Annales Henri Poincaré



# A Non-Hermitian Generalisation of the Marchenko–Pastur Distribution: From the Circular Law to Multi-criticality

Gernot Akemann, Sung-Soo Byun<sub>o</sub> and Nam-Gyu Kang

Ann. Henri Poincaré 22 (2021), 1035–1068 © 2020 Springer Nature Switzerland AG

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-020-00973-7

1424-0637/21/041035-34 published online October 29, 2020

> Abstract. We consider the complex eigenvalues of a Wishart type random matrix model  $X = X_1 X_2^*$ , where two rectangular complex Ginibre matrices  $X_{1,2}$  of size  $N \times (N + \nu)$  are correlated through a non-Hermiticity parameter  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ . For general  $\nu = O(N)$  and  $\tau$ , we obtain the global limiting density and its support, given by a shifted ellipse. It provides a non-Hermitian generalisation of the Marchenko-Pastur distribution. which is recovered at maximal correlation  $X_1 = X_2$  when  $\tau = 1$ . The square root of the complex Wishart eigenvalues, corresponding to the nonzero complex eigenvalues of the Dirac matrix  $\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_1 \\ X_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , are supported in a domain parametrised by a quartic equation. It displays a lemniscate type transition at a critical value  $\tau_c$ , where the interior of the spectrum splits into two connected components. At multi-criticality, we obtain the limiting local kernel given by the edge kernel of the Ginibre ensemble in squared variables. For the global statistics, we apply Frostman's equilibrium problem to the 2D Coulomb gas, whereas the local statistics follows from a saddle point analysis of the kernel of orthogonal Laguerre polynomials in the complex plane.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 60B20, 33C45, 76D27.

The authors are grateful to the German Research Foundation–National Research Foundation of Korea (IRTG 2235) supporting the Bielefeld-Seoul graduate exchange programme. Furthermore, Gernot Akemann was partially supported by the German Research Foundation (CRC 1283) and by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation. Nam-Gyu Kang was partially supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation (SSTF-BA1401-51) and by Korea Institute for Advanced Study Individual Grant (MG058103).

# 1. Introduction and Discussion of Main Results

In this work, we study the complex eigenvalues of the product of two rectangular complex random matrices that are correlated, which is known to form a determinantal point process. One of our goals is to find an interpolation between the classical results for random matrices on the global scale, the circular law [33] and the Marchenko–Pastur distribution [49]. Furthermore, we are interested in the local behaviour of correlation functions, in particular at multi-critical points.

The product ensemble of random matrices that we analyse can be seen as a multiplicative version of the elliptic Ginibre ensemble also called Ginibre– Girko ensemble [34,57], where the sum of a complex Hermitian and anti-Hermitian random matrix is considered that are coupled through a non-Hermiticity parameter  $\tau$ . It allows to interpolate between the circular law for independent matrices and the semicircle law in the Hermitian limit on a global scale. The local correlations have been shown to be universal in the bulk and at the edge of the spectrum [7,47,60].

The random two-matrix model we consider has appeared under the name of chiral complex Ginibre or non-Hermitian Wishart ensemble. The former name has been used in an application to the Dirac operator spectrum of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) with chemical potential [54] (see also [58]), whereas the latter was used as a proposed model for the analysis of time series, e.g. when building a covariance matrices from time-lagged correlation matrices [44]. Also in [44,54], special cases of global and local statistics were analysed. In addition to the parameter  $\tau$  as in the elliptic Ginibre ensemble, we have a second parameter related to the rectangularity or zero eigenvalues of the random matrices. The singular value statistics of this ensemble has been analysed as well [8]. We also refer to [31] for an extension of the Ginibre ensemble to include zero eigenvalues.

Let us be more precise now in introducing our model. For given nonnegative integers  $N, \nu$ , let P and Q be  $N \times (N + \nu)$  random matrices with independent complex Gaussian entries of mean 0 and variance 1/(4N). These are the building blocks of the two correlated random matrices

$$X_1 = \sqrt{1+\tau} P + \sqrt{1-\tau} Q, \quad X_2 = \sqrt{1+\tau} P - \sqrt{1-\tau} Q.$$
(1.1)

Here,  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  is a *non-Hermiticity* parameter, and we use the conventions of [5]. Only for  $\tau = 0$ ,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are again uncorrelated Gaussian random matrices. In the other extremal case  $\tau = 1$ , the two matrices become perfectly correlated,  $X_1 = X_2$ . In the following, we consider the complex eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian Wishart matrix X, given by the product of the two:

$$X := X_1 X_2^*. (1.2)$$

Throughout this article, we shall use the notation

$$\alpha_N := \frac{\nu}{N}, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \alpha_N = \alpha \in [0, \infty).$$
(1.3)



FIGURE 1. Eigenvalues of X, where N = 1000. Here  $\nu = 0$  for figures (**a**)–(**d**) in the top row and  $\nu = N$  for (**e**)–(**h**) in the bottom row. In particular, when  $\tau = 1$ , figures (**d**), (**h**) display histograms of the positive eigenvalues whose distributions follow the Marchenko–Pastur law (1.5) with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , respectively

The empirical measure  $\mu_N$  associated with X is given by

$$\widehat{\mu}_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{\widehat{\zeta}_j},$$

where  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = \{\widehat{\zeta}_j\}_{j=1}^N$  are the *N* complex eigenvalues of *X*, that is the solutions of the characteristic equation  $0 = \det[\widehat{\zeta} - X]$ .

It is well known [22, 23, 37] that for the product of M independent complex Gaussian matrices, that is in our case at M = 2 and at  $\tau = \alpha_N = 0$  (see [35] for an earlier work), the limiting distribution is given by

$$\mathrm{d}\widehat{\mu}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{M|\zeta|^{2-2/M}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}}(\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}A(\zeta) \tag{1.4}$$

on the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ , where  $dA(\zeta) := d^2\zeta/\pi$  is the two-dimensional (2D) Lebesgue measure divided by  $\pi$ . On the other hand, in the Hermitian limit for general  $\alpha \geq 0$ , the matrix X becomes positive definite and we have the classical Wishart ensemble. Therefore, the limiting spectral distribution follows the Marchenko–Pastur law [49]

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_{\pm} - x)(x - \lambda_{\pm})}}{x} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[\lambda_{\pm}, \lambda_{\pm}]}(x), \quad \lambda_{\pm} := (\sqrt{\alpha + 1} \pm 1)^2.$$
(1.5)

Figure 1 shows some random samplings of eigenvalues of X interpolating these two situations, with different values  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  at  $\alpha_N = 0$  and  $\alpha_N = 1$ .

In our first main result Theorem 1, we derive the limiting global spectral distribution of X for arbitrary  $\alpha$  and  $\tau$ , which includes the above-mentioned limiting cases. In that sense, it provides a *non-Hermitian generalisation of the Marchenko–Pastur law*. In particular, we show that the droplet (support of the spectrum) is enclosed by an ellipse with foci  $c_{\pm} := \tau \lambda_{\pm}$ .

We say that the empirical measure  $\hat{\mu}_N$  weakly converges to  $\hat{\mu}$  if for each bounded continuous function f,

$$\frac{1}{N}\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_N\left[f(\widehat{\zeta}_1) + \dots + f(\widehat{\zeta}_N)\right] \to \int f \,\mathrm{d}\widehat{\mu},$$

where  $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_N$  is the expectation with respect to the underlying Gibbs measure.

**Theorem 1.** As  $N \to \infty$ , the empirical measure  $\widehat{\mu}_N$  weakly converges to  $\widehat{\mu}$ , where

$$\mathrm{d}\widehat{\mu}(\zeta) := \frac{1}{1 - \tau^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4|\zeta|^2 + (1 - \tau^2)^2 \alpha^2}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\widehat{S}_{\alpha}}(\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}A(\zeta). \tag{1.6}$$

Here, the support  $\widehat{S}_{\alpha}$  of the spectrum is given by

$$\widehat{S}_{\alpha} := \left\{ \zeta = x + iy : \left( \frac{x - \tau(2 + \alpha)}{(1 + \tau^2)\sqrt{1 + \alpha}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{y}{(1 - \tau^2)\sqrt{1 + \alpha}} \right)^2 \le 1 \right\}.$$
(1.7)

Note that the origin is on the edge of the spectrum (1.7) if and only if  $\tau$  is given by the critical value

$$\tau_c := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha}}$$

For  $\tau < \tau_c$ , the origin is inside and for  $\tau > \tau_c$  outside the support.

We remark that for  $\tau = \alpha_N = 0$ , Theorem 1 reduces to the known density of the product of two independent Gaussian matrices as already mentioned, see [22,23,35,37,53]. Moreover, for  $\tau = 0$  with general  $\alpha \ge 0$ , the spectrum of X was studied by Kanzieper and Singh in [44]. Apparently, the limiting global spectral distribution in Theorem 1 seems to be universal. For instance, in [61], the same distribution was shown to follow from loop equations for the product of two real rectangular random matrices correlated by a diagonal matrix. For the product of M elliptic Ginibre matrices, it was shown in [52] that the law (1.4) is universal.

In Sect. 3, Theorem 1 is derived using the fact that the empirical measure of 2D Coulomb gases concentrates on Frostman's equilibrium measure (see e.g. [40,42]), which reduces the proof of Theorem 1 to solve the associated equilibrium problem. For recent developments on concentration for Coulomb gases, see [24,45] and references therein. We also refer to a recent work [28] of Criado del Rey and Kuijlaars on the equilibrium problem associated with a certain ellipse.

For the investigation of the local statistics, in particular when comparing to data in applications, it is important to unfold the spectrum, that is to map the global density to a constant at the point, around which the fluctuations are measured. This applies equally to one- and two-dimensional spectra. For instance, it is known that for the product of M independent random matrices at fixed  $\nu$  such a map is provided by taking the M-th root, in our case M = 2the square root. This maps the density (1.4) to the circular law. After this map, the local statistics equal those of a single Ginibre matrix in the bulk (away from the origin) and at the edge [6], cf. [48] for a recent rigorous derivation of this fact. Likewise, taking the square root in the Wishart ensemble at  $\tau = 1$ and  $\alpha = 0$  maps the local Bessel law at the origin to fluctuate around a locally constant density, sometimes also called the quarter circle law, see (1.11).

This feature can be conveniently described in an equivalent formulation of the Wishart ensemble (1.2) of the product of two correlated matrices, also called *chiral* version of X. More precisely, let

$$\mathcal{D} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_1 \\ X_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

be the  $(2N+\nu) \times (2N+\nu)$  random *Dirac matrix*. In particular, for the extremal case  $\tau = 1$ , this non-Hermitian two-matrix ensemble  $\mathcal{D}$  reduces to the standard Hermitian chiral Gaussian unitary ensemble with  $X_1 = X_2$ , see [56]. For the details of model  $\mathcal{D}$  as well as its physical applications, we refer to [4, Chapter 32], [32, Section 15.11], [54] and references therein.

The spectrum of  $\mathcal{D}$  consists of the deterministic eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity  $\nu$  and 2N complex eigenvalues  $\{\pm \zeta_j\}_{j=1}^N$ , which always come in pairs, whence the name chiral. The complex eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{D}$  are the solutions of the characteristic equation  $0 = \det[\zeta - \mathcal{D}]$ . For the nonzero eigenvalues, this immediately leads to the following relation between the Wishart and Dirac matrix eigenvalues:

$$\zeta_j^2 = \widehat{\zeta}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N. \tag{1.8}$$

We remark that unlike [5], where the  $\zeta_j$  are considered in the complex halfplane to avoid a double covering, we consider both sets of eigenvalues as elements of the full complex plane. Before discussing the local statistics let us draw some consequences from this mapping for the global statistics of the Dirac matrix  $\mathcal{D}$ , which at first sight seems trivial.

It is known in the physics literature [3] that for any fixed  $\nu$ , (in general, for  $\nu = o(N)$ ) the eigenvalue system  $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \{\zeta_j\}_{j=1}^N$  tends to be uniformly distributed on the domain given by the ellipse

$$S_0 := \left\{ \zeta = x + iy : \left(\frac{x}{1+\tau}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{1-\tau}\right)^2 \le 1 \right\},\tag{1.9}$$

as  $N \to \infty$ . However, the appearance of the ellipse (1.9) was not rigorously proved. Moreover, when the parameter  $\nu$  is proportional to N, the limiting global spectrum in the large-N limit has not been considered. See Fig. 2 for samplings of the eigenvalues of the Dirac matrix  $\mathcal{D}$  for some values in between  $0 \le \tau \le 1$  at  $\alpha_N = 0$  and  $\alpha_N = 1$ .

As a simple corollary of Theorem 1, we obtain from the map (1.8) an explicit formula for the limiting spectral distribution of  $\mathcal{D}$ .



FIGURE 2. Spectrum of  $\mathcal{D}$  (without displaying the zeromodes) where N = 1000. Here,  $\alpha_N = 0$  for the figures (**a**)–(**e**) in the top row, with (**a**) corresponding to the circular law, and  $\alpha_N = 1$  for (**f**)–(**j**) in the bottom row. For  $\tau = 1$ , the plots (**e**), (**j**) display histograms of the real eigenvalues whose distributions follow Marchenko–Pastur law of squared variables (1.11) with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , respectively

**Corollary 2.** As  $N \to \infty$ , the empirical measure  $\mu_N$  associated with the Dirac matrix  $\mathcal{D}$  weakly converges to

$$\mu := \frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha} \cdot \delta_0 + \frac{2}{2+\alpha} \cdot \mu_0,$$

where  $\delta_0$  is the Dirac delta at the origin and the probability measure  $\mu_0$  is given by

$$d\mu_0(\zeta) := \frac{1}{1 - \tau^2} \frac{|\zeta|^2}{\sqrt{|\zeta|^4 + \alpha^2 (1 - \tau^2)^2/4}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{S_\alpha}(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta).$$

Here, the droplet  $S_{\alpha}$  is enclosed by the quartic curve with equation

$$(1 + \alpha - \tau^2)(1 - (1 + \alpha)\tau^2) = (x^2 + y^2)^2 + \frac{16\tau^2}{(1 - \tau^2)^2}x^2y^2 - 2\tau(2 + \alpha)(x^2 - y^2).$$
(1.10)

A simple proof of Corollary 2 consists of inserting  $\hat{\zeta} = \zeta^2$  into the density (1.6) including the Jacobian, and into the support (1.7).

Note that for  $\alpha = 0$ , the limiting density is flat—as required for unfolding and  $\partial S_{\alpha}$  is given by the ellipse (1.9) as predicted in the physics literature [3]. This generalises the elliptic law to the ensemble  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $\alpha = 0$ . On the other hand, for  $\alpha > 0$ , one can easily observe from the formula (1.10) that the topology of the droplet  $S_{\alpha}$  reveals a transition when  $\tau$  passes through the critical value  $\tau_c$ . More precisely, for  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\tau \in [0, \tau_c)$  the droplet  $S_{\alpha}$  is a simply connected domain, whereas for  $\tau \in (\tau_c, 1]$  it consists of two connected components, see Fig. 2. We emphasise that at the critical regime  $\tau = \tau_c$ , the droplet  $S_{\alpha}$  is of lemniscate type and refer the reader to [12, 16, 17, 21, 29] for some recent studies on the planar ensembles of similar appearance, containing singular boundary points. Such transitions have been observed earlier in the physics literature, see [39,58]. Consequently, the seemingly trivial map (1.8) leads to an intricate behaviour for  $\alpha > 0$  that calls for an investigation of the local statistics in the vicinity of the multi-critical point at the origin for  $\tau = \tau_c$ . This is the subject of Theorem 3.

Notice that in the Hermitian regime  $\tau = 1$ , the limiting global spectral distribution follows the Marchenko–Pastur law of squared variables:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_+ - x^2)(x^2 - \lambda_-)}}{|x|} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\left[-\sqrt{\lambda_+}, -\sqrt{\lambda_-}\right] \cup \left[\sqrt{\lambda_-}, \sqrt{\lambda_+}\right]}(x), \qquad (1.11)$$

see e.g. [32, Proposition 3.4.1]. In particular for the case  $\alpha = 0$ , with  $\lambda_{-} = 0$ and  $\lambda_{+} = 2$ , it reduces to the semicircle distribution (or quarter circle when restricted to  $\mathbb{R}_{+}$ ).

We remark that similar to the global universality of the circular law [15, 36, 60] or the elliptic law [57] in general, we expect that the limiting law in Theorem 1 universally appears when we replace the complex Gaussian entries of P and Q by general i.i.d. random variables. We emphasise that it is required to keep the correlation between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  as in (1.1) when choosing Wigner matrices for P and Q.

In the second part of this article we investigate the local statistics of the ensemble  $\mathcal{D}$  when the droplet  $S_{\alpha}$  splits into two connected components. Since the case  $\alpha = 0$  does not reveal such a multi-critical behaviour, let us assume  $\alpha > 0$  in the sequel and define the rescaled point process  $\boldsymbol{z} = \{z_j\}_{j=1}^N$  at the origin as

$$z_j = (N\delta)^{1/4} \cdot \zeta_j, \quad \delta = \frac{1}{(1-\tau^2)^2 \alpha}.$$
 (1.12)

Here, the rescaling order is chosen as in [13, 14, 25] so that the mean eigenvalue spacing of the rescaled process z is of order O(1). Recall that the k-point correlation function  $R_{N,k}(z_1, \ldots, z_k)$  of the system z is given by

$$R_{N,k}(z_1,\ldots,z_k) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ at least one particle in } \mathbb{D}(z_j,\varepsilon), j = 1,\ldots,k)}{\varepsilon^{2k}},$$

where  $\mathbb{D}(z_j, \varepsilon)$  is a disc with centre  $z_j$  and radius  $\varepsilon$ . See also (2.5) and (2.9) for a more standard definition of  $R_{N,k}$ .

Our second main result deals with the scaling limit of the rescaled process z. To our knowledge, Theorem 3 is the first explicit result for the local statistics at the singular boundary of lemniscate type. On the other hand, for the local statistics at a multi-critical point of unitary random Hermitian matrix ensembles, see [19,26,27] and references therein.



FIGURE 3. For illustration we show the graphs of the densities  $R_{N,1}$  rescaled for taking the microscopic limit (1.12), with N = 100 and  $\alpha_N = 1$ . See (2.11) for the expression of  $R_{N,1}$  in terms of the orthogonal Laguerre polynomials in the complex plane

**Theorem 3.** Given  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\tau = \tau_c$ , for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} R_{N,k}(z_1, \dots, z_k) = R_k(z_1, \dots, z_k) = \det \left[ K(z_j, z_l) \right]_{1 \le j, l \le k}$$

uniformly for  $z_1, \ldots, z_k$  in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ , where

$$K(z,w) = |zw| e^{z^2 \bar{w}^2 - |z|^4/2 - |w|^4/2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(-\frac{z^2 + \bar{w}^2}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$$
(1.13)

An illustration of the rescaled local density  $R_{N,1}$  at finite N is displayed in Fig. 3 and a comparison to its asymptotic limit (1.13) is given in Fig. 4, taking cuts in the x- and y-directions of the 2D density.

In Sect. 4, we present both heuristic arguments for the appearance of the limiting correlation kernel (1.13) and a rigorous proof based on the steepest descent method. In particular, we use here that the complex eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{D}$  form a determinantal point process with explicitly known kernel.

We notice that the kernel in (1.13) coincides with the kernel at the edge of the Ginibre ensemble in terms of squared variables. We also find that for  $\tau < \tau_c$ the origin is an inner point of the spectrum and displays bulk statistics of the Ginibre ensemble (in squared variables), whereas for  $\tau > \tau_c$  the correlations at the origin vanish, see (4.1) and Theorem 4. This perhaps surprising finding can be intuitively explained as follows. In the Wishart picture where we consider complex eigenvalues of the product X, in Fig. 1 apparently the point  $\tau_c$  is not special at all. Consequently, we expect local bulk Ginibre statistics everywhere inside the support (away from the origin for  $\alpha = 0$ , and after unfolding) and local edge Ginibre statistics everywhere along the boundary of the support. So far this has only been shown for  $\tau = \alpha = 0$  [6,48].

*Remark.* Notice that the right/left-most edge points of the droplet (1.10) are given by

$$\pm\sqrt{(1+\tau\sqrt{1+\alpha})(\sqrt{1+\alpha}+\tau)}.$$



FIGURE 4. We show cuts of the graphs of the rescaled densities  $R_{N,1}(z)$  (full line) and its large-N limit  $R_1(z)$  (dashed line) at z = x + iy restricted to y = 0 for plots (**a**)–(**c**) and to x = 0 for plots (**d**)–(**f**) with  $\alpha_N = 1$  and  $\tau = \tau_c$ 

Furthermore, beyond the critical regime when  $\tau > \tau_c$ , there are two more edge points on the real axis

$$\pm \sqrt{(\tau\sqrt{1+\alpha}-1)(\sqrt{1+\alpha}-\tau)}.$$

We expect that in the limit of weak non-Hermiticity when  $1 - \tau \sim N^{-1/3} \rightarrow 0$ , the local statistics at such points lies in the same universality class studied in [5,18], which interpolates the Airy and (boundary) Ginibre point processes.

#### 2. Preliminaries

For given  $A > B \ge 0$ , we consider the N-dependent potentials  $Q_N, V_N$ 

$$Q_N(\zeta) := \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{1}{K_{\nu}(AN|\zeta|)|\zeta|^{\nu}} - B \operatorname{Re} \zeta,$$
  
$$V_N(\zeta) := \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{1}{K_{\nu}(AN|\zeta|^2)|\zeta|^{2\nu+2}} - B \operatorname{Re} \zeta^2$$

where  $K_{\nu}$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind given by

$$K_{\nu}(z) := \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_{-\nu}(z) - I_{\nu}(z)}{\sin \nu \pi}, \quad I_{\nu}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2k+\nu}}{k! \, \Gamma(k+\nu+1)}.$$

Notice here that the potential  $V_N$  is related to  $Q_N$  as

$$V_N(\zeta) = Q_N(\zeta^2) - \frac{2}{N} \log |\zeta|.$$
 (2.1)

When  $\tau \neq 1$ , the joint probability density  $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_N$  of the eigenvalues  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = (\widehat{\zeta}_1, \ldots, \widehat{\zeta}_N)$  of the non-Hermitian Wishart matrix X is of the form

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}_{N}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}) = \frac{1}{\widehat{Z}_{N}} e^{-\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{N}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \mathrm{d}A(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_{j}), \qquad (2.2)$$

where  $\widehat{Z}_N$  is the normalisation constant (also known as the partition function), and  $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_N$  is the Hamiltonian given by

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_N(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}) := \sum_{j \neq k} \log \frac{1}{|\widehat{\zeta}_j - \widehat{\zeta}_k|} + N \sum_{j=1}^N Q_N(\widehat{\zeta}_j).$$

Here A, B are related to the non-Hermiticity parameter  $\tau$  as

$$A = \frac{2}{1 - \tau^2}, \quad B = \frac{2\tau}{1 - \tau^2}.$$
 (2.3)

Similarly, the joint probability density  $\mathbf{P}_N$  of the (random) eigenvalue system  $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_N)$  of the Dirac matrix  $\mathcal{D}$  is given by

$$d\mathbf{P}_N(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{Z_N} e^{-\mathbf{H}_N(\boldsymbol{\zeta})} \prod_{j=1}^N dA(\zeta_j), \qquad (2.4)$$

where

$$\mathbf{H}_N(\boldsymbol{\zeta}) := \sum_{j \neq k} \log \frac{1}{|\zeta_j^2 - \zeta_k^2|} + N \sum_{j=1}^N V_N(\zeta_j).$$

We emphasise here that when we consider the models as a particle system governed by the Boltzmann–Gibbs law (2.2) or (2.4), we allow  $\nu$  to be an arbitrary real number as long as  $\nu > -1$ , and A, B be arbitrary real parameters satisfying  $A > B \ge 0$ . When we consider such general set-up, we sometimes use the notation  $\tau := B/A$ .

It is well known that the above particle systems have the structure of a determinant, namely the k-point correlation functions

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N,k}(\widehat{\zeta}_{1},\ldots,\widehat{\zeta}_{k}) := \frac{1}{\widehat{Z}_{N}} \frac{N!}{(N-k)!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{N-k}} e^{-\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{N}(\widehat{\zeta})} \prod_{j=k+1}^{N} \mathrm{d}A(\widehat{\zeta}_{j});$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{N,k}(\zeta_{1},\ldots,\zeta_{k}) := \frac{1}{Z_{N}} \frac{N!}{(N-k)!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{N-k}} e^{-\mathbf{H}_{N}(\zeta)} \prod_{j=k+1}^{N} \mathrm{d}A(\zeta_{j}),$$
(2.5)

are expressed in terms of certain correlation kernels  $\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_N, \mathbf{K}_N$  as

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N,k}(\widehat{\zeta}_1,\ldots,\widehat{\zeta}_k) = \det\left[\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_N(\widehat{\zeta}_j,\widehat{\zeta}_l)\right]_{1 \le j,l \le k};$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{N,k}(\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_k) = \det\left[\mathbf{K}_N(\zeta_j,\zeta_l)\right]_{1 \le j,l \le k}.$$

Moreover, it was discovered by Osborn in [54] that the model (2.4) can be exactly solved due to the orthogonality relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} L_j^{\nu}(c\,\zeta^2) \overline{L_k^{\nu}(c\,\zeta^2)} e^{-NV_N(\zeta)} \,\mathrm{d}A(\zeta) = \frac{h_j^{\nu}}{2} \,\delta_{jk}, \quad c = \frac{A^2 - B^2}{2B} N, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $L_{i}^{\nu}$  is the generalised Laguerre polynomial ([59, Chapter V]) given by

$$L_{j}^{\nu}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{\Gamma(j+\nu+1)}{(j-k)! \, \Gamma(\nu+k+1)} \frac{(-z)^{k}}{k!}.$$
(2.7)

Here, the orthogonal norm  $h_j^{\nu}$  is given by

$$h_{j}^{\nu} = \frac{1}{AN^{\nu+2}} \left(\frac{2A}{A^{2} - B^{2}}\right)^{\nu+1} \frac{\Gamma(j+\nu+1)}{j!} \left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{2j}$$

The orthogonality relation (2.6) was conjectured for  $\nu = \pm 1/2$  in [1] and for general  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  in [54]. It was first proved in [2, Appendix A]. We also refer the reader to [5, Proposition 1] for an elementary proof by induction, based on the contour integral representation of  $L_j^{\nu}$ . By virtue of the orthogonality relation (2.6) and Dyson's determinantal formula (see e.g. [32, Chapter 5]), the correlation kernel  $\mathbf{K}_N$  has an expression

$$\mathbf{K}_{N}(\zeta,\eta) = e^{-NV_{N}(\zeta)/2 - NV_{N}(\eta)/2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{L_{j}^{\nu}(c\,\zeta^{2})\overline{L_{j}^{\nu}(c\,\eta^{2})}}{h_{j}^{\nu}/2}.$$
 (2.8)

In particular, we have

$$\mathbf{R}_{N,1}(\zeta) = 2AN^{\nu+2} \left(\frac{A^2 - B^2}{2A}\right)^{\nu+1} K_{\nu}(AN|\zeta|^2) |\zeta|^{2\nu+2} \\ \times e^{BN\operatorname{Re}\zeta^2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{j!}{\Gamma(j+\nu+1)} \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{2j} \left| L_j^{\nu} \left(\frac{A^2 - B^2}{2B} N\zeta^2\right) \right|^2.$$

By definition, the k-point correlation function  $R_{N,k}$  of the rescaled point process z is given by

$$R_{N,k}(z_1, \dots, z_k) := \frac{1}{(N\delta)^{k/2}} \mathbf{R}_{N,k}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k) = \det \left[ K_N(z_j, z_l) \right]_{1 \le j, l \le k},$$
(2.9)

where

$$K_N(z,w) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\delta}} \mathbf{K}_N\left(\frac{z}{(N\delta)^{1/4}}, \frac{w}{(N\delta)^{1/4}}\right).$$
(2.10)

Thus, the rescaled density  $R_{N,1}$  has the following expression

$$R_{N,1}(z) = 4\nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}+1}(1-\tau^2)^{\nu+1}K_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|z|^2)|z|^{2\nu+2} \times e^{2\tau\sqrt{\nu}\operatorname{Re} z^2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\tau^{2j}j!}{\Gamma(j+\nu+1)} \Big|L_j^{\nu}\Big(\frac{1-\tau^2}{\tau}\sqrt{\nu}z^2\Big)\Big|^2.$$
(2.11)

# 3. Global Statistics and Multi-critical Point

## 3.1. The Asymptotic Behaviours of the Potentials

In this subsection, we compile some asymptotic behaviours of the potentials  $Q_N, V_N$  when  $N \to \infty$ . In order to describe the asymptotic behaviours of functions, we often use the following notations, due to Bachmann and Landau. If f(x)/g(x) tends to 1 as  $x \to \infty$ , we say that f is asymptotic to g and write  $f(x) \sim g(x)$ .

First, we consider the case that the parameter  $\nu$  is fixed. In this case, since

$$K_{\nu}(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z}, \quad \text{as } z \to \infty,$$

for any fixed  $\nu$  (see [50, Eq.(10.40.2)]), the dominant term of the potential  $Q_N$  is given by

$$\widetilde{Q}_0(\zeta) = A|\zeta| - B\operatorname{Re}\zeta.$$
(3.1)

Here and in the sequel, we sometimes use the convention that two potentials are considered to be equal if they differ by an N-dependent constant. Second, assume that the parameter  $\nu$  scales with N as (1.3). Note that by [50, Eq.(10.41.4)], as  $\nu \to \infty$ ,

$$K_{\nu}(\nu|z|) \sim \left(\frac{\pi}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+|z|^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+|z|^2}}{|z|}\right)^{\nu} e^{-\nu\sqrt{1+|z|^2}}$$

uniformly for  $0 < |z| < \infty$ . Therefore for the scale (1.3), we have

$$Q_N(\zeta) = \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta) + \frac{1}{4N} \log(A^2 |\zeta|^2 + \alpha^2) + \frac{1}{2N} \log N + o\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta) := \sqrt{A^2 |\zeta|^2 + \alpha^2} - B \operatorname{Re} \zeta - \alpha \log\left(\sqrt{A^2 |\zeta|^2 + \alpha^2} + \alpha\right).$$
(3.3)

Thus on the macroscopic level, one can formally think of the case with fixed  $\nu$  as a special case of (1.3) with  $\alpha = 0$ . This is consistent with the definition in (3.1).

On the other hand, by the relation (2.1), the potential  $V_N$  tends to

$$\widetilde{V}_{\alpha}(\zeta) := \sqrt{A^2 |\zeta|^4 + \alpha^2} - B \operatorname{Re} \zeta^2 - \alpha \log\left(\sqrt{A^2 |\zeta|^4 + \alpha^2} + \alpha\right) - \frac{2}{N} \log|\zeta|.$$

Note also that the Laplacian  $\Delta := \partial \bar{\partial}$  of the potentials are given by

$$\Delta \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta) = \frac{A^2}{4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A^2 |\zeta|^2 + \alpha^2}},\tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\Delta \widetilde{V}_{\alpha}(\zeta) = 4|\zeta|^2 \Delta \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta^2) = \frac{A^2|\zeta|^2}{\sqrt{A^2|\zeta|^4 + \alpha^2}}.$$

In particular, we have  $\Delta \widetilde{V}_0(\zeta) = A$ .

#### 3.2. A Non-Hermitian Generalisation of the Marchenko–Pastur Law

Let us denote by  $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_N$  the expectation with respect to the measure  $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_N$ . Then due to Johansson's marginal measure theorem for the plane (see [40, Theorem 2.9] and [42, Theorem 2.1]), for each bounded continuous function f, we have

$$\frac{1}{N}\widehat{\mathbf{E}}_N\left[f(\widehat{\zeta}_1) + \dots + f(\widehat{\zeta}_N)\right] \to \int f \,\mathrm{d}\widehat{\mu},$$

where  $\hat{\mu}$  is Frostman's equilibrium measure (i.e. a unique minimiser among compactly supported probability measures) of the weighted logarithmic energy functional

$$I[\sigma] := \int_{\mathbb{C}^2} \log \frac{1}{|\zeta - \eta|^2} \,\mathrm{d}\sigma(\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\sigma(\eta) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta) \,\mathrm{d}\sigma(\zeta).$$

We emphasise here that one has to slightly generalise the marginal measure theorem by the N-dependence of the potential. To be more precise, by adapting fairly standard arguments from [40,42], the same conclusion can be drawn for an N-dependent potential  $Q_N$  of the form  $Q_N = Q + u/N$ , where u is a continuous function. Thus, the asymptotic behaviour (3.2) allows us to apply this result.

It is well known that the equilibrium measure  $\hat{\mu}$  is characterised by the variational conditions ([55, p.27]):

$$\int \log \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} d\widehat{\mu}(z) + \frac{\widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta)}{2} = c, \quad \text{q.e., if } \zeta \in \widehat{S}_{\alpha};$$

$$\int \log \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} d\widehat{\mu}(z) + \frac{\widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta)}{2} \ge c, \quad \text{q.e., otherwise.}$$
(3.5)

Here, c is called the modified Robin constant. Moreover,  $\hat{\mu}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and takes the form

$$d\widehat{\mu} = \Delta \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\widehat{S}_{\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}A \tag{3.6}$$

for a certain compact set  $\widehat{S}_{\alpha}$  called the *droplet*. We refer to [55] for a standard reference on logarithmic potential theory. Thus, the density (1.6) in Theorem 1 immediately follows from (2.3) and (3.4). Therefore, to describe the macroscopic behaviour of the particle system (2.2) in the large-N limit, it suffices to characterise the shape of the droplet  $\widehat{S}_{\alpha}$ .

Before dealing with the general set-up, let us recall the well-known extremal cases.

- The maximally non-Hermitian limit:  $\tau = 0$ . Recall that for a radially symmetric potential  $q(|\zeta|)$ , the droplet is given by an annulus  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : R_1 \leq |z| \leq R_2\}$ , where  $R_1, R_2$  are the unique pair of constants satisfying

$$R_1q'(R_1) = 0, \quad R_2q'(R_2) = 2,$$

see [55, Section IV.6]. Note that for  $\tau = 0$ , we have

$$\widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta) = q_{\alpha}(|\zeta|), \quad q_{\alpha}(r) := \sqrt{4r^2 + \alpha^2} - \alpha \log\left(\sqrt{4r^2 + \alpha^2} + \alpha\right)$$

and

$$rq'_{\alpha}(r) = \frac{4r^2}{\sqrt{4r^2 + \alpha^2} + \alpha}$$

Therefore, the associated droplet is the disc with centre the origin and radius  $\sqrt{1+\alpha}$ .

- The Hermitian limit:  $\tau \uparrow 1$ . In this case, one can observe from the expression (3.3) that

$$\lim_{\tau \uparrow 1} \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(x+iy) = \begin{cases} x - \alpha \log x & \text{for } x > 0, \quad y = 0, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus in the Hermitian limit, by solving the associated equilibrium problem on the positive real axis, one can observe that the macroscopic density follows the Marchenko–Pastur law (1.5), see e.g. [32, Section 3.4].

For general  $A > B \ge 0$  and  $\alpha \ge 0$ , we will obtain that the droplet  $\widehat{S}_{\alpha}$  is enclosed by an ellipse

$$\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1, (3.7)$$

where  $\tau = B/A$  and

$$x_0 := \frac{2}{A} \frac{\tau}{1 - \tau^2} (2 + \alpha), \quad a := \frac{2}{A} \frac{1 + \tau^2}{1 - \tau^2} \sqrt{1 + \alpha}, \quad b := \frac{2}{A} \sqrt{1 + \alpha}.$$

This recovers Theorem 1 when we set the parameters A, B as (2.3).

Under the assumption that  $\widehat{S}_{\alpha}^{c}$  is a simply connected domain, we derive (3.7) by means of the conformal mapping method, which is widely used in the theory of Hele-Shaw flow (see e.g. [38]). Then, we show the simply connectedness of  $\widehat{S}_{\alpha}^{c}$  using the variational conditions (3.5). On the other hand, for the general theory on the connectivity of the droplet associated with Hele-Shaw type potentials, we refer the reader to [46] and references therein.

To prove Theorem 1, we use the Schwarz function associated with  $\widehat{S}_{\alpha}$ and the Cauchy transform of the equilibrium measure  $\widehat{\mu}$ . For a given domain  $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\infty \notin \partial \Omega$ , the Schwarz function  $F : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  is a unique meromorphic function (if it exists) satisfying

$$F(\zeta) = \overline{\zeta} \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

The Cauchy transform  $C_{\mu}$  of the measure  $\mu$  is defined by

$$C_{\mu}(\zeta) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|\zeta - z| > \varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(z)}{\zeta - z},$$

if the limit exists.

We now prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $\hat{\mu}$  be the equilibrium measure associated with the potential  $\tilde{Q}_{\alpha}$  and write  $\hat{S} \equiv \hat{S}_{\alpha}$  for the support of  $\hat{\mu}$ . As explained above, it suffices to characterise the shape of the droplet  $\hat{S}$ .

We first find a candidate  $\widehat{S}$ , assuming that the complement of  $\widehat{S}$  is a simply connected domain. For this, let f be the conformal map  $(\overline{\mathbb{D}}^c, \infty) \to (\widehat{S}^c, \infty)$  such that

$$f(\zeta) = R\zeta + q + O\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}\right), \quad \text{as } \zeta \to \infty,$$
(3.8)

where R is positive, called the conformal radius of  $\hat{S}$ .

We prove the theorem by using the following steps:

- (i) we define the analytic continuation of f to  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ , using the Schwarz function associated with  $\widehat{S}$ ;
- (ii) we show that the conformal map f is given by the (translated)  $Joukowsky \ transform$

$$f(z) = \frac{2}{A} \frac{\sqrt{1+\alpha}}{1-\tau^2} \left( z + \frac{\tau^2}{z} \right) + x_0,$$

which maps the unit circle  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  to the ellipse  $\partial \widehat{S}$ ;

(iii) we show that this candidate  $\widehat{S}$  is indeed the desired droplet using the variational conditions.

We begin with proving step 1.

Step 1. Let us first express the Schwarz function F associated with the droplet  $\hat{S}$  in terms of the Cauchy transform  $C \equiv C_{\hat{\mu}}$  of the equilibrium measure  $\hat{\mu}$ . By differentiating (3.5), we have

$$\partial_{\zeta} \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta) = C(\zeta), \qquad (3.9)$$

in  $\widehat{S}$ . If one can "separate" the variable  $\overline{\zeta}$  in the above identity, the desired expression of F is derived. Indeed, this procedure is not available for general potentials, but it turns out that the potential  $\widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}$  under consideration is one of the very special cases in which this can be done.

More precisely, by (3.3), we have

$$\partial_{\zeta} \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\zeta} (\sqrt{A^2 |\zeta|^2 + \alpha^2} - \alpha) - \frac{B}{2}.$$
(3.10)

By (3.9), we observe

$$\bar{\zeta} = \frac{\zeta}{A^2} \Big[ \Big( 2C(\zeta) + B + \frac{\alpha}{\zeta} \Big)^2 - \Big(\frac{\alpha}{\zeta}\Big)^2 \Big]$$

in  $\widehat{S}$ . Therefore, the Schwarz function F associated with  $\widehat{S}$  exists and it is expressed in terms of C as

$$F(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta}{A^2} \left( 2C(\zeta) + B \right) \left( 2C(\zeta) + B + \frac{2\alpha}{\zeta} \right).$$
(3.11)

Note that by (3.11), for  $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\overline{f(1/\overline{z})} = \overline{f(z)} = \frac{f(z)}{A^2} \Big( 2C(f(z)) + B \Big) \Big( 2C(f(z)) + B + \frac{2\alpha}{f(z)} \Big).$$

Now let us define  $f: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$  by analytic continuation as

$$f(z) := \frac{\overline{f(1/\bar{z})}}{A^2} \Big( 2C(f(1/\bar{z})) + B \Big) \Big( 2C(f(1/\bar{z})) + B + \frac{2\alpha}{f(1/\bar{z})} \Big).$$
(3.12)

Therefore, we have obtained the analytic continuation f of (3.8) to  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ . Here and henceforth, we abuse notation by letting f denote the analytic continuation of f to  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ .

Step 2. By construction, complex infinity is the only (simple) pole of f in  $\mathbb{D}^c$ . On the other hand, notice here that since  $\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{C}) = 1$ , we have

$$C(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta} + O\left(\frac{1}{\zeta^2}\right), \quad \text{as } \zeta \to \infty.$$
(3.13)

Therefore from (3.11) and (3.12), one can observe that in  $\mathbb{D}$ , the origin is the unique (simple) pole of f. Combining all of the above, we obtain that the function  $f: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  is analytic except for the simple poles 0 and  $\infty$ . In other words, we have shown that the map f is of the form

$$f(z) = R z + q + \frac{R^*}{z}.$$
(3.14)

Now we determine three constants R, q and  $R^*$  in terms of  $\alpha$  and  $\tau$ . To obtain interrelations among the constants, we calculate the asymptotic behaviour of f near the origin using (3.12). For this, note that

$$\overline{f(1/\overline{z})} = \frac{R}{z} + q + O(z), \quad \overline{C(f(1/\overline{z}))} = \frac{z}{R} + O(z^2), \quad \text{as } z \to 0.$$

By (3.12), f has the Laurent series expansion about the origin

$$f(z) = \frac{\tau^2 R}{z} + \tau^2 q + \frac{2\tau(2+\alpha)}{A} + O(z).$$
(3.15)

Comparing the coefficient of 1/z of (3.14) and that of (3.15), we find

$$R^* = \tau^2 R.$$

Moreover, by comparing the constant terms, we have

$$\tau^2 q + \frac{2\tau(2+\alpha)}{A} = q,$$

which leads to

$$q = \frac{2}{A} \frac{\tau}{1 - \tau^2} (2 + \alpha) = x_0.$$

Combining all of the above, we have shown that f is a rational function of the form

$$f(z) = R\left(z + \frac{\tau^2}{z}\right) + x_0.$$

In other words,  $\widehat{S}$  is of the form

$$\widehat{S} := \left\{ \zeta = x + iy : \left( \frac{x - x_0}{R(1 + \tau^2)} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{y}{R(1 - \tau^2)} \right)^2 \le 1 \right\}.$$
 (3.16)

Vol. 22 (2021) A non-Hermitian generalisation of the Marchenko-Pastur distribution 1051

Next, we show

$$R = \frac{2}{A} \frac{\sqrt{1+\alpha}}{1-\tau^2}.$$
 (3.17)

Since  $\hat{\mu}$  is the equilibrium measure of mass-one, it follows from (3.6) that

$$\frac{A^2}{4} \int_{\widehat{S}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A^2 |z|^2 + \alpha^2}} \, \mathrm{d}A(z) = 1.$$
(3.18)

Notice here that since the semi-major/minor axis of  $\hat{S}$  is linear in R, the lefthand side of (3.18) is an increasing function of R. Therefore, it suffices to show (3.18) with the choice of (3.17).

We first consider the case  $0 \notin \partial \widehat{S}$ . The special case  $0 \in \partial \widehat{S}$  will be treated later as a limiting case. Let us write  $\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}$  for the disc with centre the origin and a sufficiently small radius  $\varepsilon$ . Then, by applying Green's formula to the domain  $\widehat{S} \setminus \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}$ , the left-hand side of (3.18) is computed as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \widehat{S}} \frac{\sqrt{A^2 |z|^2 + \alpha^2}}{2z} \,\mathrm{d}z - \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \in \mathrm{int}(\widehat{S})\}}.$$
(3.19)

In the special case  $0 \in \partial \widehat{S}$ , the line integral should be understood as a principal value. Using the change of variable z = f(w), we have

$$\int_{\partial \widehat{S}} \frac{\sqrt{A^2 |z|^2 + \alpha^2}}{2z} \, \mathrm{d}z = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{\sqrt{A^2 f(w) \overline{f(1/\overline{w})} + \alpha^2}}{2f(w)} f'(w) \, \mathrm{d}w = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} g(w) \, \mathrm{d}w,$$

where g is a rational function of the form

$$g(w) := \frac{\tau}{1 - \tau^2} \sqrt{1 + \alpha} \frac{w^2 + \frac{1 + \tau^2}{2\tau} \frac{2 + \alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha}} w + 1}{w^2 + \tau \frac{2 + \alpha}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha}} w + \tau^2} \left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{w^2}\right).$$
(3.20)

Here, we use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 f(w) \overline{f(1/\bar{w})} + \alpha^2 &= \left( BR \, w + \frac{1+\tau^2}{1-\tau^2} (2+\alpha) + \frac{BR}{w} \right)^2 \\ &+ A^2 (1-\tau^2)^2 \left( R^2 - \frac{4}{A^2} \frac{1+\alpha}{(1-\tau^2)^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

becomes the square of a rational function, if we choose R as presented in (3.17).

Note that g has poles only at

0, 
$$p_1 := -\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1+\alpha}}, \quad p_2 := -\tau\sqrt{1+\alpha},$$
 (3.21)

with the residues

$$1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad -\frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

respectively.

Notice here that since  $A > B \ge 0$ , the pole  $p_1$  is always contained in  $\mathbb{D}$ . On the other hand, due to the formula (3.16),

$$\partial \widehat{S} \cap \mathbb{R} = \left\{ \frac{2}{B} \frac{\tau^2}{1 - \tau^2} (2 + \alpha) \pm R \left( 1 + \tau^2 \right) \right\}.$$

Therefore with the choice of (3.17), we have

$$0 \in \operatorname{int}(\widehat{S})$$
 if and only if  $\tau < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha}}$ .

Thus, we observe that

$$p_2 \in \mathbb{D}$$
 if and only if  $0 \in \operatorname{int}(\overline{S})$ .

By the residue calculus, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} g(w) \,\mathrm{d}w = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \in \mathrm{int}(\widehat{S})\}}.$$
(3.22)

Combining (3.19) and (3.22), the desired equation (3.18) follows in the case  $0 \notin \partial \hat{S}$ .

We now turn to the special case  $0 \in \partial \widehat{S}$ . In this case, we have

$$\tau = \tau_c := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha}}, \quad p_2 := -\tau\sqrt{1+\alpha} = -1 \in \partial \mathbb{D}, \quad 0 = f(-1).$$

Let us denote by  $\mathbb{D}_r(\eta)$  the disc with centre  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$  and radius r. For a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we consider

$$\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon} := \widehat{S} \setminus f(\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(-1)).$$

Then, the boundary of  $f^{-1}(\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon}) = \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(-1)$  is the union of two arcs of circles:

$$\partial f^{-1}(\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon}) = \Upsilon^{1}_{\varepsilon} \cup \Upsilon^{2}_{\varepsilon}, \quad \Upsilon^{1}_{\varepsilon} \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}, \quad \Upsilon^{2}_{\varepsilon} \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(-1)$$

where  $\Upsilon_{\varepsilon}^1$  is oriented in the anticlockwise direction and  $\Upsilon_{\varepsilon}^2$  in the clockwise direction. Applying Green's formula to  $\widehat{S}_{\varepsilon}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{A^2}{4} \int_{\hat{S}_{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A^2 |z|^2 + \alpha^2}} \, \mathrm{d}A(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \hat{S}_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\sqrt{A^2 |z|^2 + \alpha^2}}{2z} \, \mathrm{d}z.$$
(3.23)

Using the change of variable z = f(w) again, the above integral is computed as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Upsilon_{\varepsilon}^{1} \cup \Upsilon_{\varepsilon}^{2}} g(w) \, \mathrm{d}w + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Upsilon_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \widetilde{g}(w) \, \mathrm{d}w,$$

where

$$\widetilde{g}(w) := \frac{\sqrt{A^2 |f(w)|^2 + \alpha^2}}{2f(w)} f'(w) - g(w).$$

Note that  $\tilde{g}$  vanishes on the unit circle. By the residue calculus, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Upsilon_{\varepsilon}^{1} \cup \Upsilon_{\varepsilon}^{2}} g(w) \, \mathrm{d}w = \underset{w=0}{\operatorname{Res}} \quad g(w) + \underset{w=p_{1}}{\operatorname{Res}} \quad g(w) = 1$$

On the other hand, as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ 

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Upsilon^2_{\varepsilon}} \widetilde{g}(w) \, \mathrm{d}w \to 0,$$

since length  $(\Upsilon_{\varepsilon}^2) \to 0$  and  $\sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(-1)} |\widetilde{g}(w)| \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Taking the limit in (3.23) as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we obtain the desired equation (3.18) in the case  $0 \in \partial \widehat{S}$ .

Step 3. Next, we show the variational conditions (3.5). Set

$$H(\zeta) := \int \log \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} \,\mathrm{d}\widehat{\mu}(z) + \frac{\widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta)}{2}.$$

By definition, we have

$$2\partial_{\zeta}H(\zeta) = \partial_{\zeta}\widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta) - C(\zeta).$$
(3.24)

Note that by (3.6), we have

$$C(\zeta) = \frac{A^2}{4} \int_{\widehat{S}} \frac{1}{\zeta - z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A^2 |z|^2 + \alpha^2}} \, \mathrm{d}A(z).$$

We first compute  $C(\zeta)$  for  $\zeta \neq 0$ . We only consider the case  $0, \zeta \notin \partial \widehat{S}$ . The other special case can be treated as a limiting case using a similar argument as in step 2.

Choosing a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(0) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(\zeta) = \emptyset$  and applying Green's formula to the domain  $\widehat{S} \setminus (\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(0) \cup \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(\zeta))$ , we have

$$C(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \widehat{S}} \frac{1}{\zeta - z} \frac{\sqrt{A^2 |z|^2 + \alpha^2}}{2z} dz - \frac{\alpha}{2\zeta} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{0 \in \operatorname{int}(\widehat{S})\}} + \frac{\sqrt{A^2 |\zeta|^2 + \alpha^2}}{2\zeta} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta \in \operatorname{int}(\widehat{S})\}}.$$
 (3.25)

Again, if either 0 or  $\zeta$  lies on  $\partial \hat{S}$ , then the line integral should be understood as a principal value. Using the change of variable w = f(z), we have

$$\int_{\partial \widehat{S}} \frac{1}{\zeta - z} \frac{\sqrt{A^2 |z|^2 + \alpha^2}}{2z} \, \mathrm{d}z = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} h_{\zeta}(w) \, \mathrm{d}w, \quad h_{\zeta}(w) \coloneqq \frac{g(w)}{\zeta - f(w)},$$

where q is the rational function given by (3.20).

Observe that for each  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ , the pre-image  $f^{-1}{\zeta}$  has two elements  $\{w_{\zeta}^{\pm}\}$  (counted with multiplicity). Indeed,  $w_{\zeta}^{\pm}$  are zeros of the following quadratic equation

$$Rw^{2} - (\zeta - x_{0})w + R\tau^{2} = 0.$$

Therefore, the function  $h_{\zeta}$  has poles only at

$$0, p_1, p_2, w_{\zeta}^+, w_{\zeta}^-,$$

where  $p_1, p_2$  are given by (3.21). Recall that  $p_1 \in \mathbb{D}$ , whereas  $p_2 \in \mathbb{D}$  if and only if  $0 \in int(\widehat{S})$ . Also notice that the locations of  $f^{-1}\{\zeta\}$  are determined by the location of  $\zeta$  in the following way:

 $-\zeta \in \operatorname{int}(\widehat{S})$  if and only if both of  $f^{-1}\{\zeta\}$  are in  $\mathbb{D}$ ;  $-\zeta \in \widehat{S}^c$  if and only if one of  $f^{-1}\{\zeta\}$  is in  $\mathbb{D}$  and the other is in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^c$ .

(In the latter case, we denote by  $w_{\zeta}^{-}$  (resp.,  $w_{\zeta}^{+}$ ) the pre-image of  $\zeta$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{D}^{c}$ ).)

To see the second claim, we first note that if  $\zeta \in \widehat{S}^c$  and we choose  $w_{\zeta}^+ = (f|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}^c})^{-1}(\zeta)$ , then obviously  $w_{\zeta}^+ \in \mathbb{D}^c$ . Since  $w_{\zeta}^+ w_{\zeta}^- = \tau^2 < 1$ , we have  $w_{\zeta}^{-} \in \mathbb{D}.$ 

Conversely, if one of  $f^{-1}{\zeta}$ , say  $w_{\zeta}^+$  is in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^c$ , then  $w_{\zeta}^+ = (f|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}^c})^{-1}(\eta)$  for some  $\eta \in \widehat{S}^c$ . Since  $f|_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}^c}$  maps  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^c$  conformally onto  $\widehat{S}^c$ , we have  $\eta = \zeta \in \widehat{S}^c$ .

The first claim follows immediately from the second one;  $\zeta \in \operatorname{int}(\widehat{S})$  if and only if both of  $f^{-1}(\zeta)$  are in  $\mathbb{D}$  or both are in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^c$ . However, both cannot be in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^c$  since  $w_{\zeta}^+ w_{\zeta}^- = \tau^2 < 1$ .

The residues of  $h_{\zeta}$  at  $0, p_1, p_2$  are given by

$$\frac{A}{2\tau}, \quad -\frac{\alpha}{2\zeta}, \quad \frac{\alpha}{2\zeta}, \quad (3.26)$$

respectively. On the other hand, to compute the residues of  $h_{\zeta}$  at  $w_{\zeta}^{\pm}$ , we recall that g is the rational function given by (3.20) or by

$$g(w) = \frac{f'(w)}{2f(w)} \Big( BRw + \frac{1+\tau^2}{1-\tau^2}(2+\alpha) + \frac{BR}{w} \Big).$$

By the residue calculus, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Res}_{w=w_{\zeta}^{\pm}} h_{\zeta}(w) = -\frac{g(w_{\zeta}^{\pm})}{f'(w_{\zeta}^{\pm})} = -\frac{A}{4} \left(\tau + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) + \frac{A}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \tau\right) \frac{1}{\zeta} \left(2R \, w_{\zeta}^{\pm} - (\zeta - x_0)\right).$$
(3.27)

Since  $w_{\zeta}^{+} + w_{\zeta}^{-} = (\zeta - x_0)/R$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{w=w_{\zeta}^{+}} h_{\zeta}(w) + \operatorname{Res}_{w=w_{\zeta}^{-}} h_{\zeta}(w) = -\frac{A}{2} \left(\tau + \frac{1}{\tau}\right) = -\frac{B}{2} - \frac{A}{2\tau}$$

Combining all of the above with (3.25), we obtain

$$C(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\zeta} (\sqrt{A^2 |\zeta|^2 + \alpha^2} - \alpha) - \frac{B}{2}, \quad (\zeta \in \widehat{S}).$$

Therefore by (3.24), (3.10), and the real-valuedness of H, we derive the first half of the variational conditions (3.5).

Now it remains to show the variational inequality in (3.5). First notice that since  $\widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta) \gg \log |\zeta|$  near infinity, we have

$$H(\zeta) \to \infty$$
, as  $|\zeta| \to \infty$ .

Suppose that the variational inequality in (3.5) fails at some point  $\zeta \in \widehat{S}^c$ . Then, the function H has a critical point in  $\widehat{S}^c$ , or

$$\partial_{\zeta} \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta) = C(\zeta) \tag{3.28}$$

for some  $\zeta \in \widehat{S}^c$ .

We consider two holomorphic functions in  $\widehat{S}^c$ 

$$w^{-}(\zeta) := \frac{\zeta - x_0 - \sqrt{(\zeta - x_0)^2 - 4R^2\tau^2}}{2R}, \quad w^{+}(\zeta) := \frac{\tau^2}{w^{-}(\zeta)},$$

where the branch of the square root is chosen such that

 $w^{-}(\zeta) \to 0$ , as  $\zeta \to \infty$ .

Vol. 22 (2021) A non-Hermitian generalisation of the Marchenko-Pastur distribution 1055

Then by (3.25), (3.26), and (3.27), we have

$$C(\zeta) = -\frac{\alpha}{2\zeta} + \frac{A}{4} \frac{1 - \tau^2}{\tau} \frac{\zeta - \sqrt{(\zeta - x_0)^2 - 4R^2\tau^2}}{\zeta}, \quad (\zeta \in \widehat{S}^c).$$
(3.29)

We remark that with this choice of the branch, the required asymptotic behaviour (3.13) holds.

By (3.29), Eq. (3.28) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1+\tau^2}{2\tau} = \frac{1}{\zeta}\sqrt{|\zeta|^2 + \alpha^2/A^2} + \frac{1-\tau^2}{2\tau}\frac{1}{\zeta}\sqrt{(\zeta-x_0)^2 - 4R^2\tau^2}$$
(3.30)

for some  $\zeta \in \widehat{S}^c$ . By construction, we have

$$\sqrt{(f(w^+(\zeta)) - x_0)^2 - 4R^2\tau^2} = R\left(w^+(\zeta) - \frac{\tau^2}{w^+(\zeta)}\right).$$

Therefore, the equation (3.30) holds if any only if

$$R\tau\Big(w^+(\zeta) + \frac{1}{w^+(\zeta)}\Big) + \frac{1+\tau^2}{2\tau}x_0 = \sqrt{|\zeta|^2 + \alpha^2/A^2}.$$

Notice that the right-hand side of this equation is real-valued, whereas the lefthand side is real-valued if and only if  $w^+(\zeta) \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ . However,  $w^+$  is a function from  $\widehat{S}^c$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}^c$ . This contradiction shows that the variational inequality in (3.5) holds for each  $\zeta \in \widehat{S}^c$ .

Thus,  $\widehat{S}$  in (3.16) is the droplet for the equilibrium measure  $\widehat{\mu}$  associated with the potential  $\widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}$ .

In the proof of Theorem 1, we have shown that there is no exceptional point in the variational conditions (3.5).

We now prove Corollary 2.

*Proof of Corollary 2.* Due to the orthogonality relation (2.6) and the change of variable  $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^2$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} L_j^{\nu}(c\,\zeta) \overline{L_k^{\nu}(c\,\zeta)} e^{-NQ_N(\zeta)} \,\mathrm{d}A(\zeta) = h_j^{\nu} \,\delta_{jk}.$$

Thus by Dyson's determinantal formula, the correlation kernel  $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_N$  of the particle system (2.2) has an expression

$$\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_N(\zeta,\eta) = e^{-NQ_N(\zeta)/2 - NQ_N(\eta)/2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{L_j^{\nu}(c\,\zeta)\overline{L_j^{\nu}(c\,\eta)}}{h_j^{\nu}}.$$

In particular by (2.8), we have the relation

$$\mathbf{K}_{N}(\zeta,\eta) = 2|\zeta\eta|\,\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{N}(\zeta^{2},\eta^{2}).$$
(3.31)

Notice here that

 $\zeta \in S_{\alpha}$  if and only if  $\zeta^2 \in \widehat{S}_{\alpha}$ .

Therefore by Theorem 1, for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\mathbf{R}_{N,1}(\zeta)}{N} = \lim_{N \to \infty} 2|\zeta|^2 \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N,1}(\zeta^2)}{N}$$
$$= 2|\zeta|^2 \Delta \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(\zeta^2) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\widehat{S}_{\alpha}}(\zeta^2) = \frac{\Delta \widetilde{V}_{\alpha}(\zeta)}{2} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{S_{\alpha}}(\zeta),$$

which completes the proof.

### 4. Local Statistics at Multi-criticality

#### 4.1. Heuristics Considerations

In this subsection, we present a heuristic way to guess  $K = \lim_{N \to \infty} K_N$ ,

$$K(z,w) = \begin{cases} 2|zw| G(z^2, w^2) & \text{if } 0 < \tau < \tau_c, \\ |zw| G(z^2, w^2) \operatorname{erfc} \left( -\frac{z^2 + \bar{w}^2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) & \text{if } \tau = \tau_c, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

where G is the *Ginibre kernel* 

$$G(z,w) := e^{z\bar{w} - |z|^2/2 - |w|^2/2}.$$

Let us first consider the random normal matrix model  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = {\{\tilde{\zeta}_j\}}_{j=1}^N$  with fixed (i.e. independent of N) potential  $\tilde{Q}_{\alpha}$ . Note that by (3.4), the macroscopic density  $\delta$  at the origin is given by

$$\delta = \Delta \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha}(0) = \frac{A^2}{4\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

As usual, we rescale the normal eigenvalue ensemble via  $\tilde{z}_j = \sqrt{N\delta} \cdot \tilde{\zeta}_j$ , cf.(2.10), and write  $\tilde{K}_N$  for the associated correlation kernel. Then, by combining the local bulk/edge universality shown in [9,41] with Theorem 1, we have the convergence

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \widetilde{K}_N(z, w) = \begin{cases} G(z, w) & \text{if } 0 < \tau < \tau_c, \\ G(z, w) \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left( -\frac{z + \overline{w}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) & \text{if } \tau = \tau_c, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

Now we consider the rescaled process  $\hat{z} = {\{\hat{z}_j\}}_{j=1}^N$ ,  $(\hat{z}_j = \sqrt{N\delta} \cdot \hat{\zeta}_j)$ and write  $\hat{K}_N$  for the associated correlation kernel. Then by the asymptotic behaviour (3.2), one can expect that for  $\alpha > 0$ , the kernel  $\hat{K}_N$  shares the same limit with (4.2). However, we emphasise that this is not a direct consequence of [9,41] due to the N-dependence of the potential. We now turn to the case of particle system (2.4) in terms of squared variables, with potential  $V_N$ . By (3.31), we have the relation

$$K_{N}(z,w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\delta}} \mathbf{K}_{N} \left( \frac{z}{(N\delta)^{1/4}}, \frac{w}{(N\delta)^{1/4}} \right)$$
  
$$= 2|\zeta\eta| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\delta}} \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{N} \left( \frac{z^{2}}{\sqrt{N\delta}}, \frac{w^{2}}{\sqrt{N\delta}} \right)$$
  
$$= 2|zw| \frac{1}{N\delta} \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{N} \left( \frac{z^{2}}{\sqrt{N\delta}}, \frac{w^{2}}{\sqrt{N\delta}} \right) = 2|zw| \widehat{K}_{N}(z^{2}, w^{2}).$$
  
(4.3)

Therefore by (4.2), one can expect the convergence (4.1).

Remark. (Mass-one and Ward equations) For the limiting correlation kernel K given in (4.1), let us define the Berezin kernel

$$B(z,w) := \frac{|K(z,w)|^2}{R(z)}.$$

Then, by [10,11] and the change of variables  $z \mapsto z^2, w \mapsto w^2$ , it is easy to show that the following form of mass-one and Ward's equation hold:

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} B(z,w) \, \mathrm{d}A(w) = 1;$$
$$\bar{\partial}\widetilde{C}(z) = 2R(z) - \Delta V_0(z) - \Delta \log R(z), \quad \widetilde{C}(z) := 2z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{B(z,w)}{z^2 - w^2} \, \mathrm{d}A(w).$$

where  $V_0(z) := |z|^4 - 2 \log |z|$ . The derivation of such Ward equations for multi-fold interacting ensembles with general external potentials as well as the characterisation of radially symmetric solutions will appear in a forthcoming paper. For the general form of Ward identities for Coulomb gases and their conformal field theoretical interpretation, we refer to [43, Appendix 6] and [62].

#### 4.2. The Boundary Ginibre Point Field

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 3. In the sequel, we focus on the critical regime

$$\tau = \tau_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha_N}}, \quad \alpha_N = \frac{1 - \tau^2}{\tau^2}.$$

A function c(z, w) is called *cocycle* if there exists a continuous unimodular function g such that  $c(z, w) = g(z)\overline{g(w)}$ . Note that if  $(c_N)_1^\infty$  is a sequence of cocycles, then  $K_N$  and  $c_N K_N$  defines same determinantal point process. Then, Theorem 3 follows from the following theorem and the relation (4.3).

**Theorem 4.** There exists a sequence of cocycles  $(c_N)_1^{\infty}$  such that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} c_N(z, w) \,\widehat{K}_N(z, w) = G(z, w) \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left( -\frac{z + \bar{w}}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

uniformly for z, w in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

As a consequence of this theorem, the rescaled point process converges to the *boundary Ginibre point field*, see [20, 32].

To prove Theorem 4, we need the following asymptotic behaviours of the modified Bessel functions  $I_{\nu}$  and  $K_{\nu}$ .

**Lemma 5.** As  $\nu \to \infty$  through positive real values, we have

$$I_{\nu}(\sqrt{\nu}z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \nu^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu} e^{\nu} e^{z^2/4}$$
(4.4)

uniformly for z in compact subsets of  $\mathbb C$  and

$$K_{\nu}(\sqrt{\nu}x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \nu^{\frac{\nu-1}{2}} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-\nu} e^{-\nu} e^{-x^2/4}$$
(4.5)

uniformly for x in compact subsets of  $[0,\infty)$ . In particular, we have

$$\sqrt{K_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|z|)K_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|w|)}I_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu z\bar{w}}) \sim \frac{1}{2\nu} \left(\frac{z\bar{w}}{|zw|}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}G(z,w)$$

uniformly for z, w in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* The modified Bessel function  $I_{\nu}$  has a well-known integral representation

$$I_{\nu}(z) = \frac{(z/2)^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\pi} \,\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t^2)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} e^{\pm zt} \,\mathrm{d}t, \quad \Big(\operatorname{Re}\nu > -\frac{1}{2}\Big),$$

see e.g. [50, Eq.(10.32.2)]. Using this representation and Stirling's formula, we have

$$I_{\nu}(\sqrt{\nu}z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \nu^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu} e^{\nu} \int_{-\sqrt{\nu}}^{\sqrt{\nu}} (1 - t^2/\nu)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} e^{zt} \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

For any sequence  $(\nu_k)_1^{\infty}$  of positive numbers such that  $\nu_k \to \infty$ , let us consider a sequence of the probability densities

$$p_k(t) := \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\left[-\sqrt{\nu_k},\sqrt{\nu_k}\right]} (1 - t^2/\nu_k)^{\nu_k - \frac{1}{2}}}{\int_{-\sqrt{\nu_k}}^{\sqrt{\nu_k}} (1 - t^2/\nu_k)^{\nu_k - \frac{1}{2}} \, \mathrm{d}t} = \sqrt{\frac{\nu_k}{\pi}} \, \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\left[-\sqrt{\nu_k},\sqrt{\nu_k}\right]} (1 - t^2/\nu_k)^{\nu_k - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\nu_k + \frac{1}{2})/\Gamma(\nu_k)}$$

and write  $p(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-t^2}$ . By Gautschi's inequality:  $\Gamma(x+1) < \sqrt{x+1} \Gamma(x+\frac{1}{2})$ (x > 0) and a fundamental inequality on logarithm:  $\log(1+x) \le x$  (x > -1), we obtain that for  $t \in (-\sqrt{\nu_k}, \sqrt{\nu_k})$  and a sufficiently large k,

$$p_k(t) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\nu_k + 1}{\nu_k}} (1 - t^2 / \nu_k)^{\nu_k - \frac{1}{2}} < \sqrt{\frac{\nu_k + 1}{\nu_k}} e^{\frac{t^2}{2\nu_k}} p(t) < 2 p(t).$$

Set  $f_k(t) = p_k(t)e^{zt}$ . Then, we have  $|f_k(t)| \le 2 p(t)e^{(\operatorname{Re} z)t}$  and  $f_k(t) \to p(t)e^{zt}$ . We now use Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem to derive

$$\int_{-\sqrt{\nu}}^{\sqrt{\nu}} (1 - t^2/\nu)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} e^{zt} \, \mathrm{d}t \sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} e^{zt} \, \mathrm{d}t = e^{z^2/4} \sqrt{\pi}$$

uniformly for z in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ . This completes the proof of (4.4).

On the other hand, the modified Bessel function  $K_\nu$  has an integral representation

$$K_{\nu}(az) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{2z}{a}\right)^{\nu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos at \, \mathrm{d}t}{(t^{2} + z^{2})^{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \left(\operatorname{Re}\nu > -\frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{Re}z > 0, a > 0\right),$$

see e.g. [50, Eq.(10.32.11)]. Then similar to the above computation together with this representation, we have

$$K_{\nu}(\sqrt{\nu}x) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \nu^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-\nu} \frac{1}{x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{\nu x^2}\right)^{-\nu - \frac{1}{2}} \cos t \, \mathrm{d}t$$
$$\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \nu^{\frac{\nu-1}{2}} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-\nu} e^{-\nu} \frac{1}{x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2/x^2} \cos t \, \mathrm{d}t$$

uniformly for x in compact subsets of  $(0, \infty)$ . Here

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2/x^2} \cos t \, \mathrm{d}t = e^{-x^2/4} \operatorname{Re} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(t/x - ix/2)^2} \, \mathrm{d}t = x \, e^{-x^2/4} \sqrt{\pi},$$

which leads to (4.5).

For the second assertion, notice that

$$I_{\nu}(\sqrt{\nu}x)K_{\nu}(\sqrt{\nu}x) \sim \frac{1}{2\nu}, \quad (0 < x < \infty).$$

Then by (4.4), we have

$$2\nu\sqrt{K_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|z|)K_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|w|)}I_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu z\bar{w}})$$
  
$$\sim \frac{I_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu z\bar{w}})}{\sqrt{I_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|z|)I_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|w|)}} \sim \left(\frac{z\bar{w}}{|zw|}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}G(z,w),$$

 $\square$ 

which completes the proof.

Now we start to investigate the structure of the correlation kernel  $\widehat{K}_N$ . In the sequel, we write

$$a = \frac{1 - \tau^2}{\tau} \sqrt{\nu}.$$

**Lemma 6.** For any integer  $N \ge 1$  and the parameter  $\tau := B/A \in (0,1)$ , we have

$$\widehat{K}_{N}(z,w) = 2\nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}+1}\sqrt{K_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|z|)K_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|w|)} \times |zw|^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\frac{\nu^{k}(z\bar{w})^{k}}{k!\,\Gamma(k+\nu+1)}\mathcal{I}_{k}(z+\bar{w}),$$
(4.6)

where

$$\mathcal{I}_k(z) := (1 - \tau^2)^{\nu + 2k + 1} e^{\tau \sqrt{\nu} z} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1-k} \tau^{2j} L_j^{\nu + 2k}(az)$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{I}_{k}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \left(\frac{1-s}{1-\tau^{2}}\right)^{-\nu-2k-1} e^{\tau\sqrt{\nu}z(1-\frac{s}{1-s}\frac{1-\tau^{2}}{\tau^{2}})} \frac{1-(\tau^{2}/s)^{N-k}}{s-\tau^{2}} \,\mathrm{d}s,$$
(4.7)

where the integration contour  $\gamma$  encircles the origin s = 0 but not the point s = 1.

*Proof.* Using orthogonality relation (2.6), we first express  $\widehat{K}_N$  (up to a cocycle) in terms of  $K_{\nu}$  and  $L_j^{\nu}$   $(0 \le j \le N - 1)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{K}_N(z,w) &= 2\,\nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}+1}(1-\tau^2)^{\nu+1}\sqrt{K_\nu(2\sqrt{\nu}|z|)K_\nu(2\sqrt{\nu}|w|)} \\ &\times |zw|^{\frac{\nu}{2}}e^{\tau\sqrt{\nu}(z+\bar{w})}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\frac{\tau^{2j}j!}{\Gamma(j+\nu+1)}L_j^\nu(az)\overline{L_j^\nu(aw)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula (see [30, Eq.(10.12.42)])

$$\frac{j!}{\Gamma(j+\nu+1)}L_j^{\nu}(z)\overline{L_j^{\nu}(w)} = \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{(z\bar{w})^k}{k!\,\Gamma(k+\nu+1)}L_{j-k}^{\nu+2k}(z+\bar{w})$$
(4.8)

and changing the order of summations, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\tau^{2j} j!}{\Gamma(j+\nu+1)} L_j^{\nu}(z) \overline{L_j^{\nu}(w)} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{\tau^{2k} (z\bar{w})^k}{k! \, \Gamma(k+\nu+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1-k} \tau^{2j} L_j^{\nu+2k}(z+\bar{w}).$$

Next, we show (4.7). For this, recall the contour integral representation of the Laguerre polynomials

$$L_j^{\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{e^{-\frac{sx}{1-s}}}{(1-s)^{\nu+1}s^{j+1}} \,\mathrm{d}s,$$

where the contour  $\gamma$  encircles the origin s = 0 but not the point s = 1. From this expression, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1-k} \tau^{2j} L_j^{\nu+2k}(ax) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{e^{-\frac{sax}{1-s}}}{(1-s)^{\nu+2k+1}} \frac{1-(\tau^2/s)^{N-k}}{s-\tau^2} \,\mathrm{d}s,$$

which completes the proof.

Later, we need the uniform boundedness of  $\mathcal{I}_k|_{\mathbb{R}_-}$ .

**Lemma 7.** For any k and  $x \in (-\infty, 0)$ , we have

$$0 \le \mathcal{I}_k(x) \le 1. \tag{4.9}$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $L_j^{\nu}(x) > 0$  for x < 0. On the other hand, by the generating function of the Laguerre polynomial (see e.g. [59, Eq.(5.1.9)]), we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tau^{2j} L_j^{\nu+2k}(ax) = (1-\tau^2)^{-\nu-2k-1} e^{-\tau\sqrt{\nu}x},$$

which leads to (4.9).

1060

The next lemma provides the asymptotic behaviour of  $\mathcal{I}_k$ .

**Lemma 8.** For a given value of  $k = o(\sqrt{N})$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}_k(z) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left( -\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + O\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{N}}\right).$$

*Proof.* Let us choose the integration contour  $\gamma$  in (4.7) such that it does not encircle the point  $s = \tau^2$ . Then, we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{k}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \left(\frac{1-s}{1-\tau^{2}}\right)^{-2k-1} \left(\frac{s}{\tau^{2}}\right)^{k} \times e^{-Nh(s)} e^{\tau \sqrt{\nu} z (1-\frac{s}{1-s}\frac{1-\tau^{2}}{\tau^{2}})} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\tau^{2}-s},$$
(4.10)

where

$$h(s) := \alpha_N \log \frac{1-s}{1-\tau^2} + \log \frac{s}{\tau^2}.$$

We compute the asymptotic behaviour of the integral (4.10) by means of the standard saddle point analysis, see [51, Section 4.6]. We also refer to [5, Section V] (resp., [18, Section 4]) for a similar analysis for the edge kernel of orthogonal Laguerre polynomials with fixed  $\nu$ , (resp., Hermite polynomials) in the complex plane. We remark that the computations presented here are significantly simpler than those in [5,18], where certain double contour integrals were analysed. This simplification essentially comes from the formula (4.8).

The saddle point analysis relies on the fact that the main contribution of the contour integral comes from the critical point of the function h. Therefore, we deform the contour  $\gamma$  so that it passes through a neighbourhood of the critical point with certain direction, which leads to a real integral representation for the main contribution of the contour integral (4.10).

Note that the function h has the unique critical point  $s_*$ ,

$$s_* = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_N} = \tau^2.$$

Furthermore,  $h(s_*) = 0$  and

$$h''(s_*) = -\frac{1}{\tau^4(1-\tau^2)}.$$

Deforming the integration contour  $\gamma$ , let us assume that  $\gamma$  vertically passes through the real axis near the point  $\tau^2$ . Let us parametrise

$$s = s_* + \frac{iy - \varepsilon}{\sqrt{-Nh''(s_*)}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$
(4.11)

Here,  $\varepsilon$  is a regularisation parameter which will be taken  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . We now analyse the asymptotic behaviour of each term in (4.10). We have

$$\left(\frac{1-s}{1-\tau^2}\right)^{-2k-1} \left(\frac{s}{\tau^2}\right)^k = 1 + \frac{1+\tau^2}{\sqrt{1-\tau^2}} \frac{k}{\sqrt{N}} \left(iy-\varepsilon\right) + O\left(\frac{k^2}{N}\right)$$

and

$$e^{-Nh(s)} = e^{(iy-\varepsilon)^2/2 + O(1/\sqrt{N})}$$

Next, we have

$$\tau\sqrt{\nu}z\left(1-\frac{s}{1-s}\frac{1-\tau^2}{\tau^2}\right) = -z(iy-\varepsilon) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right).$$

Due to the parametrisation (4.11), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\tau^2 - s} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{iy - \varepsilon}.$$

Combining all above, we compute the leading term of the integration (4.10) as

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{(iy-\varepsilon)^2/2 - z(iy-\varepsilon)} \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\varepsilon - iy} \\ &= \frac{i}{2\pi} e^{-z^2/2} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R+iz}^{R+iz} e^{-\zeta^2/2 - i\varepsilon(\zeta - iz)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\zeta - i(z-\varepsilon)}, \end{split}$$

where  $\zeta = y + iz$ . Applying Cauchy's integral formula to a holomorphic function  $\zeta \mapsto e^{-\zeta^2/2 - i\varepsilon(\zeta - iz)}$  along the positively oriented sides of a "large" parallelogram with vertices -R, R, R + iz, -R + iz, we obtain

$$2\pi i e^{(z-\varepsilon)^2/2-\varepsilon^2} = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{-\zeta^2/2 - i\varepsilon(\zeta - iz)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\zeta - i(z-\varepsilon)} \\ -\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R+iz}^{R+iz} e^{-\zeta^2/2 - i\varepsilon(\zeta - iz)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\zeta - i(z-\varepsilon)}$$

It is easy to check that the integrals along the other sides of the parallelogram converge to 0 as  $R \to \infty$ . Changing the order of limits, it follows from the above two equations that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{(iy-\varepsilon)^2/2 - z(iy-\varepsilon)} \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\varepsilon - iy}$$
$$= 1 + \frac{i}{2\pi} e^{-z^2/2} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{0}^{R} e^{-\zeta^2/2} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta - iz} - \frac{1}{\zeta + iz}\right) \mathrm{d}\zeta.$$

Thus by [50, Eq.(7.7.1)], we conclude that

$$\mathcal{I}_k(z) \sim 1 - \frac{z}{\pi} e^{-z^2/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\zeta^2/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\zeta^2 + z^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(-\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

It is left to the reader as an exercise to analyse the subleading term in (4.10).  $\hfill \Box$ 

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4. Due to the relation (4.3), Theorem 3 follows immediately from Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Fix small  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $m = \lfloor N^{\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon} \rfloor$ .

Claim 1. Only the first m terms in the summation of (4.6) contribute to  $\hat{K}_N$ , i.e.

Vol. 22 (2021) A non-Hermitian generalisation of the Marchenko-Pastur distribution 1063

$$\begin{split} \widehat{K}_{N}(z,w) &\sim 2\,\nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}+1}\sqrt{K_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|z|)K_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|w|)} \\ &\times |zw|^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\frac{\nu^{k}(z\bar{w})^{k}}{k!\,\Gamma(k+\nu+1)}\mathcal{I}_{k}(z+\bar{w}). \end{split}$$

Then, by Lemma 8 and with the choice of m, we have

$$\widehat{K}_{N}(z,w) \sim \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}+1} \sqrt{K_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|z|)K_{\nu}(2\sqrt{\nu}|w|)} \\
\times |zw|^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(-\frac{z+\bar{w}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\nu^{k}(z\bar{w})^{k}}{k!\,\Gamma(k+\nu+1)}.$$
(4.12)

Claim 2. We have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\nu^k (z\bar{w})^k}{k! \, \Gamma(k+\nu+1)} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^k (z\bar{w})^k}{k! \, \Gamma(k+\nu+1)} = \nu^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} (z\bar{w})^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} I_{\nu} (2\sqrt{\nu z\bar{w}}).$$
(4.13)

It follows from (4.12) and Claim 2 that

$$\widehat{K}_N(z,w) \sim \left(\frac{z\bar{w}}{|zw|}\right)^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(-\frac{z+\bar{w}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\ \times 2\nu \sqrt{K_\nu(2\sqrt{\nu}|z|)K_\nu(2\sqrt{\nu}|w|)} I_\nu(2\sqrt{\nu z\bar{w}}).$$

Now Theorem 4 follows from Lemma 5.

We first prove Claim 2. Note that the identity in (4.13) follows from [50, Eq.(10.25.2)]. Thus to obtain (4.13), it suffices to show that for M > 0,

$$\Gamma(\nu+1)\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu M)^k}{k!\,\Gamma(k+\nu+1)} = o(1).$$
(4.14)

By the well-known estimate of the Gamma function

$$1 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{e^x}{x^{x+\frac{1}{2}}} \Gamma(x+1) < e^{\frac{1}{12x}},$$
(4.15)

we have

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(\nu+1) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu M)^k}{k! \, \Gamma(k+\nu+1)} &\leq \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{e^{k+\nu} (\nu M)^k}{(k+\nu)^{k+\nu+\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{e^{\nu}}{(m+\nu)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \Big(\frac{e\nu M}{m+\nu}\Big)^k \\ &\leq e^{-m+O(1)} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \Big(\frac{e\nu M}{m+\nu}\Big)^k, \end{split}$$

which implies the desired asymptotic behaviour (4.14).

Next we prove Claim 1. Notice that by Lemma 8, it suffices to show that

$$\Gamma(\nu+1)\sum_{k=m}^{N-1} \frac{(\nu M)^k}{k!\,\Gamma(k+\nu+1)}\,\mathcal{I}_k(z+\bar{w}) = o(1).$$

Note that by (2.7), we have  $|L_j^{\nu}(z)| \leq L_j^{\nu}(-|z|)$ . Therefore due to Lemma 7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}_k(z)| &= (1 - \tau^2)^{\nu + 2k + 1} e^{\tau \sqrt{\nu} \operatorname{Re} z} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1-k} \tau^{2j} L_j^{\nu + 2k}(az) \right| \\ &\leq (1 - \tau^2)^{\nu + 2k + 1} e^{\tau \sqrt{\nu} \operatorname{Re} z} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1-k} \tau^{2j} L_j^{\nu + 2k}(-a|z|) \\ &= e^{\tau \sqrt{\nu}(|z| + \operatorname{Re} z)} \mathcal{I}_k(-|z|) \leq e^{\tau \sqrt{\nu}(|z| + \operatorname{Re} z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 8, for any z contained in a given compact set, there exist positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  such that

$$|\mathcal{I}_k(z)| \le \begin{cases} C_1 & \text{if } k = o(\sqrt{N}), \\ e^{C_2\sqrt{N}} & \text{for all } k. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.16)$$

Similarly we utilise the estimate (4.15) to obtain

$$\Gamma(\nu+1)\sum_{k=m}^{N-1} \frac{(\nu M)^{k}}{k! \Gamma(k+\nu+1)} |\mathcal{I}_{k}(z+\bar{w})| \\
\leq \frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{e^{\nu}}{(m+\nu)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k=m}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} |\mathcal{I}_{k}(z+\bar{w})| \\
\leq (1+o(1)) e^{-m} \sum_{k=m}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} |\mathcal{I}_{k}(z+\bar{w})|,$$

where  $\lambda := e\nu M/(m + \nu)$ . Now let us choose a constant  $\theta \in (1/4, 1/2)$ . Then by (4.16), we have

$$\sum_{k=m}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left| \mathcal{I}_k(z+\bar{w}) \right| = \sum_{k=m}^{\lfloor N^{\theta} \rfloor} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left| \mathcal{I}_k(z+\bar{w}) \right| + \sum_{k=\lfloor N^{\theta} \rfloor+1}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left| \mathcal{I}_k(z+\bar{w}) \right|$$
$$\leq C_1 \sum_{k=m}^{\lfloor N^{\theta} \rfloor} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} + e^{C_2 \sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=\lfloor N^{\theta} \rfloor+1}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$$
$$\leq e^{\lambda} \left( C_1 \mathbb{P}[X \ge m] + e^{C_2 \sqrt{N}} \mathbb{P}[X \ge N^{\theta}] \right),$$

where X is a Poisson random variable with intensity  $\lambda$ . Using the normal approximation of the Poisson distribution, there exist positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that

$$\sum_{k=m}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left| \mathcal{I}_k(z+\bar{w}) \right| \le e^{\lambda} \left( C_1 e^{-c_1 m^2} + e^{C_2 \sqrt{N}} e^{-c_2 N^{2\theta}} \right) = o(1),$$

which completes the proof.

1064

# Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge Seung-Yeop Lee for several helpful comments concerning the proof of Theorem 1. The present work was initiated when the authors visited the Department of Mathematics at KTH Royal Institute of Technology, and we wish to express our gratitude to Maurice Duits, Håkan Hedenmalm, and Kurt Johansson for the invitation and hospitality.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## References

- Akemann, G.: Microscopic correlation functions for the QCD Dirac operator with chemical potential. Phys. Rev. Lett. 89(7), 072002 (2002)
- [2] Akemann, G.: The complex Laguerre symplectic ensemble of non-Hermitian matrices. Nuclear Phys. B 730(3), 253–299 (2005)
- [3] Akemann, G.: Non-Hermitian extensions of Wishart random matrix ensembles. Acta Phys. Polon. B 42(5), 901–921 (2011)
- [4] Akemann, G., Baik, J., Di Francesco, P. (eds.): The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011)
- [5] Akemann, G., Bender, M.: Interpolation between Airy and Poisson statistics for unitary chiral non-Hermitian random matrix ensembles. J. Math. Phys. 51(10), 103524 (2010)
- [6] Akemann, G., Burda, Z.: Universal microscopic correlation functions for products of independent Ginibre matrices. J. Phys. A 45(46), 465201 (2012)
- [7] Akemann, G., Cikovic, M., Venker, M.: Universality at weak and strong non-Hermiticity beyond the elliptic Ginibre ensemble. Commun. Math. Phys. 362(3), 1111–1141 (2018)
- [8] Akemann, G., Strahov, E.: Dropping the independence: singular values for products of two coupled random matrices. Commun. Math. Phys. 345(1), 101–140 (2016)
- [9] Ameur, Y., Hedenmalm, H., Makarov, N.: Fluctuations of eigenvalues of random normal matrices. Duke Math. J. 159(1), 31–81 (2011)
- [10] Ameur, Y., Hedenmalm, H., Makarov, N.: Random normal matrices and Ward identities. Ann. Probab. 43(3), 1157–1201 (2015)
- [11] Ameur, Y., Kang, N.-G., Makarov, N.: Rescaling Ward identities in the random normal matrix model. Constr. Approx. 50(1), 63–127 (2019)
- [12] Ameur, Y., Kang, N.-G., Makarov, N., Wennman, A.: Scaling limits of random normal matrix processes at singular boundary points. J. Funct. Anal. 278(3), 108340 (2020)
- [13] Ameur, Y., Kang, N.-G., Seo, S.-M.: The random normal matrix model: insertion of a point charge. arXiv:1804.08587
- [14] Ameur, Y., Seo, S.-M.: On bulk singularities in the random normal matrix model. Constr. Approx. 47(1), 3–37 (2018)
- [15] Bai, Z.D.: Circular law. Ann. Probab. 25(1), 494–529 (1997)

- [16] Balogh, F., Bertola, M., Lee, S.-Y., McLaughlin, K.D.T.-R.: Strong asymptotics of the orthogonal polynomials with respect to a measure supported on the plane. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 68(1), 112–172 (2015)
- [17] Balogh, F., Merzi, D.: Equilibrium measures for a class of potentials with discrete rotational symmetries. Constr. Approx. 42(3), 399–424 (2015)
- [18] Bender, M.: Edge scaling limits for a family of non-Hermitian random matrix ensembles. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 147(1–2), 241–271 (2010)
- [19] Bleher, P., Its, A.: Double scaling limit in the random matrix model: the Riemann-Hilbert approach. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 56(4), 433–516 (2003)
- [20] Borodin, A., Sinclair, C.D.: The Ginibre ensemble of real random matrices and its scaling limits. Commun. Math. Phys. 291(1), 177–224 (2009)
- [21] Burda, Z., Grela, J., Nowak, M.A., Tarnowski, W., Warchoł, P.: Unveiling the significance of eigenvectors in diffusing non-Hermitian matrices by identifying the underlying Burgers dynamics. Nuclear Phys. B 897, 421–447 (2015)
- [22] Burda, Z., Janik, R.A., Waclaw, B.: Spectrum of the product of independent random Gaussian matrices. Phys. Rev. E 81(4), 041132 (2010)
- [23] Burda, Z., Jarosz, A., Livan, G., Nowak, M.A., Swiech, A.: Eigenvalues and singular values of products of rectangular Gaussian random matrices. Phys. Rev. E 82(6), 061114-10 (2010)
- [24] Chafaï, D., Hardy, A., Maïda, M.: Concentration for Coulomb gases and Coulomb transport inequalities. J. Funct. Anal. 275(6), 1447–1483 (2018)
- [25] Chau, L.-L., Zaboronsky, O.: On the structure of correlation functions in the normal matrix model. Commun. Math. Phys. 196(1), 203–247 (1998)
- [26] Claeys, T., Kuijlaars, A.B.J.: Universality of the double scaling limit in random matrix models. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 59(11), 1573–1603 (2006)
- [27] Claeys, T., Kuijlaars, A.B.J., Vanlessen, M.: Multi-critical unitary random matrix ensembles and the general Painlevé II equation. Ann. Math. 168(2), 601–641 (2008)
- [28] Criado del Rey, J.G., Kuijlaars, A.B.J.: An equilibrium problem on the sphere with two equal charges. arXiv:1907.04801
- [29] Deaño, A., Simm, N.: Characteristic polynomials of complex random matrices and Painlevé transcendents. Int. Mat. Res. Not. (2020)
- [30] Erdélyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F., Tricomi, F.G.: Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. II., Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., Melbourne (1981)
- [31] Fischmann, J., Bruzda, W., Khoruzhenko, B.A., Sommers, H.-J., Zyczkowski, K.: Induced Ginibre ensemble of random matrices and quantum operations. J. Phys. A 45(7), 075203 (2012)
- [32] Forrester, P.J.: Log-gases and Random Matrices (LMS-34). Princeton University Press, Princeton (2010)
- [33] Girko, V.L.: Circular law. Theory Probab. Appl. **29**(4), 694–706 (1985)
- [34] Girko, V.L.: Elliptic law. Theory Probab. Appl. **30**(4), 677–690 (1986)
- [35] Girko, V.L., Vladimirova, A.I.: Spectral analysis of stochastic recurrence systems of growing dimension under G-condition. Canonical equation  $K_91$ . Random Oper. Stoch. Equ. 17(3), 243–274 (2009)

- [36] Götze, F., Tikhomirov, A.: The circular law for random matrices. Ann. Probab. 38(4), 1444–1491 (2010)
- [37] Götze, F., Tikhomirov, A.: On the asymptotic spectrum of products of independent random matrices. arXiv:1012.2710
- [38] Gustafsson, B., Vasilév, A.: Conformal and potential analysis in Hele-Shaw cells. In: Advances in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2006)
- [39] Haake, F.: Quantum Signatures of Chaos. Springer, Heidelberg (1991)
- [40] Hedenmalm, H., Makarov, N.: Coulomb gas ensembles and Laplacian growth. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 106(4), 859–907 (2013)
- [41] Hedenmalm, H., Wennman, A.: Planar orthogonal polynomials and boundary universality in the random normal matrix model. arXiv:1710.06493
- [42] Johansson, K.: On fluctuations of eigenvalues of random Hermitian matrices. Duke Math. J. 91(1), 151–204 (1998)
- [43] Kang, N.-G., Makarov, N.: Gaussian free field and conformal field theory. Astérisque 353, viii+136 (2013)
- [44] Kanzieper, E., Singh, N.: Non-Hermitean Wishart random matrices (I). J. Math. Phys. 51(10), 103510 (2010)
- [45] Leblé, T., Serfaty, S.: Large deviation principle for empirical fields of log and Riesz gases. Invent. Math. 210(3), 645–757 (2017)
- [46] Lee, S.-Y., Makarov, N.: Topology of quadrature domains. J. Am. Math. Soc. 29(2), 333–369 (2016)
- [47] Lee, S.-Y., Riser, R.: Fine asymptotic behavior for eigenvalues of random normal matrices: Ellipse case. J. Math. Phys. 57(2), 023302 (2016)
- [48] Liu, D.-Z., Wang, Y.: Phase transitions for infinite products of large non-Hermitian random matrices. arXiv:1912.11910
- [49] Marčenko, V.A., Pastur, L.A.: Distribution of eigenvalues in certain sets of random matrices. Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 72(114), 507–536 (1967)
- [50] Olver, F.W.J., Lozier, D.W., Boisvert, R.F., Clark, C.W. (eds.): NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
- [51] Olver, F.W.J.: Asymptotics and Special Functions. AKP Classics, A K Peters Ltd, Wellesley, MA (1997)
- [52] O'Rourke, S., Renfrew, D., Soshnikov, A., Vu, V.: Products of independent elliptic random matrices. J. Stat. Phys. 160(1), 89–119 (2015)
- [53] O'Rourke, S., Soshnikov, A.: Products of independent non-Hermitian random matrices. Electron. J. Probab. 16(81), 2219–2245 (2011)
- [54] Osborn, J.C.: Universal results from an alternate random-matrix model for QCD with a baryon chemical potential. Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**(2), 222001 (2004)
- [55] Saff, E.B., Totik, V.: Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields. Springer, Berlin (1997)
- [56] Shuryak, E.V., Verbaarschot, J.: Random matrix theory and spectral sum rules for the Dirac operator in QCD. Nucl. Phys. A 560(1), 306–320 (1993)
- [57] Sommers, H.-J., Crisanti, A., Sompolinsky, H., Stein, Y.: Spectrum of large random asymmetric matrices. Phys. Rev. Lett. 60(19), 1895–1898 (1988)
- [58] Stephanov, M.A.: Random matrix model of QCD at finite density and the nature of the quenched limit. Phys. Rev. Lett. 76(24), 4472 (1996)

- [59] Szegő, G.: Orthogonal Polynomials. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1975)
- [60] Tao, T., Vu, V.: Random matrices: universality of ESDs and the circular law. Ann. Probab. 38(5), 2023–2065 (2010)
- [61] Vinayak, B.L.: Spectral domain of large nonsymmetric correlated Wishart matrices. Phys. Rev. E 90(4), 042109 (2014)
- [62] Zabrodin, A., Wiegmann, P.: Large-N expansion for the 2D Dyson gas. J. Phys. A  $\mathbf{39}(28),\,8933{-}8963$  (2006)

Gernot Akemann Faculty of Physics Bielefeld University P.O. Box 100131 33501 Bielefeld Germany e-mail: akemann@physik.uni-bielefeld.de

Sung-Soo Byun Department of Mathematical Sciences Seoul National University Seoul 08826 Republic of Korea e-mail: sungsoobyun@snu.ac.kr

Nam-Gyu Kang School of Mathematics Korea Institute for Advanced Study Seoul 02455 Republic of Korea e-mail: namgyu@kias.re.kr

Communicated by Vadim Gorin. Received: June 16, 2020. Accepted: October 6, 2020.