



A global weak solution to the full bosonic string heat flow

VOLKER BRANDING

Abstract. We prove the existence of a unique global weak solution to the full bosonic string heat flow from closed Riemannian surfaces to an arbitrary target under smallness conditions on the two-form and the scalar potential. The solution is smooth with the exception of finitely many singular points. Finally, we discuss the convergence of the heat flow and obtain a new existence result for critical points of the full bosonic string action.

1. Introduction and results

The action functional for the full bosonic string is an important model in contemporary theoretical physics. It is defined for a map from a two-dimensional domain taking values in a manifold. The action functional consists of three contributions: Besides the *Polyakov action* one considers the so-called *B-field action* and a *Dilaton* contribution. For the physics background of the full bosonic string we refer to [11, p. 108].

This article is a sequel to previous work concerning the existence of critical points of the full bosonic string action. In [2], an existence result was given in the case of the domain being a closed Riemannian surface and the target a Riemannian manifold having negative sectional curvature. Moreover, a second existence result has been established in [3] for the domain being two-dimensional Minkowski space and the target an arbitrary closed Riemannian manifold.

The aim of this article is to extend the existence result from [2] to arbitrary targets without posing any curvature assumption. In addition, we prove a regularity result for weak solutions of the critical points of the full bosonic string action.

Let us explain the geometric setup in more detail. Throughout this article (M, h) is a closed Riemannian surface and (N, g) a closed, oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension $\dim N \geq 3$. For a map $\phi: M \rightarrow N$, we consider the square of its differential giving rise to the well-known Dirichlet energy, whose critical points are *harmonic maps*. Let B be a two-form on N , which we pull back by the map ϕ and $V: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a scalar function.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 58E20, 35K55, 53C80

Keywords: Full bosonic string, Heat flow, Global weak solution.

In the physics literature, the full action for the bosonic string is given by

$$S_{bos}(\phi, h) = \int_M \left(\frac{1}{2} |d\phi|^2 + \phi^* B + V(\phi) \right) d\text{vol}_h. \quad (1.1)$$

We explicitly state the dependence of the action functional on the metric of the domain M since the scalar potential $V(\phi)$ is not invariant under conformal transformations. Note that in the physics literature the scalar potential $V(\phi)$ often gets multiplied with the scalar curvature of the domain.

In the mathematics literature, there have been several articles dealing with energy functionals similar to (1.1). On the one hand, there is the notion of *harmonic maps with potential* introduced in [7, 8], which are critical points of (1.1) with $B = 0$. On the other hand, there have been several studies of the heat flow of (1.1) with $V = 0$, see for example [17, 18] and [4]. For more references on the mathematical background see the introduction of [2] and references therein. The tools that we use in this article mostly originate from the theory of harmonic maps, see [13] and the book [14] for a detailed presentation.

The Euler–Lagrange equation of the functional (1.1) is given by

$$\tau(\phi) = Z(d\phi(e_1) \wedge d\phi(e_2)) + \nabla V(\phi), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\tau(\phi) := \text{Tr}_h \nabla d\phi \in \Gamma(\phi^* TN)$ denotes the tension field of the map ϕ and the vector-bundle homomorphism $Z \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\Lambda^2 T^* N, TN))$ is defined by the equation

$$\Omega(\eta, \xi_1, \xi_2) = \langle Z(\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2), \eta \rangle,$$

where $\Omega = dB$ is a three-form on N and $\{e_1, e_2\}$ an orthonormal basis of TM . For a derivation of (1.2) see [2, Proposition 2.1].

First of all, we analyze the regularity of weak solutions of (1.2) and prove the following

THEOREM 1.1. *Let (M, h) be a closed Riemannian surface and (N, g) a closed Riemannian manifold with $\dim N \geq 3$. Suppose that $\phi \in W^{1,2}(M, N)$ solves (1.2) in a distributional sense. If $V(\phi)$ is smooth then $\phi \in C^\infty(M, N)$.*

The major part of this article is devoted to the study of the L^2 -gradient flow of the functional (1.1), which is given by the following evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, t) &= \tau(\phi)(x, t) - Z(d\phi(e_1) \wedge d\phi(e_2))(x, t) - \nabla V(\phi)(x, t), \\ \phi(x, 0) &= \phi_0(x). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

This is a natural generalization of the harmonic map heat flow from surfaces. Although most of the analytical results obtained in this article follow the ideas from the standard harmonic map heat flow we will encounter several new phenomena due to the presence of the scalar potential $V(\phi)$ in the action functional. For simplicity, we

will mostly assume that the scalar potential is smooth. However, we will point out the influence of a potential of lower regularity on the solution of (1.3) at several places.

By assumption the manifold N is compact, hence the potential $V(\phi)$ satisfies $-A_1 \leq V(\phi) \leq A_2$ for positive constants A_1, A_2 . Exploiting this fact, we set

$$0 \leq \tilde{V}(\phi) := V(\phi) + A_1. \tag{1.4}$$

We will prove the following

THEOREM 1.2. *Let (M, h) be a closed Riemannian surface and (N, g) a closed Riemannian manifold. Moreover, suppose that $|B|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$ and that $V(\phi) \in C^\infty(N, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$\int_M \tilde{V}(\phi) d\text{vol}_h < \delta \tag{1.5}$$

for some small $\delta > 0$.

Then for any initial data $\phi_0 \in W^{1,2}(M, N)$, there exists a global weak solution

$$\phi: M \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow N$$

of (1.3) on $M \times [0, \infty)$, which is smooth away from at most finitely many singular points (x_k, t_k) , $1 \leq k \leq K$ with $K = K(\phi_0, |V(\phi)|_{L^\infty}, |B|_{L^\infty}, M)$. The weak solution constructed here is unique, and the energy functional (1.1) is decreasing with respect to time.

Moreover, there exists a sequence $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\phi(\cdot, t_k)$ converges weakly in $W^{1,2}(M, N)$ to a solution of (1.2) denoted by ϕ_∞ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ suitably and strongly away from finitely many points $(x_k, t_k = \infty)$. The limiting map ϕ_∞ is smooth on $M \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_K\}$.

Let us give a more precise definition of what is meant by a singularity in Theorem 1.2. We say that (x_0, t_0) is a singular point of (1.3) if for any $R > 0$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow t_0} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |d\phi|^2 d\mu \geq \delta_1,$$

where $\delta_1 > 0$ will be determined along the proof, and $B_R(x_0)$ denotes the geodesic ball around x_0 with radius R .

REMARK 1.3. (1) Note that we can always perform a conformal rescaling of the metric h on the domain to achieve the smallness condition (1.5). Such a conformal transformation does not affect the other two terms in (1.1) since they are invariant under conformal transformations.

(2) In the case of the standard harmonic map heat flow from surfaces to general targets, one can blow up the singular points that form along the flow. This procedure makes use of the fact that the harmonic map heat flow is invariant under parabolic rescaling. The inclusion of the scalar potential in the action functional

(1.1) breaks the conformal invariance, as a consequence the critical points of (1.1) do not scale nicely. Hence, we cannot expect to blow up the singular points that form along (1.3).

- (3) If we compare the results obtained in this article with the main results from [2] we can make the following observations: In [2], an existence result for (1.2) could be obtained under the assumption that the target manifold has negative curvature. In this article, we do not impose any curvature condition on the target instead we have to make strong assumption on the scalar potential $V(\phi)$.

This article is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we study the regularity of weak solutions to (2.1). Afterward, in Sect. 3, we study the heat flow associated with (2.1) and prove Theorem 1.2.

Whenever employing local coordinates, we will use Greek indices for coordinates on the domain and Latin indices for coordinates in the target. In addition, we will make use of the usual summation convention, that is we will sum over repeated indices.

2. Analytic aspects of the full bosonic string

In this section, we want to analyze several analytical properties of solutions of (1.2). To this end, we make use of the Nash embedding theorem and assume that $N \subset \mathbb{R}^q$. Then, (1.2) acquires the form

$$\Delta u = \mathbb{I}(du, du) + Z(du(e_1) \wedge du(e_2)) + \nabla V(u), \tag{2.1}$$

where $u: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ and \mathbb{I} denotes the second fundamental form of N in \mathbb{R}^q . For the equivalence of (1.2) and (2.1) see [2, Lemma 3.8].

In particular, we want to address the question how the regularity of the scalar potential $V(u)$ influences the regularity of the solution of (1.2). To this end, we will make the following definition:

DEFINITION 2.1. We call $u \in W^{1,2}(M, N)$ a weak solution if it solves (2.1) in a distributional sense.

A similar study has already been performed in [5,6] for harmonic maps with potential, that is critical points of (1.1) with $B = 0$. Fortunately, by now there exist powerful tools that are well-adapted to (2.1). We will make use of the following regularity result from [12].

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose that $u \in W^{1,2}(D, \mathbb{R}^q)$ is a weak solution of*

$$-\Delta u = A \cdot \nabla u + f, \quad f \in L^p(D, \mathbb{R}^q), \tag{2.2}$$

where $A \in L^2(D, so(q) \otimes \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $p \in (1, 2)$. Then $u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(D)$. In particular, if $f = 0$, then $u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}$ for all $p \in [1, 2)$ and $u \in W_{loc}^{1,q}$ for all $q \in [1, \infty)$. Moreover,

for $U \subset D$, there exist $\eta_0 = \eta_0(p, q) > 0$ and $C = C(p, m, U) < \infty$ such that if $\|A\|_{L^2(D)} \leq \eta_0$, then the following estimate holds

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(U)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^p(D)} + \|u\|_{L^1(D)}). \tag{2.3}$$

In order to be able to apply Theorem 2.2 we need to rewrite the right hand side of (2.1). We denote coordinates in the ambient space \mathbb{R}^q by (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^q) . Let $v_l, l = n + 1, \dots, q$ be an orthonormal frame field for the normal bundle $T^\perp N$. For $X, Y \in T_y N$ and $\nabla_Y v_k = Y^i \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial y^i}$ we express the second fundamental form as

$$\mathbb{I}_y(X, Y) = \langle X, \nabla_Y v_l \rangle v_l = X^i Y^j \frac{\partial v_l^i}{\partial y^j} v_l.$$

Let D be a domain in M and consider a weak solution of (2.1). We choose local isothermal coordinates $z = x + iy$, set $e_1 = \partial_x, e_2 = \partial_y$ and use the notation $u_\alpha = du(e_\alpha)$. Moreover, note that $u_\alpha \in TN$ and $v_l \in T^\perp N$, which implies that

$$u_\alpha^i v_l^i = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

for all α . Hence, we may write

$$\mathbb{I}^m(u_\alpha, u_\alpha) = u_\alpha^i u_\alpha^j \left(\frac{\partial v_l^i}{\partial y^j} v_l^m - \frac{\partial v_l^m}{\partial y^j} v_l^i \right), \quad m = 1, \dots, q, \tag{2.5}$$

where we used (2.4) in the second term on the right hand side. In addition, we note that

$$Z^m(du(e_1) \wedge du(e_2)) = Z^m(\partial_{y^i} \wedge \partial_{y^j}) u_x^i u_y^j, \quad m = 1, \dots, q.$$

By the definition of Z and exploiting the skew symmetry of the three-form Ω , we find (see also [1])

$$Z^k(\partial_{y^i} \wedge \partial_{y^j}) = -Z^i(\partial_{y^k} \wedge \partial_{y^j}). \tag{2.6}$$

We are now in the position to show that solutions of (2.1) have a structure such that Theorem 2.2 can be applied.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let (M, h) be a closed Riemannian surface, and let (N, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold. Assume that $u : D \rightarrow N$ is a weak solution of (2.1). Let D be a simply connected domain of M . Then, there exists $A^i_j \in L^2(D, so(q) \otimes \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that*

$$-\Delta u^m = A^m_i \cdot \nabla u^i + (\nabla V(u))^m \tag{2.7}$$

holds.

Proof. By assumption $N \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ is compact, we denote its unit normal field by $\nu_l, l = n + 1, \dots, q$. Using (2.5) and (2.6), we denote

$$A^m_i = \begin{pmatrix} F^m_i \\ G^m_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad i, m = 1, \dots, q$$

with

$$F^m_i := \left(\frac{\partial v_l^j}{\partial y^j} v_l^m - \frac{\partial v_l^m}{\partial y^j} v_l^j \right) u_x^j + Z^m (\partial_{y^i} \wedge \partial_{y^j}) u_y^j,$$

$$G^m_i := \left(\frac{\partial v_l^j}{\partial y^j} v_l^m - \frac{\partial v_l^m}{\partial y^j} v_l^j \right) u_y^j - Z^m (\partial_{y^i} \wedge \partial_{y^j}) u_x^j.$$

The skew symmetry of A^m_i can be read of from its definition and the properties of Z , see (2.6). By assumption u is a weak solution of (2.1), hence $A^m_i \in L^2(D, so(q) \otimes \mathbb{R}^2)$ completing the proof. □

First, we will assume that the scalar potential $V(u)$ may have as little regularity as possible.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let (M, h) be a closed Riemannian surface, and let N be a compact Riemannian manifold. Assume that $u : D \rightarrow N$ is a weak solution of (2.1). Fix $p \in (1, 2)$ and assume that the scalar potential is of class $V \in W^{1,p}(N, \mathbb{R})$. Then, $u \in W^{2,p}(M, N)$ and $u \in W^{1, \frac{2p}{2-p}}(M, N)$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.2 applied to (2.7) and the Sobolev embedding theorem in dimension two. □

One cannot expect to gain more regularity unless one assumes that the potential $V(u)$ has a better analytical structure. In the case of a smooth potential $V(u)$, we directly obtain Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This follows from elliptic regularity and a standard bootstrap argument. □

We conclude this section with the following ‘‘gap-type’’ theorem.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let u be a smooth solution of (2.1) with small energy $\|du\|_{L^2} < \varepsilon$. Then, the following inequality holds*

$$\|u\|_{W^{2, \frac{4}{3}}(M, N)} \leq C \|\nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(M, N)}, \tag{2.8}$$

where the positive constant C depends on $M, N, \varepsilon, |Z|_{L^\infty}$.

Proof. We estimate (2.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(M, N)} &\leq C \| |du|^2 \|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(M, N)} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(M, N)} \\ &\leq C \|du\|_{L^2(M, N)}^2 \|du\|_{L^4(M, N)} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(M, N)}. \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows by applying the Sobolev embedding theorem and choosing ε sufficiently small. □

This allows us to draw the following

COROLLARY 2.6. *If $\|du\|_{L^2}$ is sufficiently small and $\|\nabla V\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(M,N)} \leq \delta \|u\|_{W^{2,\frac{4}{3}}(M,N)}$ for δ sufficiently small then u must be trivial.*

Note that we do not have to make any assumption on $V(u)$ but only on its gradient.

3. The heat flow for the full bosonic string

In this section, we study the heat flow associated to (1.2) and prove Theorem 1.2.

First, we will rewrite the action functional (1.1) in order to obtain a functional that is easier to handle from an analytical point of view.

Shifting the potential $V(\phi)$ as defined in (1.4) we obtain the transformed energy functional

$$\tilde{S}_{bos}(\phi, h) = \int_M \left(\frac{1}{2} |d\phi|^2 + \phi^* B + \tilde{V}(\phi) \right) d\text{vol}_h. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that $\tilde{S}_{bos}(\phi, h) \geq 0$ if we also assume that $|B|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

REMARK 3.1. The critical points of $\tilde{S}_{bos}(\phi, h)$ and $S_{bos}(\phi, h)$ coincide since both action functionals only differ by a constant. This fact is well known in physics: The Lagrangian/Hamiltonian of a mechanical system can be changed by adding a constant since it does not contribute to the equations of motion.

In order to deal with the analytic aspects of (1.3), we again isometrically embed the target manifold N into \mathbb{R}^q . Then, the corresponding heat flow acquires the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} &= \Delta u_t - \mathbb{I}(du_t, du_t) - Z(du_t(e_1) \wedge du_t(e_2)) - \nabla V(u_t), \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $u_t : M \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$. We will use a subscript t to denote the t -dependence of u . For a derivation of (3.2) see [2, Lemma 4.1]. The existence of a short-time solution can be obtained by standard methods, see for example [16, Chapter 15].

3.1. Energy estimates

In this subsection, we will derive the necessary energy estimates for the study of (3.2).

Let us introduce the following notation

$$\begin{aligned} E(u_t) &:= \int_M |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h, \\ E(u_t, B_R(x)) &:= \int_{B_R(x)} |du_t|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $B_R(x)$ denotes the geodesic ball of radius R around the point x and by ι_M we will denote the injectivity radius of M . Note that both these energies are conformally invariant.

In addition, we introduce the following function space with $Q = M \times [0, T)$ and $dQ_h = d\text{vol}_h dt$:

$$W := \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} E(u_t) + \int_Q (|\nabla^2 u_t|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2) dQ_h < \infty \right\}$$

Due to the variational structure of our problem, we have the following

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2). Then, the following equality holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \left(\frac{1}{2} |du_T|^2 + u_T^* B + \tilde{V}(u_T) \right) d\text{vol}_h + \int_0^T \int_M \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 dQ_h \\ &= \int_M \left(\frac{1}{2} |du_0|^2 + u_0^* B + \tilde{V}(u_0) \right) d\text{vol}_h. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_M |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h &= - \int_M \left\langle \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}, \Delta u_t \right\rangle d\text{vol}_h \\ &= \int_M \left(- \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 - \left\langle \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}, \mathbb{I}(du_t, du_t) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + Z(du_t(e_1) \wedge du_t(e_2)) + \nabla \tilde{V}(u_t) \right) \right) d\text{vol}_h \\ &= - \int_M \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h - \int_M \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t^* B + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{V}(u_t) \right) d\text{vol}_h, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\mathbb{I} \perp \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}$. The claim follows by integration with respect to t . \square

The next Lemma is the analogue of Lemma 3.6 from [13].

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2). For $R \in (0, i_M)$ and any $(x, t) \in Q$ there holds the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R} \left(\frac{1}{2} |du_t|^2 + u_t^* B + \tilde{V}(u_t) \right) d\mu \leq \frac{C}{R^2} \int_Q |du_t|^2 dQ_h \\ &+ \int_{B_{2R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} |du_0|^2 + u_0^* B + \tilde{V}(u_0) \right) d\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where the constant C only depends on M .

Proof. We choose a smooth cutoff function η with the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &\in C^\infty(M), \quad \eta \geq 0, \quad \eta = 1 \text{ on } B_R(x_0), \\ \eta &= 0 \text{ on } M \setminus B_{2R}(x_0), \quad |\nabla \eta|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C}{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where again $B_R(x_0)$ denotes the geodesic ball of radius R around $x_0 \in M$ and C a positive constant. In addition, we choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2\}$ on M such that $\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta = \nabla_{\partial_t} e_\alpha = 0$ at the considered point. By a direct calculation we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{2} |du_t|^2 = d \left\langle \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}, du_t \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}, \Delta u_t \right\rangle.$$

Multiplying by the cutoff function η^2 and using the evolution Eq. (3.2), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_M \eta^2 |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h &= \int_M (\eta^2 d \left\langle \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}, du_t \right\rangle + \eta^2 \left(- \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left\langle \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}, Z(du_t(e_1) \wedge du_t(e_2)) \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}, \nabla \tilde{V}(u_t) \right\rangle \right) d\text{vol}_h \\ &= \int_M \left(\eta^2 d \left\langle \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}, du_t \right\rangle - \eta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t^* B \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \eta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{V}(u_t) - \eta^2 \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right) d\text{vol}_h. \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts, we derive

$$\int_M \eta^2 d \left\langle \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}, du_t \right\rangle d\text{vol}_h \leq 2 \int_M |\eta| |d\eta| \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right| |du_t| d\text{vol}_h.$$

Applying Young's inequality and by the properties of the cutoff function η , we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_M \eta^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} |du_t|^2 + u_t^* B + \tilde{V}(u_t) \right) d\text{vol}_h \leq \frac{C}{R^2} \int_M |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h.$$

Integration with respect to t yields the result. □

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2). Moreover, suppose that $|B|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$.*

Then, the following monotonicity formulas hold

$$\begin{aligned} E(u_t) &\leq \delta_2 \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h) \\ &\leq \delta_3 E(u_0) + \delta_2 \int_M \tilde{V}(u_0) d\text{vol}_h, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(u_t, B_R) &\leq C \delta_2^2 \frac{T}{R^2} \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h) + \delta_2 \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, B_{2R}) \\ &\leq \delta_3 E(u_0, B_{2R}) + C \delta_2^2 \frac{T}{R^2} \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h) + \delta_2 \int_{B_{2R}} \tilde{V}(u_0) d\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\delta_2 := \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} - |B|_{L^\infty}}, \quad \delta_3 := \frac{\frac{1}{2} + |B|_{L^\infty}}{\frac{1}{2} - |B|_{L^\infty}}.$$

Here, $S_{bos}(u_0, B_{2R})$ denotes the action functional at time 0 restricted to the ball B_{2R} .

Proof. This follows from combining (3.3) and (3.4) and making use of the assumptions. □

In the following, we want to control the energy of u_t locally.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2). Moreover, suppose that $|B|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$. Then for any $\delta_1 > 0$, there exist $R_1 \in (0, i_M)$ and $T_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ 0 \leq t \leq T_1}} E(u_t, B_{R_1}) < \delta_1. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Given any u_0 we can always find some $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, B_{2R_1}) < \frac{\delta_1}{2\delta_2}$$

for a positive constant δ_1 . The statement then follows from (3.6) by choosing

$$T_1 = \frac{\delta_1}{2} \frac{R_1^2}{C\delta_2^2 \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h)}.$$

□

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain. Then, Ladyzhenskaya’s inequality holds, that is

LEMMA 3.6. *Assume that $v \in W^{1,2}(X)$. Then, the following inequality holds:*

$$\|v\|_{L^4(X)}^4 \leq C \|v\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \|dv\|_{L^2(X)}^2$$

In the following, we need a local version of Ladyzhenskaya’s inequality from above.

LEMMA 3.7. *Assume that $v \in W$. Then, there exists a constant C such that for any $R \in (0, i_M)$ the following inequality holds:*

$$\int_M |dv|^4 d\text{vol}_h \leq C \sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_R(x)} |dv|^2 d\text{vol}_h \left(\int_M |\nabla^2 v|^2 d\text{vol}_h + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_M |dv|^2 d\text{vol}_h \right). \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. A proof can for example be found in [15, Lemma 6.7]. □

Making use of the Ricci identity, we obtain the following formula for $v: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^g$

$$\int_M |\Delta v|^2 d\text{vol}_h = \int_M |\nabla^2 v|^2 d\text{vol}_h - \frac{1}{2} \int_M \text{Scal} |dv|^2 d\text{vol}_h. \tag{3.9}$$

Here, Scal denotes the scalar curvature of M .

As a next step, we will control the L^2 -norm of the second derivatives of u_t .

LEMMA 3.8. *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2) and suppose that (3.7) holds with δ_1 sufficiently small. Moreover, suppose that $|B|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$. Then, the following inequality holds*

$$\int_Q |\nabla^2 u_t|^2 dQ_h \leq C \left(1 + \frac{T}{R^2} \right), \tag{3.10}$$

where the constant C depends on $M, N, \delta_1, |Z|_{L^\infty}, |B|_{L^\infty}, |\text{Hess } V|_{L^\infty}$.

Proof. By a direct calculation we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_M |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h &= - \int_M \left\langle \Delta u_t, \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right\rangle d\text{vol}_h \\ &= \int_M (-|\Delta u_t|^2 + \langle \Delta u_t, \mathbb{I}(du_t, du_t) \\ &\quad + Z(du_t(e_1) \wedge du_t(e_2)) + \langle \Delta u_t, \nabla V(u_t) \rangle) d\text{vol}_h \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_M |\Delta u_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h + C \int_M |du_t|^4 d\text{vol}_h \\ &\quad - \int_M \text{Hess}(du_t, du_t) d\text{vol}_h. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption N is compact and we can estimate the Hessian of V by its maximum. Making use of (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_M |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla^2 u_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h + C \int_M |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h \\ &\quad + C \sup_{x \in M} \int_{B_R(x)} |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h \left(\int_M |\nabla^2 u_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_M |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing δ_1 small enough, we get the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_M |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h + C \int_M |\nabla^2 u_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h \\ \leq C \int_M |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_M |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h. \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows by integration with respect to t . □

Using the bound on the second derivatives, we can apply the Sobolev embedding theorem to bound $\int_Q |du_t|^4 dQ_h$.

COROLLARY 3.9. *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2) with δ_1 sufficiently small. Moreover, suppose that $|B|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$. Then we have for all $t \in [0, T_1)$*

$$\int_Q |du_t|^4 dQ_h \leq C f(T_1) \tag{3.11}$$

with $f(T_1)$ satisfying $f(T_1) \rightarrow 0$ as $T_1 \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. The bound follows from (3.8) and the previous estimate. □

As a next step, we control the L^2 -norm of the derivatives of u_t with respect to t .

LEMMA 3.10. *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2). Moreover, suppose that $|B|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$. If $\sup_{(x,t) \in M \times [0, T_1]} E(u_t, B_{R_1}(x)) < \delta_1$ is small enough, we find for $\xi > 0$*

$$\sup_{2\xi \leq t \leq T_1} \int_M \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\cdot, t) \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h \leq C(1 + \xi^{-1}), \tag{3.12}$$

where the positive constant C depends on $M, N, \delta_1, u_0, |B|_{L^\infty}, |Z|_{L^\infty}, |\nabla Z|_{L^\infty}, |\text{Hess } V|_{L^\infty}$.

Proof. By a direct calculation using (3.2), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h &= - \int_M \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h - \int_M \left\langle \frac{\nabla}{\partial t} (\mathbb{I}(du_t, du_t)), \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right\rangle d\text{vol}_h \\ &\quad - \int_M \left(\left\langle \frac{\nabla}{\partial t} (Z(du_t(e_1) \wedge du_t(e_2))), \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right\rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \text{Hess } V \left(\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right) \right) d\text{vol}_h. \end{aligned}$$

Again, we can estimate the Hessian of the potential $V(u_t)$ by its maximum since N is compact. Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h &\leq - \int_M \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h \\ &\quad + C \int_M \left(|du_t|^2 \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 + |du_t| \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right) d\text{vol}_h \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h + C \int_M |du_t|^2 \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h \\ &\quad + C \int_M \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h. \end{aligned}$$

To control the second term on the right hand side, we use another type of Sobolev inequality (similar to (3.8) for $|t - s| \leq 1$), that is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_s^t \int_M |du_t|^2 \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 dQ_h \\ &\leq \left(\int_s^t \int_M |du_t|^4 dQ_h \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{s \leq \theta \leq t} \int_M \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\cdot, \theta) \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_s^t \int_M \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 dQ_h \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.11) and integrating over a small time interval $t - s < z$, we can absorb part of the right hand side in the left and obtain

$$\int_M \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\cdot, t) \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h \leq \inf_{t-z \leq s \leq t} C \int_M \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\cdot, s) \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h + \delta_2 \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h).$$

Finally, we estimate the infimum by the mean value, more precisely

$$\sup_{2\xi \leq t \leq T_1} \int_M \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\cdot, t) \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h \leq C(1 + \xi^{-1}) \int_s^t \int_M \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 dQ_h + C \leq C(1 + \xi^{-1}).$$

Hence, we get the desired bound. □

LEMMA 3.11. *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2) with $|B|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$. As long as δ_1 is sufficiently small we have the following bound*

$$\int_M |\nabla^2 u_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h \leq C. \tag{3.13}$$

The constant C depends on $M, N, \delta_1, u_0, |B|_{L^\infty}, |Z|_{L^\infty}, |\nabla Z|_{L^\infty}, |\nabla V|_{L^\infty}, |\text{Hess } V|_{L^\infty}$.

Proof. Using (3.2) and (3.9), we obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla^2 u_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h &\leq \int_M |\Delta u_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h + C \int_M |du_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h \\ &\leq C \int_M \left(\left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 + |du_t|^4 + |\nabla V(u_t)|^2 + |du_t|^2 \right) d\text{vol}_h. \end{aligned}$$

Since we are assuming the potential $V(u)$ to be smooth and N to be compact we can easily estimate $|\nabla V(u)|^2$. Applying (3.8) and (3.12) with δ_1 sufficiently small yields the claim. □

PROPOSITION 3.12 (**Higher Regularity**). *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2). As long as δ_1 is small enough the solution u_t of (3.2) is smooth.*

Proof. This follows from standard regularity theory arguments, see for example [15, Lemma 6.11] and references therein for more details. □

Let us close this section with the following remarks.

REMARK 3.13. (1) The fact that u_t is smooth as long as δ_1 is sufficiently small relies on the fact that we have a smooth scalar potential $V(u)$. If we would assume lower regularity of $V(u)$ then u_t would also have less regularity. We can use the parabolicity of (3.2) to smoothen out distributional initial data, but the parabolicity cannot compensate for a potential of bad regularity.

In order to achieve that $u \in W^{2,2}(M, N)$ we have to require that $V \in C^2(N, \mathbb{R})$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem, we then get that u is continuous, to gain more regularity we need better regularity of $V(u)$.

(2) In the case of a smooth heat flow, one can also consider the case of a non-compact target N and use the potential $V(u)$ to constrain the image of M under u_t to a compact set. However, this argument makes use of the maximum principle, which we cannot apply in our case.

3.2. Longtime existence

In this section, we establish the existence of a unique global weak solution to (3.2) for all times $t \in [0, \infty)$. Moreover, we will show that only finitely many singularities will occur along the flow. First, we will give a uniqueness result.

PROPOSITION 3.14. *Let $u_t, v_t \in W$ be two solutions of (3.2) and suppose that $\|B\|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$. If their initial data coincides, that is $u_0 = v_0$, then $u_t = v_t$ for all $t \in [0, T)$.*

Proof. Throughout the proof C will denote a universal constant that may change from line to line. Let u_t, v_t be two solutions of (3.2). We set $w_t := u_t - v_t$. By projecting to a tubular neighborhood $\mathbb{I}(u_t)(du_t, du_t)$, $Z(u_t)(du_t(e_1) \wedge du_t(e_2))$ and $\nabla V(u_t)$ can be thought of as vector-valued functions in \mathbb{R}^q , for more details see [2, Lemma 4.8]. Exploiting this fact, a direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial w_t}{\partial t} &= \Delta w_t + \langle \mathbb{I}(u_t)(du_t, du_t) - \mathbb{I}(v_t)(dv_t, dv_t), w_t \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle Z(u_t)(du_t(e_1) \wedge du_t(e_2)) - Z(v_t)(dv_t(e_1) \wedge dv_t(e_2)), w_t \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla V(u_t) - \nabla V(v_t), w_t \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}(u_t)(du_t, du_t) - \mathbb{I}(v_t)(dv_t, dv_t) &= (\mathbb{I}(u_t) - \mathbb{I}(v_t))(du_t, du_t) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{I}(v_t)(du_t - dv_t, du_t) + \mathbb{I}(v_t)(dv_t, du_t - dv_t) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for the terms containing Z , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_M |w_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h &\leq - \int_M |dw_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h + C \int_M (|w_t|^2 |du_t|^2 + |w_t|^2 |dv_t|^2) d\text{vol}_h \\ &\quad + C \int_M (|w_t| |dw_t| |du_t| + |w_t| |dw_t| |dv_t|) d\text{vol}_h \\ &\quad + \int_M |\langle \nabla V(u_t) - \nabla V(v_t), w_t \rangle| d\text{vol}_h. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|w_t\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + \|dw_t\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 &\leq C (\|w_t\|_{L^4(Q)}^2 \|dv_t\|_{L^4(Q)}^2 + \|w_t\|_{L^4(Q)}^2 \|du_t\|_{L^4(Q)}^2) \\ &\quad + \|w_t\|_{L^4(Q)} \|du_t\|_{L^4(Q)} \|dw_t\|_{L^2(Q)} \\ &\quad + \|w_t\|_{L^4(Q)} \|dv_t\|_{L^4(Q)} \|dw_t\|_{L^2(Q)} \\ &\quad + \| |\nabla V(u_t) - \nabla V(v_t)| |w_t| \|_{L^1(Q)}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption the scalar potential $V(u)$ is smooth such that we can apply the mean-value theorem and estimate

$$\| |\nabla V(u_t) - \nabla V(v_t)| |w_t| \|_{L^1(Q)} \leq C \|w_t\|_{L^2(Q)}^2.$$

Using (3.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_M |w_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_M |dw_t|^2 dQ_h \\ & \leq C f(T) \left(\int_Q |w_t|^4 dQ_h \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \int_Q |w_t|^2 dQ_h \\ & \leq C f(T) \left(\sup_{[0, T]} \int_M |w_t|^2 d\text{vol}_h + \int_0^T \int_M |dw_t|^2 dQ_h \right) + C \int_Q |w_t|^2 dQ_h \end{aligned}$$

with $f(T) \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow 0$. Taking the limit $T \rightarrow 0$ and applying the Gronwall inequality allows us to conclude the claim. \square

REMARK 3.15. The proof of the previous Proposition requires the potential $V(\phi)$ to be sufficiently regular such that we can apply the mean-value theorem. If we would allow for a potential with less regularity it does not seem to be possible to prove uniqueness of the solution of (3.2).

By the same strategy as in the case of standard harmonic maps we can establish the longtime existence of (3.2).

PROPOSITION 3.16. (Longtime Existence) *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2). Moreover, suppose that $|B|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$. Then, (3.2) admits a unique weak solution for $0 \leq t < \infty$.*

Proof. The first singular time T_0 is characterized by the condition

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_0} E(u_t, B_R(x)) \geq \delta_1.$$

Since we have $\partial_t u_t \in L^2(M \times [0, T_0))$ and also $E(u_t) \leq \delta_2 \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h)$ for $0 < t < T_0$, there exists

$$u(\cdot, T_0) \in W^{1,2}(M, N)$$

such that

$$u(\cdot, t) \rightarrow u(\cdot, T_0)$$

weakly in $W^{1,2}(M, N)$ as t approaches T_0 . In particular, we have

$$E(u_{T_0}) \leq \liminf_{s \rightarrow T_0} E(u_s) \leq \delta_2 \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0.$$

Let $\tilde{u}_t : M \times [T_0, T_0 + T_1) \rightarrow N$ be a solution of (3.2). Assume that $\tilde{u}(x, t) = u(x, t)$. We define

$$\hat{u}_t = \begin{cases} u, & 0 \leq t \leq T_0, \\ \tilde{u}_t, & T_0 \leq t \leq T_0 + T_1. \end{cases}$$

Now $\hat{u}_t : M \times [0, T_0 + T_1) \rightarrow N$ is a weak solution of (3.2). By iteration, we obtain a weak solution u_t on a maximal time interval $T_0 + \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. If $T_0 + \delta < \infty$ the above argument shows that the solution u_t may be extended to infinity, hence $T_0 + \delta = \infty$. The uniqueness of the solution follows from Proposition 3.14. \square

PROPOSITION 3.17. *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2). Suppose that $|B|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$ and that the scalar potential $V(u)$ is sufficiently small, that is*

$$\int_M \tilde{V}(u_t) d\text{vol}_h \leq \frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Then, there are only finitely many singular points (x_k, t_k) , $1 \leq k \leq K$. The number K depends on $M, |V(u)|_{L^\infty}, |B|_{L^\infty}, u_0$.

Proof. We follow the presentation in [10, p.138] for the harmonic map heat flow. We assume that $T_0 > 0$ is the first singular time and define the singular set as

$$Z(u, T_0) = \bigcap_{R>0} \{x \in M \mid \limsup_{t \rightarrow T_0} E(u_t, B_R(x)) \geq \delta_1\}.$$

Now, let $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^K$ be any finite subset of $Z(u, T_0)$. Then, we have for $R > 0$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_0} \int_{B_R(x_j)} |du_t|^2 d\mu \geq \delta_1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq K.$$

We choose $R > 0$ such that all the $B_{2R}(x_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq K$ are mutually disjoint. Then, we have by (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} K \delta_1 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^K \limsup_{t \rightarrow T_0} E(u_t, B_R(x_j)) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^K \left(\delta_2 \limsup_{t \rightarrow T_0} \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_\xi, B_{2R}(x_j)) + \frac{\delta_1}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \delta_2 \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_\xi, h) + \frac{K \delta_1}{2} \\ &\leq \delta_2 \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h) + \frac{K \delta_1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\xi \in [T_0 - \frac{R^2}{C \delta_2^2 \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h)}, T_0]$. We conclude that

$$K \leq \frac{2\delta_2}{\delta_1} \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h),$$

which implies the finiteness of the singular set $Z(u, T_0)$. Next, we show that there are only finitely many singular spatial points. We set

$$\tilde{M} = M \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq K} B_{2R}(x_j)$$

and calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(u_{T_0}) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} E(u_{T_0}, \tilde{M}) \\
 &\leq \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{t \rightarrow T_0} E(u_t, \tilde{M}) \\
 &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow T_0} E(u_t) - \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^K \liminf_{t \rightarrow T_0} E(u_t, B_{2R}(x_j)) \\
 &\leq \delta_2 \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h) - \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^K \limsup_{t \rightarrow T_0} E(u_t, B_R(x_j)) \\
 &\leq \delta_2 \tilde{S}_{bos}(u_0, h) - K \delta_1 \\
 &\leq \delta_3 E(u_0) + \delta_2 \int_M \tilde{V}(u_0) d\text{vol}_h - K \delta_1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Suppose $T_0 < \dots < T_j$ are j singular times and by K_0, \dots, K_j we denote the number of singular points at each singular time. Set

$$u_i = \lim_{t \rightarrow T_i} u_t, \quad V_i = \lim_{t \rightarrow T_i} \int_M \tilde{V}(u_t) d\text{vol}_h \quad 0 \leq i \leq j.$$

By iterating (3.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(u_j) &\leq \delta_3 E(u_{j-1}) + \delta_2 V_{j-1} - \delta_1 K_{j-1} \\
 &\leq \delta_3^2 E(u_{j-2}) - \delta_1 (K_{j-1} + \delta_3 K_{j-2}) + \delta_2 (V_{j-1} + \delta_3 V_{j-2}) \\
 &\leq \dots \\
 &\leq \delta_3^j E(u_0) + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \delta_3^{j-i-1} (\delta_2 V_i - \delta_1 K_i),
 \end{aligned}$$

which can be rearranged as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \delta_3^{-i-1} (\delta_1 K_i - \delta_2 V_i) \leq E(u_0).$$

In order to conclude that the number of singularities is finite we have to ensure that

$$\delta_1 K_i - \delta_2 V_i \geq 0$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq j$, which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} V_i \leq K_i,$$

where $K_i \geq 1$. Making use of the assumptions, we obtain the claim. □

REMARK 3.18. (1) A careful analysis of the last proof reveals that the condition on the smallness of the scalar potential (3.14) is actually only needed at the singular times T_i , that is

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_i} \int_M \tilde{V}(u_t) d\text{vol}_h \leq \frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2}.$$

However, it seems rather unlikely that this condition can be satisfied in general.

(2) In the case of the standard harmonic map heat flow we have $\delta_3 = 1$ and $V(u_t) = 0$ such that the bound on the number of singularities reduces to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} K_i \leq \frac{E(u_0)}{\delta_1}.$$

Moreover, in contrast to the harmonic map heat flow the number of singularities also depends on the metric on M .

(3) We want to emphasize that we require the potential $V(u)$ itself to be sufficiently small and do not demand any smallness of its gradient. This is what one expects from a mathematics perspective since the potential itself enters the action functional (1.1). However, from a physics perspective the important quantity is the gradient of the potential since it corresponds to the force acting on a system.

REMARK 3.19. There is a second way of controlling the number of singularities. Instead of requiring the potential $V(u_t)$ to be sufficiently small as in (3.14) we can exploit the fact that (1.1) is not conformally invariant. More precisely, if we perform a rescaling of the metric $\tilde{h} = ah$, where a is supposed to be a positive real number, then the first two terms of (1.1) are not affected, whereas the scalar potential gets rescaled. More precisely, we find

$$\tilde{S}_{bos}(\tilde{h}, u) = \int_M \left(\frac{1}{2} |du|^2 + u^* B \right) d\text{vol}_h + \int_M \frac{1}{a^2} \tilde{V}(u) d\text{vol}_{\tilde{h}}.$$

In terms of the rescaled metric $\tilde{h} = ah$ the smallness condition (3.14) can be expressed as

$$\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} \int_M \tilde{V}(u_t) d\text{vol}_{\tilde{h}} \leq a^2.$$

Choosing a^2 large enough we can achieve to have a finite number of singularities without posing any smallness condition on the scalar potential $V(\phi)$. However, the finiteness of the number of singularities now depends on the rescaled metric on the domain M .

3.3. Convergence

In this subsection, we address the issue of convergence of (3.2).

PROPOSITION 3.20. *Let $u_t \in W$ be a solution of (3.2) and suppose that $|B|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$. Then, there exists a sequence t_k such that u_{t_k} converges weakly in $W^{1,2}(M, N)$ and strongly in the space $W_{loc}^{2,2}(M \setminus \{x_k, t_k = \infty\})$ to a solution of (2.1). The limiting map u_∞ is smooth on $M \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.*

Proof. First, we suppose that $T = \infty$ is non-singular, that is

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{x \in M} E(u_t, B_R(x))) < \delta_1$$

for some $R > 0$. Since we have a uniform bound on the L^2 -norm of the t derivative of u_t by Lemma 3.3, we can achieve for $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ suitably that

$$\int_M \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h \Big|_{t=t_k} \rightarrow 0.$$

By (3.13), we have a bound on the second derivatives

$$\int_M |\nabla^2 u_t|^2(\cdot, t_k) d\text{vol}_h \leq C.$$

Moreover, by the Rellich–Kondrachov embedding theorem we have

$$u(\cdot, t_k) \rightarrow u_\infty \quad \text{strongly in } W^{1,p}(M, N)$$

for any $p < \infty$. We get convergence of the evolution Eq. (3.2) in L^2 ; consequently, u_∞ is a solution of (2.1) satisfying $u_\infty \in W^{2,2}(M, N)$.

If $T = \infty$ is singular, that is at the points $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(u_t, B_R(x_j)) \geq \delta_1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k$$

for all $R > 0$, then for suitable numbers $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ the family u_{t_k} will be bounded in $W_{loc}^{2,2}(M, N)$ on the set $M \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$. Consequently, the family u_{t_k} will accumulate as follows

$$u_\infty : M \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \rightarrow N.$$

We set $\tilde{M} := M \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$. Since we have enough control over the energy of u_∞ by (3.5), that is $E(u_\infty) \leq C$, we can apply Theorem 1.1 finishing the proof. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

REMARK 3.21. In the case of a target with negative curvature, we have a good understanding of the properties of the limiting map u_∞ , see [3, section 4]. However, this requires that the second variation of the energy functional is positive, which makes use of the target having negative curvature.

In the case of the full bosonic string and an arbitrary target, most of the methods employed in the study of harmonic maps can no longer be applied. For this reason, it seems difficult to obtain detailed information on the properties of the limiting map u_∞ such that this topic deserves further investigation.

3.4. Blow-up analysis

In order to discuss a blow-up analysis of the singular points recall the definition of the parabolic cylinder

$$P_r(z_0) := \{z = (x, t) \in M \times (0, \infty) \mid |x - x_0| \leq R, t_0 - R^2 \leq t \leq t_0\},$$

where $0 < R < \min\{t_M, \sqrt{t_0}\}$. Set

$$v_k(x, t) := u(x_k + r_k x, t_k + r_k^2 t), \quad (x, t) \in P_{r_k^{-1}}.$$

For simplicity, assume that $(0, 0)$ is a singular point of $u \in C^\infty(P_1(0, 0) \setminus \{0, 0\}, N)$. Then, there exist $r_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $z_k = (x_k, t_k)$ with $x_k \rightarrow 0, t_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to check that v_k satisfies

$$\frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} = \Delta v_k - \mathbb{I}(dv_k, dv_k) - Z(dv_k(e_1) \wedge dv_k(e_2)) - \frac{1}{r_k^2} \nabla V(v_k). \tag{3.16}$$

In the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$, we would have $P_{r_k^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_-$, but it is obvious that (3.16) blows up as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

This behavior should be expected since the scalar potential $V(u)$ breaks the conformal invariance of the energy functional (1.1).

However, if $V(u) = 0$ the energy functional (1.1) is invariant under conformal transformations on the domain and we find that

$$E(u_{t_k}, B_{r_k}(x_k)) = \sup_{z=(x,t) \in P_1, -1 \leq t \leq t_k} E(u_t, B_{r_k}(x)) = \frac{\delta_1}{C}$$

for $C > 0$ sufficiently large. Assume that $t_k - 4r_k^2 \geq -1$. Moreover, we have

$$\int_{P_{r_k^{-1}}} \left| \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\mu = \int_{t_0 - R^2}^{t_0} \int_M \left| \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 d\text{vol}_h \rightarrow 0$$

and also

$$E(v_k(t)) \leq E(u_0), \quad -r_k^{-2} \leq t \leq 0, \\ \sup_{(x,t) \in P_k} E(v_k(t), B_2(x)) \leq C \sup_{(x,t) \in P_1} E(u_t, B_{r_k}(x)) \leq \delta_1.$$

Consequently, we can take the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ and v_k converges to some limiting map ω . Then, $\omega \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (-\infty, 0), N)$ solves

$$0 = \Delta \omega - \mathbb{I}(d\omega, d\omega) - Z(d\omega(e_1) \wedge d\omega(e_2))$$

since $\partial_t \omega = 0$. Using the conformal invariance, we perform a stereographic projection to S^2 and obtain a solution of

$$\tau(\phi) = Z(d\phi(e_1) \wedge d\phi(e_2)),$$

where $\phi: S^2 \rightarrow N$. Making use of a Theorem of Grüter [9] we can remove the singular points that we get from the stereographic projection. Hence, we get a variant of the usual bubbling that is well known in the standard harmonic map heat flow.

REMARK 3.22. In the case that $\dim N = 3$ and ϕ is an isometric immersion the equation

$$\tau(\phi) = Z(d\phi(e_1) \wedge d\phi(e_2))$$

is known as *prescribed curvature equation*. Thus, the *bubbling* described above in the case of $V(\phi) = 0$ yields maps with prescribed mean curvature from S^2 . However, the condition $|B|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{2}$ that we needed to impose does not seem to have a natural geometric interpretation.

3.5. Qualitative properties of the limiting map

Let us briefly discuss the qualitative behavior of solutions to (1.2).

PROPOSITION 3.23. *Let $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth solution of*

$$\tau(\phi) = Z(d\phi(e_1) \wedge d\phi(e_2)) + \nabla V(\phi).$$

By $|\kappa^N|$ we denote an upper bound on the sectional curvature of N . If

$$\frac{\text{Scal}}{2} \geq (|Z|_{L^\infty}^2 + |\kappa^N|) |d\phi|^2 + |\text{Hess } V|_{L^\infty}, \tag{3.17}$$

then the map ϕ is trivial.

Proof. By a direct calculation, we find (see [2, Lemma 3.1] for more details)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \frac{1}{2} |d\phi|^2 &= |\nabla d\phi|^2 + \frac{\text{Scal}}{2} |d\phi|^2 - \langle R^N(d\phi(e_\alpha), d\phi(e_\beta))d\phi(e_\alpha), d\phi(e_\beta) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle Z(d\phi(e_1) \wedge d\phi(e_2)), \tau(\phi) \rangle + \text{Hess } V(d\phi, d\phi) \\ &\geq |\nabla d\phi|^2 + \frac{\text{Scal}}{2} |d\phi|^2 - |\kappa^N| |d\phi|^4 \\ &\quad - |Z|_{L^\infty} |d\phi|^2 |\tau(\phi)| - |\text{Hess } V|_{L^\infty} |d\phi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using that $|\tau(\phi)|^2 \leq 2|\nabla d\phi|^2$ and applying Young’s inequality we deduce

$$\Delta \frac{1}{2} |d\phi|^2 \geq |d\phi|^2 \left(\frac{\text{Scal}}{2} - |Z|_{L^\infty}^2 |d\phi|^2 - |\kappa^N| |d\phi|^2 - |\text{Hess } V|_{L^\infty} \right) \geq 0,$$

where we used the assumptions in the last step. Consequently, $|d\phi|^2$ is a subharmonic function and thus has to be constant. □

REMARK 3.24. If we integrate the condition (3.17) over the surface M we obtain

$$\pi \chi(M) \geq (|Z|_{L^\infty}^2 + |\kappa^N|) \int_M |d\phi|^2 d\text{vol}_h + |\text{Hess } V|_{L^\infty} \text{vol}(M, h).$$

Note that this condition can only be satisfied on surfaces of positive genus.

Acknowledgements

Open access funding provided by University of Vienna. The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the START-Project Y963-N35 of Michael Eichmair and the Project P30749-N35 “Geometric variational problems from string theory.”

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

REFERENCES

- [1] Volker Branding. Magnetic Dirac-harmonic maps. *Anal. Math. Phys.*, 5(1):23–37, 2015.
- [2] Volker Branding. The heat flow for the full bosonic string. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 50(4):347–365, 2016.
- [3] Volker Branding. On the full bosonic string from Minkowski space to Riemannian manifolds. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 451(2):858–872, 2017.
- [4] Y. Chen and S. Levine. The existence of the heat flow of H -systems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 8(1):219–236, 2002.
- [5] Yu-Ming Chu and Xian-Gao Liu. Regularity of the p -harmonic maps with potential. *Pacific J. Math.*, 237(1):45–56, 2008.
- [6] Yuming Chu and Xiangao Liu. Regularity of harmonic maps with the potential. *Sci. China Ser. A*, 49(5):599–610, 2006.
- [7] Ali Fardoun and Andrea Ratto. Harmonic maps with potential. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 5(2):183–197, 1997.
- [8] Ali Fardoun, Andrea Ratto, and Rachid Regbaoui. On the heat flow for harmonic maps with potential. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 18(6):555–567, 2000.
- [9] Michael Grüter. Conformally invariant variational integrals and the removability of isolated singularities. *Manuscripta Math.*, 47(1-3):85–104, 1984.
- [10] Fanghua Lin and Changyou Wang. *The analysis of harmonic maps and their heat flows*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.
- [11] Joseph Polchinski. *String theory. Vol. I*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. An introduction to the bosonic string. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005 Reprint of the 2003 edition.
- [12] Ben Sharp and Peter Topping. Decay estimates for Rivière’s equation, with applications to regularity and compactness. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(5):2317–2339, 2013.
- [13] Michael Struwe. On the evolution of harmonic mappings of Riemannian surfaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 60(4):558–581, 1985.
- [14] Michael Struwe. *Variational methods. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990
- [15] Michael Struwe. *Variational methods*, volume 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. fourth edition, 2008. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- [16] Michael E. Taylor. *Partial differential equations III. Nonlinear equations*, volume 117 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2011.
- [17] Masahito Toda. Existence and non-existence results of H -surfaces into 3-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 4(1-2):161–178, 1996.
- [18] Masahito Toda. On the existence of H -surfaces into Riemannian manifolds. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 5(1):55–83, 1997.

Volker Branding
Faculty of Mathematics
University of Vienna
Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1
1090 Vienna
Austria
E-mail: volker.branding@univie.ac.at