

$\eta\text{-}\mathbf{Ricci}$ solitons on para-Sasakian manifolds

D. G. Prakasha and B. S. Hadimani

Abstract. The object of this paper is to study a special type of metrics called η -Ricci solitons on para-Sasakian manifolds. We give the existence of para-Sasakian η -Ricci solitons in our settings. In addition, the non-existence of certain geometric characteristics of para-Sasakian η -Ricci solitons are studied. Finally, we discuss 3-dimensional and conformally flat para-Sasakian η -Ricci solitons.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C21, 53C44, 53C25.

Keywords. Para-Sasakian manifold, η -Ricci solitons, Ricci-semisymmetric manifold, conformally flat manifolds.

1. Introduction

A *Ricci soliton* is a natural generalization of Einstein metric. A Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) is defined on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) by

$$(\pounds_V g)(X, Y) + 2S(X, Y) + 2\lambda g(X, Y) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\pounds_V g$ denotes the Lie derivative of Riemannian metric g along a vector field V, λ is a constant, and X, Y are arbitrary vector fields on M. A Ricci soliton is said to be *shrinking*, *steady*, and *expanding* according as λ is *negative*, *zero*, and *positive*, respectively. Theoretical physicists have also been taking interest in the equation of Ricci soliton in relation with string theory, and the fact that equation (1.1) is a special case of Einstein field equations. The Ricci soliton in Riemannian Geometry was introduced [13] as self-similar solution of the Ricci flow. Recent progress on Riemannian Ricci solitons may be found in [9]. Also, Ricci solitons have been studied extensively in the context of pseudo-Riemannian Geometry; we may refer to [1,6–8,15] and references therein.

As a generalization of Ricci solitons, the notion of η -Ricci solitons was introduced by Cho and Kimura [12]. This notion has also been studied in [5] for Hopf hypersurfaces in complex space forms. An η -Ricci soliton is a tuple (g, V, λ, μ) , where V is a vector field on M, λ and μ are constants, and g is a Riemannian (or pseudo-Riemannian) metric satisfying the equation

$$\pounds_V g + 2S + 2\lambda g + 2\mu\eta \otimes \eta = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where S is the Ricci tensor associated to g. In this connection we mention the works of Blaga (see, [2–4]) with η -Ricci solitons. In particular, if $\mu = 0$ then the notion η -Ricci soliton (g, V, λ, μ) reduces to the notion of Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) .

In 1976, Sato [17] introduced the notion of almost paracontact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) on a differentiable manifold. This structure is an analogue of the almost contact structure. An almost contact manifold is always odd-dimensional but an almost paracontact manifold could be of even dimension as well. Takahashi [20] defined almost contact manifolds (in particular, Sasakian manifolds) equipped with an associated pseudo-Riemannian metric.

In 1985, Kaneyuki and Williams [14] defined the notion of almost paracontact structure on pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension (2n + 1). Later, Zamkovoy [22] showed that any almost paracontact structure admits a pseudo-Riemannian metric with signature (n + 1, n).

In the present paper, we study para-Sasakian η -Ricci solitons. The paper is organised as follows: Sect. 2 is devoted to preliminaries on para-Sasakian manifolds. In Sect. 3, we study para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton and its existence in our settings. Here, it is proved that, on a para-Sasakian manifold $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ the symmetric parallel (0,2)-tensor field $\alpha = \pounds_{\xi}g + 2S + 2\mu\eta \otimes \eta$ is parallel with respect to Levi–Civita connection, as a result, the pair $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ is a para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton. Also, we show that a para-Sasakian Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda))$ is expanding. In Sect. 4, the non-existence of certain geometric characteristics of para-Sasakian η -Ricci solitons are studied. Sections 5 and 6 contain the study of 3-dimensional and conformally flat para-Sasakian η -Ricci solitons, respectively.

2. Preliminaries

An almost paracontact structure on a manifold M of dimension n is a triplet (ϕ, ξ, η) consisting of a (1,1)-tensor field ϕ , a vector field ξ , a 1-form η satisfying:

$$\phi^2 = I - \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\eta \cdot \phi = 0, \quad rank(\phi) = n - 1, \tag{2.2}$$

where I denotes the identity transformation. A pseudo-Riemannian metric g on M is compatible with the almost paracontact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) if

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

$$(2.3)$$

In such case, (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is called an *almost paracontact metric structure*. By (2.1)–(2.3), it is clear that $g(X,\xi) = \eta(X)$ for any compatible metric. Any almost paracontact structure admits compatible metrics. The fundamental 2-form Φ of an almost paracontact structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is defined by $\Phi = g(X, \phi Y)$, for all tangent vector fields X, Y. If $\Phi = d\eta$, then the manifold

 (M,ϕ,ξ,η,g) is called a $paracontact\ metric\ manifold\ associated\ to\ the\ metric\ g.$

In this case, the paracontact metric structure is normal and the structure is called para-Sasakian. Equivalently, a paracontact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is para-Sasakian if

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = -g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X \tag{2.4}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where ∇ is Levi–Civita connection of g.

From (2.4), it follows that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X. \tag{2.5}$$

Also in an n-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold, the following relations hold:

$$\eta(R(X,Y)Z) = g(X,Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y,Z)\eta(X), \qquad (2.6)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = -g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X, \qquad (2.7)$$

$$S(X,\xi) = -(n-1)\eta(X),$$
 (2.8)

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. Here R is the Riemannian curvature tensor and S is Ricci tensor defined by S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y), where Q is Ricci operator.

3. η -Ricci solitons on para-Sasakian manifolds

Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an *n*-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold and let $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ be a para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton. Then the relation (1.2) implies

$$(\pounds_{\xi}g)(X,Y) + 2S(X,Y) + 2\lambda g(X,Y) + 2\mu\eta(X)\eta(Y) = 0$$

or

$$2S(X,Y) = -(\pounds_{\xi}g)(X,Y) - 2\lambda g(X,Y) - 2\mu\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$
(3.1)

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

On a para-Sasakian manifold M, from (2.5) and the skew-symmetric property of ϕ , we obtain

$$(\pounds_{\xi}g)(X,Y) = g(\nabla_X\xi,Y) + g(X,\nabla_Y\xi) = 0.$$
(3.2)

By plugging (3.2) in (3.1), we have

$$S(X,Y) = -\lambda g(X,Y) - \mu \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$
(3.3)

Thus, we conclude that $(M,(g,\xi,\lambda,\mu))$ is an $\eta\text{-Einstein}$ manifold. Thus we state:

Theorem 3.1. A para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ is an η -Einstein manifold.

In particular, if $\mu = 0$ in (3.3), then it reduces to

$$S(X,Y) = -\lambda g(X,Y). \tag{3.4}$$

Thus the pair $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda))$ is an Einstein one. So we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.1. A para-Sasakian Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda))$ is an Einstein manifold.

Ricci solitons exhibit rich geometric properties. An important geometrical object in studying Ricci soliton is to be a symmetric (0, 2)-tensor field which is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. In this connection, we state the existence of η -Ricci solitons in our settings.

Consider that the symmetric (0, 2)-tensor field $\alpha = \pounds_{\xi}g + 2S + 2\mu\eta \otimes \eta$ is parallel with respect to the Levi–Civita connection associated to g. Then

$$\alpha(\xi,\xi) = (\pounds_{\xi}g)(\xi,\xi) + 2S(\xi,\xi) + 2\mu\eta(\xi)\eta(\xi),$$
(3.5)

which yields by virtue of (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha(\xi,\xi). \tag{3.6}$$

Recently, Tarafdar and De proved that a second order symmetric parallel tensor on a para-Sasakian manifold is a constant multiple of the associated tensor, and that on a para-Sasakian manifold there is no non-zero parallel 2-form [19]. That is,

$$\alpha(X,Y) = \alpha(\xi,\xi)g(X,Y). \tag{3.7}$$

Equating (3.6) and (3.7), one can conclude that

$$\alpha(X,Y) = -2\lambda g(X,Y), \qquad (3.8)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Therefore, $\pounds_{\xi}g + 2S + 2\mu\eta \otimes \eta = -2\lambda g$. This relation defines an η -Ricci soliton on M. Hence, in conclusion we have

Theorem 3.2. Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a para-Sasakian manifold. If the symmetric (0,2)-tensor field $\alpha = \pounds_{\xi}g + 2S + 2\mu\eta \otimes \eta$ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g, then the relation (3.1) defines an η -Ricci soliton on M.

For $\mu = 0$ it follows from (3.1) that $\alpha = \pounds_{\xi} + 2S$. If α is parallel with respect to the Levi–Civita connection associated to g, then it follows that $\pounds_{\xi}g + 2S = -2\lambda g$. This defines a Ricci soliton on M. Immediately, we have this corollary:

Corollary 3.2. Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a para-Sasakian manifold. If the symmetric (0,2)-tensor field $\alpha = \pounds_{\xi} + 2S$ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g, then the relation (3.1) defines a Ricci soliton on M for $\mu = 0$.

By using (3.2) and (3.3) in (3.5), we have

$$\alpha(\xi,\xi) = -2(n-1), \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mu = 0$ is used.

If we equate (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain $\lambda = n - 1$. That is, λ is positive as n > 1. Therefore, $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda))$ is expanding. Hence we are able to state the following result:

Corollary 3.3. An n-dimensional (n > 1) para-Sasakian Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda))$ is expanding.

4. Non-existence of certain kinds of para-Sasakian η -Ricci solitons

In this section we will establish the non-existence of certain geometric characteristics of para-Sasakian η -Ricci solitons. Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ (n > 1) be a para-Sasakian manifold. Now we have

$$(R(X,Y) \cdot S)(U,V) = -S(R(X,Y)U,V) - S(U,R(X,Y)V),$$

which implies that

$$(R(X,\xi) \cdot S)(U,V) = -S(R(X,\xi)U,V) - S(U,R(X,\xi)V).$$
(4.1)

In a para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$, the relation (3.3) holds. By making use of (3.3) in (4.1) we obtain

$$(R(X,\xi) \cdot S)(U,V) = -\lambda [g(R(X,\xi)U,V) - g(U,R(X,\xi)V)] -\mu [\eta (R(X,\xi)U)\eta(V) - \eta(U)\eta(R(X,\xi)V)].$$
(4.2)

In view of (2.7), (4.2) reduces to

$$(R(X,\xi)\cdot S)(U,V) = \mu[-g(X,U)\eta(V) - g(X,V)\eta(U) + 2\eta(X)\eta(U)\eta(V)].$$
(4.3)

Setting $V = \xi$ in (4.3) we get

$$(R(X,\xi) \cdot S)(U,\xi) = \mu[-g(X,U) + \eta(X)\eta(U)].$$
(4.4)

Suppose that a para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ (n > 1) is Riccisemisymmetric. Then we have $R(X, Y) \cdot S = 0$. That is,

$$(R(X,\xi) \cdot S)(U,\xi) = 0.$$
(4.5)

Equating (4.4) and (4.5), we get $\mu[-g(X,U) + \eta(X)\eta(U)] = 0$ or, equivalently, $\mu[g(\phi X, \phi U)] = 0$. It gives that $\mu = 0$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ cannot be Ricci-semisymmetric.

On the other hand, from (3.3) we have

$$QY = -\lambda Y - \mu \eta(Y)\xi. \tag{4.6}$$

Taking covariant derivative of (4.6) with respect to X, we obtain

$$(\nabla_X Q)(Y) = -\mu[(\nabla_X \eta)(Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi].$$
(4.7)

Applying ϕ^2 on both sides of the above equation and then using (2.1), one can get

$$\phi^2(\nabla_X Q)(Y) = \mu \eta(Y) \phi^2(\nabla_X \xi).$$
(4.8)

If we use (2.1) and (2.5) in the right hand side of (4.8), then it gives

$$\phi^2(\nabla_X Q)(Y) = -\mu\eta(Y)\phi X. \tag{4.9}$$

Assume that a para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ is ϕ -Ricci symmetric [18]. Then, the Ricci operator Q satisfies

$$\phi^2(\nabla_X Q)(Y) = 0, \tag{4.10}$$

for all vector fields X and Y on M and S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y).

Then by virtue of (4.9) and (4.10), we get $\mu\eta(Y)\phi X = 0$. This follows that $\mu = 0$. Again, a contradiction. Hence $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ cannot be ϕ -Ricci symmetric.

Next, consider a para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ (n > 1) which is projective Ricci-semisymmetric. Then the condition $\mathcal{P}(X, Y) \cdot S = 0$ implies that

$$S(\mathcal{P}(\xi, X)Y, Z) + S(Y, \mathcal{P}(\xi, X)Z) = 0, \qquad (4.11)$$

for any vector fields X,Y,Z on M and $\mathcal P$ denotes the Projective curvature tensor defined by

$$\mathcal{P}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1} \{ S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y \}.$$
(4.12)

By using (3.3), (4.11) gives

$$-\lambda[g(\mathcal{P}(\xi, X)Y, Z) + g(Y, \mathcal{P}(\xi, X)Z)] -\mu[\eta(\mathcal{P}(\xi, X)Y)\eta(Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(\mathcal{P}(\xi, X)Z] = 0.$$
(4.13)

Using (2.7) and (3.3) in (4.12) we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}(\xi, X)Y = \left(\frac{\lambda}{n-1} - 1\right)g(X, Y)\xi + \left(1 - \frac{(\lambda+\mu)}{n-1}\right)\eta(Y)X + \left(\frac{\mu}{n-1}\right)\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi$$

$$(4.14)$$

and

$$\eta(\mathcal{P}(\xi, X)Y) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{n-1} - 1\right) \{g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)\}.$$
(4.15)

Taking account of (4.14) and (4.15) in (4.13) we get

$$\mu[g(X,Y)\eta(Z) + g(X,Z)\eta(Y) - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)] = 0.$$
(4.16)

Setting $Z = \xi$ in (4.16), we have $\mu[g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)]$. It implies that $\mu = 0$. Therefore, $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ (n > 1) cannot be projective Ricci-semisymmetric. Thus, the above results can be stated as follows:

Theorem 4.3. A para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ (n > 1) cannot be Ricci-semisymmetric, ϕ -Ricci symmetric nor projective Ricci-semisymmetric.

5. 3-Dimensional para-Sasakian η -Ricci solitons

In a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold M^3 (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , the curvature tensor \hat{K} of type (0, 4) has the following form [16]

$$\dot{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = \{S(Y,Z)g(X,W) - S(X,Z)g(Y,W)
+S(X,W)g(Y,Z) - S(Y,W)g(X,Z)\}
+ \frac{r}{2}\{g(X,Z)g(Y,W) - g(Y,Z)g(X,W)\},$$
(5.1)

where $\acute{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W).$

By virtue of (3.3), the Eq. (5.1) can be written as

$$\dot{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = -\left(\frac{r}{2} + 2\lambda\right) \{g(Y,Z)g(X,W) - g(X,Z)g(Y,W)\}
-\mu\{\eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X,W) - \eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y,W)
+\eta(X)\eta(W)g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(W)g(X,Z)\}.$$
(5.2)

Contracting (3.3), for n = 3 we get

$$r = -(3\lambda + \mu). \tag{5.3}$$

Using (5.3) in (5.2) we obtain

$$\dot{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = p^{2} \{g(Y,Z)g(X,W) - g(X,Z)g(Y,W)\}
+ pp' \{\eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X,W) - \eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y,W)
+ \eta(X)\eta(W)g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(W)g(X,Z)\},$$
(5.4)

where

$$p = \sqrt{\frac{(\mu - \lambda)}{2}}$$

and

$$\dot{p} = -\mu \sqrt{\frac{2}{(\mu - \lambda)}}.$$

The Eq. (5.4) leads to

$$\hat{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = B(X, W)B(Y, Z) - B(Y, W)B(X, Z),$$
 (5.5)

where

$$B(X,Y) = pg(X,Y) + \acute{p}\eta(X)\eta(Y). \tag{5.6}$$

It is known that a type of n-dimensional Riemannian or semi-Riemannian manifold whose curvature tensor \hat{K} of type (0, 4) satisfies the condition (5.5), where B is a symmetric tensor field of type (0, 2) is called *special manifold* with the associated symmetric tensor B and is denoted by the symbol $(\psi B)_n$.

By virtue of (5.5), (5.6), the following theorem is stated:

Theorem 5.4. A 3-dimensional para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton $(M^3, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ is a $(\psi B)_3$ with associated symmetric tensor B given by (5.6).

6. Conformally flat para-Sasakian η -Ricci solitons

It is known that in case of a conformally flat para-Sasakian manifold M (ϕ, ξ, η, g) (n > 3), the curvature tensor \hat{K} of type (0, 4) has the following form [21]:

$$\dot{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = \frac{1}{(n-2)} [S(Y,Z)g(X,W) - S(X,Z)g(Y,W)
+ S(X,W)g(Y,Z) - S(Y,W)g(X,Z)]
+ \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(X,Z)g(Y,W) - g(Y,Z)g(X,W)].$$
(6.1)

Contracting (3.3) over X and Y we get $r = -(n\lambda + \mu)$, where r denotes the scalar curvature of the manifold. By making use of the value of r and (3.3), Eq. (6.1) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = \frac{\mu - \lambda(n-2)}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y,Z)g(X,W) - g(X,Z)g(Y,W)]
- \frac{\mu}{n-2} [\eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X,W) - \eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y,W)
+ \eta(X)\eta(W)g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(W)g(X,Z)].$$
(6.2)

The substitution

$$B(X,Y) = qg(X,Y) + \dot{q}\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$
(6.3)

with

$$q = \sqrt{\frac{\mu - \lambda(n-2)}{(n-1)(n-2)}}$$

and

$$\acute{q} = -\frac{\mu}{n-2} \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{\mu - \lambda(n-2)}}$$

leads to

$$\hat{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = B(X, W)B(Y, Z) - B(Y, W)B(X, Z).$$
 (6.4)

The above result is stated in the following theorem:

Theorem 6.5. A conformally flat para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ is $(\psi B)_n$ with associated symmetric tensor B given by (6.4).

In 1972, Chen and Yano [11] introduced the notion of a manifold of quasiconstant curvature as follows:

A non-flat para-Sasakian manifold $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ (n > 3) is said to be of quasiconstant curvature if its curvature tensor \hat{K} of type (0, 4) satisfies the following condition [10]:

$$\dot{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = a[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)]
+ b[A(Y)A(Z)g(X, W) - A(X)A(Z)g(Y, W)
+ A(X)A(W)g(Y, Z) - A(Y)A(W)g(X, Z)],$$
(6.5)

where a and b are scalars of which $b \neq 0$ and A is non-zero 1-form such that g(X,U) = A(X) for all X, U being a unit vector field. Such an n-dimensional manifold was denoted by the symbol $(QC)_n$.

Putting

$$B(X,Y) = \sqrt{a}g(X,Y) + \frac{b}{\sqrt{a}}\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$
(6.6)

it follows from (6.5) that

$$\hat{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = B(X, W)B(Y, Z) - B(Y, W)B(X, Z).$$
(6.7)

From (6.7) it could be seen that a $(QC)_n$ is $(\psi B)_n$. Comparing (6.2) with (6.5) it can be concluded that a conformally flat para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ (n > 3) is a manifold of quasi-constant curvature. Thus, we state the following:

Theorem 6.6. A conformally flat para-Sasakian η -Ricci soliton $(M, (g, \xi, \lambda, \mu))$ (n > 3) is a manifold of quasi-constant curvature.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the referee for their valuable suggestions towards the improvement of this paper.

References

- Bejan, C.L., Crasmareanu, M.: Second order parallel tensors and Ricci solitons in 3-dimensional normal paracontact geometry. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. doi:10. 1007/s10455-014-9414-4
- [2] Blaga, A.M.: η-Ricci solitons on Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds. Filomat 30(2), 489–496 (2016)
- Blaga, A.M.: η-Ricci solitons on para-Kenmotsu manifolds. Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 20(1), 1–13 (2015)
- [4] Blaga, A.M., Crasmareanu, M.C.: Torse-forming η-Ricci solitons in almost paracontact η-Einstein geometry. Filomat (2016, to appear)
- [5] Calin, C., Crasmareanu, M.: Eta-Ricci solitons on Hopf hypersurfaces in complex space forms. Revue Roumaine de Mathematiques pures et appliques 57(1), 55–63 (2012)
- [6] Calvaruso, G., Perrone, D.: Geometry of H-paracontact metric manifolds (2013). arXiv:1307.7662v1
- [7] Calvaruso, G., Zaeim, A.: A complete classification of Ricci and Yamabe solitons of non-reductive homogeneous 4-spaces. J. Geom. Phys. 80, 15–25 (2014)
- [8] Calvaruso, G., Perrone, A.: Ricci solitons in three-dimensional paracontact geometry (2014). arXiv:1407.3458v1
- [9] Cao, H.-D.: Recent progress on Ricci solitons. Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM) 11, 1–38 (2009). arXiv:0908.2006v1

- [10] Chaki, M.C., Gosh, M.L.: On quasi Einstein manifolds. Int. J. Math. 42, 211–220 (2000)
- [11] Chen, B., Yano, K.: Hypersurfaces of a conformally flat space. Tensor N.S. 26, 315–321 (1972)
- [12] Cho, J.T., Kimura, M.: Ricci solitons and real hypersurfaces in a complex space form. Tohoku Math. J. 61(2), 205–212 (2009)
- [13] Hamilton, R.S.: The Ricci flow on surfaces. In: Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), Contemp. Math., vol. 71, pp. 237–262. Amr. Math. Soc., Providence (1988)
- [14] Kaneyuki, S., Williams, F.L.: Almost paracontact and parahodge structures on manifolds. Nagoya Math. J. 99, 173–187 (1985)
- [15] Pina, R., Tenenblat, K.: On solutions of the Ricci curvature and the Einstein equation. Isr. J. Math., 171, 61–76 (2009)
- [16] Prakasha, D.G.: Torseforming vector fields in a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold. Sci. Stud. Res. Ser. Math. Inform. 20(2), 61–66 (2010)
- [17] Sato, I.: On a structure similar to the almost contact structure I. Tensor N.S. 30, 219–224 (1976)
- [18] Shukla, S.S., Shukla, M.K.: On φ-symmetric para-Sasakian manifolds. Int. J Math. Anal. 4(16), 761–769 (2010)
- [19] Tarafdar, D., De, U.C.: Second order parallel tensors on P-Sasakian manifolds. Northeast. Math. J., 11(3), 260–262 (1995)
- [20] Takahashi, T.: Sasakian manifold with pseudo-Riemannian metric. Tohoku Math. J. 21(2), 644–653 (1969)
- [21] Yano, K., Kon, M.: Structures on manifolds. Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 3. World Scientific, Singapore (1984)
- [22] Zamkovoy, S.: Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds. Ann Glob Anal Geom. 36(1), 37–60 (2009)

D. G. Prakasha and B. S. Hadimani Department of Mathematics Karnatak University Dharwad 580 003 India e-mail: prakashadg@gmail.com

Received: April 14, 2016. Revised: July 15, 2016.