/G$ is also source-simply-connected and so the fact that it is symplectic also follows from the integration of Poisson manifolds [11], [36]. If G is source-connected, then the G -orbits on $\mathcal{G}(C)$ are also connected and so the fact that ω is basic with respect to the quotient map $\mathcal{G}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(C)/G$ can be deduced more easily by observing that Λ_μ is basic with respect to the quotient map $Q : C \rightarrow T^*(S/G)$ defined as in (3) and by applying [12, Thm. 3.10].

Integrability of quotients of Poisson actions.

A Lie group is a *Poisson (or Poisson-Lie) group* if it is endowed with a Poisson structure such that the multiplication map is a Poisson morphism [20]. A *Poisson action* of a Poisson group on a Poisson manifold is a Lie group action which is a Poisson morphism [43].

Corollary 2.15. *Let G be a Poisson group and suppose that there is a Poisson G -action on a Poisson manifold S . Suppose G acts freely and properly on S . If S is integrable, then the induced Poisson structure on the quotient S/G is integrable.*

Proof. In this situation, C as in Theorem 2.11 is the conormal bundle of the G -orbits on S and is a Lie subalgebroid of the cotangent Lie algebroid of S . Therefore, C is integrable if S also is and hence the result follows from Theorem 2.11. \square

Remark 2.16. Notice that, in principle, we can apply Theorem 2.11 even when S is not integrable by considering only the Lie subalgebroid $C \hookrightarrow T^*S$. In the case that G is complete, this result appears in [23].

Let us describe explicitly the integration of the quotient S/G as in Corollary 2.15 provided by Theorem 2.11. Let $\Sigma(S) \rightrightarrows S$ be the source-simply-connected integration of S . There is a Poisson map $\mu : \Sigma(S) \rightarrow G^*$, which is also a Lie groupoid morphism lifting the Lie algebroid morphism given the dual of the action map $T^*S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. So there is an infinitesimal \mathfrak{g} -action on $\Sigma(S)$ which is not complete in general unless G is complete [23]. Since the \mathfrak{g} -action is locally free, μ is a submersion and so $\mu^{-1}(1) \hookrightarrow \Sigma(S)$ is a Lie subgroupoid integrating the Lie subalgebroid $C \hookrightarrow T^*S$. Let $\mu^{-1}(1)^\circ$ be the source-connected subgroupoid inside $\mu^{-1}(1)$. Theorem 2.11 tells us that the \mathfrak{g} -action on $\mathcal{G}(C)$, which is given by the composition of the canonical Lie groupoid morphism $\mathcal{G}(C) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(1)^\circ$ with the inclusion $\mu^{-1}(1)^\circ \hookrightarrow \Sigma(S)$, integrates to a G -action and that the quotient $\mathcal{G}(C)/G$ is a symplectic groupoid integrating S/G (in fact, $\mathcal{G}(C)/G = \Sigma(S/G)$; see Remark 2.14). From the previous discussion, it follows in particular that the \mathfrak{g} -action on $\mu^{-1}(1)^\circ$ is complete without any additional assumption on G ; see [23, Thm. 2.7].

Integrability of quotients of q-Poisson G -manifolds.

If (S, π) is a q -Poisson \mathfrak{g} -manifold, then there is a nonobvious but canonical Lie algebroid structure on T^*S (see [27, Thm. 1]); we shall denote it by $(T^*S)_\mathfrak{g}$. It is immediate that C as before is a Lie subalgebroid of $(T^*S)_\mathfrak{g}$ (provided the \mathfrak{g} -action is locally free). For the sake of making the analogy with the previous situation more evident, we shall also say in this case that S is *integrable* if $(T^*S)_\mathfrak{g}$ is. If the \mathfrak{g} -action on S is integrable to a G -action such that π is invariant, (S, π) is called a *q-Poisson G -manifold*.

Corollary 2.17. *Let (S, π) be a q -Poisson G -manifold. Then the Poisson structure induced on S/G is integrable if S is integrable.*

Let us illustrate more precisely the integration of quotients of q -Poisson G -manifolds provided by Theorem 2.11 and let us compare it with the results of [27] about the integration of q -Poisson G -manifolds.

Let (S, π) be a q -Poisson G -manifold [3] and suppose that G acts freely and properly on S . Take the source-simply-connected integration $\mathcal{G}(C)$ of C . Theorem 2.11 implies that the G -action on S lifts to a G -action by automorphisms on $\mathcal{G}(C)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(C)/G$ is a symplectic groupoid which integrates the Poisson structure on S/G . On the other hand, [27, Thm 1] states that by the dual of the action map $T^*S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ composed with the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$ induced by the bilinear form gives us a Lie algebroid morphism $(T^*S)_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. If $(T^*S)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is integrable, then this morphism can be lifted to a moment map $\Phi : \mathcal{G}(T^*S)_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow G$ which makes $\mathcal{G}(T^*S)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ into a q -Hamiltonian \mathfrak{g} -manifold (groupoid); see [27, Thm 4]. We have that $\Phi^{-1}(1)$ is a Lie groupoid. Indeed, [2, Rem. 3.3] says that there is a 2-form $\varpi \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{g})$ such that, if we take a neighborhood U of $1 \in G$ covered diffeomorphically by a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ using $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$, then $\Omega := \omega - \Phi^* \log^* \varpi$ is symplectic on $\Phi^{-1}(U)$ and $\mu := \log \circ \Phi$ is a classical moment map for the \mathfrak{g} -action. The fact that $(\ker T\mu)^\Omega = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{M}}$ implies that μ is a submersion on $\Phi^{-1}(U)$ then so is Φ . Since $\text{Lie}(\Phi^{-1}(1)) = C$, there is a canonical surjective Lie groupoid morphism $\mathcal{G}(C) \rightarrow \Phi^{-1}(1)^\circ$, where $\Phi^{-1}(1)^\circ$ is the source-connected subgroupoid of $\Phi^{-1}(1)$. Just as in the case of a Poisson action, we have then that the \mathfrak{g} -action on $\Phi^{-1}(1)^\circ$ induced by Φ is complete. Therefore, if G is 1-connected, we have that $\Phi^{-1}(1)^\circ/G$ is also a symplectic integration of S/G .

Example 2.18. The most important examples of q -Poisson G -manifolds are the spaces of representations of fundamental groups of surfaces [2], [3]. For instance, the q -Poisson G -manifold associated to an annulus is G itself and the integrability of the Lie algebroid structure on $(T^*G)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is automatic, being an action Lie algebroid [7]. The difficulty in dealing with these spaces lies in the fact that the G -action on them is not free; see [4].

3. Double symplectic groupoids and gauge Poisson groupoids

A Lie subgroup $H \subset G$ of a Poisson group is coisotropic if it is coisotropic as a submanifold of G^1 ; if G is connected, this is equivalent to the annihilator $\mathfrak{h}^\circ \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ being a Lie subalgebra. If a Poisson group acts in a Poisson fashion on a Poisson manifold, then the quotient of the manifold by a coisotropic subgroup is a Poisson manifold again, provided it is smooth [43, Thm. 6].

Let (G, π_G) be a Poisson group acting in a Poisson fashion on a Poisson manifold (M, π_M) . If the G -action is free and proper, then M/G inherits a unique Poisson structure such that the projection map is a Poisson morphism $M \rightarrow M/G$ [43]. Now consider the Poisson manifold $M \times \bar{M}$, which is the product $M \times M$ endowed with the Poisson bivector $(\pi_M, -\pi_M)$. The action of the Poisson group $G \times \bar{G} = (G \times$

¹Let (M, π) be a Poisson manifold. A submanifold C of M is coisotropic if $\pi^\sharp(T^\circ C) \subset TC$, where $T^\circ C$ is the annihilator of TC .

$G, (\pi_G, -\pi_G)$ on $M \times \overline{M}$ is Poisson again and the diagonal subgroup $G \hookrightarrow G \times \overline{G}$ is a coisotropic subgroup. Therefore, the quotient by the diagonal action $(M \times \overline{M})/G$ is a Poisson manifold again. For a manifold M , the associated *pair groupoid* is $M \times M \rightrightarrows M$ with source and target the projections on M , the multiplication is $\mathfrak{m}((x, y), (y, z)) = (x, z)$, the unit map $M \rightarrow M \times M$ is the diagonal inclusion, and the inversion is given by $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$. Since G acts by automorphisms on $M \times M \rightrightarrows M$, the quotient

$$((M \times \overline{M})/G, \Pi) \rightrightarrows M/G \tag{8}$$

is a Lie groupoid again, called a *gauge groupoid* [21]. It turns out that the Poisson structure on this Lie groupoid is compatible with the groupoid structure in the following sense.

Definition 3.1 ([46]). A *Poisson groupoid* is a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ with a Poisson structure on \mathcal{G} such that the graph of the multiplication map is a coisotropic submanifold of $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times \overline{\mathcal{G}}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ denotes \mathcal{G} with the opposite Poisson structure.

Poisson groups and symplectic groupoids (seen as Poisson manifolds) are extreme examples of Poisson groupoids. The pair groupoid $M \times \overline{M} \rightrightarrows M$ gives us another family of examples. Since the projection map $M \times \overline{M} \rightarrow ((M \times \overline{M})/G, \Pi)$ is a Lie groupoid morphism and a Poisson morphism, the bivector field Π makes (8) into a Poisson groupoid, this fact was first observed by J.-H. Lu and her collaborators [29]. Since we are dealing with Poisson groupoids, we can ask a more refined integrability question about this gauge Poisson groupoid: some of the symplectic integrations of a Poisson groupoid may carry a *double symplectic groupoid* structure.

Double symplectic groupoids.

Definition 3.2 ([8], [33]). A groupoid object in the category of topological groupoids is called a double topological groupoid and it is denoted by a diagram of the following kind

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} & \rightrightarrows & H \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ K & \rightrightarrows & S \end{array},$$

where each of the sides represents a groupoid structure and the structure maps of \mathcal{G} over H are groupoid morphisms with respect to $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows K$ and $H \rightrightarrows S$. A double topological groupoid as in the previous diagram is a *double Lie groupoid* if the following conditions are met: (1) each of the side groupoids is a smooth groupoid, (2) H and K are Lie groupoids over S , and (3) the double source map $(\mathfrak{s}^H, \mathfrak{s}^K) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow H \times_S K$ is a surjective submersion (the superindices $^H, ^K$ denote the groupoid structures $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows H, \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows K$, respectively).

Definition 3.3 ([32]). A double Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} with sides K and H over S is a *double symplectic groupoid* if there is a symplectic structure on \mathcal{G} making it into a symplectic groupoid over both K and H .

In the previous definition, the Poisson structures induced on K and on H make them into Poisson groupoids over S ; see [34]. If the Poisson structure on a Poisson groupoid is integrable by a double symplectic groupoid, we say that the Poisson groupoid is integrable.

3.1. Integrability of gauge Poisson groupoids in the complete case

A Poisson group G is complete if the dressing action of \mathfrak{g}^* on G is complete [28]. Now we shall see that a gauge Poisson groupoid as in (8) is integrable by a double symplectic groupoid if G is complete.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a complete Poisson group acting freely and properly on a Poisson manifold M . If M is integrable, then the gauge Poisson groupoid $(M \times \overline{M})/G \rightrightarrows M/G$ is integrable by a double symplectic groupoid.*

The basic idea behind this proof already appears in [23, Thm. 3.11]. Take a locally free Poisson action of a complete Poisson group G on a Poisson manifold S and let $H \subset G$ be a coisotropic subgroup which acts freely and properly on S . If the annihilator of \mathfrak{h} integrates to a closed subgroup $H^\perp \subset G^*$ of the 1-connected integration of \mathfrak{g}^* , then we can integrate S/H as follows. There is a Poisson groupoid morphism $\mu : \Sigma(S) \rightarrow G^*$ which is a moment map for the lifted G -action on $\Sigma(S)$ given by [23, Thm. 2.7]. In this situation, the quotient $\mu^{-1}(H^\perp)/H$ is a symplectic groupoid integrating S/H .

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let G be a Poisson group acting freely and properly on a Poisson manifold M by a Poisson action and suppose that M is integrable. Let $\Sigma(M) \rightrightarrows M$ be the source-simply-connected integration of M . Then we have a Lie groupoid morphism $\mu : \Sigma(M) \rightarrow G^*$ which is a moment map for a Poisson \mathfrak{g} -action on $\Sigma(M)$. In other words, μ is a Poisson groupoid morphism which integrates the Lie bialgebroid morphism $T^*M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by the dual of the action map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow TM$, see [10, Prop. 5.1.3]. In that case, $(\mu, \mu) : \Sigma(M) \times \Sigma(M) \rightarrow G^* \times G^*$ is also a Lie groupoid morphism and a moment map for a $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ -action.

Let B be the canonical pairing between \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^* . Since the diagonal $\mathfrak{g}_\Delta \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*$ is orthogonal to the diagonal $\mathfrak{g}_\Delta \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the pairing $B \oplus B$ between $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*$, we can identify G_Δ^\perp with the diagonal subgroup $G_\Delta^* \hookrightarrow G^* \times G^*$. Then $(\mu, \mu)^{-1}(G_\Delta^*)$ is a double Lie groupoid with sides $\Sigma(M)$ and $M \times \overline{M}$, where $G_\Delta^* \hookrightarrow G^* \times G^*$ is the diagonal inclusion. If G is complete, then the $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ -action on $\Sigma(M) \times \overline{\Sigma(M)}$ is already integrable by a $G \times G$ -action; see [23, Thm. 1]. So we can consider the diagonal action restricted to $(\mu, \mu)^{-1}(G_\Delta^*)$. We know that $(\mu, \mu)^{-1}(G_\Delta^*)/G \rightrightarrows (M \times \overline{M})/G$ is a symplectic groupoid integrating the Poisson structure Π ; see the proof of [23, Thm. 3.11]. On the other hand, the diagonal G -action on $\Sigma(M) \times \overline{\Sigma(M)}$ clearly preserves the pair groupoid structure $\Sigma(M) \times \overline{\Sigma(M)} \rightrightarrows \Sigma(M)$, so we have a double Lie groupoid:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mu, \mu)^{-1}(G_\Delta^*)/G & \rightrightarrows & \Sigma(M)/G \\
 \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
 (M \times \overline{M})/G & \rightrightarrows & M/G
 \end{array}$$

Finally, the symplectic structure on $(\mu, \mu)^{-1}(G^*_\Delta)/G$ is also multiplicative with respect to $(\mu, \mu)^{-1}(G^*_\Delta)/G \rightrightarrows \Sigma(M)/G$, since this is just a symplectic reduction of a pair symplectic groupoid. Therefore, we get a double symplectic groupoid over the gauge Poisson groupoid (8) as desired. \square

Remark 3.5. We do not know if we can remove the completeness condition on G in the previous theorem. If we knew that the $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ -action on $\Sigma(M) \times \overline{\Sigma(M)}$ restricted to the diagonal \mathfrak{g} integrates to a G -action on $(\mu, \mu)^{-1}(G^*_\Delta)$, then we could conclude that the quotient $(\mu, \mu)^{-1}(G^*_\Delta)/G$ is a double symplectic groupoid integrating the gauge Poisson groupoid (8). Unfortunately, we cannot adapt Theorem 0.1 to this situation since it only tells us how to produce G -actions by automorphisms and, in the complete case, the G -action on $(\mu, \mu)^{-1}(G^*_\Delta)$ is twisted by the moment map; see [23].

Remark 3.6. As a consequence of [6, Prop. 7], we have that the orbits of the units in $\Sigma(M)/G$ and in $(M \times \overline{M})/G$, which are given by the action of $(\mu, \mu)^{-1}(G^*_\Delta)/G$ on each side groupoid, are symplectic groupoids themselves. So in this way we get in this way a number of nontrivial examples of symplectic groupoids. Notice that the symplectic leaves of the units in $(M \times \overline{M})/G \rightrightarrows M/G$ also give us symplectic groupoids even when M/G is not integrable [6, Prop. 12].

Remark 3.7. The crucial fact in the proof of Theorem 3.4 is that $(\mu, \mu) : \Sigma(M) \times \Sigma(M) \rightarrow G^* \times G^*$ is a morphism of double Poisson groupoids, where $\Sigma(M) \times \overline{\Sigma(M)}$ is seen as a double symplectic groupoid with sides $\Sigma(M)$ and $M \times \overline{M}$ and $G^* \times \overline{G^*} \rightrightarrows G^*$ is seen as a Poisson 2-group [14]. So we could formulate and prove a more general result about the integrability of quotients of Poisson groupoids by actions of coisotropic Lie 2-subgroups of Poisson 2-groups. Due to a certain lack of examples in this generality, we limit ourselves to the current formulation.

The simplest examples of double symplectic groupoids associated with gauge Poisson groupoids are the following.

Example 3.8. Let Q be a closed Poisson subgroup of a complete Poisson group G . Then the quotient by the diagonal action by left translations $(G \times \overline{G})/Q \rightrightarrows G/Q$ is a gauge Poisson groupoid. Since G is complete, its source-simply-connected integration is the action groupoid $G^* \times G \rightrightarrows G$ associated to the dressing action $(u, x) \mapsto {}^u g$, where G^* is the 1-connected integration of \mathfrak{g}^* . The lift of the G -action on G by left translations to $G^* \times G$ is given by $a \cdot (u, b) = ({}^a u, a^u b)$; see [23, Example 3.12]. The moment map $\mu : G^* \times G \rightarrow G^*$ is the projection on the first factor. Since Q is a Poisson subgroup of G , the annihilator $\mathfrak{q}^\circ \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ is an ideal and so there is a Lie group morphism $p : G^* \rightarrow Q^*$ integrating the projection $\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}^* \cong \mathfrak{g}^*/\mathfrak{q}^\circ$. Then the moment map for the lifted Q -action to $G^* \times G$ is $p \circ \mu : G^* \times G \rightarrow Q^*$. As a consequence of Theorem 3.4, $(p \circ \mu, p \circ \mu)^{-1}(Q^*_\Delta)/Q$ is a double symplectic groupoid integrating the gauge Poisson groupoid $(G \times \overline{G})/Q \rightrightarrows G/Q$.

More generally, we can do the following. Let G be an arbitrary Poisson group and let $Q \hookrightarrow G$ be a closed Poisson subgroup. Suppose that there is a (left) Poisson action of Q on a Poisson manifold Y . Then the action $(G \times Y) \times (Q \times \overline{Q}) \rightarrow G \times Y$ given by $(g, y, a, b) \mapsto (ga, b^{-1}y)$ is a Poisson action, where \overline{Q} denotes Q with the opposite Poisson structure. Since the diagonal subgroup $Q_\Delta \hookrightarrow Q \times \overline{Q}$ is

coisotropic, the associated bundle $G \times_Q Y := (G \times Y)/Q$ is a Poisson manifold. For instance, if $Q_i \subset G$ is a closed Poisson subgroup for $i = 1 \dots n$, then the quotient $G \times_{Q_1} \times \dots \times_{Q_{n-1}} G/Q_n$ is integrable; see [31] for a detailed description of this family of examples. In order to apply Theorem 3.4, we can take $M = G \times_Q \times \dots \times_Q G$ as before. Now consider the residual Q -action on the last factor. If Q is complete, then Theorem 3.4 implies that $(M \times \overline{M})/Q \rightrightarrows M/Q$ is integrable by a double symplectic groupoid; see [30] for Poisson groupoids related to this example.

References

- [1] A. Alekseev, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Manin pairs and moment maps*, J. Diff. Geom. **56** (2000) no. 1, 133–165.
- [2] A. Alekseev, A. Malkin, E. Meinrenken, *Lie group valued moment maps*, J. Diff. Geom. **48** (1998), no. 3, 445–495.
- [3] A. Alekseev, E. Meinrenken, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Quasi-Poisson manifolds*, Canad. J. Math. **54** (2002), 3–29.
- [4] D. Álvarez, *Integrability of Quotients in Poisson and Dirac Geometry*, PhD thesis, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 2019.
- [5] D. Álvarez, *Integrability of quotients in Poisson and Dirac geometry*, Pacif. J. Math. **311** (2021), no. 1, 1–32.
- [6] D. Álvarez, *Leaves of stacky Lie algebroids*, Compt. Rend. Math. **358** (2020), no. 2, 217–226.
- [7] K. Behrend, P. Xu, B. Zhang, *Equivariant gerbes over compact simple Lie groups*, Compt. Rend. Math. **336** (2003), no. 3, 251–256.
- [8] R. Brown, K. C. H. Mackenzie, *Determination of a double Lie groupoid by its core diagram*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **80** (1992), no. 3, 237–272.
- [9] H. Bursztyn, A. Cabrera, *Multiplicative forms at the infinitesimal level*, Math. Ann. **353** (2012), 663–705.
- [10] H. Bursztyn, A. Cabrera, M. del Hoyo, *Vector bundles over Lie groupoids and algebroids*, Adv. Math. **290** (2016), 163–207.
- [11] H. Bursztyn, M. Crainic, A. Weinstein, C. Zhu, *Integration of twisted Dirac brackets*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), no. 3, 549–607.
- [12] A. Cabrera, C. Ortiz, *Quotients of multiplicative forms and Poisson reduction*, arXiv:2008.03416 (2020).
- [13] A. Cattaneo, G. Felder, *Poisson sigma models and symplectic groupoids* in: *Quantization of Singular Symplectic Quotients*, Progr. Math., Vol 198, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 61–93.
- [14] Z. Chen, M. Stiénon, and P. Xu, *Poisson 2-groups*, J. Diff. Geom. **94** (2013), no. 2, 209–240.
- [15] M. Crainic, *Differentiable and algebroid cohomology, Van Est isomorphisms, and characteristic classes*, Comm. Math. Helvetici **78** (2003), 681–721.
- [16] M. Crainic, R. L. Fernandes, *A geometric approach to Conns linearization theorem*, Ann. of Math. **173** (2011), no. 2, 1121–1139.

- [17] M. Crainic, R. L. Fernandes, *Integrability of Lie brackets*, Ann. of Math. **157** (2003), no. 2, 575–620.
- [18] M. Crainic, R. L. Fernandes, *Integrability of Poisson brackets*, J. Diff. Geom. **66** (2004), no. 1, 71–137.
- [19] M. Crainic, R. L. Fernandes, D. Martínez, *Poisson manifolds of compact types (PMCT 1)*, J. Reine Ang. Math. **756** (2019), 101–149.
- [20] В. Г. Дринфельд, *Гамильтоновы структуры на группах Ли, бивалгебры Ли и геометрический смысл классических уравнений Янга–Бакстера*, Докл. АН СССР **268** (1983), ном. 2, 285–287. Engl. transl.: V. G. Drinfeld, *Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of the classical Yang–Baxter equations*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **27** (1983), 68–71.
- [21] J. P. Dufour, N. T. Zung, *Poisson Structures and Their Normal Forms*, Progr. Math., Vol. 242, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [22] R. L. Fernandes, J. P. Ortega, and T. S. Ratiu, *The moment map in Poisson geometry*, Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), no. 5, 1261–1310.
- [23] R. L. Fernandes, D. Iglesias Ponte, *Integrability of Poisson–Lie group actions*, Letters Math. Phys. **90** (2009), no. 1, 137–159.
- [24] E. Hawkins, *A groupoid approach to quantization*, J. Symplectic Geom. **6** (2008), no. 1, 61–125.
- [25] P. J. Higgins, K. C. H. Mackenzie, *Algebraic constructions in the category of Lie algebroids*, J. Algebra **129** (1990), no. 1, 194–230.
- [26] М. В. Карасёв, *Аналоги объектов теории групп Ли для нелинейных скобок Пуассона*, Изв. АН СССР. Сер. матем. **50** (1986), вып. 3, 508–538. Eng. transl.: M. V. Karasev, *Analogues of objects of the theory of Lie groups for nonlinear Poisson brackets*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **28** (1987), 497–527.
- [27] D. Li-Bland, P. Severa, *Quasi-Hamiltonian groupoids and multiplicative Manin pairs*, Internat. Math. Res. Not. **2011** (2011), no. 10, 2295–2350.
- [28] J.-H. Lu, *Multiplicative and Affine Poisson Structures on Lie Groups*, PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1990.
- [29] J.-H. Lu, *Some examples of algebraic symplectic groupoids* (seminar talk), in: Global Poisson Webpage, 2020.
- [30] J.-H. Lu, V. Mouquin, *Double Bruhat cells and symplectic groupoids*, Transform. Groups **23** (2018), 765–800.
- [31] J.-H. Lu, V. Mouquin, *Mixed product Poisson structures associated to Poisson Lie groups and Lie bialgebras*, Internat. Math. Res. Not. **2017** (2017), no. 19, 5919–5976.
- [32] J.-H. Lu, A. Weinstein, *Groupoïdes symplectiques doubles des groupes de Lie–Poisson*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **309** (1989), no. 18, 951–954.
- [33] K. C. H. Mackenzie, *Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry*, I, Adv. Math. **94** (1992), no. 2, 180–239.
- [34] K. C. H. Mackenzie, *Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry*, II, Adv. Math. **154** (2000), no. 1, 46–75.
- [35] K. C. H. Mackenzie, *General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Vol. 213, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

- [36] K. C. H. Mackenzie, P. Xu, *Integration of Lie bialgebroids*, *Topology* **39** (2000), no. 3, 445–467.
- [37] K. C. H. Mackenzie, P. Xu, *Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids*, *Duke Math. J.* **73** (1994), no. 2, 415–452.
- [38] K. Mikami, A. Weinstein, *Moments and reduction for symplectic groupoids*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **24** (1988), no. 1, 121–140.
- [39] I. Moerdijk, J. Mrcun, *Introduction to Foliations and Lie Groupoids*, *Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math.*, Vol. 91, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [40] I. Moerdijk, J. Mrcun, *On integrability of infinitesimal actions*, *Amer. J. Math.* **124** (2000), no. 3, 567–593.
- [41] D. Iglesias Ponte, C. Laurent-Gengoux, P. Xu, *Universal lifting theorem and quasi-Poisson groupoids*, *J. Europ. Math. Soc.* **14** (2012), no. 3, 681–731.
- [42] D. Roytenberg, *Courant Algebroids, Derived Brackets and Even Symplectic Supermanifolds*, PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1999.
- [43] M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Dressing transformations and Poisson group actions*, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **21** (1985), no. 6, 1237–1260.
- [44] L. Stefanini, *On Morphic Actions and Integrability of LA-groupoids*, PhD thesis, ETH Zürich, 2008.
- [45] A. Y. Vaintrob, *Lie algebroids and homological vector fields*, *Russian Math. Surveys* **52** (1997), no. 2, 428–429.
- [46] A. Weinstein, *Coisotropic calculus and Poisson groupoids*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **40** (1988), no. 4, 705–727.
- [47] A. Weinstein, *Symplectic groupoids and Poisson manifolds*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1987), no. 1, 101–104.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.