MULTI-AUTHOR REVIEW

Platelet–bacterial interactions

Steven W. Kerrigan *•* Dermot Cox

Received: 5 November 2009 / Accepted: 5 November 2009 / Published online: 29 November 2009 $© Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel/Switzerland 2009$

Abstract Many bacteria are capable of interacting with platelets and inducing platelet aggregation. This interaction may be a direct interaction between a bacterial surface protein and a platelet receptor or may be an indirect interaction where plasma proteins bind to the bacterial surface and subsequently bind to a platelet receptor. However, these interactions usually do not trigger platelet activation as a secondary co-signal is also required. This is usually due to specific antibody bound to the bacteria interacting with $Fc\gamma RIIa$ on the platelet surface. Secreted bacterial products such as gingipains and lipopolysaccharide may also be capable of triggering platelet activation.

Keywords Platelet · Bacteria · Streptococci · Staphylococci · Pathogen

Introduction

The concept of infectious agents playing a role in cardiovascular disease was first suggested by William Osler in 1908. This concept was mostly forgotten about until a series of studies carried out by Clawson and White in the 1970s [[1–4\]](#page-6-0). These studies demonstrated that bacteria were capable of binding to, aggregating and degranulating platelets, thus providing a potential mechanism for the role of infectious agents in cardiovascular disease.

S. W. Kerrigan

S. W. Kerrigan \cdot D. Cox (\boxtimes)

Platelet function in thrombosis

Platelets are small anucleated cells that originate from the cytoplasm of bone marrow megakaryocytes [\[5](#page-6-0)]. Platelets circulate in blood vessels as individual entities that ordinarily do not interact with other platelets or cell types. A series of highly controlled events leading the transition from this resting state to an activated state is rapidly initiated if platelets are exposed to an appropriate stimulus. Disruption of the endothelial cell lining of a blood vessel exposes constituents within the subendothelial matrix, including a variety of adhesive proteins that support initial platelet attachment. Following attachment, platelets undergo intracellular signalling events [[6\]](#page-6-0) that lead to simultaneous conformational changes in integrins and mobilisation of intracellular granules [[7\]](#page-6-0). The granules release their contents to the surrounding environment to mediate activation of further platelets and support other aspects of haemostasis. Activated platelets interact with each other through binding of matrix proteins to the activated integrins and form an effective plug at the site of injury that is reinforced by the conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin through the coagulation cascade.

Platelet bacterial interactions

The effects of bacteria on platelets can occur through three general mechanisms. The first is mediated by an increase in inflammatory cytokines due to an immune response to the infection which may lead to platelet activation. Secondly, bacteria may secrete products that activate platelets and, finally, bacteria may bind to platelets. The binding to platelets can be either direct or indirect. Direct binding involves a bacterial surface protein binding to a receptor on

School of Pharmacy, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, 123 St Stephens Green, Dublin 2, Ireland

Molecular and Cellular Therapeutics, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, 123 St Stephens Green, Dublin 2, Ireland e-mail: dcox@rcsi.ie

the platelet, while indirect binding is mediated by a protein (usually a plasma protein) that can bind to both the bacteria and the platelet [[8\]](#page-6-0). The effects of inflammation on platelet function are beyond the scope of this review which will focus on direct and indirect interactions of bacteria with platelets as well as secreted bacterial products.

The platelet can respond in two ways to an interaction with bacteria. If bacteria bind strongly to platelets, they can support an adhesive interaction which may be stimulatory resulting in platelet activation, secretion and subsequent aggregation. Thus, platelet adhesion to a bacterium is an indication of the strength of the interaction while aggregation is an indication of the quality of the interaction. The aggregation response to bacteria is different to that of other agonists. It is an all-or-nothing response. There is a threshold concentration of bacteria below which there is no aggregation and above which there is maximum aggregation. There is no intermediate response. Also, unlike other agonists, there is a distinct lag time before aggregation occurs. Increasing bacterial cell concentration shortens this to some extent but never eliminates it. Some bacteria can have a very rapid lag time, as short as $90-120$ s while others can take as long as 20 min.

Bacteria display considerable variation in their ability to interact with platelets. We have proposed several different phenotypes for platelet–bacteria interactions [[9,](#page-6-0) [10\]](#page-6-0). We have identified strains of bacteria that induce platelet aggregation with a short lag time and support direct platelet adhesion [Streptococcus sanguinis (133-79) and Staphylococcus aureus (Newman)], strains that induce platelet aggregation with a short lag time and support platelet adhesion by an indirect interaction [Staph. aureus (Newman) and Helicobacter pylori (60190)], strains that induce platelet aggregation with a short lag time and are non-adhesive [Strep. pneumoniae (tigr caps 4) and S. sanguinis (B10.18)], strains that induce platelet aggregation with a long lag time and support direct platelet adhesion [Streptococcus gordonii (DL1) and S. sanguinis (M108)], strains of bacteria that induce platelet aggregation with a long lag time and are non-adhesive [Strep. gordonii (M99), S. sanguinis (NCTC7863) and S. pneumoniae (R6x)], strains that do not induce platelet aggregation but do support direct platelet adhesion [S. gordonii (Blackburn)], strains that do not induce platelet aggregation but do support platelet adhesion by an indirect interaction [H. *pylori* (J104)] and finally strains that do not induce platelet aggregation or support platelet adhesion [Strep. sanguinis (SK96), S. gordonii (Channon)] See Table [1.](#page-2-0)

An interesting aspect of streptococcal induced platelet aggregation, observed by many investigators, is the time course (lag time) to platelet aggregation [\[9](#page-6-0)[–14](#page-7-0)]. The average lag time to aggregation following addition of streptococci to platelets is approximately 5–20 min. This is in direct contrast to well-characterised platelet agonists such as adenosine diphosphate (ADP) or thrombin receptor activating peptide (TRAP) which have a lag time of approximately 10 s. Many suggestions have been put forward to explain this lag time, including time taken for the recognition of binding moieties within membrane receptor, binding of plasma proteins [[9,](#page-6-0) [10\]](#page-6-0), including specific antibody [\[11](#page-6-0), [13](#page-6-0)], fibrinogen or complement and also weak signals generated in the platelet following bacterial binding [\[15](#page-7-0), [16](#page-7-0)].

There is a growing awareness that platelet–bacterial interactions are more complex than suggested by these interactions. Platelets exist in a dynamic environment where they are exposed to a range of shear stress. Platelets are very sensitive to shear and some platelet–substrate interactions only manifest themselves upon exposure to shear. The best studied shear-dependent interaction is that between platelet GPIb and immobilized von Willebrand factor (vWF) [[17\]](#page-7-0). Under low (venous) shear there is no interaction between platelets and vWF; however, under high (arterial) shear, platelets roll along a vWF-coated surface. Thus, it is important to study platelet–bacteria interactions under a range of shear stress.

Below, we discuss the nature of the interactions of platelets with Streptococci, Staphylococci and Helicobacter pylori as these are the best characterised interactions. We will also discuss the role of secreted bacterial products in platelet activation.

Streptococcal platelet interactions

Viridans group Streptococci comprise a large proportion of the commensal bacteria that colonise oral surfaces [\[18](#page-7-0)]. These bacteria occasionally enter the blood stream following trauma to the oral cavity [[19,](#page-7-0) [20\]](#page-7-0) and cause infective endocarditis [\[21](#page-7-0)] or become implanted in atherosclerotic plaques [\[22](#page-7-0)]. Until recently, viridans Streptococci were the most common cause of IE but have now been superseded by Staph. aureus [\[23](#page-7-0)].

Early studies demonstrated that M protein expressed on the surface of group A Streptococcus isolated from patients with rheumatic fever induced platelet aggregation. This event was primarily mediated in an antibody and complement-dependent manner [[24\]](#page-7-0). Later studies demonstrated that Strep. pyogenes and S. sanguinis could bind directly to platelets via an unidentified bacterial protein to induce platelet aggregation and support platelet adhesion [\[12](#page-6-0), [25](#page-7-0)–[27\]](#page-7-0) in a reversible and saturable manner [\[28](#page-7-0)]. Although these studies were carried out in vitro, the platelet aggregates showed streptococci trapped within, which mimicked signs of macroscopic thrombi found in vivo.

Direct adhesion occurs when an interaction between a bacterial adhesion and a platelet membrane receptor occurs. Indirect adhesion occurs when a plasma protein binds to a bacterial adhesion which in turn bridges to a platelet membrane receptor. Non-adhesive is a strain of bacteria that does not support platelet adhesion in the presence or absence of plasma proteins. Short lag time is defined as aggregation that occurs within 8 min from addition of bacteria. Long lag time is defined as aggregation that occurs greater than 8 min but less than 20 min. Non-aggregating is defined as a strain of bacteria that does not induce platelet aggregation

^a Unpublished observations (Kerrigan, Kadioglu, Jenkinson, Cox)

Several streptococcal surface components have now been identified and are being investigated for their role in binding to and activating platelets. Platelet-associated activating protein (PAAP) was first identified in 1990. PAAP is synthesised as a 115-kd N-asparagyl-linked glycoprotein [[29–32\]](#page-7-0). The protein backbone contains a collagen-like epitope that induces platelet aggregation. PAAP is strongly suggested to be a cell surface heat shockinducible chaperone, with consensus glycosylation and myristoylation sites based upon a motif mapping comparison [[31\]](#page-7-0). Therefore, PAAP expression may be environmentally regulated during infection in response to heat shock (fever) or collagen (exposed on damaged heart valves), enabling the bacteria to move more efficiently to recruit platelets. PAAP interacts with a signal transducing receptor, inducing platelet activation and aggregation. However, the identity of the platelet receptor for PAAP is still unclear. Gong and colleagues reported that PAAP interacts with a platelet membrane protein of 175 and 230 kDa to mediate platelet binding and aggregation [\[33](#page-7-0)]. Recent reports suggest that the role of PAAP in inducing platelet aggregation may be donor specific [[34\]](#page-7-0).

Kerrigan et al. identified three different phenotypes for streptococcal induced platelet aggregation. Type I have a short lag time to platelet aggregation, Type II have a long lag time to platelet aggregation and type III do not induce platelet aggregation at all [\[9](#page-6-0)]. Platelet aggregation induced by type I strains is mediated by a direct interaction between Strep. sanguinis and platelet glycoprotein Iba (GPIba), the von Willebrand factor (vWF) receptor. This interaction was localised to the N-terminal of GPIba between residues 1 and 225 [\[9](#page-6-0)]. Furthermore, platelets from patients with Bernard Soulier Syndrome, who fail the express GPIba, do not aggregate in response to S. sanguinis. The S. sanguinis protein that interacts with platelet GPIba is a serine-rich glycoprotein called SrpA [[14\]](#page-7-0). Deletion of SrpA does not abolish platelet aggregation but does prolong the lag time, suggesting other interactions occur. Type II strains have a long lag time and may require antibody binding and complement assembly [[13,](#page-6-0) [35](#page-7-0)]. Early studies suggested that IgG was not required for type II S. sanguinis induced platelet aggregation as aggregation occurred in a plasmafree system with only fibrinogen present [[9\]](#page-6-0). The problem with these experiments is that commercial fibrinogen often contains small amounts of contaminating IgG, thus providing sufficient IgG to support an antibody mediated response. More recently McNicol and colleagues demonstrated that depletion of S. sanguinis specific antibodies from plasma significantly inhibited platelet aggregation [\[36](#page-7-0)]. Moreover, rapid phosphorylation of $Fc\gamma RIIa$ occurred following *S. sanguinis* binding [[15\]](#page-7-0).

Initial reports suggested that another oral pathogen, Strep. gordonii could not induce platelet aggregation [\[37](#page-7-0)]. However, it is now well established that S. gordonii can adhere to and induce platelet aggregation. GspB is a 286 kDa surface anchored protein which interacts with platelets through the recognition of specific sialic acid residues found on GPIb α [[38–40\]](#page-7-0). The primary role of GspB is to support bacterial adhesion to the tooth pellicle [\[40](#page-7-0), [41](#page-7-0)]. GspB is glycosylated in the cytoplasm and is then transported to the cell surface via an accessory system compromising of the SecA2 and SecY2 proteins [\[40](#page-7-0)]. GspB is similar to that of an expanding family of Gram positive bacterial cell surface proteins that includes S. gordonii Hsa [[42\]](#page-7-0) and S. parasanguinis Fap1 [[43\]](#page-7-0). Hsa is a 203-kDa sialic acid-binding protein that plays an essential role in binding to and inducing platelet aggregation [\[10](#page-6-0), [41](#page-7-0), [44](#page-7-0)]. Hsa binds specifically to the N-linked sialic acid residues on GPIb α , and GPIIb/IIIa [[45\]](#page-7-0), whereas GspB binds to O-linked sialic acids as well as the mem-brane proximal mucin-rich core of GPIba [\[40](#page-7-0)].

Most species of oral streptococci express high molecular weight cell wall associated antigen I/II family polypeptides, designated SspA (172 kDa) and SspB (164 kDa) in Strep. gordonii [\[46](#page-7-0)]. These polypeptide adhesins recognise multiple ligands including salivary agglutinin glycoprotein (gp-340) [[47\]](#page-7-0), collagen type I [\[48](#page-8-0)], β 1 integrins [\[46](#page-7-0)], and other oral micro-organisms such as Porphyromonas gingivalis, Candida albicans and Actinomyces naeslundii [\[49–52](#page-8-0)]. Deletion of SspA and SspB from S. gordonii does not affect platelet adhesion, but extends the lag time to platelet aggregation. Deletion of SspA and SspB and Hsa from S. gordonii reduces platelet adhesion by 50% but abolishes platelet aggregation [[10\]](#page-6-0). These results suggest that S. gordonii-induced platelet aggregation and adhesion is a multifactorial event mediated by several surface proteins.

Strep. mitis has been shown to bind to platelets via surface proteins PblA and PblB. Upon binding platelets, these proteins do not generate an intracellular signal leading to platelet activation [\[53](#page-8-0), [54\]](#page-8-0). Strep. pyogenes and S. pneumoniae both induce platelet aggregation in an antibody-dependent manner [[27,](#page-7-0) [55\]](#page-8-0). S. pyogenes M1 protein has been shown to bind fibrinogen which in turn interacts with GPIIb/IIIa [\[56](#page-8-0)]. The presence of anti-M1 antibody in this complex can interact with $Fe\gamma RHa$ and induce platelet aggregation in a similar manner to Staph. aureus ClfA and FnbpA (see below).

Under fluid shear conditions, platelets interacted with immobilised Strep. sanguinis or S. gordonii with a typical rolling behaviour followed by firm adhesion [[10,](#page-6-0) [14](#page-7-0)]. This rolling behaviour followed by firm adhesion is typical of platelet interactions with subendothelial matrix proteins at sites of vessel injury [\[17\]](#page-7-0). It occurs as a result of platelet GPIba binding to endothelium-bound vWF. This interaction occurs under conditions of high shear, but does not occur under conditions where low shear is experienced. In contrast to this, platelets interact with S. sanguinis or S. gordonii under low-shear conditions but not under high-shear conditions. This suggests that Hsa and SrpA must exist in a suitable conformation for direct interaction with GPIba under lowshear conditions. Deletion of Hsa from S. gordonii or SrpA from S. sanguinis ablated platelet interactions under all shear conditions, suggesting that this family of serine-rich glycoproteins are critical for firm adhesion [\[10](#page-6-0), [14](#page-7-0)]. It also suggests that this event is most likely mediated by an interaction with platelet GPIba. Thrombus formation by S. pyogens has also been studied under high shear conditions where M protein, specific IgG and fibrinogen are required for rapid thrombus formation [\[57](#page-8-0)].

Staphylococcal platelet interactions

A comprehensive study by Siegel and Cohen demonstrated that a crude extract from Staphylococcus led to distinctive degenerative changes in the platelet as evidenced by microscopic examination and loss of single platelets as evidenced by turbidimetric aggregometry [[58\]](#page-8-0). Following this, Bernheimer and Schwartz identified the crude extract as being α -toxin with lytic properties and ruled out platelet aggregation as a cause in decrease in single platelet suspension [[59,](#page-8-0) [60\]](#page-8-0). Subsequent studies demonstrated that Staphylococcal surface protein A acts as a receptor for specific anti-staphylococcal antibodies which in turn bind $Fc\gamma RIIa$ on platelets. This event led to the release reaction and GPIIb/IIIa-dependent platelet aggregation [[61\]](#page-8-0). More recent studies demonstrated that Staph. aureus could adhere to platelets via a fibrinogen/fibrin bridge [[62\]](#page-8-0). A mutant of S. aureus lacking clumping factor A (ClfA) failed to adhere to platelets, suggesting that ClfA binds fibrinogen which in turn binds the platelet fibrinogen receptor, GPIIb/IIIa [[63\]](#page-8-0). It was also suggested that ClfA could bind to an as yet unidentified protein of 118 kDa on the platelet surface. Deletion of one or more genes or heterologous expression in a surrogate host has identified several proteins on S. aureus that bind to platelets and induce platelet aggregation [\[11](#page-6-0)].

As part of their survival, bacteria often express a different profile of proteins on their surface at different stages of growth. ClfA is the dominant proaggregatory surface protein [\[64](#page-8-0)] in Staph. aureus cells grown to stationary phase whereas fibronectin binding proteins (FnBP) are the most dominant proaggregatory surface protein [[65\]](#page-8-0) in S. aureus cells grown to exponential phase. This correlates with the regulated expression of FnBPA and FnBPB which are expressed in exponential phase of growth but not at stationary phase of growth [[66\]](#page-8-0) and ClfA which is weakly expressed at exponential phase of growth and strongly expressed at a stationary phase of growth [[64\]](#page-8-0).

A plasma-free system was used to determine the factors necessary for Staph. aureus-induced platelet aggregation [\[64](#page-8-0)]. Addition of fibrinogen and ClfA-specific immunoglobulin to the plasma-free system led to S. aureus-induced platelet aggregation. Even though resting GPIIb/IIIa has little or no affinity for soluble fibrinogen, it can still bind fibrinogen bound to bacteria; however, this is not enough to trigger activation. To trigger full platelet activation, both fibrinogen and specific immunoglobulin must bind to the A domain on ClfA. There are two distinct sites on ClfA that allows fibrinogen and IgG binding at the same time [\[64](#page-8-0)]. Once bound, fibrinogen molecules can engage resting GPIIb/IIIa, aided by bound ClfA-specific immunoglobulin, which encourages the clustering of Fc receptor, $Fe\gamma RHa$. This triggers activation of signal transduction leading to

conformational change in GPIIb/IIIa and aggregation of platelets.

As all the previous studies have been carried out under static or non-physiological stirring conditions, it is difficult to relate these studies to the disease process as cells in the vasculature experience a wide range of shear rates. Studies using a cone and plate viscometer have shown that protein A, ClfA, SdrC, SdrD and SdrE are important in thrombus formation [\[67–69](#page-8-0)]. However, extremely high shear rates were used in these rheological studies. When platelets in whole blood were perfused over immobilised Staph. aureus under shear conditions equivalent to arterial pressure, very strong adhesion occurred followed by rapid aggregate formation [\[70](#page-8-0)] using a parallel flow chamber. Deletion of ClfA from S. aureus, abolished adhesion and aggregate formation under all shear rates investigated. Using a plasma-free system, fibrinogen led to single platelet adhesion but not aggregate formation. Specific immunoglobulin failed to have any effect on either platelet adhesion or aggregation. However, addition of fibrinogen and specific immunoglobulin to the plasma-free system led to platelet adhesion followed by aggregate formation [\[70](#page-8-0)], thus highlighting the importance of fibrinogen and IgG in aggregate formation induced by S. aureus. No interaction was seen under low shear conditions using a parallel flow chamber.

Deletion of the fibrinogen binding domain in ClfA (ClfA-PY) led to the discovery of a second pathway that Staph. aureus uses to induce platelet aggregation [\[64](#page-8-0)]. ClfA-PY induced platelet aggregation after a long lag time (between 8 and 20 min). However, to trigger platelet activation, specific immunoglobulin must bind to the A domain on ClfA which in turn will bind $Fc\gamma RIIa$ on the platelet. This is not enough to trigger platelet activation, and therefore complement must assemble on the S. aureus surface and then bind to unidentified complement receptors on the platelet. Both complement and specific immunoglobulin are required for activation to occur [[64\]](#page-8-0).

Fibronectin binding proteins contain a specific immunoglobulin binding domain (A domain) and a fibronectin binding domain (BCD). The FnBPA A domain is similar in structure and function to that of the ClfA A domain. FnBPA possesses two different but related mechanisms of engaging and activating platelets [\[65](#page-8-0)]. In the first mechanism, fibrinogen can bind to the A domain which crosslinks to GPIIb/IIIa, and specific immunoglobulin must cross-link to Fc γ RIIa to trigger platelet activation and aggregation [\[65](#page-8-0)]. In the second mechanism, the fibronectin binding domain, BCD, can independently activate platelets. Fibronectin can bind to Staph. aureus via the FnBPA BCD domain by the tandem β -zipper mechanism [[71–73\]](#page-8-0) and also to platelet GPIIb/IIIa through the common integrin recognition motif RGD [[65\]](#page-8-0). The signal to trigger platelet activation/aggregation is complete when specific

immunoglobulin binds the A domain of FnBPA and crosslinks to platelet $Fc\gamma RIIa$.

Clumping factor B is a fibrinogen-binding protein which is highly expressed in the exponential phase of growth and shares structural homology with ClfA [\[74](#page-8-0)]. ClfB can also bind fibrinogen and specific immunoglobulin to trigger platelet aggregation similar to ClfA and FnBP. A nonfibrinogen binding ClfB mutant triggered platelet aggregation following complement assembly which also required specific antibody [\[75](#page-8-0)]. Staphylococcal protein A (SpA) has been previously shown to bind platelet directly to the complement receptor gC1qR/p33 [[76\]](#page-8-0). Typically found intracellularly, this receptor is only brought to the surface of platelets following activation.

Recent work has demonstrated that all five domains of SpA (A-E) can bind to the A1 domain of von Willebrand factor with high affinity (low nM range) [\[77](#page-8-0), [78\]](#page-8-0). The von Willebrand factor receptor on platelets is GPIb α therefore it is possible that Staph. aureus SpA binding vWf leads to agglutination or cross-linking of platelets rather than true aggregation. Furthermore, Pawar and colleagues demonstrated a key role for SpA in mediating platelet activation at high shear rates [[67\]](#page-8-0). A monoclonal antibody directed against vWF partially inhibited platelet activation and an antibody directed against the platelet vWF receptor, GPIba, also partially inhibited, highlighting the importance of the interaction between vWf and GPIba under high shear conditions [[67](#page-8-0)].

SraP is a member of the serine-rich highly glycosylated family of proteins. It has high homology to Strep. gordonii GspB/Hsa and S. sanguinis SrpA. The interaction of GspB/Hsa and SrpA with sialic acid residues on platelet GPIba is well characterised. In a rabbit model of endocarditis SraP was shown to promote Staph. aureus binding to platelets and increase virulence, though not through GPIba [[79](#page-8-0)].

Helicobacter pylori platelet interactions

Helicobacter pylori are Gram negative bacteria that play a role in the pathogenesis of peptic ulcer disease, gastric carcinoma and primary B cell gastric lymphoma [\[80](#page-8-0)]. Some studies have shown the formation of platelet aggregates in *H. pylori*-infected patients $[81]$ $[81]$ which may explain the association between H. pylori and cardiovascular disease, such as myocardial infarction [\[82–84](#page-9-0)] and stroke [[85,](#page-9-0) [86](#page-9-0)] although others have failed to show any link [\[87](#page-9-0)]. Clinical strains of H. pylori have been shown to induce platelet aggregation in vitro by binding plasma vWF which in turn binds to platelet GPIba triggering an activating response [[88,](#page-9-0) [89\]](#page-9-0). Antibodies against vWF or GPIba prevented H. pylori-induced platelet aggregation. Furthermore, patients with Bernard Soulier Syndrome (who lack expression of GPIba) fail to aggregate in response to H. pylori [\[89\]](#page-9-0). H. pylori-induced platelet activation was dependent on binding plasma vWF and specific immunoglobulin and then bridging to GPI b α and $Fc\gamma RIIa$, respectively, to trigger platelet activation. This interaction differs from Strep. sanguinis-induced platelet aggregation which also binds GPIba, as it binds directly to GPIba directly independent of vWF.

Secreted products

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is an essential component of Gram-negative bacteria cell wall that is shed into plasma. LPS interacts with Toll-like receptors (TLR) on immune cells and this reaction is a key component of the immune response to infection [\[90](#page-9-0)]. The concept that platelets contain TLRs and can aggregate in response to LPS is controversial. Initially LPS was shown to induce platelet secretion [\[91](#page-9-0), [92\]](#page-9-0), but LPS was subsequently shown not to bind to platelets nor to induce aggregation, and neither CD14 (a key component of TLR4 signalling complex) nor TLR4 were identified on the platelet surface [\[93](#page-9-0)]. However, this was followed by a report that TLR1 and TLR6 were present on platelets [[94\]](#page-9-0), and subsequently TLR2, TLR4 and TLR9 were found on the platelet surface [\[95–97](#page-9-0)]. However, the functional relevance of these TLRs is unclear as the TLR4 agonist LPS was unable to induce platelet aggregation or even enhance ADP-induced platelet aggregation [[98\]](#page-9-0). However, Escherichia coli O157 LPS was shown to bind to and mediate activation of platelets in a TLR4-dependent manner [[99\]](#page-9-0) and to enhance platelet secretion of cytokines [\[100](#page-9-0)]. Chicken thrombocytes were also shown to express TLR4 receptor and to become activated by LPS [[101](#page-9-0)]. There seems to be variation in the ability of different types of LPS to bind to platelets, and LPS from *E. coli* O157 appears to be the most potent [\[99](#page-9-0)].

So, while it appears that TLRs are present at low levels on platelets, it is not clear if they are functional receptors and certainly there is no consensus on the ability of TLR4 to mediate platelet aggregation by LPS. However, there is evidence to suggest that the actions of LPS on platelet activation may be indirect. LPS was found to bind to TLR4 on platelets but not induce aggregation. However, these LPS bound platelets had increased affinity for neutrophils and only LPS-treated platelets were capable of inducing neutrophil activation [\[102](#page-9-0)]. LPS was also shown to induce thrombocytopenia in mice that was neutrophil-dependent [\[96](#page-9-0)]. Thus, LPS binds to platelet TLR4 but does not generate an activation signal, at least not one than leads to platelet aggregation. However, it does prime the platelets allowing them to bind to and activate neutrophils.

Lipoteichoic acid (LTA) is secreted by Gram-positive bacteria and is a TLR2 agonist. LTA was shown to bind to platelets and to inhibit platelet aggregation by collagen $[103]$ $[103]$ as well as to support platelet adhesion to *Strep. ep*-idermidis [[104\]](#page-9-0). It was suggested that the anti-platelet effect of LTA was due to conformational changes in the membrane [\[105](#page-9-0)] and an increase in cAMP levels [\[106](#page-9-0)]. The TLR2 agonist, $Pam₃CSK₄$, was unable to induce platelet aggregation or even enhance ADP-induced platelet aggregation [[98\]](#page-9-0). Thus, there is little evidence to suggest that TLR2 receptor on the platelet surface can mediate platelet activation.

Other than the cell wall components LPS and LTA, bacteria secrete substances that can induce platelet activation. Porphyromonas gingivalis secretes gingipains which are proteases that can directly activate platelets. This is due to activation of protease-activated receptors on the platelet surface [\[107](#page-9-0), [108](#page-9-0)]. Shiga-like toxin (verotoxin) secreted by E. coli was shown to induce platelet aggregation [[109\]](#page-9-0) and both Shiga and Shiga-like toxins were shown to bind to glycosphingolipid receptors on the platelet surface [\[110](#page-9-0)]. However, Shiga toxin was subsequently shown to have no effects on platelet aggregation [\[111](#page-9-0)] and to only bind to activated platelets [[112\]](#page-9-0), although others showed that exposure to Shiga toxin did lead to platelet activation [[113\]](#page-9-0). One explanation for these contradictory results is that in vivo the actions of Shiga toxins are complex and many of its actions on platelets are indirect, being mediated through effects on other cells such as endothelial cells $[114]$ $[114]$ and monocytes $[115]$ $[115]$. α -toxin is a pore-forming toxin produced by Staph. aureus which is responsible for haemolysis. It also leads to platelet activation [[116\]](#page-10-0) leading to the assembly of the prothrombinase complex on the platelet surface [\[117](#page-10-0)].

Fc*c*RIIa

While all these bacteria have different mechanisms for interacting with platelets there is a common feature to platelet aggregation induced by all these bacteria. Excluding aggregation that is induced by the secreted products, bacteria-induced platelet aggregation is generally inhibited by antibodies to $Fc\gamma RIIa$. Fc $\gamma RIIa$ is the platelet IgG receptor and is a member of a family of Fc receptors which mediate the cellular responses to the Fc portion of antibodies [\[118](#page-10-0)]. Blockade of Fc γ RIIa has been shown to prevent aggregation by Strep. sanguinis [\[9](#page-6-0), [13](#page-6-0)], S. gordonii (unpublished data), H. pylori $[89]$ $[89]$, S. pyogenes $[56]$ $[56]$ and Staph. aureus (both direct activation and complementdependent activation) [[64,](#page-8-0) [65](#page-8-0), [75\]](#page-8-0). In all cases, antibody was required for an aggregation response. However, FcyRIIa engagement by antibody was insufficient to induce

aggregation and in each case engagement of another receptor such as GPIb α [9, [89](#page-9-0)], GPIIb/IIIa [[64,](#page-8-0) [65](#page-8-0)] or complement receptor [\[35](#page-7-0), [75\]](#page-8-0) was also required. Thus, $Fc\gamma RIIa$ requires cross-linking with the formation of either homodimers as occurs with agglutinated IgG [\[119](#page-10-0), [120\]](#page-10-0) or the formation of heterodimers with GPIIb/IIIa or GPIba for the necessary signaling to occur. In fact, there is evidence of co-localisation of Fc γ RIIa with both GPIb α [\[121](#page-10-0)] and GPIIb/IIIa $[122]$ $[122]$. Thus, FcyRIIa may be an ideal drug target due to its essential role in platelet activation by bacteria.

Clinical implications

It is clear that platelets are part of the innate immune system and play a role in the host response to infection. However, under certain circumstances, the platelet response to infection may be a significant part of the problem. Activation of platelets by bacteria can lead to three specific problems. Activation of platelets in a localised manner can lead to thrombus formation while a more systemic activation can lead to platelet consumption. Finally, activated platelets secrete many cytokines and other mediators that can trigger pathological processes.

Infective endocarditis is a typical example of a thrombotic complication of bacterial infection. It is due to infection of the heart valve by bacteria, typically Staph. aureus or an oral Streptococcus [\[123](#page-10-0)]. While the precise sequence of events is not clear, a bacteria–platelet thrombus forms on the valve which can either lead to valve failure or the formation of a septic embolus. Treatment requires antibiotic therapy and often valve replacement surgery.

Systemic bacterial infection such as occurs during septicemia leads to thrombocytopenia and bleeding complications. This is a serious disease with poor outcome [\[124](#page-10-0)]. Platelet activation during sepsis [[125\]](#page-10-0) leads to platelet sequestration, thrombocytopenia and bleeding complications. The extent of thrombocytopenia is related to outcome [\[126](#page-10-0), [127](#page-10-0)]. Haemolytic uremic syndrome is due to the formation of microthrombi in the glomerular capillaries usually as a result of an E. coli infection. This results in reduced glomerular filtration and subsequently to renal failure. Thrombocytopenia also occurs usually due to damage to the platelets as they pass through the stenosed vessels and possibly due to actions of Shiga toxin on the platelets [\[128](#page-10-0)].

When activated, platelets secrete their granule contents which contain at least 300 different proteins including cytokines and vascular active factors [[129,](#page-10-0) [130](#page-10-0)]. These cytokines play a key role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis [[129,](#page-10-0) [131–134\]](#page-10-0) and may also explain the

association between infection and cardiovascular disease. As well as causing thrombocytopenia, sepsis also leads to shock due to endothelial inflammation and subsequent vascular leakage. Activated platelets play a key role in mediating the endothelial damage [[125,](#page-10-0) [135,](#page-10-0) [136\]](#page-10-0).

With the growing incidence of infection with antibioticresistant bacteria such as MRSA, the management of the patient with an infection is becoming more difficult. In diseases such as infective endocarditis and sepsis, platelets are not innocent bystanders but active participants in the disease process. Targeting the platelet may help stabilise the patient and reduce the impact of some of the serious consequences of these diseases such as bleeding, shock and thrombosis. Fc γ RIIa may be the ideal drug target. Unlike other anti-platelet agents, inhibitors of $Fc\gamma RIIa$ do not affect the platelet response to other agonists and thus does not compromise platelet function.

References

- 1. Clawson CC, White JG (1971) Platelet interaction with bacteria. II. Fate of the bacteria. Am J Pathol 65:381–397
- 2. Clawson CC, White JG (1971) Platelet interaction with bacteria. I. Reaction phases and effects of inhibitors. Am J Pathol 65:367– 380
- 3. Clawson CC (1973) Platelet interaction with bacteria. 3. Ultrastructure. Am J Pathol 70:449–471
- 4. Clawson CC, Rao GH, White JG (1975) Platelet interaction with bacteria. IV. Stimulation of the release reaction. Am J Pathol 81:411–420
- 5. Patel SR, Hartwig JH, Italiano JE Jr (2005) The biogenesis of platelets from megakaryocyte proplatelets. J Clin Invest 115:3348–3354
- 6. Brass LF, Stalker TJ, Zhu L, Woulfe DS (2007) Signal transduction during platlet plug formation. In: Michelson AD (ed) Platelets. Academic, Burlington, pp 319–346
- 7. Reed GL (2007) Platelet secretion. In: Michelson AD (ed) Platelets. Academic, Burlington, pp 309–318
- 8. Fitzgerald JR, Foster TJ, Cox D (2006) The interaction of bacterial pathogens with platelets. Nat Rev Microbiol 4:445–457
- 9. Kerrigan SW, Douglas I, Wray A, Heath J, Byrne MF, Fitzgerald D, Cox D (2002) A role for glycoprotein Ib in Streptococcus sanguis-induced platelet aggregation. Blood 100:509–516
- 10. Kerrigan SW, Jakubovics NS, Keane C, Maguire P, Wynne K, Jenkinson HF, Cox D (2007) Role of Streptococcus gordonii surface proteins SspA/SspB and Hsa in platelet function. Infect Immun 75:5740–5747
- 11. O'Brien L, Kerrigan SW, Kaw G, Hogan M, Penades J, Litt D, Fitzgerald DJ, Foster TJ, Cox D (2002) Multiple mechanisms for the activation of human platelet aggregation by Staphylococcus aureus: roles for the clumping factors ClfA and ClfB, the serine–aspartate repeat protein SdrE and protein A. Mol Microbiol 44:1033–1044
- 12. Herzberg MC, Brintzenhofe KL, Clawson CC (1983) Aggregation of human platelets and adhesion of Streptococcus sanguis. Infect Immun 39:1457–1469
- 13. Ford I, Douglas CW, Cox D, Rees DG, Heath J, Preston FE (1997) The role of immunoglobulin G and fibrinogen in platelet

aggregation by Streptococcus sanguis. Br J Haematol 97:737–746

- 14. Plummer C, Wu H, Kerrigan SW, Meade G, Cox D, Ian Douglas CW (2005) A serine-rich glycoprotein of Streptococcus sanguis mediates adhesion to platelets via GPIb. Br J Haematol 129:101–109
- 15. Pampolina C, McNicol A (2005) Streptococcus sanguis-induced platelet activation involves two waves of tyrosine phosphorylation mediated by Fc γ RIIA and α IIb β 3. Thromb Haemost 93:932–939
- 16. Siauw C, Kobsar A, Dornieden C, Beyrich C, Schinke B, Schubert-Unkmeir A, Abele-Horn M, Speer CP, Eigenthaler M (2006) Group B streptococcus isolates from septic patients and healthy carriers differentially activate platelet signaling cascades. Thromb Haemost 95:836–849
- 17. Ruggeri ZM (2007) The role of von Willebrand factor in thrombus formation. Thromb Res 120(Suppl 1):S5–S9
- 18. Jenkinson HF, Lamont RJ (2005) Oral microbial communities in sickness and in health. Trends Microbiol 13:589–595
- 19. Mattila KJ, Pussinen PJ, Paju S (2005) Dental infections and cardiovascular diseases: a review. J Periodontol 76:2085–2088
- 20. Beck JD, Offenbacher S (2005) Systemic effects of periodontitis: epidemiology of periodontal disease and cardiovascular disease. J Periodontol 76:2089–2100
- 21. Moreillon P, Que YA, Bayer AS (2002) Pathogenesis of streptococcal and staphylococcal endocarditis. Infect Dis Clin North Am 16:297–318
- 22. Chiu B (1999) Multiple infections in carotid atherosclerotic plaques. Am Heart J 138:S534–S536
- 23. Fowler VG Jr, Miro JM, Hoen B, Cabell CH, Abrutyn E, Rubinstein E, Corey GR, Spelman D, Bradley SF, Barsic B, Pappas PA, Anstrom KJ, Wray D, Fortes CQ, Anguera I, Athan E, Jones P, van der Meer JT, Elliott TS, Levine DP, Bayer AS (2005) Staphylococcus aureus endocarditis: a consequence of medical progress. J Am Med Assoc 293:3012–3021
- 24. Beachey EH, Stollerman GH (1971) Toxic effects of streptococcal M protein on platelets and polymorphonuclear leukocytes in human blood. J Exp Med 134:351–365
- 25. Herzberg MC, Brintzenhofe KL (1983) ADP-like platelet aggregation activity generated by viridans streptococci incubated with exogenous ATP. Infect Immun 40:120–125
- 26. Herzberg MC, Brintzenhofe KL, Clawson CC (1983) Cell-free released components of Streptococcus sanguis inhibit human platelet aggregation. Infect Immun 42:394–401
- 27. Kurpiewski GE, Forrester LJ, Campbell BJ, Barrett JT (1983) Platelet aggregation by Streptococcus pyogenes. Infect Immun 39:704–708
- 28. Sullam PM, Payan DG, Dazin PF, Valone FH (1990) Binding of viridans group streptococci to human platelets: a quantitative analysis. Infect Immun 58:3802–3806
- 29. Erickson PR, Herzberg MC (1987) A collagen-like immunodeterminant on the surface of Streptococcus sanguis induces platelet aggregation. J Immunol 138:3360–3366
- 30. Erickson PR, Herzberg MC (1990) Purification and partial characterization of a 65-kDa platelet aggregation-associated protein antigen from the surface of Streptococcus sanguis. J Biol Chem 265:14080–14087
- 31. Erickson PR, Herzberg MC (1993) The Streptococcus sanguis platelet aggregation-associated protein. Identification and characterization of the minimal platelet-interactive domain. J Biol Chem 268:1646–1649
- 32. Erickson PR, Herzberg MC, Tierney G (1992) Cross-reactive immunodeterminants on Streptococcus sanguis and collagen. Predicting a structural motif of platelet-interactive domains. J Biol Chem 267:10018–10023
- 33. Gong K, Wen DY, Ouyang T, Rao AT, Herzberg MC (1995) Platelet receptors for the Streptococcus sanguis adhesin and aggregation-associated antigens are distinguished by anti-idiotypical monoclonal antibodies. Infect Immun 63:3628–3633
- 34. Herzberg MC, Nobbs A, Tao L, Kilic A, Beckman E, Khammanivong A, Zhang Y (2005) Oral streptococci and cardiovascular disease: searching for the platelet aggregationassociated protein gene and mechanisms of Streptococcus sanguis-induced thrombosis. J Periodontol 76:2101–2105
- 35. Ford I, Douglas CW, Heath J, Rees C, Preston FE (1996) Evidence for the involvement of complement proteins in platelet aggregation by Streptococcus sanguis NCTC 7863. Br J Haematol 94:729–739
- 36. McNicol A, Zhu R, Pesun R, Pampolina C, Jackson EC, Bowden GH, Zelinski T (2006) A role for immunoglobulin G in donorspecific Streptococcus sanguis-induced platelet aggregation. Thromb Haemost 95:288–293
- 37. Douglas CW, Heath J, Hampton KK, Preston FE (1993) Identity of viridans streptococci isolated from cases of infective endocarditis. J Med Microbiol 39:179–182
- 38. Bensing BA, Sullam PM (2002) An accessory sec locus of Streptococcus gordonii is required for export of the surface protein GspB and for normal levels of binding to human platelets. Mol Microbiol 44:1081–1094
- 39. Takahashi Y, Sandberg AL, Ruhl S, Muller J, Cisar JO (1997) A specific cell surface antigen of Streptococcus gordonii is associated with bacterial hemagglutination and adhesion to alpha2- 3-linked sialic acid-containing receptors. Infect Immun 65:5042–5051
- 40. Takamatsu D, Bensing BA, Cheng H, Jarvis GA, Siboo IR, Lopez JA, Griffiss JM, Sullam PM (2005) Binding of the Streptococcus gordonii surface glycoproteins GspB and Hsa to specific carbohydrate structures on platelet membrane glycoprotein Ibalpha. Mol Microbiol 58:380–392
- 41. Jakubovics NS, Kerrigan SW, Nobbs AH, Stromberg N, van Dolleweerd CJ, Cox DM, Kelly CG, Jenkinson HF (2005) Functions of cell surface-anchored antigen I/II family and Hsa polypeptides in interactions of Streptococcus gordonii with host receptors. Infect Immun 73:6629–6638
- 42. Takahashi Y, Ruhl S, Yoon JW, Sandberg AL, Cisar JO (2002) Adhesion of viridans group streptococci to sialic acid-, galactose- and N-acetylgalactosamine-containing receptors. Oral Microbiol Immunol 17:257–262
- 43. Wu H, Zeng M, Fives-Taylor P (2007) The glycan moieties and the N-terminal polypeptide backbone of a fimbria-associated adhesin, Fap1, play distinct roles in the biofilm development of Streptococcus parasanguinis. Infect Immun 75:2181–2188
- 44. Bensing BA, Lopez JA, Sullam PM (2004) The Streptococcus gordonii surface proteins GspB and Hsa mediate binding to sialylated carbohydrate epitopes on the platelet membrane glycoprotein Ibalpha. Infect Immun 72:6528–6537
- 45. Yajima A, Takahashi Y, Konishi K (2005) Identification of platelet receptors for the Streptococcus gordonii DL1 sialic acid-binding adhesin. Microbiol Immunol 49:795–800
- 46. Nobbs AH, Shearer BH, Drobni M, Jepson MA, Jenkinson HF (2007) Adherence and internalization of Streptococcus gordonii by epithelial cells involves beta1 integrin recognition by SspA and SspB (antigen I/II family) polypeptides. Cell Microbiol 9:65–83
- 47. Prakobphol A, Xu F, Hoang VM, Larsson T, Bergstrom J, Johansson I, Frangsmyr L, Holmskov U, Leffler H, Nilsson C, Boren T, Wright JR, Stromberg N, Fisher SJ (2000) Salivary agglutinin, which binds Streptococcus mutans and Helicobacter pylori, is the lung scavenger receptor cysteine-rich protein gp-340. J Biol Chem 275:39860–39866
- 48. Heddle C, Nobbs AH, Jakubovics NS, Gal M, Mansell JP, Dymock D, Jenkinson HF (2003) Host collagen signal induces antigen I/II adhesin and invasin gene expression in oral Streptococcus gordonii. Mol Microbiol 50:597–607
- 49. Demuth DR, Irvine DC, Costerton JW, Cook GS, Lamont RJ (2001) Discrete protein determinant directs the species-specific adherence of Porphyromonas gingivalis to oral streptococci. Infect Immun 69:5736–5741
- 50. Egland PG, Du LD, Kolenbrander PE (2001) Identification of independent Streptococcus gordonii SspA and SspB functions in coaggregation with Actinomyces naeslundii. Infect Immun 69:7512–7516
- 51. Jakubovics NS, Stromberg N, van Dolleweerd CJ, Kelly CG, Jenkinson HF (2005) Differential binding specificities of oral streptococcal antigen I/II family adhesins for human or bacterial ligands. Mol Microbiol 55:1591–1605
- 52. Lamont RJ, El-Sabaeny A, Park Y, Cook GS, Costerton JW, Demuth DR (2002) Role of the Streptococcus gordonii SspB protein in the development of Porphyromonas gingivalis biofilms on streptococcal substrates. Microbiology 148:1627–1636
- 53. Douglas CW, Brown PR, Preston FE (1990) Platelet aggregation by oral streptococci. FEMS Microbiol Lett 60:63–67
- 54. Bensing BA, Rubens CE, Sullam PM (2001) Genetic loci of Streptococcus mitis that mediate binding to human platelets. Infect Immun 69:1373–1380
- 55. Zimmerman TS, Spiegelberg HL (1975) Pneumococcus-induced serotonin release from human platelets. Identification of the participating plasma/serum factor as immunoglobulin. J Clin Invest 56:828–834
- 56. Shannon O, Hertzen E, Norrby-Teglund A, Morgelin M, Sjobring U, Bjorck L (2007) Severe streptococcal infection is associated with M protein-induced platelet activation and thrombus formation. Mol Microbiol 65:1147–1157
- 57. Sjobring U, Ringdahl U, Ruggeri ZM (2002) Induction of platelet thrombi by bacteria and antibodies. Blood 100:4470–4477
- 58. Siegel I, Cohen S (1964) Action of Staphylococcal toxin on human platelets. J Infect Dis 114:488–502
- 59. Bernheimer AW, Schwartz LL (1965) Lysis of bacterial protoplasts and spheroplasts by Staphylococcal alpha-toxin and Streptolysin S. J Bacteriol 89:1387–1392
- 60. Manohar M, Maheswaran SK, Frommes SP, Lindorfer RK (1967) Platelet damaging factor, a fifth activity of staphylococcal alpha-toxin. J Bacteriol 94:224–231
- 61. Hawiger J, Steckley S, Hammond D, Cheng C, Timmons S, Glick AD, Des Prez RM (1979) Staphylococci-induced human platelet injury mediated by protein A and immunoglobulin G Fc fragment receptor. J Clin Invest 64:931–937
- 62. Herrmann M, Lai QJ, Albrecht RM, Mosher DF, Proctor RA (1993) Adhesion of Staphylococcus aureus to surface-bound platelets: role of fibrinogen/fibrin and platelet integrins. J Infect Dis 167:312–322
- 63. Sullam PM, Bayer AS, Foss WM, Cheung AL (1996) Diminished platelet binding in vitro by Staphylococcus aureus is associated with reduced virulence in a rabbit model of infective endocarditis. Infect Immun 64:4915–4921
- 64. Loughman A, Fitzgerald JR, Brennan MP, Higgins J, Downer R, Cox D, Foster TJ (2005) Roles for fibrinogen, immunoglobulin and complement in platelet activation promoted by Staphylococcus aureus clumping factor A. Mol Microbiol 57:804–818
- 65. Fitzgerald JR, Loughman A, Keane F, Brennan M, Knobel M, Higgins J, Visai L, Speziale P, Cox D, Foster TJ (2006) Fibronectin-binding proteins of Staphylococcus aureus mediate activation of human platelets via fibrinogen and fibronectin bridges to integrin GPIIb/IIIa and IgG binding to the $Fe\gamma RHa$ receptor. Mol Microbiol 59:212–230
- 66. Saravia-Otten P, Muller HP, Arvidson S (1997) Transcription of Staphylococcus aureus fibronectin binding protein genes is negatively regulated by agr and an agr-independent mechanism. J Bacteriol 179:5259–5263
- 67. Pawar P, Shin PK, Mousa SA, Ross JM, Konstantopoulos K (2004) Fluid shear regulates the kinetics and receptor specificity of Staphylococcus aureus binding to activated platelets. J Immunol 173:1258–1265
- 68. George NP, Wei Q, Shin PK, Konstantopoulos K, Ross JM (2006) Staphylococcus aureus adhesion via Spa, ClfA, and SdrCDE to immobilized platelets demonstrates shear-dependent behavior. Arter Thromb Vasc Biol 26:2394–2400
- 69. George NP, Konstantopoulos K, Ross JM (2007) Differential kinetics and molecular recognition mechanisms involved in early versus late growth phase Staphylococcus aureus cell binding to platelet layers under physiological shear conditions. J Infect Dis 196:639–646
- 70. Kerrigan SW, Clarke N, Loughman A, Meade G, Foster TJ, Cox D (2008) Molecular basis for Staphylococcus aureus-mediated platelet aggregate formation under arterial shear in vitro. Arter Thromb Vasc Biol 28:335–340
- 71. Schwarz-Linek U, Werner JM, Pickford AR, Gurusiddappa S, Kim JH, Pilka ES, Briggs JA, Gough TS, Hook M, Campbell ID, Potts JR (2003) Pathogenic bacteria attach to human fibronectin through a tandem beta-zipper. Nature 423:177–181
- 72. Raibaud S, Schwarz-Linek U, Kim JH, Jenkins HT, Baines ER, Gurusiddappa S, Hook M, Potts JR (2005) Borrelia burgdorferi binds fibronectin through a tandem beta-zipper, a common mechanism of fibronectin binding in staphylococci, streptococci, and spirochetes. J Biol Chem 280:18803–18809
- 73. Meenan NA, Visai L, Valtulina V, Schwarz-Linek U, Norris NC, Gurusiddappa S, Hook M, Speziale P, Potts JR (2007) The tandem beta-zipper model defines high affinity fibronectinbinding repeats within Staphylococcus aureus FnBPA. J Biol Chem 282:25893–25902
- 74. McAleese FM, Walsh EJ, Sieprawska M, Potempa J, Foster TJ (2001) Loss of clumping factor B fibrinogen binding activity by Staphylococcus aureus involves cessation of transcription, shedding and cleavage by metalloprotease. J Biol Chem 276:29969–29978
- 75. Miajlovic H, Loughman A, Brennan M, Cox D, Foster TJ (2007) Both complement- and fibrinogen-dependent mechanisms contribute to platelet aggregation mediated by Staphylococcus aureus clumping factor B. Infect Immun 75:3335–3343
- 76. Nguyen T, Ghebrehiwet B, Peerschke EI (2000) Staphylococcus aureus protein A recognizes platelet gC1qR/p33: a novel mechanism for staphylococcal interactions with platelets. Infect Immun 68:2061–2068
- 77. Hartleib J, Kohler N, Dickinson RB, Chhatwal GS, Sixma JJ, Hartford OM, Foster TJ, Peters G, Kehrel BE, Herrmann M (2000) Protein A is the von Willebrand factor binding protein on Staphylococcus aureus. Blood 96:2149–2156
- 78. O'Seaghdha M, van Schooten CJ, Kerrigan SW, Emsley J, Silverman GJ, Cox D, Lenting PJ, Foster TJ (2006) Staphylococcus aureus protein A binding to von Willebrand factor A1 domain is mediated by conserved IgG binding regions. FEBS J 273:4831–4841
- 79. Siboo IR, Chambers HF, Sullam PM (2005) Role of SraP, a serine-rich surface protein of Staphylococcus aureus, in binding to human platelets. Infect Immun 73:2273–2280
- 80. Atherton JC (2006) The pathogenesis of Helicobacter pyloriinduced gastro-duodenal diseases. Annu Rev Pathol 1:63–96
- 81. Kurose I, Granger DN, Evans DJ Jr, Evans DG, Graham DY, Miyasaka M, Anderson DC, Wolf RE, Cepinskas G, Kvietys PR (1994) Helicobacter pylori-induced microvascular protein

leakage in rats: role of neutrophils, mast cells, and platelets. Gastroenterology 107:70–79

- 82. Ozdogru I, Kalay N, Dogan A, Inanc MT, Kaya MG, Topsakal R, Gul I, Kutukoglu I, Kilic H, Eryol NK (2007) The relationship between Helicobacter pylori IgG titre and coronary atherosclerosis. Acta Cardiol 62:501–505
- 83. Kinsara AJ (2004) H. pylori and myocardial infarction. Saudi Med J 25:816
- 84. Haider AW, Wilson PW, Larson MG, Evans JC, Michelson EL, Wolf PA, O'Donnell CJ, Levy D (2002) The association of seropositivity to Helicobacter pylori, Chlamydia pneumoniae, and cytomegalovirus with risk of cardiovascular disease: a prospective study. J Am Coll Cardiol 40:1408–1413
- 85. Park MH, Min JY, Koh SB, Kim BJ, Park MK, Park KW, Lee DH (2006) Helicobacter pylori infection and the CD14 C(-260)T gene polymorphism in ischemic stroke. Thromb Res 118:671–677
- 86. Pietroiusti A, Diomedi M, Silvestrini M, Cupini LM, Luzzi I, Gomez-Miguel MJ, Bergamaschi A, Magrini A, Carrabs T, Vellini M, Galante A (2002) Cytotoxin-associated gene-A positive Helicobacter pylori strains are associated with atherosclerotic stroke. Circulation 106:580–584
- 87. Honda C, Adachi K, Arima N, Tanaka S, Yagi J, Morita T, Tanimura T, Furuta K, Kinoshita Y (2008) Helicobacter pylori infection does not accelerate the age-related progression of arteriosclerosis: a 4-year follow-up study. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 23:373–378
- 88. Corcoran PA, Atherton JC, Kerrigan SW, Wadstrom T, Murray FE, Peek RM, Fitzgerald DJ, Cox DM, Byrne MF (2007) The effect of different strains of Helicobacter pylori on platelet aggregation. Can J Gastroenterol 21:367–370
- 89. Byrne MF, Kerrigan SW, Corcoran PA, Atherton JC, Murray FE, Fitzgerald DJ, Cox DM (2003) Helicobacter pylori binds von Willebrand factor and interacts with GPIb to induce platelet aggregation. Gastroenterology 124:1846–1854
- 90. Beutler B, Hoebe K, Du X, Ulevitch RJ (2003) How we detect microbes and respond to them: the Toll-like receptors and their transducers. J Leukoc Biol 74:479–485
- 91. Wachowicz B, Saluk J, Kaca W (1998) Response of blood platelets to Proteus mirabilis lipopolysaccharide. Microbiol Immunol 42:47–49
- 92. Saluk-Juszczak J, Wachowicz B, Kaca W (1999) Stimulatory effects of endotoxin on the platelet secretory process. Microbios 99:45–53
- 93. Montrucchio G, Bosco O, Del Sorbo L, Fascio Pecetto P, Lupia E, Goffi A, Omede P, Emanuelli G, Camussi G (2003) Mechanisms of the priming effect of low doses of lipopolysaccharides on leukocyte-dependent platelet aggregation in whole blood. Thromb Haemost 90:872–881
- 94. Shiraki R, Inoue N, Kawasaki S, Takei A, Kadotani M, Ohnishi Y, Ejiri J, Kobayashi S, Hirata K, Kawashima S, Yokoyama M (2004) Expression of Toll-like receptors on human platelets. Thromb Res 113:379–385
- 95. Cognasse F, Hamzeh H, Chavarin P, Acquart S, Genin C, Garraud O (2005) Evidence of Toll-like receptor molecules on human platelets. Immunol Cell Biol 83:196–198
- 96. Andonegui G, Kerfoot SM, McNagny K, Ebbert KV, Patel KD, Kubes P (2005) Platelets express functional Toll-like receptor-4. Blood 106:2417–2423
- 97. Aslam R, Speck ER, Kim M, Crow AR, Bang KW, Nestel FP, Ni H, Lazarus AH, Freedman J, Semple JW (2006) Platelet Tolllike receptor expression modulates lipopolysaccharide-induced thrombocytopenia and tumor necrosis factor-alpha production in vivo. Blood 107:637–641
- 98. Ward JR, Bingle L, Judge HM, Brown SB, Storey RF, Whyte MK, Dower SK, Buttle DJ, Sabroe I (2005) Agonists of toll-like

receptor (TLR)2 and TLR4 are unable to modulate platelet activation by adenosine diphosphate and platelet activating factor. Thromb Haemost 94:831–838

- 99. Stahl AL, Svensson M, Morgelin M, Svanborg C, Tarr PI, Mooney JC, Watkins SL, Johnson R, Karpman D (2006) Lipopolysaccharide from enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli binds to platelets through TLR4 and CD62 and is detected on circulating platelets in patients with hemolytic uremic syndrome. Blood 108:167–176
- 100. Cognasse F, Hamzeh-Cognasse H, Lafarge S, Delezay O, Pozzetto B, McNicol A, Garraud O (2008) Toll-like receptor 4 ligand can differentially modulate the release of cytokines by human platelets. Br J Haematol 141:84–91
- 101. Scott T, Owens MD (2008) Thrombocytes respond to lipopolysaccharide through Toll-like receptor-4, and MAP kinase and NF-kappaB pathways leading to expression of interleukin-6 and cyclooxygenase-2 with production of prostaglandin E2. Mol Immunol 45:1001–1008
- 102. Clark SR, Ma AC, Tavener SA, McDonald B, Goodarzi Z, Kelly MM, Patel KD, Chakrabarti S, McAvoy E, Sinclair GD, Keys EM, Allen-Vercoe E, Devinney R, Doig CJ, Green FH, Kubes P (2007) Platelet TLR4 activates neutrophil extracellular traps to ensnare bacteria in septic blood. Nat Med 13:463–469
- 103. Beachey EH, Chiang TM, Ofek I, Kang AH (1977) Interaction of lipoteichoic acid of group A streptococci with human platelets. Infect Immun 16:649–654
- 104. Chugh TD, Burns GJ, Shuhaiber HJ, Bahr GM (1990) Adherence of Staphylococcus epidermidis to fibrin-platelet clots in vitro mediated by lipoteichoic acid. Infect Immun 58:315–319
- 105. Sheu JR, Lee CR, Lin CH, Hsiao G, Ko WC, Chen YC, Yen MH (2000) Mechanisms involved in the antiplatelet activity of Staphylococcus aureus lipoteichoic acid in human platelets. Thromb Haemost 83:777–784
- 106. Sheu JR, Hsiao G, Lee C, Chang W, Lee LW, Su CH, Lin CH (2000) Antiplatelet activity of Staphylococcus aureus lipoteichoic acid is mediated through a cyclic AMP pathway. Thromb Res 99:249–258
- 107. Lourbakos A, Potempa J, Travis J, D'Andrea MR, Andrade-Gordon P, Santulli R, Mackie EJ, Pike RN (2001) Argininespecific protease from Porphyromonas gingivalis activates protease-activated receptors on human oral epithelial cells and induces interleukin-6 secretion. Infect Immun 69:5121–5130
- 108. Lourbakos A, Yuan YP, Jenkins AL, Travis J, Andrade-Gordon P, Santulli R, Potempa J, Pike RN (2001) Activation of proteaseactivated receptors by gingipains from Porphyromonas gingivalis leads to platelet aggregation: a new trait in microbial pathogenicity. Blood 97:3790–3797
- 109. Rose PE, Armour JA, Williams CE, Hill FG (1985) Verotoxin and neuraminidase induced platelet aggregating activity in plasma: their possible role in the pathogenesis of the haemolytic uraemic syndrome. J Clin Pathol 38:438–441
- 110. Cooling LL, Walker KE, Gille T, Koerner TA (1998) Shiga toxin binds human platelets via globotriaosylceramide (Pk antigen) and a novel platelet glycosphingolipid. Infect Immun 66:4355–4366
- 111. Viisoreanu D, Polanowska-Grabowska R, Suttitanamongkol S, Obrig TG, Gear AR (2000) Human platelet aggregation is not altered by Shiga toxins 1 or 2. Thromb Res 98:403–410
- 112. Ghosh SA, Polanowska-Grabowska RK, Fujii J, Obrig T, Gear AR (2004) Shiga toxin binds to activated platelets. J Thromb Haemost 2:499–506
- 113. Karpman D, Papadopoulou D, Nilsson K, Sjogren AC, Mikaelsson C, Lethagen S (2001) Platelet activation by Shiga toxin and circulatory factors as a pathogenetic mechanism in the hemolytic uremic syndrome. Blood 97:3100–3108
- 114. Motto DG, Chauhan AK, Zhu G, Homeister J, Lamb CB, Desch KC, Zhang W, Tsai HM, Wagner DD, Ginsburg D (2005)

Shigatoxin triggers thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura in genetically susceptible ADAMTS13-deficient mice. J Clin Invest 115:2752–2761

- 115. Guessous F, Marcinkiewicz M, Polanowska-Grabowska R, Keepers TR, Obrig T, Gear AR (2005) Shiga toxin 2 and lipopolysaccharide cause monocytic THP-1 cells to release factors which activate platelet function. Thromb Haemost 94:1019–1027
- 116. Bhakdi S, Muhly M, Mannhardt U, Hugo F, Klapettek K, Mueller-Eckhardt C, Roka L (1988) Staphylococcal alpha toxin promotes blood coagulation via attack on human platelets. J Exp Med 168:527–542
- 117. Arvand M, Bhakdi S, Dahlback B, Preissner KT (1990) Staphylococcus aureus alpha-toxin attack on human platelets promotes assembly of the prothrombinase complex. J Biol Chem 265:14377–14381
- 118. Cohen-Solal JFG, Cassard L, Fridman W-H, Sautes-Fridman C (2004) Fc γ receptors. Immunol Lett 92:199
- 119. Henson PM, Spiegelberg HL (1973) Release of serotonin from human platelets induced by aggregated immunoglobulins of different classes and subclasses. J Clin Invest 52:1282–1288
- 120. Palosuo T, Leikola J (1975) Platelet aggregation by isolated and aggregated human IgG. Clin Exp Immunol 20:371–374
- 121. Sullam PM, Hyun WC, Szollosi J, Dong J, Foss WM, Lopez JA (1998) Physical proximity and functional interplay of the glycoprotein Ib-IX-V complex and the Fc receptor $Fc\gamma RIIA$ on the platelet plasma membrane. J Biol Chem 273:5331–5336
- 122. Shido K, Ahmad G, Hsu L, Kamiyama M (1995) Characterization of human platelet IgG Fc receptor associated with membrane glycoprotein. J Clin Lab Immunol 46:1–11
- 123. Beynon RP, Bahl VK, Prendergast BD (2006) Infective endocarditis. Br Med J 333:334–339
- 124. Claessens YE, Dhainaut JF (2007) Diagnosis and treatment of severe sepsis. Crit Care 11(Suppl 5):S2
- 125. Yaguchi A, Lobo FLM, Vincent JL, Pradier O (2004) Platelet function in sepsis. J Thromb Haemost 2:2096–2102
- 126. Alt E, Amann-Vesti B, Madl C, Funk G, Koppensteiner R (2004) Platelet aggregation and blood rheology in severe sepsis/septic

shock: relation to the sepsis-related organ failure assessment (SOFA) score. Clin Hemorheol Microcirc 30:107–115

- 127. Sharma B, Sharma M, Majumder M, Steier W, Sangal A, Kalawar M (2007) Thrombocytopenia in septic shock patients—a prospective observational study of incidence, risk factors and correlation with clinical outcome. Anaesth Intensive Care 35:874–880
- 128. Amirlak I, Amirlak B (2006) Haemolytic uraemic syndrome: an overview. Nephrology (Carlton) 11:213–218
- 129. Coppinger JA, Cagney G, Toomey S, Kislinger T, Belton O, McRedmond JP, Cahill DJ, Emili A, Fitzgerald DJ, Maguire PB (2004) Characterization of the proteins released from activated platelets leads to localization of novel platelet proteins in human atherosclerotic lesions. Blood 103:2096–2104
- 130. McRedmond JP, Park SD, Reilly DF, Coppinger JA, Maguire PB, Shields DC, Fitzgerald DJ (2004) Integration of proteomics and genomics in platelets: a profile of platelet proteins and platelet-specific genes. Mol Cell Proteomics 3:133–144
- 131. Gawaz M, Stellos K, Langer HF (2008) Platelets modulate atherogenesis and progression of atherosclerotic plaques via interaction with progenitor and dendritic cells. J Thromb Haemost 6:235–242
- 132. Koyama H, Nishizawa Y (2005) Platelet in progression of atherosclerosis: a potential target in diabetic patients. Curr Diabetes Rev 1:159–165
- 133. Langer HF, Gawaz M (2008) Platelet–vessel wall interactions in atherosclerotic disease. Thromb Haemost 99:480–486
- 134. May AE, Seizer P, Gawaz M (2008) Platelets: inflammatory firebugs of vascular walls. Arter Thromb Vasc Biol 28:s5–s10
- 135. Kuckleburg CJ, Tiwari R, Czuprynski CJ (2008) Endothelial cell apoptosis induced by bacteria-activated platelets requires caspase-8 and -9 and generation of reactive oxygen species. Thromb Haemost 99:363–372
- 136. Semple JW (2008) Platelets play a direct role in sepsis-associated endothelial cell death. Thromb Haemost 99:249