# Review

# Epigenetic landscape required for placental development

# M. Hemberger

The Babraham Institute, Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge CB22 3AT (United Kingdom) Fax: +44 1223 496 022, e-mail: myriam.hemberger@bbsrc.ac.uk

Received 2 March 2007; received after revision 2 May 2007; accepted 23 May 2007 Online First 22 June 2007

Abstract. Formation of extraembryonic tissues, and in particular the placenta, is an absolute necessity to ensure growth and survival of the embryo during intrauterine development in mammals. To date, an intriguing number of genes have been identified that are essential for development of extraembryonic structures. However, the underlying genetic information must be interpreted by a set of epigenetic instructions to both establish and maintain lineage- and cell type-specific expression profiles. Based on accumulating data in particular from studies in the mouse, this article is aimed at highlighting the epigenetic machinery required for differentiation of extraembryonic cell types and formation of the placenta.

An overview of knockout models reveals key stages in extraembryonic development that are particularly sensitive to alterations in the chromatin environment. The article also summarizes the importance of complex epigenetically controlled mechanisms for placental development, such as imprinted gene expression and imprinted X chromosome inactivation. These investigations of the epigenetic regulation of transcriptional states will provide valuable insights into the dynamic chromatin environment that is specific to extraembryonic tissues and determines gene expression patterns required for normal trophoblast differentiation.

Keywords. Extraembryonic tissues, trophoblast, placenta, development, chromatin, epigenetics.

# Introduction

The placenta is the hallmark organ of eutherian mammals that enables development of the embryo within the womb of the mother. From its earliest stages onwards until the end of gestation, mammalian fetal development depends on the proper function of extraembryonic tissues, notably the placenta. Cells belonging to the extraembryonic (trophoblast) lineage are required to confer attachment of the blastocyst to the uterus. Subsequently, specialized trophoblast cell types gain access to the maternal blood supply and establish an intricate feto-maternal circulatory interface within the placenta that ensures adequate nutrition of the growing embryo. At the same time, the placenta is also essential to dispose of waste products from the embryo into the maternal circulation [1, 2].

#### Overview of murine extraembryonic development

Extraembryonic development begins at the blastocyst stage with formation of the outer trophectoderm layer (Fig. 1). In the mouse, trophectodermal cells that line the blastocoel cavity (mural trophectoderm) differentiate after implantation into enormously large, polyploid trophoblast giant cells. The angiogenic, vasodilatory and anti-coagulative properties of these primary trophoblast giant cells [3] result in the conceptus soon being surrounded by lacunae of maternal blood. From these blood pools, nutrients and oxygen can pass directly through the yolk sac to the embryo. In contrast to their mural counterparts, trophectodermal cells that overlie the inner cell mass (ICM) continue to proliferate after implantation and give rise to structures such as the chorionic ectoderm,



Figure 1. Overview of the main developmental stages of extraembryonic development. The trophoblast cell lineage (red) is established as the trophectoderm layer of the blastocyst. In the late blastocyst, the inner cell mass differentiates into the primitive ectoderm (blue), which gives rise to the embryo proper, and the primitive endoderm (black), which contributes to the yolk sac. After implantation, the main trophoblast subtypes consist of trophoblast giant cells that surround the implantation site (primary giant cells shown in dark red, secondary giant cells in lighter red), the chorionic ectoderm, and ectoplacental cone. The yolk sac membranes consist of the parietal yolk sac (trophoblast giant cells and parietal endoderm) and the visceral yolk sac (visceral endoderm and extraembryonic mesoderm). Extraembryonic mesoderm (yellow) also provides one cell layer of the amnion and the chorion, as well as the allantois. Chorioallantoic fusion is essential for formation of the mature placenta at mid-gestation. The allantoic mesoderm forms the endothelial cell lining of fetal blood vessels in the labyrinth zone. Distinct regions of the placenta include the labyrinth, the spongiotrophoblast and a discontinuous layer of trophoblast giant cells. Examples of epigenetic modifiers and epigenetically regulated genes that are important for extraembryonic, and consequently embryonic, development are given on a developmental time scale. Genes listed in black cause embryonic lethality when mutated; genes given in grey function in growth and proliferation control of the placenta.

the ectoplacental cone and secondary trophoblast giant cells  $[4-6]$ . It is these 'polar' trophectoderm cells that harbour a population of trophoblast stem cells. Trophoblast stem cells can be maintained in vitro where they retain the same differentiative potential as their progenitors in the blastocyst [7]. The physical connection of the fetus to the maternal vasculature is established by secondary trophoblast giant cells that differentiate at the margins of the ectoplacental cone. From here, they invade into the uterus and target maternal spiral arteries where they displace the endothelial cell lining and create entirely trophoblast-lined blood spaces. The sinusoidal canals formed by this process transport maternal blood towards the implantation site and into the future placenta.

After determination of the trophectoderm/trophoblast lineage, another important differentiation step takes place in the blastocyst: the primitive ectoderm, which gives rise to the embryo proper, separates from

the primitive endoderm (Fig. 1). Like the trophectoderm, the primitive endoderm contributes exclusively to extraembryonic tissues and forms the parietal and visceral endoderm layers of the yolk sac. The importance of extraembryonic tissues for embryonic development is further emphasized by the 'investment' of yet a third cell lineage to the temporary structures that ensure intrauterine growth: at gastrulation, the extraembryonic mesoderm differentiates and forms the allantois and the mesodermal components of the visceral yolk sac, amnion and chorion (Fig. 1).

The mature mouse placenta is formed only at midgestation and is designed as a highly efficient nutrient and gas exchange organ. A crucial step for placental development is the fusion of the chorionic ectoderm layer with the allantois. The latter provides the extraembryonic mesoderm that invaginates into the flat sheet of chorionic trophoblast cells and branches out to form the endothelial cell lining of a highly complex vascular network. These fetal vessels intermingle closely with trophoblast-lined sinusoids that carry maternal blood. This architecture ensures a minimal transfer distance between both circulations. In addition, the transfer capacity is further increased by a countercurrent-like orientation of fetal and maternal blood circulations. Because of its complex, mazelike structure, the region of the placenta where this anatomical structure develops is called the labyrinth. Other clearly distinguishable zones of the mature placenta comprise the spongiotrophoblast, which contains diploid trophoblasts and glycogenstoring cells, and a layer of trophoblast giant cells at the border to the maternal decidua (Fig. 1). The precise role of these other regions is much less clear but includes the production of vast amounts of pregnancy-associated hormones and the attraction of maternal blood flow towards to the implantation site [6, 8, 9].

# Key events in differentiation of extraembryonic tissues: susceptibility to developmental disruptions

Development of extraembryonic tissues is characterized by several key events. Firstly, formation of the blastocyst's trophectoderm layer represents the earliest definitive cell commitment event to occur after fertilization. With this differentiation step, the trophoblast cell lineage is set aside. Pluri- or multipotency is maintained in trophoblast stem cells contained within this trophoblast population, but the differentiative potential of these stem cells is restricted to the various trophoblast subtypes [10]. Secondly, invasive trophoblast giant cells breach the uterine basement membrane and penetrate into the uterine stroma where they erode maternal arteries and adopt pseudoendothelial cell functions. This step is crucial for the conceptus to become connected to the maternal vascular system. Thirdly, as judged by the number of mutants that fail at this stage, chorioallantoic fusion and establishment of a functional labyrinth represent a key step in placental development, a process for which the interplay of a multitude of factors is required that mediate induction events and a continuous cross-talk between the allantoic mesoderm and the chorionic ectoderm [11, 12].

## Highly specialized trophoblast cell types

Trophoblast tissues are also characterized by many unusual features on the cellular level. Most noteworthy is the differentiation of polyploid trophoblast

giant cells from diploid trophoblast precursors. This process requires a cell to exit the mitotic cell cycle and to undergo multiple rounds of DNA replication without intervening karyokinesis (a process called endoreduplication) resulting in the formation of enormously large cells and nuclei with a DNA content of up to 1000 N [13 – 15]. Another characteristic cell type is found in the labyrinth where trophoblast cells that line the maternal blood sinuses fuse to form a syncytiotrophoblast layer.

# Genetic requirements and inheritability of gene expression profiles

Clearly, these complex and highly specialized differentiation processes require the fine-tuned expression of many transcription factors, cell cycle regulators, signalling molecules and surface receptors that have been summarized in several recent review articles [11, 12, 16, 17]. How is expression of such specific cohorts of genes regulated and stably inherited? As in all differentiation processes, expression of a cell typespecific repertoire of genes is epigenetically controlled to confer phenotypic stability to a cell. Thus, the expression profile that is essential to achieve trophoblast lineage separation and subsequent differentiation into various trophoblast subtypes is inextricably linked to the acquisition of epigenetic marks that define the lineage and the specific cell type. This article will focus on the epigenetic requirements that accompany the gene expression profiles necessary for extraembryonic development to proceed normally (Table 1).

### DNA methylation

The symmetrical methylation of cytosine residues in CpG dinucleotides is the best-characterized epigenetic modification. It is commonly associated with transcriptional silencing when it occurs in gene promoter regions. DNA methylation levels are subject to a global remodelling process after fertilization, with phases of active and passive demethylation followed by de novo methylation. The extent of de novo methylation occurs more pronounced in cells of the ICM compared to the trophectoderm of the blastocyst, thereby establishing an inequality between both cell lineages [18]. This epigenetic difference is maintained throughout gestation since earlier investigations have shown that the embryo exhibits higher overall DNA methylation levels than the placenta [19, 20]. Despite the global hypomethylation of the trophoblast lineage, DNA methylation remains vitally Table 1. Extraembryonic phenotypes of mutants for epigenetic modifiers and imprinted genes.





#### Table 1 (Continued)

Note: Only the major functions of gene products are listed; other potential or less prominent activities have been omitted.

important for development of extraembryonic tissues. Demethylation induced by systemic treatment of pregnant females with 5-azacytidine leads to the formation of smaller placentas, a severely reduced labyrinth layer and an excess of trophoblast giant cells in the rat [21]. More specific genetic approaches have shown that lack of the maintenance DNA methyltransferase Dnmt1 causes mid-gestational lethality with defects including embryonic growth retardation, absence of yolk sac vasculature and an abnormal development of the allantois that does not fuse with the chorion [22]. Likewise, compound mutants of the de novo methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are also embryonic lethal, displaying a very similar phenotype to  $Dnmt1^{-/-}$  embryos [23], even though development of the extraembryonic tissues has not been investigated in depth. The most detailed insights into the role of DNA methylation in trophoblast

differentiation comes from the knockout of the nonenzymatic member of de novo DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3L. DNMT3L co-localizes with DNMT3A and DNMT3B and has been shown to cooperate specifically with DNMT3A to establish germ line methylation imprints [24, 25]. Dnmt3L is extremely highly expressed in the chorion, which harbours the multipotent trophoblast stem cell population [24]. Offspring of mothers homozygous for a Dnmt3L mutation die before mid-gestation, and their placentas exhibit multiple defects, most prominently a lack of labyrinth formation [26]. This failure is a likely consequence of loss of Gcm1 expression, a transcription factor essential to determine the invagination sites for allantoic blood vessels into the chorionic trophoblast [27]. In addition, the spongiotrophoblast layer of Dnmt3L-deficient placentas is reduced on the expense of overabundant trophoblast giant cells, and



Figure 2. Main differentiation pathways of trophoblast stem cells into trophoblast giant cells or syncytiotrophoblasts. Epigenetic modifiers that regulate some key differentiation steps and their target genes are illustrated (where known). OCT4 is an embryonic lineagedetermining transcription factor that is downregulated in the trophoblast lineage and transcriptionally silenced by DNA methylation. The precise roles of Ezh2, Suz12 and Rnf2 on trophoblast differentiation are not known; however, mutants exhibit severe defects in chorion formation, suggesting that these genes may play a role in trophoblast stem cell self-renewal and/or chorionic trophoblast formation. EPC, diploid trophoblast of the ectoplacental cone.

this phenotype may be caused by loss of expression of the imprinted genes Ascl2 and Cdkn1c [26, 28]. These differentiation defects are recapitulated in  $Dnmt3L^{-1}$ trophoblast stem cells, demonstrating that DNA methylation exerts a cell autonomous control on trophoblast-specific gene expression and differentiation (Fig. 2). Taken together, these data demonstrate that DNA methylation is indispensable for normal extraembryonic development, with particular importance for chorioallantoic fusion, for the prevention of excessive trophoblast giant cell differentiation and for syncytiotrophoblast formation.

#### Histone modifications

The epigenetic marks set by DNA methylation are complemented by a variety of posttranslational histone tail modifications that can impose either repres-

sive or transcriptionally permissive chromatin structures. Transcriptional repression mediated by histone marks is thought to be more easily reversible than DNA methylation and silences genes 'poised' for activation at later stages, thereby maintaining a cell's pluri- or multipotent state [29, 30]. Covalent histone modifications encompass methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ADP ribosylation and ubiquitination of individual amino acid residues of nucleosomal histones. From studies of these various modifications it is clear that histone marks play an instrumental role in development (Table 1).

#### Methylation of lysine residues

One of the best-studied histone modifications is the methylation of histone H3 at lysine residues 9 and 27 (H3K9 and H3K27). G9A is a histone methyltransferase that has been identified to modify mainly H3K9. Genetic ablation of this gene causes mid-gestational lethality associated with growth retardation and defects in chorioallantoic fusion [31]. Deletion of a related H3K9 methyltransferase, Ehmt1, also leads to embryonic death at day (E) 8.5 –E9.5 of gestation [32]. Since G9A and EHMT1 can heterodimerize and function cooperatively in H3K9 mono- and dimethylation, it can be speculated that  $Ehmt1^{-/-}$  embryos exhibit extraembryonic defects similar to G9a mutants. In contrast to these phenotypes, lack of *Eset* ( $=$ *Setdb1*), an enzyme that catalyzes H3K9 di- to trimethylation in euchromatin, is lethal at periimplantation stages with most severe effects on the ICM [33], indicating that H3K9me3-mediated gene silencing is essential for cell lineage segregation and lineagespecific proliferation. The phenotypes caused by genetic ablation of H3K9 methyltransferases that affect euchromatic regions are remarkably different from those that modify pericentric heterochromatin. Thus, Suv39h1 and Suv39h2 are not essential for embryonic survival, even though double-knockout pups are born at sub-Mendelian ratios [34]. Interestingly, however,  $Suv39h1^{-/-}$  $Suv39h2^{-/-}$  fibroblasts become tetra- and polyploid, suggesting a potential role of pericentric heterochromatin organization in trophoblast giant cell formation.

H3K27 methylation is mediated by multimeric protein aggregates called Polycomb repressive complex (PRC) 2 and 3 that contain the Polycomb group (PcG) family members EED, EZH2 and SUZ12 as well as histone deacetylases. PcG proteins represent a conserved set of chromatin-modifying factors that are thought to maintain cellular transcriptional memory by stably, but reversibly, silencing gene expression. Although only EZH2 possesses intrinsic methyltransferase activity, deletion of other PRC2/3 components causes similar phenotypes with severe effects on development leading to embryonic lethality between E7 and E10 (Table 1). Notably, Ezh2 and Suz12 mutants are characterized by a failure of amnion and chorion formation. Consequently, the amniotic, exocoelomic and ectoplacental cavities are not distinguishable and placental development cannot proceed [35, 36]. Eed mutants have been analyzed most comprehensively for their development of extraembryonic tissues. They exhibit a distinct failure of secondary, invasive trophoblast giant cell differentiation. Instead, the region of diploid *Ascl2*-positive trophoblasts extends to the very tip of the ectoplacental cone [37, 38]. Importantly, the cell autonomous function of EED in trophoblast has been proven by tetraploid aggregation experiments in which wild-type trophoblast cells could rescue the mid-gestational embryonic lethality of Eed mutants [38].

Compared to PRC2/3, the PRC1 repressive complex consists of an even larger number of individual components and can regulate chromatin organization by multiple mechanisms. Most single-gene mutations of individual PRC1 proteins lead to perinatal lethality. Of note, however, is the Ring finger protein RNF2, a PRC1 component that can interact with several other PcG proteins and has a central role in PRC1 complex formation. Rnf2-deficient embryos exhibit similar defects to  $Ezh2$  and  $Suz12$  mutants in failing to develop an amniotic fold and chorion [39]. It is thus feasible that the mid-gestational lethality observed in some compound mutants of PRC1 components, such as Phc1/Phc2 or Rnf110/Bmi1 double knockouts [40, 41], is also caused by failure in amnion, chorion and/or chorioallantoic placenta formation.

In addition to H3K9 and H3K27 methylation, other histone lysine methylation marks are indispensable for embryogenesis as well. This is demonstrated by genetic ablation of several H3K4 methyltransferases or associated factors such as Mll1, Mll2, Menin and Smyd1 [42 – 45] that are embryonic lethal.While placental failure has been ruled out for *Mll2*-deficient embryos [43], the other mutants remain to be investigated for possible defects in extraembryonic tissues. The necessity of a range of different histone lysine marks for extraembryonic development is emphasized by ablation of Nsd1, a SET domain containing protein that catalyzes H3K36 and H4K20 methylation (Table 1).  $Nsd1^{-/-}$  embryos fail to form an allantois, thus chorioallantoic fusion and placental labyrinth development cannot proceed and the embryos die between E9.5 and E10.5 [46].

#### Methylation of arginine residues

Even though histone lysine modifications, particularly of H3, have been most extensively studied, other histone marks certainly contribute to determining transcriptional activity and overall chromatin organization of a given locus. Protein arginine methylation, for example, is also crucial for cell type-specific gene expression and, thus, differentiation. Importantly, cells of the ICM appear to require higher global levels of H3 arginine methylation, and overexpression of Carm1, an arginine methyltransferase catalyzing H3R2, H3R17 and H3R26 methylation, predetermines the fate of a two-cell blastomere towards the ICM [47]. Absence of the arginine methyltransferase *Prmt1* leads to intrauterine death at E6.5 with embryos lacking the amniotic fold and being unable to form the proamniotic and ectoplacental cavities [48]. Further evidence for the importance of arginine methylation for extraembryonic development comes from conceptuses deficient in Blimp1, a zinc finger-containing transcriptional repressor that can associate with PRMT5 to direct methylation of arginine 3 on histones H2A and H4 [49]. Blimp1 mutants suffer from placental defects with impaired vascular branching in the labyrinth layer that leads to embryonic death at E10.5 [50].

#### Acetylation of histones

The acetylation of core histones is generally associated with an open chromatin organization and potential transcriptional activity. Investigations into the role of histone acetylation have been facilitated by the availability of a general histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor, Trichostatin A (TSA). Exposure of trophoblast stem cells to this compound has been shown to promote syncytiotrophoblast formation [51]. This is an intriguing finding because normally, only a very small fraction of trophoblast stem cells undergo syncytiotrophoblast differentiation compared to the dominant giant cell differentiation pathway. Although histone acetylation and deacetylation are mediated by a multitude of factors, direct genetic evidence for their precise role in extraembryonic development is evident only for Hdac1. This histone deacetylase is most abundantly expressed in trophoblast giant cells but its absence does not seem to impair giant cell function. However,  $Hdac1^{-/-}$  embryos die at E9.5-E10.5 because of a failure in allantois formation and, therefore, a lack of chorioallantoic fusion [52].

This collection of mouse knockouts of epigenetic modifiers demonstrates that extraembryonic development depends on a specific chromatin environment. While trophoblast differentiation and placentation are key targets of epigenetic regulation, it is clear that our picture of the epigenetic landscape required for extraembryonic (and embryonic) development is still far from complete. The epigenome, with its dynamics and its ever-growing range of modifications, is a relatively new and fast-moving field that will unravel exciting mechanisms of gene regulation and chromatin organization [53]. Analysis of extraembryonic development in existing mouse mutants as well as generation of new knockouts is thus required to further our understanding of the epigenetic requirements specific to extraembryonic tissues.

### Interdependence of epigenetic modifications

In light of the various modifications described and the phenotypes caused by their absence, it has to be highlighted that many epigenetic modifiers interact with each other and function in large chromatinremodelling complexes. Recent evidence demonstrates that the acquisition of histone modifications and DNA methylation is interdependent. Histone H3K9 methylation, for example, directs DNA methylation to pericentric heterochromatin [54], a process that may be mediated by interaction between DNMT1 and SUV39H1 [55]. Furthermore, DNMT1 physically interacts with the histone methyltransferase G9A, and Dnmt1 knockdown impairs H3K9 methylation on chromatin and ribosomal DNA repeats [55]. DNMT1 can also directly interact with EZH2, thereby mechanistically linking the two key epigenetic repression systems of DNA methylation and PcG-mediated gene silencing [56]. In addition to interacting with histone methyltransferases, DNA methylation is linked to histone acetylation as shown by TSA-induced downregulation of Dnmt1 mRNA and protein [57]. Also, HDACs (including HDAC1) have been found to associate with the EZH2/EED-containing PRC2/3 complex, thus combining repressive histone-modifying activities [58].

In this context of epigenetic interrelationships, it is interesting to consider whether the phenotypic similarity of some knockout models may be caused by a functional interaction between individual epigenetic modifiers (Table 2). This seems obvious for some factors such as the PRC2/3 components EZH2 and SUZ12. Since HDAC1 associates with this complex as well, it can be speculated that some of the phenotypic aspects are shared by these mutants. Likewise, the chorioallantoic fusion defects of Dnmt1 and G9a mutants may well be based on the functional interdependence of DNA and histone methyltransferase activities. Further potential interactions could be suggested by the striking similarities of knockouts for  $Ezh2$ ,  $Suz12$  and the PRC1 component  $Rnf2$ , as well as the histone arginine methyltransfrease Prmt1. At the same time, such a comparison may also reveal important differences between components of the same functional unit. In case of the PRC2/3 complex, for example, the amnion and chorion formation defects of Ezh2 and Suz12 mutants are not shared by Eed-deficient embryos [59], while the defect in secondary trophoblast giant cell differentiation seems to be specific to the Eed mutation. These phenotypic differences are unlikely to be caused merely by different genetic backgrounds, since the severity of the Eed mutant phenotype is retained also on an outbred strain background [37, 38]. It would thus be interesting to examine whether EED has a separate, cell cycle-specific function associated to endoreduplication.

# Global epigenetic requirements for extraembryonic development

Several fundamental aspects on the epigenetic requirements of extraembryonic tissues emerge from the accumulating data. Firstly, on the global level, the trophectoderm/trophoblast lineage seems to be characterized by lower epigenetic modification levels than the embryonic lineage. This appears to be in particular the case for silencing modifications and has been

Defects in formation of					
Amnion	Chorion	Allantois	Chorioallantoic fusion	Labyrinth formation	Trophoblast giant cell differentiaiton
			Dnmt1		
				${\rm Dnmt3L}$	Dnmt3L
			G9a		
			Ehmt $1(?)$		
Ezh <sub>2</sub>	Ezh <sub>2</sub>				
Suz12	Suz12				
					Eed
Rnf2	Rnf2				
		Nsd1			
Prmt1					
				Prdm1	
		Hdac1			
				Ascl <sub>2</sub>	Ascl <sub>2</sub>
				Peg10	Peg10
					Atrx
				Chm	Chm
				G6pdx	

Table 2. Phenotypic comparison of knockout models.

shown for overall DNA methylation levels [18-20] and for the repressive histone marks H3K27me1, H3K27me2, H3K27me3 at the blastocyst stage [60]. While mouse pre-implantation embryos have been analyzed most comprehensively, an epigenetic asymmetry between the embryonic and trophoblast lineage (with higher modification levels in the ICM) has also been described for epigenetic marks in other mammalian species like bovine, sheep and rabbit  $[61-63]$ , and may thus be important for allocation or function of the lineages. It is important to note that despite their globally lower levels in trophoblast cells, the correct marking by these modifications is indispensable for extraembryonic development to occur. A reverse pattern with higher staining intensity in trophoectoderm has been described for H4/H2A serine 1 phosphorylation; however, this modification is also cell cycle regulated, which may interfere with a direct quantitative comparison between the two cell lineages [64].

Secondly, there is evidence for the importance of these modifications at very defined time points of development (Fig. 1): i) for establishment of the first cell lineages at the blastocyst stage (as revealed by Eset mutants and the non-viability of  $Ezh2$  ES cells [35]); ii) for specification and differentiation of extraembryonic mesoderm and trophoblasts as required for amnion, chorion, allantois and secondary trophoblast giant cell formation (Ezh2, Suz12, Eed, Rnf2, Nsd1, Prmt1 and Hdac1 mutants); and iii) for chorioallantoic fusion and development of a functional placental labyrinth (Dnmt1, Dnmt3L, G9a, Prdm1). The developmental stages associated with these processes represent the time points most vulnerable to alterations of the epigenetic landscape. It is thus compelling to correlate the mutant phenotypes of epigenetic modifiers to those of various genetic factors (Table 2, Fig. 2), and to examine whether the transcriptional regulation of these genes is known to be controlled by DNA methylation and/or histone modifications.

## Imprinting control at autosomal loci

Genomic imprinting describes the allele-specific expression of a gene in a parent-of-origin-dependent manner. This epigenetic mechanism arose during mammalian evolution and may thus be associated with the evolution of intrauterine development that requires formation of a placenta [65]. This is reflected by the fact that most of the ~80 imprinted genes that have been identified to date are expressed in the placenta, many of them in the trophoblast component proper. The distinction of parental alleles is achieved by DNA methylation marks that are set in the germ line at very specific loci called differentially methylated regions (DMRs). Close links between maternal DNA methylation marks and imprinted gene expression have been highlighted by the Dnmt3L knockout where loss of imprinted Ascl2 and Cdkn1c expression may directly account for many of the observed trophoblast differentiation defects [26, 28]. The transcription factor Ascl2 (Mash2) is one of the first imprinted genes that has been identified, by targeted deletion, to have a critical function in placental development [66, 67]. Upon maternal inheritance of a deleted allele, embryos die at E10.5 due to trophoblast defects. The fetal labyrinthine vasculature does not develop in mutants, and spongiotrophoblast cells are largely underrepresented or even missing, whereas trophoblast giant cells are overabundant. These defects can be rescued by providing mutant embryos with a wild-type placenta, indicating that Ascl2 has cell-autonomous functions in the trophoblast lineage [68]. Interestingly, deletion of  $Peg10$ , a paternally expressed retrotransposon-related gene, causes an extremely similar phenotype that can also be rescued by wild-type trophoblasts [69]. Investigations of several other imprinted genes have further demonstrated their essential role in placental development and, consequently, their necessity for nutrient supply and growth of the embryo proper [70]. Many of these mutants display growth abnormalities in the placenta and/or embryo, but often survive the gestational period. Structures that are affected by individual gene mutations include the placental labyrinth where a thickened interhemal barrier between fetal and maternal blood circulations can lead to an impaired nutrient transfer capacity ( $Igf2$ , possibly  $Cdkn1c$ ) [71, 72]. Also, differentiation and proliferation of individual cell types can be abnormal as shown by an increased number of labyrinth trophoblasts and spongiotrophoblasts in Cdkn1c and Phlda2 mutants [72, 73]. These data demonstrate the importance of imprinted genes for extraembryonic and, specifically, trophoblast differentiation.

As to the epigenetic regulation of imprinted gene expression, substantial mechanistic insights have been gained over the last years that indicate a distinct role of DNA methylation and histone modifications in the embryo and placenta, respectively. Work on a specific locus of mouse distal chromosome 7 has shown that placenta-specific imprinting involves repressive histone modifications such as H3K9 di- and H3K27 trimethylation as well as non-coding RNA transcripts and the PcG proteins EZH2 and EED, but is largely independent of DNA methylation. This is in contrast to the embryo proper, where abrogation of the DNA methylation mark leads to biallelic gene expression [74-76]. Thus, epigenetic control of imprinted gene expression is remarkably different between the embryonic and extraembyonic compartment, with silencing in the trophoblast lineage sharing many aspects of imprinted X chromosome inactivation [77].

#### Imprinted X inactivation in extraembryonic tissues

An intriguing epigenetic feature of extraembryonic tissues in mice – as well as in marsupials and cattle – is the imprinted inactivation of the paternal X chromosome  $(X^P)$  [78-82]. As a consequence, trophoblast development is extremely susceptible to deviations from normal X inactivation and is impaired in situations of increased and reduced  $X^M/X^P$  chromosome dosage. In addition, mutations of X-linked genes important for placental development will have deleterious effects when inherited from the mother, even if the paternal allele is functional. This is of particular significance because the X chromosome seems to be proportionately enriched for genes expressed in the placenta [83]. X inactivation is achieved by expression of the non-coding RNA Xist from the inactive X chromosome that triggers silencing in *cis* (reviewed in [84]). The first signs of gene silencing on  $X^P$  can be detected from the eight-cell stage onwards in all blastomeres of the morula. At the blastocyst stage, inactivity of  $X<sup>P</sup>$  is maintained in the trophectoderm lineage and in the primitive endoderm, while the  $X<sup>P</sup>$  becomes reactivated in the ICM where random X inactivation ensues [85, 86]. Similar to the findings of imprinting control at autosomal loci, imprinted  $X<sup>P</sup>$  inactivation is largely independent of DNA methylation [87]. Instead, maintenance of  $X^P$  silencing is ensured by recruitment of EED/EZH2 PcG complexes and repressive H3K27me3 and H3K9me2 histone marks [88]. Further epigenetic modifications that contribute to stable  $X<sup>P</sup>$  inactivation are the global hypoacetylation of histones as facilitated by recruitment of HDACs in the PRC2 complex (see above) [38], and the ubiquitination of histone H2A [89, 90].

In Eed mutants, reactivation of  $X<sup>P</sup>$  is observed in extraembryonic tissues of female conceptuses leading to a much more pronounced phenotype in females than in males [37]. Interestingly, this role of Eed is specific to imprinted X inactivation since random X inactivation in the embryo proper is not affected. EED is specifically required to propagate the silenced state of  $X<sup>P</sup>$  during trophoblast differentiation into giant cells, while undifferentiated trophoblast stem cells retain imprinted  $X<sup>P</sup>$  inactivation even in the absence of Eed [91]. Similar to the Eed mutation, trophoblast giant cell formation is also affected in embryos deficient in the SNF2 member of chromatin remodelling proteins Atrx [92], leading to embryonic lethality at E8.5–E9.5 of gestation. Surprisingly, however, some females that inherit the mutation from the mother can develop to term, indicating that the paternal Atrx allele can be reactivated and thus can partially overcome complete  $X<sup>P</sup>$  inactivation. This finding might share similarities to the observation that the inactive  $X^P$  chromosome can also be reactivated in

monosomic  $X^P$ O and  $X^P$ Y embryos and in androgenetic  $X^P X^P$  embryos even though these conceptuses remain developmentally retarded [93, 94].

Given the imprinted inactivation of  $X<sup>P</sup>$  in extraembryonic tissues, expression of every X-linked gene is under tight epigenetic control. In addition to the direct regulators of X dosage Tsix and Xist [95, 96], placental defects are observed in mutations of individual Xlinked genes such as Esx1, Cited1, Gpc3, Chm, G6pdx and *Nsdhl* [97-103]. Similar to several autosomal imprinted genes, maternal deletions of Esx1, Cited1 and Gpc3 lead to placental growth phenotypes and proliferation defects of specific placental subtypes, i.e. labyrinth trophoblasts, spongiotrophoblasts and glycogen cells. By contrast, genetic ablation of Chm, encoding an escort protein necessary for prenylation of small GTPases, results in much more severe defects, including mid-gestational lethality, lack of labyrinth vascularization and increased trophoblast giant cell numbers [100]. A similar phenotype is caused by deletion of the housekeeping gene G6pdx, illustrating that G6pdx deficiency is deleterious for placental development with trophoblast-induced defects on allantoic mesoderm development [101]. Chm and G6pdx may thus contribute to the phenotype of conceptuses that lack an active  $X^M$  such as  $X^PO$  and  $X^P Y$  monosomic and  $X^P X^P$  androgenetic embryos and mutants for the non-coding RNA Tsix [95]. Interestingly, an opposite induction event to that of *G6pdx* is observed in mutants of the sterol dehydrogenase Nsdhl where signalling defects of the allantoic mesoderm lead to reduced labyrinth trophoblast proliferation [102, 103]. Thus, the Nsdhl mutation only affects males, while random X inactivation in the allantoic mesoderm ensures survival of heterozygous females.

# Epigenetic regulation of autosomal, non-imprinted genes essential for extraembryonic development

The link between global chromatin signatures and transcriptional regulation of individual factors important for extraembryonic differentiation has been established for some autosomal, non-imprinted genes. The transcription factor OCT4, encoded by the  $Pou5f1$  gene, is essential for specification of the ICM lineage and for maintenance of pluripotency in derived ES cells. Transcription of OCT4 is epigenetically regulated in that the promoter region is acetylated at H3 and H4 in ES cells, whereas the gene is silenced by DNA methylation in trophoblast stem cells and in the placenta [104]. This silencing is achieved by a multi-step programme of inactivation where transcriptional repression is followed by G9Amediated H3K9 methylation. Acquisition of these repressive histone modifications induces local heterochromatinization which in turn is required for subsequent *de novo* methylation at the promoter by the DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3A/B [105].

Several insights have also been gained into the epigenetic control of genes that are involved in development of the placental labyrinth. Branching morphogenesis of placental villi is initiated at sites of chorionic expression of the transcription factor Gcm1. This is followed by a differentiation process during which trophoblast cells fuse to form multinucleated syncytiotrophoblasts that line maternal blood sinusoids. Trophoblast cell fusion is mediated by Syncytins whose expression is directly controlled by GCM1 in humans and most likely in mice [106 – 108]. Epigenetic regulation of this system has been discovered on several levels. The Dnmt3L mutation has revealed that Gcm1 expression depends on the presence of DNA methylation imprints [26]. Syncytin expression, by contrast, is repressed by DNA methylation in nontrophoblast cells that do not normally fuse [109]. In trophoblasts proper, fine-tuning of Syncytin expression is achieved, at least in part, by HDACs. HDAC3 can inhibit expression of (human) Syncytin by preventing GCM1-mediated transcriptional activation. Accordingly, HDAC3 is not associated with the Syncytin promoter in actively fusing trophoblasts [110]. This fairly detailed knowledge of epigenetic control of trophoblast fusion is further expanded by findings with trophoblast stem cells and placentas deficient for the hypoxia-inducible factor subunit HIF1 $\beta$  (ARNT). Arnt<sup>-1</sup> trophoblast stem cells exhibit reduced global HDAC levels and differentiate predominantly into syncytiotrophoblasts. This altered differentiation preference can be recapitulated in wildtype trophoblast stem cells by treatment with the HDAC inhibitor TSA [51]. Thus, consistent with the results of Syncytin regulation, these data indicate that trophoblast fusion into syncytiotrophoblasts is favoured when HDAC activity levels are low. ARNT can control the function of HDACs by mediating their translocation into the nucleus [51]. The available data thus suggest that lack of (functional) ARNT in fusogenic trophoblasts prevents HDAC translocation to the nucleus and thereby enables GCM1-mediated Syncytin expression, resulting in cell fusion. ARNT function represents one of the possible mechanisms how hypoxia induces HDAC activity and, consequently, determines trophoblast differentiation. These findings demonstrate that the interplay between genetic and epigenetic factors is not only confined to direct transcriptional regulation, but can encompass their interaction and functional regulation by formation of protein-protein complexes.

Our knowledge of the epigenetic regulation of genes that are involved in the fine-tuned regulation of trophoblast differentiation and invasion is constantly expanding, mainly from studies in the mouse and in human trophoblast cell lines [111 – 114]. Even if many of these genes are not absolutely essential for placental development, these insights contribute to the global picture of epigenetic control of extraembryonic development and the dynamic changes of chromatin organization during specific differentiation processes such as trophoblast stem cell to syncytiotrophoblast and trophoblast giant cell differentiation [113].

### **Conclusions**

From the first steps of development onwards, a complex interplay between genetic and epigenetic factors determines expression profiles that characterize cell lineages and drive differentiation. Detailed knowledge of this cross-talkis critical to understand fundamental processes of development as well as the genetic and epigenetic requirements of pluripotency and reprogramming. Formation of extraembryonic tissues is an indispensable process for embryonic survival that represents an evolutionary acquisition to development in utero. Our knowledge of individual genes essential for extraembryonic development has grown substantially over the last years [11, 12]. The present survey of epigenetic modifiers emphasizes key steps during formation of extraembryonic tissues that appear to be particularly sensitive to alterations of chromatin organization. Linking these steps back to individual genes, it is clear that autosomal and X-linked imprinted genes play a major role in placental development. With accumulating insights into the epigenetic regulation of autosomal genes, valuable insights will also be gained into critical non-imprinted targets of epigenetic regulation. One important candidate that has emerged from these investigations is *Gcm1* whose epigenetic regulation may underlie the labyrinth defect observed in several mutants of chromatin modifiers [17].

The sensitivity of placental development to changes in chromatin structure is reflected by the placental malformations that are frequently associated with conceptuses generated by somatic cell nuclear transfer [115, 116]. An expanding knowledge of the epigenetic requirements for extraembryonic development is also vitally important to fully understand possible effects of subtle epigenetic alterations as they may be imposed by *in vitro* culture in assisted reproductive technology procedures [117, 118]. By studying epigenetic control mechanisms during differentiation of extraembryonic tissues, we will be able to define more precisely the constant interplay between genetic factors that regulate cell type-specific gene expression and the dynamics of the chromatin environment essential for placental development.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank the Medical Research Council UK for support through a Career Development Award.

- 1 Cross, J. C., Werb, Z. and Fisher, S. J. (1994) Implantation and the placenta: key pieces of the development puzzle. Science 266, 1508 – 1518.
- 2 Benirschke, K. (1998) Remarkable placenta. Clin. Anat. 11, 194 – 205.
- 3 Hemberger, M., Nozaki, T., Masutani, M. and Cross, J. C. (2003) Differential expression of angiogenic and vasodilatory factors by invasive trophoblast giant cells depending on depth of invasion. Dev. Dyn. 227, 185 – 191.
- 4 Gardner, R.L., Papaioannou, V. E. and Barton, S. C. (1973) Origin of the ectoplacental cone and secondary giant cells in mouse blastocysts reconstituted from isolated trophoblast and inner cell mass. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. 30, 561 – 572.
- 5 Mossman, H.W. (1987). Vertebrate Fetal Membranes: Comparative Ontogeny and Morphology, Evolution, Phylogentic Significance, Basic Functions, Research Opportunitites. Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, NJ.
- Muntener, M. and Hsu, Y.C. (1977) Development of trophoblast and placenta of the mouse: a reinvestigation with regard to the in vitro culture of mouse trophoblast and placenta. Acta Anat. 98, 241 – 252.
- 7 Tanaka, S., Kunath, T., Hadjantonakis, A.K., Nagy, A. and Rossant, J. (1998) Promotion of trophoblast stem cell proliferation by FGF4. Science 282, 2072 – 2075.
- 8 Kaufman, B. E. and Pearson, R. B. (1985) The origin, properties and fate of trophoblast in the mouse. In: Biology of Trophoblast, pp. 23 – 68, Loke, Y.W. and Whyte, A. (eds.), Elsevier Scientific, Amsterdam.
- 9 Wooding, F.B.P. and Flint, A.P.F. (1994) Placentation. In: Marshall's Physiology of Reproduction, 4th edn., pp. 233 -460, Lamming, G. E. (ed.), Chapman and Hall, New York.
- 10 Rossant, J. (2007) Stem cells and lineage development in the mammalian blastocyst. Reprod. Fertil. Dev. 19, 111 – 118.
- 11 Rossant, J. and Cross, J.C. (2001) Placental development: lessons from mouse mutants. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2, 538 – 548.
- 12 Watson, E.D. and Cross, J.C. (2005) Development of structures and transport functions in the mouse placenta. Physiology (Bethesda) 20, 180 – 193.
- 13 Zybina, E.V. and Zybina, T.G. (1996) Polytene chromosomes in mammalian cells. Int. Rev. Cytol. 165, 53 – 119.
- 14 Varmuza, S., Prideaux, V., Kothary, R. and Rossant, J. (1988) Polytene chromosomes in mouse trophoblast giant cells. Development 102, 127 – 134.
- 15 Zybina, T.G. and Zybina, E.V. (2005) Cell reproduction and genome multiplication in the proliferative and invasive trophoblast cell populations of mammalian placenta. Cell Biol. Int. 29, 1071 – 1083.
- 16 Hemberger, M. and Cross, J. C. (2001) Genes governing placental development. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 12, 162 – 168.
- 17 Cross, J.C., Nakano, H., Natale, D.R., Simmons, D.G. and Watson, E.D. (2006) Branching morphogenesis during development of placental villi. Differentiation 74, 393 – 401.
- 18 Santos, F., Hendrich, B., Reik, W. and Dean, W. (2002) Dynamic reprogramming of DNA methylation in the early mouse embryo. Dev. Biol. 241, 172 – 182.
- 19 Chapman, V., Forrester, L., Sanford, J., Hastie, N. and Rossant, J. (1984) Cell lineage-specific undermethylation of mouse repetitive DNA. Nature 307, 284 – 286.
- 20 Rossant, J., Sanford, J. P., Chapman, V.M. and Andrews, G.K. (1986) Undermethylation of structural gene sequences in extraembryonic lineages of the mouse. Dev. Biol. 117, 567 – 573.
- 21 Vlahovic, M., Bulic-Jakus, F., Juric-Lekic, G., Fucic, A., Maric, S. and Serman, D. (1999) Changes in the placenta and in the rat embryo caused by the demethylating agent 5 azacytidine. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 43, 843 – 846.
- 22 Li, E., Bestor, T.H. and Jaenisch, R. (1992) Targeted mutation of the DNA methyltransferase gene results in embryonic lethality. Cell 69, 915 – 926.
- 23 Okano, M., Bell, D.W., Haber, D.A. and Li, E. (1999) DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are essential for de novo methylation and mammalian development. Cell 99,  $247 - 257.$
- 24 Hata, K., Okano, M., Lei, H. and Li, E. (2002) Dnmt3L cooperates with the Dnmt3 family of de novo DNA methyltransferases to establish maternal imprints in mice. Development 129, 1983 – 1993.
- 25 Kaneda, M., Okano, M., Hata, K., Sado, T., Tsujimoto, N., Li, E. and Sasaki, H. (2004) Essential role for de novo DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3a in paternal and maternal imprinting. Nature 429, 900 – 903.
- 26 Arima, T., Hata, K., Tanaka, S., Kusumi, M., Li, E., Kato, K., Shiota, K., Sasaki, H. and Wake, N. (2006) Loss of the maternal imprint in Dnmt3Lmat-/- mice leads to a differentiation defect in the extraembryonic tissue. Dev. Biol. 297,  $361 - 373.$
- 27 Anson-Cartwright, L., Dawson, K., Holmyard, D., Fisher, S. J., Lazzarini, R.A. and Cross, J. C. (2000) The glial cells missing-1 protein is essential for branching morphogenesis in the chorioallantoic placenta. Nat. Genet. 25, 311 – 314.
- 28 Bourc'his, D., Xu, G.L., Lin, C.S., Bollman, B. and Bestor, T.H. (2001) Dnmt3L and the establishment of maternal genomic imprints. Science 294, 2536 – 2539.
- 29 Boyer, L.A., Plath, K., Zeitlinger, J., Brambrink, T., Medeiros, L.A., Lee, T. I., Levine, S. S., Wernig, M., Tajonar, A., Ray, M.K. et al. (2006) Polycomb complexes repress developmental regulators in murine embryonic stem cells. Nature 441, 349 – 353.
- 30 Lee, T. I., Jenner, R.G., Boyer, L.A., Guenther, M.G., Levine, S.S., Kumar, R.M., Chevalier, B., Johnstone, S.E., Cole, M.F., Isono, K. et al. (2006) Control of developmental regulators by polycomb in human embryonic stem cells. Cell 125, 301 – 313.
- 31 Tachibana, M., Sugimoto, K., Nozaki, M., Ueda, J., Ohta, T., Ohki, M., Fukuda, M., Takeda, N., Niida, H., Kato, H. et al. (2002) G9a histone methyltransferase plays a dominant role in euchromatic histone H3 lysine 9 methylation and is essential for early embryogenesis. Genes Dev. 16, 1779 – 1791.
- 32 Tachibana, M., Ueda, J., Fukuda, M., Takeda, N., Ohta, T., Iwanari, H., Sakihama, T., Kodama, T., Hamakubo, T. and Shinkai, Y. (2005) Histone methyltransferases G9a and GLP form heteromeric complexes and are both crucial for methylation of euchromatin at H3-K9. Genes Dev. 19, 815 – 826.
- 33 Dodge, J. E., Kang, Y.K., Beppu, H., Lei, H. and Li, E. (2004) Histone H3-K9 methyltransferase ESET is essential for early development. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 2478 – 2486.
- 34 Peters, A.H., O'Carroll, D., Scherthan, H., Mechtler, K., Sauer, S., Schofer, C., Weipoltshammer, K., Pagani, M., Lachner, M., Kohlmaier, A. et al. (2001) Loss of the Suv39h histone methyltransferases impairs mammalian heterochromatin and genome stability. Cell 107, 323 – 337.
- 35 OCarroll, D., Erhardt, S., Pagani, M., Barton, S. C., Surani, M.A. and Jenuwein, T. (2001) The polycomb-group gene Ezh2 is required for early mouse development. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21, 4330 – 4336.
- 36 Pasini, D., Bracken, A.P., Jensen, M.R., Lazzerini Denchi, E. and Helin, K. (2004) Suz12 is essential for mouse development and for EZH2 histone methyltransferase activity. EMBO J. 23, 4061 – 4071.
- 37 Wang, J., Mager, J., Chen, Y., Schneider, E., Cross, J. C., Nagy, A. and Magnuson, T. (2001) Imprinted X inactivation maintained by a mouse Polycomb group gene. Nat. Genet. 28, 371 – 375.
- 38 Wang, J., Mager, J., Schnedier, E. and Magnuson, T. (2002) The mouse PcG gene eed is required for Hox gene repression and extraembryonic development. Mamm. Genome 13, 493 – 503.
- 39 Voncken, J.W., Roelen, B.A., Roefs, M., de Vries, S., Verhoeven, E., Marino, S., Deschamps, J. and van Lohuizen, M. (2003) Rnf2 (Ring1b) deficiency causes gastrulation arrest and cell cycle inhibition. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 2468 – 2473.
- 40 Akasaka, T., van Lohuizen, M., van der Lugt, N., Mizutani-Koseki, Y., Kanno, M., Taniguchi, M., Vidal, M., Alkema, M., Berns, A. and Koseki, H. (2001) Mice doubly deficient for the Polycomb Group genes Mel18 and Bmi1 reveal synergy and requirement for maintenance but not initiation of Hox gene expression. Development 128, 1587 – 1597.
- 41 Isono, K., Fujimura, Y., Shinga, J., Yamaki, M., J, O.-Wang, J., Takihara, Y., Murahashi, Y., Takada, Y., Mizutani-Koseki, Y. and Koseki, H. (2005) Mammalian polyhomeotic homologues Phc2 and Phc1 act in synergy to mediate polycomb repression of Hox genes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25, 6694 – 6706.
- 42 Yagi, H., Deguchi, K., Aono, A., Tani, Y., Kishimoto, T. and Komori, T. (1998) Growth disturbance in fetal liver hematopoiesis of Mll-mutant mice. Blood 92, 108 – 117.
- 43 Glaser, S., Schaft, J., Lubitz, S., Vintersten, K., van der Hoeven, F., Tufteland, K.R., Aasland, R., Anastassiadis, K., Ang, S.L. and Stewart, A.F. (2006) Multiple epigenetic maintenance factors implicated by the loss of Mll2 in mouse development. Development 133, 1423 – 1432.
- 44 Biondi, C.A., Gartside, M.G., Waring, P., Loffler, K.A., Stark, M. S., Magnuson, M.A., Kay, G. F. and Hayward, N.K. (2004) Conditional inactivation of the MEN1 gene leads to pancreatic and pituitary tumorigenesis but does not affect normal development of these tissues. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 3125 – 3131.
- 45 Gottlieb, P.D., Pierce, S.A., Sims, R. J., Yamagishi, H., Weihe, E.K., Harriss, J.V., Maika, S.D., Kuziel, W.A., King, H.L., Olson, E.N. et al. (2002) Bop encodes a muscle-restricted protein containing MYND and SET domains and is essential for cardiac differentiation and morphogenesis. Nat. Genet. 31,  $25 - 32.$
- 46 Rayasam, G.V., Wendling, O., Angrand, P.O., Mark, M., Niederreither, K., Song, L., Lerouge, T., Hager, G.L., Chambon, P. and Losson, R. (2003) NSD1 is essential for early post-implantation development and has a catalytically active SET domain. EMBO J. 22, 3153 – 3163.
- 47 Torres-Padilla, M.E., Parfitt, D.E., Kouzarides, T. and Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2007) Histone arginine methylation regulates pluripotency in the early mouse embryo. Nature 445, 214 – 218.
- 48 Pawlak, M.R., Scherer, C.A., Chen, J., Roshon, M. J. and Ruley, H. E. (2000) Arginine N-methyltransferase 1 is required for early postimplantation mouse development, but cells deficient in the enzyme are viable. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20, 4859 – 4869.
- 49 Ancelin, K., Lange, U.C., Hajkova, P., Schneider, R., Bannister, A. J., Kouzarides, T. and Surani, M.A. (2006) Blimp1 associates with Prmt5 and directs histone arginine methylation in mouse germ cells. Nat. Cell Biol. 8, 623 – 630.
- 50 Vincent, S.D., Dunn, N.R., Sciammas, R., Shapiro-Shalef, M., Davis, M.M., Calame, K., Bikoff, E.K. and Robertson, E. J. (2005) The zinc finger transcriptional repressor Blimp1/ Prdm1 is dispensable for early axis formation but is required for specification of primordial germ cells in the mouse. Development 132, 1315 – 1325.
- 51 Maltepe, E., Krampitz, G.W., Okazaki, K.M., Red-Horse, K., Mak, W., Simon, M. C. and Fisher, S. J. (2005) Hypoxiainducible factor-dependent histone deacetylase activity determines stem cell fate in the placenta. Development 132, 3393 – 3403.
- 52 Lagger, G., O'Carroll, D., Rembold, M., Khier, H., Tischler, J., Weitzer, G., Schuettengruber, B., Hauser, C., Brunmeir, R., Jenuwein, T. et al. (2002) Essential function of histone deacetylase 1 in proliferation control and CDK inhibitor repression. EMBO J. 21, 2672 – 2681.
- 53 Kouzarides, T. (2007) Chromatin modifications and their function. Cell 128, 693 – 705.
- 54 Lehnertz, B., Ueda, Y., Derijck, A.A., Braunschweig, U., Perez-Burgos, L., Kubicek, S., Chen, T., Li, E., Jenuwein, T. and Peters, A.H. (2003) Suv39h-mediated histone H3 lysine 9 methylation directs DNA methylation to major satellite repeats at pericentric heterochromatin. Curr. Biol. 13, 1192 – 1200.
- 55 Esteve, P.O., Chin, H.G., Smallwood, A., Feehery, G.R., Gangisetty, O., Karpf, A.R., Carey, M.F. and Pradhan, S. (2006) Direct interaction between DNMT1 and G9a coordinates DNA and histone methylation during replication. Genes Dev. 20, 3089 – 3103.
- 56 Vire, E., Brenner, C., Deplus, R., Blanchon, L., Fraga, M., Didelot, C., Morey, L., Van Eynde, A., Bernard, D., Vanderwinden, J.M. et al. (2006) The Polycomb group protein EZH2 directly controls DNA methylation. Nature 439, 871 – 874.
- 57 Januchowski, R., Dabrowski, M., Ofori, H. and Jagodzinski, P.P. (2007) Trichostatin A down-regulate DNA methyltransferase 1 in Jurkat T cells. Cancer Lett. 246, 313 – 317.
- 58 van der Vlag, J. and Otte, A.P. (1999) Transcriptional repression mediated by the human polycomb-group protein EED involves histone deacetylation. Nat. Genet. 23, 474 – 478.
- 59 Faust, C., Schumacher, A., Holdener, B. and Magnuson, T. (1995) The eed mutation disrupts anterior mesoderm production in mice. Development 121, 273 – 285.
- 60 Erhardt, S., Su, I.H., Schneider, R., Barton, S., Bannister, A. J., Perez-Burgos, L., Jenuwein, T., Kouzarides, T., Tarakhovsky, A. and Surani, M.A. (2003) Consequences of the depletion of zygotic and embryonic enhancer of zeste 2 during preimplantation mouse development. Development 130, 4235 – 4248.
- 61 Beaujean, N., Hartshorne, G., Cavilla, J., Taylor, J., Gardner, J., Wilmut, I., Meehan, R. and Young, L. (2004) Nonconservation of mammalian preimplantation methylation dynamics. Curr. Biol. 14, R266 – 267.
- 62 Manes, C. and Menzel, P. (1981) Demethylation of CpG sites in DNA of early rabbit trophoblast. Nature 293, 589 – 590.
- 63 Santos, F., Zakhartchenko, V., Stojkovic, M., Peters, A., Jenuwein, T., Wolf, E., Reik, W. and Dean, W. (2003) Epigenetic marking correlates with developmental potential in cloned bovine preimplantation embryos. Curr. Biol. 13, 1116 – 1121.
- 64 Sarmento, O. F., Digilio, L.C., Wang, Y., Perlin, J., Herr, J. C., Allis, C.D. and Coonrod, S.A. (2004) Dynamic alterations of specific histone modifications during early murine development. J. Cell Sci. 117, 4449 – 4459.
- 65 Reik, W. and Walter, J. (2001) Genomic imprinting: parental influence on the genome. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2, 21 – 32.
- 66 Guillemot, F., Caspary, T., Tilghman, S.M., Copeland, N.G., Gilbert, D.J., Jenkins, N.A., Anderson, D.J., Joyner, A.L., Rossant, J. and Nagy, A. (1995) Genomic imprinting of Mash2, a mouse gene required for trophoblast development. Nat. Genet. 9, 235 – 242.
- 67 Guillemot, F., Nagy, A., Auerbach, A., Rossant, J. and Joyner, A.L. (1994) Essential role of Mash-2 in extraembryonic development. Nature 371, 333 – 336.
- 68 Tanaka, M., Gertsenstein, M., Rossant, J. and Nagy, A. (1997) Mash2 acts cell autonomously in mouse spongiotrophoblast development. Dev. Biol. 190, 55 – 65.
- 69 Ono, R., Nakamura, K., Inoue, K., Naruse, M., Usami, T., Wakisaka-Saito, N., Hino, T., Suzuki-Migishima, R., Ogonuki, N., Miki, H. et al. (2006) Deletion of Peg10, an imprinted gene acquired from a retrotransposon, causes early embryonic lethality. Nat. Genet. 38, 101 – 106.
- 70 Coan, P.M., Burton, G. J. and Ferguson-Smith, A. C. (2005) Imprinted genes in the placenta – a review. Placenta 26 Suppl. A, S10 – 20.
- 71 Sibley, C. P., Coan, P.M., Ferguson-Smith, A. C., Dean, W., Hughes, J., Smith, P., Reik, W., Burton, G. J., Fowden, A.L. and Constancia, M. (2004) Placental-specific insulin-like growth factor 2 (Igf2) regulates the diffusional exchange

characteristics of the mouse placenta. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101, 8204 – 8208.

- 72 Takahashi, K., Kobayashi, T. and Kanayama, N. (2000) p57(Kip2) regulates the proper development of labyrinthine and spongiotrophoblasts. Mol. Hum. Reprod. 6, 1019 – 1025.
- 73 Frank, D., Fortino, W., Clark, L., Musalo, R., Wang, W., Saxena, A., Li, C. M., Reik, W., Ludwig, T. and Tycko, B. (2002) Placental overgrowth in mice lacking the imprinted gene Ipl. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 7490 – 7495.
- 74 Tanaka, M., Puchyr, M., Gertsenstein, M., Harpal, K., Jaenisch, R., Rossant, J. and Nagy, A. (1999) Parental origin-specific expression of Mash2 is established at the time of implantation with its imprinting mechanism highly resistant to genome-wide demethylation. Mech. Dev. 87, 129 – 142.
- 75 Lewis, A., Mitsuya, K., Umlauf, D., Smith, P., Dean, W., Walter, J., Higgins, M., Feil, R. and Reik, W. (2004) Imprinting on distal chromosome 7 in the placenta involves repressive histone methylation independent of DNA methylation. Nat. Genet. 36, 1291 – 1295.
- Umlauf, D., Goto, Y., Cao, R., Cerqueira, F., Wagschal, A., Zhang, Y. and Feil, R. (2004) Imprinting along the Kcnq1 domain on mouse chromosome 7 involves repressive histone methylation and recruitment of Polycomb group complexes. Nat. Genet. 36, 1296 – 1300.
- 77 Reik, W. and Lewis, A. (2005) Co-evolution of X-chromosome inactivation and imprinting in mammals. Nat. Rev. Genet. 6, 403 – 410.
- Takagi, N. and Sasaki, M. (1975) Preferential inactivation of the paternally derived X chromosome in the extraembryonic membranes of the mouse. Nature 256, 640 – 642.
- 79 West, J.D., Frels, W. I., Chapman, V.M. and Papaioannou, V. E. (1977) Preferential expression of the maternally derived X chromosome in the mouse yolk sac. Cell 12, 873 – 882.
- 80 Xue, F., Tian, X.C., Du, F., Kubota, C., Taneja, M., Dinnyes, A., Dai, Y., Levine, H., Pereira, L.V. and Yang, X. (2002) Aberrant patterns of X chromosome inactivation in bovine clones. Nat. Genet. 31, 216 – 220.
- 81 Cooper, D.W., VandeBerg, J.L., Sharman, G. B. and Poole, W. E. (1971) Phosphoglycerate kinase polymorphism in kangaroos provides further evidence for paternal X inactivation. Nat. New Biol. 230, 155 – 157.
- 82 Vandeberg, J.L. (1983) Developmental aspects of X chromosome inactivation in eutherian and metatherian mammals. J. Exp. Zool. 228, 271 – 286.
- 83 Hemberger, M. (2002) The role of the X chromosome in mammalian extra embryonic development. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 99, 210 – 217.
- 84 Heard, E. and Disteche, C.M. (2006) Dosage compensation in mammals: fine-tuning the expression of the X chromosome. Genes Dev. 20, 1848 – 1867.
- 85 Mak, W., Nesterova, T.B., de Napoles, M., Appanah, R., Yamanaka, S., Otte, A.P. and Brockdorff, N. (2004) Reactivation of the paternal X chromosome in early mouse embryos. Science 303, 666 – 669.
- 86 Okamoto, I., Otte, A.P., Allis, C.D., Reinberg, D. and Heard, E. (2004) Epigenetic dynamics of imprinted X inactivation during early mouse development. Science 303, 644 – 649.
- 87 Sado, T., Fenner, M.H., Tan, S. S., Tam, P., Shioda, T. and Li, E. (2000) X inactivation in the mouse embryo deficient for Dnmt1: distinct effect of hypomethylation on imprinted and random X inactivation. Dev. Biol. 225, 294 – 303.
- Silva, J., Mak, W., Zvetkova, I., Appanah, R., Nesterova, T. B., Webster, Z., Peters, A.H., Jenuwein, T., Otte, A.P. and Brockdorff, N. (2003) Establishment of histone h3 methylation on the inactive X chromosome requires transient recruitment of Eed-Enx1 polycomb group complexes. Dev. Cell 4, 481 – 495.
- 89 de Napoles, M., Mermoud, J.E., Wakao, R., Tang, Y.A., Endoh, M., Appanah, R., Nesterova, T. B., Silva, J., Otte, A.P., Vidal, M. et al. (2004) Polycomb group proteins Ring1A/B link ubiquitylation of histone H2A to heritable gene silencing and X inactivation. Dev. Cell 7, 663 – 676.

- 90 Fang, J., Chen, T., Chadwick, B., Li, E. and Zhang, Y. (2004) Ring1b-mediated H2Aubiquitination associates with inactive X chromosomes and is involved in initiation of X inactivation. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 52812 – 52815.
- 91 Kalantry, S., Mills, K. C., Yee, D., Otte, A.P., Panning, B. and Magnuson, T. (2006) The Polycomb group protein Eed protects the inactive X-chromosome from differentiationinduced reactivation. Nat. Cell Biol. 8, 195 – 202.
- 92 Garrick, D., Sharpe, J.A., Arkell, R., Dobbie, L., Smith, A. J., Wood, W.G., Higgs, D.R. and Gibbons, R. J. (2006) Loss of Atrx affects trophoblast development and the pattern of Xinactivation in extraembryonic tissues. PLoS Genet. 2, e58.
- 93 Jamieson, R.V., Tan, S.S. and Tam, P.P. (1998) Retarded postimplantation development of X0 mouse embryos: impact of the parental origin of the monosomic X chromosome. Dev. Biol. 201, 13 – 25.
- 94 Okamoto, I., Tan, S. and Takagi, N. (2000) X-chromosome inactivation in XX androgenetic mouse embryos surviving implantation. Development 127, 4137 – 4145.
- 95 Lee, J.T. (2000) Disruption of imprinted X inactivation by parent-of-origin effects at Tsix. Cell 103, 17 – 27.
- Marahrens, Y., Panning, B., Dausman, J., Strauss, W. and Jaenisch, R. (1997) Xist-deficient mice are defective in dosage compensation but not spermatogenesis. Genes Dev. 11, 156 – 166.
- 97 Li, Y. and Behringer, R.R. (1998) Esx1 is an X-chromosomeimprinted regulator of placental development and fetal growth. Nat. Genet. 20, 309 – 311.
- 98 Rodriguez, T.A., Sparrow, D. B., Scott, A.N., Withington, S.L., Preis, J.I., Michalicek, J., Clements, M., Tsang, T.E., Shioda, T., Beddington, R. S. et al. (2004) Cited1 is required in trophoblasts for placental development and for embryo growth and survival. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 228 – 244.
- 99 Chiao, E., Fisher, P., Crisponi, L., Deiana, M., Dragatsis, I., Schlessinger, D., Pilia, G. and Efstratiadis, A. (2002) Overgrowth of a mouse model of the Simpson-Golabi-Behmel syndrome is independent of IGF signaling. Dev. Biol. 243,  $185 - 206$ .
- 100 Shi, W., van den Hurk, J.A., Alamo-Bethencourt, V., Mayer, W., Winkens, H. J., Ropers, H.H., Cremers, F. P. and Fundele, R. (2004) Choroideremia gene product affects trophoblast development and vascularization in mouse extra-embryonic tissues. Dev. Biol. 272, 53 – 65.
- 101 Longo, L., Vanegas, O.C., Patel, M., Rosti, V., Li, H., Waka, J., Merghoub, T., Pandolfi, P.P., Notaro, R., Manova, K. and Luzzatto, L. (2002) Maternally transmitted severe glucose 6 phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency is an embryonic lethal. EMBO J. 21, 4229 – 4239.
- 102 Caldas, H., Cunningham, D., Wang, X., Jiang, F., Humphries, L., Kelley, R. I. and Herman, G. E. (2005) Placental defects are associated with male lethality in bare patches and striated embryos deficient in the NAD(P)H Steroid Dehydrogenaselike (NSDHL) Enzyme Mol. Genet. Metab. 84, 48 – 60.
- 103 Jiang, F. and Herman, G.E. (2006) Analysis of Nsdhl-deficient embryos reveals a role for Hedgehog signaling in early placental development. Hum. Mol. Genet. 15, 3293 – 3305.
- 104 Hattori, N., Nishino, K., Ko, Y.G., Hattori, N., Ohgane, J., Tanaka, S. and Shiota, K. (2004) Epigenetic control of mouse Oct-4 gene expression in embryonic stem cells and trophoblast stem cells. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 17063 – 17069.
- 105 Feldman, N., Gerson, A., Fang, J., Li, E., Zhang, Y., Shinkai, Y., Cedar, H. and Bergman, Y. (2006) G9a-mediated irreversible epigenetic inactivation of Oct-3/4 during early embryogenesis. Nat. Cell Biol. 8, 188 – 194.
- 106 Dupressoir, A., Marceau, G., Vernochet, C., Benit, L., Kanellopoulos, C., Sapin, V. and Heidmann, T. (2005) Syncytin-A and syncytin-B, two fusogenic placenta-specific

murine envelope genes of retroviral origin conserved in Muridae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 725 – 730.

- 107 Mi, S., Lee, X., Li, X., Veldman, G.M., Finnerty, H., Racie, L., LaVallie, E., Tang, X.Y., Edouard, P., Howes, S., Keith, J. C., Jr. et al. (2000) Syncytin is a captive retroviral envelope protein involved in human placental morphogenesis. Nature 403, 785 – 789.
- 108 Yu, C., Shen, K., Lin, M., Chen, P., Lin, C., Chang, G.D. and Chen, H. (2002) GCMa regulates the syncytin-mediated trophoblastic fusion. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 50062 – 50068.
- Matouskova, M., Blazkova, J., Pajer, P., Pavlicek, A. and Hejnar, J. (2006) CpG methylation suppresses transcriptional activity of human syncytin-1 in non-placental tissues. Exp. Cell Res. 312, 1011 – 1020.
- 110 Chuang, H.C., Chang, C.W., Chang, G.D., Yao, T.P. and Chen, H. (2006) Histone deacetylase 3 binds to and regulates theGCMa transcription factor. Nucleic Acids Res. 34, 1459– 1469.
- 111 Dokras, A., Coffin, J., Field, L., Frakes, A., Lee, H., Madan, A., Nelson, T., Ryu, G.Y., Yoon, J.G. and Madan, A. (2006) Epigenetic regulation of maspin expression in the human placenta. Mol. Hum. Reprod. 12, 611 – 617.
- 112 Rahnama, F., Shafiei, F., Gluckman, P.D., Mitchell, M.D. and Lobie, P.E. (2006) Epigenetic regulation of human trophoblastic cell migration and invasion. Endocrinology 147, 5275–5283.
- 113 Tomikawa, J., Fukatsu, K., Tanaka, S. and Shiota, K. (2006) DNA methylation-dependent epigenetic regulation of dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase 2 gene in trophoblast cell lineage. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 12163 – 12169.
- 114 Kimura, A.P., Liebhaber, S.A. and Cooke, N. E. (2004) Epigenetic modifications at the human growth hormone locus predict distinct roles for histone acetylation and methylation in placental gene activation. Mol. Endocrinol. 18, 1018 – 1032.
- 115 Wakayama, T. and Yanagimachi, R. (1999) Cloning of male mice from adult tail-tip cells. Nat. Genet. 22, 127 – 128.
- 116 Tanaka, S., Oda, M., Toyoshima, Y., Wakayama, T., Tanaka, M., Yoshida, N., Hattori, N., Ohgane, J., Yanagimachi, R. and Shiota, K. (2001) Placentomegaly in cloned mouse concepti caused by expansion of the spongiotrophoblast layer. Biol. Reprod. 65, 1813 – 1821.
- 117 Mann, M.R., Lee, S.S., Doherty, A.S., Verona, R.I., Nolen, L.D., Schultz, R.M. and Bartolomei, M. S. (2004) Selective loss of imprinting in the placenta following preimplantation development in culture. Development 131, 3727 – 3735.
- 118 Pool, T. B. (2005) An update on embryo culture for human assisted reproductive technology: media, performance, and safety. Semin. Reprod. Med. 23, 309 – 318.
- 119 Redline, R. W., Chernicky, C.L., Tan, H.Q. and Ilan, J. (1993) Differential expression of insulin-like growth factor-II in specific regions of the late (post day 9.5) murine placenta. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 36, 121 – 129.
- 120 Li, L., Keverne, E. B., Aparicio, S.A., Ishino, F., Barton, S. C. and Surani, M.A. (1999) Regulation of maternal behavior and offspring growth by paternally expressed Peg3. Science 284,  $330 - 333$ .
- 121 Lefebvre, L., Viville, S., Barton, S. C., Ishino, F., Keverne, E. B. and Surani, M.A. (1998) Abnormal maternal behaviour and growth retardation associated with loss of the imprinted gene Mest. Nat. Genet. 20, 163 – 169.
- 122 Charalambous, M., Smith, F.M., Bennett, W.R., Crew, T. E., Mackenzie, F. and Ward, A. (2003) Disruption of the imprinted Grb10 gene leads to disproportionate overgrowth by an Igf2-independent mechanism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 8292 – 8297.
- 123 Wang, Z.Q., Fung, M.R., Barlow, D.P. and Wagner, E. F. (1994) Regulation of embryonic growth and lysosomal targeting by the imprinted Igf2/Mpr gene. Nature 372, 464 – 467.