REVIEW

RIPK3 signaling and its role in the pathogenesis of cancers

Shanhui Liu[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9254-5339) · Kanak Joshi1 · Mitchell F. Denning1 · Jiwang Zhang1,2

Received: 21 July 2021 / Revised: 4 September 2021 / Accepted: 20 September 2021 / Published online: 15 October 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

Abstract

RIPK3 (receptor-interacting protein kinase 3) is a serine/threonine-protein kinase. As a key component of necrosomes, RIPK3 is an essential mediator of inflammatory factors (such as TNFα-tumor necrosis factor α) and infection-induced necroptosis, a programmed necrosis. In addition, RIPK3 signaling is also involved in the regulation of apoptosis, cytokine/chemokine production, mitochondrial metabolism, autophagy, and cell proliferation by interacting with and/or phosphorylating the critical regulators of the corresponding signaling pathways. Similar to apoptosis, RIPK3-signaling-mediated necroptosis is inactivated in most types of cancers, suggesting RIPK3 might play a critical suppressive role in the pathogenesis of cancers. However, in some infammatory types of cancers, such as pancreatic cancers and colorectal cancers, RIPK3 signaling might promote cancer development by stimulating proliferation signaling in tumor cells and inducing an immunosuppressive response in the tumor environment. In this review, we summarize recent research progress in the regulators of RIPK3 signaling, and discuss the function of this pathway in the regulation of mixed lineage kinase domain-like (MLKL)-mediated necroptosis and MLKL-independent cellular behaviors. In addition, we deliberate the potential roles of RIPK3 signaling in the pathogenesis of diferent types of cancers and discuss the potential strategies for targeting this pathway in cancer therapy.

Keywords RIPK3 signaling · MLKL necroptosis · MLKL-independent signaling · Cancer pathogenesis

Introduction

RIPK3 (receptor-interacting protein kinase 3) is the key component of the RIPK1–RIPK3–MLKL (mixed lineage kinase domain-like) complex called the necrosome [[1–](#page-12-0)[4](#page-12-1)]. The canonical function of RIPK3 signaling is to stimulate MLKL activation and to trigger necroptosis [[5–](#page-12-2)[8\]](#page-12-3). In addition, RIPK3 has many non-canonical functions, including triggering infammasome activation and cytokine production, stimulating mitochondrial metabolism and ROS production, as well as regulating autophagy and cell proliferation [[9](#page-12-4)[–12](#page-12-5)]. Moreover, the cells dying of RIPK3-mediated necroptosis induce sterile infammation reactions in the

Shanhui Liu and Kanak Joshi contributed equally to this work.

tissue environment by stimulating both innate and adaptive immune responses. Furthermore, the immune responses induced by necroptotic cells are not limited to local tissue, but can be expanded and cause systematic responses [\[13,](#page-12-6) [14](#page-12-7)].

RIPK3 signaling has been implicated in the pathogenesis of many types of cancers [\[15](#page-12-8)–[17\]](#page-12-9). Many chemicals that can either activate or repress RIPK3 signaling have been identifed and used in experimental studies. However, due to the complicated functions of RIPK3 signaling both intrinsic to the target cells and extrinsic to the neighboring cells and accumulated immune cells, the role of RIPK3 signaling in cancer pathogenesis is very complex. Both tumor-repressive and tumor-promoting activities of RIPK3 signaling have been observed. In addition, the roles of RIPK3 in cancer development, progression, metastasis and relapse might be not the same. Thus targeting RIPK3 signaling for cancer therapy is still in the pre-clinical stage. Detailed understanding of the roles of RIPK3 signaling in the pathogenesis of diferent types of cancers is required. In this review, we summarize the recent research on the regulatory mechanisms of RIPK3 signaling, discuss the roles of RIPK3 signaling

 \boxtimes Jiwang Zhang jzhang@luc.edu

¹ Department of Cancer Biology, Oncology Institute, Cardinal Bernardin Cancer Center, Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, IL 60153, USA

² Department of Pathology and Department of Radiation Oncology, Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, IL 60153, USA

in diferent types of cancers and elaborate on the potential strategies to target RIPK3 signaling for cancer therapy.

RIPK3 gene and its encoded protein

The human *RIPK3* gene is located at chromosome 14q12. It contains 10 exons and spans about 40 kb of genomic DNA (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)a) [\[18\]](#page-12-10). The region from −95 bp to 0 bp of the TSS (transcription start site) in *RIPK3* showed strong promoter activity [[19\]](#page-12-11). Transcription factors SOX17 (SRY-box 17) and zinc fnger protein SP1 were found to regulate the transcriptional activity of *RIPK3* by binding to this promoter region. The SNP (single nucleotide polymorphism) rs3212247-C in the *RIPK3* promoter region was identifed in a Chinese population which increases the affinity of SOX17 and SP1 binding to the *RIPK3* gene promoter, resulting in enhanced *RIPK3* expression. Individuals with this SNP exhibit an increased susceptibility to heart failure with poor prognosis [\[20](#page-12-12)]. *RIPK3* expression is also regulated by epigenetic modifcation. A CpG island was identifed at the 240–600 bp region downstream of the TSS in the human *RIPK3* gene. The epigenetic regulator UHRF1 (ubiquitin-like, containing PHD and RING finger domains 1) recognizes hemi-methylated DNA and regulates *RIPK3* expression by maintaining the methylation levels in this CpG island by recruiting DNMT1 (DNA methyltransferase 1) [[19,](#page-12-11) [21\]](#page-12-13). In addition, a conserved upstream promoter was identifed in the *RIPK3* gene which is located at -6.8 kb of the TSS. This promoter could be recognized by CHD4 (chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 4), an enzyme of the NuRD (nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase) chromatin-remodeling complex. CHD4 represses *RIPK3* transcription through deacetylation of the *RIPK3* promoter region [[22,](#page-12-14) [23](#page-12-15)].

Human RIPK3 is a 518 amino acid protein which contains a kinase domain (22-280aa) at the N terminus and a RHIM (RIP homotypic interaction motif, 424-469aa) at the C terminus, which are linked by an IMD (intermediate domain) (Fig. [1b](#page-1-0)) [[24](#page-12-16), [25\]](#page-12-17). Both the kinase domain and RHIM are indispensable for RIPK3's activity [[26](#page-12-18)]. RHIM mediates the interaction of RIPK3 with other RHIM-containing proteins including RIPK1, TRIF (TIR-domain-containing adapter inducing interferon-β, as called TICAM1) and the cytoplasmic nucleic acid sensor ZBP1 (Z-DNA binding protein 1, also known as DAI) [[4,](#page-12-1) [26,](#page-12-18) [27\]](#page-12-19). RIPK3 is predominantly localized in the cytoplasm but can shift between the nucleus and cytoplasm [\[28\]](#page-12-20). A nuclear localization-like sequence was identifed in the 224-518aa region, and two NESs (leucine-rich canonical nuclear export signals), NES1 (255-264aa) and NES2 (344-354aa), mediate the nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of RIPK3 in a CRM1-dependent manner. Another NES (NES3, 116-131aa) was found to be controlling the cytoplasmic distribution of RIPK3 [\[28\]](#page-12-20).

Fig. 1 *RIPK3 gene* and protein structure. **A** Two promoters, proximal and distal, have been identifed in the *RIPK3* gene. Transcription factors SP1 and SOX17 bind to the proximal promoter to up-regulate *RIPK3* expression, whereas CHD4, in the chromatin-remodeling complex NuRD, recognizes the distal promoter and represses RIPK3 expression by deacetylating H3K27. In addition, a HIF1 binding site was identified at 1 kb upstream of the TSS. In hypoxic conditions, HIF1α binds to this site and represses *RIPK3* expression. Furthermore, a CpG island was identifed approximately 240–600 bp downstream of the TSS. Epigenetic regulator UHRF1 represses *RIPK3* expression by recruiting DNMT1 and maintaining the methylation state of this CpG island. **B** RIPK3 protein is composed of a kinase domain (KD) at the N terminus and a RHIM domain at the C terminus connected by an intermediate domain (IMD). The caspase 8 cleavage site, three NESs and several key phosphorylation and ubiquitination sites are indicated

RIPK3 protein levels are controlled by both caspase 8-mediated cleavage and ubiquitin-mediated degradation mechanisms [\[29](#page-12-21)[–36\]](#page-13-0). Several ubiquitin ligases such as CHIP [\[33](#page-12-22)], PELI1 [[34\]](#page-12-23), TRIM11 [[35\]](#page-13-1), TRIM25 [[36](#page-13-0)], Parkin [\[30](#page-12-24)], and vIRD (viral inducer of RIPK3 degradation) [[32\]](#page-12-25), as well as deubiquitinases including A20 and USP22 [[31,](#page-12-26) [37\]](#page-13-2), have been identifed as RIPK3 binding proteins, regulating ubiquitination and stability of RIPK3 protein. RIPK3 signaling activity is also regulated by phosphorylation and polymerization stimulated by upstream signaling [[31,](#page-12-26) [34,](#page-12-23) [37](#page-13-2)]. The key post-translational modifcation sites of RIPK3 are summarized in Fig. [1B](#page-1-0).

Upstream signaling pathways that stimulate the activation of RIPK3

RIPK3 signaling is primarily studied in the context of TNF α stimulation (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0). Upon TNF α stimulation, TNFR1 forms homo-trimers which rapidly recruit TRADD, RIPK1, TRAF2/5 and cIAP1/2 to assemble a complex in the lipid rafts of the plasma membrane named complex I [[38](#page-13-3)]. In this complex, cIAP1/2 and TRAF2/5 add a K63-linked polyubiquitination chain on RIPK1 at the K376 residue [[39–](#page-13-4)[46\]](#page-13-5). The polyubiquitination chain provides a unique dock to recruit the TAB2/3-TAK1 complex and IKK complex. The IKK complex is formed by active IκB kinase (IKK) α , IKKβ and IKKγ (as named NF-kB essential modifer, NEMO) $[40, 45-50]$ $[40, 45-50]$ $[40, 45-50]$ $[40, 45-50]$ $[40, 45-50]$. A linear ubiquitin chain assembly complex (LUBAC, composed of HOIP, HOIL-1 and SHARPIN) is also recruited to complex I, which synthesizes M1 linear ubiquitin chains on cIAP1/2, RIPK1, NEMO and IκBα to enhance the activation of TAK1 and its downstream signaling [[51–](#page-13-9)[58](#page-13-10)]. Activated TAK1 induces the activation of the IKK complex by direct phosphorylation of IKKβ which then activates NF-κB signaling by phosphorylation of IκBα, the negative regulator of NF-κB. In addition, active TAK1 also induces MKK4/7-JNK and MKK3/6-P38 MAPK pathways by directly phosphorylating the corresponding MKKs. NF-κB and JNK signaling regulate the expression of many pro-survival genes such as BCL-xL, cFLIP and cIAPs as well as many infammatory cytokines such as IL1β and TNF α [[46,](#page-13-5) [47,](#page-13-11) [59\]](#page-13-12). Thus complex I primarily mediates TNFα-stimulated survival and pro-infammatory signals.

The dissociation of complex I is required for TNFαstimulated activation of RIPK3 signaling, which is mediated by DUBs such as OTULIN (OTU deubiquitinase with linear linkage specificity), CYLD (cylindromatosis) or A20 (TNFAIP3) [\[60](#page-13-13)–[64\]](#page-13-14). The DUBs remove the ubiquitin chains from RIPK1 and release RIPK1 from complex I. In the cytosol, RIPK1 can be activated by auto-phosphorylation of its Ser14/15, 20, 161 and 166 residues (Ser 14/15, 161 and 166, as well as Thr169 in mice) [[65–](#page-13-15)[67](#page-13-16)]. Among these sites, auto-phosphorylation of Ser166 is critical for RIPK1 mediated apoptosis and necroptosis [\[67–](#page-13-16)[69\]](#page-14-0). RIPK1 then

Fig. 2 RIPK3-mediated necroptotic pathway. TNF family members stimulate RIPK3 activation through inducing RIPK1 activation and RIPK1–RIPK3 interaction, whereas bacterial and viral infections activate RIPK3 through stimulating either TLR3/4 signal-triggered TRIF-RIPK3 interaction or pathogen DNA/RNA-triggered ZBP1- RIPK3 interaction. Upon TNFα stimulation, RIPK3-mediated necroptosis can be experimentally induced by Smac-mimetic+Caspase 8

inhibitor combination treatment. Smac-mimetic treatment releases RIPK1 from complex I and promotes the formation of complex II. Caspase 8 inhibitor treatment enhances RIP-mediated necroptosis by preventing the degradation of RIPK1 and RIPK3. C8 and C10 represent caspase 8 and caspase 10, respectively. *ER* endoplasmic reticulum

forms a new complex called complex II (or Ripoptosome) [\[38,](#page-13-3) [70,](#page-14-1) [71](#page-14-2)]. The complex II is composed of a complex IIa and a necrosome. The complex IIa is formed by TRADD, RIPK1, pro-caspase-8, FADD and c-FLIP which regulates caspase 8-mediated extrinsic apoptotic signaling. The necrosome complex is composed of RIPK1, RIPK3 and MLKL and activates MLKL-mediated necroptosis through serial phosphorylation cascade [[72](#page-14-3)]. Thus, the complex II mediates two TNFα-induced death signals. RIPK1 is involved in both complex I and complex II, and plays a critical role in regulating the balance of TNFα-induced survival and death signals [\[73\]](#page-14-4). Such functions of RIPK1 are primarily controlled by cIAP and LUBAC-mediated ubiquitination, and fnely regulated by several kinases such as TAK1, ULK1, IKKα/β, TBK1 and P38-MK2, which phosphorylate human RIPK1 at residues Ser320, Ser357, Ser25, Thr189/Thr190 and Ser320/Ser335, respectively (corresponding to residues Ser321, Ser356, Ser25, Thr189/Thr190 and Ser321/Ser336 in mouse RIPK1) [[66\]](#page-13-17). Phosphorylation at of these residues will reduce the kinase activity of RIPK1 and prevent RIPK1 from integrating into complex II. In addition, RIPK1 kinase activity is also regulated by ROS via oxidation of cysteines 257, 268 and 586 in RIPK1 [\[74–](#page-14-5)[76\]](#page-14-6).

After complex II is assembled, the activity of the necrosome is tightly restricted by pro-caspase 8 and $cFLIP_L$ heterodimers. The pro-caspase 8 /cFLIP_L heterodimers inhibit necroptosis by cleaving RIPK1 (after residue Asp324 for human and Asp325 for mouse) and RIPK3 (after residue Asp328 for human and Asp333 for mouse) [[77–](#page-14-7)[80](#page-14-8)]. Interestingly, cFLIP_s, a short splice isoform of the *cFLIP* gene, competes with $cFLIP_L$ to form heterodimers with pro-caspase 8. The pro-caspase 8/cFLIP_s heterodimers promote necrosome assembly and necroptosis. Therefore, cFLIP isoforms in complex II determine whether cell death occurs by RIPK3-dependent necroptosis or caspase-dependent apoptosis. *cFLIP* is a NF-κB target gene. Thus necroptosis is also regulated by NF-κB signaling through regulating cFLIP expression $[70, 71]$ $[70, 71]$ $[70, 71]$ $[70, 71]$. In addition, TNF α receptor signaling through PDK1 activates p90 ribosomal S6 kinase 1 and 2 (RSK1/2). RSK1/2 represses the activity of pro-caspase8 and permits necroptosis by phosphorylating pro-caspase8 at Thr265 for mouse and Thr263 for human [[81](#page-14-9)].

Within the necrosome, activated RIPK1 is able to bind to RIPK3 through the interaction of their RHIM motifs [\[82\]](#page-14-10). Once RIPK1–RIPK3 interaction occurs, more RIPK3 is recruited into the complex leading to RIPK3–RIPK3 homo-oligomerization/aggregation and RIPK3 phosphorylation for activation [[82](#page-14-10)]. Although RIPK1 kinase does not directly phosphorylate RIPK3, RIPK1 kinase activation is required for induction of RIPK1 and RIPK3 interaction. Thus, RIPK1 kinase activity is indispensable for IFN α induced RIPK3 activation and necroptosis. Interestingly, a recent study suggested that in RIPK1-defcient cells, a death domain containing adaptor TRADD can also directly interact with RIPK3 to facilitate $TNF\alpha$ -stimulated necroptosis [[83\]](#page-14-11); however, other studies suggested that TRADD mediates TNF α -induced apoptosis by competing with RIPK1 [[84,](#page-14-12) [85](#page-14-13)]. In addition to TNFα, FAS and TRAIL might also use a RIPK1-dependent mechanism in the induction of necrosome formation and RIPK3 activation [\[86](#page-14-14)].

TRIF (TIR-domain-containing adapter inducing interferon-β) and ZBP1 (Z-DNA binding protein 1, also known as DAI) are other two RHIM-containing proteins in mammals which can directly interact and activate RIPK3 signaling [[4](#page-12-1), [27](#page-12-19)]. TRIF is an adaptor protein for both TLR3 (receptor of double-stranded RNA) and TLR4 (bacterial lipopolysaccharide—LPS receptor) signals [[4](#page-12-1), [87](#page-14-15)], while ZBP1 is a cytoplasmic nucleic acid sensor which recognizes viral and endogenous DNA and RNA in cytosol [[3,](#page-12-27) [88](#page-14-16)]. Bacterial and viral infections, through activation of TLR3 and TLR4 signaling, induce TRIF and RIPK3 interaction to activate RIPK3 [[89,](#page-14-17) [90\]](#page-14-18). Viral infections induce interaction of RIPK3 and ZBP1 to activate RIPK3 signaling [[91–](#page-14-19)[93](#page-14-20)]. In addition, the ZBP1 and RIPK3 interaction can be stimulated by endogenous cytoplasmic DNA and RNA induced by DNA damage reagents such as radiation and chemotherapy [[94\]](#page-14-21). Binding of TRIF or ZBP1 to RIPK3 will trigger the activation of RIPK3 to assemble the necrosome by recruiting MLKL. Both TRIF and ZBP1-mediated RIPK3 activation are enhanced when RIPK1 is deleted, suggesting that RIPK1 competes with TRIF and ZBP1 for RIPK3 interaction [\[95](#page-14-22)[–97](#page-14-23)] (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)). Furthermore, some viruses produce RHIMcontaining proteins such as ICP6 protein in HSV-1 (herpes simplex virus 1) infection which directly activate RIPK3 necroptotic signaling and induce necroptosis in infected cells [\[98](#page-14-24), [99\]](#page-14-25). Interestingly, the ICP6 protein activates necroptosis only in mouse cells but not human cells [\[99](#page-14-25)].

Signaling pathway cascades downstream of RIPK3

Multiple pathways downstream of RIPK3 signaling have been identifed, including MLKL-necroptosis, infammasome-cytokine, mitochondrial-metabolism, and autophagy (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)A). Active RIPK3 regulates these pathways by directly binding and/or phosphorylating the key molecular substrates.

1. *RIPK3-necroptosis.* In low active caspase 8 conditions, the active RIPK3 polymerizes and recruits MLKL to assemble the necrosome. Within the necrosome, the active RIPK3 phosphorylates MLKL at residues Thr357 and Ser358 (Ser352 and Thr349 in mouse RIPK3) within the activation loop $[65, 82, 100-102]$ $[65, 82, 100-102]$ $[65, 82, 100-102]$ $[65, 82, 100-102]$ $[65, 82, 100-102]$. The phosphorylation of these residue results in a conformation change in the MLKL protein, leading to dislocation of the N-terminal four helical bundle domain (4HB) away

Fig. 3 RIPK3 signaling stimulates infammation and immunity. **A** In addition to stimulating MLKL-mediated necroptosis (1), RIPK3 signaling also induces: cytokine production by activating ERK/ NF-kB/AP1-mediated transcription and infammasome-mediated pro-cytokine processing (2); mitochondrial metabolism and senescence/apoptosis by phosphorylating several mitochondrial proteins (3); cell cycle and mitosis by regulating the RIPK1/caspase 8/PLK1 mitosis-associated ripoptosome (4); and autophagy by phosphorylating ULK1 (5). Thus RIPK3 activation can cause at least 5 types of cell-intrinsic efects. **B** In addition, RIPK3 activation also causes amplifed damage in local tissues and signifcant systematic symptoms which are cell extrinsic efects. The necroptotic cells induce immune and infammatory reactions in tissues by secreting cytokines to recruit both innate and adaptive immune cells, and releasing DAMPs to activate immune cells

from the kinase domain. The 4HB domain has lipidbinding capacity, and the exposure of the 4HB domain permits the plasma membrane translocation and binding of MLKL to execute necroptosis [[102](#page-15-0)[–105\]](#page-15-1). After being recruited to the plasma membrane, the Ser358 phosphorylated MLKL is further phosphorylated by TAM (Tyro3, Axl, and Mer) kinase at Tyr376 residue, which resulting in MLKL oligomerization, formation of membrane-rupturing pores and cytolytic death [\[106,](#page-15-2) [107](#page-15-3)]. Apart from the constitution of the RIPK3/MLKL complex, in cardiomyocytes, active RIPK3 also induces necroptosis by phosphorylating CaMKII and induces cyclophilin D (CypD)-mediated-mPTP (mitochondrial permeability transition pore) in the myocardium [\[108](#page-15-4)]. RIPK3 defciency prevents ischemia/reperfusion injury and doxorubicin-induced CaMKII activation and blocks necroptosis in the myocardium.

2. *RIPK3-cytokine production.* RIPK3 signaling regulates the production of many cytokines and chemokines. Most early studies demonstrated that RIPK3 signaling induces necroptosis-dependent extrinsic cytokine/chemokine production [[109,](#page-15-5) [110](#page-15-6)]. The necroptotic cells "spill out" intracellular molecules called DAMPs (damage-associated molecular patterns), including HMGB1 (high mobility group box-1), IL1 family cytokines (IL1 α , IL1β, IL18 and IL33), heat-shock proteins, ribonucleoproteins, U1 snRNP, mtDNA, the S100 calcium-binding proteins S100A8 and S100A9, uric acid, ATP and mitochondrial factors. DAMPs are then recognized by PRRs (pattern-recognition receptors), such as TLRs and IL-1 receptors on bystander cells, thereby stimulating an infammatory response and inducing the production of cytokines and chemokines [\[10](#page-12-28), [12](#page-12-5), [111–](#page-15-7)[113\]](#page-15-8).

 However, recent studies have also shown that RIPK3 plays a cell death-independent role in cytokine/ chemokine production by inducing intrinsic infammatory signaling [[89](#page-14-17), [114](#page-15-9), [115](#page-15-10)]. In many types of cells, such as macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs), RIPK3 regulates cytokine/chemokine production by regulating NF-κB and MAPK pathways to induce transcription of cytokine/chemokine genes and promote NLRP3 (Nod-like receptor family pyrin domain-containing 3) infammasome activation for processing and matura-tion of cytokines including IL1β and IL18 [\[89,](#page-14-17) [116](#page-15-11)– [119](#page-15-12)]. To date, the detailed mechanism of how RIPK3 controls NF-κB activity remains a mystery. However, two mechanisms have been proposed to explain how RIPK3-signaling regulates infammasome activity: (1) In caspase 8-expressing cells, RIPK3 protein promotes infammasome activation through a caspase 8–RIPK1– NLRP3 axis, independent of its kinase activity [\[25](#page-12-17), [29,](#page-12-21) [120\]](#page-15-13). (2) In caspase 8 inactivated cells, RIPK3 promotes infammasome activation via its kinase-mediated MLKL phosphorylation. Phospho-MLKL can directly induce NLRP3-inflammasome activation via potassium efflux after membrane rupture [[111,](#page-15-7) [121,](#page-15-14) [122](#page-15-15)]. In addition, RIPK3 also drives cytokine translation by activating the cap-dependent translation initiation pathway components AKT, mTORC1 and eIF4E [\[123](#page-15-16)]. Such RIPK3 induced mRNA translation and cytokine synthesis can be observed even in cells with ruptured plasma membranes [\[13](#page-12-6), [124](#page-15-17)].

- 3. *RIPK3-mitochondrial metabolism.* In addition to the MLKL-necroptotic pathway, RIPK3 also serves as a major component of other signaling pathways independent of necroptosis. For examples, RIPK3 mediates TNF-stimulated tricarboxylic acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation, resulting in enhanced ROS (reactive oxygen species) production. RIPK3 plays this role by directly interacting and phosphorylating the rate-limiting enzymes for glycolysis such as PYGL (liver form of glycogen phosphorylase) and the E3 subunit of PDC (pyruvate dehydrogenase complex), as well as the key enzymes for glutamine catabolism including GLUL (glutamate-ammonia ligase) and GLUD1 (glutamate dehydrogenase 1) [[8,](#page-12-3) [75](#page-14-27), [125\]](#page-15-18). In addition, RIPK3 directly phosphorylates PGAM5, a mitochondrial phosphatase [\[126](#page-15-19)]. PGAM5 activates DRP1-mediated mitochondrial fssion and ROS production by dephosphorylating DRP1 at Ser637. RIPK1 also activates DRP1 by phosphorylating DRP1 at Ser616 in a RIPK3-dependent fashion independent of MLKL. Thus, the RIP3–RIPK1 complex regulates DRP1-mediated mitochondrial fssion and ROS production by both removing phospho-Ser637 and adding phospho-Ser616 on DRP1. ROS in turn stimulates activation of the NLRP3 infammasome [\[116](#page-15-11), [126,](#page-15-19) [127\]](#page-15-20). However, note that some of these results have not been independently validated.
- 4. *RIPK3-cell cycle.* It was found that RIPK3 regulates cell growth by controlling cell cycle progression and cell division. RIPK3 plays this role by directly or indirectly phosphorylating proteins associated with the cell cycle, metabolism and development, as demonstrated by

proteome-wide analysis [[128,](#page-15-21) [129](#page-15-22)]. Knock-out of *RIPK3* in MEFs caused obvious arrest of cell cycle progression and thus cell division [[129\]](#page-15-22). RIPK3 is also reported to maintain chromosome stability by interacting with caspase-8, RIPK1 and PLK1 (Polo-like kinase 1) in the mitosis-associated ripoptosome [[130](#page-15-23)]. PLK1 is a pleiotropic master regulator of mitosis and regulates DNA replication after stress. Within the mitosis-associated ripoptosome, PLK1 levels are controlled by caspase8 mediated cleavage in a RIPK1-dependent manner. Deletion and inhibition of RIPK1 or caspase8 led to elevated PLK1 levels and chromosomal instability. Furthermore, PLK1 phosphorylates RIPK3 at Ser369 to prevent RIPK3 from caspase-8 cleavage. It was speculated that the elevated RIPK3 in the G2/M phase provides cells an alternative to die if a mitosis error should occur [[131\]](#page-15-24).

5. *RIPK3-autophagy.* In response to genotoxic stress, such as etoposide treatment- induced alternative autophagy, RIPK3 phosphorylates Ser746 on ULK1, an essential initiator of both canonical autophagy and alternative autophagy. Phospho-ULK1 (Ser746) localizes exclusively to the Golgi, which is required for alternative autophagy, but not for canonical autophagy. The loss of RIPK3 or inhibition of RIPK3 kinase activity abolished ULK1 Ser746 phosphorylation and alternative autophagy [[132](#page-15-25), [133](#page-15-26)].

RIPK3 signaling stimulates immunogenicity and infammatory reactions

Cells dying via apoptosis send "eat-me" signals to macrophages, thus apoptotic cells are quickly removed by macrophages and cause limited infuence to other tissue cells. In contrast to apoptotic cells, cells dying via necroptosis induce signifcant infammatory reactions and immune responses. Necroptotic cells secrete substantial amounts of cytokines and chemokines including IL6, CXCL1, CXCL2, CCL8 and CCL2. Such cytokine/chemokine secretion starts when RIPK1-NF-κB and RIPK1–RIPK3 signaling is activated and continues even after the cell membrane ruptured [[13](#page-12-6), [124](#page-15-17)]. In addition, necroptotic cells release DAMPs into the surrounding environment which can activate immune cells and promote infammation [[134\]](#page-15-27) (Fig. [3B](#page-4-0)).

The cytokines/chemokines induce the accumulation of myeloid cells including granulocytes, monocytes and DCs, as well as T and B lymphocytes. The DAMPs can be taken up by DCs which process and present the DAMPs to CD4⁺ T cells and $CD8⁺$ T cells to stimulate adaptive immunity through a phenomenon known as antigenic cross-priming [[13](#page-12-6), [135\]](#page-15-28). Some of the DAMPs may have cell-specific immunogenic activity which induce cell-specifc adaptive immune responses. For example, DAMPs released from necrotic cancer cells can be sensed by various PRRs in immune cells and lead to anti-cancer immune surveillance [[8,](#page-12-3) [13,](#page-12-6) [115,](#page-15-10) [135](#page-15-28)[–142\]](#page-16-0). Recently it has been reported that RIPK3-mediated necroptotic cells also stimulate the activation of NKT cell-mediated immune responses [[143](#page-16-1)]. The accumulated myeloid cells induce infammatory reactions in local tissues by stimulating innate immune responses. Such innate immune responses could either promote an adaptive immune response by inducing a M1 type of macrophage, or repress an adaptive immune response by stimulating a M2 type of macrophage and MDSCs (myeloid-derived suppressive cells) [\[144,](#page-16-2) [145](#page-16-3)]. Thus in cancer tissues, the RIPK3 dependent cytokine synthesis within necroptotic dying cells plays critical roles in antitumor $CD8⁺$ T cell responses [[13,](#page-12-6) [115](#page-15-10), [135](#page-15-28), [142](#page-16-0)].

RIPK3 signaling in the pathogenesis of cancers

It is well-accepted that the escape of apoptosis is an indisputable hallmarks of cancers. Recent studies demonstrated that necroptosis resistance is also very common in cancer cells, suggesting that escape from necroptosis could be also a potential hallmark of cancers. Necroptosis has been suggested to play a pivotal role in multiple facets of cancer biology, including oncogenesis, cancer metastasis and cancer immunity. However, distinct from apoptosis, necroptotic cells induce signifcant anti-tumor immune response by inducing DC-mediated anti-tumor T cells. In addition, necroptotic cells also stimulate infammatory reactions in the tumor tissues by releasing cytokines and DAMPs. The infammatory reactions can either enhance or repress an anti-tumor microenvironment. Furthermore, RIPK3 signaling stimulates mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and other cellular activities. All these functions explain the complex roles of RIPK3 signaling in the pathogenesis of diferent types of cancers. Both tumor-repressive and tumor-promoting activities of RIPK3 signaling have been reported (summarized in Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The pro-carcinogenesis or anti-carcinogenesis functions of RIPK3 signaling are primarily dependent on the balance of cytokines and chemokines produced, as demonstrated in some cancer models. However, how does this exquisite balance work remains poorly understood.

Based on current knowledge, the mechanisms by which RIPK3 signaling represses tumor development including: (1) killing cancer cells via necroptosis; (2) inducing T cell-mediated cancer immune surveillance; (3) secreting tumor-repressive cytokines; and (4) restricting tumor development by inducing mitochondrial metabolism and ROS production. The mechanisms by which RIPK3 signaling promotes tumor development and progress include (1) inducing the accumulation of immune-repressive myeloid cells such as MDSCs and TAMs (tumor-associated macrophages) to promote cancer cells escape from the immune surveillance; (2) secreting tumor-promoting cytokines and inducing tumor-promoting microenvironment and angiogenesis; (3) promoting tumor metastasis by inducing death of vascular endothelial cells (ECs); and (4) promoting tumor relapse by inducing proliferation and self-renewal signaling (Fig. [4\)](#page-7-0).

Table 1 Summary of literature on tumor-repressive and tumor-promoting activity of RIPK3

Cancers with RIPK3 down-regulation	Cancers with RIPK3 over-expression
Breast cancer [148]	Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma [167-169]
Colorectal cancer [149, 150]	Colon cancer [170]
Acute myeloid leukemia [151, 152]	Esophageal cancer [170]
Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [153]	HOXA-expressing acute myeloid leukemia
Melanoma [154]	Recurrent breast cancer [171, 172]
Mesothelioma [147] Prostate cancer [155]	
Cancer models showing tumor-repressive activity of RIPK3	Cancer models showing tumor-promoting activity of RIPK3
<i>RUNX-1-ETO</i> acute myeloid leukemia [151] FLT3-ITD acute myeloid leukemia [151]	<i>HOXAs</i> -expressing acute myeloid leukemias [182]
TAK1 knockout Hepatocellular carcinoma [165]	$Myc/Nras^{GI2V}$ intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma
$Myc/Nras^{GI2V}$ Hepatocellular carcinoma <i>Myc/AKT1</i> Hepatocellular carcinoma [166]	$Myc/AKTI$ intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma [166]
	$p48^{Cre}Kras^{GI2D}$ PDA [167][168]
	$PdxI^{Cre}Kras^{GI2D}Tp53^{RI72H}PDA$ [167][168]
	B ₁₆ melanoma ^[173]
	Lewis lung carcinoma [173]
	AOM-DSS-induced colitis-associated colorectal cancer [167, 175, 176] $Apc^{Min/+}$ colon cancer [179] MC38 colon cancer [179]

Fig. 4 RIPK3 signaling has both tumor-promoting and tumor-repressive activities. RIPK3 signaling represses cancer development by (1) killing the cancer cells through necroptosis; (2) inducing DC/T cell-mediated antitumor immunity; (3) secreting tumor-suppressive cytokines; and (4) inducing cancer-restrictive mitochondrial metabolism and ROS production (the numbers are indicated in red). RIPK3

signaling promotes cancer development by: (1) inducing immunesuppressive myeloid cells; (2) producing tumor-promoting cytokines; (3) inducing death in vascular endothelial cells; and (4) regulating cell cycle and/or self-renewal signaling (the numbers are indicated in green)

1. *Tumor repressive activity of RIPK3 signaling.* Although deletion and/or mutation of the *RIPK3* gene has not been reported in any cancers, loss of RIPK3 expression has been detected in>80% of human cancer cell lines [[21](#page-12-13), [146](#page-16-15), [147](#page-16-11)]. A necroptosis sensitivity screen in 941 human cancer cell lines demonstrated that 780/941 (83%) of human cancer cell lines are fully resistant to TSZ (TNF α + Cyclohexamide + zVAD.fmk) -induced necroptosis due to the loss of RIPK3 expression. Ectopic RIPK3 expression or pharmacological restoration of RIPK3 expression in some of these cancer cell lines reduce tumor growth in vitro culture and in a xenograft models [\[19](#page-12-11)], suggesting a tumor-repressive function of RIPK3.

 Decreased RIPK3 expression has also been reported in many types of primary cancers, such as breast cancer [[148](#page-16-4)], colorectal cancer [[149,](#page-16-5) [150\]](#page-16-6), acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [[151,](#page-16-7) [152](#page-16-8)], head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [\[153](#page-16-9)], melanoma [\[154](#page-16-10)], primary malignant mesotheliomas [[147](#page-16-11)] and prostate tumors [[155](#page-16-12)]. Studies of patient tumor biopsies and tumor xenograft models demonstrated that loss of RIPK3 expression occurs progressively during tumor progression and disease metastasis in melanoma, colorectal, gastric, and ovarian cancers. In these types of cancers, the reduced expression of RIPK3 is associated with disease progression and metastasis as well as shortened overall survival (OS) of the patients [[21,](#page-12-13) [152,](#page-16-8) [154–](#page-16-10)[156\]](#page-16-16). Decreased expression of MLKL is also observed in patients with gastric cancer [[157](#page-16-17)], ovarian carcinoma [\[158](#page-16-18)], cervical squamous cell carcinoma [[159\]](#page-16-19), colon cancer [[160\]](#page-16-20), and early-stage resected pancreatic adenocarcinoma which is correlated with a decreased OS [\[161](#page-16-21)]. These fndings suggest that, like apoptosis, necroptosis also functions as a natural barrier that protects against the development in many cancer types. Reduction of RIPK3 and/or MLKL expression are candidate prognostic biomarkers in those cancers.

 In most types of cancers, RIPK3 expression is silenced by hypermethylation of the CpG island that localized at 240–600 bp downstream of the TSS [[21,](#page-12-13) [150,](#page-16-6) [162](#page-16-22)]. The methylation of this CpG island is maintained by DNMT1. Thus RIPK3 expression can be restored by DNMT1 inactivation or demethylation agents such as 5-azacitidine and decitabine [\[21](#page-12-13)]. In cancer types that harbor activing BRAF mutations and/or express high levels of AXL/TYRO3, RIPK3 expression is repressed by the oncoprotein kinases [\[19](#page-12-11), [146](#page-16-15)]. Such oncoprotein kinases are known to regulate many transcription factors, including JUN, FOS, ETS, SP1 and MYC. It is possible that they repress RIPK3 expression by inactivating the activity of transcription factors such as SP1 and promoting promoter methylation of RIPK3 gene. In addition, in colon cancer tissues, RIPK3 expression is repressed by a hypoxic environment through promoting HIF1 α activity [[150,](#page-16-6) [163\]](#page-16-23).

The tumor-suppressive effects of RIPK3 signaling have been only documented to date in certain types of leukemia and liver cancer animal models. In *RUNX1- ETO* or *FLT3-ITD*-induced leukemia models, TNFαRIPK3 signaling represses AML development primarily by stimulating infammasome-IL1β signaling-induced diferentiation of the pre-leukemia cells. Genetic inactivation of TNF-RIPK3-infammasome-IL1β signaling markedly accelerated leukemogenesis in these two leukemic models. MLKL-mediated necroptosis has a minor contribution to RIPK3-mediated leukemia repression. Interestingly, it seems that the tumor repressive function of RIPK3 is only limited to leukemia induced by certain genetic mutations, because deletion of *RIPK3* fails to accelerate leukemia development in *MLL-ENL* and $E\mu$ -*MYC*-induced leukemia models [\[151,](#page-16-7) [164\]](#page-16-24).

 In the liver-specifc *TAK1* knockout HCC (hepatocellular carcinoma) model, RIPK3 controls the transition from infammation to cancer by inhibiting caspase8-induced chromosomal aberrations associated with immortalization of hepatocytes. *RIPK3* deletion accelerates inflammatory hepatocarcinogenesis but inhibits cholestasis by promoting caspase8- and JNKdependent compensatory cell proliferation [[165\]](#page-16-13). In transposon-mediated co-expressing oncogenic Myc/ $Nras^{G12V}$ (pCaMIN) or Myc/AKT1 (pCaMIA) models, Seehawer et al. demonstrated that hydrodynamic tailvein injection-induced apoptosis in the microenvironment promotes HCC development, whereas electroporation-triggered necroptosis promotes ICC (intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma) development in animals. Pharmacological or genetic inhibition of necroptosis converts ICC to HCC. These studies suggest that necroptotic cells may reshape the microenvironment and direct the lineage commitment of liver cancer. This process is independent of the oncogenic drivers but may be involved in the epigenetic regulation of the genes *Tbx3* and *Prdm5* [\[166\]](#page-16-14).

2. *Tumor promoting activity of RIPK3 signaling.* In some of the infammatory cancer types, such as human PDA (pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma), RIPK1, RIPK3 and MLKL, the key components of necrosome, are highly expressed in cancer tissues compared with normal pancreas [[167–](#page-17-0)[169](#page-17-1)]. Chemotherapy such as gemcitabine further enhances the expression of RIPK1 and RIPK3 in PDA cells in vivo. In patients with colon and esophageal cancers, the higher phosphorylation levels of MLKL are correlated with a poorer prognosis and shorter OS [\[170](#page-17-2)]. In some breast cancer cell lines, the knockout of *RIPK1*, *RIPK3*, or *MLKL* genes in cancer cells markedly reduced their tumorigenicity and appeared to sensitize the cells to radiotherapy. Moreover, in a xenograft model, the necroptosis inhibitor NSA (necrosulfonamide) greatly delayed tumor growth [[170](#page-17-2)]. Two recent studies demonstrated that although RIPK3 is frequently suppressed in primary tumors, it is dramatically re-expressed in recurrent breast tumor cells by a histone methyltransferase G9a-mediated epigenetic mechanism [\[171](#page-17-3), [172](#page-17-4)]. One study demonstrated that, high RIPK3 expression rendered recurrent tumor cells extracellular cysteinedependent proliferation and G9a-mediated infammation repression. As a consequence, recurrent tumor cells were highly sensitive to cysteine deprivation- induced ferroptosis and G9a inhibition-induced necroptosis [\[172](#page-17-4)]. Another study showed that RIPK3 was critical in preventing chromosome instability and aneuploidy in recurrent tumor cells as *RIPK3* knockdown triggers mitotic defects and p53 activation. Thus *RIPK3* knockdown in recurrent tumor cells reduced clonogenic growth, causing cytokinesis failure, p53 stabilization, and repressed the activities of YAP/TAZ [[171](#page-17-3)].

The tumor-promoting activity of RIPK3 signaling has been assessed in several animal models. In both *p48CreKrasG12D*(KC) and *Pdx1CreKrasG12DTp53R172H*(KPC) murine PDA models, RIPK3-mediated necroptosis in cancer cells promotes cancer cell growth and disease progression primarily by releasing CXCL1 chemokine and SAP130 nuclear protein [[167](#page-17-0)]. CXCL1 and SAP130 recruit MDSCs and TAMs to the tumor tissues through activation of CXCR2 and Mincle signaling. MDSCs and TAMs inhibit T cell-mediated antitumor immune reactions. Therefore, blockade of necroptosis by *RIPK3* knockout or inactivation of CXCL1/Mincle signaling protects against pancreatic oncogenesis in PDA. In addition, necroptotic PDA cells also produce the chemokine CXCL5 which may promote the migration and invasion of tumor cells by activation of CXCR2 signaling in tumor cells [[168](#page-17-10)]. An additional study demonstrated that TAMs in PDA tissue also express high levels of RIPK1. RIPK1 in TAMs is required for the tumor-promoting activity of the TAMs. Inhibition of RIPK1 reprograms TAMs from a tumor-promoting M2 type to a tumor-protective M1 type, which provides T cellmediated adaptive anti-tumor immunity in PDA. Targeting RIPK1 synergized with a PD1 blocker promoting co-stimulator-based immunotherapies [\[169](#page-17-1)]. In B16 melanoma or LLC1 (Lewis lung carcinoma line 1) lung carcinoma metastasis models, tumor cells express amyloid precursor protein (APP). APP promotes tumor cell extravasation and metastasis by inducing death receptor 6 (DR6)-mediated necroptosis of vascular ECs. Targeting DR6-mediated necroptosis in ECs inhibits the development of tumor metastasis [\[173](#page-17-6)]. However, such conclusions were challenged by a recent study which demonstrated that inhibition of RIPK1 activity had no efect on tumor growth/ survival in a mutant Kras-driven PDA model, nor did it reduce lung metastases in a B16 melanoma model [[174](#page-17-11)]. Whether such discrepancies are due to the diferent role of RIPK1 and RIPK3 activity in tumor pathogenesis need to been studied further.

In the AOM-DSS-induced CAC (colitis-associated colorectal cancer) model, several labs demonstrated that RIPK3 promotes cancer development by two potential mechanisms: (1) inducing JNK signaling-mediated proliferation in premalignant intestinal epithelial cells (IECs); and (2) repressing anti-cancer T cell immunity by CXLC1-dependent recruitment of immunosuppressive myeloid cells. Thus, *RIPK3* deletion may represses CAC development in this model by repressing tumor cell proliferation and reducing infltration of immunosuppressive subsets of myeloid cells [\[167,](#page-17-0) [175](#page-17-7), [176](#page-17-8)]. In addition, in a CAC xenograft model, radiation induces both apoptosis and necroptosis in cancer cells and normal tissue cells. Necroptotic cells stimulate tumor repopulation through secreting IL-8 and HMGB1 in a MLKL/JNK axis-dependent manor. The elevated expression of IL-8 in tumor tissue is associated with a worse prognosis in CAC patients. Blockage MLKL/JNK/IL-8 during conventional radiotherapy may enhance the efficacy of radiotherapy in CAC [\[177,](#page-17-12) [178](#page-17-13)]. Furthermore, in both $Apc^{Min/+}$ mice and MC38 transplantable tumor models, RIPK3 signaling in MDSCs in tumor tissues promotes tumor growth by stimulating the expansion of IL17-producing T cells. All these studies suggest RIPK3 signaling as a potential therapeutic target in colorectal cancer[\[179](#page-17-9)]. However, other studies suggest that loss of RIPK3 does not afect or can even enhance DSS-induced colitis and CRC development [[115,](#page-15-10) [180,](#page-17-14) [181](#page-17-15)]. Such diferences are most likely due to variation in the gut microbiota.

Modifying RIPK3‑MLKL signaling for cancer therapy

Radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy are administered to kill cancer cells primarily by inducing apoptosis. However, some cancer cells are resistant to these therapies due to the inactivation of apoptosis signaling pathways. Inducing necroptosis has been proposed as an alternative strategy for cancer treatment to overcome apoptosis-resistant cancer cells [[17\]](#page-12-9). In addition, due to the immunogenicity of necroptotic cells, induction of necroptosis in cancer cells has been used as a "vaccine" to trigger specifc anti-cancer T cell responses. However, in some of the cancers, RIPK3 signaling might promote cancer development and progression. Specifcally, inhibition of RIPK3 signaling might be a useful strategy to treat such cancers. Many RIPK3 inhibitors have been developed and are currently being used in clinical trials for autoimmune and infammatory diseases.

1. *Overcome apoptotic resistance in cancer cells by inducing necroptosis.* SMAC mimetics are small-molecule antagonists of IAPs, and can induce necroptosis when combined with $TNF\alpha$ and a caspase 8 inhibitor. The basic element of SMAC-mimics is a Ala-Val-Pro-Ile peptide. Five monomeric SMAC-mimics (the peptidomimetics BI891065, CUDC-427, DEBIO 1143, and LCL-161 and the non-peptidomimetic antagonist ASTX660) and three peptidomimetic dimeric SMAC-mimics (APG-1387, birinapant, and AEG40826/HGS1029) have been developed and tested in phase 1 and 2 clinical trials for the management of various malignancies, including breast, ovarian, fallopian, non-small cell lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma, colorectal and peritoneal cancer, myeloma, and leukemia [\[182](#page-17-5), [183](#page-17-16)]. However, due to the significant side effects of TNF α , including TNF α in the regimen is limited. Nevertheless, in TNFα expressing cancers, SMAC-mimics+caspase 8 inhibitor combination might be sufficient to induce necroptosis. In addition, in some cancer types such as HOXA-expressing AML, endogenous $TNF\alpha$ can be induced by inhibition of p38 MAPK–MK2 pathway [\[184\]](#page-17-17). Thus addition of p38 MAPK-MK2 inhibitior to the regimen has been suggested. Recently, several necroptosis-inducing chemicals have been identifed. For example, Shikonin (SHI) is a natural compound extracted from medicinal Chinese herbs which inhibits tumor growth mainly by inducing necroptosis [[185–](#page-17-18)[188\]](#page-17-19). SHI plays this role by repressing autophagy and CYLD, inhibiting PKM2 and inducing ROS production [[30,](#page-12-24) [37,](#page-13-2) [100](#page-14-26), [164](#page-16-24), [189](#page-17-20)–[197\]](#page-17-21). SHI synergistically kills certain types of cancers when combined with canonical chemotherapy drugs such as gemcitabine, erlotinib, docetaxel, cisplatin and paclitaxel [[188](#page-17-19), [196](#page-17-22)– [204](#page-18-0)]. However, due to the loss of RIPK3 expression in most types of cancers, this treatment might only work in certain types of cancers, for example recombinant MLL-AML and other HOXAs-expressing AMLs [[184\]](#page-17-17). Such chemicals might yield synergistic efect when combined with RIPK3 restoring agents [\[205](#page-18-1)[–207](#page-18-2)]. (Fig. [5A](#page-10-0))

 In most types of cancer cells, RIPK3 expression can be restored by hypomethylating agents such as decitabine, 5-azacytidine and RG108, as well as pan-HDAC inhibitors, such as SAHA, and EZH2 inhibitors, such as EPZ6438 [[205](#page-18-1)]. Such agents induce the re-expression of RIPK3 in tumor cells by demethylation of the CpG islands in the *RIPK3* gene. In cancer types harboring mutations or over-expression of oncoprotein kinases, such as BRAF, AXL or FLT3, RIPK3 expression can be reactivated by specific and non-specific kinase inhibitors [\[146,](#page-16-15) [151](#page-16-7)]. For examples, the BRAF inhibitor TAK-632 and the AXL/TYRO3 inhibitor BMS-777607 reactivate RIPK3 expression in tumor cells with activating BRAF V600E mutations and levels of AXL/TYRO3, respectively [\[146\]](#page-16-15). HS-173, a phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) inhibitor can induce RIPK3 mediated necroptosis in lung cancer cells via upregulation of RIPK3 [[208](#page-18-3)]. In melanoma patient tumors, the BRAF inhibitors dabrafenib and vemurafenib increased RIPK3 expression by at least 1.2-fold in 58.3% of the

Fig. 5 Potential strategies to target RIPK3 signaling for cancer treatment. **A** In apoptosis-resistant cancers, RIPK3 signaling activators overcome apoptosis resistance by inducing necroptosis and potentially stimulating anti-tumor immunity. **B** In RIPK3-negative cancers, epigenetic drugs and kinase inhibitors reactivate the tumor repres-

sive activity of RIPK3 by inducing RIPK3 expression. **C** In RIPK3 positive cancers, RIPK1 and RIPK3 inhibitors might repress cancer development and progression by inhibiting the tumor-promoting activity of RIPK3

patients [[209\]](#page-18-4). The molecular mechanism by which all these kinase inhibitors reactivate RIPK3 expression need further investigation. Nevertheless, in RIPK3-negative cancer cells, ectopic RIPK3 expression or pharmacological restoration of RIPK3 expression reduces tumor growth and increases their sensitivity to chemotherapy in a xenograft model, suggesting reactivation of RIPK3 might be a promising novel anti-cancer strategy [\[19](#page-12-11), [21\]](#page-12-13) (Fig. [5B](#page-10-0)).

 Due to the strong immunogenic capacity of necroptotic cells, several studies assessed the potential of triggering anti-cancer immunity by inducing necroptosis in tumor cells. It was found that inducing necroptosis in local tumor cells could trigger anti-cancer immunity and inhibit metastasis to in distant organs. Furthermore, it was reported that necroptotic cancer cells or a nanovaccine which mimics necroptotic cancer cells could boost efficient anti-tumor immunity in animal models $[140,$ $[140,$ [142](#page-16-0), [210](#page-18-5), [211](#page-18-6)]. Future studies need to determine how to specifcally use necroptotic cancer cells to stimulate anti-cancer immunity without inducing immune-repressive infammatory reactions.

2. *Inhibit the RIPK3 pathway for cancer therapy.* For inflammatory cancer types, necroptotic cells stimulate immune-repressive infammatory reactions in the tumor environment. In addition, in some types of cancer cells, such as recurrent breast cancers, RIPK3 signaling promotes tumor growth. We found in most acute monocytic leukemia subtypes, RIPK3 is expressed and activated in the nucleus as demonstrated by phospho-RIPK3 and phospho-MLKL staining. RIPK3 signaling in these subtypes of leukemic cells promotes leukemia progression by stimulating STAT3 signaling [\[212\]](#page-18-7). In all these situations, inhibition of RIPK3 signaling might beneft patients and repress cancer growth. Currently, many types of selective inhibitors have been developed for RIPK3 including GSK'840, GSK'843, GSK'872, GW440139B, HS-1371, ponatinib and dabrafenib (a BRAF inhibitor); for RIPK1 such as necrostatins, GSK2982772, GSK3145095, RIPA-56, DNL747, ponatinib and pazopanib; for MLKL such as necrosulfonamide (NSA), GW806742X and protein Trx1 (Fig. [5](#page-10-0)C). Future studies will need to test whether such inhibitors can selectively repress the infammatory cancer types when combined with other canonical chemotherapies and immune checkpoint inhibitors. In addition, the diference between the efect of RIPK1 inhibition and RIPK3 inhibition on cancer development and progression needs to be evaluated.

Prospective

During last decade, the upstream stimuli and downstream pathways of RIPK3 signaling have been studied intensively. Many interacting partner proteins for RIPK3 have been identifed. These partner proteins regulate RIPK3 activity by mediating phosphorylation or ubiquitination modifcations. The roles of RIPK3 in regulating MLKL-mediated necroptosis and several other MLKL-cell death-independent cellular behaviors have been well-documented. In addition, studies suggest that RIPK3 signaling is involved in the pathophysiology of many types of human diseases, including sepsis/ systemic infammatory response syndrome, chronic pulmonary diseases, renal diseases (such as I/R–induced AKI and kidney fbrosis), nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, cardiovascular diseases (such as heart failure, myocardial injury and aortic aneurysm), neurodegenerative diseases (such as multiple sclerosis, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Parkinson's and Alzheimer's disease, spinal cord injury, and traumatic brain injury). The roles of RIPK3 signaling in all these diseases have been well-documented by both RIPK3 knockout models and specific RIPK1–RIPK3 inhibitors $[16]$ $[16]$. However, there are still many unanswered questions need to be addressed in future.

The role of RIPK3 signaling in cancer pathogenesis is beginning to be recognized. However, cancer animal models in germ-free environment might primarily assess the cancer cell-intrinsic role of RIPK3, whereas the role of RIPK3 in the tumor microenvironment and immune response is underevaluated. Future studies need to determine the infuence of the microbiota and environmental factors on RIPK3 signaling in cancer pathogenesis by comparative studies of animal models in both regular and germ free environments.

- 1. It was demonstrated that RIPK3 and MLKL continuously shuttle between the nucleus and the cytoplasm. Within the nucleus, RIPK3 and MLKL signaling is activated as demonstrated by phosphorylation of both RIPK3 and MLKL. Studies suggest that the nuclear translocation of RIPK3 and MLKL is preceded to the signaling induction and is required for cytosolic necro-some assembly and cellular necroptosis [[213,](#page-18-8) [214](#page-18-9)]. We found that in some types of AML cells especially HOXA+ types of AML cells, phospho-RIPK3 and phospho-MLKL are localized in the nucleus during interphase and localized at the mitotic spindle during G2/M phases [[212](#page-18-7), [215](#page-18-10)]. However, the detailed functions of nuclear RIPK3 in cellular behaviors have not been studied.
- 2. Both tumor-promoting and tumor-repressive activities of RIPK3-signaling have been observed. Most of these observations were obtained from studies of cancer cell lines. Although loss of RIPK3 expression is commonly detected in the primary cancer samples, high level expression of RIPK3 is also detected in some types of cancers. In addition, re-expression of RIPK3 is observed in specifc cancer types from relapsed patients. Future studies need to determine whether the distinct roles of RIPK3 in cancer pathogenesis are correlated with genetic mutations, tumor subtypes, or developmental stage of the cancers. For example, we and others found that high levels of RIPK3 signaling are detected in bone marrow samples from low risk MDS (myelodysplasia syndromes) patients but are reduced during disease progression and AML transformation [\[215\]](#page-18-10). However,

RIPK3 signaling is not decreased in HOXA+ AML patient samples, which account approximately 50% of all AML [\[184](#page-17-17), [212](#page-18-7)]. In these RIPK3-expressing types of AML cells, inhibition of RIPK3 signaling represses AML cell growth, suggesting a tumor-promoting activity. Our studies suggest that in aplastic anemia and MDS bone marrow tissue, a small proportion of hematopoietic cells die of RIPK3-mediated necroptosis. Necroptotic cells in these patients stimulate T cell-mediated hematopoietic repression, which resembles the pathogenesis of immune-related aplastic anemia, or stimulate the expansion of MDSCs, which induces myelodysplastic features of MDS. In HOXA⁺ AML, RIPK3 signaling promotes the proliferation and maintains the undiferentiated state of AML cells. However, in HOXA− AML, RIPK3 signaling plays the opposite role $[151]$. These studies suggest that the roles of RIPK3 signaling in AML development and progression are associated with the disease subtypes and stages.

3. Most importantly, since RIPK3 signaling can be stimulated by bacterial and viral infections, the animal facility environment is very important for the study and comparison of the role of RIPK3 signaling in the pathophysiology of diseases in animal models. For examples, in many animal models, obvious diseases were observed when animals are maintained in animal facilities with viral and bacterial infections; however, these animals failed to develop diseases when maintained in germfree animal facilities. These environmental factors may explain the contradictory conclusions of RIPK3 signaling in AOM-DSS-induced colitis- associated colorectal cancer model from diferent laboratories [[109](#page-15-5), [115](#page-15-10), [167,](#page-17-0) [175](#page-17-7), [180](#page-17-14), [216\]](#page-18-11).

Author contributions SL drafted the frst version of this review. All authors contributed to the writing of this manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by NIH Grants R01 HL133560-01 and R01 CA223194-01 through Loyola University Chicago, as well as Loyola program development funds to Jiwang Zhang.

Availability of data and materials This is not applicable for this review.

Code availability This is not applicable for this review.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no competing fnancial or professional interests.

Ethics approval This is not applicable for this review.

Consent to participate This is not applicable for this review.

Consent for publication This is not applicable for this review.

References

- 1. Mulay SR, Desai J, Kumar SV, Eberhard JN, Thomasova D, Romoli S, Grigorescu M, Kulkarni OP, Popper B, Vielhauer V et al (2016) Cytotoxicity of crystals involves RIPK3-MLKLmediated necroptosis. Nat Commun 7:10274
- 2. Rickard JA, O'Donnell JA, Evans JM, Lalaoui N, Poh AR, Rogers T, Vince JE, Lawlor KE, Ninnis RL, Anderton H et al (2014) RIPK1 regulates RIPK3-MLKL-driven systemic infammation and emergency hematopoiesis. Cell 157(5):1175–1188
- 3. Daniels BP, Kofman SB, Smith JR, Norris GT, Snyder AG, Kolb JP, Gao X, Locasale JW, Martinez J, Gale M Jr et al (2019) The nucleotide sensor ZBP1 and kinase RIPK3 induce the enzyme IRG1 to promote an antiviral metabolic state in neurons. Immunity 50(1):64-76e64
- 4. Kaiser WJ, Sridharan H, Huang C, Mandal P, Upton JW, Gough PJ, Sehon CA, Marquis RW, Bertin J, Mocarski ES (2013) Tolllike receptor 3-mediated necrosis via TRIF, RIP3, and MLKL. J Biol Chem 288(43):31268–31279
- 5. Moriwaki K, Chan FK (2013) RIP3: a molecular switch for necrosis and infammation. Genes Dev 27(15):1640–1649
- Feoktistova M, Leverkus M (2015) Programmed necrosis and necroptosis signalling. FEBS J 282(1):19–31
- 7. He S, Wang L, Miao L, Wang T, Du F, Zhao L, Wang X (2009) Receptor interacting protein kinase-3 determines cellular necrotic response to TNF-alpha. Cell 137(6):1100–1111
- 8. Zhang DW, Shao J, Lin J, Zhang N, Lu BJ, Lin SC, Dong MQ, Han J (2009) RIP3, an energy metabolism regulator that switches TNF-induced cell death from apoptosis to necrosis. Science 325(5938):332–336
- 9. Shlomovitz I, Zargrian S, Gerlic M (2017) Mechanisms of RIPK3-induced infammation. Immunol Cell Biol 95(2):166–172
- 10. Weinlich R, Oberst A, Beere HM, Green DR (2017) Necroptosis in development, infammation and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 18(2):127–136
- 11. Wegner KW, Saleh D, Degterev A (2017) Complex pathologic roles of RIPK1 and RIPK3: moving beyond necroptosis. Trends Pharmacol Sci 38(3):202–225
- 12. Pasparakis M, Vandenabeele P (2015) Necroptosis and its role in infammation. Nature 517(7534):311–320
- 13. Yatim N, Jusforgues-Saklani H, Orozco S, Schulz O, Barreira da Silva R, Reis e Sousa C, Green DR, Oberst A, Albert ML (2015) RIPK1 and NF-kappaB signaling in dying cells determines crosspriming of CD8(+) T cells. Science 350(6258):328–334
- 14. Humphries F, Yang S, Wang B, Moynagh PN (2015) RIP kinases: key decision makers in cell death and innate immunity. Cell Death Difer 22(2):225–236
- 15. Najafov A, Chen H, Yuan J (2017) Necroptosis and cancer. Trends Cancer 3(4):294–301
- 16. Choi ME, Price DR, Ryter SW, Choi AMK. Necroptosis: a crucial pathogenic mediator of human disease. JCI Insight 2019, 4(15)
- 17. Gong Y, Fan Z, Luo G, Yang C, Huang Q, Fan K, Cheng H, Jin K, Ni Q, Yu X et al (2019) The role of necroptosis in cancer biology and therapy. Mol Cancer 18(1):100
- 18. Kasof GM, Prosser JC, Liu D, Lorenzi MV, Gomes BC (2000) The RIP-like kinase, RIP3, induces apoptosis and NF-kappaB nuclear translocation and localizes to mitochondria. FEBS Lett 473(3):285–291
- 19. Yang C, Li J, Yu L, Zhang Z, Xu F, Jiang L, Zhou X, He S (2017) Regulation of RIP3 by the transcription factor Sp1 and the epigenetic regulator UHRF1 modulates cancer cell necroptosis. Cell Death Dis 8(10):e3084
- 20. Hu D, Huang J, Hu S, Zhang Y, Li S, Sun Y, Li C, Cui G, Wang DW (2019) A common variant of RIP3 promoter region is associated with poor prognosis in heart failure patients by infuencing SOX17 binding. J Cell Mol Med 23(8):5317–5328
- 21. Koo GB, Morgan MJ, Lee DG, Kim WJ, Yoon JH, Koo JS, Kim SI, Kim SJ, Son MK, Hong SS et al (2015) Methylationdependent loss of RIP3 expression in cancer represses programmed necrosis in response to chemotherapeutics. Cell Res 25(6):707–725
- 22. Colijn S, Gao S, Ingram KG, Menendez M, Muthukumar V, Silasi-Mansat R, Chmielewska JJ, Hinsdale M, Lupu F, Grifn CT (2020) The NuRD chromatin-remodeling complex enzyme CHD4 prevents hypoxia-induced endothelial Ripk3 transcription and murine embryonic vascular rupture. Cell Death Difer 27(2):618–631
- 23. Sreenivasan K, Ianni A, Kunne C, Strilic B, Gunther S, Perdiguero E, Kruger M, Spuler S, Ofermanns S, Gomez-Del Arco P et al (2020) Attenuated epigenetic suppression of muscle stem cell necroptosis is required for efficient regeneration of dystrophic muscles. Cell Rep 31(7):107652
- 24. Sun X, Lee J, Navas T, Baldwin DT, Stewart TA, Dixit VM (1999) RIP3, a novel apoptosis-inducing kinase. J Biol Chem 274(24):16871–16875
- 25. Yu PW, Huang BC, Shen M, Quast J, Chan E, Xu X, Nolan GP, Payan DG, Luo Y (1999) Identifcation of RIP3, a RIPlike kinase that activates apoptosis and NFkappaB. Curr Biol 9(10):539–542
- 26. Sun X, Yin J, Starovasnik MA, Fairbrother WJ, Dixit VM (2002) Identifcation of a novel homotypic interaction motif required for the phosphorylation of receptor-interacting protein (RIP) by RIP3. J Biol Chem 277(11):9505–9511
- 27. Rebsamen M, Heinz LX, Meylan E, Michallet MC, Schroder K, Hofmann K, Vazquez J, Benedict CA, Tschopp J (2009) DAI/ ZBP1 recruits RIP1 and RIP3 through RIP homotypic interaction motifs to activate NF-kappaB. EMBO Rep 10(8):916–922
- 28. Yang Y, Ma J, Chen Y, Wu M (2004) Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of receptor-interacting protein 3 (RIP3): identifcation of novel nuclear export and import signals in RIP3. J Biol Chem 279(37):38820–38829
- 29. Feng S, Yang Y, Mei Y, Ma L, Zhu DE, Hoti N, Castanares M, Wu M (2007) Cleavage of RIP3 inactivates its caspase-independent apoptosis pathway by removal of kinase domain. Cell Signal 19(10):2056–2067
- 30. Lee SB, Kim JJ, Han SA, Fan Y, Guo LS, Aziz K, Nowsheen S, Kim SS, Park SY, Luo Q et al (2019) The AMPK-Parkin axis negatively regulates necroptosis and tumorigenesis by inhibiting the necrosome. Nat Cell Biol 21(8):940–951
- 31. Onizawa M, Oshima S, Schulze-Topphoff U, Oses-Prieto JA, Lu T, Tavares R, Prodhomme T, Duong B, Whang MI, Advincula R et al (2015) The ubiquitin-modifying enzyme A20 restricts ubiquitination of the kinase RIPK3 and protects cells from necroptosis. Nat Immunol 16(6):618–627
- 32. Liu Z, Nailwal H, Rector J, Rahman MM, Sam R, McFadden G, Chan FK (2021) A class of viral inducer of degradation of the necroptosis adaptor RIPK3 regulates virus-induced infammation. Immunity 54(2):247-258e247
- 33. Seo J, Lee EW, Sung H, Seong D, Dondelinger Y, Shin J, Jeong M, Lee HK, Kim JH, Han SY et al (2016) CHIP controls necroptosis through ubiquitylation- and lysosome-dependent degradation of RIPK3. Nat Cell Biol 18(3):291–302
- 34. Choi SW, Park HH, Kim S, Chung JM, Noh HJ, Kim SK, Song HK, Lee CW, Morgan MJ, Kang HC et al (2018)

PELI1 selectively targets kinase-active RIP3 for ubiquitylation-dependent proteasomal degradation. Mol Cell 70(5):920-935e927

- 35. Xie Y, Zhao Y, Shi L, Li W, Chen K, Li M, Chen X, Zhang H, Li T, Matsuzawa-Ishimoto Y et al (2020) Gut epithelial TSC1/ mTOR controls RIPK3-dependent necroptosis in intestinal infammation and cancer. J Clin Invest 130(4):2111–2128
- 36. Mei P, Xie F, Pan J, Wang S, Gao W, Ge R, Gao B, Gao S, Chen X, Wang Y et al. (2021) E3 ligase TRIM25 ubiquitinates RIP3 to inhibit TNF induced cell necrosis. Cell Death Difer
- 37. Roedig J, Kowald L, Juretschke T, Karlowitz R, Ahangarian Abhari B, Roedig H, Fulda S, Beli P, van Wijk SJ (2021) USP22 controls necroptosis by regulating receptor-interacting protein kinase 3 ubiquitination. EMBO Rep 22(2):e50163
- 38. Micheau O, Tschopp J (2003) Induction of TNF receptor I-mediated apoptosis via two sequential signaling complexes. Cell 114(2):181–190
- 39. Bertrand MJ, Milutinovic S, Dickson KM, Ho WC, Boudreault A, Durkin J, Gillard JW, Jaquith JB, Morris SJ, Barker PA (2008) cIAP1 and cIAP2 facilitate cancer cell survival by functioning as E3 ligases that promote RIP1 ubiquitination. Mol Cell 30(6):689–700
- 40. Adhikari A, Xu M, Chen ZJ (2007) Ubiquitin-mediated activation of TAK1 and IKK. Oncogene 26(22):3214–3226
- 41. Tang Y, Tu H, Zhang J, Zhao X, Wang Y, Qin J, Lin X (2019) K63-linked ubiquitination regulates RIPK1 kinase activity to prevent cell death during embryogenesis and infammation. Nat Commun 10(1):4157
- 42. Varfolomeev E, Goncharov T, Fedorova AV, Dynek JN, Zobel K, Deshayes K, Fairbrother WJ, Vucic D (2008) c-IAP1 and c-IAP2 are critical mediators of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFalpha)-induced NF-kappaB activation. J Biol Chem 283(36):24295–24299
- 43. Mahoney DJ, Cheung HH, Mrad RL, Plenchette S, Simard C, Enwere E, Arora V, Mak TW, Lacasse EC, Waring J et al (2008) Both cIAP1 and cIAP2 regulate TNFalpha-mediated NF-kappaB activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105(33):11778–11783
- 44. Vince JE, Wong WW, Khan N, Feltham R, Chau D, Ahmed AU, Benetatos CA, Chunduru SK, Condon SM, McKinlay M et al (2007) IAP antagonists target cIAP1 to induce TNFalphadependent apoptosis. Cell 131(4):682–693
- 45. Li H, Kobayashi M, Blonska M, You Y, Lin X (2006) Ubiquitination of RIP is required for tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced NF-kappaB activation. J Biol Chem 281(19):13636–13643
- 46. Ea CK, Deng L, Xia ZP, Pineda G, Chen ZJ (2006) Activation of IKK by TNFalpha requires site-specifc ubiquitination of RIP1 and polyubiquitin binding by NEMO. Mol Cell 22(2):245–257
- 47. Fan Y, Yu Y, Shi Y, Sun W, Xie M, Ge N, Mao R, Chang A, Xu G, Schneider MD et al (2010) Lysine 63-linked polyubiquitination of TAK1 at lysine 158 is required for tumor necrosis factor alpha- and interleukin-1beta-induced IKK/NF-kappaB and JNK/ AP-1 activation. J Biol Chem 285(8):5347–5360
- 48. Yu Y, Ge N, Xie M, Sun W, Burlingame S, Pass AK, Nuchtern JG, Zhang D, Fu S, Schneider MD et al (2008) Phosphorylation of Thr-178 and Thr-184 in the TAK1 T-loop is required for interleukin (IL)-1-mediated optimal NFkappaB and AP-1 activation as well as IL-6 gene expression. J Biol Chem 283(36):24497–24505
- 49. Besse A, Lamothe B, Campos AD, Webster WK, Maddineni U, Lin SC, Wu H, Darnay BG (2007) TAK1-dependent signaling requires functional interaction with TAB2/TAB3. J Biol Chem 282(6):3918–3928
- 50. O'Donnell MA, Legarda-Addison D, Skountzos P, Yeh WC, Ting AT (2007) Ubiquitination of RIP1 regulates an NF-kappaB-independent cell-death switch in TNF signaling. Curr Biol 17(5):418–424
- 51. Elton L, Carpentier I, Verhelst K, Staal J, Beyaert R (2015) The multifaceted role of the E3 ubiquitin ligase HOIL-1: beyond linear ubiquitination. Immunol Rev 266(1):208–221
- 52. Emmerich CH, Schmukle AC, Walczak H (2011) The emerging role of linear ubiquitination in cell signaling. Sci Signal 4(204):re5
- 53. Gerlach B, Cordier SM, Schmukle AC, Emmerich CH, Rieser E, Haas TL, Webb AI, Rickard JA, Anderton H, Wong WW et al (2011) Linear ubiquitination prevents infammation and regulates immune signalling. Nature 471(7340):591–596
- 54. Liang L, Fan Y, Cheng J, Cheng D, Zhao Y, Cao B, Ma L, An L, Jia W, Su X et al (2013) TAK1 ubiquitination regulates doxorubicin-induced NF-kappaB activation. Cell Signal 25(1):247–254
- 55. Peltzer N, Darding M, Walczak H (2016) Holding RIPK1 on the ubiquitin leash in TNFR1 signaling. Trends Cell Biol 26(6):445–461
- 56. Draber P, Kupka S, Reichert M, Draberova H, Lafont E, de Miguel D, Spilgies L, Surinova S, Taraborrelli L, Hartwig T et al (2015) LUBAC-recruited CYLD and A20 regulate gene activation and cell death by exerting opposing efects on linear ubiquitin in signaling complexes. Cell Rep 13(10):2258–2272
- 57. Peltzer N, Rieser E, Taraborrelli L, Draber P, Darding M, Pernaute B, Shimizu Y, Sarr A, Draberova H, Montinaro A et al (2014) HOIP defciency causes embryonic lethality by aberrant TNFR1-mediated endothelial cell death. Cell Rep 9(1):153–165
- 58. Peltzer N, Darding M, Montinaro A, Draber P, Draberova H, Kupka S, Rieser E, Fisher A, Hutchinson C, Taraborrelli L et al (2018) LUBAC is essential for embryogenesis by preventing cell death and enabling haematopoiesis. Nature 557:112
- 59. Mao R, Fan Y, Mou Y, Zhang H, Fu S, Yang J (2011) TAK1 lysine 158 is required for TGF-beta-induced TRAF6-mediated Smad-independent IKK/NF-kappaB and JNK/AP-1 activation. Cell Signal 23(1):222–227
- 60. Reiley WW, Jin W, Lee AJ, Wright A, Wu X, Tewalt EF, Leonard TO, Norbury CC, Fitzpatrick L, Zhang M et al (2007) Deubiquitinating enzyme CYLD negatively regulates the ubiquitindependent kinase Tak1 and prevents abnormal T cell responses. J Exp Med 204(6):1475–1485
- 61. Ahmed N, Zeng M, Sinha I, Polin L, Wei WZ, Rathinam C, Flavell R, Massoumi R, Venuprasad K (2011) The E3 ligase Itch and deubiquitinase Cyld act together to regulate Tak1 and infammation. Nat Immunol 12(12):1176–1183
- 62. Wertz IE, O'Rourke KM, Zhou H, Eby M, Aravind L, Seshagiri S, Wu P, Wiesmann C, Baker R, Boone DL et al (2004) Deubiquitination and ubiquitin ligase domains of A20 downregulate NF-kappaB signalling. Nature 430(7000):694–699
- 63. Komander D, Reyes-Turcu F, Licchesi JD, Odenwaelder P, Wilkinson KD, Barford D (2009) Molecular discrimination of structurally equivalent Lys 63-linked and linear polyubiquitin chains. EMBO Rep 10(5):466–473
- 64. Sato Y, Goto E, Shibata Y, Kubota Y, Yamagata A, Goto-Ito S, Kubota K, Inoue J, Takekawa M, Tokunaga F et al (2015) Structures of CYLD USP with Met1- or Lys63-linked diubiquitin reveal mechanisms for dual specifcity. Nat Struct Mol Biol 22(3):222–229
- 65. Cho YS, Challa S, Moquin D, Genga R, Ray TD, Guildford M, Chan FK (2009) Phosphorylation-driven assembly of the RIP1- RIP3 complex regulates programmed necrosis and virus-induced infammation. Cell 137(6):1112–1123
- 66. Degterev A, Ofengeim D, Yuan J (2019) Targeting RIPK1 for the treatment of human diseases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 116(20):9714–9722
- 67. Degterev A, Hitomi J, Germscheid M, Ch'en IL, Korkina O, Teng X, Abbott D, Cuny GD, Yuan C, Wagner G et al (2008) Identifcation of RIP1 kinase as a specifc cellular target of necrostatins. Nat Chem Biol 4(5):313–321
- 68. Ofengeim D, Ito Y, Najafov A, Zhang Y, Shan B, DeWitt JP, Ye J, Zhang X, Chang A, Vakifahmetoglu-Norberg H et al (2015) Activation of necroptosis in multiple sclerosis. Cell Rep 10(11):1836–1849
- 69. Laurien L, Nagata M, Schunke H, Delanghe T, Wiederstein JL, Kumari S, Schwarzer R, Corona T, Kruger M, Bertrand MJM et al (2020) Autophosphorylation at serine 166 regulates RIP kinase 1-mediated cell death and infammation. Nat Commun 11(1):1747
- 70. Tenev T, Bianchi K, Darding M, Broemer M, Langlais C, Wallberg F, Zachariou A, Lopez J, Macfarlane M, Cain K et al (2011) The ripoptosome, a signaling platform that assembles in response to genotoxic stress and loss of IAPs. Mol Cell 43:689
- 71. Feoktistova M, Geserick P, Kellert B, Dimitrova DP, Langlais C, Hupe M, Cain K, Macfarlane M, Hacker G, Leverkus M (2011) cIAPs block ripoptosome formation, a RIP1/Caspase-8 containing intracellular cell death complex diferentially regulated by cFLIP isoforms. Mol Cell 43(3):449–463
- 72. Murphy JM, Vince JE (2015) Post-translational control of RIPK3 and MLKL mediated necroptotic cell death. F1000Research 4:1297
- 73. Wang L, Du F, Wang X (2008) TNF-alpha induces two distinct caspase-8 activation pathways. Cell 133(4):693–703
- 74. Zhang Y, Su SS, Zhao S, Yang Z, Zhong CQ, Chen X, Cai Q, Yang ZH, Huang D, Wu R et al (2017) RIP1 autophosphorylation is promoted by mitochondrial ROS and is essential for RIP3 recruitment into necrosome. Nat Commun 8:14329
- 75. Schenk B, Fulda S (2015) Reactive oxygen species regulate Smac mimetic/TNFalpha-induced necroptotic signaling and cell death. Oncogene 34(47):5796–5806
- 76. Wu W, Wang X, Berleth N, Deitersen J, Wallot-Hieke N, Bohler P, Schlutermann D, Stuhldreier F, Cox J, Schmitz K et al (2020) The autophagy-initiating kinase ULK1 controls RIPK1-mediated cell death. Cell Rep 31(3):107547
- 77. Lalaoui N, Boyden SE, Oda H, Wood GM, Stone DL, Chau D, Liu L, Stofels M, Kratina T, Lawlor KE et al (2020) Mutations that prevent caspase cleavage of RIPK1 cause autoinfammatory disease. Nature 577(7788):103–108
- 78. Tao P, Sun J, Wu Z, Wang S, Wang J, Li W, Pan H, Bai R, Zhang J, Wang Y et al (2020) A dominant autoinfammatory disease caused by non-cleavable variants of RIPK1. Nature 577(7788):109–114
- 79. Chan FK, Shisler J, Bixby JG, Felices M, Zheng L, Appel M, Orenstein J, Moss B, Lenardo MJ (2003) A role for tumor necrosis factor receptor-2 and receptor-interacting protein in programmed necrosis and antiviral responses. J Biol Chem 278(51):51613–51621
- 80. Lin Y, Devin A, Rodriguez Y, Liu ZG (1999) Cleavage of the death domain kinase RIP by caspase-8 prompts TNF-induced apoptosis. Genes Dev 13(19):2514–2526
- 81. Yang ZH, Wu XN, He P, Wang X, Wu J, Ai T, Zhong CQ, Wu X, Cong Y, Zhu R et al (2020) A non-canonical PDK1-RSK signal diminishes pro-caspase-8-mediated necroptosis blockade. Mol Cell 80(2):296-310e296
- 82. Li J, McQuade T, Siemer AB, Napetschnig J, Moriwaki K, Hsiao YS, Damko E, Moquin D, Walz T, McDermott A et al (2012) The RIP1/RIP3 necrosome forms a functional amyloid signaling complex required for programmed necrosis. Cell 150(2):339–350
- 83. Wang L, Chang X, Feng J, Yu J, Chen G (2019) TRADD mediates RIPK1-independent necroptosis induced by tumor necrosis factor. Front Cell Dev Biol 7:393
- 84. Dowling JP, Alsabbagh M, Del Casale C, Liu ZG, Zhang J (2019) TRADD regulates perinatal development and adulthood survival in mice lacking RIPK1 and RIPK3. Nat Commun 10(1):705
- 85. Zheng L, Bidere N, Staudt D, Cubre A, Orenstein J, Chan FK, Lenardo M (2006) Competitive control of independent

programs of tumor necrosis factor receptor-induced cell death by TRADD and RIP1. Mol Cell Biol 26(9):3505–3513

- 86. Jouan-Lanhouet S, Arshad MI, Piquet-Pellorce C, Martin-Chouly C, Le Moigne-Muller G, Van Herreweghe F, Takahashi N, Sergent O, Lagadic-Gossmann D, Vandenabeele P et al (2012) TRAIL induces necroptosis involving RIPK1/ RIPK3-dependent PARP-1 activation. Cell Death Differ 19(12):2003–2014
- 87. Takizawa H, Fritsch K, Kovtonyuk LV, Saito Y, Yakkala C, Jacobs K, Ahuja AK, Lopes M, Hausmann A, Hardt WD et al (2017) Pathogen-induced TLR4-TRIF innate immune signaling in hematopoietic stem cells promotes proliferation but reduces competitive ftness. Cell Stem Cell 21:225
- 88. Kuriakose T, Man SM, Malireddi RK, Karki R, Kesavardhana S, Place DE, Neale G, Vogel P, Kanneganti TD (2016) ZBP1/ DAI is an innate sensor of infuenza virus triggering the NLRP3 infammasome and programmed cell death pathways. Sci Immunol 1(2):aag2045
- 89. Najjar M, Saleh D, Zelic M, Nogusa S, Shah S, Tai A, Finger JN, Polykratis A, Gough PJ, Bertin J et al (2016) RIPK1 and RIPK3 kinases promote cell-death-independent infammation by toll-like receptor 4. Immunity 45(1):46–59
- 90. Kaiser WJ, Ofermann MK (2005) Apoptosis induced by the toll-like receptor adaptor TRIF is dependent on its receptor interacting protein homotypic interaction motif. J Immunol 174(8):4942–4952
- 91. Upton JW, Kaiser WJ, Mocarski ES (2008) Cytomegalovirus M45 cell death suppression requires receptor-interacting protein (RIP) homotypic interaction motif (RHIM)-dependent interaction with RIP1. J Biol Chem 283(25):16966–16970
- 92. Kitur K, Wachtel S, Brown A, Wickersham M, Paulino F, Penaloza HF, Soong G, Bueno S, Parker D, Prince A (2016) Necroptosis promotes *Staphylococcus aureus* clearance by inhibiting excessive inflammatory signaling. Cell Rep 16(8):2219–2230
- 93. Ingram JP, Thapa RJ, Fisher A, Tummers B, Zhang T, Yin C, Rodriguez DA, Guo H, Lane R, Williams R et al (2019) ZBP1/ DAI drives RIPK3-mediated cell death induced by IFNs in the absence of RIPK1. J Immunol 203(5):1348–1355
- 94. Chen D, Tong J, Yang L, Wei L, Stolz DB, Yu J, Zhang J, Zhang L (2018) PUMA amplifies necroptosis signaling by activating cytosolic DNA sensors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115(15):3930–3935
- 95. Vanden Berghe T, Kaiser WJ (2017) RIPK1 prevents aberrant ZBP1-initiated necroptosis. Oncotarget 8(1):1–2
- 96. Newton K, Wicklife KE, Maltzman A, Dugger DL, Strasser A, Pham VC, Lill JR, Roose-Girma M, Warming S, Solon M et al (2016) RIPK1 inhibits ZBP1-driven necroptosis during development. Nature 540(7631):129–133
- 97. Lin J, Kumari S, Kim C, Van TM, Wachsmuth L, Polykratis A, Pasparakis M (2016) RIPK1 counteracts ZBP1-mediated necroptosis to inhibit infammation. Nature 540(7631):124–128
- 98. Wang X, Li Y, Liu S, Yu X, Li L, Shi C, He W, Li J, Xu L, Hu Z et al (2014) Direct activation of RIP3/MLKL-dependent necrosis by herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) protein ICP6 triggers host antiviral defense. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111(43):15438–15443
- 99. Huang Z, Wu SQ, Liang Y, Zhou X, Chen W, Li L, Wu J, Zhuang Q, Chen C, Li J et al (2015) RIP1/RIP3 binding to HSV-1 ICP6 initiates necroptosis to restrict virus propagation in mice. Cell Host Microbe 17(2):229–242
- 100. Sun L, Wang H, Wang Z, He S, Chen S, Liao D, Wang L, Yan J, Liu W, Lei X et al (2012) Mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein mediates necrosis signaling downstream of RIP3 kinase. Cell 148(1–2):213–227
- 101. Murphy JM, Czabotar PE, Hildebrand JM, Lucet IS, Zhang JG, Alvarez-Diaz S, Lewis R, Lalaoui N, Metcalf D, Webb AI

et al (2013) The pseudokinase MLKL mediates necroptosis via a molecular switch mechanism. Immunity 39(3):443–453

- 102. Wang H, Sun L, Su L, Rizo J, Liu L, Wang LF, Wang FS, Wang X (2014) Mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein MLKL causes necrotic membrane disruption upon phosphorylation by RIP3. Mol Cell 54(1):133–146
- 103. Dondelinger Y, Declercq W, Montessuit S, Roelandt R, Goncalves A, Bruggeman I, Hulpiau P, Weber K, Sehon CA, Marquis RW et al (2014) MLKL compromises plasma membrane integrity by binding to phosphatidylinositol phosphates. Cell Rep 7(4):971–981
- 104. Chen X, Li W, Ren J, Huang D, He WT, Song Y, Yang C, Li W, Zheng X, Chen P et al (2014) Translocation of mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein to plasma membrane leads to necrotic cell death. Cell Res 24(1):105–121
- 105. Cai Z, Jitkaew S, Zhao J, Chiang HC, Choksi S, Liu J, Ward Y, Wu LG, Liu ZG (2014) Plasma membrane translocation of trimerized MLKL protein is required for TNF-induced necroptosis. Nat Cell Biol 16(1):55–65
- 106. Najafov A, Mookhtiar AK, Luu HS, Ordureau A, Pan H, Amin PP, Li Y, Lu Q, Yuan J (2019) TAM kinases promote necroptosis by regulating oligomerization of MLKL. Mol Cell 75(3):457-468e454
- 107. Rodriguez DA, Weinlich R, Brown S, Guy C, Fitzgerald P, Dillon CP, Oberst A, Quarato G, Low J, Cripps JG et al (2016) Characterization of RIPK3-mediated phosphorylation of the activation loop of MLKL during necroptosis. Cell Death Difer 23(1):76–88
- 108. Zhang T, Zhang Y, Cui M, Jin L, Wang Y, Lv F, Liu Y, Zheng W, Shang H, Zhang J et al (2016) CaMKII is a RIP3 substrate mediating ischemia- and oxidative stress-induced myocardial necroptosis. Nat Med 22(2):175–182
- 109. Moriwaki K, Balaji S, Bertin J, Gough PJ, Chan FK (2017) Distinct kinase-independent role of RIPK3 in CD11c(+) mononuclear phagocytes in cytokine-induced tissue repair. Cell Rep 18(10):2441–2451
- 110. Alvarez-Diaz S, Dillon CP, Lalaoui N, Tanzer MC, Rodriguez DA, Lin A, Lebois M, Hakem R, Josefsson EC, O'Reilly LA et al (2016) The pseudokinase MLKL and the kinase RIPK3 have distinct roles in autoimmune disease caused by loss of death-receptor-induced apoptosis. Immunity 45(3):513–526
- 111. Vandenabeele P, Galluzzi L, Vanden Berghe T, Kroemer G (2010) Molecular mechanisms of necroptosis: an ordered cellular explosion. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11(10):700–714
- 112. Silke J, Rickard JA, Gerlic M (2015) The diverse role of RIP kinases in necroptosis and infammation. Nat Immunol 16(7):689–697
- 113. Linkermann A, Green DR (2014) Necroptosis. N Engl J Med 370(5):455–465
- 114. Wallach D, Kovalenko A, Kang TB (2011) 'Necrosome' induced infammation: must cells die for it? Trends Immunol 32(11):505–509
- 115. Moriwaki K, Balaji S, McQuade T, Malhotra N, Kang J, Chan FK (2014) The necroptosis adaptor RIPK3 promotes injuryinduced cytokine expression and tissue repair. Immunity 41(4):567–578
- 116. Wang X, Jiang W, Yan Y, Gong T, Han J, Tian Z, Zhou R (2014) RNA viruses promote activation of the NLRP3 infammasome through a RIP1-RIP3-DRP1 signaling pathway. Nat Immunol 15(12):1126–1133
- 117. McKee CM, Coll RC (2020) NLRP3 infammasome priming: a riddle wrapped in a mystery inside an enigma. J Leukoc Biol 108(3):937–952
- 118. Swanson KV, Deng M, Ting JP (2019) The NLRP3 infammasome: molecular activation and regulation to therapeutics. Nat Rev Immunol 19(8):477–489
- 119. Moriwaki K, Bertin J, Gough PJ, Chan FK (2015) A RIPK3 caspase 8 complex mediates atypical pro-IL-1beta processing. J Immunol 194(4):1938–1944
- 120. Lawlor KE, Khan N, Mildenhall A, Gerlic M, Croker BA, D'Cruz AA, Hall C, Kaur Spall S, Anderton H, Masters SL et al (2015) RIPK3 promotes cell death and NLRP3 infammasome activation in the absence of MLKL. Nat Commun 6:6282
- 121. Conos SA, Chen KW, De Nardo D, Hara H, Whitehead L, Nunez G, Masters SL, Murphy JM, Schroder K, Vaux DL et al (2017) Active MLKL triggers the NLRP3 infammasome in a cell-intrinsic manner. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 114(6):E961–E969
- 122. Kang TB, Yang SH, Toth B, Kovalenko A, Wallach D (2013) Caspase-8 blocks kinase RIPK3-mediated activation of the NLRP3 infammasome. Immunity 38(1):27–40
- 123. Muendlein HI, Sarhan J, Liu BC, Connolly WM, Schworer SA, Smirnova I, Tang AY, Ilyukha V, Pietruska J, Tahmasebi S et al (2020) Constitutive interferon attenuates RIPK1/3-mediated cytokine translation. Cell Rep 30(3):699-713e694
- 124. Orozco SL, Daniels BP, Yatim N, Messmer MN, Quarato G, Chen-Harris H, Cullen SP, Snyder AG, Ralli-Jain P, Frase S et al (2019) RIPK3 activation leads to cytokine synthesis that continues after loss of cell membrane integrity. Cell Rep 28(9):2275–22872275
- 125. Yang Z, Wang Y, Zhang Y, He X, Zhong CQ, Ni H, Chen X, Liang Y, Wu J, Zhao S et al (2018) RIP3 targets pyruvate dehydrogenase complex to increase aerobic respiration in TNFinduced necroptosis. Nat Cell Biol 20(2):186–197
- 126. Wang Z, Jiang H, Chen S, Du F, Wang X (2012) The mitochondrial phosphatase PGAM5 functions at the convergence point of multiple necrotic death pathways. Cell 148(1–2):228–243
- 127. Moriwaki K, Farias Luz N, Balaji S, De Rosa MJ, O'Donnell CL, Gough PJ, Bertin J, Welsh RM, Chan FK (2016) The mitochondrial phosphatase PGAM5 is dispensable for necroptosis but promotes infammasome activation in macrophages. J Immunol 196(1):407–415
- 128. Wu X, Tian L, Li J, Zhang Y, Han V, Li Y, Xu X, Li H, Chen X, Chen J et al (2012) Investigation of receptor interacting protein (RIP3)-dependent protein phosphorylation by quantitative phosphoproteomics. Mol Cell Proteomics 11(12):1640–1651
- 129. Al-Moujahed A, Tian B, Efstathiou NE, Konstantinou EK, Hoang M, Lin H, Miller JW, Vavvas DG (2019) Receptor interacting protein kinase 3 (RIP3) regulates iPSCs generation through modulating cell cycle progression genes. Stem Cell Res 35:101387
- 130. Liccardi G, Ramos Garcia L, Tenev T, Annibaldi A, Legrand AJ, Robertson D, Feltham R, Anderton H, Darding M, Peltzer N et al (2019) RIPK1 and caspase-8 ensure chromosome stability independently of their role in cell death and infammation. Mol Cell 73(3):413-428e417
- 131. Gupta K, Liu B (2021) PLK1-mediated S369 phosphorylation of RIPK3 during G2 and M phases enables its ripoptosome incorporation and activity. iScience 24(4):102320
- 132. Torii S, Yamaguchi H, Nakanishi A, Arakawa S, Honda S, Moriwaki K, Nakano H, Shimizu S (2020) Identifcation of a phosphorylation site on Ulk1 required for genotoxic stress-induced alternative autophagy. Nat Commun 11(1):1754
- 133. Torii S, Shimizu S (2020) Involvement of phosphorylation of ULK1 in alternative autophagy. Autophagy 16(8):1532–1533
- 134. Chan FK, Luz NF, Moriwaki K (2015) Programmed necrosis in the cross talk of cell death and infammation. Annu Rev Immunol 33:79–106
- 135. Ren J, Jia X, Zhao Y, Shi W, Lu J, Zhang Y, Wu J, Liang B, Wu R, Fu G et al (2017) The RIP3-RIP1-NF-kappaB signaling axis is dispensable for necroptotic cells to elicit cross-priming of CD8(+) T cells. Cell Mol Immunol 14(7):639–642
- 136. Eigenbrod T, Park JH, Harder J, Iwakura Y, Nunez G (2008) Cutting edge: critical role for mesothelial cells in necrosis-induced infammation through the recognition of IL-1 alpha released from dying cells. J Immunol 181(12):8194–8198
- 137. Kono H, Karmarkar D, Iwakura Y, Rock KL (2010) Identifcation of the cellular sensor that stimulates the infammatory response to sterile cell death. J Immunol 184(8):4470–4478
- 138. Krysko DV, Brouckaert G, Kalai M, Vandenabeele P, D'Herde K (2003) Mechanisms of internalization of apoptotic and necrotic L929 cells by a macrophage cell line studied by electron microscopy. J Morphol 258(3):336–345
- 139. Sauter B, Albert ML, Francisco L, Larsson M, Somersan S, Bhardwaj N (2000) Consequences of cell death: exposure to necrotic tumor cells, but not primary tissue cells or apoptotic cells, induces the maturation of immunostimulatory dendritic cells. J Exp Med 191(3):423–434
- 140. Kang T, Huang Y, Zhu Q, Cheng H, Pei Y, Feng J, Xu M, Jiang G, Song Q, Jiang T et al (2018) Necroptotic cancer cells-mimicry nanovaccine boosts anti-tumor immunity with tailored immunestimulatory modality. Biomaterials 164:80–97
- 141. Schmidt SV, Seibert S, Walch-Ruckheim B, Vicinus B, Kamionka EM, Pahne-Zeppenfeld J, Solomayer EF, Kim YJ, Bohle RM, Smola S (2015) RIPK3 expression in cervical cancer cells is required for PolyIC-induced necroptosis, IL-1alpha release, and efficient paracrine dendritic cell activation. Oncotarget 6(11):8635–8647
- 142. Snyder AG, Hubbard NW, Messmer MN, Kofman SB, Hagan CE, Orozco SL, Chiang K, Daniels BP, Baker D, Oberst A (2019) Intratumoral activation of the necroptotic pathway components RIPK1 and RIPK3 potentiates antitumor immunity. Sci Immunol 4(36):eaaw2004
- 143. Kang YJ, Bang BR, Han KH, Hong L, Shim EJ, Ma J, Lerner RA, Otsuka M (2015) Regulation of NKT cell-mediated immune responses to tumours and liver infammation by mitochondrial PGAM5-Drp1 signalling. Nat Commun 6:8371
- 144. Li L, Yu S, Zang C (2018) Low necroptosis process predicts poor treatment outcome of human papillomavirus positive cervical cancers by decreasing tumor-associated macrophages M1 polarization. Gynecol Obstet Invest 83(3):259–267
- 145. Wu L, Zhang X, Zheng L, Zhao H, Yan G, Zhang Q, Zhou Y, Lei J, Zhang J, Wang J et al (2020) RIPK3 orchestrates fatty acid metabolism in tumor-associated macrophages and hepatocarcinogenesis. Cancer Immunol Res 8(5):710–721
- 146. Najafov A, Zervantonakis IK, Mookhtiar AK, Greninger P, March RJ, Egan RK, Luu HS, Stover DG, Matulonis UA, Benes CH et al (2018) BRAF and AXL oncogenes drive RIPK3 expression loss in cancer. PLoS Biol 16(8):e2005756
- 147. Tan Y, Sementino E, Cheung M, Peri S, Menges CW, Kukuyan AM, Zhang T, Khazak V, Fox LA, Ross EA et al (2021) Somatic epigenetic silencing of RIPK3 inactivates necroptosis and contributes to chemoresistance in malignant mesothelioma. Clin Cancer Res 27(4):1200–1213
- 148. Stoll G, Ma Y, Yang H, Kepp O, Zitvogel L, Kroemer G (2017) Pro-necrotic molecules impact local immunosurveillance in human breast cancer. Oncoimmunology 6(4):e1299302
- 149. Feng X, Song Q, Yu A, Tang H, Peng Z, Wang X (2015) Receptor-interacting protein kinase 3 is a predictor of survival and plays a tumor suppressive role in colorectal cancer. Neoplasma 62(4):592–601
- 150. Moriwaki K, Bertin J, Gough PJ, Orlowski GM, Chan FK (2015) Diferential roles of RIPK1 and RIPK3 in TNF-induced necroptosis and chemotherapeutic agent-induced cell death. Cell Death Dis 6:e1636
- 151. Hockendorf U, Yabal M, Herold T, Munkhbaatar E, Rott S, Jilg S, Kauschinger J, Magnani G, Reisinger F, Heuser M et al (2016) RIPK3 restricts myeloid leukemogenesis by promoting

cell death and diferentiation of leukemia initiating cells. Cancer Cell 30(1):75–91

- 152. Nugues AL, El Bouazzati H, Hetuin D, Berthon C, Loyens A, Bertrand E, Jouy N, Idziorek T, Quesnel B (2014) RIP3 is downregulated in human myeloid leukemia cells and modulates apoptosis and caspase-mediated p65/RelA cleavage. Cell Death Dis 5:e1384
- 153. McCormick KD, Ghosh A, Trivedi S, Wang L, Coyne CB, Ferris RL, Sarkar SN (2016) Innate immune signaling through diferential RIPK1 expression promote tumor progression in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Carcinogenesis 37(5):522–529
- 154. Geserick P, Wang J, Schilling R, Horn S, Harris PA, Bertin J, Gough PJ, Feoktistova M, Leverkus M (2015) Absence of RIPK3 predicts necroptosis resistance in malignant melanoma. Cell Death Dis 6:e1884
- 155. Wang KJ, Wang KY, Zhang HZ, Meng XY, Chen JF, Wang P, Jiang JH, Ma Q (2020) Up-regulation of RIP3 alleviates prostate cancer progression by activation of RIP3/MLKL signaling pathway and induction of necroptosis. Front Oncol 10:1720
- 156. Conev NV, Dimitrova EG, Bogdanova MK, Kashlov YK, Chaushev BG, Radanova MA, Petrov DP, Georgiev KD, Bachvarov CH, Todorov GN et al (2019) RIPK3 expression as a potential predictive and prognostic marker in metastatic colon cancer. Clin Invest Med 42(1):E31–E38
- 157. Ertao Z, Jianhui C, Kang W, Zhijun Y, Hui W, Chuangqi C, Changjiang Q, Sile C, Yulong H, Shirong C (2016) Prognostic value of mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein expression in the survival of patients with gastric cancer. Tumour Biol 37(10):13679–13685
- 158. He L, Peng K, Liu Y, Xiong J, Zhu FF (2013) Low expression of mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein is associated with poor prognosis in ovarian cancer patients. Onco Targets Ther 6:1539–1543
- 159. Ruan J, Mei L, Zhu Q, Shi G, Wang H (2015) Mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein is a prognostic biomarker for cervical squamous cell cancer. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 8(11):15035–15038
- 160. Li X, Guo J, Ding AP, Qi WW, Zhang PH, Lv J, Qiu WS, Sun ZQ (2017) Association of mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein expression with prognosis in patients with colon cancer. Technol Cancer Res Treat 16(4):428–434
- 161. Colbert LE, Fisher SB, Hardy CW, Hall WA, Saka B, Shelton JW, Petrova AV, Warren MD, Pantazides BG, Gandhi K et al (2013) Pronecrotic mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein expression is a prognostic biomarker in patients with early-stage resected pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Cancer 119(17):3148–3155
- 162. Huang CY, Kuo WT, Huang YC, Lee TC, Yu LC (2013) Resistance to hypoxia-induced necroptosis is conferred by glycolytic pyruvate scavenging of mitochondrial superoxide in colorectal cancer cells. Cell Death Dis 4:e622
- 163. Fukasawa M, Kimura M, Morita S, Matsubara K, Yamanaka S, Endo C, Sakurada A, Sato M, Kondo T, Horii A et al (2006) Microarray analysis of promoter methylation in lung cancers. J Hum Genet 51(4):368–374
- 164. Thijssen R, Alvarez-Diaz S, Grace C, Gao MY, Segal DH, Xu Z, Strasser A, Huang DCS (2020) Loss of RIPK3 does not impact MYC-driven lymphomagenesis or chemotherapeutic druginduced killing of malignant lymphoma cells. Cell Death Difer 27(8):2531–2533
- 165. Vucur M, Reisinger F, Gautheron J, Janssen J, Roderburg C, Cardenas DV, Kreggenwinkel K, Koppe C, Hammerich L, Hakem R et al (2013) RIP3 inhibits infammatory hepatocarcinogenesis but promotes cholestasis by controlling caspase-8 and JNK-dependent compensatory cell proliferation. Cell Rep 4(4):776–790
- 166. Seehawer M, Heinzmann F, D'Artista L, Harbig J, Roux PF, Hoenicke L, Dang H, Klotz S, Robinson L, Dore G et al (2018)

Necroptosis microenvironment directs lineage commitment in liver cancer. Nature 562(7725):69–75

- 167. Seifert L, Werba G, Tiwari S, Giao Ly NN, Alothman S, Alqunaibit D, Avanzi A, Barilla R, Daley D, Greco SH et al (2016) The necrosome promotes pancreatic oncogenesis via CXCL1 and Mincle-induced immune suppression. Nature 532(7598):245–249
- 168. Ando Y, Ohuchida K, Otsubo Y, Kibe S, Takesue S, Abe T, Iwamoto C, Shindo K, Moriyama T, Nakata K et al (2020) Necroptosis in pancreatic cancer promotes cancer cell migration and invasion by release of CXCL5. PLoS ONE 15(1):e0228015
- 169. Wang W, Marinis JM, Beal AM, Savadkar S, Wu Y, Khan M, Taunk PS, Wu N, Su W, Wu J et al (2018) RIP1 kinase drives macrophage-mediated adaptive immune tolerance in pancreatic cancer. Cancer Cell 34(5):757-774e757
- 170. Liu X, Zhou M, Mei L, Ruan J, Hu Q, Peng J, Su H, Liao H, Liu S, Liu W et al (2016) Key roles of necroptotic factors in promoting tumor growth. Oncotarget 7(16):22219–22233
- 171. Lin CC, Mabe NW, Lin YT, Yang WH, Tang X, Hong L, Sun T, Force J, Marks JR, Yao TP et al (2020) RIPK3 upregulation confers robust proliferation and collateral cystine-dependence on breast cancer recurrence. Cell Death Difer 27(7):2234–2247
- 172. Mabe NW, Garcia NMG, Wolery SE, Newcomb R, Meingasner RC, Vilona BA, Lupo R, Lin CC, Chi JT, Alvarez JV (2020) G9a promotes breast cancer recurrence through repression of a pro-infammatory program. Cell Rep 33(5):108341
- 173. Strilic B, Yang L, Albarran-Juarez J, Wachsmuth L, Han K, Muller UC, Pasparakis M, Ofermanns S (2016) Tumour-cell-induced endothelial cell necroptosis via death receptor 6 promotes metastasis. Nature 536(7615):215–218
- 174. Patel S, Webster JD, Varfolomeev E, Kwon YC, Cheng JH, Zhang J, Dugger DL, Wicklife KE, Maltzman A, Sujatha-Bhaskar S et al (2020) RIP1 inhibition blocks infammatory diseases but not tumor growth or metastases. Cell Death Differ 27(1):161-175
- 175. Zhou M, He J, Shi Y, Liu X, Luo S, Cheng C, Ge W, Qu C, Du P, Chen Y (2021) ABIN3 negatively regulates necroptosis-induced intestinal infammation through recruiting A20 and restricting the ubiquitination of RIPK3 in infammatory bowel disease. J Crohns Colitis 15(1):99–114
- 176. Tortola L, Nitsch R, Bertrand MJM, Kogler M, Redouane Y, Kozieradzki I, Uribesalgo I, Fennell LM, Daugaard M, Klug H et al (2016) The tumor suppressor Hace1 is a critical regulator of TNFR1-mediated cell fate. Cell Rep 16(12):3414
- 177. Wang Y, Zhao M, He S, Luo Y, Zhao Y, Cheng J, Gong Y, Xie J, Wang Y, Hu B et al (2019) Necroptosis regulates tumor repopulation after radiotherapy via RIP1/RIP3/MLKL/JNK/IL8 pathway. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 38(1):461
- 178. He S, Cheng J, Sun L, Wang Y, Wang C, Liu X, Zhang Z, Zhao M, Luo Y, Tian L et al (2018) HMGB1 released by irradiated tumor cells promotes living tumor cell proliferation via paracrine efect. Cell Death Dis 9(6):648
- 179. Jayakumar A, Bothwell ALM (2019) RIPK3-induced infammation by I-MDSCs promotes intestinal tumors. Cancer Res 79(7):1587–1599
- 180. Bozec D, Iuga AC, Roda G, Dahan S, Yeretssian G (2016) Critical function of the necroptosis adaptor RIPK3 in protecting from intestinal tumorigenesis. Oncotarget 7(29):46384–46400
- 181. Alvarez-Diaz S, Preaudet A, Samson AL, Nguyen PM, Fung KY, Garnham AL, Alexander WS, Strasser A, Ernst M, Putoczki TL et al (2020) Necroptosis is dispensable for the development of infammation-associated or sporadic colon cancer in mice. Cell Death Difer 28:1466
- 182. Jensen S, Seidelin JB, LaCasse EC, Nielsen OH (2020) SMAC mimetics and RIPK inhibitors as therapeutics for chronic infammatory diseases. Sci Signal 13(619):eaax8295
- 183. Brumatti G, Ma C, Lalaoui N, Nguyen NY, Navarro M, Tanzer MC, Richmond J, Ghisi M, Salmon JM, Silke N et al (2016)

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

The caspase-8 inhibitor emricasan combines with the SMAC mimetic birinapant to induce necroptosis and treat acute myeloid leukemia. Sci Transl Med 8(339):339ra369

- 184. Lalaoui N, Hanggi K, Brumatti G, Chau D, Nguyen NY, Vasilikos L, Spilgies LM, Heckmann DA, Ma C, Ghisi M et al (2016) Targeting p38 or MK2 enhances the anti-leukemic activity of smac-mimetics. Cancer Cell 29(2):145–158
- 185. Markowitsch SD, Juetter KM, Schupp P, Hauschulte K, Vakhrusheva O, Slade KS, Thomas A, Tsaur I, Cinatl J Jr, Michaelis M et al (2021) Shikonin reduces growth of docetaxel-resistant prostate cancer cells mainly through necroptosis. Cancers (Basel) 13(4):882
- 186. Matias D, Balca-Silva J, Dubois LG, Pontes B, Ferrer VP, Rosario L, DoCarmo A, Echevarria-Lima J, Sarmento-Ribeiro AB, Lopes MC et al (2017) Dual treatment with shikonin and temozolomide reduces glioblastoma tumor growth, migration and glial-to-mesenchymal transition. Cell Oncol 40(3):247–261
- 187. Lu L, Qin A, Huang H, Zhou P, Zhang C, Liu N, Li S, Wen G, Zhang C, Dong W et al (2011) Shikonin extracted from medicinal Chinese herbs exerts anti-infammatory efect via proteasome inhibition. Eur J Pharmacol 658(2–3):242–247
- 188. Ding Y, He C, Lu S, Wang X, Wang C, Wang L, Zhang J, Piao M, Chi G, Luo Y et al (2019) MLKL contributes to shikonininduced glioma cell necroptosis via promotion of chromatinolysis. Cancer Lett 467:58–71
- 189. Hanna-Addams S, Liu S, Liu H, Chen S, Wang Z (2020) CK1alpha, CK1delta, and CK1epsilon are necrosome components which phosphorylate serine 227 of human RIPK3 to activate necroptosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117(4):1962–1970
- 190. Chen W, Zhou Z, Li L, Zhong CQ, Zheng X, Wu X, Zhang Y, Ma H, Huang D, Li W et al (2013) Diverse sequence determinants control human and mouse receptor interacting protein 3 (RIP3) and mixed lineage kinase domain-like (MLKL) interaction in necroptotic signaling. J Biol Chem 288(23):16247–16261
- 191. Xie T, Peng W, Yan C, Wu J, Gong X, Shi Y (2013) Structural insights into RIP3-mediated necroptotic signaling. Cell Rep 5(1):70–78
- 192. McQuade T, Cho Y, Chan FK (2013) Positive and negative phosphorylation regulates RIP1- and RIP3-induced programmed necrosis. Biochem J 456(3):409–415
- 193. Lee SY, Kim H, Li CM, Kang J, Najafov A, Jung M, Kang S, Wang S, Yuan J, Jung YK (2019) Casein kinase-1gamma1 and 3 stimulate tumor necrosis factor-induced necroptosis through RIPK3. Cell Death Dis 10(12):923
- 194. Wang F, Mayca Pozo F, Tian D, Geng X, Yao X, Zhang Y, Tang J (2020) Shikonin inhibits cancer through P21 upregulation and apoptosis induction. Front Pharmacol 11:861
- 195. Thonsri U, Seubwai W, Waraasawapati S, Wongkham S, Boonmars T, Cha'on U, Wongkham C (2020) Antitumor efect of shikonin, a PKM2 inhibitor, in Cholangiocarcinoma Cell Lines. Anticancer Res 40(9):5115–5124
- 196. Liu T, Sun X, Cao Z (2019) Shikonin-induced necroptosis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells via ROS overproduction and upregulation of RIPK1/RIPK3/MLKL expression. Onco Targets Ther 12:2605–2614
- 197. Zhou Z, Lu B, Wang C, Wang Z, Luo T, Piao M, Meng F, Chi G, Luo Y, Ge P (2017) RIP1 and RIP3 contribute to shikonininduced DNA double-strand breaks in glioma cells via increase of intracellular reactive oxygen species. Cancer Lett 390:77–90
- 198. Liu ZY, Zheng M, Li YM, Fan XY, Wang JC, Li ZC, Yang HJ, Yu JM, Cui J, Jiang JL et al (2019) RIP3 promotes colitisassociated colorectal cancer by controlling tumor cell proliferation and CXCL1-induced immune suppression. Theranostics 9(12):3659–3673
- 199. Kim HJ, Hwang KE, Park DS, Oh SH, Jun HY, Yoon KH, Jeong ET, Kim HR, Kim YS (2017) Shikonin-induced necroptosis is

enhanced by the inhibition of autophagy in non-small cell lung cancer cells. J Transl Med 15(1):123

- 200. Shahsavari Z, Karami-Tehrani F, Salami S (2015) Shikonin induced necroptosis via reactive oxygen species in the T-47D breast cancer cell line. Asian Pacifc J Cancer Prevent APJCP 16(16):7261–7266
- 201. Han W, Xie J, Li L, Liu Z, Hu X (2009) Necrostatin-1 reverts shikonin-induced necroptosis to apoptosis. Apoptosis 14(5):674–686
- 202. Zhao Q, Kretschmer N, Bauer R, Eferth T (2015) Shikonin and its derivatives inhibit the epidermal growth factor receptor signaling and synergistically kill glioblastoma cells in combination with erlotinib. Int J Cancer 137(6):1446–1456
- 203. Wu H, Xie J, Pan Q, Wang B, Hu D, Hu X (2013) Anticancer agent shikonin is an incompetent inducer of cancer drug resistance. PLoS ONE 8(1):e52706
- 204. Lu B, Gong X, Wang ZQ, Ding Y, Wang C, Luo TF, Piao MH, Meng FK, Chi GF, Luo YN et al (2017) Shikonin induces glioma cell necroptosis in vitro by ROS overproduction and promoting RIP1/RIP3 necrosome formation. Acta Pharmacol Sin 38(11):1543–1553
- 205. Steinhart L, Belz K, Fulda S (2013) Smac mimetic and demethylating agents synergistically trigger cell death in acute myeloid leukemia cells and overcome apoptosis resistance by inducing necroptosis. Cell Death Dis 4:e802
- 206. Steinwascher S, Nugues AL, Schoeneberger H, Fulda S (2015) Identifcation of a novel synergistic induction of cell death by Smac mimetic and HDAC inhibitors in acute myeloid leukemia cells. Cancer Lett 366(1):32–43
- 207. Gerges S, Rohde K, Fulda S (2016) Cotreatment with Smac mimetics and demethylating agents induces both apoptotic and necroptotic cell death pathways in acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells. Cancer Lett 375(1):127–132
- 208. Park JH, Jung KH, Kim SJ, Yoon YC, Yan HH, Fang Z, Lee JE, Lim JH, Mah S, Hong S et al (2019) HS-173 as a novel inducer of RIP3-dependent necroptosis in lung cancer. Cancer Lett 444:94–104
- 209. Rizos H, Menzies AM, Pupo GM, Carlino MS, Fung C, Hyman J, Haydu LE, Mijatov B, Becker TM, Boyd SC et al (2014) BRAF inhibitor resistance mechanisms in metastatic melanoma: spectrum and clinical impact. Clin Cancer Res 20(7):1965–1977
- 210. Ci T, Li H, Chen G, Wang Z, Wang J, Abdou P, Tu Y, Dotti G, Gu Z (2020) Cryo-shocked cancer cells for targeted drug delivery and vaccination. Sci Adv 6(50)
- 211. Aaes TL, Kaczmarek A, Delvaeye T, De Craene B, De Koker S, Heyndrickx L, Delrue I, Taminau J, Wiernicki B, De Groote P et al (2016) Vaccination with necroptotic cancer cells induces efficient anti-tumor immunity. Cell Rep 15(2):274–287
- 212. Xin J, You D, Breslin P, Li J, Zhang J, Wei W, Cannova J, Volk A, Gutierrez R, Xiao Y et al (2017) Sensitizing acute myeloid leukemia cells to induced diferentiation by inhibiting the RIP1/ RIP3 pathway. Leukemia 31(5):1154–1165
- 213. Weber K, Roelandt R, Bruggeman I, Estornes Y, Vandenabeele P (2018) Nuclear RIPK3 and MLKL contribute to cytosolic necrosome formation and necroptosis. Commun Biol 1:6
- 214. Yoon S, Bogdanov K, Kovalenko A, Wallach D (2016) Necroptosis is preceded by nuclear translocation of the signaling proteins that induce it. Cell Death Difer 23(2):253–260
- 215. Xin J, Breslin P, Wei W, Li J, Gutierrez R, Cannova J, Ni A, Ng G, Schmidt R, Chen H et al (2017) Necroptosis in spontaneouslymutated hematopoietic cells induces autoimmune bone marrow failure in mice. Haematologica 102(2):295–307
- 216. Liu ZY, Wu B, Guo YS, Zhou YH, Fu ZG, Xu BQ, Li JH, Jing L, Jiang JL, Tang J et al (2015) Necrostatin-1 reduces intestinal infammation and colitis-associated tumorigenesis in mice. Am J Cancer Res 5(10):3174–3185

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.