**Journal of Evolution Equations**



# **On the second-order regularity of solutions to the parabolic** *p***-Laplace equation**

YAWEN FENGO, MIKKO PARVIAINEN AND SAARA SARSA

*Abstract.* In this paper, we study the second-order Sobolev regularity of solutions to the parabolic *p*-Laplace equation. For any *p*-parabolic function *u*, we show that  $D(|Du|^{\frac{p-2+s}{2}} Du)$  exists as a function and belongs to  $L^2_{\text{loc}}$  with  $s > -1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . The range of *s* is sharp.

# **1. Introduction**

The elliptic *p*-Laplace equation has an extensive literature on the second-order regularity. In contrast, the second-order regularity for the parabolic *p*-Laplace equation

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
u_t = \operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du) \tag{1.1}
$$

is much less studied. Throughout the paper, we have  $1 < p < \infty$ . In the elliptic case, one of the known estimates shows  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}$  regularity for the nonlinear expression of the gradient

$$
|Du|^{\frac{p-2+s}{2}}Du
$$

proven by Dong et al. [\[10](#page-15-0)] with  $s > 2 - \min\{p + \frac{n}{n-1}, 3 + \frac{p-1}{n-1}\}$ , and then extended to  $s > -1 - \frac{p-1}{n-1}$  by the third author [\[20](#page-15-1)]. The aim of this paper is to prove such a result to the parabolic *p*-Laplace equation. In other words, we prove in Theorem [2.2](#page-3-0) that for any weak or viscosity solution *u* to [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0),  $D(|Du|^{\frac{p-2+s}{2}} Du)$  exists, belongs to  $L<sub>loc</sub><sup>2</sup>$  whenever *s* > −1, and this range is sharp.

In the parabolic case, Dong et al. [\[10](#page-15-0)] proved for  $p \in (1, 3)$  that the weak or viscosity solution *u* to [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) locally belongs to  $W^{2,2}$ . This result is obtained as a special case from ours by selecting  $s = 2 - p$ . Our result also contains as a special case Lindqvist's [\[16](#page-15-2)] result for  $|Du|^{p-2}Du$  and  $|Du|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}Du$  in the range  $p \ge 2$ . As a consequence, he also observed that the time derivative  $u_t$  exists and belongs to a Sobolev space. See also a recent paper by Cianchi and Maz'ya [\[5](#page-14-0)].

*Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35K65, 35K67, 35B65

*Keywords*: *p*-parabolic functions, Weak solutions, Fundamental inequality, Sobolev regularity, Time derivative.

The heuristic idea of the proof is to differentiate the equation  $(1.1)$ , choose a test function  $\varphi = |Du|^s u_{x_k} \phi^2$  and use a fundamental inequality (the name stems from [\[10](#page-15-0)] for a related inequality)

$$
|Du|^4|D^2u|^2 \ge 2|Du|^2|D^2uDu|^2 + \frac{(|Du|^2\Delta u - \Delta_{\infty}u)^2}{n-1} - (\Delta_{\infty}u)^2
$$

from [\[20](#page-15-1)], which holds for any smooth function. Here  $\Delta u := \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{x_i x_i}$  denotes the Laplacian,  $\Delta_{\infty} u := \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{x_i x_j} u_{x_i} u_{x_j}$  the infinity Laplacian, and  $|D^2 u| :=$  $(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} u_{x_ix_j}^2)^{1/2}$  the Hilbert–Schmidt norm for matrices. Surprisingly, it is sufficient for the sharp result to use the previous inequality in a rather simple form

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
|Du|^4|D^2u|^2 \ge 2|Du|^2|D^2uDu|^2 - (\Delta_{\infty}u)^2,\tag{1.2}
$$

which we obtain by an elementary fact that the square  $(|Du|^2 \Delta u - \Delta_{\infty} u)^2$  is nonnegative. Naturally the fact that the form  $(1.2)$  is sufficient, simplifies the proof. At the same time, the form of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) makes the coefficient  $C = C(p, s)$  of estimate [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) in Theorem [2.2](#page-3-0) independent of *n*. Note that in the elliptic case, the fundamental inequalities in [\[10](#page-15-0)[,20](#page-15-1)] include the parameter *n* so that both the range of *s* and *C* depend on *n*.

Unlike the second-order regularity, the lower-order regularity of the parabolic *p*-Laplace equation has been extensively studied since the 1980s, see DiBenedetto's monograph  $[6]$  as well as, for example  $[2,8,9,14,15,22,23]$  $[2,8,9,14,15,22,23]$  $[2,8,9,14,15,22,23]$  $[2,8,9,14,15,22,23]$  $[2,8,9,14,15,22,23]$  $[2,8,9,14,15,22,23]$  $[2,8,9,14,15,22,23]$  $[2,8,9,14,15,22,23]$ . In the elliptic case, the second-order Sobolev regularity has been studied in addition to above mentioned [\[10\]](#page-15-0), for example, in  $[1,3,18]$  $[1,3,18]$  $[1,3,18]$  $[1,3,18]$ , and for a different parabolic equation in  $[11]$  $[11]$ .

### **2. Preliminaries and main results**

Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n > 1$  and  $r > 0$ . We denote by

$$
B_r(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_0 - x| < r\}
$$

the usual Euclidean ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For a space-time point  $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and a radius  $r > 0$ , we define the parabolic cylinder as

$$
Q_r(x_0, t_0) := B_r(x_0) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0 + r^2).
$$

To ease the notation, we may write  $Q_r := Q_r(x_0, t_0)$ . Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  denote an open domain. For  $T > 0$ , we set

$$
\Omega_T := \Omega \times (0, T).
$$

If *U* is compactly contained in  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $U \subset \Omega$  and the closure of *U* is a compact subset of  $\Omega$ , we write  $U \in \Omega$ . For  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ , we set

$$
U_{t_1,t_2} := U \times (t_1,t_2).
$$

We denote the first partial derivatives of a function  $u: \Omega_T \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $u_{x_k}$  and  $u_t$ . The spatial gradient is denoted by  $Du$ , and the second derivatives by  $u_{x_ix_j}$ . Further,  $D^2u$ stands for matrix of second derivatives with respect to the space variables. As usual, the Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(U)$  denotes the space of measurable functions *u* such that  $u \in L^p(U)$  and the distributional first partial derivatives  $u_{x_i}$  exist in *U* and belong to  $L^p(U)$ . We use the norm

$$
||u||_{W^{1,p}(U)} = ||u||_{L^p(U)} + ||Du||_{L^p(U)}.
$$

By the *parabolic Sobolev space*  $L^p(t_1, t_2; W^{1, p}(U))$ , with  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ , we mean the space of measurable functions  $u(x, t)$  such that the mapping  $x \mapsto u(x, t)$ belongs to  $W^{1,p}(U)$  for almost every  $t_1 < t < t_2$  and the norm

$$
\|u\|_{L^p(t_1,t_2;W^{1,p}(U))} := \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{W^{1,p}(U)}^p dt\right)^{1/p}
$$

is finite. The space  $C(\Omega_T)$  denotes the space of continuous functions on  $\Omega_T$  and  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_T)$  denotes the space of smooth, compactly supported functions on  $\Omega_T$ . A function belongs to the local Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$  if it belongs to  $W^{1,p}(\Omega')$  for every open  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ . Other local spaces are defined analogously.

We study weak solutions to the parabolic *p*-Laplace equation

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
u_t - \Delta_p u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,\tag{2.1}
$$

where

$$
\Delta_p u := \text{div} \left( |Du|^{p-2}Du \right)
$$

is the *p*-Laplace operator with  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A function *u* :  $\Omega_T$  →  $[-\infty, \infty]$  is a *weak solution* to Eq. [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) if whenever  $U_{t_1,t_2} \in \Omega_T$  is an open cylinder, we have  $u \in C(U_{t_1,t_2}) \cap L^p(t_1,t_2; W^{1,p})$  $(U)$ ), and  $u$  satisfies the integral equality

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |Du|^{p-2} \langle Du, D\phi \rangle dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u\phi_t dx dt = 0 \text{ for all } \phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_T).
$$

Such solutions are called *p*-*parabolic functions*.

Under the above definition, weak solutions are equivalent to viscosity solutions to  $(2.1)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ , see [\[13,](#page-15-9) [19,](#page-15-10) [21\]](#page-15-11). In this setting, gradients are bounded and Hölder continuous by a recent work of Imbert et al. [\[12](#page-15-12)]. Their result covers a more general class of equations containing the parabolic *p*-Laplace equation and the normalized *p*-parabolic equation arising from the game theory [\[17](#page-15-13)]. For earlier  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -regularity results based on the variational approach with various assumptions, see DiBenedetto and Friedman [\[7](#page-14-8)], Wiegner [\[24\]](#page-15-14), Chen [\[4\]](#page-14-9), and Chapter IX in [\[6\]](#page-14-2).

## 2.1. Main results

For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define the vector field  $V_s : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  as

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
V_s(z) := \begin{cases} |z|^{\frac{p-2+s}{2}} z & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \\ 0 & \text{for } z = 0. \end{cases}
$$
 (2.2)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $u: \Omega_T \to \mathbb{R}$  be a weak solution to the parabolic p-Laplace equa*tion* [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0). If  $s > -1$ , then  $D(V_s(Du))$  exists and belongs to  $L^2_{loc}(\Omega_T)$ . Moreover, we *have the estimate*

$$
\int_{Q_r} |D(V_s(Du))|^2 dxdt \leq \frac{C}{r^2} \Big( \int_{Q_{2r}} |V_s(Du)|^2 dxdt + \int_{Q_{2r}} |Du|^{s+2} dxdt \Big) \tag{2.3}
$$

*where*  $C = C(p, s) > 0$  *and*  $Q_r \subset Q_{2r} \in \Omega_T$  *are concentric parabolic cylinders.* 

Note that here the range of *s* and the coefficient *C* do not depend on *n*. For the parabolic case, the range of *s* must satisfy the constraints of both the elliptic and parabolic terms. We get the elliptic restriction  $s > -1 - \frac{p-1}{n-1}$  by [\[20\]](#page-15-1) and  $s > -1$ rising from the parabolic terms (see Remark [2.4\)](#page-3-2). By combining them, we get the restriction  $s > \max\{-1 - \frac{p-1}{n-1}, -1\} = -1$ ; thus, the inequality [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) is sufficient and further the coefficient  $C = C(p, s)$  is independent of *n*.

*Remark 2.3.* In particular, we may set  $s = 0$ , and  $s = p - 2$  for any  $1 < p < \infty$ reproving Lindqvist's result in [\[16\]](#page-15-2) for  $|Du|^\frac{p-2}{2} Du$  and  $|Du|^{p-2} Du$ . If  $1 < p < 3$ , we may set  $s = 2 - p$  to reprove the second-order Sobolev regularity obtained in [\[10\]](#page-15-0).

<span id="page-3-2"></span>*Remark 2.4* (Counter example). The counterexample from [\[10](#page-15-0)] turns out to work also in our case, and shows that the range  $s > -1$  in Theorem [2.2](#page-3-0) is sharp. By a direct calculation, the function

$$
u(x_1, x_2) = \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1} t + |x_1|^{1 + \frac{1}{p-1}}
$$

is a solution to [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty)$ , and

$$
|D(|Du|^{\frac{p-2+s}{2}}Du)| = C(p,s)|x_1|^{\frac{-p+2+s}{2(p-1)}} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0,\infty))
$$

if and only if  $s > -1$ .

Indeed, we have

$$
u_{x_1} = \frac{p}{p-1}|x_1|^{\frac{1}{p-1}-1}x_1, \quad u_{x_2} = 0,
$$

and

$$
u_{x_1x_1} = \frac{p}{(p-1)^2} |x_1|^{\frac{1}{p-1}-1}, \quad u_{x_1x_2} = u_{x_2x_1} = u_{x_2x_2} = 0.
$$

Then,

$$
|D(|Du|^{\frac{p-2+s}{2}}Du)| = \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p-2+s}{2}} |x_1|^{\frac{p-2+s}{2(p-1)}} \left|\frac{p}{(p-1)^2}|x_1|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} + \frac{p-2+s}{2} \frac{p}{(p-1)^2}|x_1|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\right|
$$
  

$$
= \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p-2+s}{2}} \frac{p(p+s)}{2(p-1)^2} |x_1|^{\frac{2-p+s}{2(p-1)}}
$$
  

$$
= C(p,s)|x_1|^{\frac{-p+2+s}{2(p-1)}}.
$$

Once we have proven the main result, Theorem [2.2,](#page-3-0) the existence and integrability of the time derivative easily follows as pointed out by Lindqvist [\[16](#page-15-2)] and Dong et al. [\[10\]](#page-15-0). We give the short proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Corollary 2.5** (Time derivative). Let  $u: \Omega_T \to \mathbb{R}$  be a weak solution to the parabolic *p-Laplace equation* [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0)*. Then, the time derivative u<sub>t</sub> exists as a function and*  $u_t \in$  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_T)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s = p - 2 > -1$ , then  $p + s = 2(p - 1) > 0$  and  $s + 2 = p > 1$ . By Theorem [2.2,](#page-3-0) for all  $Q_r \subset Q_{2r} \Subset \Omega_T$ , we have

$$
\int_{Q_r} |D(|Du|^{p-2} Du)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \n\leq \frac{C(p)}{r^2} \Big( \int_{Q_{2r}} |Du|^{2(p-1)} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{Q_{2r}} |Du|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \Big),
$$
\n(2.4)

which implies

$$
D(|Du|^{p-2} Du) \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_T).
$$

By the weak formulation

$$
\int_{Q_r} u \phi_t dx dt = - \int_{Q_r} \text{div}(|Du|^{p-2} Du) \phi dx dt \text{ for all } \phi \in C_0^{\infty}(Q_r),
$$

we get that *u<sub>t</sub>* exists, and *u<sub>t</sub>* ∈  $L^2_{loc}(\Omega_T)$ . □

# <span id="page-4-1"></span>**3. Idea of the proof**

In this section, for the convenience of the reader, we present the formal idea of the proof without excess details. In this setting, we assume that  $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_T)$  and  $Du \neq 0$ . The detailed proof is presented in Sect. [4.](#page-7-0)

Differentiating with respect to  $x_k$  in  $(2.1)$ , we get

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
(u_{x_k})_t = \text{div}(|Du|^{p-2} \land Du_{x_k})
$$
\n(3.1)

**6** Page 6 of [17](#page-14-1) Y. FENG ET AL. J. Evol. Equ.

where

$$
A = I + (p - 2) \frac{Du \otimes Du}{|Du|^2}.
$$

Here, *I* denotes the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and  $Du \otimes Du$  stands for the tensor product of two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , resulting in a matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with the entries  $u_{x_i}u_{x_j}$ .

We first study the term on the left hand side of  $(3.1)$  and choose a test function

$$
\varphi=|Du|^s\,u_{x_k}\phi^2
$$

with  $s > -1$  and  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_T)$ . Summing over *k*, we get

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega_{T}} (u_{x_{k}})_{t} \varphi \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega_{T}} (u_{x_{k}})_{t} |Du|^{s} u_{x_{k}} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t
$$
  

$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{1}{2} (u_{x_{k}}^{2})_{t} |Du|^{s} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t
$$
  

$$
= \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{1}{2} (|Du|^{2})_{t} |Du|^{s} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t
$$
  

$$
= \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{1}{s+2} (|Du|^{s+2})_{t} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t
$$
  

$$
= - \int_{\Omega_{T}} \frac{1}{s+2} |Du|^{s+2} (\varphi^{2})_{t} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t.
$$

Recalling  $(3.1)$ , we have

$$
0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega_T} \text{div}(|Du|^{p-2} \, A \, Du_{x_i}) (|Du|^s \, u_{x_i} \phi^2) \, dx \, dt + \frac{2}{s+2} \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{s+2} \, \phi \phi_t \, dx \, dt.
$$

Now the first integral on the right hand side is of the same form as in the elliptic case, and thus, the proof of [\[20](#page-15-1), Lemma3.3] gives that for any  $\eta > 0$ ,

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{p-2+s} \left\{ \left| D^2 u \right|^2 + (p-2+s-\eta) |D| |Du||^2 + (s(p-2)-\eta) (\Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2 \right\} \phi^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \n\leq \frac{C(p)}{\eta} \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{p+s} |D\phi|^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t + \frac{2}{s+2} \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{s+2} |\phi| |\phi_t| \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t,
$$
\n(3.2)

where  $\Delta_{\infty}^N u := |Du|^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_{x_ix_j} u_{x_i} u_{x_j}$  stands for the normalized or game theoretic infinity Laplacian. Observe that on the right hand side, we have bounded terms only. As a corollary, similarly as in [\[20](#page-15-1), Corollary 3.4], we get

$$
\int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{p-2+s} |D^2u|^2 \phi^2 dx dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq C(p,s,\eta) \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{p-2+s} |D| |Du||^2 \phi^2 dx dt
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{C(p)}{\eta} \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{p+s} |D\phi|^2 dx dt + \frac{2}{s+2} \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{s+2} |\phi| |\phi_t| dx dt. \quad (3.3)
$$

Next we estimate the first term on the right hand side in  $(3.3)$ . Using the inequality of [\[20](#page-15-1), Corollary 2.2]:

$$
|Du|^4|D^2u|^2 \ge 2|Du|^2|D^2uDu|^2 + \frac{(|Du|^2\Delta u - \Delta_{\infty}u)^2}{n-1} - (\Delta_{\infty}u)^2,
$$

dividing both sides by  $|Du|^4$ , we have

<span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
|D2u|2 \ge 2 |D| |Du||2 + \frac{(\Delta u - \Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2}{n-1} - (\Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge 2 |D| |Du||2 - (\Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2.
$$
 (3.4)

On the last line, we used  $(\Delta u - \Delta_{\odot}^N u)^2 \ge 0$ . Now we use the previous inequality in  $(3.2)$  for the term containing  $|D^2u|$ <sup>2</sup>, set  $\eta = \min\{\frac{1}{4}(p+s), \frac{1}{6}(p-1)(s+1)\}\text{, and}$ obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left|D^2 u\right|^2 + (p - 2 + s - \eta) |D| |Du||^2 + (s(p - 2) - \eta) (\Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2 \\
&\ge 2 |D| |Du||^2 - (\Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2 + (p - 2 + s - \eta) |D| |Du||^2 + (s(p - 2) - \eta) (\Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2 \\
&= (p + s - \eta) |D| |Du||^2 + (s(p - 2) - 1 - \eta) (\Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2 \\
&= \eta |D| |Du||^2 + (p + s - 2\eta) |D| |Du||^2 + (s(p - 2) - 1 - \eta) (\Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2 \\
&\ge \eta |D| |Du||^2 + (p + s + s(p - 2) - 1 - 3\eta) (\Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2 \\
&= \eta |D| |Du||^2 + ((p - 1)(s + 1) - 3\eta) (\Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2 \\
&\ge \eta |D| |Du||^2 = C(p, s) |D| |Du||^2,\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(3.5)

whenever  $s > -1$ . We also used

<span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
|D| |Du||^2 = \frac{|D^2 u Du|^2}{|Du|^2} \ge \left(\frac{\langle D^2 u Du, Du \rangle}{|Du|^2}\right)^2 = (\Delta_{\infty}^N u)^2. \tag{3.6}
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{p-2+s} |D| |Du||^2 \phi^2 dxdt
$$
\n
$$
\leq C(p,s) \Big( \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{p+s} |D\phi|^2 dxdt + \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{s+2} |\phi| |\phi_t| dxdt \Big).
$$

Combining this with  $(3.3)$ , we get

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{p-2+s} |D^2u|^2 \phi^2 dxdt
$$
\n
$$
\leq C(p,s) \Big( \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{p+s} |D\phi|^2 dxdt + \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{s+2} |\phi||\phi_t| dxdt \Big).
$$

By a direct calculation

$$
\int_{\Omega_T} |D(|Du|^{\frac{p-2+s}{2}} Du)|^2 \phi^2 dx dt \le C(p,s) \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{p-2+s} |D^2 u|^2 \phi^2 dx dt, \quad (3.7)
$$

and combining this with the previous estimate, we finally get

$$
\int_{\Omega_T} |D(|Du|^{\frac{p-2+s}{2}} Du)|^2 \phi^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t
$$
\n
$$
\leq C(p,s) \Big( \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{p+s} |D\phi|^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t + \int_{\Omega_T} |Du|^{s+2} |\phi| |\phi_t| \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \Big). \tag{3.8}
$$

The estimate in Theorem [2.2](#page-3-0) is obtained by choosing  $\phi$  as a standard cutoff function.

### <span id="page-7-0"></span>**4. Detailed proof**

In this section, we present a detailed proof of Theorem [2.2](#page-3-0) by regularizing Eq.  $(2.1)$ . Solutions to the regularized equation will be smooth, and thus, the differentiation of this equation is justified. Since the obtained estimates will be uniform with respect to the regularization, we will be able to pass to the original equation at the end.

To start with the above plan, let  $u: \Omega_T \to \mathbb{R}$  be a *p*-parabolic function. Fix a smooth subdomain  $U \in \Omega$  and  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$  such that  $U_{t_1,t_2} \in \Omega_T$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be small and  $u^{\epsilon}: U_{t_1,t_2} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a weak solution to

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases} u_t^{\epsilon} - \operatorname{div} \left( \mu^{p-2} D u^{\epsilon} \right) = 0 & \text{in } U_{t_1, t_2}; \\ u^{\epsilon} = u & \text{on } \partial_p U_{t_1, t_2}, \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
(4.1)
$$

where

$$
\mu := \sqrt{|Du^{\epsilon}|^2 + \epsilon}
$$

and the *parabolic boundary* is defined as

$$
\partial_p U_{t_1,t_2} = (\overline{U} \times \{t_1\}) \cup (\partial U \times (t_1,t_2]).
$$

<span id="page-7-2"></span>According to standard parabolic theory, we get  $u^{\epsilon} \in C^{\infty}(U_{t_1,t_2}) \cap C(\overline{U}_{t_1,t_2})$ , see [\[7](#page-14-8),[24\]](#page-15-14).

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let*  $u^{\epsilon}: U_{t_1,t_2} \to \mathbb{R}$  *be a weak solution to* [\(4.1\)](#page-7-1)*. If*  $s > -1$ *, then for any*  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U_{t_1,t_2})$ *, we have* 

$$
\int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} \mu^{p-2+s} |D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2 \phi^2 dxdt
$$
\n
$$
\leq C \Big( \int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} \mu^{p-2+s} |Du^{\epsilon}|^2 |D\phi|^2 dxdt + \int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} \mu^{s+2} |\phi| |\phi_t| dxdt \Big)
$$

*where*  $C = C(p, s) > 0$  *is independent of*  $\epsilon$ *.* 

To prove Lemma [4.1,](#page-7-2) we use the inequality [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0). In Sect. [3,](#page-4-1) under the assumption  $Du \neq 0$ , we can directly divide both sides of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) by  $|Du|^4$  to get the inequality [\(3.4\)](#page-6-1), which gives the lower bound of  $|D^2u|^2$ . In order to get an inequality similar to [\(3.4\)](#page-6-1), when gives the lower bound of  $|B_n|$ . In order to get an inequality similar to (3.4), we also need to consider the case when  $Du = 0$ . Thus, we reformulate [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) here in a way that allows us to apply it in this context.

For the reformulation, we introduce some notations. Let  $v: U_{t_1,t_2} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function. In particular,  $|Dv|$  is locally Lipschitz continuous (by triangle inequality) and thus, by Rademacher's theorem, differentiable almost everywhere on each time slice, hence also in  $U_{t_1,t_2}$ .

Note that if  $(x_0, t_0) \in U_{t_1, t_2}$  is a space-time point where  $|Dv|$  is differentiable and  $Dv(x_0, t_0) = 0$ , then  $D|Dv|(x_0, t_0) = 0$ . Indeed, if we had  $D|Dv|(x_0, t_0) \neq 0$ , then we could find a point  $\xi \in U \times \{t_0\}$  (close to  $(x_0, t_0)$ ) such that  $|Dv|(\xi) < 0$ , which is obviously impossible. On the other hand, if  $Dv(x_0, t_0) \neq 0$  for some  $(x_0, t_0) \in U_{t_1, t_2}$ , then  $|Dv|$  is differentiable at  $(x_0, t_0)$  and

$$
D|Dv|(x_0, t_0) = \frac{D^2v(x_0, t_0)Dv(x_0, t_0)}{|Dv(x_0, t_0)|}.
$$

For each point in  $U_{t_1,t_2}$  where  $Dv \neq 0$ , we fix an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ , such that  $e_n = \frac{Dv}{|Dv|}$ . Hence, we have, for those points where  $Dv \neq 0$ ,

$$
\frac{D^2vDv}{|Dv|} = \langle e_1, D|Dv|\rangle e_1 + \dots + \langle e_{n-1}, D|Dv|\rangle e_{n-1} + \left\langle \frac{Dv}{|Dv|}, D|Dv|\right\rangle \frac{Dv}{|Dv|}.
$$

For those points where  $|Dv|$  is differentiable, let us define the part of  $D|Dv|$  which is tangential to the spatial level sets of  $v$  as

$$
D_T|Dv| := \begin{cases} \langle e_1, D|Dv|\rangle e_1 + \cdots + \langle e_{n-1}, D|Dv|\rangle e_{n-1} & \text{if } Dv \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } Dv = 0, \end{cases}
$$

and its orthogonal counterpart, the normalized infinity Laplacian, as

$$
\Delta_{\infty}^N v := \begin{cases} \left\langle \frac{Dv}{|Dv|}, D|Dv| \right\rangle = \frac{\Delta_{\infty}v}{|Dv|^2} & \text{if } Dv \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } Dv = 0. \end{cases}
$$

We employ these notations to write

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
|D|Dv||^2 = |D_T|Dv||^2 + (\Delta_{\infty}^N v)^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } U_{t_1, t_2}.
$$
 (4.2)

In Sect. [3,](#page-4-1) without dividing  $|D|Dv||^2$  into two parts, we use the inequality [\(3.4\)](#page-6-1) and  $(3.6)$  to get the estimate  $(3.5)$ . When using  $(3.6)$ , we need to be careful and check that if the coefficient of  $|D|Dv||^2$  is nonnegative. For the regularization, the coefficients of each terms become more complicated, and thus, by using the equality [\(4.2\)](#page-9-0), we can consider the coefficients together in the last step of the estimate. Now we can restate  $(1.2).$  $(1.2).$ 

<span id="page-9-4"></span>**Lemma 4.2.** *Let*  $v: U_{t_1,t_2} \to \mathbb{R}$  *be a smooth function. Then,* 

$$
|D^2v|^2 \ge 2|D_T|Dv||^2 + (\Delta_{\infty}^N v)^2 \quad a.e. \text{ in } U_{t_1, t_2}.\tag{4.3}
$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $|Dv|$  is differentiable a.e. in  $U_{t_1,t_2}$ . From now on, consider such points of  $U_{t_1,t_2}$  where  $|Dv|$  is differentiable. When  $n = 1$ , by the definition of  $D_T|Dv|$ , we have  $D_T|D_v| = 0$  and [\(4.3\)](#page-9-1) is obviously an identity. Then, we consider the case  $n \geq 2$ . If  $Dv = 0$ , then [\(4.3\)](#page-9-1) holds trivially by what we defined above. If  $Dv \neq 0$ , then by  $[20,$  Corollary 2.2], we have

$$
|Dv|^4|D^2v|^2 \ge 2|Dv|^2|D^2vDv|^2 + \frac{(|Dv|^2\Delta v - \Delta_{\infty}v)^2}{n-1} - (\Delta_{\infty}v)^2.
$$

Dividing both sides by  $|Dv|^4$ , using the definitions of  $D_T|Dv|$  and  $\Delta_{\infty}^N v$ , we get the desired inequality by following:

$$
\left| D^2 v \right|^2 \ge 2 |D| |Dv||^2 + \frac{(\Delta v - \Delta_{\infty}^N v)^2}{n - 1} - (\Delta_{\infty}^N v)^2
$$
  
 
$$
\ge 2 |D| |Dv||^2 - (\Delta_{\infty}^N v)^2
$$
  
= 2|D<sub>T</sub>||Dv||^2 + (\Delta\_{\infty}^N v)^2.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>

*Proof of Lemma [4.1.](#page-7-2)* The spatial partial derivatives  $u_{x_k}^{\epsilon}$ ,  $k = 1, ..., n$ , solve

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
(u_{x_k}^{\epsilon})_t - \text{div}\,\left(\mu^{p-2}ADu_{x_k}^{\epsilon}\right) = 0\tag{4.4}
$$

where

$$
A = I + (p - 2) \frac{Du^{\epsilon} \otimes Du^{\epsilon}}{\mu^2}.
$$

Note that

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
\min\{1, p - 1\}I \le A \le \max\{1, p - 1\}I \tag{4.5}
$$

uniformly in  $U_{t_1,t_2}$  and for  $\epsilon$ .

We multiply Eq. [\(4.4\)](#page-9-2) with  $\mu^s u_{x_k}^{\epsilon}$ , where  $s > -1$ , and obtain

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\mu^s u_{x_k}^{\epsilon}(u_{x_k}^{\epsilon})_t - \mu^s u_{x_k}^{\epsilon} \operatorname{div} \left(\mu^{p-2} A D u_{x_k}^{\epsilon}\right) = 0. \tag{4.6}
$$

For the first item in the above display, we note that

<span id="page-10-2"></span><span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
u_{x_k}^{\epsilon}(u_{x_k}^{\epsilon})_t = \frac{1}{2} \left( (u_{x_k}^{\epsilon})^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{n} \right)_t. \tag{4.7}
$$

Summing [\(4.6\)](#page-10-0) over  $k = 1, \ldots, n$  and taking [\(4.7\)](#page-10-1) into account gives that

$$
\frac{1}{s+2}(\mu^{s+2})_t - \mu^s \sum_{k=1}^n u_{x_k}^{\epsilon} \operatorname{div} \left(\mu^{p-2} A D u_{x_k}^{\epsilon}\right) = 0. \tag{4.8}
$$

Observe that

$$
\text{div}\,\left(\mu^{p-2+s}A\,D^2u^{\epsilon}Du^{\epsilon}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{div}\,\left((\mu^s u_{x_k}^{\epsilon})(\mu^{p-2}A\,Du_{x_k}^{\epsilon})\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \mu^s \sum_{k=1}^n u_{x_k}^{\epsilon} \text{div}\,\left(\mu^{p-2}A\,Du_{x_k}^{\epsilon}\right) + \mu^{p-2+s}\left(|D^2u^{\epsilon}|^2\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ (p-2+s)\frac{|D^2u^{\epsilon}Du^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^2} + s(p-2)\frac{(\Delta_{\infty}u^{\epsilon})^2}{\mu^4}.
$$
\n(4.9)

Above we used

$$
\langle A\, Du_{x_k}^{\epsilon}, Du_{x_k}^{\epsilon}\rangle = |Du_{x_k}^{\epsilon}|^2 + (p-2)\frac{\langle Du^{\epsilon}, Du_{x_k}^{\epsilon}\rangle^2}{\mu^2},
$$

$$
\langle A\, Du_{x_k}^{\epsilon}, D^2 u^{\epsilon}\, Du^{\epsilon}\rangle = \langle Du_{x_k}^{\epsilon}, D^2 u^{\epsilon}\, Du^{\epsilon}\rangle + (p-2)\frac{\langle Du^{\epsilon}, Du_{x_k}^{\epsilon}\rangle \Delta_{\infty} u^{\epsilon}}{\mu^2},
$$

and a straightforward computation. In other words,

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
\mu^{s} \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{x_{k}}^{\epsilon} \operatorname{div} \left( \mu^{p-2} A \, D u_{x_{k}}^{\epsilon} \right) = \operatorname{div} \left( \mu^{p-2+s} A \, D^{2} u^{\epsilon} D u^{\epsilon} \right) - \mu^{p-2+s} \sigma, \quad (4.10)
$$

where

$$
\sigma := |D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2 + (p - 2 + s) \frac{|D^2 u^{\epsilon} D u^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^2} + s(p - 2) \frac{(\Delta_{\infty} u^{\epsilon})^2}{\mu^4}.
$$

By  $(4.8)$  and  $(4.10)$ , we have

$$
\mu^{p-2+s}\sigma = \text{div}\,\left(\mu^{p-2+s}A\,D^2u^{\epsilon}Du^{\epsilon}\right) - \frac{1}{s+2}(\mu^{s+2})_t. \tag{4.11}
$$

We claim that for  $s > -1$ , we can find a small number  $\lambda = \lambda(p, s) > 0$  such that

<span id="page-10-5"></span><span id="page-10-4"></span>
$$
\lambda |D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2 \le \sigma \quad \text{a.e. in } U_{t_1, t_2}.\tag{4.12}
$$

Observe that this is not a trivial inequality since not all the coefficients are positive in σ.

If [\(4.12\)](#page-10-4) holds, then the desired estimate follows easily. Indeed, we plug the estimate  $(4.12)$  into Eq.  $(4.11)$  to obtain

$$
\lambda \mu^{p-2+s} |D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2 \le \text{div} \left( \mu^{p-2+s} A \, D^2 u^{\epsilon} D u^{\epsilon} \right) - \frac{1}{s+2} (\mu^{s+2})_t. \tag{4.13}
$$

Let  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U_{t_1,t_2})$ . Multiplying [\(4.13\)](#page-11-0) by  $\phi^2$  and then integrating over  $U_{t_1,t_2}$  yields

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\lambda \int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} \mu^{p-2+s} |D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2 \phi^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \n\leq \int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} \left( \mathrm{div} \left( \mu^{p-2+s} A \, D^2 u^{\epsilon} D u^{\epsilon} \right) - \frac{1}{s+2} (\mu^{s+2})_t \right) \phi^2 \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t.
$$

We employ integration by parts,  $(4.5)$  and Young's inequality to obtain the upper bound of the right hand side term in above inequality,

$$
\int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} \left( \operatorname{div} \left( \mu^{p-2+s} A \, D^2 u^{\epsilon} D u^{\epsilon} \right) - \frac{1}{s+2} (\mu^{s+2})_t \right) \phi^2 \operatorname{d}x \operatorname{d}t \n= - \int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} \mu^{p-2+s} \langle A \, D^2 u^{\epsilon} D u^{\epsilon}, D \phi^2 \rangle \operatorname{d}x \operatorname{d}t + \frac{1}{s+2} \int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} \mu^{s+2} (\phi^2)_t \operatorname{d}x \operatorname{d}t \n\le \eta \int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} \mu^{p-2+s} |D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2 \phi^2 \operatorname{d}x \operatorname{d}t + \frac{C}{\eta} \int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} \mu^{p-2+s} |D u^{\epsilon}|^2 |D \phi|^2 \operatorname{d}x \operatorname{d}t \n+ \frac{2}{s+2} \int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} \mu^{s+2} |\phi| |\phi_t| \operatorname{d}x \operatorname{d}t
$$

for any  $\eta > 0$  and some constant  $C = C(p) > 0$ . The desired estimate follows by choosing  $\eta = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ .

It remains to prove  $(4.12)$ . As explained above in this section, we can write

$$
\sigma = |D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2 + (p - 2 + s) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^2} |D|Du^{\epsilon}|^2 + s(p - 2) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^4}{\mu^4} (\Delta_{\infty}^N u^{\epsilon})^2
$$
  
=  $|D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2 + (p - 2 + s) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^2} |D_T|Du^{\epsilon}|^2$   
+  $\left((p - 2 + s) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^2} + s(p - 2) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^4}{\mu^4}\right) (\Delta_{\infty}^N u^{\epsilon})^2$ 

almost everywhere in  $U_{t_1,t_2}$ . For  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , we write

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\sigma = \lambda \sigma + (1 - \lambda)\sigma. \tag{4.14}
$$

For the latter part of  $\sigma$  on the right hand side of [\(4.14\)](#page-11-1), we utilize the nonnegativity of the  $|D^2u^{\epsilon}|^2$ -term via the inequality of Lemma [4.2:](#page-9-4)

$$
|D^2u^{\epsilon}|^2 \ge 2|D_T|Du^{\epsilon}|^2 + (\Delta_{\infty}^N u^{\epsilon})^2.
$$

We obtain a lower bound

$$
\sigma \ge \left(2 + (p - 2 + s) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^2}\right) |D_T| |Du^{\epsilon}||^2
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left(1 + (p - 2 + s) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^2} + s(p - 2) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^4}{\mu^4}\right) (\Delta_{\infty}^N u^{\epsilon})^2 =: \tau.
$$

Now we have

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
\sigma \geq \lambda(\sigma - \tau) + \tau. \tag{4.15}
$$

Writing

$$
1 = \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{\epsilon}{\mu^2}
$$

allows us to divide the terms in  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  according to the degree of  $\epsilon$ . This kind of regrouping is useful, because it separates the main terms that appear also in the formal calculation of Sect. [3](#page-4-1) from those terms that appear as a result of the regularization.

Indeed, we write

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\tau = \left(2\left(\frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + \frac{\epsilon}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{2} + (p - 2 + s)\frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\left(\frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + \frac{\epsilon}{\mu^{2}}\right)\right)|D_{T}|Du^{\epsilon}||^{2} \n+ \left(\left(\frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + \frac{\epsilon}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{2} + (p - 2 + s)\frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\left(\frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + \frac{\epsilon}{\mu^{2}}\right) \n+ s(p - 2)\frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^{4}}{\mu^{4}}\right)(\Delta_{\infty}^{N}u^{\epsilon})^{2} \n= \left((p + s)\frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^{4}}{\mu^{4}} + (p + s + 2)\frac{\epsilon|Du^{\epsilon}|^{2}}{\mu^{4}} + \frac{2\epsilon^{2}}{\mu^{4}}\right)|D_{T}|Du^{\epsilon}||^{2} \n+ \left((p - 1)(s + 1)\frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^{4}}{\mu^{4}} + (p + s)\frac{\epsilon|Du^{\epsilon}|^{2}}{\mu^{4}} + \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{\mu^{4}}\right)(\Delta_{\infty}^{N}u^{\epsilon})^{2}
$$
\n(4.16)

and

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\sigma - \tau = |D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2 - 2|D_T|Du^{\epsilon}|^2 - (\Delta_{\infty}^N u^{\epsilon})^2
$$
  
=  $|D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2 - 2\left(\frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^4}{\mu^4} + \frac{2\epsilon|Du^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{\mu^4}\right)|D_T|Du^{\epsilon}|^2$  (4.17)  
-  $\left(\frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^4}{\mu^4} + \frac{2\epsilon|Du^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{\mu^4}\right)(\Delta_{\infty}^N u^{\epsilon})^2$ .

As we plug [\(4.16\)](#page-12-0) and [\(4.17\)](#page-12-1) into [\(4.15\)](#page-12-2), we can easily choose  $\lambda = \lambda(p, s) > 0$  so small that

$$
\sigma \ge \lambda |D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2 + \left( (p + s - 2\lambda) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^4}{\mu^4} + (p + s + 2 - 4\lambda) \frac{\epsilon |Du^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^4} + (2 - 2\lambda) \frac{\epsilon^2}{\mu^4} \right) |D_T| |Du^{\epsilon}|^2 + \left( ((p - 1)(s + 1) - \lambda) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^4}{\mu^4} + (2 - 2\lambda) \frac{\epsilon^2}{\mu^4} \right) |D_T| |Du^{\epsilon}|^2 + \left( ((p - 1)(s + 1) - \lambda) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^4}{\mu^4} + (2 - 2\lambda) \frac{\epsilon^2}{\mu^4} \right) |Du^{\epsilon}|^2 + \left( ((p - 1)(s + 1) - \lambda) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^4}{\mu^4} + (2 - 2\lambda) \frac{\epsilon^2}{\mu^4} \right) |Du^{\epsilon}|^2 + \left( ((p - 1)(s + 1) - \lambda) \frac{|Du^{\epsilon}|^4}{\mu^4} + (2 - 2\lambda) \frac{\epsilon^2}{\mu^4} \right) |Du^{\epsilon}|^2
$$

+ 
$$
(p + s - 2\lambda) \frac{\epsilon |Du^{\epsilon}|^2}{\mu^4} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\epsilon^2}{\mu^4} (\Delta_{\infty}^N u^{\epsilon})^2
$$
  
\n $\geq \lambda |D^2 u^{\epsilon}|^2$ .

This is indeed possible because  $s > -1$ . Now that we have shown [\(4.12\)](#page-10-4), the proof is finished. finished.  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Theorem [2.2.](#page-3-0)* To prove Theorem [2.2,](#page-3-0) we need to justify letting  $\epsilon \to 0$  in Lemma [4.1.](#page-7-2) For notational convenience, we introduce the regularized version of the vector field  $V_s$  which corresponds to Lemma [4.1.](#page-7-2) Let us define  $V_s^{\epsilon}$ :  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  as

$$
V_s^{\epsilon}(z) := (|z|^2 + \epsilon)^{\frac{p-2+s}{4}} z \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{R}^n.
$$

Similarly to [\(3.7\)](#page-7-3), by Lemma [4.1,](#page-7-2) there exists a constant  $C = C(p, s) > 0$  such that

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} |D(V_s^{\epsilon} (Du^{\epsilon}))|^2 \phi^2 dx dt \le C \Big( \int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} |V_s^{\epsilon} (Du^{\epsilon})|^2 |D\phi|^2 dx dt + \int_{U_{t_1,t_2}} (|Du^{\epsilon}|^2 + \epsilon)^{\frac{s+2}{2}} |\phi| |\phi_t| dx dt \Big)
$$
(4.18)

for any  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U_{t_1,t_2})$ .

The estimate  $(2.3)$  can be derived from  $(4.18)$  as follows. Let us fix a space-time point  $(x_0, t_0) \in U_{t_1,t_2}$ . Let  $r > 0$  be small enough such that the parabolic cylinder with center  $(x_0, t_0)$  and radius 2*r* fits inside  $U_{t_1,t_2}$ , that is  $Q_{2r} \in U_{t_1,t_2}$ . Let  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U_{t_1,t_2})$ be a cutoff function such that

$$
\phi \equiv 1 \text{ in } Q_r, \quad |\phi| \le 1, \quad \text{spt } \phi \subset Q_{2r}, \quad |D\phi| \le \frac{10}{r} \quad \text{and} \quad |\phi_t| \le \frac{10}{r^2}. \quad (4.19)
$$

The estimate  $(4.18)$  implies that

$$
\int_{Q_r} |D(V_s^{\epsilon}(Du^{\epsilon}))|^2 dxdt
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{C}{r^2} \Big( \int_{Q_{2r}} |V_s^{\epsilon}(Du^{\epsilon})|^2 dxdt + \int_{Q_{2r}} (|Du^{\epsilon}|^2 + \epsilon)^{\frac{s+2}{2}} dxdt \Big) \tag{4.20}
$$

for  $C = C(p, s) > 0$ .

Since  $s > -1$ , we can apply, for example, [\[12](#page-15-12)] to conclude for the gradient

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
||Du^{\epsilon}||_{C^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}_{2r})}\leq C.
$$

Thus  $Du^{\epsilon}$  converge uniformly (and strongly in  $L^p$ ) by Arzelà–Ascoli theorem. It follows that the limit *u* is a solution to [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0). Moreover, the right hand side of [\(4.20\)](#page-13-1) is thus bounded from above by a constant independent of  $\epsilon$ . Thus  $\{D(V_s^{\epsilon}(Du^{\epsilon}))\}_{\epsilon}$  is bounded in  $L^2(Q_r)$ , and consequently we may extract a subsequence that converges weakly in  $L^2(O_r)$ . Further, using integration by parts, we see that the limit is  $D(V_s(Du))$ , and thus

$$
\int_{Q_r} |D(V_s(Du))|^2 dxdt \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{Q_r} |D(V_s^{\varepsilon} (Du^{\varepsilon}))|^2 dxdt
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{C}{r^2} \Big( \int_{Q_{2r}} |V_s^{\varepsilon} (Du^{\varepsilon})|^2 dxdt + \int_{Q_{2r}} (|Du^{\varepsilon}|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{s+2}{2}} dxdt \Big)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \frac{C}{r^2} \Big( \int_{Q_{2r}} |V_s(Du)|^2 dxdt + \int_{Q_{2r}} |Du|^{s+2} dxdt \Big),
$$

which is the desired estimate.  $\Box$ 

#### **Acknowledgements**

Yawen Feng was supported by China Scholarship Council, No. 202006020186. Saara Sarsa was supported by the Academy of Finland, the Centre of Excellence in Analysis and Dynamics Research and the Academy of Finland, Project 308759.

**Funding** Open Access funding provided by University of Jyväskylä (JYU).

**Open Access.** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### <span id="page-14-1"></span>**REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-14-6"></span>[1] A. Attouchi and E. Ruosteenoja. Remarks on regularity for *p*-Laplacian type equations in nondivergence form. *J. Differential Equations*, 265(5):1922–1961, 2018.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>[2] V. Bögelein, F. Duzaar, and G. Mingione. The regularity of general parabolic systems with degenerate diffusion. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 221(1041):vi+143, 2013.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>[3] B. Bojarski and T. Iwaniec. *p*-harmonic equation and quasiregular mappings. *Partial differential equations* (Warsaw, 1984), *Banach Center Publ.*, 19, PWN, Warsaw: 25–38, 1987.
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>[4] Y. Chen. Hölder continuity of the gradient of the solutions of certain degenerate parabolic equations. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 8(3):343–356, 1987. A Chinese summary appears in Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A **8** (1987), no. 3, 534.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>[5] A. Cianchi and V.G. Maz'ya. Second-order regularity for parabolic *p*-Laplace problems. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 30(2):1565–1583, 2020.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>[6] E. DiBenedetto. *Degenerate parabolic equations*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>[7] E. DiBenedetto and A. Friedman. Hölder estimates for nonlinear degenerate parabolic systems. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 357:1–22, 1985.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>[8] E. DiBenedetto, U. Gianazza, and V. Vespri. Harnack estimates for quasi-linear degenerate parabolic differential equations. *Acta Math.*, 200(2):181–209, 2008.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>[9] E. DiBenedetto, U. Gianazza, and V. Vespri. *Harnack's inequality for degenerate and singular parabolic equations*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2012.

- <span id="page-15-0"></span>[10] H. Dong, F. Peng, Y. Zhang, and Y. Zhou. Hessian estimates for equations involving *p*-Laplacian via a fundamental inequality. *Adv. Math.*, 370:107212, 40, 2020.
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>[11] F.A. Høeg and P. Lindqvist. Regularity of solutions of the parabolic normalized *p*-Laplace equation. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, 9(1):7-15, 2020 .
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>[12] C. Imbert, T. Jin, and L. Silvestre. Hölder gradient estimates for a class of singular or degenerate parabolic equations. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, 8(1):845–867, 2019.
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>[13] P. Juutinen, P. Lindqvist, and J.J. Manfredi. On the equivalence of viscosity solutions and weak solutions for a quasi-linear equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 33(3):699–717, 2001.
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>[14] J. Kinnunen and J.L. Lewis. Higher integrability for parabolic systems of *p*-Laplacian type. *Duke Math. J.*, 102(2):253–271, 2000.
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>[15] T. Kuusi. Harnack estimates for weak supersolutions to nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 7(4):673–716, 2008.
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>[16] P. Lindqvist. On the time derivative in a quasilinear equation. *Skr. K. Nor. Vidensk. Selsk.*, (2):1–7, 2008.
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>[17] J.J. Manfredi, M. Parviainen, and J.D. Rossi. An asymptotic mean value characterization for *p*harmonic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138:881–889, 2010.
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>[18] J.J. Manfredi and A. Weitsman. On the Fatou theorem for *p*-harmonic functions. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 13(6):651–668, 1988.
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>[19] M. Parviainen and J.L. Vázquez. Equivalence between radial solutions of different parabolic gradient-diffusion equations and applications. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 21:303– 359, 2020.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>[20] S. Sarsa. Note on an elementary inequality and its application to the regularity of -harmonic functions, Ann. Fenn. Math., 47(1):139–153, 2022.
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>[21] J. Siltakoski. Equivalence of viscosity and weak solutions for a *p*-parabolic equation. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 21(2):2047–2080, 2021.
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>[22] J.M. Urbano. *The method of intrinsic scaling*, volume 1930 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.A systematic approach to regularity for degenerate and singular PDEs.
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>[23] J.L. Vázquez. *Smoothing and decay estimates for nonlinear diffusion equations*, volume 33 of *Oxford Lecture Ser. Math. Appl.*Oxford University Press, Oxford,2006. Equations of porous medium type.
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>[24] M. Wiegner. On *C*α-regularity of the gradient of solutions of degenerate parabolic systems. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 145:385–405, 1986.

*Yawen Feng and Mikko Parviainen Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Jyväskylä PO Box 35 40014 Jyväskylä Finland E-mail: yawen.y.feng@jyu.fi*

*Mikko Parviainen E-mail: mikko.j.parviainen@jyu.fi*

*Yawen Feng School of Mathematical Science Beihang University Changping District Shahe Higher Education Park South Third Street No. 9 Beijing 102206 P. R. China*

*Saara Sarsa Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Helsinki PO Box 68(Pietari Kalmin katu 5) 00014 Helsinki Finland E-mail: saara.sarsa@helsinki.fi*

Accepted: 10 January 2022