Ann. Henri Poincar´e 19 (2018), 2513–2542 -c 2018 Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 1424-0637/18/082513-30 *published online* June 9, 2018 published online suite 9, 2016
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-018-0683-5 **Annales Henri Poincaré**

Rényi Relative Entropies and Noncommutative *Lp***-Spaces**

Anna Jenčová

Abstract. We propose an extension of the sandwiched Rényi relative α entropy to normal positive functionals on arbitrary von Neumann algebras, for the values $\alpha > 1$. For this, we use Kosaki's definition of noncommutative L_p -spaces with respect to a state. We show that these extensions coincide with the previously defined Araki–Masuda divergences (Berta et al. in [arXiv:1608.05317,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.05317) [2016\)](#page-27-0) and prove some of their properties, in particular the data processing inequality with respect to positive normal unital maps. As a consequence, we obtain monotonicity of the Araki relative entropy with respect to such maps, extending the results of Müller-Hermes and Reeb. (Ann. Henri Poincaré 18:1777–1788, [2017\)](#page-28-0) to arbitrary von Neumann algebras. It is also shown that equality in data processing inequality characterizes sufficiency (reversibility) of quantum channels.

1. Introduction

The classical Rényi relative entropies were introduced by an axiomatic approach in [\[39\]](#page-28-1), as the unique family of divergences satisfying certain natural properties. As it turned out, these quantities play a central role in many information-theoretic tasks, see, e.g., [\[9\]](#page-27-1) for an overview. A straightforward quantum generalization is given by standard quantum Rényi relative α -entropies, defined for density matrices ρ , σ as

$$
D_{\alpha}(\rho||\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \left(\text{Tr } \rho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1 - \alpha} \right) & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1) \text{ or } \text{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \text{supp}(\sigma) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

where supp(ρ) denotes the support of ρ and $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$. These quantities share the useful properties of the classical Rényi relative entropy, but not for

Research was supported by the Grants VEGA 2/0069/16 and APVV-16-0073.

all values of the parameter α . In particular, for a quantum channel Φ , the data processing inequality (DPI)

$$
D_{\alpha}(\Phi(\rho) \|\Phi(\sigma)) \le D_{\alpha}(\rho \|\sigma)
$$
 (1)

holds for α in the range $(0, 2]$, [\[15](#page-27-2),[34\]](#page-28-2). Moreover, for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ the standard Rényi relative entropies appear as error exponents and cutoff rates in hypothesis testing $[4, 14, 27]$ $[4, 14, 27]$ $[4, 14, 27]$ $[4, 14, 27]$.

Another quantum version of Rényi relative entropy was introduced in [\[31,](#page-28-4)[46\]](#page-29-0). It is the sandwiched Rényi relative α -entropy, defined as

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho||\sigma) = \begin{cases}\n\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \text{Tr}\left[\left(\sigma^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha}\right] & \text{if } \text{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \text{supp}(\sigma) \\
\infty & \text{otherwise}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2)

for $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$. The sandwiched entropies satisfy DPI for $\alpha \in [1/2, 1]$ $(1, \infty)$, [\[5](#page-27-5),[11,](#page-27-6)[31](#page-28-4)[,46](#page-29-0)]. For $\alpha > 1$, D_{α} have an operational meaning as strong converse exponents in quantum hypothesis testing and channel coding, [\[28](#page-28-5),[29\]](#page-28-6). Moreover, both D_{α} and D_{α} yield the Umegaki relative entropy

$$
D_1(\rho||\sigma) = \begin{cases} \text{Tr}\,\rho(\log(\rho) - \log(\sigma)) & \text{if } \text{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \text{supp}(\sigma) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

in the limit as $\alpha \to 1$. On the other hand, in the limit $\alpha \to \infty$, \tilde{D}_{α} gives the relative max entropy

$$
\tilde{D}_{\infty}(\rho \| \sigma) = \log(\inf \{ \lambda > 0, \ \rho \le \lambda \sigma \}), \tag{3}
$$

see [\[31](#page-28-4),[46\]](#page-29-0) for the proofs of these properties.

Remark 1.1. Both D_{α} and \bar{D}_{α} are contained in the family of entropic pressure functionals introduced in $[16, Section 3.3]$ $[16, Section 3.3]$ as a tool for studying entropic fluctuations in quantum statistical mechanics. The same family of functionals in the context of quantum information theory was studied in [\[3\]](#page-27-8).

Let (Φ, ρ, σ) be a triple consisting of a quantum channel Φ and a pair of states ρ , σ on the input space of Φ . A channel Ψ satisfying $\Psi \circ \Phi(\rho) = \rho$ and $\Psi \circ \Phi(\sigma) = \sigma$ is called a recovery map for (Φ, ρ, σ) . If a recovery map exists, we say that the channel Φ is sufficient (or reversible) with respect to $\{\rho, \sigma\}$. This terminology was introduced in [\[36](#page-28-7),[37\]](#page-28-8), by analogy with the classical notion of a sufficient statistic. Clearly, if Φ is sufficient with respect to $\{\rho, \sigma\}$, equality must be attained in DPI. It is much less obvious that the opposite implication holds in some cases. This was first observed in [\[36,](#page-28-7)[37\]](#page-28-8) for D_1 and $D_{1/2}$ and later extended to a large class of quantum divergences, including D_{α} with $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, [\[15\]](#page-27-2). The same property for D_{α} with $\alpha > 1$ was proved in [\[18\]](#page-27-9).

Quantum versions of relative entropies are usually studied in the finite dimensional setting. Nevertheless, the standard version D_{α} is derived from the quasi-entropies [\[34](#page-28-2)], which were defined in [\[35\]](#page-28-9) also in the more general context of von Neumann algebras. Moreover, the entropies D_{α} have similar properties and play a similar role in quantum hypothesis testing in this setting, [\[17\]](#page-27-10). A

definition of sandwiched R´enyi entropies for states on von Neumann algebras was recently proposed in [\[7](#page-27-0)]. These entropies are called the Araki–Masuda divergences and are based on the Araki–Masuda definition of noncommutative L_p spaces with respect to a state. It is conjectured that these quantities characterize the strong converse exponents in binary quantum hypothesis testing, as in the finite dimensional case.

The aim of the present work is to propose a von Neumann algebraic extension of D_{α} for $\alpha > 1$ using the interpolating family of Kosaki's L_{n-1} spaces, [\[23](#page-28-10)[,43](#page-29-1)]. This approach was inspired by the work by Beigi [\[5\]](#page-27-5), where a similar family of norms (in finite dimensions) was used to prove DPI for \tilde{D}_{α} with $\alpha > 1$. It was later observed [\[30\]](#page-28-0) that this method works even for positive trace-preserving maps and taking the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ implies that the quantum relative entropy is monotone under such mappings. This important result was also extended to density operators on infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. The framework of interpolation norms was also used in [\[18\]](#page-27-9) to show that in finite dimensions, equality in DPI for D_{α} , $\alpha > 1$ implies sufficiency of the channel.

As one of the main results, we prove that the proposed quantities coincide with the Araki–Masuda divergences of [\[7\]](#page-27-0). This was independently proved by Hiai [\[13](#page-27-11)], using different methods. For normal states ψ , φ of an arbitrary von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , we further prove the following properties of D_{α} :

- (a) Positivity: $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi) \ge 0$, with equality if and only if $\psi = \varphi$.
- (b) Monotonicity: if $\psi \neq \varphi$ and $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi) < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 1$, then the function $\alpha' \mapsto \tilde{D}_{\alpha'}(\psi \| \varphi)$ is strictly increasing for $\alpha' \in (1, \alpha]$.
- (c) Limit values: for $\alpha \to 1$, the Araki relative entropy $D_1(\psi \| \varphi)$ is obtained, $\alpha \to \infty$ yields the relative max entropy $\tilde{D}_{\infty}(\psi || \varphi)$.
- (d) Relation to the standard Rényi relative entropy: for $\alpha > 1$,

$$
D_{2-1/\alpha}(\psi\|\varphi)\leq \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi\|\varphi)\leq D_{\alpha}(\psi\|\varphi).
$$

(e) Order relations: \ddot{D}_{α} can be extended to all positive normal functionals on M. With this extension, $\psi_0 \leq \psi$ and $\varphi_0 \leq \varphi$ imply

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi_0 \| \varphi) \leq \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi), \quad \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi_0) \geq \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi).
$$

- (f) Lower semicontinuity: the map $(\psi, \varphi) \mapsto \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi)$ is jointly lower semicontinuous (on the positive part of the predual of \mathcal{M})
- (g) Generalized mean: let $\psi = \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2$, $\varphi = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$. Then

$$
\exp\{(\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi\|\varphi)\} = \exp\{(\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi_1\|\varphi_1)\} + \exp\{(\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi_1\|\varphi_1)\}.
$$

(h) Data processing inequality: $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\Phi(\psi) \| \Phi(\varphi)) \leq \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi)$ holds for any $\alpha > 1$ and any positive trace-preserving map Φ . We also give some lower and upper bounds on the value of $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) - \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\Phi(\psi)||\Phi(\varphi)).$

We also prove a characterization of sufficiency: if $1 < \alpha < \infty$ and $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi || \varphi)$ is finite, then equality in DPI for a 2-positive trace-preserving map Φ implies that Φ is sufficient with respect to $\{\psi, \varphi\}.$

The properties (a)–(d) and (h) for the Araki–Masuda divergences were shown in [\[7\]](#page-27-0). Nevertheless we give independent proofs in our setting, which is closely related to the required interpolation techniques. Note also that only the second inequality was proved in (d) and complete positivity was required for (h). Our proof of DPI is close to that of [\[5\]](#page-27-5) and only positivity is assumed. Note also that (c) and (h) together imply that the relative entropy $D_1(\psi||\varphi)$ is monotone under positive trace-preserving maps between the preduals, which extends the result of [\[30](#page-28-0)].

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sect. [2,](#page-3-0) we introduce the Kosaki's L_p -spaces and give an overview of their properties, together with some techni-cal results needed later. In Sect. [3,](#page-11-0) we give the definition of \tilde{D}_{α} and prove the equality with Araki–Masuda divergences as well as the properties (a)–(h). The last section deals with sufficiency of maps. Some more technical details and a brief review on the complex interpolation method are given in Appendices.

2. Noncommutative *Lp* **Spaces with Respect to a State**

Let M be a (σ -finite) von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal H$ and let \mathcal{M}^+ be the cone of positive elements in \mathcal{M} . We denote the predual by $\mathcal{M}_*,$ its positive part by \mathcal{M}_*^+ and the set of normal states by $\mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$. For $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_*^+$, we will denote by $s(\psi)$ the support projection of ψ . For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ be the Haagerup's L_p -space over \mathcal{M} [\[12\]](#page-27-12), precise definitions and further details on $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ can be found in the notes [\[42\]](#page-29-2).

We will use the identification $\mathcal{M}_* \ni \psi \leftrightarrow h_{\psi} \in L_1(\mathcal{M})$ and the notation Tr $h_{\psi} = \psi(1)$ for the trace in $L_1(\mathcal{M})$. It this way, $\mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ is identified with the subset of elements in the positive cone $L_1(\mathcal{M})^+$ with unit trace. We will also assume the standard form $(\lambda(M), L_2(M), J, L_2(M)^+)$ for M, see [\[42](#page-29-2), Thm. 3.6, where λ is the left action

$$
\lambda(x) : h \mapsto xh, \quad h \in L_2(\mathcal{M}), \ x \in \mathcal{M}
$$

and the conjugation J is defined by $Jh = h^*, h \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$, see [\[40](#page-28-11),[41\]](#page-28-12) for the definition of a standard form. We denote the inner product in $L_2(\mathcal{M})$ by

$$
(h,k) := \text{Tr}\,k^*h, \quad h,k \in L_2(\mathcal{M}).\tag{4}
$$

For $k \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$, let $\omega_k \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^+$ be the linear functional determined by k, that is,

$$
\omega_k(a) = (ak, k), \quad a \in \mathcal{M}.
$$

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$, $h_{\varphi}^{1/2}$ is the unique vector representative of φ in the positive cone $L_2(\mathcal{M})^+$.

In this section, we describe the noncommutative L_p -spaces with respect to a faithful normal state φ obtained by complex interpolation. These spaces were defined in [\[23,](#page-28-10)[44](#page-29-3)[,47](#page-29-4)] and also in [\[43](#page-29-1)], where φ is allowed to be a weight. We will follow the construction by Kosaki; details can be found in [\[23](#page-28-10)].

2.1. The Space $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$

Fix a faithful normal state φ on M. To apply the complex interpolation method, we first show that M can be continuously embedded into $L_1(\mathcal{M}) \simeq$ \mathcal{M}_* . For $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we put

$$
h_x:=h_\varphi^{1/2}xh_\varphi^{1/2}.
$$

By Hölder's inequality [\[42,](#page-29-2) Thm. 23], we have $h_x \in L_1(\mathcal{M})$ and $||h_x||_1 \le ||x||$. Moreover, $x \mapsto h_x$ is injective and $h_x \in L_1(\mathcal{M})^+$ if and only if x is positive. Note also that for $y \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$
\text{Tr } h_x y = \text{Tr } h_{\varphi}^{1/2} x h_{\varphi}^{1/2} y = \text{Tr } h_{\varphi}^{1/2} y h_{\varphi}^{1/2} x = \text{Tr } h_y x.
$$

The map $x \mapsto h_x$ is obviously linear and defines a continuous positive embedding of M into $L_1(\mathcal{M})$. The image of M is the dense linear subspace

$$
L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) := \{h_x, \ x \in \mathcal{M}\} \subseteq L_1(\mathcal{M}).
$$

The norm in $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ is introduced as

$$
||h_x||_{\infty,\varphi}:=||x||.
$$

The next lemma shows that positive elements in $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ can be easily characterized. This result is a straightforward consequence of the commutant Radon–Nikodym theorem, we give a proof for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $k \in L_1(\mathcal{M})^+$ *. Then* $k = h_x$ *for some* $x \in \mathcal{M}^+$ *if and only if* $k \leq \lambda h_{\varphi}$ for some $\lambda > 0$. In this case,

$$
||k||_{\infty,\varphi} = ||x|| = \inf\{\lambda > 0, k \le \lambda h_{\varphi}\}.
$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{M}^+$, then for all $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$,

$$
\text{Tr } h_x a \le ||h_x a||_1 = ||x h_{\varphi}^{1/2} a h_{\varphi}^{1/2}||_1 \le ||x|| \text{Tr } h_{\varphi} a
$$

by Hölder's inequality, so that $h_x \le ||x|| h_{\varphi}$. Conversely, let $0 \le k \le \lambda h_{\varphi}$. By the commutant Radon–Nikodym theorem [\[40](#page-28-11), Section 5.19], there is some $x \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $0 \leq x \leq \lambda$ and for all $y \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$
\text{Tr}\,ky=(yh_{\varphi}^{1/2},Jxh_{\varphi}^{1/2})=(yh_{\varphi}^{1/2},h_{\varphi}^{1/2}x^*)=\text{Tr}\,xh_{\varphi}^{1/2}yh_{\varphi}^{1/2}=\text{Tr}\,h_{\varphi}^{1/2}xh_{\varphi}^{1/2}y.
$$

It follows that $k = h_x$. The last assertion follows from the fact that for positive $x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| = \inf\{\lambda > 0, x \leq \lambda\}.$

To characterize arbitrary elements in $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, let $\mathcal{M}_2 := M_2(\mathcal{M})$ be the algebra of 2×2 matrices over M. The predual of \mathcal{M}_2 can be identified with $M_2(\mathcal{M}_*),$ where for $\psi \in (\mathcal{M}_2)_*,$ we put $\psi_{ij}(a) = \psi(a \otimes |i\rangle\langle j|).$ This means that we also identify $L_1(\mathcal{M}_2)$ with $M_2(L_1(\mathcal{M}))$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $k \in L_1(\mathcal{M})$ *. Let* $h_2, k_2 \in L_1(\mathcal{M}_2)$ *be defined as*

$$
h_2 := \begin{pmatrix} h_{\varphi} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad k_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \\ k^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then $k \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ *if and only if* $k_2 \leq \lambda h_2$ *for some* $\lambda > 0$ *. In this case,* $||k||_{\infty,\varphi} = \inf\{\lambda > 0, k_2 \leq \lambda h_2\}.$

Proof. Let $k = h_x$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $\lambda h_2 - k_2 = h_2^{1/2} x_{\lambda} h_2^{1/2}$, where

$$
x_{\lambda} := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -x \\ -x^* & \lambda \end{pmatrix},
$$

and that $||x|| = ||-x|| = \inf\{\lambda > 0, x_{\lambda} \ge 0\}$. Hence $k_2 \le \lambda h_2$ for any $\lambda \ge ||x||$. It is also clear that $||x||$ is the smallest λ such that this inequality holds.

Conversely, assume that $k_2 \leq \lambda h_2$ for some $\lambda > 0$, which is equivalent to Tr $(\lambda h_2 - k_2)a \ge 0$ for any $a \in \mathcal{M}_2^+$, [\[42,](#page-29-2) Thm. 33]. Let $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12}^* & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \ a_{12}^* & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_2^+,$ then also

$$
a_{-} := \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & -a_{12} \\ -a_{12}^{*} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_2^+
$$

and note that $\text{Tr } k_2 a_- = -\text{Tr } k_2 a$, $\text{Tr } h_2 a_- = \text{Tr } h_2 a$. It follows that we have $\pm k_2 \leq \lambda h_2$, so that $0 \leq k_2 + \lambda h_2 \leq 2\lambda h_2$. Since h_2 defines a faithful positive normal linear functional on \mathcal{M}_2 , Lemma [2.1](#page-4-0) applies, so that there is some $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & x \\ x^* & y_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_2^+$ such that

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\lambda h_{\varphi} & k \\
k^* & \lambda h_{\varphi}\n\end{pmatrix} = k_2 + \lambda h_2 = h_2^{1/2} y h_2^{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix}\nh_{\varphi}^{1/2} y_{11} h_{\varphi}^{1/2} & h_{\varphi}^{1/2} x h_{\varphi}^{1/2} \\
h_{\varphi}^{1/2} x^* h_{\varphi}^{1/2} & h_{\varphi}^{1/2} y_{22} h_{\varphi}^{1/2}\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$

It follows that $y_{11} = y_{22} = \lambda$ and $k = h_x$. Moreover, since $y = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & x \\ x^* & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ x^* λ $\big)$ is positive, $||x||$ $\leq \lambda$.

2.2. The Interpolation Spaces $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$

We now define the L_p -space over M with respect to φ as

$$
L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) := C_{1/p}(L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \varphi), L_1(\mathcal{M})).
$$

For definition of the space C_{θ} see "Appendix [B"](#page-26-0).

The norm in $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{p,\varphi}$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/q + 1/p = 1$, put

$$
i_p: L_p(M) \to L_1(M), \quad k \mapsto h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} k h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}.
$$

Theorem 2.3 ([\[23,](#page-28-10) Theorem 9.1]). The map i_p is an isometric isomorphism of $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ *onto* $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$.

Using the polar decomposition in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ ([\[42](#page-29-2), Proposition 12]), we obtain that elements in $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ have the form $h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}uh_{\psi}^{1/p}h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}$, where $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^+$ and $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is a partial isometry such that $u^*u = s(\psi)$ with norm

$$
||h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}uh_{\psi}^{1/p}h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}||_{p,\varphi} = (\text{Tr } h_{\psi})^{1/p} = \psi(1)^{1/p}.
$$

Example 2.4. Assume that M is semifinite and let τ be a faithful normal semifi-nite trace on M. By [\[42](#page-29-2), p. 62], $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ can be identified with the space $L_p(\tau)$ of closed densely defined operators X affiliated with M, such that $\tau(|X|^p) < \infty$, with the norm $||X||_p = \tau(|X|^p)^{1/p}$. There is an operator $\rho_\varphi \in L_1(\tau)$ such that

$$
\varphi(x) = \tau(\rho_{\varphi} x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}
$$

and we can define the embedding $\mathcal{M} \subseteq L_1(\tau) \equiv \mathcal{M}_*$ as $x \mapsto \rho_\varphi^{1/2} x \rho_\varphi^{1/2}$. The space $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ can be identified with the subspace in $L_1(\tau)$ of elements of the form $X = \rho_{\varphi}^{1/2q} Y \rho_{\varphi}^{1/2q}$ with $Y \in L_p(\tau)$, and $||X||_{p,\varphi} = ||Y||_p$. In particular, if M is finite dimensional, then $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \equiv L_p(\mathcal{M}) \equiv \mathcal{M}$ as linear spaces and we have

$$
||X||_{p,\varphi} = ||\rho_{\varphi}^{-1/2q} X \rho_{\varphi}^{-1/2q}||_p = \left(\text{Tr} | \rho_{\varphi}^{\frac{1-p}{2p}} X \rho_{\varphi}^{\frac{1-p}{2p}} |^p \right)^{1/p}
$$

We now list some important properties of the spaces $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$. Let $1 \leq$ $p \leq p' \leq \infty$. Then $L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \subseteq L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and

$$
||k||_{p,\varphi} \le ||k||_{p',\varphi}, \quad \forall k \in L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi).
$$
 (5)

.

.

This follows easily by Theorem [2.3](#page-5-0) and Hölder's inequality, but it is also a consequence of the abstract theory of complex interpolation, see [\[6](#page-27-13), Theorem 4.2.1]. The space $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ is dense in $L_1(\mathcal{M})$ and therefore also in $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ for each $p > 1$ by [\[6,](#page-27-13) Theorem 4.2.2]. It follows that $L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ are compatible Banach spaces. By the reiteration theorem $([6, \text{Theorem } 4.6.1]),$ $([6, \text{Theorem } 4.6.1]),$ $([6, \text{Theorem } 4.6.1]),$ we have

$$
C_{\eta}(L_{p'}(\mathcal{M},\varphi),L_p(\mathcal{M},\varphi)) = L_{p_{\eta}}(\mathcal{M},\varphi), \quad 0 \le \eta \le 1,
$$
 (6)

where $1/p_{\eta} = \eta/p + (1 - \eta)/p'$.

Let now $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$. The duality

 $\langle k, h_x \rangle := \text{Tr} kx, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \ k \in L_1(\mathcal{M})$

extends to a duality between $L_n(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and $L_n(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, given by

$$
\langle h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} k_1 h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}, h_{\varphi}^{1/2p} k_2 h_{\varphi}^{1/2p} \rangle = \text{Tr} \, k_1 k_2, \quad k_1 \in L_p(\mathcal{M}), k_2 \in L_q(\mathcal{M}). \tag{7}
$$

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Banach space dual of $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$. This follows immediately from Theorem [2.3](#page-5-0) and duality of Haagerup L_p -spaces [\[42](#page-29-2), Thm. 32].

For each $1 \le p \le \infty$, we have the following Clarkson-type inequalities.

Theorem 2.5 ([\[23\]](#page-28-10), [\[38,](#page-28-13) Thm. 5.1])*. Let* $h, k \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1/p +$ $1/q = 1$ *. For* $2 \le p \le \infty$ *we have*

$$
\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\|h+k\|_{p,\varphi}^p+\|h-k\|_{p,\varphi}^p\right)\right]^{1/p} \le \left(\|h\|_{p,\varphi}^q+\|k\|_{p,\varphi}^q\right)^{1/q}.
$$

For $1 \leq p \leq 2$ *the inequality reverses.*

This implies that for $1 < p < \infty$ the space $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ is uniformly convex and uniformly smooth. We also have:

Theorem 2.6 ([\[38](#page-28-13), Thm 5.3])*. Let* $h, k \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, $1 < p \leq 2$, then

$$
\left(\|h\|_p^2 + (p-1) \|k\|_p^2 \right)^{1/2} \le \left[\frac{1}{2} (\|h+k\|_p^p + \|h-k\|_p^p) \right]^{1/p}
$$

For $2 < p < \infty$ *the inequality reverses.*

The space $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ is strictly convex, hence for each $0 \neq h \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, there is a unique element $T_{a,\varphi}(h)$ in the unit ball of $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ such that

$$
\langle T_{q,\varphi}(h),h\rangle=\|h\|_{p,\varphi}.
$$

Let $h = h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} u k^{1/p} h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}$ for some $k \in L_1(\mathcal{M})^+$ and a partial isometry $u \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $u^*u = s(k)$. Then by [\(7\)](#page-6-0) we have

$$
T_{q,\varphi}(h) = ||h||_{p,\varphi}^{1-p} h_{\varphi}^{1/2p} k^{1/q} u^* h_{\varphi}^{1/2p}.
$$
 (8)

Restricted to the unit sphere of $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, the map $T_{q,\varphi}$ is a uniformly continuous bijection onto the unit sphere of $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ [\[10](#page-27-14)] and we have $T_{q,\varphi}^{-1} = T_{p,\varphi}$ for this restriction.

2.3. Hadamard Three Lines Theorem

We first note that the infimum in the definition of the interpolation norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,\varphi}$ is attained, see [\(B.1\)](#page-26-1). Let $h \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ be of the form $h = h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} kh_{\varphi}^{1/2q}$ for some $k \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ and let $k = ul^{1/p}$ be the polar decomposition of k. Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the strip $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq Re(z) \leq 1\}$ and put

$$
f_{h,p}(z) := ||l||_1^{1/p-z} h_{\varphi}^{(1-z)/2} u^{z} h_{\varphi}^{(1-z)/2}, \quad z \in S.
$$
 (9)

Then $f_{h,p} \in \mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \varphi), L_1(\mathcal{M}))$, $f_{h,p}(1/p) = h$ and we have $||h||_{p,\varphi} =$ $||f_{h,p}||_{\mathcal{F}}$, cf. [\[23](#page-28-10), proof of Theorem 9.1], see "Appendix [B"](#page-26-0) for the necessary definitions.

Lemma 2.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and assume that $|| f(\theta)||_{1/\theta,\varphi} = |||f||_{\mathcal{F}}$ for some $\theta \in$ (0, 1)*. Then*

$$
|| f(x + it) ||_{1/x, \varphi} = || ||f|| ||_{\mathcal{F}}, \quad \forall x \in [0, 1], \ t \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Proof. Let $p = 1/\theta$, $q = 1/(1 - \theta)$. Put $h := f(\theta)$, then $h \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and $g := f_{T_{q,\varphi}(h),q}$ is in F. Let

$$
K(z) := \langle g(1-z), f(z) \rangle, \quad z \in S.
$$

Note that for $z = x + it$, $f(z) \in L_{1/x}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, $g(1-z) \in L_{1/(1-x)}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and $||f(z)||_{1/x,\varphi} \leq ||f||_{\mathcal{F}}, ||g(1-z)||_{1/(1-x),\varphi} \leq ||g||_{\mathcal{F}} = 1.$ It follows that K is continuous on S, analytic in the interior and bounded by

$$
|K(x+it)| \le ||g(1-x-it)||_{1/(1-x),\varphi} ||f(x+it)||_{1/x,\varphi} \le ||f||_{\mathcal{F}}.
$$

Moreover, $K(\theta) = ||f(\theta)||_{p,\varphi} = ||f||_{\mathcal{F}}$. By the maximum modulus principle, K must be a constant, so that $K(z) = ||f||_{\mathcal{F}}$ for all $z \in S$. It follows that we must have $||f(x+it)||_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq ||f||_{\mathcal{F}}$ for all x and t. must have $|| f(x+it) ||_{1/x,\varphi} = || ||f||_{\mathcal{F}}$ for all x and t.

The next lemma shows that the infimum in the definition of the interpolation norm is attained also for the reiterated spaces.

Lemma 2.8. *Let* $1 \leq p \leq p' \leq \infty$ *and let* $\eta \in (0,1)$ *,* $p_{\eta} = \eta/p + (1 - \eta)/p'$ *.* Let $h \in L_{p_{\eta}}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and put $g(z) = f_{h, p_{\eta}}(z/p + (1-z)/p'), z \in S$. Then $g \in \mathcal{F}_{p',p} := \mathcal{F}(L_{p'}(\mathcal{M},\varphi), L_p(\mathcal{M},\varphi)),\ g(\eta) = h\ \text{ and }\|h\|_{p_\eta,\varphi} = \|g\|_{\mathcal{F}_{p',p}}.$

Proof. By [\[8,](#page-27-15) 32.3], for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ the function $q(z) = f(z/p + (1 - z)/p')$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{p',p}$ and

$$
\left\|q\right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{p',p}}=\max\{\sup_t\|q(it)\|_{p',\varphi},\sup_t\|q(1+it)\|_{p,\varphi}\}\leq\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}}.
$$

By reiteration [\(6\)](#page-6-1),

$$
||h||_{p_{\eta},\varphi} \leq ||g||_{\mathcal{F}_{p',p}} \leq |||f_{h,p_{\eta}}|||_{\mathcal{F}} = ||h||_{p_{\eta},\varphi}.
$$

The statement follows also by Lemma [2.7,](#page-7-0) by noticing that for any $x \in [0, 1]$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$
||g(x+it)||_{p_x,\varphi} = |||f_{h,p_{\eta}}|||_{\mathcal{F}} = ||h||_{p_{\eta},\varphi}.
$$

Assume that $h \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, $\|h\|_{p,\varphi} = 1$. Note that by Lemma [2.7,](#page-7-0) the values of the function $f_{h,p}$ run through the unit spheres of all the spaces $L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$. The next lemma shows that by applying the map $T_{q,\varphi}$ we again obtain an element of \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 2.9. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$ *, and let* $h \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ *, with* $||h||_{p,\varphi} = 1$ *. Then for* $all z = x + it, x \in (0, 1),$

$$
T_{1/(1-x),\varphi}(f_{h,p}(z)) = f_{T_{q,\varphi}(h),q}(1-z).
$$

Proof. Since $||h||_{p,\varphi} = 1$, we have $h = h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} uh_{\psi}^{1/p} h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}$ for some $\psi \in \mathfrak{S}_{*}(\mathcal{M})$ and $u^*u = s(\psi)$. By Lemma [2.7,](#page-7-0) $||f_{h,p}(x+it)||_{1/x,\varphi} = ||f_{h,p}||_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ and $||f_{T_{q,\varphi}(h),q}(1-x-it)||_{1/(1-x),\varphi} = |||f_{T_{q,\varphi}(h),q}|||_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ for all $x \in [0,1]$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By [\(7\)](#page-6-0), we have

$$
\langle f_{h,p}(z), f_{T_{q,\varphi}(h),q}(1-z) \rangle = \text{Tr} \left(h_{\varphi}^{-it/2} uh_{\psi}^z h_{\varphi}^{-it/2} \right) \left(h_{\varphi}^{it/2} h_{\psi}^{1-z} u^* h_{\varphi}^{it/2} \right)
$$

= $\text{Tr} h_{\psi} = 1.$

By uniqueness, we must have $T_{1/(1-x),\varphi}(f_{h,p}(z)) = f_{T_{q,\varphi}(h),q}(1-z)$ for all $x \in (0,1), t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The inequality part of the following result is a version of Hadamard's three lines theorem. For convenience of the reader, we add a proof.

Theorem 2.10. *Let* $1 \leq p \leq p' \leq \infty$ *and let* $0 < \eta < 1$ *. Then for* $f \in$ $\mathcal{F}(L_{p'}(\mathcal{M},\varphi),L_{p}(\mathcal{M},\varphi)),$

$$
||f(\eta)||_{p_{\eta},\varphi} \leq \left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}||f(it)||_{p',\varphi}\right)^{1-\eta} \left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}||f(1+it)||_{p,\varphi}\right)^{\eta},\,
$$

where $1/p_{\eta} = \eta/p + (1 - \eta)/p'$. Moreover, equality is attained if and only if

$$
f(z) = f_{h, p_{\eta}}(z/p + (1 - z)/p')M^{z - \eta},
$$

for $h = f(\eta)$ *and* $M > 0$ *.*

Proof. Let q, q' and q_{η} be the duals of p, p' and p_{η} , so that $1/q_{\eta} = \eta/q + (1 \eta$)/q' and $L_{q_{\eta}}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) = C_{1-\eta}(L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi), L_{q'}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi))$. Put $h = f(\eta)$ and let

$$
g(z) = f_{T_{q_{\eta},\varphi}(h),q_{\eta}}(z/q' + (1-z)/q).
$$

By Lemma [2.8,](#page-7-1) $g \in \mathcal{F}_{q,q'}$ and

$$
g(1 - \eta) = T_{q_{\eta},\varphi}(h), \quad ||g||_{\mathcal{F}_{q,q'}} = 1 = ||T_{q_{\eta},\varphi}(h)||_{q_{\eta},\varphi}.
$$

As in the proof of lemma [2.7,](#page-7-0) $K(z) := \langle g(1-z), f(z) \rangle$ defines a bounded continuous function on S , analytic in the interior of S . By the usual Hadamard's three lines theorem,

$$
||f(\eta)||_{p_{\eta},\varphi} = |K(\eta)| \leq \left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |K(it)|\right)^{1-\eta} \left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |K(1+it)|\right)^{\eta}
$$

$$
\leq \left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} ||f(it)||_{p',\varphi}\right)^{1-\eta} \left(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} ||f(1+it)||_{p,\varphi}\right)^{\eta}.
$$

Now assume that equality is attained. Let $M_0 = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} ||f(it)||_{p',\varphi}, M_1 =$ $\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}||f(1+it)||_{p,\varphi}$ and let

$$
F(z) := K(z)M_0^{z-1}M_1^{-z}, \quad z \in S.
$$

Then $|F(z)| \leq 1$ for all $z \in S$ and $F(\eta) = 1$. By the maximum modulus principle, $F(z) = F(\eta) = 1$ for all z, that is,

$$
\langle g(1-z), f(z)M_0^{z-1}M_1^{-z} \rangle = 1, \quad z \in S. \tag{10}
$$

Suppose first that $||h||_{p_{\eta},\varphi} = 1$. Note that by Lemma [2.9,](#page-8-0)

$$
g(1-z) = f_{T_{q_{\eta},\varphi}(h),q_{\eta}}(u) = T_{1/Re(u),\varphi}(f_{h,p_{\eta}}(1-u)),
$$

where $u = z/q + (1 - z)/q'$. Hence

$$
g(1-z) = T_{q_x,\varphi}(f_{h,p_\eta}(z/p + (1-z)/p')).
$$

Since $|| f(x+it) M_0^{x+it-1} M_1^{-x-it} ||_{p_x,\varphi} \leq 1$ by the first part of the proof, [\(10\)](#page-9-0) implies that we must have

$$
f(z)M_0^{z-1}M_1^{-z} = f_{h,p_\eta}(z/p + (1-z)/p'),
$$

by definition and properties of $T_{q_x,\varphi}$. If $||h||_{p_\eta,\varphi} = a \neq 1$, then we may replace f by $a^{-1}f$. Note that the above equality still holds, with M_0 and M_1 replaced by $a^{-1}M_0$ and $a^{-1}M_1$. We obtain

$$
f(z) = a(a^{-1}f(z)) = af_{a^{-1}h, p_\eta}(z/p + (1 - z)/p')(a^{-1}M_0)^{1-z}(a^{-1}M_1)^z
$$

= $f_{a^{-1}h, p_\eta}(z/p + (1 - z)/p')M_0^{1-z}M_1^z$
= $a^{-1/p_\eta}f_{h, p_\eta}(z/p + (1 - z)/p')M_0^{1-z}M_1^z$
= $f_{h, p_\eta}(z/p + (1 - z)/p')AM^z$,

where $A > 0$ and $M = M_1/M_0$. Since $f(\eta) = f_{h,p_n}(1/p_n) = h$, we must have $AM^{\eta} = 1$. It follows that

$$
f(z) = f_{h, p_{\eta}}(z/p + (1 - z)/p')M^{z - \eta}.
$$

For the converse, note that using Lemma [2.7,](#page-7-0) we obtain

$$
M_0 = \sup_t \|f(it)\|_{p',\varphi} = \|h\|_{p_\eta,\varphi} M^{-\eta}
$$

$$
M_1 = \sup_t \|f(1+it)\|_{p,\varphi} = \|h\|_{p_\eta,\varphi} M^{1-\eta}.
$$

It follows that $M_1/M_0 = M$ and $M_0^{1-\eta}M_1^{\eta} = ||h||_{p_\eta,\varphi}$.

2.4. The Positive Cone in $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$

Let us denote $L_n(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+ := L_n(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \cap L_1(\mathcal{M})^+$. Then it is clear that for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$
L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+ = \{h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}h^{1/p}h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}, \ h \in L_1(\mathcal{M})^+\}.
$$

It follows by the properties of $L_p(\mathcal{M})^+$ ([\[42](#page-29-2)]) that $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+$ is a closed convex cone which is pointed and generates all $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$. Note also that

$$
L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^{+} = \{h_{\varphi}^{1/2} x h_{\varphi}^{1/2}, \ x \in \mathcal{M}^{+}\}
$$

is dense in $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+$, for any $1 \leq p$.

Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $k \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi), k = h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} uh^{1/p} h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}, h \in L_1(\mathcal{M})^+$. Then k has a polar decomposition of the form

$$
k = h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} uh_{\varphi}^{-1/2q} |k|_{p,\varphi} = \sigma_{-i/2q}^{\varphi}(u) |k|_{p,\varphi},
$$

where $|k|_{p,\varphi} = h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} h^{1/p} h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+$ and σ^{φ} denotes the modular group of φ . We next look at self-adjoint elements in $L_n(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let* $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ *and* $k = k^* \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ *. Then there is a decomposition*

$$
k=k_{p,\varphi,+}-k_{p,\varphi,-},
$$

where $k_{p,\varphi,+} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+$ *and we have*

$$
||k||_{p,\varphi} = (||k_{p,\varphi,+}||_{p,\varphi}^p + ||k_{p,\varphi,-}||_{p,\varphi}^p)^{1/p}.
$$

Proof. If $k = k^*$, then $k = h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} ln_{\varphi}^{1/2q}$, where $l = l^* \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$. It follows that $l = u|h|^{1/p}$, where $h = h^* \in L_1(\mathcal{M}), h = h_+ - h_-, h_+, h_- \in L_1(\mathcal{M})^+, h_+ h_- =$ 0. Moreover, $u = e_+ - e_-$, where $e_{\pm} := s(h_{\pm})$ and $|h|^{1/p} = (h_{+} + h_{-})^{1/p} =$ $h_+^{1/p} + h_-^{1/p}$. It follows that k has the above form, with $k_{p,\varphi,\pm} = h_\varphi^{1/2q} h_\pm^{1/p} h_\varphi^{1/2q}$ and we have

$$
||k||_{p,\varphi}^p = \text{Tr}\, |h| = \text{Tr}\, h_+ + \text{Tr}\, h_- = ||k_{p,\varphi,+}||_{p,\varphi}^p + ||k_{p,\varphi,-}||_{p,\varphi}^p.
$$

Corollary 2.12. *Let* $h \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+$ *and let* $h_1 \in L_1(\mathcal{M})^+$ *be such that* $h_1 \leq h$ *. Then* $h_1 \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+$ *and* $||h_1||_{p,\varphi} \leq ||h||_{p,\varphi}$.

Proof. The statement is obvious for $p = 1$ and follows easily from Lemma [2.1](#page-4-0) for $p = \infty$. For $1 < p < \infty$, let $x \in \mathcal{M}^+$, then

$$
0 \le \langle h_x, h_1 \rangle = \text{Tr } h_1 x \le \text{Tr } h x = \langle h_x, h \rangle \le ||h_x||_{q,\varphi} ||h||_{p,\varphi}.
$$

 \Box

Since $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+$ is dense in $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+$, it follows that $\langle k, h_1 \rangle \leq \langle k, h \rangle \leq$ $||k||_{q,\varphi} ||h||_{p,\varphi}$ for all $k \in L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+$. Let now $k = k^* \in L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, with decomposition $k = k_{a,\varphi,+} - k_{a,\varphi,-}$ as in Lemma [2.11.](#page-10-0) Then

$$
|\langle k, h_1 \rangle| \le \langle k_{q,\varphi,+}, h_1 \rangle + \langle k_{q,\varphi,-}, h_1 \rangle \le ||h||_{p,\varphi} (||k_{q,\varphi,+}||_{q,\varphi} + ||k_{q,\varphi,-}||_{q,\varphi})
$$

$$
\le ||h||_{p,\varphi} 2^{1/q} (||k_{q,\varphi,+}||_{q,\varphi}^q + ||k_{q,\varphi,-}||_{q,\varphi}^q)^{1/q} = ||h||_{p,\varphi} 2^{1/q} ||k||_{q,\varphi},
$$

the last inequality follows by classical Hölder's inequality. For $k \in L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, we have $k = \text{Re}(k) + i\text{Im}(k)$, with the usual definition of the self-adjoint elements Re(k) and Im(k) in $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$. Then

$$
|\langle k, h_1 \rangle| \le |\langle \text{Re}(k), h_1 \rangle| + |\langle \text{Im}(k), h_1 \rangle|
$$

\$\le \|h\|_{p,\varphi}2^{1/q}(\|\text{Re}(k)\|_{q,\varphi} + \|\text{Im}(k)\|_{q,\varphi}) \le \|h\|_{p,\varphi}2^{1+1/q}\|k\|_{q,\varphi}.

Hence h_1 defines a bounded positive linear functional on $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and therefore $h_1 \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)^+$. To prove the last statement, note that by (8) , $T_{q,\varphi}(h_1)$ is a positive element in the unit ball of $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, so that

$$
||h_1||_{p,\varphi} = \langle T_{q,\varphi}(h_1), h_1 \rangle \leq \langle T_{q,\varphi}(h_1), h \rangle \leq ||h||_{p,\varphi}.
$$

3. The Rényi Relative Entropy

We will need to extend the definition of $L_n(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ to all (not necessarily faithful) normal states. So let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ and let $s(\varphi) = e$. Then φ restricts to a faithful normal state on eMe and we may identify the predual $(eMe)_*$ with the set of all $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}$ such that $e\psi e = \psi$, where $e\psi e(x) = \psi(exe)$, $x \in \mathcal{M}$. By [\[42,](#page-29-2) Theorem 7], $h_{\psi} = h_{e\psi} = eh_{\psi}e$ for all such ψ . Hence we may identify $L_1(eMe) \simeq eL_1(\mathcal{M})e$ and using the polar decomposition, $L_p(e\mathcal{M}e) \simeq eL_p(\mathcal{M})e$ for all $p \geq 1$. The space $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ is then defined as

$$
L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) = \{ h \in L_1(\mathcal{M}), \ h = ehe \in L_p(e\mathcal{M}e, \varphi|_{e\mathcal{M}e}) \},
$$

with the corresponding norm.

3.1. Definition and Basic Properties

Let $1 < \alpha < \infty$ and let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$. We define

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) = \begin{cases}\n\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log(||h_{\psi}||_{\alpha, \varphi}) & \text{if } h_{\psi} \in L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \\
\infty & \text{otherwise.} \n\end{cases}
$$
\n(11)

We first show that this definition is an extension of the sandwiched Rényi relative α -entropy [\(2\)](#page-1-0). Assume that $\dim(\mathcal{M}) < \infty$ and let τ_0 be a faithful normal trace on M. Any state $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(M)$ is given by a density operator $\rho_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{M}^+$, such that $\varphi(x) = \tau_0(\rho_{\varphi} x)$, $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let* dim(\mathcal{M}) < ∞ *and let* $\psi, \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *, with density operators* $\rho_{\varphi} = \sigma$, $\rho_{\psi} = \rho$. Then

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi) = \begin{cases}\n\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \tau_0 [(\sigma^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2\alpha}})^{\alpha}], & \text{if } \text{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \text{supp}(\sigma) \\
\infty & \text{otherwise.} \n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We may assume that $s(\psi) \leq s(\varphi) =: e$, otherwise $\psi \notin L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and supp(ρ) \nsubseteq supp(σ); hence both quantities are infinite. It follows that $\sigma = e\sigma e$, $\rho = e\sigma e$. The statement now follows by Example 2.4. $\rho = e\rho e$. The statement now follows by Example [2.4.](#page-5-1)

3.1.1. Relation to the Araki–Masuda Divergences. In this paragraph, we show that D_{α} is equal to the Araki–Masuda divergences introduced in [\[7](#page-27-0)]. These divergences are based on the Araki–Masuda definition of the noncommutative L_p -spaces, [\[2\]](#page-27-16).

The Araki–Masuda L_p -spaces are defined with respect to a faithful state $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_{*}(\mathcal{M})$, using a standard form of M and a vector representative η of φ . We will use the standard form $(\lambda(M), L_2(M), J, L_2(M)^+)$ and $\eta = h_{\varphi}^{1/2}$. For $\xi \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$ and $2 \leq p < \infty$, the Araki–Masuda L_p -norm is defined as [\[2](#page-27-16), Eq. (1.4)]

$$
\|\xi\|_{p,\varphi}^{AM} = \sup_{\zeta \in L_2(\mathcal{M}), \|\zeta\|=1} \|\Delta_{\zeta,\eta}^{1/2-1/p}\xi\|,
$$

where $\Delta_{\zeta,\eta}$ is the relative modular operator, see "Appendix [A.1"](#page-24-0). The Araki-Masuda L_p space is then the subspace of vectors $\xi \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$ such that this expression is finite. By the polar decomposition $[2, Thm. 3]$ $[2, Thm. 3]$ and $(A.3)$, this happens if and only if

$$
\xi = uh_{\mu}^{1/p}h_{\varphi}^{1/2-1/p} \tag{12}
$$

for some (unique) partial isometry $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$, such that $uu^{*} = s(\omega_{\xi})$ and $u^*u = s(\mu)$. In this case, $\|\xi\|_{p,\varphi}^{AM} = \mu(1)^{1/p}$.

The weighted L_p -norm of [\[7](#page-27-0)] is defined for any *-representation $\pi : \mathcal{M} \to$ $B(H)$ using the spatial derivative. By restriction to the support, the definition can be extended to non-faithful states. For $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M}), 2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, put

$$
\|\xi\|_{p,\varphi}^{BST} := \begin{cases} \sup_{\zeta \in \mathcal{H}, \|\zeta\|=1} \|\Delta(\zeta/\varphi)^{1/2-1/p}\xi\|, & \text{if } s(\omega_{\xi}) \le s(\varphi) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},
$$

here $\Delta(\zeta/\varphi)$ is the spatial derivative (see "Appendix [A.2"](#page-25-0) for the definition).

The Araki–Masuda divergence is defined as follows. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ and $1 < \alpha < \infty$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{M} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be any *-representation and let $\xi_{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}$ be any vector representative of ψ . Then

$$
D_{\alpha}^{AM}(\psi \| \varphi) := \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log \| \xi_{\psi} \|_{2\alpha, \varphi}^{BST}.
$$
 (13)

By [\[7](#page-27-0), Lemma 3], the value of $\|\xi\|_{p,\varphi}^{BST}$ depends only on the functional ω_{ξ} , not on the representation π or the representing vector ξ . Therefore, D_{α}^{AM} is well defined. Moreover, we may use the above standard form. By the equality $(A.5)$, we see that if φ is faithful, we have

$$
\|\xi\|_{p,\varphi}^{BST}=\|\xi^*\|_{p,\varphi}^{AM},\quad \forall \xi\in L_2(\mathcal{M}).
$$

By the polar decomposition [\(12\)](#page-12-0), we obtain the following statement.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\xi \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$ and let φ be faithful. Then $\|\xi\|_{p,\varphi}^{BST} < \infty$ if and *only if* $\xi = h_{\varphi}^{1/2-1/p} h_{\mu}^{1/p} v$ for some partial isometry $v \in M$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$, *satisfying* $v^*v = s(\omega_{\xi})$ *and* $vv^* = s(\mu)$ *. Moreover, such* v *and* μ *are unique and we have* $\|\xi\|_{p,\varphi}^{BST} = \mu(1)^{1/p}$ *.*

We can now prove the main result of this paragraph.

Theorem 3.3. For any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ and $1 < \alpha < \infty$, $D_{\alpha}^{AM}(\psi||\varphi) = \tilde{\varphi}(\psi||\varphi)$ $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi\|\varphi).$

Proof. We may assume that $s(\psi) \leq s(\varphi)$, otherwise both expressions are infinite. By restriction to the compressed algebra $s(\varphi) \mathcal{M}s(\varphi)$, we may suppose that φ is faithful.

Assume that $D_{\alpha}^{AM}(\psi||\varphi)$ is finite. Let $\xi_{\psi} \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$ be any vector representative of ψ , by [\(4\)](#page-3-1) this is equivalent to $\xi_{\psi} \xi_{\psi}^* = h_{\psi}$. By Lemma [3.2](#page-13-0)

$$
\xi_{\psi} = h_{\varphi}^{1/2 - 1/2\alpha} h_{\mu}^{1/2\alpha} u = h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta} h_{\mu}^{1/2\alpha} u
$$

for some $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\pi}^+$ and a partial isometry u with $u^*u = s(\psi)$, $uu^* = s(\mu)$. Then $h_{\psi} = \xi_{\psi} \xi_{\psi}^* = h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta} h_{\mu}^{\alpha} h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta}$, so that $h_{\psi} \in L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and

$$
||h_{\psi}||_{\alpha,\varphi} = \mu(1)^{1/\alpha} = (||\xi_{\psi}||_{2\alpha,\varphi}^{BST})^2.
$$

It follows that $D_{\alpha}^{AM}(\psi \| \varphi) = \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi)$. If $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi) < \infty$, then $h_{\psi} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, so that $h_{\psi} = h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta} h_{\mu}^{1/\alpha} h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta}$ for some $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^+$. Put $\xi :=$ $h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta}h_{\mu}^{1/2\alpha}$, then $\xi \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$ is a vector representative of ψ . Using again Lemma [3.2,](#page-13-0) we have $\|\xi\|_{2\alpha,\varphi}^{BST} = \mu(1)^{1/2\alpha} = \|h_{\psi}\|_{\alpha,\varphi}^{1/2}$, this implies the result. \Box

Remark 3.4. Using [\[23,](#page-28-10) Theorem 9.1], the mapping $L_2(\mathcal{M}) \ni k \mapsto h_{\varphi}^{1/2} k \in$ $L_1(\mathcal{M})$ and Lemma [3.2,](#page-13-0) we can see that the BST-norms can be obtained by complex interpolation as follows. Consider the map

$$
x \mapsto h_{\varphi}^{1/2} x, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.
$$

By Hölder inequality, it is a continuous embedding of $\mathcal M$ into $L_2(\mathcal M)$. The norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,\varphi}^{BST}$ is then the norm of the interpolation space $C_{1/p}(\mathcal{M}, L_2(\mathcal{M}))$.

3.1.2. Relation to Standard Rényi Relative Entropies. Let $\psi, \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_{*}(\mathcal{M})$. The standard version of the Rényi relative entropy D_{α} for $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$ was defined by Petz [\[32,](#page-28-14)[35\]](#page-28-9) and can be written using the relative modular operator $\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}$ (see "Appendix [A.1"](#page-24-0)):

$$
D_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log(h_{\varphi}^{1/2}, \Delta_{\psi, \varphi}^{\alpha} h_{\varphi}^{1/2}), & \text{if } s(\psi) \le s(\varphi) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Note that by $(A.3)$ we may formally write

$$
D_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log(\text{Tr} \, h_{\psi}^{\alpha} h_{\varphi}^{1 - \alpha}).
$$

If $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, this quantity is always well defined and finite, the function $\alpha \mapsto$ $D_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi)$ is increasing and the limit for $\alpha \uparrow 1$ is equal to the Araki relative entropy [\[1](#page-27-17)]

$$
D_1(\psi \| \varphi) = \begin{cases} (h_{\psi}^{1/2}, \log(\Delta_{\psi, \varphi}) h_{\psi}^{1/2}), & \text{if } s(\psi) \le s(\varphi) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

For $\alpha > 1$ it may happen that $h_{\varphi}^{1/2} \notin \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{\alpha/2})$ and then $D_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) = \infty$. But if $D_{\alpha_0}(\psi||\varphi)$ is finite for some $\alpha_0 > 1$, then the function $\alpha \mapsto D_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi)$ is increasing on $(1, \alpha_0]$ and the limit $\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 1} D_\alpha(\psi \| \varphi) = D_1(\psi \| \varphi)$ holds, see [\[7,](#page-27-0) Proposition 11] for a proof of these properties.

We next find upper and lower bounds for \ddot{D}_{α} in terms of D_{α} . For this, we use some upper and lower bounds on the norm $||h_{\psi}||_{p,\varphi}$. The upper bound in the following proposition was proved also in [\[7](#page-27-0)] and can be seen as an extension of the Araki–Lieb–Thirring inequality of [\[25](#page-28-15)] to non-semifinite case.

Proposition 3.5. *Let* $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}^+_*, s(\psi) \leq s(\varphi), p > 1$ *. Then* $\psi(1)^{1-p} \|\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{1-1/2p}h_\varphi^{1/2}\|_2^{2p}\leq \|h_\psi\|_{p,\varphi}^p\leq \|\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{p/2}h_\varphi^{1/2}\|^2.$

Proof. For the first inequality, we may assume that $h_{\psi} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, so that $h_{\psi} = h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} h_{\xi}^{1/p} h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}$ for some $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$ and $||h_{\psi}||_{p,\varphi}^{p} = \xi(1)$. By uniqueness of the polar decomposition in $L_2(\mathcal{M})$, we have

$$
h_{\xi}^{1/2p}h_{\varphi}^{1/2q} = uh_{\psi}^{1/2},
$$

where u is a partial isometry with $u^*u = s(\psi)$. By Sect. [A.1,](#page-24-0) $h_{\psi}^{1/2} \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{1/2q})$ and

$$
\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{1-1/2p}h_{\varphi}^{1/2} = \Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{1/2q} \Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{1/2}h_{\varphi}^{1/2} = \Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{1/2q}h_{\psi}^{1/2} = h_{\psi}^{1/2q}u^*h_{\xi}^{1/2p}.
$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$
||h_{\psi}^{1/2q}u^*h_{\xi}^{1/2p}||_2 \leq ||h_{\psi}^{1/2q}||_{2q}||h_{\xi}^{1/2p}||_{2p} = \psi(1)^{1/2q}\xi(1)^{1/2p}.
$$

This implies the first inequality. For the second inequality, assume that $h_{\varphi}^{1/2} \in$ $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{p/2})$ (otherwise the right-hand side is infinite, and there is nothing to prove). By [\[41,](#page-28-12) Lemma VI.2.3], it follows that there is a bounded continuous function $k : S \to L_2(\mathcal{M})$, holomorphic in the interior of S, given by

$$
k(z) := \Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{zp/2} h_{\varphi}^{1/2}.
$$

On the other hand, we have $\Delta_{\varphi,\psi}^{-p/2} h_{\varphi}^{1/2} = J \Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{p/2} J h_{\varphi}^{1/2} = J \Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{p/2} h_{\varphi}^{1/2}$, so that $h_{\varphi}^{1/2} \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\varphi,\psi}^{-p/2})$ and there is a bounded continuous function $k': S \to L_2(\mathcal{M})$, holomorphic in the interior of S , given by

$$
k'(z) := \Delta_{\varphi,\psi}^{-zp/2} h_{\varphi}^{1/2}.
$$

Hence $f(z) := k'(z)k(z)$ defines a bounded continuous function $S \to L_1(\mathcal{M})$, holomorphic in the interior. By $(A.3)$, we have

$$
k(z) = h_{\psi}^{zp/2} h_{\varphi}^{1/2 - zp/2}, \quad k'(z) = h_{\varphi}^{1/2 - zp/2} h_{\psi}^{zp/2}, \quad Re(z) \le 1/p.
$$

It follows that

$$
f(it) = h_{\varphi}^{1/2} \left(h_{\varphi}^{-itp/2} h_{\psi}^{itp} h_{\varphi}^{-itp/2} \right) h_{\varphi}^{1/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

so that $f(it) \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and $|| f(it) ||_{\infty, \varphi} \leq 1$. Further, we obtain by Hölder's inequality

$$
||f(1+it)||_1 \leq ||k'(1+it)||_2 ||k(1+it)||_2 = ||\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{p/2} h_{\varphi}^{1/2}||_2^2.
$$

It follows that $f \in \mathcal{F}(L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi), L_1(\mathcal{M}))$ and since $f(1/p) = h_{\psi}$, we obtain by Theorem [2.10](#page-8-1) that $||h_{\psi}||_{p,\varphi} \le ||\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{p/2} h_{\varphi}^{1/2}||_2^{2/p}$ $2^{2/p}$.

Corollary 3.6. *Let* $\psi, \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$, $\alpha > 1$. *Then*

$$
D_{2-1/\alpha}(\psi\|\varphi)\leq \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi\|\varphi)\leq D_{\alpha}(\psi\|\varphi).
$$

Proof. Immediate from Proposition [3.5.](#page-14-0)

3.1.3. Properties of the Function $\alpha \mapsto \tilde{D}_{\alpha}$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $\psi, \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *be such that* $h_{\psi} \in L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ *for some* $1 < \alpha < \infty$.

- (i) $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) \geq 0$, with equality if and only if $\psi = \varphi$.
- (ii) If $\psi \neq \varphi$, the function $\alpha' \mapsto \tilde{D}_{\alpha'}(\psi \| \varphi)$ is strictly increasing for $\alpha' \in$ $(1, \alpha]$.

Proof. By [\(5\)](#page-6-2), $||h_{\psi}||_{\alpha,\varphi} \ge ||h_{\psi}||_1 = 1$, hence $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) \ge 0$. Since $h_{\varphi} =$ $h_{\varphi}^{1/2}1h_{\varphi}^{1/2}\in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M},\varphi)$ and

$$
1 = ||h_{\varphi}||_1 \le ||h_{\varphi}||_{\alpha, \varphi} \le ||h_{\varphi}||_{\infty, \varphi} = ||1|| = 1,
$$

we have $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\varphi||\varphi) = 0$, for all α . Assume now that $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) = 0$. Choose any $1 < p < \alpha$, then $1 \leq ||h_{\psi}||_{p,\varphi} \leq ||h_{\psi}||_{\alpha,\varphi} = 1$, so that $||h_{\psi}||_{p,\varphi} = 1$. Let f be the constant function $f(z) \equiv h_{\psi}$ for all $z \in S$, then clearly $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,1}$ (recall the notation of Sect. [2.3\)](#page-7-3). Let $\eta \in (0,1)$ be such that $1/p = \eta + (1 - \eta)/\alpha$, then f satisfies equality in the Hadamard three lines theorem (Theorem [2.10\)](#page-8-1) at *η*. It follows that $h_{\psi} \equiv f_{h_{\psi},p}(z + (1-z)/\alpha), z \in S$ (note that in this case $M = M_1/M_0 = 1$. Hence $f_{h_{\psi},p}(z) \equiv h_{\psi}$ for all $z \in S$. Putting $z = 0$, we get $h_{\psi} = ch_{\varphi}$, where $c = ||h_{\psi}||_{\alpha, \varphi} = 1$. This finishes the proof of (i).

For (ii), let $1 < \alpha' < \alpha'' \leq \alpha$. Then $h_{\psi} \in L_{\alpha}(M, \varphi) \subseteq L_{\alpha''}(M, \varphi) \subseteq L_{\alpha''}(M, \varphi)$ $L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$. Let η be such that $\eta + (1 - \eta)/\alpha'' = 1/\alpha'$. Then $1 - \eta = \beta''/\beta'$, where $1/\beta' + 1/\alpha' = 1$ and $1/\alpha'' + 1/\beta'' = 1$. We consider again the constant function $f(z) \equiv h_{\psi}$, which this time is an element of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha'',1}$. By Theorem [2.10](#page-8-1) with $p = 1$ and $p' = \alpha''$, we obtain

$$
||h_{\psi}||_{\alpha',\varphi} \leq ||h_{\psi}||_{\alpha'',\varphi}^{1-\eta} = ||h_{\psi}||_{\alpha'',\varphi}^{\beta''/\beta'}
$$

Taking the logarithm proves $\tilde{D}_{\alpha'}(\psi||\varphi) \leq \tilde{D}_{\alpha''}(\psi||\varphi)$. Assume now that equality holds, then it follows that $h_{\psi} \equiv f_{h_{\psi},\alpha'}(z+(1-z)/\alpha'')M^{z-\eta}$, similarly as in

 \Box

the proof of (i). Putting $u := z + (1-z)/\alpha''$, we obtain $h_{\psi} \equiv f_{h_{\psi},\alpha'}(u)M^{au+b}$ for all u with $Re(u)$ between $1/\alpha''$ and 1, here $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. This equality extends to all $u \in S$. Again, putting $u = 0$, we obtain $h_{\psi} = ch_{\varphi}$, which implies $\psi = \varphi$, since both φ and ψ are states since both φ and ψ are states.

We next discuss the limit values $\alpha = 1, \infty$. Let us define

$$
\tilde{D}_{\infty}(\psi \| \varphi) := \begin{cases} \log \| h_{\psi} \|_{\infty, \varphi} & \text{if } h_{\psi} \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

This quantity is clearly an extension of the relative max entropy [\(3\)](#page-1-1).

Proposition 3.8. *Let* $\psi, \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *. Then*

(i) $\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi) = \tilde{D}_{\infty}(\psi \| \varphi).$

(ii) If
$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \|\varphi)
$$
 is finite for some $\alpha > 1$, then

$$
\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 1} \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi) = D_1(\psi \| \varphi).
$$

Proof. First, let $y \in \mathcal{M}$ and consider the function $[0, 1] \ni \theta \mapsto \log(\|h_y\|_{1/\theta, \varphi})$. This function is decreasing by [\(5\)](#page-6-2) and by applying Theorem [2.10](#page-8-1) to the constant function $f(z) \equiv h_y$, we see that it is also convex. It follows that this function must be continuous on the interval $(0, 1]$. Consequently, we must have $\lim_{q \to 1} ||h_y||_{q,\varphi} = ||h_y||_1 = \varphi(y).$

To prove (i), it is enough to show that $\lim_{p\to\infty} ||h_{\psi}||_{p,\varphi} = ||h_{\psi}||_{\infty,\varphi}$, where we put the norms infinite if $h_{\psi} \notin L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$. Note that the function $p \mapsto \|h_{\psi}\|_{p,\varphi}$ is increasing and bounded above by $\|h_{\psi}\|_{\infty,\varphi}$. The statement (i) is clearly true if the limit is infinite, so assume that $\lim_{p\to\infty} ||h_{\psi}||_{p,\varphi} = M < \infty$. We then have

$$
||h_{\psi}||_{p,\varphi} \le M \le ||h_{\psi}||_{\infty,\varphi}
$$

for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $y \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Then for any $q > 1$

$$
\frac{\langle h_y, h_{\psi} \rangle}{\|h_y\|_{q,\varphi}} \le \|h_{\psi}\|_{p,\varphi} \le M, \quad 1/p + 1/q = 1,
$$

hence $\psi(y) = \langle h_y, h_{\psi} \rangle \leq M \|h_y\|_{q, \varphi}$. Taking the limit $q \to 1$, we obtain $\psi(y) \leq$ $M\|h_y\|_1 = M\varphi(y)$. Since this holds for all $y \in M^+$, we obtain $\psi \leq M\varphi$ and by Lemma [2.1,](#page-4-0) $h_{\psi} \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, with $||h_{\psi}||_{\infty, \varphi} \leq M$. The statement (i) follows from Corollary [3.6](#page-15-0) and properties of the standard Rényi relative entropy $D_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi)$. φ).

3.2. Extension to \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}

It is clear from Theorem [2.3](#page-5-0) and the remarks at the beginning of Sect. [3](#page-11-0) that the spaces $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ can be defined for $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_*^+$ (we put $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) = \{0\}$ for $\varphi = 0$) and that for $\lambda > 0$, $||h||_{p,\lambda\varphi} = \lambda^{1/q} ||h||_{p,\varphi}$ for any $h \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) =$ $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \lambda \varphi)$. The definition of \tilde{D}_{α} can thus be extended to positive normal functionals. It is easy to see that for $\mu, \lambda > 0$ and $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$, we have

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\mu\psi||\lambda\varphi) = \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\log\mu - \log\lambda.
$$
\n(14)

With this extension, we have the following order relations.

Proposition 3.9. Let $\psi, \psi_0, \varphi, \varphi_0 \in \mathcal{M}_*^+$ and $\psi_0 \leq \psi, \varphi_0 \leq \varphi$. Let $1 < \alpha < \infty$. *Then* $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi_0 \| \varphi) \le \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi)$ *and* $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi_0) \ge \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \| \varphi)$ *.*

Proof. For the first inequality, we may assume that $\psi \in L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$. The in-equality then follows by Corollary [2.12.](#page-10-1) Let now $\varphi_0 \leq \varphi$ and assume that $h \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi_0)$. By Lemma [2.2,](#page-4-1) it is easy to see that then $h \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and $||h||_{\infty,\varphi} \leq ||h||_{\infty,\varphi_0}$. It follows that if $f \in \mathcal{F}(L_\infty(\mathcal{M},\varphi_0), L_1(\mathcal{M}))$, then $f \in \mathcal{F}(L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi), L_1(\mathcal{M}))$ and

$$
||f||_{\mathcal{F}(L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M},\varphi),L_1(\mathcal{M}))} \leq ||f||_{\mathcal{F}(L_{\infty}(\varphi_0),L_1(\mathcal{M}))}.
$$

By the definition of the interpolation norm, we obtain $||h_{\psi}||_{\alpha,\varphi} \leq ||h_{\psi}||_{\alpha,\varphi_0}$ this implies the second inequality. \Box

Proposition 3.10. $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}: \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+} \times \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+} \to [0, \infty]$ *is jointly lower semicontinuous.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the set $\{(\psi, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}^+_* \times \mathcal{M}^+_* , \|h_{\psi}\|_{\alpha, \varphi} \le a\}$ is closed in $\mathcal{M}_* \times \mathcal{M}_*$ for each $a \geq 0$. So let ψ_n and φ_n be sequences of positive normal functionals, converging in \mathcal{M}_{*} to ψ and φ , respectively, and such that $||h_{\psi_n}||_{\alpha,\varphi_n} \leq a$. By Theorem [2.3,](#page-5-0) we have

$$
h_{\psi_n} = h_{\varphi_n}^{1/2\beta} k_n h_{\varphi_n}^{1/2\beta}, \quad k_n \in L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M})^+, \ \|k_n\|_{\alpha} = \|h_{\psi_n}\|_{\alpha, \varphi_n} \leq a.
$$

Since the space $L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M})$ is reflexive and $\{k_n\}$ is bounded, we may assume that k_n converges to some k weakly in $L_\alpha(\mathcal{M})$, and then $||k||_\alpha \leq a$.

Let $h := h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta} kh_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta}$, so that $h \in L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ with $||h||_{\alpha, \varphi} = ||k||_{\alpha} \leq a$. We will show that h_{ψ_n} converges to h weakly in $L_1(\mathcal{M})$ and hence we must have $h_{\psi} = h$. So let $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Then by Hölder's inequality,

$$
|\text{Tr}(h_{\psi_n} - h)x| = |\text{Tr}(h_{\varphi_n}^{1/2\beta} k_n h_{\varphi_n}^{1/2\beta} - h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta} k_n h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta})x|
$$

\n
$$
\leq |\text{Tr}(h_{\varphi_n}^{1/2\beta} - h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta}) k_n h_{\varphi_n}^{1/2\beta} x|
$$

\n
$$
+ |\text{Tr} h_{\varphi_n}^{1/2\beta} k_n (h_{\varphi_n}^{1/2\beta} - h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta})x| + |\text{Tr} h_{\varphi_n}^{1/2\beta} (k_n - k) h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta} x|
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||h_{\varphi_n}^{1/2\beta} - h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta} ||g_{\beta}|| k_n ||_{\alpha} (\varphi_n (1)^{1/2\beta} + \varphi(1)^{1/2\beta}) ||x||
$$

\n
$$
+ |\text{Tr}(k_n - k) h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta} x h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta}|
$$

It was proved by Kosaki [\[24](#page-28-16), Theorem 4.2] that the map $L_1(\mathcal{M})^+ \ni h \mapsto$ $h^{1/p} \in L_p(\mathcal{M})^+$ is norm continuous. Hence the first part of the last expression converges to 0. Since $h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta} x h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta} \in L_{\beta}(\mathcal{M})$ for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the second part goes to 0 as well. \Box

Let now $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_*^+$ be faithful, $\varphi = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$. By [\[42\]](#page-29-2), $L_p(\mathcal{N}) = L_p(\mathcal{M}) \times L_p(\mathcal{M})$ and $||(k_1, k_2)||_p = (||k_1||_p^p + ||k_2||_p^p)^{1/p}, 1 \le p \le \infty$. By this and Theorem [2.3,](#page-5-0) we obtain that $L_p(\mathcal{N}, \varphi) = L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi_1) \times L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi_2)$ and for $h = (h_1, h_2) \in L_p(\mathcal{N}, \varphi)$,

$$
||(h_1, h_2)||_{p,\varphi} = (||h_1||_{p,\varphi_1}^p + ||h_2||_{p,\varphi_2}^p)^{1/p}.
$$
\n(15)

Proposition 3.11. *Let* $\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_*^+$ *and let* $\psi = \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2, \varphi = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2$ *. Then*

$$
\exp\{(\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi)\} = \exp\{(\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi_1||\varphi_1)\} + \exp\{(\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi_1||\varphi_1)\}.
$$

Proof. Follows immediately from [\(15\)](#page-17-0) and the definition of \tilde{D}_{α} .

3.3. Data Processing Inequality

Let N be another von Neumann algebra and let $\Phi: L_1(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{N})$ be a positive linear trace-preserving map. Then Φ defines a positive linear map $\mathcal{M}_* \to \mathcal{N}_*$, also denoted by Φ , mapping states to states. The adjoint $\Phi^* : \mathcal{N} \to$ M is normal, positive and unital. The map Φ will be fixed throughout this section, together with $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$. We put $e := s(\varphi)$ and $e' := s(\Phi(\varphi))$.

We first show that Φ maps $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ into $L_1(\mathcal{N}, \Phi(\varphi))$, see the remarks at the beginning of Sect. [3.](#page-11-0) From $\varphi(\Phi^*(1-e')) = \Phi(\varphi)(1-e') = 0$, it follows that $e\Phi^*(1-e')e=0$ and hence $e\Phi^*(e')=e$, so that $e \leq \Phi^*(e')$. Let now $h = ehe \in L_1(\mathcal{M})^+$, then

$$
\operatorname{Tr} h = \operatorname{Tr} he \le \operatorname{Tr} h\Phi^*(e') = \operatorname{Tr} \Phi(h)e' \le \operatorname{Tr} \Phi(h) = \operatorname{Tr} h,
$$

hence $e' \Phi(h)e' = \Phi(h)$ and $\Phi(h) \in L_1(\mathcal{N}, \Phi(\varphi))$. Since $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ is generated by positive elements, this implies that Φ maps $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ into $L_1(\mathcal{N}, \Phi(\varphi))$.

Assume next that $h = h_x$ for some $x \in e\mathcal{M}^+e$. Then $h_x \le ||x||h_{\varphi}$ and since Φ is positive, we also have $\Phi(h_x) \leq ||x|| \Phi(h_\varphi)$. By Lemma [2.1,](#page-4-0) there is some $x' \in e' \mathcal{N}^+ e'$ such that

$$
\Phi(h_x) = \Phi(h_{\varphi}^{1/2} x h_{\varphi}^{1/2}) = \Phi(h_{\varphi})^{1/2} x' \Phi(h_{\varphi})^{1/2} = \Phi(h_{\varphi})_{x'} \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{N}, \Phi(\varphi))^+.
$$

Since \mathcal{M}^+ generates \mathcal{M} , it follows that Φ maps $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ into $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{N}, \Phi(\varphi))$. By linearity, the map $x \mapsto x'$ extends to a linear map $\Phi_{\varphi}^* : e\mathcal{M}e \to e'\mathcal{N}e',$ which is obviously positive, unital and normal.

Proposition 3.12. For any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, Φ restricts to a contraction $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ \rightarrow $L_p(\mathcal{N}, \Phi(\varphi)).$

Proof. As we have seen, Φ maps $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ into $L_1(\mathcal{N}, \Phi(\varphi))$ and $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ into $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{N}, \Phi(\varphi))$. For any $h \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$,

$$
\|\Phi(h)\|_1 = \sup_{x_0 \in \mathcal{N}, \|x_0\| \le 1} \text{Tr}\,\Phi(h)x_0 = \sup_{x_0 \in \mathcal{N}, \|x_0\| \le 1} \text{Tr}\,h\Phi^*(x_0) \le \|h\|_1,
$$

the last inequality follows from the fact that Φ^* is a unital positive map, hence a contraction by the Russo–Dye theorem, [\[33](#page-28-17)]. Next, for $x \in e\mathcal{M}e$,

$$
\|\Phi(h_x)\|_{\infty,\Phi(\varphi)} = \|\Phi(h_{\varphi})_{\Phi_{\varphi}^*(x)}\|_{\infty,\Phi(\varphi)} = \|\Phi_{\varphi}^*(x)\| \le \|x\| = \|h_x\|_{\infty,\varphi},
$$

where we used Russo–Dye theorem for Φ_{φ}^* . The statement now follows by the Riesz–Thorin theorem (Theorem [B.1\)](#page-26-2).

Let us denote the preadjoint of Φ^*_{φ} by Φ_{φ} . For any $x \in e\mathcal{M}e$ and $h_0 \in$ $e'L_1(\mathcal{N})e'$, we have

$$
\langle h_0, \Phi(h_x) \rangle = \langle h_0, \Phi(h_\varphi)_{\Phi_\varphi^*(x)} \rangle = \text{Tr}\, h_0 \Phi_\varphi^*(x) = \langle \Phi_\varphi(h_0), h_x \rangle. \tag{16}
$$

By the uniqueness part in $[6,$ $[6,$ Theorem 4.4.1], this extends to

 $\langle h_0, \Phi(h) \rangle = \langle \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0), h \rangle, \quad h \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi), \ h_0 \in L_q(\mathcal{N}, \Phi(\varphi)).$ (17) Moreover, for $x \in eMe$,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\Phi_{\varphi}(\Phi(h_{\varphi}))x = \operatorname{Tr}\Phi(h_{\varphi})\Phi_{\varphi}^*(x) = \operatorname{Tr}\Phi(h_{\varphi})^{1/2}\Phi_{\varphi}^*(x)\Phi(h_{\varphi})^{1/2}
$$

$$
= \operatorname{Tr}\Phi(h_x) = \operatorname{Tr}h_x = \operatorname{Tr}h_{\varphi}x,
$$

so that $\Phi_{\varphi}(\Phi(h_{\varphi})) = h_{\varphi}$. By Proposition [3.12,](#page-18-0) Φ_{φ} defines a positive contraction $L_p(\mathcal{N}, \Phi(\varphi)) \to L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Remark 3.13*.* As in the proof of Lemma [2.1,](#page-4-0) we have

$$
\text{Tr}\,h_x y = (yh_{\varphi}^{1/2}, Jxh_{\varphi}^{1/2}), \quad y \in e\mathcal{M}e, \ x \in e\mathcal{M}^+e
$$

and by linearity, this holds for all $x \in e\mathcal{M}e$. It follows that Φ^*_{φ} is determined by

$$
\begin{aligned} (\Phi^*(y_0)h_{\varphi}^{1/2}, Jxh_{\varphi}^{1/2}) &= \text{Tr}\, h_x \Phi^*(y_0) = \text{Tr}\, \Phi(h_x)y_0 \\ &= \text{Tr}\, \Phi(h_{\varphi})^{1/2} \Phi_{\varphi}^*(x) \Phi(h_{\varphi})^{1/2} y_0 \\ &= (y_0 \Phi(h_{\varphi})^{1/2}, J_0 \Phi_{\varphi}^*(x) \Phi(h_{\varphi})^{1/2}) \end{aligned}
$$

for all $y_0 \in e' \mathcal{N} e'$ and $x \in e \mathcal{M} e$, here J_0 is the modular conjugation (adjoint operation) on $L_2(e'Ne')$. In this form, the map Φ^*_{φ} was defined by Petz in [\[37\]](#page-28-8) and is therefore called the Petz dual. Moreover, it was proved that for any n , Φ_{φ}^* is *n*-positive if and only if Φ is.

We are now ready to prove the data processing inequality for \tilde{D}_{α} , together with some lower and upper bounds in terms of the dual elements $T_{\beta,\varphi}(h_{\psi})$ and $T_{\beta,\Phi(\varphi)}(\Phi(h_{\psi}))$, see [\(8\)](#page-7-2).

Theorem 3.14. *Let* $1 < \alpha < \infty$, $1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1$ *. Let* $\psi, \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *and assume that* $h_{\psi} \in L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ *. Let us denote* $h := T_{\beta, \varphi}(h_{\psi}), h_0 = T_{\beta, \Phi(\varphi)}(\Phi(h_{\psi}))$ *. Then for* $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ *,*

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) - \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\Phi(\psi)||\Phi(\varphi)) \ge 2\left\| \frac{1}{2}(h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)) \right\|_{\beta, \varphi}^{\beta}
$$

and for $2 \leq \alpha \leq \infty$ *,*

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi \|\varphi) - \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\Phi(\psi) \|\Phi(\varphi)) \geq \beta(\beta - 1) \left\| \frac{1}{2}(h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)) \right\|_{\beta, \varphi}^2.
$$

If $1 < \alpha < \infty$ and $\|h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)\|_{\beta, \varphi} < 1$, we also have an upper bound $||h - \overline{A}(h)||$

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) - \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\Phi(\psi)||\Phi(\varphi)) \leq -\beta \log (1 - ||h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)||_{\beta,\varphi}).
$$

Proof. By [\(17\)](#page-19-0), we obtain

$$
\frac{\|\Phi(h_{\psi})\|_{\alpha,\Phi(\varphi)}}{\|h_{\psi}\|_{\alpha,\varphi}} = \frac{\langle h_0, \Phi(h_{\psi})\rangle}{\|h_{\psi}\|_{\alpha,\varphi}} = \langle \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0), \|h_{\psi}\|_{\alpha,\varphi}^{-1}h_{\psi}\rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \langle \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0) + h, \|h_{\psi}\|_{\alpha,\varphi}^{-1}h_{\psi}\rangle - \langle h, \|h_{\psi}\|_{\alpha,\varphi}^{-1}h_{\psi}\rangle
$$

\n
$$
\leq \|\Phi_{\varphi}(h_0) + h\|_{\beta,\varphi} - 1.
$$

Assume $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, so that $2 \leq \beta < \infty$. Since $||h||_{\beta,\varphi}, ||\Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)||_{\beta,\varphi} \leq 1$, Clarkson's inequality (Theorem [2.5\)](#page-6-3) implies

$$
\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{\varphi}(h_0) + h\|_{\beta,\varphi} \\ &\leq (2^{\beta} - \|h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)\|_{\beta,\varphi}^{\beta})^{1/\beta} = 2\left(1 - \left\|\frac{1}{2}(h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)\right\|_{\beta,\varphi}^{\beta}\right)^{1/\beta}.\end{aligned}
$$

Using the inequality $(1-x^p)^{1/p} \leq 1-\frac{1}{p}x^p$ for $p>1$, $x \in [0,1]$, we obtain

$$
\|\Phi_{\varphi}(h_0) + h\|_{\beta,\varphi} - 1 \le 1 - \frac{2}{\beta}\|\frac{1}{2}(h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)\|_{\beta,\varphi}^{\beta})
$$

For $2 \le \alpha < \infty$, we apply Theorem [2.6](#page-6-4) with h replaced by $h + \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)$ and k by $h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)$, and obtain

$$
\|\Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)+h\|_{\beta,\varphi}\leq 2\left(1-(\beta-1)\left\|\frac{1}{2}(h-\Phi_{\varphi}(h_0))\right\|_{\beta,\varphi}^2\right)^{1/2}.
$$

The inequality above with $p = 2$ now yields

$$
\|\Phi_{\varphi}(h_0) + h\|_{\beta,\varphi} - 1 \le 1 - (\beta - 1)\left\|\frac{1}{2}(h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0))\right\|_{\beta,\varphi}^2
$$

The inequalities in (i) and (ii) follow by taking the logarithms and using the inequality $\log x \leq x - 1$ for $x > 0$.

On the other hand, we have a lower bound

$$
\frac{\|\Phi(h_{\psi})\|_{\alpha,\Phi(\varphi)}}{\|h_{\psi}\|_{\alpha,\varphi}} = \langle \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0), \|h_{\psi}\|_{\alpha,\varphi}^{-1}h_{\psi} \rangle = \langle h - (h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)), \|\psi\|_{\alpha,\varphi}^{-1}h_{\psi} \rangle
$$

$$
\geq 1 - \|h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)\|_{\beta,\varphi}.
$$

If $1 - ||h - \Phi_{\varphi}(h_0)||_{\beta, \varphi} > 0$, this implies (iii).

The following result was obtained in [\[30\]](#page-28-0) for algebras of bounded operators on a separable Hilbert space.

Corollary 3.15. *Let* $\psi, \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *and let* $\Phi: L_1(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{N})$ *be a positive trace-preserving map. Then*

$$
D_1(\Phi(\psi) \| \Phi(\varphi)) \le D_1(\psi \| \varphi).
$$

Proof. Immediate from Theorem [3.14](#page-19-1) and Proposition [3.8.](#page-16-0) \Box

Corollary 3.16. *For* $1 < \alpha < \infty$ *, the map* $(\psi, \varphi) \mapsto \exp\{(\alpha - 1) \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi || \varphi)\}\$ *is jointly convex.*

Proof. The following arguments are standard. Let $\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$. Let $\psi, \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M})$ be given by $\psi = \lambda \psi_1 \oplus (1-\lambda)\psi_2$ and $\varphi = \lambda \varphi_1 \oplus (1-\lambda)\varphi_2$. By Proposition 3.11 and (14) , we obtain

$$
\exp\{(\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi\|\varphi)\} = \exp\{(\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\lambda\psi_{1}\|\lambda\varphi_{1})\} \n+ \exp\{(\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_{\alpha}((1 - \lambda)\psi_{2}\|(1 - \lambda)\varphi_{2})\} \n= \lambda \exp\{(\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi_{1}\|\varphi_{1})\} \n+ (1 - \lambda) \exp\{(\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi_{2}\|\varphi_{2})\}.
$$

Let $\Phi: L_1(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{M})$ be given by $(h_1, h_2) \mapsto h_1 + h_2$, then Φ is obviously positive and trace preserving and

$$
\Phi(\varphi) = \lambda \varphi_1 + (1 - \lambda)\varphi_2, \quad \Phi(\psi) = \lambda \psi_1 + (1 - \lambda)\psi_2.
$$

The statement now follows by Theorem [3.14.](#page-19-1) \Box

We also obtain a characterization of equality in DPI, which will be useful in the next section.

Corollary 3.17. *Let* $\psi, \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *and assume that* $\psi \in L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ *. Then* $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) = \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\Phi(\psi)||\Phi(\varphi))$ *if and only if*

$$
\Phi_{\varphi} \circ T_{\beta, \Phi(\varphi)} \circ \Phi(h_{\psi}) = T_{\beta, \varphi}(h_{\psi}).
$$

If $\alpha = 2$ *, this is equivalent to* $\Phi_{\varphi} \circ \Phi(\psi) = \psi$ *.*

Proof. The first statement is immediate from Theorem [3.14.](#page-19-1) Let now $\alpha = 2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(h_{\psi})\|_{2,\Phi(\varphi)}^2 &= \langle \Phi(h_{\psi}), \Phi(h_{\psi}) \rangle = \langle h_{\psi}, \Phi_{\varphi} \circ \Phi(h_{\psi}) \rangle \\ &\leq \|h_{\psi}\|_{2,\varphi} \|\Phi_{\varphi} \circ \Phi(h_{\psi})\|_{2,\varphi} \leq \|h_{\psi}\|_{2,\varphi}^2. \end{aligned}
$$

The statement now follows by equality condition in the Schwarz inequality. \Box

4. Sufficiency of Channels

In this section, we study the case of equality in DPI for D_{α} . The aim is to show that this equality implies existence of a recovery map for (Φ, ψ, φ) . For this, we need that the map Φ is 2-positive, which will be assumed in the rest of the paper.

Let $\psi, \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ and let $\Phi: L_1(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{N})$ be a 2-positive tracepreserving map. We say that Φ is sufficient with respect to $\{\psi, \varphi\}$ if there exists a 2-positive trace-preserving recovery map $\Psi: L_1(\mathcal{N}) \to L_1(\mathcal{M})$, such that $\Psi \circ \Phi(h_{\psi}) = h_{\psi}$ and $\Psi \circ \Phi(h_{\varphi}) = h_{\varphi}$.

Remark 4.1*.* In the above definition, we may also assume that both Φ and Ψ are completely positive and trace-preserving maps, such maps are usually called quantum channels. This definition seems stronger, but in fact it is fully equivalent, in the sense that if Φ is 2-positive and trace preserving and there is a 2-positive recovery map Ψ for (Φ, ψ, φ) , then there are quantum channels Φ and Ψ that coincide with Φ and Ψ when restricted to $\{\psi, \varphi\}$ and $\{\Phi(\psi), \Phi(\varphi)\}\$. respectively.

The following theorem is one of the crucial results of [\[37\]](#page-28-8). Note that it implies that Φ_{φ} is a universal recovery map.

Theorem 4.2 [\[20](#page-27-18),[37\]](#page-28-8)*. Let* $\Phi: L_1(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{N})$ *be a trace-preserving 2-positive map.* Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *be faithful and assume that* $\Phi(\varphi)$ *is faithful as well. Then* Φ *is sufficient with respect to* $\{\psi, \varphi\}$ *if and only if* $\Phi_{\varphi} \circ \Phi(h_{\psi}) = h_{\psi}$.

The following is a standard result of ergodic theory.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* Ω : $L_1(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{M})$ *be 2-positive and trace preserving, admitting a faithful normal invariant state. Then there is a faithful normal conditional expectation* E *on* M *such that* $\psi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *is invariant under* Ω *if and only if* $\psi \circ E = \psi$.

Proof. Let S be the set of all normal invariant states of Ω and let T be the set of all 2-positive unital normal maps $T : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, such that $\psi \circ T = \psi$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$. Then *I* is a semigroup (i.e., closed under composition), convex and closed with respect to the pointwise weak*-topology. By the mean ergodic theorem $[26]$, $\mathcal I$ contains a conditional expectation E , such that

$$
T \circ E = E \circ T = E, \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{I}.
$$

Since $E \in \mathcal{I}, \psi \circ E = \psi$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$. On the other hand, let $\psi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ be such that $\psi \circ E = \psi$, then

$$
\psi \circ \Omega^* = \psi \circ E \circ \Omega^* = \psi \circ E = \psi,
$$

because $\Omega^* \in \mathcal{I}$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let* $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *be faithful. Let* $1 < p < \infty$ *and let* $\psi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *be such that*

$$
h_\psi = ch_\varphi^{1/2q} h_\omega^{1/p} h_\varphi^{1/2q}
$$

for some $c > 0$ *and* $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *. Let* $\Phi : L_1(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{N})$ *be a 2-positive tracepreserving map such that* $\Phi(\varphi)$ *is faithful. Then* Φ *is sufficient with respect to* $\{\psi, \varphi\}$ *if and only if it is sufficient with respect to* $\{\omega, \varphi\}.$

Proof. Let $\Omega = \Phi_{\varphi} \circ \Phi$, then φ is a faithful invariant state for Ω . By Lemma [4.3](#page-22-0) and Theorem [4.2,](#page-22-1) there is a faithful normal conditional expectation E such that $\varphi \circ E = \varphi$ and Φ is sufficient with respect to $\{\psi, \varphi\}$ if and only if $\psi \circ E = \psi$. Let us denote the range of E by \mathcal{M}_0 .

We now apply the results in "Appendix [A.3"](#page-25-2). Let $\psi \circ E = \psi$, that is, $E_1(h_{\psi}) = h_{\psi}$. By [\(A.7\)](#page-26-3) and [\(A.8\)](#page-26-4),

$$
h_{\psi} = E_1(h_{\psi}) = cE_1(h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}h_{\omega}^{1/p}h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}) = ch_{\varphi}^{1/2q}E_p(h_{\omega}^{1/p})h_{\varphi}^{1/2q}.
$$

Since i_p is an isomorphism (see Theorem [2.3\)](#page-5-0), we see that we must have $h_\omega^{1/p} =$ $E_p(h_\omega^{1/p}) \in L_p(\mathcal{M}_0)$. But then also $h_\omega \in L_1(\mathcal{M}_0)$, so that $\omega \circ E = \omega$ and Φ is sufficient with respect to $\{\omega, \varphi\}$. Conversely, if $\omega \circ E = \omega$, then $h_{\omega}^{1/p} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}_0)$, so that $h_{\psi} \in L_1(\mathcal{M}_0)$ and $\psi \circ E = \psi$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let* $\Phi: L_1(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{N})$ *be a positive trace-preserving map and let* $1 < p < \infty$ *. Let* $h \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ *be such that* $\|\Phi(h)\|_{p, \Phi(\varphi)} = \|h\|_{p, \varphi}$ *. Then*

$$
\|\Phi(f_{h,p}(\theta))\|_{1/\theta,\Phi(\varphi)} = \|f_{h,p}(\theta)\|_{1/\theta,\varphi}, \quad \forall \theta \in (0,1).
$$

Proof. By Proposition [3.12,](#page-18-0) $\Phi \circ f_{h,p} \in \mathcal{F}(L_{\infty}(\mathcal{N}, \Phi(\varphi)), L_1(\mathcal{N})) =: \mathcal{F}_0$ and $\|\Phi \circ f_{h,p}\|_{\mathcal{F}_0} \le \|f_{h,p}\|_{\mathcal{F}}$. Since $\Phi \circ \tilde{f}_{h,p}(1/p) = \Phi(h)$, we have

$$
\|\Phi(h)\|_{p,\Phi(\varphi)} \le \|\Phi \circ f_{h,p}\|_{\mathcal{F}_0} \le \|f_{h,p}\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \|h\|_{p,\varphi} = \|\Phi(h)\|_{p,\Phi(\varphi)},
$$

hence $\|\Phi \circ f_{h,p}(1/p))\|_{p,\Phi(\varphi)} = \|\Phi(f_{h,p})\|_{\mathcal{F}_0} = \|f_{h,p}\|_{\mathcal{F}}$. The result now follows by Lemma [2.7.](#page-7-0) \Box

We are now prepared to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.6. *Let* $\Phi: L_1(\mathcal{M}) \to L_1(\mathcal{N})$ *be a 2-positive trace-preserving map and let* $1 < \alpha < \infty$ *. Let* $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$ *be such that* $h_{\psi} \in L_{\alpha}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ *. Then* Φ *is sufficient with respect to* $\{\psi, \varphi\}$ *if and only if* $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi || \varphi) = \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\Phi(\psi) || \Phi(\varphi))$.

Proof. By the assumptions, $s(\psi) \leq s(\varphi)$ and we may suppose that both φ and $\Phi(\varphi)$ are faithful, by restriction to the corresponding compressed algebras. Further, we have $h_{\psi} = h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta} h_{\omega}^{1/\alpha} h_{\varphi}^{1/2\beta}$ for some $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{*}^{+}$, here $1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1$. Suppose that $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\psi||\varphi) = \tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\Phi(\psi)||\Phi(\varphi))$. Then $||\Phi(h_{\psi})||_{\alpha, \Phi(\varphi)} = ||h_{\psi}||_{\alpha, \varphi}$ and by Lemma [4.5,](#page-22-2)

$$
\|\Phi(f_{h_{\psi},\alpha}(1/2))\|_{2,\Phi(\varphi)}=\|f_{h_{\psi},\alpha}(1/2)\|_{2,\varphi}.
$$

Note that

$$
f_{h_{\psi},\alpha}(1/2) = ch_{\varphi}^{1/4} h_{\omega}^{1/2} h_{\varphi}^{1/4} \in L_1(\mathcal{M})^+
$$

for some constant $c > 0$, hence there is some $\psi_1 \in \mathfrak{S}_*(\mathcal{M})$, such that $f_{h_{\psi},\alpha}(1/2)$ $= dh_{\psi_1}$, where $d > 0$ is obtained by normalization. It follows that $h_{\psi_1} \in$ $L_2(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$ and we have

$$
\|\Phi(h_{\psi_1})\|_{2,\Phi(\varphi)} = \|h_{\psi_1}\|_{2,\varphi}.
$$

By Corollary [3.17,](#page-21-0) this implies that Φ is sufficient with respect to $\{\psi_1, \varphi\}$ and by Lemma [4.4,](#page-22-3) Φ is sufficient with respect to $\{\omega_1, \varphi\}$, where $\omega_1 = \omega(1)^{-1}\omega$. Using Lemma [4.4](#page-22-3) again, we obtain that Φ is sufficient with respect to $\{\psi, \varphi\}$.

The converse statement follows immediately from DPI (Theorem [3.14\)](#page-19-1).

 \Box

5. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, an extension of the sandwiched Rényi relative α -entropies to the setting of von Neumann algebras is defined for $\alpha > 1$, using an interpolating family of noncommutative L_p -spaces with respect to a state. For this extension, we proved that it coincides with the previously defined Araki–Masuda divergences [\[7\]](#page-27-0). Further, some of the basic properties are shown, in particular the data processing inequality with respect to positive trace-preserving maps. Since the limit $\alpha \to 1$ yields the Araki relative entropy D_1 , this implies that D_1 is monotone under such maps and not only adjoints of unital Schwarz maps, as previously known [\[45\]](#page-29-5). For $\mathcal{M} = B(\mathcal{H})$, this fact was recently observed in [\[30\]](#page-28-0).

Another main result of the paper is the fact that preservation of the extended sandwiched entropies characterizes sufficiency of 2-positive tracepreserving maps. Note that for most of the proofs 2-positivity was not needed, indeed, Lemma [4.3](#page-22-0) is the only place where more than positivity is necessary. It would be interesting to see whether similar results can be proved assuming only positivity, since the results known so far on sufficiency of maps need stronger positivity conditions. Note that for $\alpha = 2$, an extension to positive maps is proved in Corollary [3.17.](#page-21-0)

The Araki–Masuda divergences were defined in [\[7\]](#page-27-0) also for $\alpha \in [1/2, 1)$. A treatment of \ddot{D}_{α} for these values in our setting will be given elsewhere, see [\[19\]](#page-27-19).

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Fumio Hiai for useful discussions and for sharing his notes about equality of the two extensions of sandwiched Rényi entropies.

Appendix A. Some Technical Results in Haagerup *Lp***-Spaces**

A.1. Relative Modular Operator

We discuss the definition of the relative modular operator and its form in the standard representation $(\lambda(M), L_2(M), J, L_2(M)^+).$

Let $\eta, \xi \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$ and let $\psi = \omega_\eta = (\cdot \eta, \eta), \varphi = \omega_\xi = (\cdot \xi, \xi)$. The conjugate-linear operator $S_{\eta,\xi}$ with domain $\mathcal{M}\xi + L_2(\mathcal{M})(1 - s(\varphi))$ is defined as

$$
S_{\eta,\xi}: x\xi + \zeta \mapsto s(\varphi)x^*\eta, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \ \zeta \in L_2(\mathcal{M})(1 - s(\varphi)). \tag{A.1}
$$

Let also $F_{n,\xi}$ be defined on the domain $\xi \mathcal{M} + (1 - s(\varphi))L_2(\mathcal{M})$ as

$$
F_{\eta,\xi}: \xi y + \zeta' \mapsto \eta y^* s(\varphi), \quad y \in \mathcal{M}, \ \zeta' \in (1 - s(\varphi)) L_2(\mathcal{M}). \tag{A.2}
$$

Then $S_{\eta,\xi}$, $F_{\eta,\xi}$ are densely defined and closable, and we have $\bar{S} = F^*, \bar{F} = S^*.$ The closures have polar decompositions

$$
\bar{S}_{\eta,\xi} = J_{\eta,\xi} \Delta_{\eta,\xi}^{1/2}, \quad \bar{F}_{\eta,\xi} = \Delta_{\eta,\xi}^{1/2} J_{\xi,\eta} = J_{\xi,\eta} \Delta_{\xi,\eta}^{-1/2},
$$

where $J_{\eta,\xi}$ is a partial anti-isometry, $J_{\xi,\eta} = J_{\eta,\xi}^*$ and $\Delta_{\eta,\xi}$ is a positive selfadjoint operator on $L_2(\mathcal{M})$, called the relative modular operator. This operator does not depend on the choice of the vector representative η of ψ , and we may replace $J_{\eta,\xi}$ with J if $\eta,\xi \in L_2(\mathcal{M})^+$ (which means that $\eta = h_{\psi}^{1/2}, \xi =$ $h_{\varphi}^{1/2}$). See, e.g., [\[2](#page-27-16), Appendix C] and [\[41\]](#page-28-12) for more details. We use the notation $\Delta_{\psi,\varphi} := \Delta_{\eta,h_{\omega}^{1/2}}.$

Note that for
$$
z = \alpha + it
$$
, $0 \le \alpha \le 1/2$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have [22]

$$
\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{z}) = \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{\alpha}) = \{k \in L_2(\mathcal{M}), h_{\psi}^{\alpha}kh_{\varphi}^{-\alpha} \in L_2(\mathcal{M})\}
$$

= $\{k \in L_2(\mathcal{M}), \exists k' \in L_2(\mathcal{M}), h_{\psi}^{\alpha}ks(\varphi) = k'h_{\varphi}^{\alpha}\}\$

and for $k \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta^z_{\psi,\varphi}),$

$$
\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^z k = h_\psi^z k h_\varphi^{-z} = h_\psi^{it} k' h_\varphi^{-it}.\tag{A.3}
$$

2538 A. Jenčová A. Ann. Henri Poincaré

Moreover, since $J\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}J = \Delta_{\varphi,\psi}^{-1}$, we have $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\varphi,\psi}^{-z}) = \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\varphi,\psi}^{-\alpha}) = J\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\psi,\varphi}^{\alpha})$ and for $k \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta^z_{\psi,\varphi}),$

$$
\Delta_{\varphi,\psi}^{-z} k^* = h_{\varphi}^{-z} k^* h_{\psi}^z = h_{\varphi}^{-it}(k')^* h_{\psi}^{it}.
$$
 (A.4)

A.2. The Spatial Derivative

We now recall the definition of the spatial derivative $\Delta(\eta/\varphi)$ of [\[7\]](#page-27-0) in the above standard representation. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi} := [\mathcal{M}h_{\varphi}^{1/2}] = L_2(\mathcal{M})s(\varphi)$ and let $\xi \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$ be such that the corresponding functional is majorized by φ :

$$
\omega_{\xi}(a^*a) = \|a\xi\|^2 \le C_{\xi}\varphi(a^*a), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{M},
$$

for some positive constant C_{ξ} . Then

$$
R^{\varphi}(\xi) : ah_{\varphi}^{1/2} \mapsto a\xi, \quad a \in \mathcal{M}
$$

extends to a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi} \to L_2(\mathcal{M})$. Obviously, $R^{\varphi}(\xi)$ extends to a bounded linear operator on $L_2(\mathcal{M})$ by putting it equal to 0 on $L_2(\mathcal{M})(1-\mathcal{M})$ $s(\varphi)$). Moreover, this operator commutes with the left action of M, so that it belongs to $\lambda(\mathcal{M})' = \rho(\mathcal{M})$, where ρ is the right action $\rho(a) : h \mapsto ha$, $h \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$. In fact, ω_{ξ} is majorized by φ if and only if $\xi \in h_{\varphi}^{1/2}(\mathcal{M})$, so that there is some $y_{\xi} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\xi = h_{\varphi}^{1/2} y_{\xi}, s(\varphi) y_{\xi} = y_{\xi}$ and we have $R^{\varphi}(\xi) = \rho(y_{\xi}).$

Let now $\eta \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$. The spatial derivative $\Delta(\eta/\varphi)$ is a positive selfadjoint operator associated with the quadratic form $\xi \mapsto (\eta, R^{\varphi}(\xi)R^{\varphi}(\xi)^*\eta)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned} (\xi, \Delta(\eta/\varphi)\xi) &= (\Delta(\eta/\varphi)^{1/2}\xi, \Delta(\eta/\varphi)^{1/2}\xi) = (\eta, R^{\varphi}(\xi)R^{\varphi}(\xi)^{*}\eta) \\ &= (R^{\varphi}(\xi)^{*}\eta, R^{\varphi}(\xi)^{*}\eta) = (\eta y_{\xi}^{*} s(\varphi), \eta y_{\xi}^{*} s(\varphi)) \\ &= (F_{\eta, h_{\varphi}^{1/2}}\xi, F_{\eta, h_{\varphi}^{1/2}}\xi), \end{aligned}
$$

see [\(A.2\)](#page-24-2). Since $h_{\varphi}^{1/2} \mathcal{M} + (1 - s(\varphi))L_2(\mathcal{M})$ is a core for both $\Delta(\eta/\varphi)$ and $F_{n,h^{1/2}}$, it follows that

$$
\Delta(\eta/\varphi)=F_{\eta,h_\varphi^{1/2}}^*F_{\eta,h_\varphi^{1/2}}=J\Delta_{\omega,\varphi}J,
$$

where $\omega := \omega_{\eta}$. This implies that for any $\xi \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
\|\Delta(\eta/\varphi)^\gamma \xi\|_2 = \|\Delta_{\omega,\varphi}^\gamma J \xi\|_2 = \|\Delta_{\omega,\varphi}^\gamma \xi^*\|_2. \tag{A.5}
$$

A.3. Extensions of Conditional Expectations

A conditional expectation E on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal M$ is a positive contractive normal projection onto a von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. A conditional expectation is necessarily completely positive and satisfies the condition

$$
E(xay) = xE(a)y, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{M}_0, \ a \in \mathcal{M}.
$$
 (A.6)

Assume a faithful normal state ϕ and a von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ are given, such that there is a conditional expectation E satisfying $\phi \circ E = \phi$. Then the space $L_p(\mathcal{M}_0)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ can be identified with a subspace in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ and E can be extended to a contractive projection E_p of $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ onto $L_p(\mathcal{M}_0)$, [\[21\]](#page-28-20). This extension is positive and satisfies

$$
E_s(hlk) = hE_r(l)k, \quad h \in L_p(\mathcal{M}_0), k \in L_q(\mathcal{M}_0), l \in L_r(\mathcal{M}), \tag{A.7}
$$

whenever $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ are such that $1/p + 1/q + 1/r = 1/s \leq 1$. Moreover, for $p = 1$, we have

$$
E_1: h_{\psi} \mapsto h_{\psi \circ E}, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{M}_*.
$$
 (A.8)

Appendix B. The Complex Interpolation Method

In this paragraph, we briefly describe the complex interpolation method, following $[6]$ $[6]$, see also $[23]$.

Let (X_0, X_1) be a compatible pair of Banach spaces, with norms $\|\cdot\|_0$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$. For our purposes, it is enough to assume that X_0 is continuously embedded in X_1 . Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the strip $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq Re(z) \leq 1\}$ and let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1)$ be the set of functions $f : S \to X_1$ such that

- (a) f is bounded, continuous on S and analytic in the interior of S
- (b) For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(it) \in X_0$ and the map $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(it) \in X_0$ is continuous and bounded.

For $f \in \mathcal{F}$, let

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \max \left\{ \sup_{t} \|f(it)\|_{0}, \sup_{t} \|f(1+it)\|_{1} \right\}.
$$

Then $(\mathcal{F}, \|\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a Banach space. For $0 < \theta < 1$, the interpolation space is defined as the set

$$
C_{\theta}(X_0, X_1) = \{f(\theta), f \in \mathcal{F}\}\
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
||x||_{\theta} = \inf \{ ||f||_{\mathcal{F}}, f(\theta) = x, f \in \mathcal{F} \}.
$$
 (B.1)

Since $C_{\theta}(X_0, X_1)$ is the quotient space \mathcal{F}/K_{θ} with respect to the closed subspace $K_{\theta} = \{f \in \mathcal{F}, f(\theta) = 0\}$, we see that $C_{\theta}(X_0, X_1)$ is a Banach space. Moreover, we have the continuous embeddings

$$
X_0 \subseteq C_{\theta}(X_0, X_1) \subseteq X_1
$$

and C_{θ} defines an exact interpolation functor of exponent θ , which means that the following abstract version of the Riesz–Thorin interpolation theorem holds.

Theorem B.1. *Let* (X_0, X_1) *and* (Y_0, Y_1) *be pairs of compatible Banach spaces and let* $T: X_1 \to Y_1$ *be a bounded linear operator such that* $T(X_0) \subseteq Y_0$ *. If* $||Tx||_{Y_1} \leq M_1 ||x||_{X_1}, x \in X_1$ and $||Tx_0||_{Y_0} \leq M_0 ||x_0||_{X_0}$ for $x_0 \in X_0$, then for $\theta \in (0,1),$

$$
||Tx||_{\theta} \le M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^{\theta} ||x||_{\theta}.
$$

References

- [1] Araki, H.: Relative entropy of states of von Neumann algebras. Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **11**, 809–833 (1976)
- [2] Araki, H., Masuda, T.: Positive cones and L*p*-spaces for von Neumann algebras. Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **18**, 339–411 (1982)
- [3] Audenaert, K.M.R., Datta, N.: α *−* z-R´enyi relative entropies. J. Math. Phys. **56**, 022202 (2015)
- [4] Audenaert, K.M.R., Nussbaum, M., Szkola, A., Verstraete, F.: Asymptotic error rates in quantum hypothesis testing. Commun. Math. Phys. **279**, 251–283 (2008). [arXiv:0708.4282](http://arxiv.org/abs/0708.4282)
- [5] Beigi, S.: Sandwiched Rényi divergence satisfies data processing inequality. J. Math. Phys. **54**, 122202 (2013). [arXiv:1306.5920](http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.5920) [quant-ph]
- [6] Bergh, J., Löfström, J.: Interpolation Spaces. Springer, New York (1976)
- [7] Berta, M., Scholz, V.B., Tomamichel, M.: Rényi divergences as weighted noncommutative vector valued L*p*-spaces. [arXiv:1608.05317](http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.05317) (2016)
- [8] Calderón, A.: Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method. Stud. Math. **24**, 113–190 (1964)
- [9] Csiszár, I.: Generalized cutoff rates and Rényi information measures. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **41**, 26–34 (1995)
- [10] Diestel, J.: Geometry of Banach Spaces-Selected Topics. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (1975)
- [11] Frank, R.L., Lieb, E.H.: Monotonicity of a relative Rényi entropy. J. Math. Phys. **54**, 122201 (2013). [arXiv:1306.5358](http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.5358) [math-ph]
- [12] Haagerup, U.: L*p*-spaces associated with an arbitrary von Neumann algebra. In: Algébres d'opérateurs et leurs applications en physique mathématique (Proc. Colloq. Marseille, 1977), Volume 274 of Colloq. Internat. CNRS, pp. 175–184. CNRS (1979)
- [13] Hiai, F.: Sandwiched R´enyi divergences in von Neumann algebras. Unpublished Notes (2017)
- [14] Hiai, F., Mosonyi, M., Ogawa, T.: Error exponents in hypothesis testing for correlated states on a spin chain. J. Math. Phys. **49**, 032112 (2008). [arXiv:0707.2020](http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.2020)
- [15] Hiai, F., Mosonyi, M., Petz, D., Beny, C.: Quantum f-divergences and error correction. Rev. Math. Phys. **23**, 691–747 (2011). [arXiv:1008.2529](http://arxiv.org/abs/1008.2529)
- [16] Jaksic, V., Ogata, Y., Pautrat, Y., Pillet, C.A.: Entropic fluctuations in quantum statistical mechanics. An introduction. In: Quantum Theory from Small to Large Scales: Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer School, vol. 95. Oxford University Press (2012)
- [17] Jaksic, V., Ogata, Y., Pillet, C.A., Seiringer, R.: Quantum hypothesis testing and non-equilibrium statistical mechanics. Rev. Math. Phys. **24**, 1230002 (2012)
- [18] Jenčová, A.: Preservation of a quantum Rényi relative entropy implies existence of a recovery map. J. Phys. A Math. Theor. **50**, 085303 (2017)
- [19] Jenčová, A.: Rényi relative entropies and noncommutative L_p -spaces II. [arXiv:1707.00047](http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.00047) (2017)
- [20] Jenˇcov´a, A., Petz, D.: Sufficiency in quantum statistical inference. Commun. Math. Phys. **263**, 259–276 (2006). [arXiv:math-ph/0412093](http://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0412093)
- [21] Junge, M., Xu, Q.: Noncommutative Burkholder/Rosenthal inequalities. Ann. Probab. **31**, 948–995 (2003)
- [22] Kosaki, H.: Positive cones and L*p*-spaces associated with a von Neumann algebra. J. Oper. Theory **6**, 13–23 (1981)
- [23] Kosaki, H.: Applications of the complex interpolation method to a von Neumann algebra: non-commutative L*p*-spaces. J. Funct. Anal. **56**, 26–78 (1984)
- [24] Kosaki, H.: Applications of uniform convexity of noncommutative L*^p*-spaces. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **283**, 265–282 (1984)
- [25] Kosaki, H.: An inequality of Araki–Lieb–Thirring (von Neumann algebra case). Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **114**, 477–481 (1992)
- [26] Kümmerer, B., Nagel, R.: Mean ergodic semigroups on W^{*}-algebras. Acta Sci. Math. **41**, 151–155 (1979)
- [27] Mosonyi, M., Hiai, F.: On the quantum Rényi relative entropies and related capacity formulas. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **57**, 2474–2487 (2011). [arXiv:0912.1286](http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.1286) [quant-ph]
- [28] Mosonyi, M., Ogawa, T.: Strong converse exponent for classical-quantum channel coding. Commun. Math. Phys. **355**(1), 373–426 (2017)
- [29] Mosonyi, M., Ogawa, T.: Quantum hypothesis testing and the operational interpretation of the quantum R´enyi relative entropies. Commun. Math. Phys. **334**, 1617–1648 (2015). [arXiv:1309.3228](http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.3228) [quant-ph]
- [30] Müller-Hermes, A., Reeb, D.: Monotonicity of the quantum relative entropy under positive maps. Annales Henri Poincar´e **18**, 1777–1788 (2017). [arXiv:1512.06117](http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.06117)
- [31] Müller Lennert, M., Dupuis, F., Szehr, O., Fehr, S., Tomamichel, M.: On quantum Rényi entropies: a new generalization and some properties. J. Math. Phys. **54**, 122203 (2013). [arXiv:1306.3142](http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.3142) [quant-ph]
- [32] Ohya, M., Petz, D.: Quantum Entropy and Its Use. Springer, Heidelberg (1993)
- [33] Paulsen, V.: Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002)
- [34] Petz, D.: Quasi-entropies for finite quantum systems. Rep. Math. Phys. **23**, 57–65 (1984)
- [35] Petz, D.: Quasi-entropies for states of a von Neumann algebra. Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **21**, 787–800 (1985)
- [36] Petz, D.: Sufficient subalgebras and the relative entropy of states of a von Neumann algebra. Commun. Math. Phys. **105**, 123–131 (1986)
- [37] Petz, D.: Sufficiency of channels over von Neumann algebras. Quart. J. Math. Oxf. **39**, 97–108 (1988)
- [38] Pisier, G., Xu, Q.: Non-commutative L*p*-spaces. Handb. Geom. Banach Spaces **2**, 1459–1517 (2003)
- [39] Rényi, A.: On measures of information and entropy. In: Proceedings of the Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, pp. 547–561. University of California Press (1961)
- [40] Stratila, S., Zhid´o, L.: Lectures on von Neumann Algebras. Editura Academiei, Bucharest (1979)
- [41] Takesaki, M.: Theory of Operator Algebras II. Springer, Berlin (2003)
- [42] Terp, M.: L*^p* spaces associated with von Neumann algebras. Notes, Copenhagen University (1981)
- [43] Terp, M.: Interpolation spaces between a von Neumann algebra and its predual. J. Oper. Theory **8**, 327–360 (1982)
- [44] Trunov, N.V.: A noncommutative analogue of the space L*p*. Izvestiya VUZ Matematika **23**, 69–77 (1979)
- [45] Uhlmann, A.: Relative entropy and Wigner–Yanase–Dyson–Lieb concavity in an interpolation theory. Commun. Math. Phys. **54**, 21–32 (1977)
- [46] Wilde, M.M., Winter, A., Yang, D.: Strong converse for the classical capacity of entanglement-breaking and Hadamard channels via a sandwiched Rényi relative entropy. Commun. Math. Phys. **331**, 593–622 (2014). [arXiv:1306.1586](http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.1586) [quant-ph]
- [47] Zolotarev, A.A.: L*p*-spaces with respect to a state on a von Neumann algebra, and interpolation. Izvestiya VUZ Matematika **26**, 36–43 (1982)

Anna Jenčová Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences Štefánikova 49 814 73 Bratislava Slovakia e-mail: jenca@mat.savba.sk

Communicated by Claude Alain Pillet. Received: June 20, 2017. Accepted: January 29, 2018.