Interpolation Inequalities and Spectral Estimates for Magnetic Operators

Jean Dolbeault, Maria J. Esteban, Ari Laptev and Michael Loss

Abstract. We prove magnetic interpolation inequalities and Keller–Lieb– Thirring estimates for the principal eigenvalue of magnetic Schrödinger operators. We establish explicit upper and lower bounds for the best constants and show by numerical methods that our theoretical estimates are accurate.

1. Introduction and Main Results

In dimensions d = 2 and d = 3, let us consider the magnetic Laplacian defined via a magnetic potential **A** by

$$-\Delta_{\mathbf{A}} \psi = -\Delta \psi - 2i \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \psi + |\mathbf{A}|^2 \psi - i (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}) \psi.$$

The magnetic field is $\mathbf{B} = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$. The quadratic form associated with $-\Delta_{\mathbf{A}}$ is given by $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x$ and well defined for all functions in the space

$$\mathrm{H}^{1}_{\!\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) := \left\{ \psi \in \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \, : \, \nabla_{\!\mathbf{A}} \psi \in \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \right\}$$

where

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} := \nabla + i \mathbf{A} \, .$$

We shall consider the following spectral gap inequality

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} \ge \Lambda[\mathbf{B}] \, \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \quad \forall \, \psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \,. \tag{1.1}$$

Let us notice that Λ depends only on $\mathbf{B} = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$. Throughout this paper, we shall assume that there is equality in (1.1) for some function in $\mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$. If \mathbf{B} is a constant magnetic field, we recall that $\Lambda[\mathbf{B}] = |\mathbf{B}|$. If d = 2, the spectrum of $-\Delta_{\mathbf{A}}$ is the countable set $\{(2j+1) | \mathbf{B}| : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, the eigenspaces are of infinity dimension and called the *Landau levels*. The eigenspace corresponding to the lowest level (j = 0) is called the *Lowest Landau Level* and will be considered in Sect. 5.4.

Let us denote the critical Sobolev exponent by $2^* = +\infty$ if d = 2 and $2^* = 6$ if d = 3, and define the optimal Gagliardo–Nirenberg constant by

$$C_p := \begin{cases} \min_{u \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_2^2}{\|u\|_p^2} & \text{if } p \in (2, 2^*), \\ \min_{u \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^2}{\|u\|_2^2} & \text{if } p \in (1, 2). \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

The first purpose of this paper is to establish interpolation inequalities in the presence of a magnetic field. With \mathbf{A} and $\mathbf{B} = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$ as above, such that (1.1) holds, let us consider the magnetic interpolation inequalities

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \,\|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \ge \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) \,\|\psi\|_{p}^{2} \quad \forall \,\psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$
(1.3)

for any $\alpha \in (-\Lambda[\mathbf{B}], +\infty)$ and any $p \in (2, 2^*)$,

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \beta \|\psi\|_{p}^{2} \ge \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta) \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \quad \forall \psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$
(1.4)

for any $\beta \in (0, +\infty)$ and any $p \in (1, 2)$ and, in the limit case corresponding to p = 2,

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} \ge \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\psi|^{2} \log\left(\frac{|\psi|^{2}}{\|\psi\|_{2}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d}x + \xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma) \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \quad \forall \psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \quad (1.5)$$

for any $\gamma \in (0, +\infty)$. Throughout this paper $\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$, $\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta)$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma)$ denote the *optimal constants* in, respectively, (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5), considered as functions of the parameters α , β and γ . We observe that $\mu_{\mathbf{0}}(1) = \mathsf{C}_p$ if $p \in (2, 2^*)$, $\nu_{\mathbf{0}}(1) = \mathsf{C}_p$ if $p \in (1, 2)$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{0}}(\gamma) = \gamma \log (\pi e^2/\gamma)$ if p = 2 (which is the classical constant in the Euclidean logarithmic Sobolev inequality: see (3.7)). We shall assume that the magnetic potential $\mathbf{A} \in \mathrm{L}^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies the technical assumption

$$\lim_{\sigma \to +\infty} \sigma^{d-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{A}(x)|^2 e^{-\sigma |x|} dx = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad p \in (2, 2^*),$$
$$\lim_{\sigma \to +\infty} \frac{\sigma^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{\log \sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{A}(x)|^2 e^{-\sigma |x|^2} dx = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad p = 2,$$
$$(1.6)$$
$$\lim_{\sigma \to +\infty} \sigma^{d-2} \int_{|x| < 1/\sigma} |\mathbf{A}(x)|^2 dx \quad \text{if} \quad p \in (1, 2).$$

Theorem 1.1. Assume that d = 2 or 3, $p \in (1, 2) \cup (2, 2^*)$, and $\alpha > 2$ if d = 2or $\alpha = 3$ if d = 3. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathrm{L}^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a magnetic potential satisfying (1.6) and $\mathbf{B} = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$ be a magnetic field on \mathbb{R}^d such that (1.1) holds for some $\Lambda = \Lambda[\mathbf{B}] > 0$ and equality is achieved in (1.1) for some function $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, the following properties hold:

(i) For any $p \in (2, 2^*)$, the function $\mu_{\mathbf{B}} : (-\Lambda, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ is monotone increasing, concave and such that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to (-\Lambda)_+} \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) = 0 \quad and \quad \lim_{\alpha \to +\infty} \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) \, \alpha^{\frac{d-2}{2} - \frac{d}{p}} = \mathsf{C}_p \, .$$

(ii) For any $p \in (1,2)$, the function $\nu_{\mathbf{B}} : (0,+\infty) \to (\Lambda,+\infty)$ is monotone increasing, concave and such that

$$\lim_{\beta \to 0_+} \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta) = \Lambda \quad and \quad \lim_{\beta \to +\infty} \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta) \,\beta^{-\frac{2p}{2p+d}(2-p)} = \mathsf{C}_p$$

Vol. 19 (2018)

(iii) The function $\xi_{\mathbf{B}} : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, concave, such that $\xi_{\mathbf{B}}(0) = \Lambda[\mathbf{B}]$ and

$$\xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma) = \frac{d}{2} \gamma \log\left(\frac{\pi e^2}{\gamma}\right) (1 + o(1)) \quad as \quad \gamma \to +\infty.$$

Equality is achieved in (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) for some $\psi \in H^1_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the case of constant magnetic fields. In the case of nonconstant magnetic fields, there are cases where one can prove the existence of some $\psi \in H^1_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which equality is achieved in (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5), but general sufficient conditions are difficult to obtain. Some answers to this question can be found in [12, Section 4] and in [17].

The main result of this paper is to establish lower bounds for the *optimal* constants $\mu_{\mathbf{B}}$, $\nu_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{B}}$ in the case of general magnetic fields (respectively, in Propositions 3.1, 3.4 and in Sect. 3.5) and in the case of two-dimensional constant magnetic fields (respectively, in Propositions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.5). Upper estimates, theoretical and numerical, are also given in Sect. 5.

The magnetic interpolation inequalities have interesting applications to optimal spectral estimates for the magnetic Schrödinger operators

$$-\Delta_{\mathbf{A}} + \phi$$
.

Let us denote by $\lambda_{\mathbf{A},\phi}$ its principal eigenvalue, and by $\alpha_{\mathbf{B}}: (0, +\infty) \to (-\Lambda, +\infty)$ the inverse function of $\alpha \mapsto \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$. We denote by $\phi_{-}:= (\phi - |\phi|)/2$ the negative part of ϕ . By duality as we shall see in Sect. 2, Theorem 1.1 has a counterpart, which is a result on magnetic Keller-Lieb-Thirring estimates.

Corollary 1.2. With these notations, let us assume that \mathbf{A} satisfies the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1.1. Then we have:

(i) For any $q = p/(p-2) \in (d/2, +\infty)$ and any potential V such that $V_{-} \in L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$,

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{A},V} \ge -\alpha_{\mathbf{B}}(\|V_{-}\|_{q}). \tag{1.7}$$

The function $\alpha_{\mathbf{B}}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{\mu \to 0_+} \alpha_{\mathbf{B}}(\mu) = \Lambda \quad and \quad \lim_{\mu \to +\infty} \alpha_{\mathbf{B}}(\mu) \,\mu^{\frac{2(q+1)}{d-2-2q}} = -\operatorname{C}_p^{\frac{2(q+1)}{d-2-2q}}$$

(ii) For any $q = p/(2-p) \in (1, +\infty)$ and any potential $W \ge 0$ such that $W^{-1} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{A},W} \ge \nu_{\mathbf{B}} \left(\|W^{-1}\|_q^{-1} \right) \,. \tag{1.8}$$

(iii) For any $\gamma > 0$ and any potential $W \ge 0$ such that $e^{-W/\gamma} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{A},W} \ge \xi_{\mathbf{B}}\left(\gamma\right) - \gamma \log\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-W/\gamma} \,\mathrm{d}x\right) \,. \tag{1.9}$$

Moreover equality is achieved in (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9) if and only if equality is achieved in (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5).

For general potentials changing sign, a more general estimate is proved in Proposition 2.1. A first result without magnetic field was obtained by Keller in the one-dimensional case in [16], before being rediscovered and extended to the sum of all negative eigenvalues in any dimension by Lieb and Thirring in [19]. In the meantime, an estimate similar to (1.9) was established in [13] which, by duality, provides a proof of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality given by Gross in [14]. In the Euclidean framework without magnetic fields, scalings provide a scale-invariant form of the inequality, which is stronger (see [11, 26]) but was already known as the Blachmann–Stam inequality and goes back at least to [23]: see [24,25] for an historical account. Many papers have been devoted to the issue of estimating the optimal constants for the so-called Lieb–Thirring inequalities: see for instance [9, 10, 18] for estimates on the Euclidean space, [6,7] in the case of compact manifolds, and [8] for non-compact manifolds (infinite cylinders). As far as we know, no systematic study as in Theorem 1.1 nor as in Corollary 1.2 has been done so far in the presence of a magnetic field, although many partial results have been previously obtained using, e.q., the diamagnetic inequality.

Section 2 is devoted to the duality between Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. Most of our paper is devoted to estimates of the best constants in (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5), which also provide estimates of the best constants in (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9). In Sect. 3 we prove lower estimates in the case of a general magnetic field and establish Theorem 1.1. Sharper estimates are obtained in Sect. 4 for a constant magnetic field in dimension two. Section 5 is devoted to upper bounds and the numerical computation of various upper and lower bounds (constant magnetic field, dimension two). Our theoretical estimates are remarkably accurate for the values of p and d that we have considered numerically, using radial functions. This is why we conclude this paper by a numerical investigation of the stability of a radial optimal function.

2. Magnetic Interpolation Inequalities and Keller–Lieb–Thirring Inequalities: Duality and a Generalization

Let us prove Corollary 1.2 as a consequence of Theorem 1.1. Details on *duality* will be provided in the proof and in the subsequent comments.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Consider first Case (i) with q > d/2. Using the definition of the negative part of V and Hölder's inequality with 1/q + 2/p = 1, we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} V |\psi|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} V_{-} |\psi|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \\
\ge \|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} - \|V_{-}\|_{q} \|\psi\|_{p}^{2} \ge -\alpha_{\mathbf{B}}(\|V_{-}\|_{q}) \|\psi\|_{2}^{2},$$
(2.1)

because, by Theorem 1.1, $\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) = \|V_{-}\|_{q}$ has a unique solution $\alpha = \alpha_{\mathbf{B}}(\|V_{-}\|_{q})$. This proves (1.7). The optimality in (1.7) is equivalent to the optimality in (1.3) because $V = -|\psi|^{p-2}$ realizes the equality in Hölder's inequality.

In Case (ii), by Hölder's inequality with exponents 2/(2-p) and 2/p,

$$\|\psi\|_{p}^{2} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} W^{-\frac{p}{2}} \left(W|\psi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{2/p} \le \|W^{-1}\|_{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} W|\psi|^{2} \mathrm{d}x$$

with q = p/(2-p), we know using (1.4) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W \,|\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \beta \,\|\psi\|_p^2 \ge \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta) \,\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \,.$$
with $\beta = 1/||W|^{-1}||$, which proved (1.8)

with $\beta = 1/||W^{-1}||_q$, which proves (1.8). In Case (iii), let us consider

$$\mathscr{F}[\psi, W] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W \, |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \gamma \, \log\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-W/\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}x\right) - \, \xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma)$$

for a given function $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ such that $\|\psi\|_{2} = 1$ and minimize this functional with respect to the potential W, so that

$$|\psi|^2 = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-W/\gamma}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{e}^{-W/\gamma} \,\mathrm{d}x}$$

which implies $W = W_{\psi} := -\gamma \log |\psi|^2 - \gamma \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-W/\gamma} dx \right)$. Hence

$$\mathscr{F}[\psi, W] \ge \mathscr{F}[\psi, W_{\psi}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi|^2 \, \log\left(|\psi|^2\right) \, \mathrm{d}x - \xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma) \ge 0 \,,$$

where the last inequality is given by (1.5). Minimizing $\mathscr{F}[\psi, W]$ with respect to W under the condition $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$ establishes (1.9). It is straightforward that the equality case is given by the equality case in (1.5) when there is a function ψ for which this equality holds.

In Case (iii) of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2, the *duality* relation of (1.5) and (1.9) is a straightforward consequence of the convexity inequality

$$x y + y \log y - y + e^{-x} \ge 0 \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, +\infty).$$

A similar observation can be done in Cases (i) or (ii). If $q = p/(p-2) \in (d/2, +\infty)$, *i.e.*, in Case (i), for an arbitrary negative potential V and an arbitrary function $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$, we can rewrite (2.1) as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V \,|\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \alpha_{\mathbf{B}}(\|V\|_q) \,\|\psi\|_2^2 \ge 0$$

By minimizing with respect to either V or ψ , we reduce the inequality to (1.3) or (1.7), and in both cases $V = -|\psi|^{p-2}$ is optimal. The two estimates are henceforth *dual* of each other, which is reflected by the fact that p/2 and q are Hölder conjugate exponents. Similarly in Case (ii), if $q = p/(2-p) \in (1, +\infty)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W \,|\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x - \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta) \,\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \ge 0$$

1443

for any positive potential W and any $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$. Again a minimization with respect to either W or ψ reduces the inequality to (1.4) or (1.8), which are also dual of each other. With these observations, it is clear that Theorem 1.1 can be proved as a consequence of Corollary 1.2: the two results are actually equivalent.

The restriction to a negative potential V or to its negative part (resp. to a positive potential W) is artificial in the sense that we can put the threshold at an arbitrary level λ . Let us consider a general potential ϕ on \mathbb{R}^d . We can first rewrite (2.1) in a more general setting as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi \,|\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\lambda - \phi)_+ \,|\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu = \|(\lambda - \phi)\|_{q,+}$ and q = p/(p-2). Here $\|u\|_{q,+}$ is a new notation which stands for

$$||u||_{q,+} := \left(\int_{u>0} u^q \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}$$

Using (1.7), we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi \,|\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \ge -\left(\alpha_{\mathbf{B}}(\mu) - \lambda\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \,.$$

This makes sense of course if μ is finite and well defined which, for instance, requires that

$$\lambda \leq \lim_{R \to +\infty} \inf_{|x| > R} \phi(x).$$

A similar estimate holds in the range $p \in (1, 2)$. Let $\lambda \leq \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x)$. Then we have

$$\|\psi\|_{p}^{2} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\phi - \lambda)^{-\frac{p}{2}} \left((\phi - \lambda) |\psi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{2/p} \le \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\phi - \lambda) |\psi|^{2} \mathrm{d}x,$$

with $1/\beta = \|(\phi - \lambda)^{-1}\|_q$ and q = p/(2-p). Using (1.8), we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi \, |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \beta \, \|\psi\|_p^2 + \lambda \, \|\psi\|_2^2 \ge (\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta) + \lambda) \, \|\psi\|_2^2 \, .$$

We can collect these estimates in the following result.

Proposition 2.1. Let d = 2 or 3. Let $\phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be an arbitrary potential. (i) If q > d/2, $p = \frac{2q}{q-1}$ and $\alpha_{\mathbf{B}}$ is defined as in (1.7), we have $\lambda_{\mathbf{A},\phi} \ge -(\alpha_{\mathbf{B}}(\|(\lambda - \phi)\|_{q,+}) - \lambda).$

(ii) If
$$q \in (1, +\infty)$$
, $p = \frac{2q}{q+1}$ and $\nu_{\mathbf{B}}$ defined as in (1.8), we have $\lambda_{\mathbf{A},\phi} \ge \lambda + \nu_{\mathbf{B}} \left(\| (\phi - \lambda)^{-1} \|_q^{-1} \right)$.

These estimates hold for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that all above norms are well defined, with the additional condition that $\phi \geq \lambda$ a.e. in Case (ii).

Notice that weaker conditions than $\phi \geq \lambda$ a.e. can be given, like, for instance, $\inf_{\psi \in \mathbf{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \int_{(\phi-\lambda)<0} (|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^{2} + (\phi-\lambda)|\psi|^{2}) dx \geq 0$. Details are left to the reader. In Corollary 1.2, Case (iii) does not involve a threshold at level $\lambda = 0$ and one can notice that the estimate (1.9) is invariant under the transformation $\phi \mapsto \phi - \lambda$, $\lambda_{\mathbf{A},\phi} \mapsto \lambda_{\mathbf{A},\phi-\lambda} = \lambda_{\mathbf{A},\phi} - \lambda$.

3. Lower Estimates: General Magnetic Field

In this section, we consider a general magnetic field in dimension d = 2 or 3. We establish lower estimates of the best constants in (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) before proving Theorem 1.1.

3.1. Preliminaries: Interpolation Inequalities Without Magnetic Field

Assume that p > 2 and let C_p denote the optimal constant defined in (1.2), that is, the best constant in the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality

$$\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \|u\|_{2}^{2} \ge \mathsf{C}_{p} \|u\|_{p}^{2} \quad \forall \, u \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \,.$$
(3.1)

By scaling, if we test (3.1) by $u(\cdot/\lambda)$, we find that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda^{2} \|u\|_{2}^{2} \ge \mathsf{C}_{p} \,\lambda^{2-d\,(1-\frac{2}{p})} \|u\|_{p}^{2} \quad \forall u \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \quad \forall \lambda > 0 \,.$$
(3.2)

An optimization on $\lambda > 0$ shows that the best constant in the scale-invariant inequality

$$\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{d(1-\frac{2}{p})} \|u\|_{2}^{2-d(1-\frac{2}{p})} \ge \mathsf{S}_{p} \|u\|_{p}^{2} \quad \forall u \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$
(3.3)

is given by

$$\mathsf{S}_{p} = \frac{1}{2p} \left(2p - d\left(p - 2\right) \right)^{1 - d\frac{p-2}{2p}} \left(d\left(p - 2\right) \right)^{\frac{d\left(p-2\right)}{2p}} \mathsf{C}_{p} \,. \tag{3.4}$$

Next, let us consider the case $p \in (1, 2)$ and the corresponding Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality

$$\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \|u\|_{p}^{2} \ge \mathsf{C}_{p} \|u\|_{2}^{2} \quad \forall u \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \cap \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$
(3.5)

where, compared to the case p > 2, the positions of the norms $||u||_2^2$ and $||u||_p^2$ have been exchanged. A scaling similar to the one of (3.2) shows that, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda^{2+d\frac{2-p}{p}} \|u\|_{p}^{2} \ge \mathsf{C}_{p} \lambda^{2} \|u\|_{2}^{2} \quad \forall u \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \cap \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

$$(3.6)$$

By optimizing on $\lambda > 0$, we obtain the scale-invariant inequality

$$\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{\frac{d}{d}(2-p)+2p} \|u\|_{p}^{\frac{2p}{d}(2-p)+2p} \ge \mathsf{S}_{p}^{1/2} \|u\|_{2} \quad \forall u \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \cap \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$

with

$$\mathsf{S}_{p} = \frac{1}{d(2-p)+2p} \left(2p\right)^{\frac{2p}{d(2-p)+2p}} \left(d\left(2-p\right)\right)^{\frac{d(2-p)}{d(2-p)+2p}} \mathsf{C}_{p}.$$

Optimal functions for (3.5) or (3.6) have compact support according to, *e.g.*, [1,4,5,21]. See Sect. 5.2 for more details.

The logarithmic Sobolev inequality corresponds to the limit case p = 2. Let us consider (3.2) written with $\lambda^2 = \frac{1}{p-2}$, *i.e.*,

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{p-2}\left(\|\psi\|_{p}^{2} - \|\psi\|_{2}^{2}\right) \geq \left[\mathsf{C}_{p}\left(\frac{1}{p-2}\right)^{1-d\frac{p-2}{2p}} - \frac{1}{p-2}\right] \|\psi\|_{p}^{2}$$

By passing to the limit as $p \to 2$, we recover the Euclidean logarithmic Sobolev inequality with optimal constant in case $\gamma = 1/2$. The general case corresponding to any $\gamma > 0$, that is

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_{2}^{2} \geq \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \psi^{2} \log\left(\frac{\psi^{2}}{\|\psi\|_{2}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d}x + \frac{d}{2} \gamma \log\left(\frac{\pi e^{2}}{\gamma}\right) \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \quad \forall \psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}),$$
(3.7)

follows by a simple scaling argument. It was proved in [3] that there is equality in the above inequality if and only if, up to a translation and a multiplication by a constant, $\psi(x) = e^{-\gamma |x|^2/4}$.

As a consequence, we obtain that the limit of C_p as $p \to 2_+$ is 1 and

$$\lim_{p \to 2_+} \left[\mathsf{C}_p \left(\frac{1}{p-2} \right)^{1-d \frac{p-2}{2p}} - \frac{1}{p-2} \right] = \frac{d}{4} \log \left(\pi \, e^2 \right).$$

In other words, this means that

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{C}_p &= 1 - \tfrac{d}{2\,p}\,(p-2)\,\log(p-2) + \,\tfrac{d}{4}\,\log\left(\pi\,e^2\right)(p-2) + o(p-2) \quad \text{as} \quad p \to 2_+\,. \end{split}$$
 Let $\varepsilon = p-2 \to 0_+.$ We have shown that

$$C_p = 1 - \frac{d}{4}\varepsilon\log\varepsilon + \frac{d}{4}\varepsilon\log\left(\pi e^2\right) + o(\varepsilon).$$
(3.8)

3.2. Case $p \in (2, +\infty)$

Let

$$\mu_{\text{interp}}(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \mathsf{S}_p\left(\alpha + \Lambda\right) \Lambda^{-d \frac{p-2}{2p}} & \text{if } \alpha \in \left[-\Lambda, \frac{\Lambda\left(2p - d\left(p-2\right)\right)}{d\left(p-2\right)}\right], \\ \mathsf{C}_p \alpha^{1-d \frac{p-2}{2p}} & \text{if } \alpha \ge \frac{\Lambda\left(2p - d\left(p-2\right)\right)}{d\left(p-2\right)}, \end{cases}$$

where C_p denotes the optimal constant in (3.1) and S_p is given by (3.4).

Proposition 3.1. Let d = 2 or 3. Consider a magnetic field **B** with magnetic potential **A** and assume that (1.1) holds for some $\Lambda = \Lambda[\mathbf{B}] > 0$. For any $p \in (2, +\infty)$, any $\alpha > -\Lambda$, the function $\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$ defined in (1.3) satisfies

$$\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) \ge \mu_{\mathrm{interp}}(\alpha).$$

Proof. Let $t \in [0, 1]$. From the diamagnetic inequality

$$\|\nabla|\psi|\|_2 \le \|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_2 \tag{3.9}$$

and from (1.1) and (3.2) applied with $\lambda = \frac{\alpha + \Lambda t}{1-t}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \,\|\psi\|_{2}^{2} &\geq t \,\left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} - \Lambda \,\|\psi\|_{2}^{2}\right) + (1-t) \,\left(\|\nabla|\psi|\|_{2} + \frac{\alpha + \Lambda \,t}{1-t} \,\|\psi\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &\geq \mathsf{C}_{p} \,(1-t)^{\frac{d \,(p-2)}{2 \,p}} \,(\alpha + t \,\Lambda)^{1-d \,\frac{p-2}{2 \,p}} \,\|\psi\|_{p}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\psi \in H^1_A$. Finally we can optimize the quantity

$$t \mapsto (1-t)^{\frac{d(p-2)}{2p}} (\alpha + t\Lambda)^{1-d\frac{p-2}{2p}}$$

on the interval $t \in [\max\{0, -\alpha/\Lambda\}, 1]$. The optimum value in the interval $(-\alpha/\Lambda, 1)$ is achieved for $t = 1 - d\frac{p-2}{2p} - \frac{d\alpha(p-2)}{2\Lambda p}$, which proves the first inequality. For $\alpha \geq \frac{\Lambda(2p-d(p-2))}{d(p-2)}$, the maximum is achieved at t = 0, which proves the second inequality.

By duality the estimates of Proposition 3.1 provide a lower estimate for the best constant in the Keller-Lieb-Thirring estimate (1.7).

Corollary 3.2. Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, for any $q = p/(p-2) \in (d/2, +\infty)$ and any potential V such that in $V_{-} \in L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$, we have

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{\mathbf{A},V} &\geq \Lambda - \mathsf{S}_p^{-1} \, \Lambda^{\frac{d}{2q}} \, \|V_-\|_q \quad if \quad \|V_-\|_q \in \left[0, \frac{2q}{d} \, \Lambda^{1-\frac{d}{2q}} \, \mathsf{S}_p\right] \,, \\ \lambda_{\mathbf{A},V} &\geq - \left(\mathsf{C}_p^{-1} \, \|V_-\|_q\right)^{\frac{2q}{2q-d}} \quad if \quad \|V_-\|_q \geq \frac{2q}{d} \, \Lambda^{1-\frac{d}{2q}} \, \mathsf{S}_p \,. \end{split}$$

Proof. With $p = \frac{2q}{q-1}$, the estimates of Proposition 3.1 on $\alpha \mapsto \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$ provide estimates on its inverse $\mu \mapsto \alpha_{\mathbf{B}}(\mu)$ which go as follows:

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_{\mathbf{B}}(\mu) \leq \mathsf{S}_p^{-1}\,\Lambda^{\frac{d}{2\,q}}\,\mu - \Lambda \quad \text{if} \quad \mu \in \left[0, \frac{2\,q}{d}\,\Lambda^{1-\frac{d}{2\,q}}\,\mathsf{S}_p\right] \\ &\alpha_{\mathbf{B}}(\mu) \leq \left(\mathsf{C}_p^{-1}\,\mu\right)^{\frac{2\,q}{2\,q-d}} \quad \text{if} \quad \mu \geq \frac{2\,q}{d}\,\Lambda^{1-\frac{d}{2\,q}}\,\mathsf{S}_p\,. \end{split}$$

The result is then a consequence of Corollary 1.2.

3.3. Further Interpolation Inequalities in Case $p \in (2, +\infty)$

Without magnetic field, Gagliardo–Nirenberg interpolation inequalities can be put in scale-invariant form (3.3) by optimizing (3.2) on the scale parameter $\lambda > 0$. In the presence of a magnetic field, one may wonder if an inequality similar to (3.3) exists. The following result provides a positive answer.

Corollary 3.3. Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, with $\Lambda = \Lambda[\mathbf{B}]$, for any $\theta \in [1 - 2/p, 1)$ and any $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathsf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$, we have

$$\left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \right)^{\theta/2} \|\psi\|_{2}^{1-\theta}$$

$$\geq \mu_{\text{interp}}(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{4}(p\,\theta-p+2)} \left(\min\left\{ 1, (1+\frac{\alpha}{\Lambda})^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \right\} \mathsf{S}_{p} \right)^{\frac{p}{4}(1-\theta)} \|\psi\|_{p} .$$

Proof. With $\theta_{\star} = 1 - \frac{2}{p}$, we can write

$$\begin{split} \left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \right)^{\theta/2} \|\psi\|_{2}^{1-\theta} \\ &= \left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{\theta-\theta_{\star}}{1-\theta_{\star}}} \left(\left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)} \|\psi\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-\theta_{\star}}} \\ &\geq \left(\mu_{\mathrm{interp}}(\alpha) \|\psi\|_{p}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{\theta-\theta_{\star}}{1-\theta_{\star}}} \left(\left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)} \|\psi\|_{2}^{\frac{2}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-\theta_{\star}}}. \end{split}$$

If $\alpha \in (-\Lambda, 0]$, it follows from (1.1) and (3.9) that

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \ge \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda}\right) \|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} \ge \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda}\right) \|\nabla|\psi|\|_{2}^{2},$$

while we can simply drop $\alpha \|\psi\|_2^2$ when $\alpha \ge 0$. Hence it follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \right)^{\theta/2} \|\psi\|_{2}^{1-\theta} \\ &\geq \left(\mu_{\mathrm{interp}}(\alpha) \|\psi\|_{p}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta-\theta_{\star}}{1-\theta_{\star}}} \left(\min\left\{ 1, \left(1+\frac{\alpha}{\Lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)} \right\} \mathsf{S}_{p}^{1/2} \|\psi\|_{p} \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-\theta_{\star}}} , \\ &\text{ ch concludes the proof.} \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.

3.4. Case $p \in (1, 2)$

Let ν_{interp} be given by

$$\begin{split} \nu_{\text{interp}}(\beta) \\ &:= \begin{cases} \mathsf{C}_p \; \beta^{\frac{2p}{2p+d\,(2-p)}} & \text{if} \quad \beta \geq \beta_\star := \left(\frac{2\,p+d\,(2-p)}{d\,(2-p)} \; \Lambda \, \mathsf{C}_p^{-1}\right)^{\frac{2p+d\,(2-p)}{2p}} \\ & \Lambda + \beta \; \Lambda^{\frac{d(p-2)}{2p}} \; \frac{2\,p}{d\,(2-p)} \; \left(\frac{d\,(2-p)}{2\,p+d\,(2-p)}\right)^{\frac{2p+d\,(2-p)}{2p}} \; \mathsf{C}_p^{\frac{2p+d\,(2-p)}{2p}} & \text{if} \quad \beta \in [0,\beta_\star] \,, \end{cases}$$

where C_p denotes the optimal constant in (3.5).

Proposition 3.4. Let d = 2 or 3. Consider a magnetic field **B** with magnetic potential **A** and assume that (1.1) holds for some $\Lambda = \Lambda[\mathbf{B}] > 0$. For any $p \in (1,2)$, any $\beta > 0$, the function $\nu_{\mathbf{B}}$ defined in (1.4) satisfies

$$\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta) \ge \nu_{\mathrm{interp}}(\beta).$$

Proof. For all $\psi \in H^1_A$, by (1.1) and (3.9), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \beta \, \|\psi\|_{p}^{2} &= t \, \left(\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} - \Lambda \, \|\psi\|_{2}^{2}\right) + (1-t) \, \|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \beta \, \|\psi\|_{p}^{2} + \Lambda \, t \, \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \\ &\geq (1-t) \, \|\nabla|\psi|\|_{2}^{2} + \beta \, \|\psi\|_{p}^{2} + \Lambda \, t \, \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \, . \end{split}$$

Next we apply (3.6) to $u = |\psi|$ with $\lambda^2 = \left(\frac{\beta}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{2p}{2p+d(2-p)}}$. This yields

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \beta \|\psi\|_{p}^{2} \ge \left[(1-t)^{\frac{d}{2p+d}(2-p)} \beta^{\frac{2p}{2p+d}(2-p)} \mathsf{C}_{p} + \Lambda t \right] \|\psi\|_{2}^{2}$$

If $\beta \leq \beta_{\star}$, the right-hand side is maximal for some explicit $t \in [0, 1]$, otherwise the maximum on [0, 1] is achieved by t = 0, which concludes the proof.

By duality the estimates of Proposition 3.4 provide a lower estimate for the best constant in the Keller–Lieb–Thirring estimate (1.8).

Corollary 3.5. Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.4, for any $q = p/(2-p) \in (1,+\infty)$ and any nonnegative potential W such that $W^{-1} \in \mathrm{L}^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{\mathbf{A},W} &\geq \nu_{\mathbf{B}} \left(\|W^{-1}\|_{q}^{-1} \right) \geq \Lambda + \Lambda^{\frac{d(p-2)}{2p}} \frac{2p}{d(2-p)} \left(\frac{d(2-p)}{2p+d(2-p)} \mathsf{C}_{p} \right)^{\frac{2p+d(2-p)}{2p}} \|W^{-1}\|_{q}^{-1} \\ if \quad \|W^{-1}\|_{q}^{-1} \in [0,\beta_{\star}] \,, \\ \lambda_{\mathbf{A},W} &\geq \nu_{\mathbf{B}} \left(\|W^{-1}\|_{q}^{-1} \right) \geq \mathsf{C}_{p} \, \|W^{-1}\|_{q}^{\frac{2-2p}{2p+d(2-p)}} \quad if \quad \|W^{-1}\|_{q}^{-1} \geq \beta_{\star} \,. \end{split}$$

3.5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us consider Case (i): $p \in (2, 2^*)$. The positivity of the function $\mu_{\mathbf{B}}$ is a consequence of Proposition 3.1 while the concavity follows from the definition of $\alpha \mapsto \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$ as the infimum on $\mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ of an affine function of α . The estimate as $\alpha \to (-\Lambda)_{+}$ is easily obtained by considering as test function the function $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ for which there is equality in (1.1). We know from Proposition 3.1 that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to +\infty} \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) \, \alpha^{\frac{d-2}{2} - \frac{d}{p}} \ge \mathsf{C}_p \, .$$

To prove the equality, we take as test function for $\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$ the function $v_{\alpha} := v(\sqrt{\alpha} \cdot)$, with $\alpha > 0$, where the radial function v realizes the equality in (3.1). The function v is smooth, positive everywhere and decays like $e^{-|x|}$ as $|x| \to +\infty$. Notice that v_{α} realizes the equality in (3.2) and there is a constant C > 0 such that $v_{\alpha}(x) \leq C \exp\left(-\sqrt{\alpha}|x|\right)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Since $\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}v\|_2^2 \leq \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + 2 \|\nabla v\|_2 \|\mathbf{A}v\|_2 + \|\mathbf{A}v\|_2^2$, we obtain that

$$\frac{\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} v_{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|v_{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2}}{\alpha^{\frac{2-d}{2} + \frac{d}{p}} \|v_{\alpha}\|_{p}^{2}} \le \mathsf{C}_{p} + 2\sqrt{\mathsf{C}_{p}} \varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2} \quad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon^{2} = C^{2} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\mathbf{A}(x)|^{2} e^{-2\sqrt{\alpha}|x|} \, \mathrm{d}x}{\alpha^{\frac{2-d}{2} + \frac{d}{p}} \|v_{\alpha}\|_{p}^{2}}$$

The result follows from $\alpha^{\frac{2-d}{2}+\frac{d}{p}} \|v_{\alpha}\|_{p}^{2} = \alpha^{\frac{2-d}{2}} \|v\|_{p}^{2}$ and (1.6) with $\sigma = 2\sqrt{\alpha}$.

The proof of (ii) is very similar to that of (i). The positivity of the function $\nu_{\mathbf{B}}$ is a consequence of Proposition 3.4 while the concavity follows from the definition of $\beta \mapsto \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta)$. From Proposition 3.4, we know that

$$\lim_{\beta \to +\infty} \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta) \, \beta^{-\frac{2p}{2p+d(2-p)}} \ge \mathsf{C}_p \, .$$

To prove the equality, for any $\beta > 0$, we take as test function for $\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta)$ the function

$$w_{\beta}(x) := w\left(\beta^{\frac{p}{2p+d(2-p)}}x\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where the radial function w realizes the equality in (3.5), so that w_{β} realizes the equality in (3.6). The function w has compact support and can be estimated from above and from below, up to a multiplicative constant, by the characteristic function of centered balls. The same computation as above shows that

$$\frac{\left\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}w_{\beta}\right\|_{2}^{2} + \beta \left\|w_{\beta}\right\|_{p}^{2}}{\beta^{\frac{2p}{p+d(2-p)}} \left\|w_{\beta}\right\|_{2}^{2}} \leq \mathsf{C}_{p} + 2\sqrt{\mathsf{C}_{p}}\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2}$$

with $\varepsilon^{2} = C^{2} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\mathbf{A}(x)|^{2} \left|w\left(\beta^{\frac{2p}{2p+d(2-p)}}x\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{d}x}{\beta^{\frac{2p}{2p+d(2-p)}} \left\|w_{\beta}\right\|_{2}^{2}}$. The result follows from
 $\beta^{\frac{2p}{2p+d(2-p)}} \left\|w_{\beta}\right\|_{2}^{2} = \beta^{\frac{(2-d)p}{2p+d(2-p)}} \left\|w\right\|_{2}^{2}$

and (1.6) with $\sigma = \beta^{\frac{p}{2p+d(2-p)}}$.

The case p = 2 is much simpler. As a straightforward consequence of the Euclidean logarithmic Sobolev inequality (3.7) and of the diamagnetic inequality (3.9), we know that

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} \geq \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\psi|^{2} \log\left(\frac{|\psi|^{2}}{\|\psi\|_{2}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d}x + \frac{d}{2}\gamma \log\left(\frac{\pi e^{2}}{\gamma}\right) \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \quad \forall \psi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \,.$$

As a consequence, we deduce the existence of a concave function $\xi_{\mathbf{B}}$ in Inequality (1.9), such that

$$\xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma) \ge \frac{d}{2} \gamma \log\left(\frac{\pi e^2}{\gamma}\right) \quad \forall \gamma > 0.$$

Note that the r.h.s. is negative for γ large. The function $w_{\gamma}(x) = (\gamma/\pi)^{d/4} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}|x|^2}$ is optimal in (3.7) and can be used as a test function in (1.5) in the regime as $\gamma \to +\infty$. Using the fact that $||w_{\gamma}||_2 = 1$, $||\nabla w_{\gamma}||_2 = \sqrt{d\gamma}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}w_{\gamma}\|_{2}^{2} &\leq \|\nabla w_{\gamma}\|_{2}^{2} + 2 \,\|\nabla w_{\gamma}\|_{2} \,\|A \,w_{\gamma}\|_{2} + \|A \,w_{\gamma}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \gamma \,\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |w_{\gamma}|^{2} \,\log|w_{\gamma}|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{d}{2} \,\gamma \,\log\left(\frac{\pi \,e^{2}}{\gamma}\right) + 2 \,\|\nabla w_{\gamma}\|_{2} \,\|A \,w_{\gamma}\|_{2} + \|A \,w_{\gamma}\|_{2}^{2} \,, \end{aligned}$$

we get that, for some positive constant c,

$$0 \leq \|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} w_{\gamma}\|_{2}^{2} - \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |w_{\gamma}|^{2} \log |w_{\gamma}|^{2} dx - \xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma)$$

$$\leq \frac{d}{2} \gamma \log \left(\frac{\pi e^{2}}{\gamma}\right) - \xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma) + 2\sqrt{d\gamma} \|A w_{\gamma}\|_{2} + \|A w_{\gamma}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{d}{2} \gamma \log \left(\frac{\pi e^{2}}{\gamma}\right) \left[1 - \frac{\xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma)}{\frac{d}{2} \gamma \log \left(\frac{\pi e^{2}}{\gamma}\right)} - \frac{c \varepsilon}{\sqrt{\log \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi e^{2}}\right)}} - \varepsilon^{2}\right]$$

where $\varepsilon^2 = \frac{\gamma^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{A}(x)|^2 e^{-\gamma |x|^2} dx}{\frac{d}{2} \log \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi e^2}\right) \pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} \to 0$ as $\gamma \to +\infty$ according to (1.6). This establishes that $\xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma)$ is equal to $\frac{d}{2} \gamma \log \left(\pi e^2/\gamma\right)$ at leading order as $\gamma \to +\infty$.

4. Lower Estimates: Constant Magnetic Field in Dimension Two

In the particular case when the magnetic field is constant, of strength B > 0, and d = 2, we can improve the lower estimates of the last section. In this section we assume that $\mathbf{B} = (0, B)$ and choose the gauge so that

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = \frac{B}{2}x_2, \quad \mathbf{A}_2 = -\frac{B}{2}x_1 \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

$$(4.1)$$

4.1. A Preliminary Result

The next result follows from [20, proof of Theorem 3.1] by Loss and Thaller.

Proposition 4.1. Consider a constant magnetic field with field strength B in two dimensions. For every $c \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \ge \left(1 - c^2\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + c B \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \,,$$

and equality holds with $\psi = u e^{iS}$ and u > 0 if and only if

$$\left(-\partial_2 u^2, \, \partial_1 u^2\right) = \frac{2\,u^2}{c} \left(\mathbf{A} + \nabla S\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. For every $c \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{A} + \nabla S|^2 \, u^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \left(1 - c^2\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(c^2 \, |\nabla u|^2 + |\mathbf{A} + \nabla S|^2 \, u^2\right) \mathrm{d}x \,. \end{split}$$

An expansion of the square shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(c^2 |\nabla u|^2 + |\mathbf{A} + \nabla S|^2 u^2 \right) \mathrm{d}x \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2 c |\nabla u| |\mathbf{A} + \nabla S| u \,\mathrm{d}x \,,$$

with equality only if $c |\nabla u| = |\mathbf{A} + \nabla S| u$. Next we obtain that

$$2 |\nabla u| |\mathbf{A} + \nabla S| u = |\nabla u^2| |\mathbf{A} + \nabla S| \ge (\nabla u^2)^{\perp} \cdot (\mathbf{A} + \nabla S),$$

where $(\nabla u^2)^{\perp} := (-\partial_2 u^2, \partial_1 u^2)$, and there is equality if and only if

$$(-\partial_2 u^2, \, \partial_1 u^2) = \gamma \left(\mathbf{A} + \nabla S\right)$$

for some γ . Since $c |\nabla u| = |\mathbf{A} + \nabla S| u$, we have $\gamma = 2 u^2/c$. Integration by parts yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(c^2 |\nabla u|^2 + |\mathbf{A} + \nabla S|^2 u^2 \right) \mathrm{d}x \ge B c \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \,.$$

4.2. Case $p \in (2, +\infty)$

Proposition 4.2. Consider a constant magnetic field with field strength B in two dimensions. Given any $p \in (2, +\infty)$, and any $\alpha > -B$, we have

$$\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) \ge \mathsf{C}_{p} \left(1 - c^{2}\right)^{1 - \frac{2}{p}} \left(\alpha + c B\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} =: \mu_{\mathrm{LT}}(\alpha), \qquad (4.3)$$

with

$$c = c(p,\eta) = \frac{\sqrt{\eta^2 + p - 1} - \eta}{p - 1} = \frac{1}{\eta + \sqrt{\eta^2 + p - 1}} \in (0,1)$$
(4.4)

and $\eta = \alpha \, (p-2)/(2 \, B)$.

Proof. For any $\alpha > -B$, $\psi \in H^1_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $c \in [0, 1]$ such that $\alpha + cB \ge 0$, we use Proposition 4.1 to write

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \ge (1-c^{2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\nabla u|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x + (\alpha+cB) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x$$

with $u = |\psi|$. By applying (3.2) with $\lambda^2 = (\alpha + c B)/(1 - c^2)$, we get

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\psi\|_{2}^{2} \ge \mathsf{C}_{p}\left(1-c^{2}\right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \left(\alpha+cB\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \|\psi\|_{p}^{2}.$$

Next we optimize the function $c \mapsto (1-c^2)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} (\alpha + cB)^{\frac{2}{p}}$ in the interval [0, 1]. This function reaches its maximum at c such that

$$(p-2) c (\alpha + c B) = B (1 - c^2).$$

Notice that $\alpha + cB$ is nonnegative. With

$$\eta = \frac{\alpha \left(p - 2 \right)}{2 B} \,,$$

the equation for c becomes

$$(p-1)c^2 + 2\eta c - 1 = 0.$$

which is solved by (4.4).

4.3. Case $p \in (1, 2)$

Now let us turn our attention to the case $p \in (1, 2)$. The strategy of the proof of Proposition 4.2 applies: for any $c \in (0, 1)$, for any $\beta > 0$, by applying (3.6) with $\lambda^{4/p} = \beta/(1-c^2)$, we obtain

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \beta \|\psi\|_{p}^{2} \ge \left(c B + \mathsf{C}_{p} \beta^{\frac{p}{2}} (1-c^{2})^{1-\frac{p}{2}}\right) \|\psi\|_{2}^{2}.$$

The function $c \mapsto c B + \mathsf{C}_p \beta^{p/2} (1-c^2)^{1-p/2}$ is positive in [0, 1] and its derivative is positive at 0_+ , and negative in a neighborhood of 1_- . The maximum is achieved at the unique point $c_* \in (0, 1)$ given by

$$\frac{c_*}{(1-c_*^2)^{p/2}} = \frac{B}{(2-p)\,\mathsf{C}_p\,\beta^{p/2}}\,.\tag{4.5}$$

This establishes the following result.

Proposition 4.3. Consider a constant magnetic field with field strength B in two dimensions. Given any $p \in (1, 2)$, and any $\beta > 0$, we have

$$\nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta) \ge c_* B + \mathsf{C}_p \beta^{\frac{p}{2}} (1 - c_*^2)^{1 - \frac{p}{2}} =: \nu_{\mathrm{LT}}(\beta)$$

with c_* given by (4.5).

4.4. Logarithmic Sobolev Inequality

By passing to the limit as $p \to 2_+$ in (4.3), we obtain a two-dimensional magnetic logarithmic Sobolev inequality.

Lemma 4.4. Consider a constant magnetic field with field strength B > 0 in two dimensions. Then for any $\gamma > 0$, the best constant in (1.5) satisfies

$$\xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma) \ge B c \left(2, \frac{\gamma}{B}\right) + \gamma \log\left(\frac{\pi e^2 c(2, \gamma/B)}{B}\right), \qquad (4.6)$$

where $c(2, \eta) := \sqrt{\eta^2 + 1} - \eta$.

Proof. By using (3.8) with d = 2 and (4.4), we see that for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{C}_{p} \, (1-c^{2})^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \left(\frac{2\eta B}{p-2}+c \, B\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} &-\frac{2\eta B}{p-2} \\ &= \frac{2\eta B}{\varepsilon} \left[\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \log \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \log \left(\pi \, e^{2}\right)\right) \left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \log \left(1-c^{2}\right)\right) \\ &\cdot \left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{c}{\eta}\right) \left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \log \left(\frac{2\eta B}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) - 1 \right] + o(\varepsilon) \\ &\to B \left[c(2,\eta)+\eta \log \left(\frac{\pi e^{2} c(2,\eta)}{B}\right)\right] \end{split}$$

as $\varepsilon = p - 2 \to 0_+$, because $1 - c(2, \eta)^2 = 2 \eta c(2, \eta)$. By rewriting (4.3) with $\alpha = \frac{2 \eta B}{p-2}$ as

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} \geq \frac{2\eta B}{p-2} \left(\|\psi\|_{p}^{2} - \|\psi\|_{2}^{2}\right) + \left[\mathsf{C}_{p}\left(1-c^{2}\right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\left(\frac{2\eta B}{p-2}+c B\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \frac{2\eta B}{p-2}\right] \|\psi\|_{p}^{2}$$

we can pass to the limit as $p \to 2_+$ and establish (4.6) by setting $\gamma = \eta B$. \Box

It turns out that the above magnetic logarithmic Sobolev inequality is optimal. To identify the minimizers, we observe that the magnetic Schrödinger operator is not invariant under the standard translations. For any $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi = (\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\phi)(x-\mathbf{b})$$
 if $\phi(x-\mathbf{b}) = e^{-iB(b_1x_2-b_2x_1)/2}\psi(x)$ $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2$

and $-\Delta_{\mathbf{A}}$ commutes with the magnetic translations $\psi \mapsto e^{i B (b_1 x_2 - b_2 x_1)/2} \psi(x - \mathbf{b})$ if **A** is given by (4.1).

Proposition 4.5. Consider a constant magnetic field with field strength B > 0 in two dimensions. Then the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (1.5) holds with

$$\xi_{\mathbf{B}}(\gamma) = B c \left(2, \frac{\gamma}{B}\right) + \gamma \log\left(\frac{\pi e^2 c(2, \gamma/B)}{B}\right)$$

where $c(2,\eta) := \sqrt{\eta^2 + 1} - \eta$, and the optimizer is given, up to a multiplication by a complex constant and a magnetic translation, by $\psi(x) = e^{-\gamma |x|^2/4}$.

In other words, optimizers in inequality (1.5) are of the form

$$\psi(x) = C e^{-\frac{\gamma}{4} \frac{|x-\mathbf{b}|^2}{4} + i \frac{B}{2} (b_1 x_2 - b_2 x_1)} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad C \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Notice that in the semi-classical regime corresponding to a limit of the magnetic field **B** such that $1/(2\eta) = \Lambda = \Lambda[\mathbf{B}] \to 0$, we recover the classical logarithmic Sobolev inequality (3.7) without magnetic field.

Proof. Using Proposition 4.1 and Inequality (3.7), for all $c \in [0, 1]$ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \sigma \left(1 - c^2\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi|^2 \, \log\left(\frac{|\psi|^2}{\|\psi\|_2^2}\right) \, \mathrm{d}x + \left(B \, c + \sigma \left(1 - c^2\right) \, \log\left(\frac{\pi \, e^2}{\sigma}\right)\right) \|\psi\|_2^2 \, .$$

We recover (4.6) with $\sigma(1-c^2) = \gamma$ and $c = c(2, \frac{\gamma}{B})$.

According to Proposition 4.1, equality holds if $\psi = u e^{iS}$ satisfies (4.2) and, simultaneously, ψ realizes the equality case in (3.7), *i.e.*,

$$\psi(x) = C e^{-\frac{\gamma}{4} |x - \mathbf{b}|^2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

with $C \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. By (4.2), this means that S has to satisfy

$$\partial_1 S = -\frac{B}{2} \, b_2 \,, \quad \partial_2 S = \frac{B}{2} \, b_1 \,,$$

which implies $S = \frac{B}{2} (b_1 x_2 - b_2 x_1) + D$, for some constant D.

5. An Upper Estimate and Some Numerical Results

In this section, we assume that d = 2, consider a constant magnetic field, establish a theoretical upper bound, and numerically compute the difference with the lower bounds of Sects. 3 and 4.

5.1. An Upper Estimate: Constant Magnetic Field in Dimension Two

Let $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} = |x|$ be the radial coordinate associated to any $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and assume that the magnetic potential is given by (4.1). For every integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we introduce the special symmetry class

$$\psi(x) = \left(\frac{x_2 + ix_1}{|x|}\right)^k v(|x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$
(\mathcal{C}_k)

With this notation, if $\psi \in \mathscr{C}_k$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_0^{+\infty} |v'|^2 \,r \,\mathrm{d}r + \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{k}{r} - \frac{B \,r}{2}\right)^2 \,|v|^2 \,r \,\mathrm{d}r.$$

Let us define

$$\mathscr{Q}_{\alpha}^{(p)}[\psi] := \frac{\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\psi\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\psi\|_{p}^{2}} \quad \text{if} \quad p > 2 \,, \quad \mathscr{Q}_{\beta}^{(p)}[\psi] := \frac{\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \beta \|\psi\|_{p}^{2}}{\|\psi\|_{2}^{2}} \quad \text{if} \quad p \in (1, 2).$$

The existence of minimizers of $\mathscr{Q}_{\alpha}^{(p)}$ in \mathscr{C}_k was proved in [12, Theorem 3.5] for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In the class \mathscr{C}_0 , with a slight abuse of notations, we have $\psi = v$ and simple upper estimates can be obtained using $v_{\sigma}(r) = e^{-r^2/(2\sigma)}$ as test function:

$$\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} v_{\sigma}\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{\pi}{4} \left(4 + \sigma^{2}\right), \quad \|v_{\sigma}\|_{2}^{2} = \pi \sigma \text{ and } \|v_{\sigma}\|_{p}^{2} = \left(\frac{2}{p} \pi \sigma\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Case (i). Assume first that $p \in (2, +\infty)$ and let $\theta := 2/p$. We observe that $\mathscr{Q}_{\alpha}^{(p)}[v_{\sigma}] = \frac{1}{8} (2\pi)^{1-\theta} p^{\theta} f_{\alpha,\theta}(\sigma)$ where $f_{\alpha,\theta}(\sigma) := \sigma^{2-\theta} + 4\alpha \sigma^{1-\theta} + 4\sigma^{-\theta}$. The function $f_{\alpha,\theta}$ has a unique minimum on $(0, +\infty)$, which is determined by the second order equation

$$(2-\theta)\sigma^2 + 4\alpha(1-\theta)\sigma - 4\theta = 0,$$

namely $\sigma = \sigma_+(\alpha, \theta)$ with

$$\sigma_+(\alpha,\theta) := 2 \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha^2 (1-\theta)^2 + \theta (2-\theta)} - \alpha (1-\theta)}{2-\theta}$$

With $\theta = 2/p$, this gives the estimate

$$\mathscr{Q}^{(p)}_{\alpha}[v_{\sigma_{+}(\alpha,\theta)}] = \frac{1}{8} (2\pi)^{1-\theta} p^{\theta} f_{\alpha,\theta}(\sigma_{+}(\alpha,\theta)) =: \mu_{\text{Gauss}}(\alpha).$$

Vol. 19 (2018)

Case (ii). When $p \in (1,2)$, with $\theta := \frac{2}{p} \in (1,2]$ and $\kappa(\beta,\theta) := 8 \,\theta^{\theta} \, \pi^{1-\theta} \, \beta$, we get that

$$\mathscr{Q}^{(p)}_{\beta}[v_{\sigma}] = \frac{1}{8} g_{\beta,\theta}(\sigma) \quad \text{where} \quad g_{\beta,\theta}(\sigma) := \sigma + \frac{2}{\sigma} + \kappa(\beta,\theta) \, \sigma^{\theta-1} \, .$$

Elementary considerations show that $g_{\beta,\theta}(\sigma)$ has a unique minimum $\sigma = \sigma_{-}(\beta, \theta)$ determined by the equation

$$1 - \frac{2}{\sigma^2} + \kappa(\beta, \theta) (\theta - 1) \sigma^{\theta - 2} = 0,$$

which is in general not explicit. However, a simple elimination shows that

$$\mathscr{Q}_{\beta}^{(p)}[v_{\sigma_{-}(\beta,\theta)}] = \frac{1}{8} g_{\beta,\theta} \left(\sigma_{-}(\beta,\theta) \right) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{2\theta}{\theta-1} \frac{1}{\sigma_{-}(\beta,\theta)} + \frac{\theta-2}{\theta-1} \sigma_{-}(\beta,\theta) \right) =: \nu_{\text{Gauss}}(\beta) \,.$$

Proposition 5.1. With the above notations, we have

$$\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) \le \mu_{\mathrm{Gauss}}(\alpha) \quad if \quad p > 2 \quad and \quad \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta) \le \nu_{\mathrm{Gauss}}(\beta) \quad if \quad p \in (1,2)$$

5.2. Numerical Estimates Based on Euler–Lagrange Equations

Instead of a Gaussian test function, one can numerically compute the minimum of $\mathscr{Q}_{\alpha}^{(p)}$ in the class \mathscr{C}_0 by solving the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation.

Case (i). Assume that $p \in (2, +\infty)$. The equation is

$$-v'' - \frac{v'}{r} + \left(\frac{B^2}{4}r^2 + \alpha\right)v = \mu_{\rm EL}(\alpha)\left(\int_0^{+\infty} |v|^p \, r \, \mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{2}{p}-1} |v|^{p-2} \, v \,.$$
(5.1)

Without loss of generality we can restrict the problem to positive solutions such that

$$\mu_{\mathrm{EL}}(\alpha) = \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |v|^p \, r \, \mathrm{d}r\right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}$$

and then we have to solve the reduced problem

$$-v'' - \frac{v'}{r} + \left(\frac{B^2}{4}r^2 + \alpha\right)v = |v|^{p-2}v$$

among positive functions in H¹((0, +∞), r dr) such that $\int_{0}^{+\infty} |v|^2 r dr < +\infty$. From the existence result [12, Theorem 3.5], we know that $\mu_{\text{EL}}(\alpha)$ is given by the infimum of $\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} |v|^p r dr\right)^{1-2/p}$ on the set of solutions. Uniqueness and nondegeneracy of positive solutions to the above equation has been proved in [15] and [22]. Numerically, we solve the ODE on a finite interval, which induces a numerical error: the interval has to be chosen large enough, so that the computed value is a good upper approximation of $\mu_{\text{EL}}(\alpha)$. *Case* (ii). Assume that $p \in (1, 2)$. A radial minimizer of $\mathscr{Q}_{\alpha}^{(p)}$ solves

$$-v'' - \frac{v'}{r} + \frac{B^2}{4} r^2 v = \nu_{\rm EL}(\beta) v - \beta \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |v|^p r \, \mathrm{d}r \right)^{\frac{2}{p}-1} |v|^{p-2} v.$$

Without loss of generality we can restrict the problem to positive solutions such that

$$\beta = \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |v|^p \, r \, \mathrm{d}r\right)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}$$

and have therefore to solve the reduced problem

$$-v'' - \frac{v'}{r} + \frac{B^2}{4} r^2 v = \nu v - |v|^{p-2} v$$
(5.2)

 $H^1((0, +\infty), r dr)$ functions nonnegative insuch among that $\int_0^{+\infty} |v|^p r \, dr < +\infty$. Notice that the compact support principle applies according to, e.g., [1,4,5,21], since p-1 < 1 so that the nonlinearity in the right-hand side of (5.2) is non-Lipschitz. Numerically, we can therefore solve (5.2) using a shooting method, with a shooting parameter a = v(0) > 0 that has to be adjusted to provide a nonnegative solution with compact support, which minimizes $\int_{0}^{+\infty} |v|^{p} r \, dr$. The set of solutions is then parametrized by the parameter $\nu > 0$, while β is recovered by the above integral condition. In other words, we approximate $\nu \mapsto \beta_{\mathbf{B}}(\nu)$ and recover $\beta \mapsto \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta)$ as the inverse of $\beta_{\mathbf{B}}$. Since we compute the size of the support of the approximated solution, there is no numerical error due to finite size truncation.

5.3. Numerical Results

We illustrate the *Case* (i), $p \in (2, +\infty)$, by computing for p = 3 and B = 1, in dimension d = 2, an approximation of $\alpha \mapsto \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$. Upper estimates $\mu_{\text{Gauss}}(\alpha) \geq \mu_{\text{EL}}(\alpha) \geq \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$ and lower estimates $\mu_{\text{interp}}(\alpha) \leq \mu_{\text{LT}}(\alpha) \leq \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$ are surprisingly close: see Figs. 1 and 2.

In *Case* (ii), $p \in (1, 2)$, the range of the curve $\beta \mapsto \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta)$ differs from the case p > 2 but again upper estimates $\nu_{\text{Gauss}}(\beta) \ge \nu_{\text{EL}}(\beta) \ge \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta)$ and



FIGURE 1. Case d = 2, p = 3, B = 1: plot of $\alpha \mapsto (2\pi)^{2/p-1} \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$



FIGURE 2. Case d = 2, p = 3, B = 1: comparison of the upper estimates $\alpha \mapsto \mu_{\text{Gauss}}(\alpha)$ and $\alpha \mapsto \mu_{\text{EL}}(\alpha)$ of Sects. 5.1 and 5.2, with the lower estimates $\alpha \mapsto \mu_{\text{interp}}(\alpha)$ and $\alpha \mapsto \mu_{\text{LT}}(\alpha)$ of Propositions 3.1 and 4.2. Plots represent the curves $\log_{10}(\mu_{\text{Gauss}}/\mu_{\text{EL}})$, $\log_{10}(\mu_{\text{LT}}/\mu_{\text{EL}})$ and $\log_{10}(\mu_{\text{interp}}/\mu_{\text{EL}})$ so that $\alpha \mapsto \mu_{\text{EL}}(\alpha)$ corresponds to a straight line at level 0. The exact value associated with μ_{B} lies in the gray area



FIGURE 3. Case d = 2, p = 1.4, B = 1: plot of $\beta \mapsto \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta)$. The horizontal axis is measured in units of $(2\pi)^{1-2/p}\beta$

lower estimates $\nu_{\text{interp}}(\beta) \leq \nu_{\text{LT}}(\beta) \leq \nu_{\mathbf{B}}(\beta)$ are surprisingly close: see Figs. 3 and 4.

5.4. Asymptotic Regimes

We investigate some asymptotic regimes in the case of a constant magnetic field of intensity B.



FIGURE 4. Case d = 2, p = 1.4, B = 1, with same horizontal scale as in Fig. 3: comparison of the upper estimates $\beta \mapsto \nu_{\text{Gauss}}(\beta)$ and $\beta \mapsto \nu_{\text{EL}}(\beta)$ of Sects. 5.1 and 5.2, with the lower estimates $\nu_{\text{interp}}(\beta)$ and $\beta \mapsto \nu_{\text{LT}}(\beta)$ of Propositions 3.4 and 4.3. Plots represent the curves $\log_{10}(\nu_{\text{Gauss}}/\nu_{\text{EL}})$, $\log_{10}(\nu_{\text{LT}}/\nu_{\text{EL}})$ and $\log_{10}(\nu_{\text{interp}}/\nu_{\text{EL}})$ so that $\alpha \mapsto \nu_{\text{EL}}(\beta)$ corresponds to a straight line at level 0. The exact value associated with ν_{B} lies in the gray area

Convergence Toward the Lowest Landau Level. Assume that d = 2, p > 2 and let us consider the regime as $\alpha \to (-B)_+$. We denote by LLL the eigenspace corresponding to the *Lowest Landau Level*.

Proposition 5.2. Let d = 2 and consider a constant magnetic field with field strength B. If ψ_{α} is a minimizer for $\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$ such that $\|\psi_{\alpha}\|_{p} = 1$, then there exists a non trivial $\varphi_{\alpha} \in \text{LLL}$ such that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to (-B)_+} \|\psi_{\alpha} - \varphi_{\alpha}\|_{\mathrm{H}^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\psi_{\alpha} \in H^{1}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$ be an optimal function for (1.3) such that $\|\psi_{\alpha}\|_{p} = 1$ and let us decompose it as $\psi_{\alpha} = \varphi_{\alpha} + \chi_{\alpha}$, where $\varphi_{\alpha} \in LLL$ and χ_{α} is in the orthogonal of LLL. Then, by the orthogonality of φ_{α} and χ_{α} , we get

$$\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) \ge (\alpha + B) \|\varphi_{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} + (\alpha + 3B) \|\chi_{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} \ge (\alpha + 3B) \|\chi_{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} \sim 2B \|\chi_{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2}$$

as $\alpha \to (-B)_+$ because $\|\nabla \chi_{\alpha}\|_2^2 \ge 3B \|\chi_{\alpha}\|_2^2$. Since $\lim_{\alpha \to (-B)_+} \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) = 0$ by Theorem 1.1, this implies that $\lim_{\alpha \to (-B)_+} \|\chi_{\alpha}\|_2 = 0$. On the other hand, we know that

$$\mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) = (\alpha + B) \|\varphi_{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\chi_{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\chi_{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2} \ge \frac{2}{3} \|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\chi_{\alpha}\|_{2}^{2}$$

which concludes the proof.

Semi-classical Regime. Let us consider the small magnetic field regime. We assume that the magnetic potential is given by (4.1) if d = 2. In dimension

d = 3, we choose $\mathbf{A} = \frac{B}{2}(-x_2, x_1, 0)$ and observe that the constant magnetic field is $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B)$, while the spectral gap in (1.1) is $\Lambda[\mathbf{B}] = B$.

Proposition 5.3. Let d = 2 or 3 and consider a constant magnetic field **B** of intensity *B* with magnetic potential **A** and assume that (1.1) holds for some $\Lambda = \Lambda[\mathbf{B}] > 0$.

(i) For
$$p \in (2, 2^*)$$
 and for any fixed α and $\mu > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \mu_{\varepsilon \mathbf{B}}(\alpha) = C_p \, \alpha^{\frac{d}{p} - \frac{d-2}{2}} \quad and \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \alpha_{\varepsilon \mathbf{B}}(\mu) = \left(\mathsf{C}_p^{-1} \, \mu\right)^{\frac{2p}{2p - d(p-2)}}$$

(ii) For $p \in (1,2)$ and any fixed $\beta > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \nu_{\varepsilon \mathbf{B}}(\beta) = C_p \,\beta^{\frac{2p}{2p+d(2-p)}}$$

Proof. Consider any function $\psi \in H^1_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ define $\psi(x) = \chi(\sqrt{\varepsilon}x)$. With our standard choice for \mathbf{A} , we have that $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \mathbf{A}(x/\sqrt{\varepsilon}) = \mathbf{A}(x)$. From

$$\frac{\|\nabla_{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{A}}}\psi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \|\psi\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\psi\|_{p}^{2}} = \varepsilon^{\frac{d}{p} - \frac{d-2}{2}} \frac{\|\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\chi\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \varepsilon^{-1} \|\chi\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\chi\|_{p}^{2}}$$

we deduce that

$$\mu_{\varepsilon \mathbf{B}}(\alpha) = \varepsilon^{\frac{d}{p} - \frac{d-2}{2}} \,\mu_{\mathbf{B}}\left(\alpha \,\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \,.$$

By a similar argument we can easily see that

$$\alpha_{\varepsilon \mathbf{B}}(\mu) = \varepsilon \, \alpha_{\mathbf{B}}\left(\mu \, \varepsilon^{-\frac{2 \, q - d}{2 \, q}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{\varepsilon \mathbf{B}}(\beta) = \varepsilon \, \nu_{\mathbf{B}}\left(\beta \, \varepsilon^{\frac{d - 2}{2} - \frac{d}{p}}\right) \,.$$

The conclusion follows by considering the asymptotic regime as $\varepsilon \to 0_+$ in Theorem 1.1 and in Corollary 1.2.

5.5. A Numerical Result on the Linear Stability of Radial Optimal Functions Bonheure et al. show in [2] that for a fixed $\alpha > 0$ and for **B** small enough, the optimal functions for (1.3) are radially symmetric functions, *i.e.*, belong to \mathscr{C}_0 . As shown in Proposition 5.3, this regime is equivalent to the regime as $\alpha \to +\infty$ for a given **B**, at least if the magnetic field is constant. On the other hand, the numerical results of Sect. 5 show that $\alpha \mapsto \mu_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha)$ is remarkably well approximated from above by functions in \mathscr{C}_0 . The approximation from below of Proposition 4.3, although not exact, is found to be numerically very close.

This raises the open question of whether, in the case of constant magnetic fields, equality in (1.3) is realized by radial functions for a given constant magnetic field **B** and an arbitrary α . As mentioned in Sect. 5.2, from [15,22], we know that the branch of solutions in \mathscr{C}_0 is isolated in the class of radial functions. Perturbing these radial solutions in a larger class of functions is natural. Let us analyze the stability of the solutions to (5.1) under perturbations by functions in \mathscr{C}_1 . Assume that d = 2 and p > 2. Let us denote by ψ_0 a minimizer of $\mathscr{Q}^{(p)}_{\alpha}$ on the class (\mathscr{C}_0) of radial functions, normalized so that, with a standard abuse of notation, $\psi_0(x) = \psi_0(|x|)$ solves

$$-\psi_0'' - \frac{\psi_0'}{r} + \left(\frac{B^2}{4}r^2 + \alpha\right)\psi_0 = |\psi_0|^{p-2}\psi_0,$$

and consider the test function

$$\psi_{\varepsilon} = \psi_0 + \varepsilon \, e^{i\,\theta} \, v$$

where v is a radial function, depending only on r = |x|, and $e^{i\theta} = (x_1 + i x_2)/r$. In the asymptotic regime as $\varepsilon \to 0_+$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi_{\varepsilon}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \alpha \,\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_{\varepsilon}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\psi_0|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \alpha \,\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_0|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\right) \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}v|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \alpha \,\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\right) \varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= 2 \,\pi \int_0^{+\infty} \left[|v'|^2 + \left(\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{B \,r}{2}\right)^2 + \alpha\right) |v|^2 \right] r \,\mathrm{d}r \,\varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2) \end{split}$$

and

$$\|\psi_{\varepsilon}\|_{p}^{2} - \|\psi_{0}\|_{p}^{2} = 2\pi \frac{p}{2} \|\psi_{0}\|_{p}^{2-p} \left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} |\psi_{0}|^{p-2} v^{2} r \,\mathrm{d}r\right) \varepsilon^{2} + o(\varepsilon^{2}) \,.$$

Altogether, we obtain



FIGURE 5. Case p = 3 and B = 1: plot of μ solving (5.3) as a function of α . A careful investigation shows that μ is always positive, including in the limiting case as $\alpha \to (-B)_+$, thus proving the numerical stability of the optimal function in \mathscr{C}_0 with respect to perturbations in \mathscr{C}_1

where $\mu_0(\alpha) = \|\psi_0\|_p^{p-2} = \mathscr{Q}_{\alpha}^{(p)}[\psi_0]$. The linear stability of ψ_0 with respect to perturbations in (\mathscr{C}_1) can be recast as the eigenvalue problem

$$-v'' - \frac{v'}{r} + \left(\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{Br}{2}\right)^2 + \alpha\right)v - \frac{p}{2}|\psi_0|^{p-2}v = \mu v.$$
 (5.3)

The numerical results for d = 2, B = 1 and p = 3 of Fig. 5 suggest that $\mathscr{Q}_{\alpha}^{(p)}$ is linearly stable for $\alpha > -B$, not too large. This indicates that $\mu_{\rm EL}$ is a good candidate for computing the exact value of $\mu_{\bf B}$ for arbitrary values of B's.

Acknowledgements

This work has been done at the Mittag-Leffler Institute during the fall program Interactions between Partial Differential Equations & Functional Inequalities. J.D. has been supported by the Projects STAB, Kibord and EFI of the French National Research Agency (ANR). AL was supported by the grant of the Russian Federation Government for research, contract No. 14.Y26.31.0006. M.L. has been partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-1600560. The authors thank two anonymous referees who helped them clarifying the assumptions needed for the existence of optimal functions and for pointing references concerning the stability among radial solutions. © 2017 by the authors. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

References

- Balabane, M., Dolbeault, J., Ounaies, H.: Nodal solutions for a sublinear elliptic equation. Nonlinear Anal. 52, 219–237 (2003)
- [2] Bonheure, D., Nys, M., Van Schaftingen, J.: Properties of groundstates of nonlinear Schrödinger equations under a weak constant magnetic field. ArXiv e-prints (2016)
- [3] Carlen, E.A.: Superadditivity of Fisher's information and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. J. Funct. Anal. 101, 194–211 (1991)
- [4] Cortázar, C., Elgueta, M., Felmer, P.: Symmetry in an elliptic problem and the blow-up set of a quasilinear heat equation. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 21, 507–520 (1996)
- [5] Cortázar, C., Elgueta, M., Felmer, P.: Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 3$. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **142**, 127–141 (1998)
- [6] Dolbeault, J., Esteban, M.J., Laptev, A.: Spectral estimates on the sphere. Anal. PDE 7, 435–460 (2014)
- [7] Dolbeault, J., Esteban, M.J., Laptev, A., Loss, M.: Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators on compact manifolds: rigidity, flows, interpolation and spectral estimates. Comptes Rendus Mathématique 351, 437–440 (2013)
- [8] Dolbeault, J., Esteban, M.J., Loss, M.: Rigidity versus symmetry breaking via nonlinear flows on cylinders and Euclidean spaces. Invent. Math. 206, 397–440 (2016)

- [9] Dolbeault, J., Felmer, P., Loss, M., Paturel, E.: Lieb-Thirring type inequalities and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities for systems. J. Funct. Anal. 238, 193–220 (2006)
- [10] Dolbeault, J., Laptev, A., Loss, M.: Lieb-Thirring inequalities with improved constants. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 10, 1121–1126 (2008)
- [11] Dolbeault, J., Toscani, G.: Stability results for logarithmic Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2016, 473–498 (2016)
- [12] Esteban, M.J., Lions, P.L.: Stationary solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with an external magnetic field. In: Li, Y.Y. (ed.) Partial Differential Equations and the Calculus. Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., vol. I, pp. 401–449. Birkhäuser, Boston (1989)
- [13] Federbush, P.: Partially alternate derivation of a result of Nelson. J. Math. Phys. 10, 50–52 (1969)
- [14] Gross, L.: Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. Am. J. Math. 97, 1061–1083 (1975)
- [15] Hirose, M., Ohta, M.: Uniqueness of positive solutions to scalar field equations with harmonic potential. Funkcial. Ekvac. 50, 67–100 (2007)
- [16] Keller, J.B.: Lower bounds and isoperimetric inequalities for eigenvalues of the Schrödinger equation. J. Math. Phys. 2, 262–266 (1961)
- [17] Kurata, K.: Existence and semi-classical limit of the least energy solution to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with electromagnetic fields. Nonlinear Anal. 41, 763–778 (2000)
- [18] Laptev, A., Weidl, T.: Hardy inequalities for magnetic Dirichlet forms. In: Demuth, M., Exner, J., Neidhardt, H. (eds.) Mathematical Results in Quantum Mechanics (Prague, 1998). Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 108, pp. 299–305. Birkhäuser, Basel (1999)
- [19] Lieb, E., Thirring, W.: Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities. In: Lieb, E., Simon, B., Wightman, A. (eds.) Essays in Honor of Valentine Bargmann, pp. 269–303. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1976)
- [20] Loss, M., Thaller, B.: Optimal heat kernel estimates for Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields in two dimensions. Commun. Math. Phys. 186, 95–107 (1997)
- [21] Pucci, P., Serrin, J., Zou, H.: A strong maximum principle and a compact support principle for singular elliptic inequalities. J. Math. Pures Appl. 9(78), 769– 789 (1999)
- [22] Shioji, N., Watanabe, K.: Uniqueness and nondegeneracy of positive radial solutions of $div(\rho\nabla u) + \rho(-gu + hu^p) = 0$. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 55, 32–42 (2016)
- [23] Stam, A.J.: Some inequalities satisfied by the quantities of information of Fisher and Shannon. Inf. Control 2, 101–112 (1959)
- [24] Toscani, G.: Rényi entropies and nonlinear diffusion equations. Acta Appl. Math. 132, 595–604 (2014)
- [25] Villani, C.: Entropy Production and Convergence to Equilibrium, pp. 1–70. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2008)
- [26] Weissler, F.B.: Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for the heat-diffusion semigroup. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 237, 255–269 (1978)

Jean Dolbeault and Maria J. Esteban CEREMADE (CNRS UMR no 7534) PSL Research University, Université Paris-Dauphine Place de Lattre de Tassigny 75775 Paris 16 France e-mail:dolbeaul@ceremade.dauphine.fr

Maria J. Esteban e-mail:esteban@ceremade.dauphine.fr

Ari Laptev Department of Mathematics Imperial College London Huxley Building, 180 Queen's Gate London SW7 2AZ UK e-mail: a.laptev@imperial.ac.uk

and

Department of Mathematics Siberian Federal University Krasnoyarsk Russia

Michael Loss School of Mathematics, Skiles Building Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta GA 30332-0160 USA e-mail: loss@math.gatech.edu

Communicated by Jan Dereziński. Received: June 8, 2017. Accepted: January 28, 2018.