



Stability of the Norm-Eigenfunctions of the $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian

Jan Lang and Osvaldo Méndez

Abstract. We investigate the stability of the Dirichlet eigenfunctions for the $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian under perturbations of the variable-exponent $p(\cdot)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47J10; Secondary 47J20.

Keywords. Variable exponent p -Laplacian, Sobolev embedding, Stability of solutions, Modular spaces, Convergence of minimizers, Rayleigh quotient.

1. Introduction

For a real number $p \in (1, \infty)$ and a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the eigenvalue problem for the p -Laplacian

$$\Delta_p(u) := \operatorname{div} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right) \quad (1.1)$$

is given by

$$-\Delta_p(u) = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u. \quad (1.2)$$

Aside from being a generalization of the eigenvalue problem for the Laplacian, problem (1.2) can be viewed as the Euler–Lagrange equation for the optimization problem

$$\inf_{0 \neq u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_p}{\|u\|_p}; \quad (1.3)$$

indeed, the Fréchet derivative of the norm

$$u \longrightarrow \|\nabla u\|_p \quad (1.4)$$

on the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is given by

$$(\operatorname{grad} \|f\|_p)(x) = \frac{|f(x)|^{p-2} f(x)}{\|f\|_p^{p-1}}. \quad (1.5)$$

The reader is referred to [3] for a more general treatment of such problems. With the introduction of variable exponents Lebesgue spaces by Kováčik and Rákosník ([11]) a frenzy of generalizations to the new setting ensued.

The tempting natural extension that results from the mere replacement of p with $p(x)$ in problem (1.2) gives raise to what is best described as the modular version of the eigenvalue problem. However, due to the lack of homogeneity in the setting of variable p , the modular version is not even related to the optimization problem (1.3). The Euler–Lagrange equation for (1.3) in the variable exponent case relies on Theorem 3.2 (see also [6–8]). In [10], this alternative eigenvalue problem is presented. In this paper we prove that the eigenfunctions are stable with respect to the variable exponent p . For constant p , the question of stability was introduced and answered in [15]: The methods therein are not suitable for the treatment of the variable exponent case. Corresponding problems for the modular eigenvalue problem were studied in [12, 14].

2. Modularity and Generalized Lebesgue Spaces

Throughout this paper $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$ will stand for a bounded Lipschitz domain. In the sequel we will exclusively consider exponents

$$p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ , } p \in C(\overline{\Omega})$$

satisfying

$$1 < p_- = \inf_{\Omega} p(x) \text{ , } p_+ = \sup_{\Omega} p(x) < \infty;$$

functions in this class will be referred to as admissible exponents. Denote by $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ the set of all real-valued, Borel measurable functions on Ω for which

$$\rho_{p(\cdot)}(f) := \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$

The function ρ_p is a convex monotone modular on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_{p(\cdot)} \left(\frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

defines a norm under which $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach, reflexive, uniformly convex space (see [17]). It is apparent that the latter coincides with the usual Lebesgue $L^p(\Omega)$ norm when p is constant; accordingly the family $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ for varying p will be referred to as the generalized Lebesgue class in Ω . The generalized Sobolev class in Ω can be defined analogously, namely

$$W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}.$$

The closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ will be denoted by $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and will be furnished with the norm

$$\|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla v(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $p(\cdot)$ be an admissible exponent. Then the embedding*

$$E : W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$$

is compact.

Corollary 2.2. (Poincaré’s inequality) *There exists a positive constant C such that for all $u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$,*

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Proof. We refer the reader to [5, 8, 11] for the details of Theorem 2.1 and its Corollary. □

We highlight the inequalities

$$\min \left\{ \rho_{p(\cdot)}^{\frac{1}{p_+}}(w), \rho_{p(\cdot)}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}(w) \right\} \leq \|w\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \max \left\{ \rho_{p(\cdot)}^{\frac{1}{p_+}}(w), \rho_{p(\cdot)}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}(w) \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

valid for any $w \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ (see [12]). The estimate of the norm of the embedding $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ resulting from the application of Hölder’s inequality turns out to be too coarse for the present analysis; we accordingly include the following version of a more refined estimate first observed in [9, Lemma 4.1], (see also [12]):

Lemma 2.3. *For admissible exponents $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ with $p < q < p + \epsilon$ a.e. in Ω and a Borel-measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, one has the inequality:*

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx \leq \epsilon |\Omega| + \epsilon^{-\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{q(x)} dx.$$

Corollary 2.4. *If $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ are as above, then estimate*

$$\|E_{p,q}\| \leq \epsilon^{-\epsilon} + \epsilon |\Omega|$$

holds for the norm $\|E_{p,q}\|$ of the embedding

$$E_{p,q} : L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

3. Smoothness Properties of the Variable-Exponent Lebesgue Norms

In this section we state without proof the smoothness properties of the Lebesgue spaces with variable exponents.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p(\cdot)$ be an admissible exponent. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is reflexive;
- (ii) $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ have absolutely continuous norms;
- (iii) $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is uniformly convex;

Proof. See [8, 17]. □

It is well known (see [8, Lemma 1.1, Theorem 1.2]) that the conditions in Theorem 3.1 imply that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}$ is Fréchet differentiable. The next result was first obtained in [6, 7].

Theorem 3.2. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let $p(\cdot)$ be admissible. Then for every $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$,*

$$(\text{grad } \|f\|_{p(\cdot)})(x) = \frac{p(x) \|f\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-p(x)} |f(x)|^{p(x)-1} \text{sgn } f(x)}{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \|f\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-p(x)-1} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Put

$$A(x) = \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|} \right)^{p(x)-1} \text{sgn } f(x), \quad B = \int_{\Omega} p(x) \|f\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-p(x)-1} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx.$$

Thus the right-hand side of (3.1) equals $p(x) \|f\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-1} A(x)/B$. Note that

$$\frac{p-}{\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}} = \frac{p-}{\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}} \rho_p \left(f / \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \right) \leq B \leq \frac{p+}{\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}}.$$

Moreover,

$$\rho_{p'}(A) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}} \right)^{p(x)} dx = 1.$$

Hence the right-hand side of (3.1) represents an element of $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ ($\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$) and so can be identified with an element of the dual of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, the value of which at f is $\|f\|_p$. The result follows. \square

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 is the following:

Corollary 3.3. *The norm on $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$,*

$$u \longrightarrow \| |\nabla u| \|_{p(\cdot)} = \|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)}$$

is Fréchet differentiable with derivative given by

$$(\text{grad } \| |\nabla f| \|_{1,p(\cdot)})(x) = \frac{p(x) \| |\nabla f| \|_{p(\cdot)}^{-p(x)} \| |\nabla f(x)| \|^{p(x)-1} \text{sgn } \nabla f(x)}{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \| |\nabla f| \|_{p(\cdot)}^{-p(x)-1} \| |\nabla f(x)| \|^{p(x)} dx}.$$

As it transpires from Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, there exists (at least) a function u_0 that minimizes the Rayleigh quotient, that is

$$\inf_{0 \neq v \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{p(\cdot)}^1(\Omega)} \frac{\| |\nabla v| \|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|v\|_{p(\cdot)}} = \frac{\| |\nabla u_0| \|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)}} \tag{3.2}$$

Notice that u_0 can be chosen satisfying $\| |\nabla u_0| \|_{p(\cdot)} = 1$. As shown in [3] (see also [8]), each such extremal function satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation

$$\int_{\Omega} \text{grad} (\|u_0\|_{1,p(\cdot)}) (x) \nabla h(x) dx = \lambda_p \int_{\Omega} \text{grad} (\|u_0\|_{p(\cdot)}) (x) h(x) dx, \tag{3.3}$$

with $\lambda_p = \frac{1}{\|E\|}$,

where E is the embedding given in Theorem 2.1. In what follows we denote by $\mathcal{E}_{p(\cdot)}$ the eigenvalue problem (that follows from 3.3)

$$-\text{div} \left(|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-2} \nabla u \right) = \lambda_p |u|^{p(\cdot)-2} u \tag{3.4}$$

which generalizes the well-known eigenvalue problem for the p -Laplacian.

4. Stability of the Eigenfunctions

In this section we turn to the stability of the eigenvalue problem (3.4) under perturbation of the exponent p .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary. Consider a non-decreasing sequence $(p_j)_j \subseteq C(\overline{\Omega})$ converging uniformly in Ω to its supremum $q \in C(\overline{\Omega})$. Assume further that $n < q_- \leq q_+ < \infty$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let u_j be the extremal function chosen in (3.2), with $\|\nabla u_j\|_{p_j(\cdot)} = 1$. Then there exists a subsequence of $(p_j)_j$ (still denoted by $(p_j)_j$) and a function $u \in W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{q(\cdot)} = 1$$

that minimizes the Rayleigh quotient (3.2), such that $u_j \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{1,p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Moreover, if λ_i and λ_q denote the first eigenvalues of the problems $\mathcal{E}_{p_i(\cdot)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{q(\cdot)}$ (see 3.3), respectively one has in addition:

$$\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_q \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.1}$$

In particular, if $q_- \geq 2$, the following strong convergence holds:

$$\|\nabla u_j - \nabla u\|_{q(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.3, for $j \leq i$ it holds that

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_{p_j(\cdot)} \leq \left(\|p_i - p_j\|_{\infty}^{-\|p_i - p_j\|_{\infty}} + \|p_i - p_j\|_{\infty} |\Omega| \right). \tag{4.3}$$

Remark. The condition $q_>n$ in the above theorem cannot be removed, even in the case of constant exponent p . In [16] the author constructs a specific domain for which the theorem fails for a constant exponent $p : 1 < p \leq n$.

It follows that the sequence $(u_i)_{i \geq j}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p_j(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. By virtue of the compactness result in Theorem 2.1, the sequence $(u_i)_{i \geq j}$ can be assumed to be weakly convergent in $W_0^{1,p_j(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. A straightforward argument yields the validity of the assumption that $(u_i)_i$ is weakly convergent (in $W^{1,p_j(\cdot)}(\Omega)$) to

$$u \in \bigcap_1^{\infty} W^{1,p_j(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

Next, we show that actually

$$u \in W^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \text{ and } \|\nabla u\|_{q(\cdot)} \leq 1; \tag{4.4}$$

in fact, by definition and in view of the inequalities given in (2.1), assertion (4.4) is an automatic consequence the next Lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *For u as in the previous paragraph,*

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q \leq 1 \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. For any admissible exponent r , the functional

$$F_r : W_0^{1,r(\cdot)}(\Omega) \longrightarrow [0, \infty) \tag{4.6}$$

$$F_r(v) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^r \tag{4.7}$$

is weakly-lower semicontinuous; this fact in conjunction with Fatou’s Lemma and Lemma 2.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q &\leq \liminf_k \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p_k} \leq \liminf_k \liminf_j \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^{p_k} \\ &\leq \liminf_k \liminf_j \left(\|p_k - p_j\|_{\infty}^{-\|p_k - p_j\|_{\infty}} + \|p_k - p_j\|_{\infty} |\Omega| \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

from which (4.5) is an immediate consequence, since $p_i \rightarrow q$ uniformly in Ω . □

Next, we address the minimality of the Rayleigh quotient for u . Let $v \in W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with $\|\nabla v\|_{q(\cdot)} \leq 1$; furthermore take $(v_k)_k \subset C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with

$$v_k \rightarrow v \text{ and } \nabla v_k \rightarrow \nabla v \text{ in } L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

For each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we underline the convergence statements (which follow directly from Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem) for fixed k , one has

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_k|^{p_i} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |v_k|^q$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^{p_i} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^q \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.9}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that this implies

$$\|v_k\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \rightarrow \|v_k\|_{q(\cdot)}$$

and

$$\|\nabla v_k\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \rightarrow \|\nabla v_k\|_{q(\cdot)}$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

On the other hand, the sequence $(u_i)_i \subset L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ can be considered to converge to u a.e.; accordingly and using the fact that $q_- > n$, which in particular implies

$$\|u_i\|_{\infty} \leq C$$

for some positive constant C , independent of i , it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_i|^{p_i} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |u|^q \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4.10}$$

which immediately yields

$$\|u_i\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \rightarrow \|u\|_{q(\cdot)} \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.11}$$

As a byproduct of (4.11) one has assertion (4.1):

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{\|u_i\|_{p_i(\cdot)}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\|u\|_{q(\cdot)}} \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, for fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, the minimal character of u_i yields

$$\frac{\|v_k\|_{q(\cdot)}}{\|\|\nabla v_k\|\|_{q(\cdot)}} \leq \frac{\|v_k\|_{p_i(\cdot)}}{\|\|\nabla v_k\|\|_{p_i(\cdot)}} + \epsilon \leq \|u_i\|_{p_i(\cdot)} + \epsilon. \tag{4.12}$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.12) it follows that

$$\frac{\|v_k\|_{q(\cdot)}}{\|\|\nabla v_k\|\|_{q(\cdot)}} \leq \|u\|_{q(\cdot)} \tag{4.13}$$

and letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.13)

$$\frac{\|v\|_{q(\cdot)}}{\|\|\nabla v\|\|_{q(\cdot)}} \leq \|u\|_{q(\cdot)}. \tag{4.14}$$

In particular, since $\|\|\nabla u\|\|_{q(\cdot)} \leq 1$, one can set $u = v$ in the preceding inequality to get

$$\|\|\nabla u\|\|_{q(\cdot)} \geq 1;$$

in all

$$\|\|\nabla u\|\|_{q(\cdot)} = 1. \tag{4.15}$$

We now turn to the proof of (4.2). Invoking the weak-lower semicontinuity of the functional (4.6) it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p_k} &\leq \liminf_j \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla(u + u_j)}{2} \right|^{p_k} \\ &\leq \liminf_j \left(\|p_k - p_j\|_{\infty}^{-\|p_k - p_j\|_{\infty}} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla(u + u_j)}{2} \right|^{p_j} + \|p_k - p_j\|_{\infty} |\Omega| \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Convexity yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla(u + u_j)}{2} \right|^{p_j} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p_j} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^{p_j} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p_j} + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{4.17}$$

Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies furthermore

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p_j} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q \leq 1. \tag{4.18}$$

In all

$$\liminf_j \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla(u + u_j)}{2} \right|^{p_j} \leq 1. \tag{4.19}$$

For an arbitrary $\delta > 0$, let I be so large that the conditions $j \geq I, k \geq I$ imply

$$\|p_k - p_j\|_{\infty}^{-\|p_k - p_j\|_{\infty}} < 1 + \delta, \quad \|p_k - p_j\|_{\infty} |\Omega| < \delta$$

and

$$\liminf_j \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla(u + u_j)}{2} \right|^{p_j} < \delta + \inf_{j \geq I} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla(u + u_j)}{2} \right|^{p_j}, \tag{4.20}$$

then, by virtue of (4.16), if $j, k \geq I$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p_k} &\leq \left((1 + \delta) \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla(u + u_j)}{2} \right|^{p_j} + \delta \right) \\ &\leq (1 + \delta) \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p_j} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^{p_j} \right) + \delta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

The preceding inequality together with (4.19) yields

$$\lim_j \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla(u + u_j)}{2} \right|^{p_j} = 1. \tag{4.22}$$

Using Clarkson’s inequality one gets

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla u + \nabla u_j}{2} \right|^{p_j} + \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla u - \nabla u_j}{2} \right|^{p_j} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p_j} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^{p_j}; \tag{4.23}$$

in conjunction with (4.22), inequality (4.23) yields

$$\lim_j \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla u - \nabla u_j}{2} \right|^{p_j} = 0,$$

which by virtue of (2.1) implies (4.2). □

We next investigate the spectral variation under the assumption of a non-increasing sequence of variable exponents.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, be a bounded, Lipschitz domain. Consider a non-increasing sequence $(p_j) \subset C(\bar{\Omega})$ uniformly convergent in Ω to its infimum $p = \inf_j p_j$; assume $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Let λ_i and λ_p denote respectively the first eigenvalues of the problems $\mathcal{E}_{p_i(\cdot)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{p(\cdot)}$. Let u_i be an eigenfunction corresponding to λ_i . Then, there exists $u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ such that*

$$u_j \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \text{ and } u_j \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

In addition, it holds that

$$\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_p, \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.24}$$

In particular, if $p_- \geq 2$, the following strong convergence holds:

$$\| |\nabla u_j - \nabla u| \|_{q(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.25}$$

Proof. As was shown above (see 3.2), for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $u_i \in W_0^{1,p_i(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ that minimizes the i th-Rayleigh quotient can be chosen so that $\|u_i\|_{p_i(\cdot)} = 1$, that is:

$$\frac{\| |\nabla u_i| \|_{p_i(\cdot)}}{\|u_i\|_{p_i(\cdot)}} = \inf_{0 \neq v \in W_0^{1,p_i(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \frac{\| |\nabla v| \|_{p_i(\cdot)}}{\|v\|_{p_i(\cdot)}}. \tag{4.26}$$

We distinguish three mutually exclusive cases, namely $n \leq p_-$, $p_- < n \leq p_+$ and $p_+ < n$.

To address the case $p_+ < n$, we observe than under this condition, one has in Ω , $\frac{np}{n-p} > \frac{p^2}{n-p_+}$. It follows that uniformly in Ω ,

$$p + \frac{p^2}{n - p_+} < \frac{np}{n - p}.$$

Select $I \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i \geq I$ implies $p_i < p + \frac{p^2}{n-p_+}$ uniformly in Ω .

Sobolev’s embedding theorem yields the existence of $u \in L^{\frac{np}{n-p}}(\Omega)$ such that $u_i \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $u_i \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{\frac{np}{n-p}}(\Omega)$; moreover, since the unit ball is weakly compact in $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$,

$$\|\|\nabla u\|\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1.$$

A straightforward application of Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_i} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty,$$

which readily implies

$$\|u\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \rightarrow \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $I \leq i \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds the inclusion

$$L^{\frac{np}{n-p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_i}(\Omega).$$

Thus, provided $i \geq I$:

$$\|u_i\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \leq \|u_i - u\|_{p_i(\cdot)} + \|u\|_{p_i(\cdot)}. \tag{4.27}$$

Select $v \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with $\|\|\nabla v\|\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1$ and a sequence $(v_k)_k \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with $v_k \rightarrow v$ in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $\nabla v_k \rightarrow \nabla v$ in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. It is clear from Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem that as $i \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_k|^{p_i} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |v_k|^p$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^{p_i} \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^p.$$

It is readily concluded that as $i \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\|v_k\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \rightarrow \|v_k\|_{p(\cdot)}$$

and

$$\|\|\nabla v_k\|\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \rightarrow \|\|\nabla v_k\|\|_{p(\cdot)}$$

Therefore, for a given $\epsilon > 0$ and k large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\|\nabla v\|\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|v\|_{p(\cdot)}} &\geq \frac{\|\|\nabla v_k\|\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|v_k\|_{p(\cdot)}} - \epsilon \geq \frac{\|\|\nabla v_k\|\|_{p_i(\cdot)}}{\|v_k\|_{p_i(\cdot)}} - \epsilon \\ &\geq \frac{\|\|\nabla u_i\|\|_{p_i(\cdot)}}{\|u_i\|_{p_i(\cdot)}} - \epsilon = \frac{1}{\|u_i\|_{p_i(\cdot)}} - \epsilon; \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

the latter in conjunction with (4.27) implies

$$\frac{\|\|\nabla v\|\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|v\|_{p(\cdot)}} \geq \frac{1}{\|u_i - u\|_{p_i(\cdot)} + \|u\|_{p_i(\cdot)}} + \epsilon; \tag{4.29}$$

in all

$$\frac{\|\|\nabla v\|\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|v\|_{p(\cdot)}} \geq \frac{1}{\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}}. \tag{4.30}$$

In particular, (4.30) holds for $v = u$, which immediately yields

$$\|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)} \geq 1.$$

In all, the limit function u minimizes the Rayleigh quotient, i.e, (3.2) is valid taking $u = u_0$.

Consider now the second case, namely $n \in (p_-, p_+]$. As in the previous instance, a straightforward application of Sobolev’s embedding theorem yields $u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and a subsequence $(u_i)_i$ convergent to u weakly in $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and strongly in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Our first order of business will be to show that $u \in L^{p_I}(\Omega)$ for some $I \in \mathbb{N}$. To that effect we start by considering the function

$$S : [1, n) \longrightarrow \left[\frac{n}{n-1}, \infty \right) , \quad S(x) = \frac{nx}{n-x}.$$

Select positive numbers δ, ϵ satisfying the conditions

$$\epsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{p_-^2}{n-p_-} \right\} , \quad p_- - \delta > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad n + \delta < \frac{n(n-\delta)}{\delta}. \quad (4.31)$$

Set $q_1 = p_p - \delta$, $r_1 = S^{-1}(S(q_1) + \epsilon)$, choose $q_2 \in (q_1, r_1)$ and $r_2 = S^{-1}(S(q_2) + \epsilon)$. For $j \geq 3$, let $q_j \in (r_{j-2}, r_{j-1})$ and

$$r_j = S^{-1}(S(q_j) + \epsilon).$$

It is clear that

$$r_j \longrightarrow n \quad \text{as} \quad j \longrightarrow \infty;$$

let r_M be the first term in the sequence that exceeds $n - \delta$. The collection

$$\begin{aligned} & \{p^{-1}((q_j, r_j)) , j = 1, 2, \dots, M\} \cup \\ & \left\{ p^{-1}((q_M, r_M)), p^{-1}((n-\delta, n+\delta)), p^{-1}\left(\left(n + \frac{\delta}{2}, \infty\right)\right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

is an open covering of Ω ; for the sake of notational uniformity let’s establish the following convention:

$$q_{M+1} = n - \delta , \quad r_{M+1} = n + \delta , \quad q_{M+2} = n + \frac{\delta}{2} , \quad r_{M+2} = \infty$$

and

$$\Omega_k = p^{-1}((q_k, r_k)).$$

Let $(\varphi_k)_{1 \leq k \leq M+2}$ be a (smooth) partition of unity subordinated to the covering $(\Omega_k)_k$. For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, M + 2$ the sequence $(u_i \varphi_j)_i$ converges in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ to $u \varphi_j$. Moreover,

$$(u_i \varphi_j)_i \subset W_0^{1,q_j}(\Omega)$$

and

$$\|u_i \varphi_j\|_{1,q_j,\Omega} \leq C$$

for a positive constant C independent of i . Because of the compactness of the embedding

$$W_0^{1,q_j}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{nq_j}{n-q_j}}(\Omega)$$

it follows that without loss of generality that for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$,

$$(u_i \varphi_j)_i$$

can be considered to be convergent in $L^{\frac{nq_j}{n-q_j}}(\Omega)$, say to v . Clearly, v is supported in Ω_j and denoting by χ_k the indicator function of Ω_k , one has

$$p\chi_j \leq \frac{nq_j}{n - q_j}. \tag{4.33}$$

Thus, $v \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, since

$$\int_{\Omega} |v|^p = \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p\chi_j} \leq (\|v\|_{p\chi_j})^\alpha \leq C \left(\|v\|_{\frac{nq_j}{n-q_j}} \right)^\alpha < \infty \tag{4.34}$$

for some α independent of i , hence

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_i \varphi_j - v|^p = \int_{\Omega} |u_i \varphi_j - v|^{p\chi_j} \leq (\|u_i \varphi_j - v\|_{p\chi_j})^\alpha \leq C \|u_i \varphi_j - v\|_{\frac{nq_j}{n-q_j}}.$$

It follows that $v = u \varphi_j \in L^{\frac{nq_j}{n-q_j}}(\Omega)$.

Likewise, since for any $w \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ one has

$$1 = \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla(w\varphi_{M+1})}{\|\nabla(w\varphi_{M+1})\|_{p(\cdot)}} \right|^p = \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla(w\varphi_{M+1})}{\|\nabla(w\varphi_{M+1})\|_{p(\cdot)}} \right|^{p\chi_{M+1}}$$

and

$$q_{M+1} < p\chi_{M+1} < r_{M+1} < \frac{nr_{M+1}}{n - r_{M+1}} - \epsilon = \frac{nq_{M+1}}{n - q_{M+1}}$$

it follows that

$$\|\nabla(w\varphi_{M+1})\|_{q_{M+1}(\cdot)} \leq C \|\nabla(w\varphi_{M+1})\|_{\chi_{M+1}p(\cdot)} \leq C \|\nabla(w\varphi_{M+1})\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Thus, for some positive constant C independent of i ,

$$\|u_i \varphi_{M+1}\|_{1,n-\delta,\Omega} \leq C,$$

whence $(u_i \varphi_{M+1})_i$ can be considered to converge strongly in

$$L^{\frac{n(n-\delta)}{\delta}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{n+\delta}(\Omega).$$

As in (4.34), a straightforward calculation shows that

$$v \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega);$$

consequently

$$v = u\varphi_{M+1} \in L^{\frac{n(n-\delta)}{\delta}}(\Omega).$$

Along the same lines it can be readily shown that

$$u\varphi_{M+2} \in C(\overline{\Omega}).$$

Let $I \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough so that uniformly in Ω ,

$$p_I < p + \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \frac{nq_j}{n - q_j} - p\chi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, M + 1 \right\}.$$

Then, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, M + 2$

$$u\varphi_j \in L^{p_I(\cdot)}(\Omega),$$

in all:

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{M+2} u\varphi_j \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

Next, we observe that for $i \geq I$,

$$\|u_i\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \leq \|u_i - u\|_{p_i(\cdot)} + \|u\|_{p_i(\cdot)}. \quad (4.35)$$

Since

$$\|u_i - u\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \longrightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\|u\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \longrightarrow \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \text{ as } i \longrightarrow \infty,$$

letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.35) one has

$$\|u_i\|_{p_i(\cdot)} \longrightarrow \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \text{ as } i \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (4.36)$$

Next, the argument following (4.27) shows that u satisfies the required minimization property and that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1.$$

Finally, (4.36) is obviously valid if one assumes $n \leq p_-$, for then the inclusion $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact and in this setting, convergence statements refer to uniform convergence in Ω . The strong convergence (4.25) is obtained along the same lines as the corresponding part of Theorem 4.1. This last observation ends the proof of Theorem 4.3. \square

References

- [1] Adams, R.: Sobolev Spaces. Academic Press, New York (1975)
- [2] Arendt, W., Monniaux, S.: Domain perturbation for the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Schrödinger operator. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **78**, 9–19 (1995)
- [3] Bennewitz, C.: Approximation numbers=singular values. *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **208**(1), 102–110 (2007)
- [4] Colasuonno, F., Squassina, M.: Stability of eigenvalues for variable exponent problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **123–124**, 56–67 (2015)
- [5] Diening, L., Harjulehto, P., Hästö, P., Růžička, M.: Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, *Lecture notes in Mathematics*, vol. 2017. Springer, Heidelberg (2011)
- [6] Dinca, G., Matei, P.: Geometry of Sobolev spaces with variable exponent: Smoothness and uniform convexity. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I* **347**, 885–889 (2009)
- [7] Dinca, G., Matei, P.: Geometry of Sobolev spaces with variable exponent and a generalization of the p-Laplacian. *Anal. Appl.* **7**(4), 373–390 (2009)
- [8] Edmunds, D., Lang, J., Méndez, O.: Differential operators on spaces of variable integrability. World Scientific, Singapore (2015)
- [9] Edmunds, D., Lang, J., Nekvinda, A.: Some s -numbers of an integral operator of Hardy type on $L^{p(\cdot)}$ spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **257**, 219–242 (2009)

- [10] Franzina, G., Lindqvist, P.: An eigenvalue problem with variable exponents. *Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **85**, 1–16 (2013)
- [11] Kováčik, O., Rákosník, J.: On spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **41**, 592–618 (1991)
- [12] Lang, J., Méndez, O.: Modular eigenvalues of the Dirichlet $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian and their stability. In: *Spectral Theory, Function Spaces and Inequalities*, pp. 125–137. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* 219. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel (2012)
- [13] Lang, J., Méndez, O.: Convergence properties of modular eigenfunctions for the $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian. *Nonlinear Anal.* **104C**, 156–170 (2014)
- [14] Lang, J., Méndez, O.: An extension of a result by Lindqvist to the case of variable integrability. *J. Differ. Equ.* **259**(2), 562–595 (2015)
- [15] Lindqvist, P.: Stability for the solutions of $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = f$ with varying p . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **127**(1), 93–102 (1987)
- [16] Lindqvist, P.: On non-linear Rayleigh quotients. *Pot. Anal.* **2**, 199–218 (1993)
- [17] Lukeš, J., Pick, L., Pokorný, D.: On geometric properties of the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$. *Rev. Math. Complut.* **24**, 115–130 (2011)

Jan Lang

Department of Mathematics

The Ohio State University

100 Math Tower

231 West 18th Ave

Columbus, OH 43210-1174

USA

e-mail: lang@math.ohio-state.edu

Oswaldo Méndez (✉)

Department of Mathematical Sciences

University of Texas at El Paso

500W University Ave.

124 Bell Hall

El Paso, TX 79968

USA

e-mail: osmendez@utep.edu

Received: October 7, 2015.

Revised: December 6, 2015.