Steady Water Waves with Multiple Critical Layers: Interior Dynamics

Mats Ehrnström, Joachim Escher and Gabriele Villari

Communicated by A. Constantin

Abstract. We study small-amplitude steady water waves with multiple critical layers. Those are rotational two-dimensional gravity-waves propagating over a perfect fluid of finite depth. It is found that arbitrarily many critical layers with cat's-eye vortices are possible, with different structure at different levels within the fluid. The corresponding vorticity depends linearly on the stream function.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 76B15, Secondary 35Q35.

Keywords. Steady water waves, Small-amplitude waves, Critical layers, Vorticity.

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with steady, periodic, two-dimensional gravity-waves of permanent shape and velocity. Famous among these are the *Stokes waves*; symmetric waves with a surface profile which rises and falls once in every minimal period [2, 30, 32, 39, 42]. Of particular interest are waves with vorticity. Vorticity plays a crucial role for wave-current interactions and in the formation of wind-generated waves [17, 37, 40]. The first mathematical construction of a rotational free-surface fluid flow is due to Gerstner in the beginning of the 19th century [4, 24], but it was first with the pioneering investigation [12] that a modern theory of both large and small rotational waves was established. This theory was extended to deep water waves in [26], to small-amplitude waves with surface tension in [45], and to large-amplitude waves with surface tension and stratification in [47].

Many properties inherent in irrotational periodic gravity waves, such as the symmetry of the surface profile [35,43], the analyticity of the streamlines [31], and the Stokes conjecture [1,36], carry over to rotational water waves [7,11,8,9,44]. A notable exception from this rule arises when one examines flows with internal stagnation, i.e., points where the velocity of a fluid particle coincides with that of the wave itself. Even when the vorticity is only constant, *critical layers* with cat's-eye vortices arise [20]. Those are horizontal layers of closed streamlines separating the fluid into two disjoint regions, a behaviour that is not possible for irrotational waves [5]. Recently, the existence of such waves with one critical layer as solutions of the full Euler equations was established [46] (see also [15] and, for a study of stagnation points in rotational flows, [13]). This constituted an important connection between the mathematical research on exact Stokes waves and the study of waves with critical layers within the wider field of fluid dynamics (cf. [3,29,34,38,41]).

In the very recent investigation [18] the theory from [46] was extended to the case of an affine vorticity distribution, yielding the existence of exact small-amplitude gravity waves with *arbitrary many critical layers*. It is our aim to give a qualitative, and to some extent also a quantitative, description of those waves ([18] also contains a proof for the existence of exact bichromatic waves with vorticity, but those are not considered here). This is a natural continuation of a line of recent research on the qualitative features of various free-surface flows (see, e.g., [21], and the references [6, 10, 14, 16, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28] from



FIG. 1. The possible zeros Y_0 of the background current U_0 as a (multivalued) function of the laminar vorticity α_0 ; those are the levels of the critical layers in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$. For each pair (α_0, Y_0) in the shaded region, and only for those, there exists λ such that the bifurcation condition is fulfilled and U_0 has precisely one zero at Y_0 . As $\alpha_0 \to \infty$ we have

 $\max Y_0 \to 1$, but for any given α_0 the stagnation points are bounded away from the surface as $\varepsilon \to 0$. In contrast, stagnation at the flat bed is possible whenever $\alpha_0 \ge -\pi^2$. For $\alpha_0 < -1 - \pi^2$ more zeros appear and the situation is not as transparent



FIG. 2. Two scenarios for wave class 1. Solid lines are streamlines, dotted lines isoclines [∞ -isocline green, 0-isocline red], and fat dots critical points [centers red, saddle points green]. Left: an uppermost critical layer with horizontal streamlines cutting through it as in Theorem 5.2 ii.b), and a lower critical layer as in Theorem 5.2 ii.a). Right: two critical layers as in Theorem 5.2 ii.a) separated by a horizontal streamline. Note that the rotational flow near the bottom evolves under a

"rigid lid"

that survey). In particular, the results here obtained could be used to give a description of the particle trajectories within linear waves with critical layers.

The focus of this paper is, however, slightly different. Since the construction in [18] is by bifurcation from laminar flows, for small waves it is possible to investigate the flow and give exact estimates on the error (see Proposition 3.2). It turns out that waves with an affine vorticity distribution can be naturally divided into four wave classes, and we give the velocity fields and the bifurcation relations in the different cases. Theorem 5.2 provides the qualitative description of the wave class with multiple critical layers. Although there is a rich variety of flow configurations we discern two main scenarios (which, essentially, can be seen in Fig. 2). An interesting feature is that, apart form the region closest to the free surface, the fluid motion takes place in vertically disconnected, *completely flat* regions (which is not the case in waves with a single critical layer). In Theorem 4.1 we are also able to give a *quantitative* description of the levels at which stagnation points, and therefore critical layers, can arise. Those results are graphically captured in Fig. 1.

The disposition is as follows. Section 2 describes the governing equations, with Sect. 3 narrowing in on laminar flows and the first-order perturbations thereof. In Sect. 4 we describe the four wave classes,



FIG. 3. Numerical plots of wave class 1. Top left $[\alpha = -20, \lambda = 4.39, \varepsilon = 0.05]$: the case of a common zero as in Theorem 5.2 ii.b). The upper critical layer is magnified in the plot bottom left, where the three centers, the two saddle points and the 0-isocline cutting through them are clearly visible. The bottom right plot shows the same flow near the bottom with only two centers as in Theorem 5.2 ii.a). The situation is similar to that in Fig. 2, left, with the difference that the ∞ -isocline actually crosses the flat bed in this numerical plot. Right [$\alpha = -20, \lambda = 4.60, \varepsilon = 0.05$]: as the zero of the background current shifts, the horizontal 0-isocline climbs above the critical points in the upper critical layer and the saddle nodes merge with one center each, turning the situation in Theorem 5.2 ii.b) to the one in Theorem 5.2 ii.a) (colours indicate the strength of the velocity field)

and detail at which levels stagnation can occur in the different cases. Finally, Sect. 5 presents the main structure of the interesting wave class with multiple critical layers, and some numerical examples are given (cf. Fig. 2). For a quick glance at the waves, see Figs. 2 and 3.

2. Preliminaries

Let (x, y) be Cartesian position coordinates, and $(u, v) = (\dot{x}, \dot{y})$ the corresponding velocity field. Here

$$u := u(t, x, y), \qquad v := v(t, x, y).$$

are 2π -periodic in the x-variable and the vertical coordinate y ranges from the flat bed at y = 0 to the (normalized) free water surface at $y = 1 + \eta(t, x)$. Let p := p(t, x, y) denote the *pressure*, and g the *gravitational constant of acceleration*. In the mathematical theory of steady waves it is common and physically realistic to consider water as inviscid and of constant density [30, 32]. The Euler equations

$$u_t + uu_x + vu_y = -p_x,$$

$$v_t + uv_x + vv_y = -p_y - g,$$
(2.1a)

then model the motion within the fluid. The equations

$$u_x + v_y = 0$$
 and $v_x - u_y = \omega$ (2.1b)

additionally describes incompressibility and the *vorticity* ω , respectively.¹ At the surface the conditions

$$p = p_0$$
 and $v = \eta_t + u\eta_x$ (2.1c)

separate the air from the water, p_0 being the *atmospheric pressure*. Note that the second condition in (2.1c) states that $y(t) - \eta(t, x(t))$ is constant over time, so that the same particles constitute the interface at all times. Similarly, no water penetrates the flat bed, whence we have

$$v = 0$$
 at $y = 0$. (2.1d)

The Equations (2.1) govern the motion of two-dimensional gravitational water waves on finite depth.

An important class of waves are *travelling waves*, propagating with constant shape and speed. Mathematically, such waves are solutions of (2.1) with an (x - ct)-dependence, where c > 0 is the constant wavespeed, and we have restricted attention to waves travelling rightward with respect to the fixed Cartesian frame. Since $D_t(x - ct) = u - c$, it is natural to introduce *steady variables*,

$$X := x - ct, \qquad U := u - c$$

We shall also write Y for y and V for v to indicate when we are in the travelling frame. In the steady variables the fluid occupies

$$\Omega_{\eta} := \{ (X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < Y < 1 + \eta(X) \}.$$
(2.2)

Define the *relative pressure* P through

 $p =: p_0 + g(1 + P - Y).$

Since the term -gY measures the hydrostatic pressure distribution, the relative pressure is a measure of the pressure perturbation induced by a passing wave. Altogether we obtain the governing equations

$$UU_X + VU_Y = -gP_X,$$

$$UV_X + VV_Y = -gP_Y,$$

$$U_X + V_Y = 0,$$

$$V_X - U_Y = \omega,$$

(2.3a)

with boundary conditions

$$P = \eta,$$

$$V = U\eta_X, \quad \text{on } Y = 1 + \eta(X),$$
(2.3b)

and

$$V = 0, \quad \text{on } Y = 0.$$
 (2.3c)

The problem of finding (U, V, P, η) such that (2.3) is satisfied is known as the *steady water-wave problem*. Since η is an *a priori* unknown, (2.3) is a free-boundary problem.

¹This sign convention for the vorticity is consistent with [18]. The reader should be advised that the vorticity may also appear with the opposite sign in the literature.

The α -Problem

When $\eta \in C^3(\mathbb{R})$, and $u, v \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}_{\eta})$, one can use the fact that the velocity field is divergence-free (cf. (2.1b)) to introduce a stream function $\psi \in C^3(\overline{\Omega})$ with

$$\psi_X := -V \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_Y := U. \tag{2.4}$$

Define the Poisson bracket $\{f, g\} := f_X g_Y - f_Y g_X$.

Proposition 2.1. (Stream-function formulation) The water-wave problem (2.3) is equivalent to that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \psi &= -\omega, & in \ \Omega_{\eta}, \\ \{\psi, \Delta \psi\} &= 0, & in \ \Omega_{\eta}, \\ |\nabla \psi|^2 + 2gy &= C, & on \ Y = 1 + \eta(X), \\ \psi &= m_1, & on \ Y = 1 + \eta(X), \\ \psi &= m_0, & on \ Y = 0, \end{aligned}$$
(2.5)

for some constants m_0, m_1 , and C.

Proof. Identify ψ with U and V through (2.4). Given the regularity assumptions and that Ω_{η} is simply connected, we see that $U_X + V_Y = 0$ is equivalent to the existence of ψ . The relations $P = \eta$ in (2.3b) and V = 0 in (2.3c) mean that ψ is constant on the surface and on the flat bed, just as $V_X - U_Y = -\omega$ means that $\Delta \psi = -\omega$. It remains to show how the equations of motion relate to the Bernoulli surface condition and the bracket condition.

Given (2.3) one can eliminate the relative pressure by taking the curl of the Euler equations. That yields

$$U\Delta V - V\Delta U = 0. \tag{2.6a}$$

Moreover, by differentiating the relation $P = \eta$ along the surface, and using (2.3a), we find that

$$U^{2} + V^{2} + 2gY = C, \quad Y = 1 + \eta(X).$$
 (2.6b)

Hence (2.5) holds. Contrariwise, if (U, V) fulfil (2.6a) and (2.6b), one can define P up to a constant through (2.3a), and, with the right choice of constant, P satisfies (2.3b).

Consider now the case when ψ_Y may vanish, but $\Delta \psi_Y/\psi_Y$ can be extended to a continuous function on $\overline{\Omega}_{\eta}$, i.e.,

$$\alpha := \frac{\Delta \psi_Y}{\psi_Y} \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}_\eta) \tag{2.7}$$

One can then exchange the bracket condition $\{\psi, \Delta\psi\} = 0$ in (2.5) for

$$(\Delta - \alpha)\nabla\psi = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

When α is a constant there exists an affine vorticity function γ with $\gamma' = -\alpha$, meaning that

$$\Delta \psi = -\gamma(\psi) = \alpha \psi + \beta, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Observe that this does not rule out the existence of stagnation points $\nabla \psi = 0$. Without loss of generality we may take β to be zero; changing it corresponds to changing m_0 and m_1 . The choice $\alpha = 0$ models constant vorticity and was investigated in [20,46]. The next natural step is a constant but non-vanishing α . That is the setting of this investigation.

3. Laminar Flows and Their First-Order Perturbations

Laminar flows are solutions of the steady water-wave problem (2.3) that satisfy $\eta(X) = 0$. Those are the running streams for which

$$U(X, Y) = U_0(Y)$$
 and $V = P = \eta = 0.$

We shall require that $U_0 \in C^2([0,1], \mathbb{R})$. The function U_0 is the (rotational) background current, upon which we will impose a small disturbance: the system (2.3) will be linearized at a point $(U, V, P, \eta) =$ $(U_0, 0, 0, 0)$, and the solutions of the constructed linear problem analyzed. We thus assume that U, V, Pand η allow for expansions of the form

$$f = f_0 + \varepsilon f_1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$
 (3.1)

Here U_0 is a background current as described above, and

$$V_0 = P_0 = \eta_0 = 0.$$

By inserting these expansions into (2.3), and retaining only first-order terms in ε , we obtain the linearized system

$$\partial_X U_1 + \partial_Y V_1 = 0,$$

$$U_0 \partial_X U_1 + V_1 \partial_Y U_0 = -\partial_X P_1, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)$$

$$U_0 \partial_X V_1 = -\partial_Y P_1,$$

(3.2a)

with boundary conditions

$$V_1 = U_0 \partial_X \eta_1,$$

 $P_1 = \eta_1,$ on $Y = 1,$ (3.2b)

as well as

$$V_1 = 0$$
 on $Y = 0.$ (3.2c)

The following result allows us to eliminate the relative pressure from (3.2).

Proposition 3.1. Let the background current U_0 be given. Under the condition that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \eta_1(X) \, dX = 0 \quad and \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} U_1(X, Y) \, dX = 0, \quad Y \in [0, 1], \tag{3.3}$$

the solutions (U_1, V_1, P_1, η_1) of (3.2) are in one-to-one-correspondence with the solutions V_1 of

$$U_0 \Delta V_1 = U_0'' V_1, \qquad 0 < Y < 1,$$

(1 + U_0 U_0') V_1 = U_0^2 \partial_Y V_1, \qquad Y = 1,
V₁ = 0, Y = 0.
(3.4)

Proof. Taking the curl of the linearized Euler equations, and differentiating $p = \eta$ along the linearized surface Y = 1 yields (3.4). If (U_1, V_1, P_1, η_1) is a solution of (3.2) then V_1 fulfills (3.4), and if V_1 is a solution of (3.4), then one can find (U_1, P_1, η_1) such that (3.2) holds. One defines U_1 through the first equation in (3.2a), and then P_1 through the two last equations in (3.2a). The linear surface η_1 can be determined by (3.2b), and the boundary condition at Y = 1 in (3.4) guarantees that (3.2b) is consistent with (3.2a). Notice, however, that for a given V_1 , a solution U_1 is only determined modulo functions f(y), and η_1 up to a constant. We shall therefore require that the periodic mean of the first-order solution (U_1, V_1, P_1, η_1) of (3.2) is unique with respect to the solution V_1 of (3.4).

Laminar Vorticity

Now, suppose that U_0''/U_0 can be extended to a continuous function on [0, 1], and introduce the *laminar* vorticity

$$\alpha_0 := \frac{U_0''}{U_0} \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}).$$
(3.5)

Let (cf. (3.4))

 $\mu_1 := 1 + U_0(1)U_0'(1)$ and $\mu_2 := U_0^2(1).$

According to Proposition 3.1 we may then consider the system

$$\Delta V_1 = \alpha_0 V_1, \qquad 0 < Y < 1,
\mu_1 V_1 = \mu_2 \partial_Y V_1, \qquad Y = 1,
V_1 = 0, \qquad Y = 0.$$
(3.6)

In our case $\alpha = \alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and constant vorticity is captured by $\alpha_0 = 0$.

Relation to Exact Nonlinear Solutions

In what comes we will find and investigate four solution classes of (3.6) and thus of (3.2). Any exact solution of the steady water-wave problem (2.5) with $\Delta \psi = \alpha \psi$ that allows for an expansion as in (3.1) and adheres to the normalization (3.3) will satisfy the velocity fields here investigated up to an error of order ε^2 in the appropriate space. A particular case (wave class 1 on page 414) corresponds to a class of solutions found in [18] by linearizing around a running stream with background current $U_0(Y) = a \sin(\theta_0(Y-1)+\lambda)$. Here $\theta_0 = \sqrt{|\alpha_0|}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter. Those solutions do not necessarily satisfy the normalization (3.3); while $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \eta_1 dX = 0$ the strength of the first-order background current may change with ε . This is the reason why *a* depends on ε in the following proposition, which is a consequence of the results from [18]. In accordance with (2.2) we let, for any small and positive constant δ , the set $\Omega_{-\delta}$ denote the part of the fluid domain where $0 < Y < 1 - \delta$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\varepsilon \mapsto (\psi, \eta) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}_{\eta}) \times C^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a solution curve found in [18] by bifurcation from a one-dimensional kernel of minimal period 2π . Pick $0 < \delta \ll 1$. For any ε small enough, there exists $a = a(\varepsilon)$ such that the velocity field $(U, V) = (\psi_Y, -\psi_X)$ coincides with that of wave class 1 with $U_0(Y) = a(\varepsilon) \sin(\theta_0(Y-1) + \lambda)$ up to addition of terms $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$ in $C^2(\overline{\Omega}_{-\delta})$. The map $\varepsilon \mapsto a(\varepsilon)$ is smooth and a(0) fulfils the bifurcation condition (4.3).

4. Wave Classes

Even when α_0 is a constant, the linear system (3.6) contains a rich variety of solutions, including asymmetric ones (cf. [19]). We shall see that restricting attention to the first Fourier mode of V_1 still produces a wide range of linear waves. We thus search for a solution of the form

$$V_1 = \sin(X)f(Y), \quad f \in C^2([0,1],\mathbb{R}).$$
 (4.1)

The ansatz (4.1) reduces the system (3.6) to a (trivial) Sturm-Liouville problem:

$$-f'' + (\alpha_0 + 1)f = 0,$$

$$\mu_1 f(1) - \mu_2 f'(1) = 0,$$

$$f(0) = 0,$$

(4.2)

with $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 > 0$, and $\mu_2 \ge 0$. Since the case $\alpha_0 = 0$ has already been treated in [20] we restrict our attention to $\alpha_0 \ne 0$, corresponding to non-constant vorticity. Define

$$\theta_0 := \sqrt{|\alpha_0|}$$
 and $\theta_1 := \sqrt{|\alpha_0 + 1|}.$

Using the Sturm-Liouville problem (4.2) to determine V, and then U via Proposition 3.1, we find that the solutions belong to one of the following four classes:

Wave class 1 (Laminar vorticity $\alpha_0 < -1$). The solutions of (4.2) are generated by $f(Y) = \sin(\theta_1 Y)$ with

$$U_{0}(Y) = a \sin(\theta_{0}(Y-1) + \lambda),$$

$$a^{-2} = \sin^{2}(\lambda)(\theta_{1}\cot(\theta_{1}) - \theta_{0}\cot(\lambda)),$$

$$\lambda \in \left(\operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{\theta_{1}\cot(\theta_{1})}{\theta_{0}}\right), \pi\right).$$
(4.3)

Up to the first order in ε ,

$$U(X,Y) = U_0(Y) + \varepsilon \theta_1 \cos X \cos(\theta_1 Y),$$

$$V(X,Y) = \varepsilon \sin X \sin(\theta_1 Y).$$
(4.4)

Wave class 2 (Laminar vorticity $\alpha_0 = -1$). The solutions of (4.2) are generated by f(Y) = Y with

$$U_0(Y) = a\sin(Y - 1 + \lambda),$$

$$a^{-2} = \sin^2(\lambda)(1 - \cot(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \in \left(\frac{\pi}{4}, \pi\right).$$
(4.5)

Up to the first order in ε ,

$$U(X, Y) = U_0(Y) + \varepsilon \cos(X),$$

$$V(X, Y) = \varepsilon Y \sin(X).$$
(4.6)

Wave class 3 (Laminar vorticity $-1 < \alpha_0 < 0$). The solutions of (4.2) are generated by $f(Y) = \sinh(\theta_1 Y)$ with

$$U_{0}(Y) = a \sin(\theta_{0}(Y-1) + \lambda),$$

$$a^{-2} = \sin^{2}(\lambda)(\theta_{1} \coth(\theta_{1}) - \theta_{0} \cot(\lambda)),$$

$$\lambda \in \left(\operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{\theta_{1} \coth(\theta_{1})}{\theta_{0}}\right), \pi\right).$$
(4.7)

Up to the first order in ε ,

$$U(X, Y) = U_0(Y) + \varepsilon \theta_1 \cos X \cosh(\theta_1 Y),$$

$$V(X, Y) = \varepsilon \sin X \sinh(\theta_1 Y).$$
(4.8)

Wave class 4 (Laminar vorticity $\alpha_0 > 0$). The solutions of (4.2) are generated by $f(Y) = \sinh(\theta_1 Y)$ with

$$U_0(Y) = a \sinh(\theta_0(Y-1)) + \lambda \cosh(\theta_0(Y-1)),$$

$$a = \frac{\lambda^2 \theta_1 \coth(\theta_1) - 1}{\lambda \theta_0}, \quad \lambda \neq 0.$$
(4.9)

Up to the first order in ε ,

$$U(X, Y) = U_0(Y) + \varepsilon \theta_1 \cos X \cosh(\theta_1 Y),$$

$$V(X, Y) = \varepsilon \sin X \sinh(\theta_1 Y).$$
(4.10)

Stagnation

The explicit solutions allow us to determine the possible levels of stagnation. From the following result one obtains Fig. 1.

Theorem 4.1. (Stagnation) The following hold for the background current U_0 for the wave classes 1–4:

- W 1. For any $Y_0 \in [0,1)$ there exist $\alpha_0 < -1$ and λ such that $U_0(Y_0) = 0$, and the number of zeros of U_0 in [0,1] can be made arbitrarily large with the appropriate choice of α_0 . In the interval $-1 - \pi^2 < \alpha_0 < -1$, the background current U_0 has one zero at $Y_0 = 1 - \lambda/\theta_0$ for $\operatorname{arccot}(\theta_1 \cot(\theta_1)/\theta_0) < \lambda \leq \theta_0$, and none for $\lambda \in (\theta_0, \pi)$.
- W 2. U_0 has one zero at $Y_0 = 1 \lambda$ for $\lambda \in (\pi/4, 1]$ and none for $\lambda \in (1, \pi)$.
- W 3. U_0 has one zero at $Y_0 = 1 \lambda/\theta_0$ for $\operatorname{arccot}(\theta_1 \operatorname{coth}(\theta_1)/\theta_0) < \lambda \leq \theta_0$ and none for $\lambda \in (\theta_0, \pi)$.
- W 4. U_0 has one zero at $Y_0 = 1 \theta_0^{-1} \operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{\lambda^2 \theta_0}{\lambda^2 \theta_1 \coth(\theta_1) 1}\right)$ for $\lambda^2 \ge (\theta_1 \coth(\theta_1) \theta_0 \coth(\theta_0))^{-1}$ and none for other $\lambda \ne 0$.

Proof. The analysis is carried out separately for each wave class.

W 1. The function

$$\alpha_0 \mapsto \frac{\theta_1 \cot(\theta_1)}{\theta_0},$$

spans the real numbers (it blows up at $\alpha_0 = -1 - n^2 \pi^2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$). We thus see from (4.3) that the set $(\operatorname{arccot}(\theta_1 \cot(\theta_1)/\theta_0), \pi)$ may be empty. But for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\alpha_0 < -1 - n^2 \pi^2 < \alpha_0 + \delta$, then (4.3) is solvable for all $\lambda \in (\varepsilon, \pi)$. The number of zeros is at least as large as $\lfloor \theta_0/\pi \rfloor \to \infty$ as $\alpha_0 \to -\infty$. The last proposition then follows by checking that U_0 can have at most one zero Y_0 for $-1 - \pi^2 < \alpha < -1$.

W 2. Consider (4.5). The function

$$\lambda \mapsto \sin(\lambda)(\sin(\lambda) - \cos(\lambda)) > 0$$
 exactly when $\frac{\pi}{4} < \lambda < \pi$,

and since it is bounded, the amplitude a is bounded away from 0. There thus exist λ and $Y_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $U_0(Y_0) = 0$ if and only if $Y_0 = 1 - \lambda \in [0, 1 - \frac{\pi}{4}]$.

W 3. The right-hand side of (4.7) is positive when

$$\arctan\left(\frac{\theta_0}{\theta_1 \coth(\theta_1)}\right) < \lambda < \pi.$$
 (4.11)

To have $Y_0 \in [0, 1]$ with $U_0(Y_0) = 0$ necessarily $\lambda \in [0, \theta_0]$. The assertion follows from that θ_0 is strictly larger than the lower bound in (4.11).

W 4. The background current has at most one zero, and to see what zeros there are in [0, 1] we consider

$$\tanh(\theta_0(Y-1)) = -\frac{\lambda}{a} = \frac{\lambda^2 \theta_0}{1 - \lambda^2 \theta_1 \coth(\theta_1)}$$

For $Y_0 \in [0, 1)$ the left-hand side is negative, so that we must at least have $\lambda^2 > (\theta_1 \coth(\theta_1))^{-1}$, and a closer look yields that $\lambda^2 \ge (\theta_1 \coth(\theta_1) - \theta_0 \coth(\theta_0))^{-1}$ is required to match $Y \ge 0$. The right-hand side is then an increasing function of λ^2 , and

$$-\tanh(\theta_0) < rac{\lambda^2 \theta_0}{1 - \lambda^2 \theta_1 \coth(\theta_1)} \le rac{-\theta_0}{\theta_1 \coth(\theta_1)}.$$

Since also arctanh is an increasing function, the question reduces to whether

$$0 \le Y_0 < 1 - \frac{1}{\theta_0} \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{\theta_0}{\theta_1 \coth(\theta_1)} \right).$$

The right-hand side is positive, strictly increasing in α_0 , and tends to 1 as $\alpha_0 \to \infty$.

5. Hamiltonian Formulation and Phase-portrait Analysis

In the analysis to come the region of interest is

$$0 \le Y \le 1 \pm \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \cos(X),$$

where the sign indicates that for each of the wave classes 1–4 one finds that X = 0 may be either a crest or a trough, depending on the signs of a and λ . Recall that $(u, v) = (\dot{x}, \dot{y})$. In view of that X = x - ctand U = u - c, one similarly obtains

$$(X,Y) = (U,V).$$

The paths (X(t), Y(t)) describe the particle trajectories in the steady variables, and any such solution is entirely contained in one streamline.

Proposition 5.1. (Hamiltonian formulation) The wave classes 1–4 all admit a Hamiltonian

$$H(X,Y) := \varepsilon \cos(X)G(Y) + \int_{0}^{Y} U_0(s) \, ds, \qquad (5.1)$$

with

$$G(Y) := \begin{cases} \sin(\theta_1 Y), & \text{for wave class } 1, \\ Y, & \text{for wave class } 2, \\ \sinh(\theta_1 Y), & \text{for wave classes } 3-4. \end{cases}$$
(5.2)

The classes 2–4 can be dealt with as the class $\alpha = 0$ (constant vorticity) in [20,46], and do not yield any new qualitative results. Indeed, their appearance and the analysis thereof is captured within that of the interesting class 1.

Theorem 5.2. (Wave class 1) The following hold for small-amplitude waves of wave class 1 (ε sufficiently small).

- i. The fluid motion is divided into vertical layers, each separated from the others by flat sets of streamlines $\{(X, Y_*): \sin(\theta_1 Y_*) = 0\}$.
- ii. For each Y_* with $U_0(Y_*) = 0$ there is a smooth connected part of the ∞ -isocline passing through all points $(\pi/2 + n\pi, Y_*)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, along which centers (cats-eye vortices) and saddle points alternate in one of the following ways:
 - a) when Y_* is not a common zero of U_0 and $\sin(\theta_1 \cdot)$ centers appear at every other $X = n\pi$ and saddle points at every other $(n+1)\pi$;
 - b) when Y_* is a common zero of U_0 and $\sin(\theta_1 \cdot)$ centers appear at $X = n\pi$ and saddle points at $\pi/2 + n\pi$.

Remark 5.3. Starting with the situation in ii.b) one might fix ε and α , and then vary the zero of the background flow. The saddle point at $X = \pi/2$ then continuously and monotonically approaches the center at either X = 0 or $X = \pi$, eventually merging with it and wiping it out.

Proof. It follows from (5.1) that the fluid motion is 2π -periodic and symmetric around the vertical X = 0 axis. It therefore suffices to investigate the strip $0 \le X \le \pi$.

i) The velocity field is

$$\dot{X} = U_0(Y) + \varepsilon \theta_1 \cos(X) \cos(\theta_1 Y), \dot{Y} = \varepsilon \sin(X) \sin(\theta_1 Y),$$

whence the 0-isocline, defined as the set where $\dot{Y} = 0$, is given by the vertical axes $X = 0 \mod \pi$ and the horizontal lines where $\sin(\theta_1 Y) = 0$. Vol. 14 (2012)

ii) Let Y_* be a zero of U_0 . Then $(\pi/2, Y_*)$ belongs to the ∞ -isocline $\{(X, Y): \dot{X} = 0\}$. Since $U'_0(Y_*) = a\theta_0 \neq 0$, we have that

$$D_Y \dot{X} = U_0'(Y) - \varepsilon \theta_1^2 \cos(X) \sin(\theta_1 Y)$$

is nonzero at $(\pi/2, Y_*)$. The implicit function theorem allows us to locally parameterize the ∞ -isocline as the graph of a smooth function $Y_{\infty}(X)$ with slope

$$D_X Y_{\infty} = \frac{\varepsilon \theta_1 \sin(X) \cos(\theta_1 Y)}{U_0'(Y) - \varepsilon \theta_1^2 \cos(X) \sin(\theta_1 Y)}.$$
(5.3)

A continuity argument yields that, for ε small enough, Y_{∞} extends to a 2π periodic function on \mathbb{R} , strictly rising and falling between the zeros of $\sin(X)$, and with $|Y_{\infty} - Y_*| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$. Hence $U'_0(Y_{\infty}) \neq 0$. At $X = n\pi, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the graph of Y_{∞} intersects the 0-isocline. At those *critical points*, the Hessian of the Hamiltonian is given by

$$D^{2} H(n\pi, Y_{\infty}|_{X=n\pi}) = \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{n+1} \varepsilon \sin(\theta_{1} Y_{\infty}) & 0\\ 0 & (-1)^{n+1} \varepsilon \theta_{1}^{2} \sin(\theta_{1} Y_{\infty}) + U_{0}'(Y_{\infty}) \end{bmatrix} \Big|_{X=n\pi}, \quad (5.4)$$

There are now two cases.

a) When $\sin(\theta_1 \cdot)$ and U_0 have No Common Zero

From $|Y_{\infty} - Y_*| = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ we find that $\sin(\theta_1 Y_{\infty})$ is non-vanishing and thus of constant sign. For ε small enough, the Hessian (5.4) thus has one negative and one positive eigenvalue at every other $X = n\pi$, and two of the same sign at every other $X = (n+1)\pi$ in between. The assertion ii.a) then follows from the Morse lemma [33].

b) When $\sin(\theta_1 \cdot)$ and U_0 have a Common Zero

In this case there are additional critical points at $X = \pi/2 + n\pi$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, all similar to the one at $X = \pi/2$. There

$$D^{2} H(\pi/2, Y_{*}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\varepsilon \theta_{1} \cos(\theta_{1} Y_{*}) \\ -\varepsilon \theta_{1} \cos(\theta_{1} Y_{*}) & U_{0}'(Y_{*}) \end{bmatrix},$$

with one strictly positive and one strictly negative eigenvalue. Hence, the critical point $(\pi/2, Y_*)$ is always a saddle point.

We want to show that the Hessian (5.4) has two eigenvalues of the same sign at all critical points $(n\pi, Y_{\infty}|_{X=n\pi})$. Since the slope of Y_{∞} changes direction exactly at $X = n\pi$ it follows that also in the case when $U_0(Y_*) = \sin(\theta_1 Y_*) = 0$ we have $\sin(\theta_1 Y_{\infty}|_{x=n\pi}) \neq 0$, but with

$$\operatorname{sgn}\sin(\theta_1 Y_{\infty}|_{X=n\pi}) = -\operatorname{sgn}\sin(\theta_1 Y_{\infty}|_{X=n\pi}),$$

all given that ε is small enough. We now claim that $-\varepsilon \sin(\theta_1 Y_{\infty}|_{X=0})$ and $U'_0(Y_{\infty}|_{X=0})$ have the same sign (cf. (5.4)). The slope of $Y_{\infty}|_{X\in(0,\pi)}$ is determined by the sign of $\cos(\theta_1 Y_{\infty})/U'_0(Y_{\infty})$ in the same interval. We have $\cos(\theta_1 \cdot) > 0$ when $\sin(\theta_1 \cdot)$ is increasing, and contrariwise. The assertion now follows from that $\sin(\theta_1 Y_*) = 0$.

Acknowledgments. ME would like to thank Erik Wahlén for interesting discussions. Part of this research was carried out during the Program "Recent advances in integrable systems of hydrodynamic type" at the Erwin Schrödinger Institute, Vienna; ME and GV thank the organizers for the nice invitation. The authors also acknowledge the comments and suggestions made by the referee, which helped develop the exposition.

References

- Amick, C.J., Fraenkel, L.E., Toland, J.F.: On the Stokes conjecture for the wave of extreme form. Acta. Math. 148, 193–214 (1982)
- [2] Caflisch, R., Lamb, H.: Hydrodynamics (Cambridge Mathematical Library). Cambridge University Press, London (1993)
- [3] Choi, W.: Strongly nonlinear long gravity waves in uniform shear flows. Phys. Rev. E 68, 026305 (2003)
- [4] Constantin, A.: On the deep water wave motion. J. Phys. A 34, 1405–1417 (2001)
- [5] Constantin, A.: The trajectories of particles in Stokes waves. Invent. Math. 166, 523–535 (2006)
- [6] Constantin, A., Ehrnström, M., Villari, G.: Particle trajectories in linear deep-water waves. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 9, 1336–1344 (2008)
- [7] Constantin, A., Ehrnström, M., Wahlén, E.: Symmetry for steady gravity water waves with vorticity. Duke Math. J. 140, 591–603 (2007)
- [8] Constantin, A., Escher, J.: Symmetry of steady deep-water waves with vorticity. Eur. J. Appl. Math. 15, 755–768 (2004)
- [9] Constantin, A., Escher, J.: Symmetry of steady periodic surface water waves with vorticity. J. Fluid Mech. 498, 171–181 (2004)
- [10] Constantin, A., Escher, J.: Particle trajectories in solitary water waves. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 44, 423–431 (2007)
- [11] Constantin, A., Escher, J.: Analyticity of periodic traveling free surface water waves with vorticity. Ann. Math. 173(2), 559–568 (2011)
- [12] Constantin, A., Strauss, W.A.: Exact steady periodic water waves with vorticity. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 57, 481–527 (2004)
- [13] Constantin, A., Strauss, W.A.: Rotational steady water waves near stagnation. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A365, 2227–2239 (2007)
- [14] Constantin, A., Strauss, W.A.: Pressure beneath a Stokes wave. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 63, 533–557 (2010)
- [15] Constantin, A., Varvaruca, E.: Steady periodic water waves with constant vorticity: Regularity and local bifurcation. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 199, 33–67 (2011)
- [16] Constantin, A., Villari, G.: Particle trajectories in linear water waves. J. Math. Fluid Mech. 10, 1–18 (2008)
- [17] Craik, A.D.D.: Wave Interactions and Fluid Flows. Cambridge University Press, London (1988)
- [18] Ehrnström, M., Escher, J., Wahlén, E.: Steady water waves with multiple critical layers. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 43(3), 1436–1456 (2011)
- [19] Ehrnström, M., Holden, H., Raynaud, X.: Symmetric waves are traveling waves. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2009, 4686–4708
- [20] Ehrnström, M., Villari, G.: Linear water waves with vorticity: rotational features and particle paths. J. Differ. Equ. 244, 1888–1909 (2008)
- [21] Ehrnström, M., Villari, G.: Recent progress on particle trajectories in steady water waves. Discret. Cont. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 12, 539–559 (2009)
- [22] Henry, D.: The trajectories of particles in deep-water Stokes waves. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, 1–13 (2006)
- [23] Henry, D.: Particle trajectories in linear periodic capillary and capillary-gravity deep-water waves. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 14, 1–7 (2007)
- [24] Henry, D.: On Gerstner's water wave. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 15, 87–95 (2008)
- [25] Henry, D.: On the deep-water Stokes wave flow. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2008, 1–7 (2008)
- [26] Hur, V.M.: Global bifurcation theory of deep-water waves with vorticity. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 37, 1482–1521 (2006)
- [27] Ionescu-Kruse, D.: Particle trajectories in linearized irrotational shallow water flows. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 15, 13–27 (2008)
- [28] Ionescu-Kruse, D.: Particle trajectories beneath small amplitude shallow water waves in constant vorticity flows. Nonlinear Anal. 71, 3779–3793 (2009)
- [29] Johnson, R.S.: On the nonlinear critical layer below a nonlinear unsteady surface wave. J. Fluid Mech. 167, 327–351 (1986)
- [30] Johnson, R.S.: A Modern Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Water Waves. In: Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997
- [31] Lewy, H.: A note on harmonic functions and a hydrodynamical application. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 3, 111–113 (1952)
- [32] Lighthill, J.: Waves in Fluids. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1978)
- [33] Milnor, J.: Morse theory. Based on lecture notes by Spivak M., Wells R. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 51, Princeton University Press, Princeton N.J, (1963)
- [34] Moore, D.W., Saffman, P.G.: Finite-amplitude waves in inviscid shear flows. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A382, 389–410 (1982)
- [35] Okamoto, H., Shōji, M.: The Mathematical Theory of Permanent Progressive Water-Waves vol. 20 of Advanced Series in Nonlinear Dynamics. World Scientific Publishing, River Edge (2001)
- [36] Plotnikov, P.I.: Proof of the Stokes conjecture in the theory of surface waves. Dinamika Sploshn. Sredy 57, 41-76 (1982) (in Russian); English transl.: Stud. Appl. Math. 108, 217-244 (2002)
- [37] Saffman, P.G.: Dynamics of vorticity. J. Fluid Mech. 106, 49–58 (1981)
- [38] Saffman, P.G.: Vortex Dynamics. Cambridge University Press, London (1995)
- [39] Stokes, G.G.: On the theory of oscillatory waves. Trans. Cambr. Phil. Soc. 8, 441–455 (1847)

- [40] Thomas, G., Klopman, G.: (1997) Wave-current interactions in the near-shore region. In: J. Hunt (eds.) Gravity Waves in Water of Finite Depth. Advances in Fluid Mechanics, Computational Mechanics Publications, Southhampton, pp 255–319 (1997)
- [41] Thorpe, S.A.: An experimental study of critical layers. J. Fluid Mech. 103, 321-344 (1981)
- [42] Toland, J.F.:Stokes waves. Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 7 [8] 1–48 [412–414] (1996 [1997])
- [43] Toland, J.F.: On the symmetry theory for Stokes waves of finite and infinite depth. in Trends in applications of mathematics to mechanics (Nice, 1998). Chapman & Hall/CRC Monogr. In: Surv. Pure Appl. Math., vol. 106, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2000, pp. 207–217
- [44] Varvaruca, E.: On the existence of extreme waves and the Stokes conjecture with vorticity. J. Differ. Equ. 246, 4043–4076 (2009)
- [45] Wahlén, E.: Steady periodic capillary-gravity waves with vorticity. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 38, 921–943 (2006)
- [46] Wahlén, E.: Steady water waves with a critical layer. J. Differ. Equ. 246, 2468–2483 (2009)
- [47] Walsh, S.: Steady periodic gravity waves with surface tension. (2009). arXiv:0911.1375

Mats Ehrnström, Joachim Escher Institut für Angewandte Mathematik Leibniz Universität Hannover Welfengarten 1 30167 Hannover Germany e-mail: ehrnstrom@ifam.uni-hannover.de e-mail: escher@ifam.uni-hannover.de Gabriele Villari Dipartimento di Matematica Viale Morgagni 67/A 50134 Firenze Italy e-mail: villari@math.unifi.it

(accepted: April 10, 2011; published online: August 13, 2011)