

# **Characterization of iron, sulfur, and phosphorus diagenesis in muddy sediments of the South Yellow Sea using the difusive gradients in thin flms (DGT) technique**

 $\mathsf{Zhen\;} \mathsf{Xu}^1\cdot\mathsf{Wen\text{-}Xuan\;} \mathsf{Sun}^1\cdot\mathsf{Qing\;Li}^1\cdot\mathsf{Ying\text{-}Jian\; Han}^1\cdot\mathsf{Wei\text{-}Wei\;Ma}^1\cdot\mathsf{Tie\;} \mathsf{Li}^1\cdot\mathsf{Mao\text{-}Xu\;Zhu}^1$ 

Received: 8 November 2022 / Accepted: 5 April 2023 / Published online: 20 April 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

### **Abstract**

The muddy sediments of the central South Yellow Sea (SYS) are not only an important depocenter, but also an important area for early diagenesis. A systematic study of the interlinked sulfur (S), iron (Fe), and phosphorus (P) in sediments of the sea could provide important information on the diagenetic cycle of these elements; however, their interactions have not been well documented. In this study, an in situ, high-resolution diffusive gradient in thin films (DGT) technique, together with solid-phase speciation was used to reveal the diagenesis of S, Fe, and P in muddy sediments, and to quantify the benthic fux of dissolved inorganic phosphate (DIP). Solid-phase and porewater chemistry indicate that ferruginous conditions prevail in the sediments, dissimilatory iron reduction (DIR) dominated Fe reduction, and the sulfate reduction rate is generally low due to the low lability of sedimentary organic carbon. Two-dimensional porewater distributions provide direct evidence of high spatial heterogeneity of sulfde and DIP on a microscale, and spatial coexistence of DIR and sulfate reduction. A positive linear correlation ( $R^2 > 0.75$ ) between porewater Fe and DIP measured by the DGT over the depth interval of rapid increase in DGT-labile Fe suggests that DIP release is primarily driven by DIR. Overall, the muddy sediments are a weak source of DIP, with an average benthic flux of  $0.422 \pm 1.004 \times 10^{-3}$  mmol/m<sup>2</sup>/day, which accounts for only  $0.02-0.04\%$  of DIP required for the primary production of the SYS, and thus has only minor impact on P budget in the sea.

**Keywords** DGT technique · Porewater · Phosphorus mobilization · Sulfate reduction · Dissimilatory iron reduction · Marine sediments

# **Introduction**

In marine sediments, microbially mediated mineralization of organic carbon (OC) is the driving force of early diagenesis (Berner [1980](#page-10-0)), which governs the cycling of almost all redox-sensitive elements. Thermodynamically, microbially mediated OC mineralization preferentially utilizes the electron acceptor with which the reaction yields the largest Gibbs free energy change  $(\Delta_{r}G)$ . When the electron acceptor becomes depleted, the electron acceptors with the next highest  $\Delta_{r}$ G are utilized sequentially (Burdige [2006;](#page-10-1) Froelich et al. [1979](#page-10-2)). Typically, oxygen is frstly used for OC mineralization, followed by nitrate, manganese oxides, iron (Fe) oxides, and sulfate, with increasing depth of the sediments. The rates and relative contributions of the individual mineralization pathways vary widely, depending on specifc depositional environments. Due to its high availability, sulfate is usually the dominant electron acceptor for OC mineralization in OC-rich sediments of marginal seas (Jørgensen [1982\)](#page-10-3), despite the low  $\Delta_{r}$ G of this pathway. Dissolved sulfide produced by sulfate reduction readily reacts with reactive iron oxides, forming various Fe sulfdes, among which pyrite  $(FeS<sub>2</sub>)$  is the most dominant form of reduced Fe and sulfur (S) permanently buried (Berner [1985\)](#page-10-4). In such sulfdic sediment conditions, dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP) released from the regeneration of organic P, as well as mobilization of Fe oxide-bound P due to reductive dissolution of Fe oxides, can accumulate in porewater to high concentrations, to difuse upward to the overlying water (Lehtoranta et al. [2009](#page-10-5)) due to low sorption capacity of Fe sulfdes for DIP (Bebie et al. [1998](#page-10-6)).

 $\boxtimes$  Mao-Xu Zhu zhumaoxu@ouc.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Marine Chemistry Theory and Technology, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Ministry of Education, Ocean University of China, 266100 Qingdao, China

In sediments rich in reactive Fe oxides but poor in labile OC, Fe oxides also serve as an important electron acceptor coupled to OC mineralization (i.e., dissimilatory iron reduction, DIR) (Thamdrup [2000\)](#page-10-7). Under this circumstance, sulfate reduction is largely inhibited, the majority of  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$ generated by the DIR is not precipitated as Fe sulfdes, but difuses upward and then reoxidized to Fe (hydr)oxides near the sediment surface. The accumulation of the newly formed Fe (hydr)oxides can serve as a "geochemical barrier" for the upward difusing DIP, and also as an important sink for DIP in the overlying water (Lehtoranta et al. [2009](#page-10-5); Rozan et al. [2002](#page-10-8)). Thus, the diagenetic cycle and fates of C–S–Fe–P in marine sediments are intricately interwoven and are regulated by diferent pathways of OC mineralization. Therefore, simultaneous characterization of porewater sulfde, Fe, and DIP, together with detailed speciation of solid-phase S, Fe, and P can provide insightful information on diagenetic interactions of C–S–Fe–P and the factors governing the benthic fuxes of DIP.

Since sulfde, Fe, and DIP concentrations in porewater of marine sediments can display steep gradients, on centimeter or even millimeter scales, the low resolution (larger than 1−2 cm) of conventional porewater extraction techniques is not usually able to capture small-scale signals. More importantly, conventional sampling sufers from potential oxidation of highly redox-sensitive components such as sulfde,  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$ , and Mn<sup>2+</sup>, which is a big challenge for reliable measurements of their concentrations. In addition, without in situ preconcentration, the conventional sampling techniques do not allow the detection of some redox-sensitive components of low concentrations. The application of in situ, high-resolution difusion gradients in thin flms (DGT) technique can efectively overcome these shortcomings and allows more detailed depiction of porewater profles on a millimeter scale (Davison and Zhang [1994;](#page-10-9) Ding et al. [2016;](#page-10-10) Ma et al. [2019](#page-10-11); Meng et al. [2019](#page-10-12)).

The semi-enclosed South Yellow Sea (SYS) is an important channel for the transport of land-derived materials from East Asia to the western Pacifc Ocean. Sediments in this sea are important locations for OC deposition and mineralization, and thus play a key role in the budget of carbon and nutrients of the water (Qi et al. [2021](#page-10-13); Tao et al. [2016\)](#page-10-14). In addition, the complex hydrodynamic conditions of the SYS, particularly the cold water mass, exert an important infuence on the depositional and diagenetic regimes of the sea (Ma et al. [2018](#page-10-15); Ren et al. [2022;](#page-10-16) Yang et al. [2003](#page-11-0); Zhou et al. [2014](#page-11-1)), and thus on the cycle and fates of biogeochemically important elements in the sediments. Porewater chemistry of nutrients (N, P, Si) and solid-phase chemistry of S, Fe, and P in the SYS sediments have been extensively studied (Liu et al. [2003](#page-10-17); Wu et al. [2019;](#page-10-18) Zhou et al. [2022](#page-11-2)). However, the diagenetic interactions of S–Fe–P in the sediments have not been well documented, and a mechanistic understanding



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Sampling sites and circulation currents in the South Yellow Sea. *KC* Kuroshio Current, *YSWC* Yellow Sea warm current, *LCC* Liaodong coastal current, *BCC* Bohai coastal current, *JCC* Jiangsu coastal current, *YSCC* Yellow Sea coastal current. Oblique line area indicates muddy sediment in the central South Yellow Sea

of P mobilization is still lacking. Furthermore, in all previous studies in the SYS, only low-resolution sampling was used to characterize porewater profles of the sediments, which may miss some millimeter-scale details indicating the interactions of S–Fe–P (Zhou et al. [2022](#page-11-2)). In this study, we combined the in situ DGT technique with solid-phase chemistry to reveal S–Fe–P diagenesis, the mechanism of P mobilization, and benthic fuxes of P in the muddy area of the central SYS.

## **Sampling and analytical methods**

## **Sediment sampling, porewater collection, and total organic carbon analysis**

Sediments were collected at fve sites (A3, A4, B3, B4, and C4) of the muddy area of the central SYS from 18 April to 5 May 2021 (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). Upon retrieval by a box corer, sediments were subcored by two polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tubes by vertical insertion into the box corer. The subcores were immediately sealed, leaving no headspace to avoid disturbance of the surface layer, and then stored at 4 °C. After being transported to the laboratory, one core from each site was sliced at 2 cm intervals in an  $N<sub>2</sub>$  atmosphere. After sectioning, all subsamples were sealed in zip-lock plastic bags and frozen at −20 °C for later analyses of solid-phase chemistry. The other core was allowed to acclimatize at ambient temperature for 5 d after the addition of 500 mL of bottom water collected at the sampling site, then the DGT devices were inserted into the core to collect porewater sulfde, Fe, and DIP.

For analysis of total organic carbon (TOC), preweighed subsamples (about 0.5 g) were leached with 1 M HCl for 24 h to remove carbonates. After washing three times with deionized water, the residues were freeze dried and ground again for TOC analysis by a Vario EA1112 Cube elemental analyzer, with relative deviations between 1% and 5%.

#### **Extraction of solid‑phase Fe**

After freeze drying and grinding, sediment samples were treated with 0.5 M HCl for 1 h to extract labile Fe, which includes amorphous and poor crystalline Fe oxides (such as ferrihydrite and lepidocrocite), acid soluble iron sulfdes (such as FeS, Fe<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>), and unsulfidized Fe<sup>2+</sup> initially adsorbed on sediment surfaces (Cornell and Giovanoli [1988;](#page-10-19) Burdige and Christensen [2022\)](#page-10-20). After centrifugation (4800 rpm, 15 min) and filtration (0.22  $\mu$ m), total Fe and Fe<sup>2+</sup> were immediately determined by ferrozine colorimetry (Stookey [1970\)](#page-10-21). For the determination of total Fe, hydroxylamine was used to reduce  $Fe^{3+}$  to  $Fe^{2+}$ , while hydroxylamine was omitted in the determination of  $Fe^{2+}$ . Labile Fe(III) was estimated by the difference between the total Fe and  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$ . The extracted total Fe,  $Fe^{3+}$ , and  $Fe^{2+}$  were termed LFe, LFe(III), and LFe(II), respectively. Contents of LFe(III) and LFe(II) are expressed in µmol per gram dry sediment weight (µmol/g). Contents of solid-phase sulfde and phosphorus in the following subsections are also expressed in µmol/g.

#### **Analyses of solid‑phase sulfdes**

Three solid-phase sulfur forms were qualifed by sequential extraction: acid volatile sulfde (AVS), elemental sulfur  $(S^0)$ , and pyrite-S  $(S_{py})$ . Frozen sediments were directly used for extraction of AVS with a mixture of 6 M HCl and 1 mL ascorbic acid (0.1 M) in a sealed reactor under an  $N_2$ atmosphere (Burton et al. [2008](#page-10-22)). Ascorbic acid was used to inhibit the oxidation of extracted sulfde by concomitantly extracted Fe<sup>3+</sup> (Burton et al. [2008](#page-10-22)). Evolved H<sub>2</sub>S gas was precipitated as ZnS by alkaline ZnAc solution in a separate vial mounted in the reactor. After 24 h, trapped sulfde content was immediately determined by the methylene blue method (Cline [1969\)](#page-10-23).

Residual sediments after AVS extraction were washed twice with deoxygenated deionized water and then used to extract  $S^0$  with acetone under an  $N_2$  atmosphere (Kallmeyer [2004\)](#page-10-24). After centrifugation and fltration, separated acetone extracts were left in the fume hood for evaporation of acetone to near dryness. Extracted  $S^0$  was reduced to  $H<sub>2</sub>S$  by an acid chromium(II) reductant and then precipitated as ZnS following the procedure of AVS extraction. Residual sediments after  $S^0$  extraction were washed twice with deoxygenated deionized water, and then extracted with acid chromium(II) reductant to reduce  $S_{py}$  to  $H_2S$  and

precipitated as ZnS following the procedure of AVS extraction (Burton et al. [2008](#page-10-22); Zhu et al. [2013\)](#page-11-3). The method for measurements of extracted  $S^0$  and  $S_{py}$  was the same as that for the AVS determination. Total reduced inorganic sulfde (TRIS) is defined as the sum of AVS,  $S^0$ , and  $S_{py}$  (i.e.,  $TRIS = AVS + S^0 + S_{\text{pv}}.$ 

#### **Analyses of solid‑phase P**

A three-step sequential extraction was used for solid-phase P speciation (Zhang et al. [2010\)](#page-11-4): (1) exchange and loosely adsorbed P (Ex–P) was extracted with 1 M MgCl<sub>2</sub> (pH 8); (2) Fe bound P (Fe–P) was extracted with a mixture of 0.11 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 0.11 M Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (pH 7); (3) authigenic P (Au–P) was extracted with 1 M NaAc–HAc mixture (pH 4). Note that sediment residues after the previous extraction step were rinsed with  $1 M MgCl<sub>2</sub>$  solution to purge remaining dissolved P before we moved on to the next extraction step. Extracted P was determined by the molybdenum blue method. Also note that prior to the determination of Fe–P, the extracts were exposed to air for more than 20 h to ensure complete oxidization of residual  $Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ . The sum of Ex–P, Fe–P, and Au–P is defned as total reactive inorganic P (i.e.,  $TRIP=Ex-P+Fe-P+Au-P.$ 

#### **Application of the DGT technique**

The DGT probe is a device for in situ, high-resolution passive porewater sampling based on the Fick's law, which consists of an inert difusive layer and a binding gel for fxation of given porewater components (Davison and Zhang [1994](#page-10-9)). The DGT devices used in this study were purchased from Nanjing Zhigan Environmental Technology Co. Ltd. When the DGT device is inserted into sediment, target components are fxed on the binding gel after difusion through the diffusive layer of a certain thickness. The accumulation on the binding gel represents the time-averaged contents during the sampling period. In this study, a zirconia (ZrO) probe was used as the binding gel for DIP collection, and a composite ZrO-Chelex-100-AgI (ZrO−CA) probe was used as the binding gel for sulfde and Fe collection (Wang et al. [2019](#page-10-25)). Prior to employment, the DGT devices were immersed in 0.03 M NaNO<sub>3</sub> solution and purged with N<sub>2</sub> for 16 h for deoxygenation. Upon retrieval from sediment, the DGT devices were immediately disassembled in the dark for analyses of accumulated sulfde, Fe, and DIP.

High-resolution two-dimensional distributions of sulfde and DIP accumulated on the binding gel were determined. For sulfide,  $Ag<sub>2</sub>S$  accumulated on the binding gel was directly scanned using a fat-bed scanner (dpi 600), and resultant images were converted to grayscale density using a computer-imaging densitometry technique. Sulfde content (*x*) per unit area  $(\mu g/cm^2)$  was calculated according to the corrected

grayscale standard curve (Eq. [1\)](#page-3-0). DIP accumulated on the ZrO binding gel was colored by the molybdenum blue method, and the colors were converted to grayscale for the quantifcation of DIP content  $(\mu g/cm^2)$  with the grayscale standard curve (Eq. [2\)](#page-3-1).

$$
y = -171e^{-x/7.23} + 220\tag{1}
$$

$$
y = -177e^{-x/4.46} + 220\tag{2}
$$

where  $x$  is the accumulated amount per unit area on the binding gel  $(\mu g/cm^2)$  and *y* is the grayscale. The total accumulation of sulfide and DIP on the binding gel  $M(\mu g)$  is the product of *x* and area *A* (cm<sup>2</sup>) of the binding gel ( $M = xA$ ).

After scanning, the composite ZrO–CA binding gels were cut at 3 mm intervals along the depth. The cut pieces were placed in centrifuge tubes containing  $1.0 M HNO<sub>3</sub>$  for Fe extraction (24 h). Extracted Fe was determined with an Epoch microporous plate spectrophotometer. Total Fe accumulated on the binding gel was calculated with Eq. ([3\)](#page-3-2).

$$
M = C_e (V_e + V_g) / f_e \tag{3}
$$

where  $C_e$  is the Fe concentration in the eluates,  $V_e$  and  $V_g$ are the volume of eluates and gels, respectively, and  $f_e$  is the elution efficiency of Fe  $(0.88)$ . The time-averaged concentrations of P  $(C_{\text{DGT}}-P)$  and Fe  $(C_{\text{DGT}}-Fe)$  are calculated by Eq. ([4\)](#page-3-3).

$$
C_{DGT} = M\Delta g/(DAt) \tag{4}
$$

where  $M$  ( $\mu$ g) is the total cumulative amount of DIP and Fe in the binding gels,  $\Delta g$  (cm) is the thickness of the diffusion layer,  $D \text{ (cm}^2\text{/s)}$  is the diffusion coefficient of Fe in the DGT diffusion layer,  $A$  (cm<sup>2</sup>) is the area of the binding gel, and *t* (s) is sampling time. Note that DGT measures only DGT-labile species and will exclude kinetically inert organic species and large colloids (Davison and Zhang [1994](#page-10-9)). Therefore, it is expected that the measured concentrations of sulfde, Fe, and DIP are somewhat lower than the concentrations of operationally defned dissolved components in the porewater. Because of the diference, we denoted hereafter the DGT-labile concentration as  $C_{\text{DGT}}$  ( $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S,  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe,  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P). In addition, we assumed that all DGT-labile Fe was  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$ , considering that Fe(III) hydroxides are almost insoluble in the pH condition of seawater.

#### **Calculation of apparent benthic fuxes of DIP**

The *C*<sub>DGT</sub>–P gradient can be used to estimate apparent benthic flux of DIP according to Fick's first law (Eq. [5\)](#page-3-4) (Ma et al. [2019\)](#page-10-11),

$$
J = -\varphi \cdot D_s \cdot (dC_{DGT}/dZ)_0 \tag{5}
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span>where *J* is apparent benthic flux,  $(dC<sub>DGT</sub>/dz)<sub>0</sub>$  is solute gradient from the sediment–water interface to depth z (cm), *φ* is the average porosity over the depth interval.  $D_s$  is  $H_2PO_4^$ diffusion coefficient in sediments, estimated from  $D_s = D_w$  $(1 - \ln \varphi^2)$  (Boudreau [1997](#page-10-26)), where  $D_{\text{W}}$  is  $H_2$ PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> diffusion coefficient in seawater  $(8.46 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s})$  (Li and Gregory [1974](#page-10-27)). A positive value of *J* indicates upward difusion from the sediment–water interface, and a negative value downward diffusion. The high-resolution  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P profiles allow more accurate determination of the gradient and fux than the profles from the conventional porewater sampling. Due to its low resolution, the conventional porewater sampling could only roughly depict the porewater profles, which may give rise to a large uncertainty in determination of DIP gradients and fux.

### **Results**

#### **TOC contents and speciation of S and reactive Fe**

<span id="page-3-2"></span>Contents of TOC (wt%) at A3, A4, B3, B4, and C4 were in the ranges of 1.03–1.47%, 1.04–1.32%, 0.75–0.89%, 0.85–1.16%, and 0.52–0.77%, respectively (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)). The contents displayed either a slight decrease or no decrease with depth, except a rapid decrease at B4 over the surfcial 3 cm.

<span id="page-3-3"></span>In general, LFe(II) contents increased rapidly with increasing depth over the upper 2−4 cm of the cores (except B4) (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-1), whereas LFe(III) contents decreased with depth, indicating its reductive consumption and corresponding accumulation of LFe(II). LFe(III) contents at C4 were signifcantly higher than those at the other sites, which may suggest that sediments at this site have been superimposed by reactive iron-rich sediments delivered from the Yangtze River (Zhu et al. [2012\)](#page-11-5). Below the depth of 8−10 cm, both LFe(III) and LFe(II) contents had no signifcant down-core changes, which indicates no further reduction of LFe(III).

AVS and  $S^0$  contents at five sites were extremely low, with the sum of the two in the range of 0−1.2 µmol/g (Fig. [4](#page-4-2)).  $S<sub>pv</sub>$ contents at each site displayed a down-core increase, but varied in a wide range among the fve sites, with the maximum value only 5.41 µmol/g at B4 and 21.9−24.8 µmol/g at A3 and B3, but up to 117 µmol/g at A4. The maximum TRIS contents (118 µmol/g) at A4 was much higher than those at A3, B3, B4 and C4 (5.5−25 µmol/g), which are at the lower end for sediments of global continental margins (Goldhaber [2004](#page-10-24); Rickard and Morse [2005\)](#page-10-28). Regardless of its contents, TRIS was always dominated by  $S_{\text{py}}$  (85.4–99.7%).

#### **Speciation of inorganic phosphorus**

<span id="page-3-4"></span>Ex–P contents at five sites were in a narrow range of 0.47−1.25 µmol/g (Fig. [5\)](#page-5-0), and displayed no clear depth



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Vertical profles of TOC contents



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 3** Vertical profles of labile Fe(III) (LFe(III) and Fe(II) (LFe(II))



<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Fig.** 4 Vertical profiles of acid volatile sulfide (AVS), elemental sulfur ( $S^0$ ), and pyrite–S ( $S_{py}$ )



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Vertical profles of adsorbed inorganic and exchangeable organic P (Ex−P), Fe-bound inorganic P (Fe−P), and authigenic phosphate  $(Au-P)$ 

trend. This P pool accounts for  $7.4-21.7\%$  (14.6  $\pm$  3.6%) of the TRIP. Au−P contents at the sites were 2.10−6.56 µmol/g, with the contents at C4 (3.40−6.56 µmol/g) much higher than at the other sites, and this pool accounted for 32.5−82.9%  $(57.9 \pm 11.4\%)$  of the TRIP and thus was the major P pool. Fe–P contents were in the range of 0.65−3.82 µmol/g, accounting for  $9.7 - 53.3\%$  (27.8 ± 10.3%) of the TRIP. In general, Fe−P contents displayed a signifcant down-core decrease over the upper 8 cm depth, corresponding to the down-core decrease in LFe(III) (Fig. [3](#page-4-1)), which implies that Fe−P release might be largely from reductive dissolution of Fe oxides.

# *C*<sub>DGT</sub>–S, *C*<sub>DGT</sub>–Fe, and *C*<sub>DGT</sub>–P concentrations **and apparent benthic fuxes of DIP**

As shown in Fig. [6](#page-6-0), the 2-D distributions of  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S and  $C<sub>DT</sub>$ –P in porewater at the five sites were obviously spatially heterogeneous. *C*<sub>DGT</sub>–S concentrations at the five sites were constantly very low. For example,  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S at B3 was lower than the detection limit of the DGT device, and was only sporadically detectable at A4 and B4, with *C*<sub>DGT</sub>–S concentrations less than 0.27 µmol/L.  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S at A3 and C4 occurred mainly below 4−6 cm depth, at a concentration of less than 2.11 µmol/L. There were obvious differences in concentrations

and spatial distributions of  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P among the five sites. At A4,  $C<sub>DGT</sub>$ –P concentration in bottom water immediately above the sediment was relatively high and uniform, while the bottom-water concentrations at the other sites were low.

The vertical profiles of  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S and  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P obtained from lateral averaging of their 2-D distributions can be used for comparison with the vertical profiles of  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe (Fig. [7\)](#page-6-1) to reveal the interplay of the three components.  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe concentrations were close to 0 in the uppermost layer (2−3 cm) (except for a peak value at the sediment-water interface at A4), below which all the concentrations increased rapidly with varying gradients. For example,  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe concentrations at A3, B4, and C4 reached their maxima within the 2 cm depth interval; at A4 and B3, however, the concentrations increased to their maxima within the 4 cm depth interval. Generally, there was a rapid increase in  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P concentrations in tandem with the rapid increase in  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe concentrations (Fig. 7). A rapid increase in  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S generally started below the depths where the *C*<sub>DGT</sub>–Fe reached its maximum (except for B3), but the two components coexisted within a certain depth interval (Fig. [7\)](#page-6-1).

Figure [8](#page-7-0) shows the apparent benthic fluxes of DIP at five sites. The fluxes were positive at A3, B3, B4, and C4, but negative at A4. The average flux of the five sites was  $0.422 \pm 1.004 \times 10^{-3}$  mmol/m<sup>2</sup>/day.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig.** 6 Two-dimensional distributions of porewater concentrations of  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S and  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 7** Vertical profiles of porewater concentrations of  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S,  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P, and  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Benthic fuxes of DIP across sediment-water interfaces

### **Discussion**

## **Diagenetic geochemistry of S and Fe**

The profles of porewater chemistry in marine sediments are a combined result of multiple diagenetic processes and usually display high spatial heterogeneity (Berg et al. [2003](#page-10-29)). High-resolution  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S and  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe profiles can provide more detailed information on S and Fe diagenetic cycles than the porewater profles from the conventional sampling, though the DGT-labile concentrations do not represent the total porewater concentrations (Ma et al. [2017](#page-10-30), [2019\)](#page-10-11). A rapid increase in *C*<sub>DGT</sub>–Fe concentrations below 2−3 cm depth (Fig. [7\)](#page-6-1) indicates a rapid reductive dissolution of Fe oxides, which is confrmed by a concomitant decrease in LFe(III) content and a corresponding increase in LFe(II) content over the same depth interval (Fig. [3](#page-4-1)). The reduced Fe occurs mainly as pyrite  $(FeS_2)$  (Fig. [4\)](#page-4-2) and acid-extractable solid-phase LFe(II) (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-1), leaving only a minor fraction in the porewater. Very low AVS contents at all sites suggest that the contents of metastable Fe sulfides (FeS,  $Fe<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>$ ) are negligible. Thus, we can assume that almost all the LFe(II) remains unsulfidized. In addition,  $Fe_{py}$  (Fe<sub>py</sub> = 0.5 S<sub>py</sub>) content accounts for only 14%, on average, of the total Fe(II) (i.e.,  $Fe(II)_T = LFe(II) + Fe_{py}$ ), which means that the majority of Fe(II)<sub>T</sub> occurs as HCl-extractable unsulfidized LFe(II).

In labile OC-rich sediments, microbially mediated oxidation of OC can result in a quick depletion of oxygen, nitrate, manganese oxides, and Fe oxides, such that sulfate is usually left as the solely important electron acceptor for OC oxidation. This usually results in highly sulfdic porewater conditions (Jørgensen [1977](#page-10-31)), in which Fe oxides are reduced dominantly by dissolved sulfde (i.e., abiotic reduction) and, as a result, Fe(II) occurs mainly as Fe(II) sulfdes. This is because abiotic reduction is thermodynamically and kinetically more favored than DIR (Koretsky et al. [2003\)](#page-10-32). Furthermore, biotoxicity of abundant dissolved sulfde to Fe-reducing bacteria also inhibits the prevalence of DIR (Canfeld et al. [2005](#page-10-33)). However, the abundant presence of unsulfdized LFe(II) and overall low TRIS contents in the present study strongly suggest that DIR is prevailing in the sediments, and the sulfate reduction rate is generally low, such that the majority of dissolved sulfide is efficiently buffered by reaction with Fe oxides. Below the depth of its maximum, the  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe concentrations were at least one order of magnitude higher than those of the  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S (Fig. [7](#page-6-1)), which further supports the ferruginous porewater environment. This diagenetic regime suggests that OC in the sediments is overall low in lability, and thus its degradation could not maintain high rates of sulfate reduction, but favor DIR (Thamdrup [2000](#page-10-7)). This speculation is supported by only a slight down-core decrease in TOC contents at the fve sites (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0) and also by our diagenetic modeling (Ren et al. [2022\)](#page-10-16), which suggests that labile OC pool (with degradation rate constants of 0.6−1.65 year−1) in the muddy sediments of the central SYS is small  $(< 0.2 \text{ wt\%})$ , and quickly becomes depleted within the upmost 4 cm, while the remaining OC pool is barely degradable over the upper 40 cm depth interval.

At a certain depth (except at B3), a rapid increase in  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S concentrations (Fig. [7\)](#page-6-1) indicates a significant enhancement in sulfate reduction rate. These depths are greater than those of the rapid increase in  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe concentrations, which is consistent with the thermodynamic prediction that sulfate reduction occurs following DIR (Burdige [2006](#page-10-1)). However, the coexistence of dissolved sulfde and  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$  over a wide depth interval suggests that DIR and sulfate reduction are not completely mutually exclusive, which implies that the classic geochemical zonation of early diagenesis is only an ideal paradigm, while the real situation is actually much more complicated. It is noted that the twodimensional distributions of  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S are highly spatially heterogeneous, probably refecting millimeter-scale hotspots of sulfate reduction. Such spatial heterogeneities could only be revealed by in situ, high-resolution sampling such as DGT, but not easily captured by the conventional porewater sampling (Ding et al. [2016;](#page-10-10) Ma et al. [2017](#page-10-30), [2019\)](#page-10-11). In addition, low-concentration sulfde and its spatial heterogeneity are undetectable with the method of the conventional porewater sampling because of the high detection limit (1 µmol/L) of the traditional methylene blue method of dissolved sulfde determination (Cline [1969](#page-10-23)), but could be characterized by the DGT technique with in situ preconcentration.

In labile OC-rich sediments, rapid sulfate reduction, and thus the formation of highly sulfdic porewater conditions, usually result in rapid depletion of either sulfate or reactive



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 9** Correlations between porewater  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe and  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P

Fe oxides within the upper sediment layer. By corollary, the rapid accumulation of  $S_{\text{pv}}$  is restricted to the shallow sediment layer (Goldhaber  $2003$ ). AVS and  $S^0$  levels (Fig. [4](#page-4-2)) and  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S concentrations (Fig. [7](#page-6-1)) at five sites are generally low, and  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S concentrations at B3 are even undetectable with the DGT technique, while  $S_{py}$  content progressively increases with depth, especially in the deep layer, which strongly suggests that sulfde is rapidly consumed by reactive Fe and is efficiently converted to  $FeS<sub>2</sub>$ . This feature is just the refection of the low content of labile OC, together with the slow but continuous sulfate reduction, which once again confrms the overall low lability of the sedimentary OC. It is worth pointing out that the maximum  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S concentration at A4 is only slightly higher than that at B3 (Fig. [7](#page-6-1)), but the maximum  $S_{py}$  content at the former is 4.7−21.6 times those at the other sites (Fig. [4](#page-4-2)). In contrast,  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S concentrations at C4 are relatively high and uniform at depth, while  $S_{py}$  contents are low (<11.4  $\mu$ mol/g), which suggests that porewater and solid-phase chemistry are not necessarily coupled. This is not unexpected considering that porewater chemistry is a combined result of ongoing diagenetic processes, while solid-phase chemistry is a time-integrated result of prolonged diagenesis. Therefore, porewater and solid-phase chemistry should be combined

to well understand the intensity of prolonged diagenesis and the fate of involved elements.

## **Coupling of Fe and P diagenesis and benthic fux of DIP**

The two-dimensional distributions of  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P concentrations at fve sites (Fig. [6\)](#page-6-0) indicate high spatial heterogeneity of DGT-labile DIP in the porewater. Compared with the upper layer, the higher  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P concentrations in the deep part of the sites (except A4) can be ascribed to be a result of a net accumulation of DIP. At A4, both bottom water and porewater close to the sediment–water interface  $(< 2 \text{ cm})$  have high  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P concentrations (Fig. [6\)](#page-6-0). In addition,  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe peaks (Fig. [7](#page-6-1)) and high AVS and  $S_{py}$  contents over the thin layer of the site (Fig. [4\)](#page-4-2) indicate the concurrence of active Fe reduction and sulfate reduction. As a result, efective mobilization of Fe–P together with regeneration of organophosphorus may have resulted in high  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P concentrations at the site.

As shown in Fig. [9,](#page-8-0)  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe concentrations at five sites are well linearly correlated with *C*<sub>DGT</sub>–P concentrations over the depth intervals of rapid down-core increase in  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe, which strongly suggests that DIP release is mainly driven by DIR. This is because under ferruginous conditions

maintained by prevailing DIR, reductively dissolved  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$ and concomitantly released DIP from Fe−P are accumulated mainly in the porewater, resulting in coupling of the two components (Lehtoranta et al. [2009\)](#page-10-5). Under highly sulfdic conditions, however, the majority of  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$  produced mainly by reaction with abundant porewater sulfde is quickly precipitated as Fe sulfdes, while released DIP remains in the porewater due to low sorption afnity of Fe sulfdes for DIP (Bebie et al. [1998](#page-10-6)), rendering the two components spatially decoupled (Lehtoranta et al. [2009](#page-10-5); Rozan et al. [2002\)](#page-10-8). The decrease in Fe−P from the sediment surface to a depth of 6−8 cm (Fig. [5](#page-5-0)) further confrms the notion of DIR-driven mobilization of Fe−P. It should be pointed out that OC mineralization coupled to DIR also regenerates DIP. If the chemical compositions of the sedimentary organic matter are assumed to follow the Redfeld ratio of C/N/P, the resulting DIP/Fe<sup>2+</sup> ratio would be 1/424 (Eq. 6), which is much lower than the determined  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P/ $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe ratios (0.02–2.94). Thus, we can hypothesize that the relative contribution of organic matter mineralization to DIP release is very limited.

(6) 424 FeOOH +  $\left( \text{CH}_2\text{O} \right)_{106} \left( \text{NH}_3 \right)_{16} H_3 \text{PO}_4 + 756 \text{ H}^+ = 106 \text{ HCO}_3^- +$  $16 \text{ NH}_4^+ + 424 \text{ Fe}^{2+} + \text{HPO}_4^{2-} + 636 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$ 

As shown in Fig. [8,](#page-7-0) the positive benthic fuxes of DIP at A3, B3, B4, and C4 suggest that these sites are the source of DIP to the overlying water. The negative fux of DIP at A4 is largely due to the high DIP concentration in the bottom water (Fig.  $6$ ), the reason for which remains unclear, but may be ascribed to efficient regeneration of organophosphorus in the water column around the site. The average of the benthic fluxes at the five site  $(0.422 \pm 1.004 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mmol/m}^2/\text{day})$  is at the lower end of DIP fuxes reported in diferent areas of China's marginal seas (Fig. [8](#page-7-0), Table S1). This indicates that the muddy area of the central SYS is only a weak source of DIP to the overlying water. The low DIP fux could be attributed to the following two reasons: (1) the DIR-prevailing condition favors upward diffusion of both  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$  and mobilized DIP; on the other hand, the subsequent reoxidation of  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$  close to the sediment surface can effectively sequestrate the upward difusing DIP, resulting in the overall low DIP fuxes; (2) even though DIR is a prevailing pathway of Fe reduction, generally low DIR rates may have resulted in low release of Fe−P and thus low DIP fux. This speculation is inferred from the comparison with our previous study in Jiaozhou Bay (Ma et al. [2019\)](#page-10-11). DIP release from Jiaozhou Bay sediments is also driven mainly by DIR, but  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe concentrations and DIP fuxes in the bay sediments are much higher than those in the present study, which may suggest lower DIR rates in the muddy area of the central SYS.

Based on the area  $(3.09 \times 10^5 \text{ km}^2)$  of the muddy sediments of the central SYS (Ren et al. [2022\)](#page-10-16) and the average DIP fux in the area, the annual fux of DIP of the area is calculated to be  $1.82 \pm 3.92 \times 10^{10}$  mol. The average primary production of the whole SYS  $(8.08 \times 10^5 \text{ km}^2)$ is reported to be about  $515-949$  mg C/m<sup>2</sup>/day (Ning et al. [1995](#page-10-35)), which is equivalent to DIP demand of 0.40–0.75 mmol/m<sup>2</sup>/day, in terms of the Redfield ratio of planktonic C/N/P. It is estimated that DIP released from the muddy sediments of the central SYS accounts for only 0.02−0.04% of the total DIP required for the primary production of the whole SYS, and thus the DIP release plays only a minor role in P budget of the sea.

### **Conclusions**

The two-dimensional distributions of  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S concentrations reveal high spatial heterogeneity of dissolved sulfde in the sediments, suggesting highly varying sulfate reduction rates on millimeter scales, which usually could not be captured by the conventional low-resolution porewater sampling. *C*<sub>DGT</sub>–Fe concentrations are much higher than those of  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S, and the majority of Fe(II) (86%) occurs as unsulfdized LFe(II), which strongly suggests that DIR is prevailing in ferruginous porewater conditions. Despite the prevalence of DIR, sulfate reduction can coexist with DIR over a wide depth interval.

The profiles of AVS,  $S^0$ , and S<sub>py</sub> suggest slow but progressive proceeding of sulfate reduction toward the depth of the sediments, which is a manifestation of low lability of the sedimentary OC. The spatial decoupling of  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –S and  $S_{\text{nv}}$  profiles in the sediments highlights the importance of the combination of porewater and solid-phase chemistry to better elucidate the fate and intensity of the diagenetic processes.

A good positive linear correlation between  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe and  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –P ( $R^2$ >0.75) over the depth intervals of rapid down-core increase in  $C_{\text{DGT}}$ –Fe strongly suggests that DIP release is mainly driven by DIR. Overall, the muddy sediments are a weak source of DIP to the water column, with an averaged benthic flux of  $0.422 \pm 1.004 \times 10^{-3}$  mmol/ m<sup>2</sup>/day. The flux accounts for only 0.02–0.04% of DIP required for the primary production in the whole SYS, which is a minor contributor to P budget of the sea.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-023-00967-7>.

**Acknowledgments** This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers: 42176041 and 41776085) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (grant number 2016YFA0601301). Data and samples were collected onboard of R/V Dongfanghong 3. All crews of the cruise were thanked for their assistance in sampling.

**Author contributions** ZX and M-XZ wrote the main manuscript text and ZX prepared Figures 1−8. W-XS, ZX, Q-QL, and Y-JH undertook feld investigation. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

**Data availability** The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Much of the data is distilled within the manuscript or supplementary material.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Bebie J, Schoonen MA, Fuhrmann M, Strongin DR (1998) Surface charge development on transition metal sulfdes: an electrokinetic study. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 62:633–642
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>Berg P, Rysgaard S, Thamdrup B (2003) Dynamic modeling of early diagenesis and nutrient cycling. A case study in an artic marine sediment. Am J Sci 303:905–955
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Berner RA (1980) Early Diagenesis: A Theoretical Approach. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Berner RA (1985) Sulphate reduction, organic matter decomposition and pyrite formation. Philosophical Trans Royal Soc Lond Ser Math Phys Sci 315:25–38
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>Boudreau BP (1997) Diagenetic Models and Their Implementation. Springer, Berlin, Berlin
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Burdige DJ (2006) Geochemistry of Marine Sediments. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Burdige DJ, Christensen JP (2022) Iron biogeochemistry in sediments on the western continental shelf of the Antarctic Peninsula. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 326:288–312
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>Burton ED, Sullivan LA, Bush RT, Johnston SG, Keene AF (2008) A simple and inexpensive chromium-reducible sulfur method for acid-sulfate soils. Appl Geochem 23:2759–2766
- <span id="page-10-33"></span>Canfeld DE, Kristensen E, Thamdrup B (2005) Aquatic Geomicrobiology. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>Cline JD (1969) Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen sulfde in natural waters. Limnol Oceanogr 14:454–458
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Cornell RM, Giovanoli R (1988) Acid dissolution of akaganiéite and lepidocrocite: the efect on crystal morphology. Clays Clay Miner 36:385–390
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Davison W, Zhang H (1994) In situ speciation measurements of trace components in natural water using thin-flm gels. Nature 367:546–548
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Ding S, Wang Y, Wang D, Li YY, Gong M, Zhang C (2016) In situ, high-resolution evidence for iron-coupled mobilization of phosphorus in sediments. Sci Rep 6:1–11
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Froelich P, Klinkhammer GP, Bender ML, Luedtke NA, Heath GR, Cullen D, Dauphin P, Hammond D, Hartman B, Maynard V (1979) Early oxidation of organic matter in pelagic sediments of the eastern equatorial Atlantic: suboxic diagenesis. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 43:1075–1090
- <span id="page-10-34"></span>Goldhaber MB (2003) Sulfur-rich sediments. In: MacKenzie FT (ed) Treatise on Geochemistry. Asmterdam, Elsevier, pp 257–288
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>Jørgensen BB (1977) The sulfur cycle of a coastal marine sediment (Limforden, Denmark) 1. Limnol Oceanogr 22:814–832
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Jørgensen BB (1982) Mineralization of organic matter in the sea bed the role of sulphate reduction. Nature 296:643–645
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>Kallmeyer J, Ferdelman TG, Weber A, Fossing H, Jørgensen BB (2004) A cold chromium distillation procedure for radiolabeled sulfde

applied to sulfate reduction measurements. Limnol Oceanography: Methods 2:171–180

- <span id="page-10-32"></span>Koretsky CM, Moore CM, Lowe KL, Meile C, DiChristina TJ, Van Cappellen P (2003) Seasonal oscillation of microbial iron and sulfate reduction in saltmarsh sediments (Sapelo Island, GA, USA). Biogeochemistry 64:179–203
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Lehtoranta J, Ekholm P, Pitkänen H (2009) Coastal eutrophication thresholds: a matter of sediment microbial processes. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment 38:303–308
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>Li YH, Gregory S (1974) Diffusion of ions in sea water and in deep-sea sediments. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 38:703–714
- Liu SM, Li LW, Zhang Z (2011) Inventory of nutrients in the Bohai. Cont Shelf Res 31:1790–1797
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Liu SM, Zhang J, Chen SZ, Chen HT, Hong GH, Wei H, Wu QM (2003) Inventory of nutrient compounds in the Yellow Sea. Cont Shelf Res 23:1161–1174
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>Ma WW, Zhu MX, Yang GP, Li T (2017) In situ, high-resolution DGT measurements of dissolved sulfde, iron and phosphorus in sediments of the East China Sea: insights into phosphorus mobilization and microbial iron reduction. Mar Pollut Bull 124:400–410
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Ma WW, Zhu MX, Yang GP, Li T (2018) Iron geochemistry and organic carbon preservation by iron (oxyhydr) oxides in surface sediments of the East China Sea and the south Yellow Sea. J Mar Syst 178:62–74
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Ma WW, Zhu MX, Yang GP, Li WJ, Meng T, Li T (2019) Diagenesis of sulfur, iron and phosphorus in sediments of an urban bay impacted by multiple anthropogenic perturbations. Mar Pollut Bull 146:366–376
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Meng T, Zhu MX, Ma WW, Gan ZX (2019) Sulfur, iron, and phosphorus geochemistry in an intertidal mudfat impacted by shellfsh aquaculture. Environ Sci Pollut Res 26:6460–6471
- <span id="page-10-35"></span>Ning XR, Liu ZL, Shi JX (1995) Assessment of primary productivity and potential fshery production in the Bohai Sea, Yellow Sea and East China Sea. Haiyang Xuebao 17:72–84 (In Chinese with English abstract)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Qi L, Wu Y, Chen S, Wang X (2021) Evaluation of abandoned Huanghe Delta as an important carbon source for the Chinese marginal seas in recent decades. J Geophys Res 126:e2020JC017125. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JC017125) [org/10.1029/2020JC017125](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JC017125)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Ren JH, Zhu MX, Wang DY, Tan TT, Li T, Zhao M, Pan DW (2022) Organic carbon mineralization pathways in the muddy sediments of the South Yellow Sea: insights from steady-state modeling of porewater. Appl Geochem 138:105237
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>Rickard D, Morse JW (2005) Acid volatile sulfde (AVS). Mar Chem 97:141–197
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Rozan TF, Taillefert M, Trouwborst RE, Glazer BT, Ma S, Herszage J, Valdes LM, Price KS, Luther GW III (2002) Iron-sulfur‐phosphorus cycling in the sediments of a shallow coastal bay: Implications for sediment nutrient release and benthic macroalgal blooms. Limnol Oceanogr 47:1346–1354
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Stookey LL (1970) Ferrozine-a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron. Anal Chem 42:779–781
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Tao S, Eglinton TI, Montluçon DB, McIntyre C, Zhao M (2016) Diverse origins and pre-depositional histories of organic matter in contemporary Chinese marginal sea sediments. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 191:70–88
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Thamdrup B (2000) Bacterial manganese and iron reduction in aquatic sediments. Adv Microb Ecol 16:41–84
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>Wang Y, Ding S, Ren M, Li C, Xu S, Sun Q, Xu L (2019) Enhanced DGT capability for measurements of multiple types of analytes using synergistic efects among diferent binding agents. Sci Total Environ 657:446–456
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Wu Z, Yu Z, Song X, Wang W, Zhou P, Cao X, Yuan Y (2019) Key nitrogen biogeochemical processes in the South Yellow Sea revealed by dual stable isotopes of nitrate. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 225:106222.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.05.004>
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Yang SY, Jung HS, Lim DI, Li CX (2003) A review on the provenance discrimination of sediments in the Yellow Sea. Earth Sci Rev 63:93–120
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Zhang JZ, Guo L, Fischer CJ (2010) Abundance and chemical speciation of phosphorus in sediments of the Mackenzie River Delta, the Chukchi Sea and the Bering Sea: importance of detrital apatite. Aquat Geochem 16:353–371
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Zhou L, Liu J, Saito Y, Zhang Z, Chu H, Hu G (2014) Coastal erosion as a major sediment supplier to continental shelves: example from the abandoned Old Huanghe (Yellow River) delta. Cont Shelf Res 82:43–59
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Zhou N, Zhang GL, Liu SM (2022) Nutrient exchanges at the sedimentwater interface and the responses to environmental changes in the Yellow Sea and East China Sea. Mar Pollut Bull 176:113420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.113420>
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Zhu MX, Shi XN, Yang GP, Hao XC (2013) Formation and burial of pyrite and organic sulfur in mud sediments of the East China

Sea inner shelf: constraints from solid-phase sulfur speciation and stable sulfur isotope. Cont Shelf Res 54:24–36

<span id="page-11-5"></span>Zhu MX, Hao XC, Shi XN, Yang GP, Li T (2012) Speciation and spatial distribution of solid-phase iron in surface sediments of the East China Sea continental shelf. Appl Geochem 27:892–905

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.