



# An Improved Discrete $p$ -Hardy Inequality

Florian Fischer , Matthias Keller and Felix Pogorzelski

**Abstract.** We improve the classical discrete Hardy inequality for  $1 < p < \infty$  for functions on the natural numbers. For integer values of  $p$  the Hardy weight is shown to have a series expansion with strictly positive coefficients. Notably, this weight is optimal, i.e. critical and null-critical.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary 26D15, Secondary 47J05.

## 1. Introduction and Main Result

In 1918 Hardy was looking for a simple and elegant proof of Hilbert's theorem in the context of the convergence of double sums, [12]. Although it is not explicitly mentioned, the paper contains the essential argument for his then famous inequality. In a letter to Hardy in 1921, [20], Landau gave a proof with the sharp constant

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \geq \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n}\right)^p$$

for  $p > 1$  where  $(a_n)$  is an arbitrary sequence of non-negative real numbers. This inequality was first highlighted in [13] and is referred to as a  $p$ -Hardy inequality. Since then various proofs of this inequality were given, where short and elegant ones are due to Elliott [6] and Ingham, see [13, p. 243] and by Lefèvre [21]. See also [15] for a beautiful historical survey about the origins of Hardy's inequality.

It is not hard to see that the inequality above can be derived from the following inequality for compactly supported  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{N})$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(n) - \phi(n-1)|^p \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_p^H(n) |\phi(n)|^p,$$

where

$$w_p^H(n) = \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p \frac{1}{n^p}.$$

In this work, we show that the classical weight  $w_p^H$  can be replaced by a pointwise strictly larger weight  $w_p$ , and the aforementioned Hardy inequality

holds with  $w_p^H$  being replaced by  $w_p$ . Recently, the concept of optimality was studied for general  $1 < p < \infty$  on weighted graphs in [7]. Employing these results, we conclude that  $w_p$  is *optimal*, which means that

- for every function  $w$  with  $w \geq w_p$  and  $w \neq w_p$ , the  $p$ -Hardy inequality does not hold (criticality), and in addition
- $u \notin \ell^p(\mathbb{N}, w_p)$ , where  $u(n) = n^{(p-1)/p}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (null-criticality).

The first optimality criterion says that there cannot be a  $p$ -Hardy weight dominating  $w_p$ . The second optimality criterion says that the underlying ground state  $u$  is not an eigenfunction and so, the Hardy inequality does not admit a minimizer.

Consequently, by [7, Theorem 2.6], the weight  $w_p$  is also *optimal at infinity*, which means that for every  $\lambda > 0$  and each finite set  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$ , the weight  $(1+\lambda)w_p$  does not yield a  $p$ -Hardy inequality for functions  $\varphi$  supported outside of  $K$ . This criterion in particular shows that the constant  $((p-1)/p)^p$  is optimal, a fact already known for a century, cf. [15].

We formulate the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $p > 1$ . Then, for all  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{N})$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(n) - \phi(n-1)|^p \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_p(n) |\phi(n)|^p,$$

where  $w_p$  is a strictly positive function given by

$$w_p(n) = \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\right)^{p-1} - \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} - 1\right)^{p-1}.$$

Furthermore,  $w_p$  is optimal, and we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$w_p(n) > w_p^H(n).$$

Moreover, for integer  $p \geq 2$ , we have  $w_p(n) = \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}_0} c_k n^{-k-p}$  with  $c_k > 0$ .

*Example 2.* The case  $p = 2$  was already covered in [16] (with optimality proven in [17]), and via a different method in [11, 19]. In this case one gets  $w_2(1) = 2 - \sqrt{2}$  and for  $n \geq 2$

$$w_2(n) = - \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}} \binom{1/2}{k} \frac{2}{n^k} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{5}{64} \frac{1}{n^4} + \frac{21}{512} \frac{1}{n^6} + \frac{429}{16384} \frac{1}{n^8} + \dots$$

In the case  $p = 3$ , one obtains  $w_3(1) = 1 - (2^{2/3} - 1)^2$  and for  $n \geq 2$

$$w_3(n) = \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \left(2 \binom{2/3}{k} - \binom{4/3}{k}\right) \frac{2}{n^k} = \frac{8}{27} \frac{1}{n^3} + \frac{8}{81} \frac{1}{n^5} + \frac{112}{2187} \frac{1}{n^7} + \dots$$

In the case  $p = 4$ , one gets  $w_4(1) = 1 - (2^{3/4} - 1)^3$  and for  $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} w_4(n) &= \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}+2} \left(3 \binom{3/2}{k} - 3 \binom{3/4}{k} - \binom{9/4}{k}\right) \frac{2}{n^k} \\ &= \frac{81}{256} \frac{1}{n^4} + \frac{891}{8192} \frac{1}{n^6} + \frac{58653}{1048576} \frac{1}{n^8} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

For general  $p > 1$  one obtains the asymptotics

$$w_p(n) = \left(\frac{p-1}{pn}\right)^p (1 + \epsilon_p(n)),$$

where

$$\epsilon_p(n) = \left(\frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{8p}\right) \frac{1}{n^2} + \left(\frac{215p^3 - 38p^2 - 31p + 6}{1152p^3}\right) \frac{1}{n^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^6}\right).$$

From this formula it is clear that  $w_p(n)$  is strictly larger than the classical Hardy weight for large  $n$ . Note however that the theorem above states that  $\epsilon_p(n) > 0$  at all places  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is not hard to check that  $\epsilon_p(n)$  can be expanded into a power series with respect to  $1/n$  where all odd coefficients vanish. Theorem 1 states that for integer  $p \geq 2$  these coefficients are positive. We conjecture that all these coefficients are strictly positive for all  $p > 1$ .

*Remark 3.* It is easy to see that for  $1 < p < \infty$ , our  $p$ -Hardy inequality can be stated as follows: for every real-valued sequence  $a = (a_n)$ , one has

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p \geq \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + \epsilon_p(n)) \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \right|^p,$$

where the function  $\epsilon_p$  is as in the previous example. Denoting by  $C$  the Cesàro mean operator on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ , defined as  $C(a) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$ , the above inequality says that  $C : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N}, \rho)$  is bounded, where  $\rho = 1 + \epsilon_p$  is understood as a measure on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Equivalently, one obtains  $\ell^p$ -boundedness of a weighted version of the Cesàro mean operator. For certain weights, such boundedness phenomena were studied recently in [22].

## 2. Proof of the Hardy Inequality

The combinatorial  $p$ -Laplacian  $\Delta_p$  for real valued functions on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is given by

$$\Delta_p f(n) = \sum_{m=n\pm 1} \operatorname{sgn}(f(n) - f(m)) |f(n) - f(m)|^{p-1}$$

for all functions  $f$  and  $n \geq 1$ , where  $\operatorname{sgn}$  is the function which takes the value  $-1$  on  $(-\infty, 0)$ , the value  $1$  on  $(0, \infty)$  and  $0$  at  $0$ .

The following proposition shows that the existence of a suitable positive supersolution of  $\Delta_p u \geq 0$  implies the non-negativity of the corresponding energy functional. This is one of the implications of the so-called Allegretto-Piepenbrink-type theorem (see [1, 2, 23] for linear versions in the continuum, [4, 18] for a linear version in the discrete setting, [24] for a non-linear version in the continuum and [8] for a recent version in the quasi-linear discrete setting). This statement is used to show that the weight  $w_p$  is in fact a  $p$ -Hardy weight.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $p > 1$  and let  $u : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be strictly positive on  $\mathbb{N}$  and such that  $u(0) = 0$ . Suppose that  $w : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $\Delta_p u = wu^{p-1}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ .*

Then for all  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{N})$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$  we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\phi(n) - \phi(n - 1)|^p \geq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} w(n) |\phi(n)|^p.$$

The proof follows along the lines of the proof of Proposition 2.2 in [10].

*Proof.* Let  $p > 1$ . From Lemma 2.6 in [10], we obtain for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$

$$|a - t|^p \geq (1 - t)^{p-1} (|a|^p - t).$$

Let  $w$  be such that  $\Delta_p u = wu^{p-1}$ . For given  $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{N})$ , we can consider  $\psi = \varphi/u \in C_c(\mathbb{N})$ , by strict positivity of  $u$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ . We assume for a moment that  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  are such that  $u(n) \geq u(m)$  and  $\psi(m) \neq 0$ . We apply the above inequality with the choice  $t = u(m)/u(n)$  and  $a = \psi(n)/\psi(m)$  in order to obtain

$$|(u\psi)(n) - (u\psi)(m)|^p \geq |u(n) - u(m)|^{p-1} (|\psi(n)|^p u(n) - |\psi(m)|^p u(m)).$$

Further, since  $u^p(n) \geq |u(n) - u(m)|^{p-1} u(n)$ , the above inequality remains true even if  $\psi(m) = 0$ . Summing over  $\mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |(u\psi)(n) - (u\psi)(n - 1)|^p \\ & \geq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{sgn}(u(n) - u(n - 1)) |u(n) - u(n - 1)|^{p-1} \cdot \\ & \quad (|\psi(n)|^p u(n) - |\psi(n - 1)|^p u(n - 1)) \\ & = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_p u(n) |\psi(n)|^p u(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} w(n) u^p(n) |\psi(n)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the latter two equalities follow from rearranging the involved sums while recalling that  $u(0) = 0$ , and using the assumption  $\Delta_p u = wu^{p-1}$ . Recalling that  $\phi = u\psi$ , we infer the statement.  $\square$

Next we show that for the weight  $w_p$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  taken from Theorem 1

$$w_p(n) = \left(1 - (1 - 1/n)^{(p-1)/p}\right)^{p-1} - \left((1 + 1/n)^{(p-1)/p} - 1\right)^{p-1},$$

there is a suitable positive function  $u$  such that  $\Delta_p u = w_p u^{p-1}$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $p > 1$ . Then, the function  $u: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $u(n) = n^{(p-1)/p}$  satisfies*

$$\Delta_p u = w_p u^{p-1} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* One directly checks that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{\Delta_p u(n)}{u^{p-1}(n)} = \frac{\Delta_p n^{(p-1)/p}}{n^{(p-1)^2/p}} = w_p(n)$$

which immediately yields the statement.  $\square$

The choice of the function  $u$  in the previous proposition is motivated by the so-called supersolution construction which yields optimal  $p$ -Hardy weights both in the continuum case for  $p > 1$ , cf. [3, 5], as well as for graphs with  $p = 2$ , cf. [17]. Moreover, for  $p = 2$ , the function  $u(n) = n^{1/2}$  arises naturally in the method applied in [11, 19] for a proof of the optimal Hardy inequality on the line graph.

Combining the two propositions above already yields the  $p$ -Hardy inequality with the weight  $w_p$ . Next we show that  $w_p$  is strictly larger than the classical Hardy weight  $w_p^H(n) = ((p - 1)/p)^p n^{-p}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### 3. Proof of $w_p > w_p^H$

In this section we show that the weight

$$w_p(n) = \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\right)^{p-1} - \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} - 1\right)^{p-1}$$

from the main theorem, Theorem 1, is strictly larger than the classical  $p$ -Hardy weight

$$w_p^H(n) = \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p \frac{1}{n^p}.$$

In fact, for fixed  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , we analyze the function  $w: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

$$w(x) = \left(1 - (1-x)^{1/q}\right)^{p-1} - \left((1+x)^{1/q} - 1\right)^{p-1}$$

for  $x \in [0, 1/2]$  and  $x = 1$ , where  $q \in (1, \infty)$  is such that  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Specifically, we show

$$w(x) > \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^p.$$

The case  $x = 1$  is simple and is treated at the end of the section. The proof for  $x \leq 1/2$  is also elementary but more involved. We proceed by bringing  $w_p$  into form for which we then analyze its parts. This will be eventually done by a case distinction depending on  $p$ .

Recall the binomial theorem for  $r \in [0, \infty)$  and  $0 \leq x \leq 1$

$$(1 \pm x)^r = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{r}{k} (\pm 1)^k x^k$$

where  $\binom{r}{0} = 1$ ,  $\binom{r}{1} = r$  and  $\binom{r}{k} = r(r-1)\cdots(r-k+1)/k!$  for  $k \geq 2$  which is derived from the Taylor expansion of the function  $x \mapsto (1 \pm x)^r$ . Applying this formula to the function  $w$  from above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(x) &= \left( -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/q}{k} (-x)^k \right)^{p-1} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/q}{k} x^k \right)^{p-1} \\
 &= \left( \frac{x}{q} \right)^{p-1} \left( \left( q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{1/q}{k+1} (-x)^k \right)^{p-1} - \left( q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{1/q}{k+1} x^k \right)^{p-1} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

To streamline notation we set

$$g(x) = q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/q}{k+1} x^k.$$

Note that since  $q \binom{1/q}{1} = 1$  and  $q \left| \binom{1/q}{k} \right| < 1$  for  $k \geq 2$ , we have  $0 < |g(\pm x)| < 1$  for  $0 < x \leq 1/2$ . Thus, we can apply the binomial theorem to  $(1 + g(\pm x))^{p-1}$  in order to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(x) &= \left( \frac{x}{q} \right)^{p-1} \left( (1 + g(-x))^{p-1} - (1 + g(x))^{p-1} \right) \\
 &= \left( \frac{x}{q} \right)^{p-1} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x)) \right) \\
 &= \left( \frac{x}{q} \right)^{p-1} \left( \binom{p-1}{1} (g(-x) - g(x)) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x)) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have to show that the second factor on the right hand side is strictly larger than  $x/q$ . Using  $q = p/(p-1)$  we compute the first term in the parenthesis on the left hand side

$$\begin{aligned}
 \binom{p-1}{1} (g(-x) - g(x)) &= q(p-1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/q}{k+1} ((-x)^k - x^k) \\
 &= \frac{q(p-1)(1/q)(1/q-1)}{2} (-2x) + q(p-1) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{1/q}{k+1} ((-x)^k - x^k) \\
 &= \frac{x}{q} - 2p \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \binom{1/q}{k+1} x^k \\
 &= \frac{x}{q} + E_p(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$E_p(x) = -2p \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \binom{1/q}{k+1} x^k > 0$$

since  $-2p \binom{1/q}{k+1} > 0$  for odd  $k$  and  $x > 0$ . So, it remains to show that for the term

$$F_p(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x))$$

we have for  $0 < x \leq 1/2$

$$E_p(x) + F_p(x) > 0.$$

Specifically, we then get with the substitution  $x = 1/n$

$$w_p(n) = w(1/n) = \left(\frac{1}{nq}\right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{nq} + E_p(1/n) + F_p(1/n)\right) > \frac{1}{(nq)^p} = w_p^H(n)$$

for  $n \geq 2$ .

*Remark 6.* It is not hard to see that  $F_p \geq 0$  whenever  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  is integer valued. Indeed,  $g(-x) \geq g(x)$  as all terms in the sum  $g(-x)$  are positive since  $-\binom{1/q}{k+1} \geq 0$  for odd  $k$ , while the terms in  $g(x)$  alternate, (they are positive for even  $k$  and negative for odd  $k$ ). Moreover for positive integers  $p$  the binomial coefficients  $\binom{p-1}{n}$  are positive. Thus, the Hardy weight we computed is larger than the classical one for integer  $p$ .

Let us now turn to the proof of

$$E_p(x) + F_p(x) > 0$$

for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $0 < x \leq 1/2$ .

We collect the following basic properties of the function  $g$  which were partially already discussed above and will be used subsequently.

**Lemma 7.** *For  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $0 < x \leq 1/2$ , we have*

$$-1 < g(x) < 0 < -g(x) < g(-x) < 1.$$

*Proof.* The function  $g$  is given by  $g(x) = q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/q}{k+1} x^k$ . Since  $q > 1$ , the coefficients  $b_k = q \binom{1/q}{k+1}$  are negative for odd  $k$  and positive for even  $k$ . Furthermore, the sequence  $(|b_k|)$  takes values strictly less than 1 and decays monotonically. Thus, the asserted inequalities follow easily.  $\square$

We distinguish the following three cases depending on  $p$  for which the arguments are quite different:

- $p$  lies between an odd and an even number with the subcases:
  - $p \in [3, \infty)$
  - $p \in (1, 2]$
- $p$  lies between an even and an odd number.

Here, for  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ , we say that  $p$  is between  $a$  and  $b$  if  $a \leq p \leq b$ .

We start with investigating the case of  $p$  lying between an odd and an even number. To this end we consider two subsequent summands as they appear in the sum given by  $F_p$  and show that they are positive. (Indeed the sum in  $F_p$  starts at  $n = 2$  but we also consider the corresponding term for  $n = 1$ .)

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $p$  be between an odd and an even integer. Then, for all  $0 < x \leq 1/2$  and odd  $n \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1$*

$$\binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x)) + \binom{p-1}{n+1} (g^{n+1}(-x) - g^{n+1}(x)) \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be between an odd and an even integer. We first consider  $n < p - 1$ . In the case  $k \leq p - 1$ , we have  $\binom{p-1}{k} \geq 0$ . So, the statement for  $n < p - 1$  follows directly from Lemma 7 as  $|g(x)| < 1$  for  $0 < x \leq 1/2$ . (Observe that  $n + 1 \leq p - 1$  for  $n < p - 1$  and  $n \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1$  as  $p$  is between an odd and an even integer.)

On the other hand, for odd  $n \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1$  with  $n \geq p - 1$ ,

$$\binom{p-1}{n} \geq -\binom{p-1}{n+1} \geq 0.$$

From Lemma 7 we know that  $g^{n+1}(x) \geq 0 \geq g^n(x)$  for odd  $n \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1$  and  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$ .

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x)) + \binom{p-1}{n+1} (g^{n+1}(-x) - g^{n+1}(x)) \\ &= \binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x)) - \left| \binom{p-1}{n+1} \right| (g^{n+1}(-x) - g^{n+1}(x)) \\ &\geq \binom{p-1}{n} g^n(-x) - \left| \binom{p-1}{n+1} \right| g^{n+1}(-x) \\ &\geq \left| \binom{p-1}{n+1} \right| (g^n(-x) - g^{n+1}(-x)) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from  $0 \leq g(-x) < 1$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$  thanks to Lemma 7. □

With Lemma 8 we can treat the case of  $p \geq 3$  lying between an odd and an even number. This is done in the next proposition.

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $p \geq 3$  be between an odd and an even integer. Then, for all  $0 < x \leq 1/2$  we have  $F_p(x) \geq 0$  and*

$$E_p(x) + F_p(x) > 0.$$

*In particular,  $w_p(n) > w_p^H(n)$  for  $n \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* We can write  $F_p(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x))$  as

$$\begin{aligned} F_p(x) &= \binom{p-1}{2} (g^2(-x) - g^2(x)) \\ &+ \sum_{n \in 2\mathbb{N}+1}^{\infty} \left( \binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x)) + \binom{p-1}{n+1} (g^{n+1}(-x) - g^{n+1}(x)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 8 the terms in the sum on the right hand side are all positive. Furthermore,  $\binom{p-1}{2} \geq 0$  for  $p \geq 3$  and  $g(-x) \geq |g(x)|$  by Lemma 7. Thus, also the first term on the right hand side is positive as well and  $F_p \geq 0$  follows. From the discussion in the beginning in the section we take  $E_p(x) > 0$  for  $0 < x \leq 1/2$ . The "in particular" follows from the discussion above Lemma 7. □



Note that we cannot treat the case  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  in the same way since the sum in  $F_p$  starts at the index  $n = 2$ . Hence, there is still a negative term  $\binom{p-1}{2}(g^2(-x) - g^2(x))$ . We deal with this case,  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , next.

We denote the Taylor coefficients of  $x \mapsto g(-x)$  by  $a_k$ , i.e.,

$$g(-x) = q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/q}{k+1} (-x)^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^k,$$

$$g(x) = q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/q}{k+1} x^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k (-1)^k x^k.$$

The function  $E_p(x) = -2p \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \binom{1/q}{k+1} x^k$  is odd and, therefore, we have

$$E_p(x) = 2(p-1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n+1} x^{2n+1}.$$

Furthermore, recall that  $E_p(x) > 0$  for  $x > 0$ , since  $-2p \binom{1/q}{k+1} > 0$  for odd  $k$ .

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $p \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$ . Then,*

$$g(-x) + g(x) \leq \frac{4}{9} \cdot \frac{(p+1)}{p^2} x^2.$$

*Proof.* We calculate using  $a_2 \geq a_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ , the geometric series,  $x \leq 1/2$  and the specific value of the Taylor coefficient  $a_2 = q \binom{1/q}{3} = \frac{(p+1)}{6p^2}$

$$g(-x) + g(x) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{2k} x^{2k} \leq 2a_2 \frac{x^2}{1-x^2} \leq \frac{8}{3} a_2 x^2 = \frac{4}{9} \cdot \frac{(p+1)}{p^2} x^2. \quad \square$$

With the help of this lemma and Lemma 8 we can treat the case  $p \in (1, 2]$ .

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $p \in (1, 2]$ . Then, for all  $0 < x \leq 1/2$ , we have*

$$E_p(x) + F_p(x) > 0.$$

*In particular,  $w_p(n) > w_p^H(n)$  for  $n \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* We show  $E_p + F_p > 0$  and deduce the “in particular” from the discussion above Lemma 7. By Lemma 8 we have for all  $0 < x \leq 1/2$

$$\begin{aligned} F_p(x) &= \binom{p-1}{2} (g^2(-x) - g^2(x)) + \sum_{n \in 2\mathbb{N}+1}^{\infty} \left( \binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \binom{p-1}{n+1} (g^{n+1}(-x) - g^{n+1}(x)) \right) \\ &\geq \binom{p-1}{2} (g^2(-x) - g^2(x)) \\ &= \frac{p-2}{2} (g(-x) + g(x)) \left( E_p(x) + \frac{p-1}{p} x \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{(p-2)(p+1)}{p^2} \left( E_p(x) + \frac{p-1}{p} x \right) \cdot x^2 \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{9} E_p(x) + \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{(p-2)(p-1)(p+1)}{p^3} \cdot x^3 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of  $E_p$ , i.e.,  $(p-1)(g(-x) - g(x)) = E_p(x) + \frac{p-1}{p}x$  and Lemma 10 which is justified since  $E_p(x) > 0$  and  $p-2 < 0$ . Moreover, in the last step we estimated the coefficient of the first term in its minimum in  $p=1$  and  $x=1/2$ .

Now, we use the representation of  $E_p$  as a power series to estimate

$$E_p(x) = -2p \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \binom{1/q}{k+1} x^k \geq -2p \binom{1/q}{4} x^3 = \frac{(p-1)(p+1)(2p+1)}{12p^3} x^3.$$

Putting this together with the estimate on  $F_p$  above, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} E_p(x) + F_p(x) &\geq \frac{8}{9} E_p(x) + \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{(p-2)(p-1)(p+1)}{p^3} \cdot x^3 \\ &\geq \left( \frac{8}{9} \frac{(p-1)(p+1)(2p+1)}{12p^3} + \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{(p-2)(p-1)(p+1)}{p^3} \right) \cdot x^3 \\ &= \frac{10(p-1)^2(p+1)}{27p^3} \cdot x^3 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it remains to consider the case of  $p$  between an even and an odd integer for which we need the following three lemmas.

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $p, q \geq 1$  such that  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Then,*

$$a_k = q \left| \binom{1/q}{k+1} \right| \geq \frac{1}{pk(k+1)} = \frac{1}{q(p-1)k(k+1)}.$$

*Proof.* We calculate using  $1/p + 1/q = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} q \left| \binom{1/q}{k+1} \right| &= \frac{(1 - 1/q)(2 - 1/q)(3 - 1/q) \cdots (k - 1/q)}{(k + 1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{pk(k + 1)} \frac{(1 + 1/p)(2 + 1/p) \cdots ((k - 1) + 1/p)}{(k - 1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{pk(k + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2p}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{1}{(k - 1)p}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{pk(k + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}, k > p$ . Then,*

$$\left| \binom{p - 1}{k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4(p - 1)} = \frac{(q - 1)}{4}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $n - 1 \leq p \leq n$ . Moreover, let  $\gamma = p - (n - 1)$ , i.e.,  $1 - \gamma = n - p$ , so,  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $k > p$  and  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $k \geq n$  and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \binom{p - 1}{k} \right| &= \left| \frac{(p - 1)(p - 2) \cdots (p - (n - 1))(p - n) \cdots (p - k)}{k!} \right| \\ &= \left| \binom{p - 1}{n - 1} \binom{p - 2}{n - 2} \cdots \frac{(p - (n - 1))}{1} \binom{p - n}{n} \cdots \binom{p - k}{k} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{(p - (n - 1))(p - n)}{n} \right| = \frac{\gamma(1 - \gamma)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{4(p - 1)} = \frac{(q - 1)}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 14.** *For  $0 < x \leq 1/2$  and  $q > 1$ , we get*

$$g(-x) \leq \frac{(q - 1)(5q - 1)}{6q^2} x.$$

*Proof.* We calculate using  $a_2 \geq a_k$  for  $k \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} g(-x) &= q \left( \left| \binom{1/q}{2} \right| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \binom{1/q}{k+2} \right| x^k \right) x \\ &\leq q \left( \left| \binom{1/q}{2} \right| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \binom{1/q}{k+2} \right| 2^{-k} \right) x \\ &\leq q \left( \left| \binom{1/q}{2} \right| + \left| \binom{1/q}{3} \right| \right) x \\ &= \frac{(q - 1)(5q - 1)}{6q^2} x. \end{aligned}$$

With the help of these lemmas we can finally treat the case where  $p$  lies between an even and an odd number.

**Proposition 15.** *Let  $p \in [2, \infty)$  be between an even and an odd integer. Then, for all  $0 < x \leq 1/2$  we have*

$$E_p(x) + F_p(x) > 0.$$

*In particular,  $w_p(n) > w_p^H(n)$  for  $n \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, we have  $\binom{p-1}{n} \geq 0$  for  $n \leq p$  and for  $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ . Since we have  $g(-x) \geq |g(x)|$  by Lemma 7, we obtain for the first  $n \leq p$  terms and the terms for even  $n$  in  $F_p(x)$  that

$$\binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x)) \geq 0.$$

Note that  $E_p(x) = 2(p-1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n+1} x^{2n+1} > 2(p-1) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{2n+1} x^{2n+1}$  since the coefficients  $a_k$  are positive. With the observation made at the beginning of the proof, this leads to

$$E_p(x) + F_p(x) > \sum_{n \in 2\mathbb{N}+1, n \geq p} \left( 2(p-1)a_n x^n + \binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x)) \right).$$

We continue to show that all the terms in the sum are strictly positive which finishes the proof. To this end note that for  $n \geq p$  with  $n \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$ , we use  $g(-x) \geq |g(x)|$ , see Lemma 7, as well as  $\binom{p-1}{n} \leq 0$  in the first step and the estimate on  $\binom{p-1}{n}$ , see Lemma 13, and the estimate on  $g(-x)$ , see Lemma 14, in the second step in order to get

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x)) &\geq 2 \binom{p-1}{n} g^n(-x) \\ &\geq -\frac{(q-1)}{2} \left( \frac{(q-1)(5q-1)}{6q^2} \right)^n x^n \end{aligned}$$

We use the estimate on  $a_n$ , Lemma 12,

$$2(p-1)a_n x^n \geq 2 \frac{1}{qn(n+1)} x^n.$$

Next, we put these two estimates together and find that the minimum in the coefficient is clearly assumed at  $q = 2$  since  $p \geq 2 \geq q$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( 2(p-1)a_n x^n + \binom{p-1}{n} (g^n(-x) - g^n(x)) \right) \\ &\geq 2 \left( \frac{1}{qn(n+1)} - \frac{(q-1)}{4} \left( \frac{(q-1)(5q-1)}{6q^2} \right)^n \right) x^n \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{n(n+1)} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3}{8} \right)^n \right) x^n \geq \left( \frac{1}{n(n+1)} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right) x^n > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the positivity follows by a simple induction argument. This concludes the proof by noticing that the ‘‘in particular’’ part follows from the discussion above Lemma 7. □

In summary, the above considerations yield

$$E_p(x) + F_p(x) > 0$$

for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $0 < x \leq 1/2$ . By the discussion at the beginning of the section this yields  $w_p(n) > w_p^H(n)$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

We finish the section by treating the case  $n = 1$  which corresponds to  $x = 1$ . With this we finally conclude that  $w_p(n) > w_p^H(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$  in the next section.

**Proposition 16.** *Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Then,  $w_p(1) > w_p^H(1)$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $w_p(1) = 1 - (2^{1-1/p} - 1)^{p-1}$  and  $w_p^H = (1 - 1/p)^p$ . By the mean value theorem applied to the function  $[1, 2] \rightarrow [1, 2^{1-1/p}]$ ,  $t \mapsto t^{1-1/p}$  we find

$$2^{1-1/p} - 1 < 1 - \frac{1}{p}.$$

Therefore,

$$w_p(1) - w_p^H(1) > 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{p-1} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^p.$$

Now the function  $\psi: (1, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $p \mapsto (1 - 1/p)^{p-1} + (1 - 1/p)^p$  is strictly monotonically decreasing because

$$\psi'(p) = \frac{1}{p-1} \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p \left((2p-1) \log\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) + 2\right) < 0,$$

since  $\theta: p \mapsto (2p-1) \log(p-1)/p$  is strictly monotonically increasing and we have  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \theta(p) = -2$ . Hence, we conclude

$$w_p(1) - w_p^H(1) > 1 - \psi(p) > 1 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \psi(t) = 0.$$

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Combining Propositions 4 and 5 from Sect. 2 yields that  $w_p$  satisfies the Hardy inequality. In Sect. 3, one obtains from Proposition 9, Proposition 11, Proposition 15 and Proposition 16 that  $w_p > w_p^H$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

The optimality of the  $p$ -Hardy weight, i.e., for every  $w \gneq w_p$  the  $p$ -Hardy inequality fails (criticality), and in addition  $u \notin \ell^p(\mathbb{N}, w_p)$ , where  $u(n) = n^{(p-1)/p}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (null-criticality) can be deduced from [7, Theorem 2.3]. To this end one has to check that the function  $v > 0$  which gives rise to the Hardy weight via the supersolution construction, i.e.,  $u = v^{(p-1)/p}$  and  $w_p = \Delta_p u / u^{(p-1)}$  is proper, i.e., the preimage of every compact set in  $(0, \infty)$  is compact, and of bounded oscillation, i.e.,  $C^{-1} \leq v(n)/v(n+1) \leq C$  for some  $C > 0$  and all  $n$ . These two properties are clearly satisfied for the identity function  $\text{id}(n) = n$  which was used in Proposition 5.

Note that given criticality, null-criticality is also a simple consequence of  $w_p > w_p^H$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  and since  $u(n) = n^{(p-1)/p}$  is not in  $\ell^p(\mathbb{N}, w_p^H)$ . Moreover, in the remark below, Remark 17, we give a sketch of the proof of criticality to give the reader an idea of the arguments involved.

To see the statement about the coefficients in the series expansion of  $w_p$  for integer  $p \geq 2$ , recall the function

$$w(x) = (1 - (1 - x)^{1/q})^{p-1} - ((1 + x)^{1/q} - 1)^{p-1}$$

with  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  on  $[0, 1]$  from the previous section. It is easy to check that the function  $w_+ : x \mapsto (1 - (1 - x)^{1/q})^{p-1}$  is absolutely monotonic on  $[0, 1)$  for integer  $p \geq 2$  with strictly positive derivatives. On the other hand, expanding the function  $w_- : x \mapsto ((1 + x)^{1/q} - 1)^{p-1}$  at  $x = 0$ , we observe that it has the same Taylor coefficients in absolute value as  $w_+$ . However, the signs alternate such that for the difference  $w_+ - w_-$  of these two functions the even/odd coefficients cancel for odd/even  $p$ . Furthermore, in the Taylor expansion of  $w$  at  $x = 0$  the first non-zero coefficient is the one for  $x^p$  (confer Sect. 3). □

*Remark 17.* (Sketch of the proof of criticality) Criticality of the weight  $w_p$  is equivalent to existence of a null sequence, i.e., existence of  $0 \leq \varphi_N \in C_c(\mathbb{N})$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(1) = 1$  and  $\varphi_N$  converging pointwise to  $u(n) = n^{1/q}$  and

$$h(\varphi_N) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varphi_N(n) - \varphi_N(n+1)|^p - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_p^H(n) |\varphi_N(n)|^p \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty$$

cf. [8, Theorem 5.1]. We denote  $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and choose

$$\varphi_N = u\psi_N \quad \text{with} \quad \psi_N(n) = 0 \vee \left(1 - \frac{\log n}{\log N}\right)$$

for  $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u(n) = n^{1/q}$ . We now use the simplified energy from [9, Theorem 3.1] which serves as a substitute of a ground state transform for  $p \neq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} h(u\psi) \asymp h_u(\psi) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u(n)u(n+1)|\psi(n) - \psi(n+1)|^2 \cdot \\ &\quad \times \left( (u(n)u(n+1))^{\frac{1}{2}} |\psi(n) - \psi(n+1)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{|\psi(n)| + |\psi(n+1)|}{2} |u(n) - u(n+1)| \right)^{p-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\asymp$  means that there are two sided estimates with positive constants independent of  $\psi$ . We employ  $u(n) = n^{1/q}$  and the definition of  $\varphi_N, \psi_N$  to obtain

$$h(\varphi_N) \asymp h_u(\psi_N) \leq \frac{C}{\log^p N} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N n^{p-1} \log^p \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-1} \log^{p-2} \left(\frac{N}{n}\right) \right),$$

where we used  $a(b+c)^r \leq C(ab^r + ac^r)$  for all  $a, b, c \geq 0$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and some  $C = C(r)$  to split the sum into two sums,  $|(0 \vee a) - (0 \vee b)| \leq |a - b|$  for all

$a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|n^r - (n+1)^r| \asymp n^{r-1}$  for  $r \in (0, \infty)$  cf. e.g. [14, Lemma 2.28]. Now, using  $\log(1 + 1/n) \leq 1/n$  and again  $a(b+c)^r \leq C(ab^r + ac^r)$ , we infer

$$h_u(\psi_N) \leq \frac{C}{\log^p N} (\log N + \log^{p-1} N) \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty$$

which finishes the proof.

While we know that all Taylor coefficients of the Hardy weight  $w_p$  are strictly positive for integer  $p \geq 2$ , we only know  $w_p > w_p^H$  for non-integer  $p > 1$ . This leads us to the following conjecture.

**Conjecture.** We conjecture that  $x \mapsto w(x)$  as defined in Sect. 3 is absolutely monotonic.

### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge inspiring discussions with Y. Pinchover. Moreover, the authors are grateful for the financial support of the DFG. Furthermore, F. F. thanks the Heinrich-Böll-Stiftung for the support. Furthermore, we express our gratitude towards the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions.

**Data availability** Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

### References

- [1] Allegretto, W.: On the equivalence of two types of oscillation for elliptic operators. *Pac. J. Math.* **55**, 319–328 (1974)
- [2] de Monvel, A.B., Lenz, D., Stollmann, P.: Sch'nol's theorem for strongly local forms. *Israel J. Math.* **173**, 189–211 (2009)
- [3] Devyver, B., Fraas, M., Pinchover, Y.: Optimal Hardy weight for second-order elliptic operator: an answer to a problem of Agmon. *J. Funct. Anal.* **266**(7), 4422–4489 (2014)
- [4] Dodziuk, J.: Difference equations, isoperimetric inequality and transience of certain random walks. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **284**(2), 787–794 (1984)

- [5] Devyver, B., Pinchover, Y.: Optimal  $L^p$  Hardy-type inequalities. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **33**(1), 93–118 (2016)
- [6] Elliott, E.B.: A simple exposition of some recently proved facts as to convergency. *J. London Math. Soc.* **1**(2), 93–96 (1926)
- [7] Fischer, F.: On the Optimality and Decay of  $p$ -Hardy Weights on Graphs (2022). [arXiv:2212.07728](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.07728)
- [8] Fischer, F.: Quasi-Linear Criticality Theory and Green’s Functions on Graphs (2022). [arXiv:2207.05445](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.05445)
- [9] Fischer, F.: A non-local quasi-linear ground state representation and criticality theory. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **62**(5), 33 (2023)
- [10] Frank, R.L., Seiringer, R.: Non-linear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* **255**(12), 3407–3430 (2008)
- [11] Gerhat, B., Krejčířik, D., Štampach, F.: An improved discrete Rellich inequality on the half-line. to appear in *Israel J. Math* (2022). [arXiv:2206.11007](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.11007)
- [12] Hardy, G.H.: Note on a theorem of Hilbert. *Math. Z.* **6**(3–4), 314–317 (1920)
- [13] Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E., Pólya, G.: *Inequalities*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1934)
- [14] Keller, M., Lenz, D., Wojciechowski, R.K: Graphs and discrete Dirichlet spaces, volume 358 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Cham, [2021] © (2021)
- [15] Kufner, A., Maligranda, L., Persson, L.E.: The prehistory of the Hardy inequality. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **113**(8), 715–732 (2006)
- [16] Keller, Matthias, Pinchover, Yehuda, Pogorzelski, Felix: An improved discrete Hardy inequality. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **125**(4), 347–350 (2018)
- [17] Keller, M., Pinchover, Y., Pogorzelski, F.: Optimal Hardy inequalities for Schrödinger operators on graphs. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **358**(2), 767–790 (2018)
- [18] Keller, M., Pinchover, Y., Pogorzelski, F.: Criticality theory for Schrödinger operators on graphs. *J. Spectr. Theory* **10**(1), 73–114 (2020)
- [19] Krejčířik, D., Štampach, F.: A sharp form of the discrete Hardy inequality and the Keller–Pinchover–Pogorzelski inequality. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **129**(3), 281–283 (2022)
- [20] Landau, E.: A letter to G. H. Hardy, June 21, 1921 (1921)
- [21] Lefèvre, P.: A short direct proof of the discrete Hardy’s inequality. *Archiv der Mathematik*, pp. 1–4, Oct (2019)
- [22] Lefèvre, P.: Weighted discrete Hardy’s inequalities. To appear in *Ukr. J. Math* (2020)
- [23] Piepenbrink, J.: Nonoscillatory elliptic equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* **15**, 541–550 (1974)
- [24] Pinchover, Y., Psaradakis, G.: On positive solutions of the  $(p, A)$ -Laplacian with potential in Morrey space. *Anal. PDE* **9**(6), 1317–1358 (2016)

Florian Fischer (✉) and Matthias Keller  
Institute of Mathematics  
University of Potsdam  
Potsdam  
Germany  
e-mail: [florifis@uni-potsdam.de](mailto:florifis@uni-potsdam.de)



Matthias Keller  
e-mail: [matthias.keller@uni-potsdam.de](mailto:matthias.keller@uni-potsdam.de)

Felix Pogorzelski  
Institute of Mathematics  
University of Leipzig  
Leipzig  
Germany  
e-mail: [felix.pogorzelski@math.uni-leipzig.de](mailto:felix.pogorzelski@math.uni-leipzig.de)

Received: January 12, 2023.

Revised: August 23, 2023.

Accepted: August 25, 2023.