

On reflection length in reflection groups

By

R. B. HOWLETT and G. I. LEHRER

Abstract. Let W be the Weyl group of a connected reductive group over a finite field. It is a consequence of the Borel-Tits rational conjugacy theorem for maximal split tori that for certain reflection subgroups W_1 of W (including all parabolic subgroups), the elements of minimal reflection length in any coset wW_1 are all conjugate, provided w normalises W_1 . We prove a sharper and more general result of this nature for any finite Coxeter group. Applications include a fusion result for cosets of reflection subgroups and the counting of rational orbits of a given type in reductive Lie algebras over finite fields.

1. Background and statement of results. Let W be a finite Coxeter group acting as a reflection group on the Euclidean space V of dimension ℓ . We refer to [1] for background.

Definition 1.1. The *reflection length* $n(w)$ of an element $w \in W$ is the minimal integer n such that $w = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_n$, where the r_i are reflections in W .

The function $n(w)$ is clearly conjugacy invariant and it is well known (see [3, Lemma 2] or [6], for example) that for any element $w \in W$, we have

$$(1.2) \quad n(w) = \dim(\operatorname{im}(1 - w)).$$

When W is the Weyl group of a connected reductive group G which is defined and split (see [2]) over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q , the function $n(w)$ arises in the study of rationality properties of tori. For background about the following matters the reader is referred to [7] and the references there. Let $F : G \rightarrow G$ be the Frobenius endomorphism associated with the \mathbb{F}_q -structure on G . We refer to an F -stable subvariety of G as *rational* and denote by H^F the set of F -fixed points of any variety H on which F acts. It is well-known that the G^F -conjugacy classes of rational (that is, F -stable) maximal tori of G are parametrised by the conjugacy classes of W . Denote by T_0 a fixed maximal torus of G which is split over \mathbb{F}_q . For any group H defined over \mathbb{F}_q , denote by $r(H)$ its \mathbb{F}_q -rank (the dimension of any of its maximal \mathbb{F}_q -split tori). Thus $r(G) = r(T_0) = \dim(T_0)$. For any element $w \in W$, we say that the rational maximal torus T is *w-twisted* and write $T = T_w$ if $T = gT_0g^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1}F(g) = \dot{w} \in N_G(T_0)$ where $\dot{w}T_0 = w \in W = N_G(T_0)/T_0$. The set $\{w \in W \mid T \text{ is } w\text{-twisted}\}$ is a conjugacy class of W .

If T_w is a w -twisted rational maximal torus, then we have (see [7, (5.5)])

$$(1.3) \quad r(T_w) = \ell - n(w)$$

where $\ell = r(G)$. Now suppose x is a semisimple element of G^F . The connected centraliser $C_G(x)^\circ$ is a reductive group defined over \mathbb{F}_q and the rational conjugacy of the maximally split maximal tori of $C_G(x)^\circ$, proved in [2], has the following simple (but not obvious) consequence for the length function.

Proposition 1.4. *Let W be the Weyl group of a connected reductive group G over \mathbb{F}_q . Suppose $W_1 \cong W$ is the Weyl group of the connected centraliser of a semisimple element of G^F (e.g. W_1 could be any parabolic subgroup of W – see Section 2 below). Let w be an element of the normaliser in W of W_1 . Then any two elements of the coset wW_1 which have minimal reflection length in the coset are conjugate in W .*

We shall explain how Proposition 1.4 follows from the work of Borel and Tits in the next section, but our main purpose in this note is to prove an elementary but more general result concerning reflection groups, of which Proposition 1.4 is a consequence.

Theorem 1.5. *Let W be a finite Coxeter group acting on a Euclidean space V . Let Φ be the corresponding root system, with Π a chosen base of Φ . Let σ be an orthogonal transformation of V such that $\sigma\Pi = \Pi$. Then, for any element $w \in W$, the following conditions are equivalent*

- (1) $\dim(\text{im}(1 - \sigma w))$ is minimal.
- (2) There is an element $x \in W$ such that $\sigma w = x^{-1}\sigma x$.
- (3) σw stabilises some simple system in Φ .

We shall see below (see Section 2 or (4.4)(1)) that Proposition 1.4 follows easily from Theorem 1.5, with W (of Theorem 1.5) replaced by W_1 . Moreover, Theorem 1.5 shows that the elements of minimal reflection length in wW_1 are actually conjugate by an element of W_1 . If W_1 is any reflection subgroup of W , the choice of a simple system Π for W determines a length function for W and it is the case (see Section 4 below) that each coset wW_1 contains a unique shortest element with respect to this length function. If w normalises W_1 , our theorem identifies the conjugacy class of elements of minimal reflection length in wW_1 as that of this shortest element in the coset (see Corollary 4.2 below).

2. Rational tori. In this section we indicate how (1.4) is related to the results of [2]. We maintain the notation of Section 1 and assume that the derived group G' is simply connected. By a theorem of Steinberg [8 §8] this implies that for any element $t \in T_0$, the centraliser $C_W(t)$ is a reflection subgroup of W . It is the case that all parabolic subgroups of W arise in this way, as may be seen from the results in [4], or from the fact that the corresponding stabilisers in the Lie algebra case are all the parabolic subgroups of W .

Let x be a semisimple element of G^F . If T is any rational maximal torus in $C_G(x)^\circ$, then there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $T = gT_0g^{-1}$, and $g^{-1}F(g)$ is a representative in $N_G(T_0)$ of $w \in W = N_G(T_0)/T_0$. Moreover $x \in T$, so that $y = g^{-1}xg \in T_0$. Recall that since T_0 is split over \mathbb{F}_q , the F -action on W is trivial.

Proposition 2.1 (cf. [7, (5.5)]). *Maintaining the notation above, let W_1 be the centraliser in W of y . Then W_1 is a reflection subgroup of W (see the remarks above) and we have*

- (1) The W -orbit of $y \in T_0$ is determined by x , independently of the choice of T or g .
- (2) y is fixed by the endomorphism $w \circ F$ of T_0 (given by $t \mapsto wF(t)w^{-1}$).

- (3) w normalises W_1 .
- (4) $\{v \in W \mid y \in T_0^{v \circ F}\} = wW_1$.

Proof. The first part follows because any two elements of T_0 which are conjugate in G are conjugate by an element of W , while the second part is a simple computation. For the third part, observe that since $F(y) = w^{-1}yw$,

$$w^{-1}W_1w = C_W(w^{-1}yw) = C_W(F(y)) \cong F(C_W(y)) = F(W_1) = W_1.$$

The fourth part follows from the second, since $(v \circ F)(y) = (w \circ F)(y)$ if and only if $w^{-1}v \in C_W(F(y)) = W_1$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *The coset $wW_1 \subseteq W$ of Proposition 2.1 is determined up to conjugacy in W by x .*

This follows immediately from Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.3. *Maintain the above notation. The subset S_1 of W consisting of those elements v such that $C_G(x)^\circ$ contains a v -twisted rational maximal torus of G coincides with the union S_2 of those conjugacy classes which are represented in wW_1 .*

Proof. Suppose $v \in S_1$. Then $x \in hT_0h^{-1}$ for some $h \in G$ with $h^{-1}F(h) \in vT_0$. By Corollary 2.2, v is conjugate to an element of wW_1 ; hence $v \in S_2$. Conversely, let $u \in W_1$. To complete the proof we show that there is a wu -twisted maximal torus which contains x .

Since $C_G(y)^\circ$ has Weyl group W_1 we may choose $\dot{u} \in F(C_G(y)^\circ)$ with $\dot{u}T_0 = u$. Now $F(g)\dot{u}F(g)^{-1} \in F(gC_G(y)^\circ g^{-1}) = F(C_G(x)^\circ) \subseteq C_G(x)^\circ$, and by Lang’s Theorem we may choose $f \in C_G(x)^\circ$ with $f^{-1}F(f) = F(g)\dot{u}F(g)^{-1}$. Writing $k = fg$ and $T_1 = kT_0k^{-1}$, we have $x = fxf^{-1} = kyk^{-1} \in T_1$, so that $T_1 \subseteq C_G(x)^\circ$. Moreover,

$$k^{-1}F(k) = g^{-1}f^{-1}F(f)F(g) = g^{-1}F(g)\dot{u} = w\dot{u},$$

which shows that T_1 is rational and wu -twisted. \square

We are now able to give the

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Given a subgroup W_1 of W as specified in the statement of Proposition 1.4 and an element $w \in N_W(W_1)$, there is an element $y \in T_0$ with centraliser W_1 in W and, provided q is sufficiently large, which we may assume, $y \in T_0^{w \circ F}$. Let $g \in G$ satisfy $g^{-1}F(g) = w$. Then let $x = gyg^{-1}$. By [2, Théorème 4.21], the maximally split tori in $C_G(x)^\circ$ are conjugate in $C_G(x)^\circ$ and hence *a fortiori* in G^F . But, by (1.3) and Proposition 2.3, the G^F -conjugacy classes of these correspond to the conjugacy classes of W which are represented in wW_1 and have minimal reflection length among those classes. Hence there is a unique such class. \square

3. Proof of the main theorem. We shall require the following two elementary results.

Lemma 3.1. *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field, equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) . If θ is any isometry of V , then $\text{im}(1 - \theta) = \ker(1 - \theta)^\perp$, where S^\perp denotes the perpendicular subspace of the subset S of V .*

The proof is easy and left to the reader. The second result is

Proposition 3.2. *Let V be as in Lemma 3.1 and suppose $g (\neq 1)$ is an isometry of V . Assume the characteristic of the ground field is not two. Let a be a non-isotropic vector in $\text{im}(1 - g)$ and let r_a be the involutory reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to a . Then*

$$\dim(\text{im}(1 - r_ag)) = \dim(\text{im}(1 - g)) - 1.$$

Proof. By hypothesis, there is an element $v \in V$ such that $a = (1 - g)v$. Then

$$0 \neq (a, a) = (v - gv, v - gv) = 2(v, v) - 2(v, gv).$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - r_ag)v &= v - r_a(gv) \\ &= v - gv + 2 \frac{(gv, a)}{(a, a)} a \\ &= a + 2 \left(\frac{(gv, v)}{(a, a)} - \frac{(gv, gv)}{(a, a)} \right) a \\ &= a - a \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $gv = r_av$, whence $(1 - r_ag)a = 0$. Now

$$\text{im}(1 - r_ag) = \text{im}((1 - r_a) + r_a(1 - g)) \subseteq r_a \text{im}(1 - g) = \text{im}(1 - g)$$

since $\text{im}(1 - r_a) = \text{span}\{a\} \subseteq \text{im}(1 - g)$. But by Lemma 3.1, $a \notin \text{im}(1 - r_ag)$, since $a \in \ker(1 - r_ag)$ and a is not isotropic. Thus a is a non-zero vector which is in $\text{im}(1 - g)$, but is not in $\text{im}(1 - r_ag)$. It follows that $\dim(\text{im}(1 - r_ag)) < \dim(\text{im}(1 - g))$.

On the other hand $(1 - r_ag) = r_a(r_a - g)$ and since r_a is a reflection, the rank of $(r_a - g)$ differs from that of $(1 - g)$ by at most 1. Hence the result. \square

We are now in a position to give the

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The equivalence of conditions (2) and (3) is clear from the transitivity of the action of W on the set of simple systems. Suppose we know that (1) implies (2). Then it follows that the smallest value of $\dim(\text{im}(1 - \sigma w))$ is $\dim(\text{im}(1 - \sigma))$, whence (2) implies (1). Thus the proof is reduced to showing that (1) implies (2).

Assume $w \in W$ is such that $\dim(\text{im}(1 - \sigma w))$ is minimal. By hypothesis, σ permutes the elements of Π , whence conjugation by σ permutes the generators of W . So σ normalises W .

Let $D = \{v \in V \mid (v, a) \geq 0 \text{ for all } a \in \Pi\}$ and recall that $V = \bigcup_{t \in W} tD$. Write $K = \ker(1 - \sigma w)$. We wish to show that σw is conjugate to σ by an element of W . Now since a real vector space is not the union of a finite number of proper subspaces, there is an element $t \in W$ such that $t^{-1}D \cap K$ spans K . If we write $w_1 = \sigma^{-1}t\sigma w t^{-1} \in W$, then $\sigma w_1 = t(\sigma w)t^{-1}$, so that $K_1 = \ker(1 - \sigma w_1) = tK$. Moreover $D \cap K_1$ spans K_1 . We shall show that $w_1 = 1$.

If $w_1 \neq 1$, there exists $a \in \Pi$ such that $w_1^{-1}a \in \Phi^-$. Write $b = \sigma a \in \Pi$. Then for all $v \in D \cap K_1$, we have

$$0 \leq (b, v) = (b, (\sigma w_1)v) = ((w_1^{-1}\sigma^{-1})b, v) = (w_1^{-1}a, v) \leq 0.$$

Hence $b \in (D \cap K_1)^\perp = K_1^\perp = \text{im}(1 - \sigma w_1)$ (by Lemma 3.1). It follows from Proposition 3.2 that

$$\dim(\text{im}(1 - r_b \sigma w_1)) < \dim(\text{im}(1 - \sigma w_1)),$$

contradicting the minimality of the right hand side. Hence $w_1 = 1$, so that $\sigma w = t^{-1} \sigma t$, which completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

4. Application to reflection subgroups. Suppose that W is a finite Coxeter group on V , that Φ is its root system in V and that Φ^+ and Π are corresponding sets of positive and simple roots in Φ . Let W_1 be any reflection subgroup of W ; the root system Φ_1 of W_1 is a subsystem of Φ and it follows from [5, (3.3) and (3.4)] that $\Phi_1^+ = \Phi^+ \cap \Phi_1$ is a positive system in Φ_1 . Denote by $\Pi_1 \subset \Phi_1^+$ the corresponding simple system in Φ_1 . There is a length function $\ell(w)$ on W which is determined by the simple system Π and Corollary (3.4)(ii) of [5] asserts that

(4.1) *Each coset wW_1 of W_1 in W contains a unique element σ with $\ell(\sigma)$ minimal.*

Our main result Theorem 1.5 may be interpreted in this context as follows.

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that W is a finite Coxeter group on V with root system $\Phi \subset V$. Let W_1 be any reflection subgroup of W and let $w \in N_W(W_1)$. Then $\sigma \in wW_1$ has minimal reflection length in wW_1 if and only if there exists a simple system $\Pi \subset \Phi$ such that σ is the unique element of the coset wW_1 with $\ell(\sigma)$ minimal (cf. (4.1)). Such elements are all conjugate under W_1 .*

Proof. Let $\Pi \subset \Phi$ be a simple system and suppose $\sigma \in wW_1$ with $\ell(\sigma)$ minimal. It follows from [5, (3.4)] that $\sigma\Pi_1 \subset \Phi^+$ and since σ normalises W_1 , $\sigma\Pi_1 \subset \Phi_1$. So $\sigma\Pi_1$ is a simple system in Φ_1 which is contained in $\Phi^+ \cap \Phi_1 = \Phi_1^+$, whence $\sigma\Pi_1 = \Pi_1$. We may now apply Theorem 1.5, with W replaced by W_1 and Π by Π_1 to deduce that $n(\sigma)$ is minimal.

If $\sigma' \in wW_1$ is such that $n(\sigma')$ is minimal, then by Theorem 1.5, σ' is conjugate under W_1 to σ , which proves the remaining assertions. \square

The following fusion result is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.2.

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that W is a finite Coxeter group on V with root system $\Phi \subset V$ and simple system $\Pi \subset \Phi$. Let W_1 be any reflection subgroup of W . If $\sigma \in N_W(W_1)$ is the shortest element in σW_1 , then any W -conjugate of σ which lies in σW_1 , is W_1 -conjugate to σ .*

Concluding Remarks.

- (1) The last sentence of the statement Corollary 4.2 is stronger than Proposition 1.4.
- (2) In Corollary 4.3, σ could equally be assumed to have minimal reflection length in the coset σW_1 . This form of the statement would eliminate specific reference to any simple system Π .
- (3) The statement Corollary 4.3 may be reformulated in terms of commutators. For any subgroup H of W , write $[\sigma, H]$ for the commutator set $\{\sigma^{-1}h^{-1}\sigma h \mid h \in H\}$. Then in the notation of Corollary 4.3, $[\sigma, W] \cap W_1 = [\sigma, W_1]$.
- (4) The first part of the statement Corollary 4.2 is clearly false if the assumption that w normalises W_1 is dropped, as may be seen in the example when $W_1 = \langle r \rangle$ is generated by a simple reflection. If s is another simple reflection which does not commute with r ,

then the element of rsW_1 which has minimal length is rs , which has reflection length 2. But rsr has reflection length 1.

- (5) If W_1 is a reflection subgroup of the finite Coxeter group W as in Corollary 4.2, it is not always true that the elements of minimal reflection length in a coset wW_1 are conjugate even in W , without the assumption that w normalises W_1 . For example if W is a Weyl group of type B_4 , write its elements as monomial matrices with non-zero entries ± 1 and take W_1 to be the reflection subgroup (of type A_4^+) generated by the reflections

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The elements $w = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ are in the same

coset wW_1 ; both have minimal reflection length 2 in the coset, but they have different eigenvalues whence they are not conjugate.

- (6) In [7], formulae were given for the number of rational semi-simple orbits of a given ‘‘type’’ (a type is defined by a conjugacy class in W) in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{G} of G (G as in Section 1) (see, e.g. [7, Theorem (5.6)]). These formulae involve the number of conjugates of σ in the coset wW_1 (notation as in the previous remark). This number is easily seen to be equal to the cardinality of the commutator set $[\sigma, W_1] = \{\sigma^{-1}u^{-1}\sigma u \mid u \in W_1\}$ which in turn is equal to $|W_1/C_{W_1}(\sigma)|$, where $C_{W_1}(\sigma)$ denotes the set of elements of W_1 which commute with σ .

References

[1] N. BOURBAKI, Groupes et algèbres de Lie Ch. IV, V, VI. Paris 1968.
 [2] A. BOREL and J. TITS, Groupes réductifs. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **27**, 55–152 (1965).
 [3] R. W. CARTER, Conjugacy classes in the Weyl groups. Compositio Math. **25**, 1–52 (1972).
 [4] R. W. CARTER, Finite groups of Lie type. Conjugacy classes and complex characters. Pure Applied Math. **12**, 544 (1985).
 [5] M. DYER, Reflection subgroups of Coxeter systems. J. Algebra **135**, 57–73 (1990).
 [6] M. HERZOG and G. I. LEHRER, A note concerning Coxeter groups and permutations. LNM **573**, 53–56. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1977.
 [7] G. I. LEHRER, Rational tori, semisimple orbits and the topology of hyperplane complements. Commentarii Math. Helv. **67**, 226–251 (1992).
 [8] R. STEINBERG, Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1968).

Eingegangen am 27. 10. 1998

Anschriften der Autoren:

R. B. Howlett
 School of Mathematics and Statistics
 University of Sydney
 N.S.W. 2006
 Australia

G. I. Lehrer
 Centre for Mathematics and its Applications
 Australian National University
 Canberra ACT 0200
 Australia