



## On discrete universality of the Riemann zeta-function with respect to uniformly distributed shifts

RENATA MACAITIENĖ

**Abstract.** The Voronin universality theorem asserts that a wide class of analytic functions can be approximated by shifts  $\zeta(s + i\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , of the Riemann zeta-function. In the paper, we obtain a universality theorem on the approximation of analytic functions by discrete shifts  $\zeta(s + ix_k h)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h > 0$ , where  $\{x_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is such that the sequence  $\{ax_k\}$  with every real  $a \neq 0$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1,  $1 \leq x_k \leq k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and, for  $1 \leq k, m \leq N$ ,  $k \neq m$ , the inequality  $|x_k - x_m| \geq y_N^{-1}$  holds with  $y_N > 0$  satisfying  $y_N x_N \ll N$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary 11M06; Secondary 11K06.

**Keywords.** Distribution modulo 1, Riemann zeta-function, Voronin theorem, Universality.

**1. Introduction.** It is well known [1, 4, 5, 7, 11, 16, 17] that the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ , is universal in the Voronin sense, that is, its shifts  $\zeta(s + i\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , approximate a wide class of analytic functions. For precise statements of universality theorems, it is convenient to use the following notation. Denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the class of compact subsets of the strip  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1\}$  with connected complements, and by  $H_0(K)$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , the class of continuous non-vanishing functions on  $K$  which are analytic in the interior of  $K$ . Moreover, let  $\text{meas}A$  and  $\#B$  stand for the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and the cardinality of a set  $B$ . Then the universality property of continuous type for  $\zeta(s)$  is described in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$ . Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0, T] : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f(s)| < \epsilon \right\} > 0.$$

Thus, the set of shifts  $\zeta(s + i\tau)$  approximating a given analytic function  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$  with an accuracy  $\epsilon$  has a positive lower density.

If  $\tau$  takes values from a discrete set, then analogues of Theorem 1.1 are called discrete universality theorems for  $\zeta(s)$ . The simplest of them deals with the set  $\{kh : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $h > 0$  is a fixed number, i.e.,  $\tau$  takes values from an arithmetic progression.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$ . Then, for every  $h > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \# \left\{ 0 \leq k \leq N : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + ikh) - f(s)| < \epsilon \right\} > 0.$$

Theorem 1.1 is an improved version of the original Voronin theorem obtained in [17]. The discrete universality for zeta-functions was proposed by A. Reich. In [15] he obtained a theorem of such a kind for Dedekind zeta-functions. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 with a slightly different form of the set  $K$  were presented in [1]. A proof of Theorem 1.1 can be found [7]. Theorem 1.2 was extended in [3] for the sequence  $\{k^\alpha h : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  with a fixed  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . For this, the uniform distribution modulo 1 of the sequence  $\{k^\alpha : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  was applied. We recall that the sequence  $\{z_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called uniformly distributed modulo 1 if, for every  $I = [a, b) \subset [0, 1)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_I(\{z_k\}) = \text{length}(I),$$

where  $\chi_I$  is the indicator function of the interval  $I$ , and  $\{u\}$  denotes the fractional part of  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The aim of this paper is a generalization of the mentioned theorem from [3]. In what follows, we suppose that  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . We consider the class  $\mathfrak{X}$  of sequences  $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following hypotheses:

1.  $\{ax_k\}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1 for all real  $a \neq 0$ ;
2.  $1 \leq x_k \leq k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
3. for  $1 \leq k, m \leq N$ ,  $k \neq m$ , the inequality

$$|x_k - x_m| \geq \frac{1}{y_N}$$

holds with  $y_N > 0$  satisfying  $y_N x_N \ll N$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose that the sequence  $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f(s) \in H_0(K)$ . Then, for every  $h > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq N : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + ix_k h) - f(s)| < \epsilon \right\} > 0.$$

As it was noted above, the functions of the class  $H_0(K)$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , can be approximated by shifts  $\zeta(s + ik^\alpha h)$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $h > 0$  [3]. We observe that the sequence  $\{k^\alpha\}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , is an element of the class  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

Really, the sequence  $\{ak^\alpha\}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1 (Exercise 3.10 in [6]). Moreover, it is not difficult to see that, for  $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$ ,

$$(k + 1)^\alpha - k^\alpha \geq \frac{\alpha}{2N^{1-\alpha}}.$$

Therefore, in this case, we can take  $y_N = \frac{\alpha}{2N^{1-\alpha}}$ . Thus, Theorem 5 of [3] is a particular case of Theorem 1.3.

The properties of the sequence  $\{k^\alpha\}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , were also applied in [9], where a joint discrete universality theorem for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \chi)$  was obtained. Denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of all prime numbers. Assuming that the set

$$\{(h_1 \log p : p \in \mathcal{P}), \dots, (h_r \log p : p \in \mathcal{P})\},$$

$h_1 > 0, \dots, h_r > 0$ , is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers, it was proved [9] that if  $K_j \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f_j(s) \in H_0(K_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq N : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sup_{s \in K_j} |L(s + ik^\alpha h_j, \chi_j) - f_j(s)| < \epsilon \right\} > 0.$$

It is also known [6] that the sequence  $\{ak^{\beta_1} \log^{\beta_2} k\}$  with  $0 < \beta_1 < 1$  and  $\beta_2 > 0$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1 for all real  $a \neq 0$ . In [10], a discrete universality theorem for the periodic Hurwitz zeta-function

$$\zeta(s, \alpha; \mathbf{a}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m + \alpha)^s}, \quad \sigma > 1,$$

where  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_m\}$  is a periodic sequence of complex numbers, and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  is a fixed parameter, on the approximation of analytic functions by shifts  $\zeta(s + ihk^{\beta_1} \log^{\beta_2} k, \alpha; \mathbf{a})$  was proved. Properties of elementary functions show that, for  $2 \leq k \leq N - 1$ ,

$$(k + 1)^{\beta_1} \log^{\beta_2}(k + 1) - k^{\beta_1} \log^{\beta_2} k \geq \frac{c \log^{\beta_2} N}{N^{1-\beta_1}}.$$

with some  $c > 0$ . Therefore,  $\{k^{\beta_1} \log^{\beta_2} k\} \in \mathfrak{X}$  with  $0 < \beta_1 < 1$ ,  $\beta_2 > 0$ .

We note that at the moment many universality theorems for various zeta-functions are known. In our opinion, the above remarks suggest that the results of [9, 10], and of other works can be generalized in accordance with Theorem 1.3. Recently, very interesting results in this direction were obtained by L. Pańkowski [14]. Among other important results, he proved the following joint discrete universality theorem for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions. Assume that  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$  are arbitrary Dirichlet characters,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  non-negative real numbers and,  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  such that

$$b_j \in \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } a_j \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ (-\infty, 0] \cup (1, +\infty) & \text{if } a_j \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

and  $a_j \neq a_k$  or  $b_j \neq b_k$  if  $k \neq j$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in H_0(K)$ . Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 2 \leq k \leq N : \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \max_{s \in K} \left| L(s + i\alpha_j k^{a_j} \log^{b_j} k, \chi) - f_j(s) \right| < \epsilon \right\} > 0.$$

We observe that the author does not require that the characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$  would be pairwise non-equivalent, that is, he proved joint universality theorems for dependent  $L$ -functions.

**2. Probabilistic model.** Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of the space  $X$ . Consider the set

$$\Omega = \prod_p \gamma_p,$$

where  $\gamma_p = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$  for all primes  $p$ . The infinite-dimensional torus  $\Omega$ , with the product topology and operation of pointwise multiplication, is a compact topological Abelian group. Therefore, on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ , the probability Haar measure  $m_H$  can be defined, and we obtain the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ . Denote by  $\omega(p)$  the projection of an element  $\omega \in \Omega$  to the circle  $\gamma_p$ , and define

$$\zeta(s, \omega) = \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\omega(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1}.$$

Then it is proved [7] that the infinite product over primes converges uniformly on compact subsets of the strip  $D$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Therefore, denoting by  $H(D)$  the space of analytic functions on  $D$  endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta, we have that  $\zeta(s, \omega)$  is the  $H(D)$ -valued random element defined on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ . Let  $P_\zeta$  be the distribution of  $\zeta(s, \omega)$ , i.e.,

$$P_\zeta(A) = m_H(\omega \in \Omega : \zeta(s, \omega) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)).$$

The aim of this section is the following limit theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Then, for every  $h > 0$ ,*

$$P_N(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{N} \# \{1 \leq k \leq N : \zeta(s + ix_k h) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

*converges weakly to  $P_\zeta$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

The main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 2.1 is a limit theorem on the torus  $\Omega$ . Define

$$Q_N(A) = \frac{1}{N} \# \{1 \leq k \leq N : (p^{-ix_k h} : p \in \mathcal{P}) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that the sequence  $\{ax_k\}$  with every real  $a \neq 0$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1. Then, for every  $h > 0$ ,  $Q_N$  converges weakly to the Haar measure  $m_H$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* The Weyl criterion is a powerful tool for checking that a sequence is uniformly distributed modulo 1. This criterion says [6] that a sequence  $\{z_k\}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if, for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i z_k m} = 0.$$

To prove Lemma 2.2, we consider the Fourier transform  $g_N(\underline{k})$ ,  $\underline{k} = (k_p : k_p \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \mathcal{P})$ . It is well known that

$$\begin{aligned} g_N(\underline{k}) &= \int_{\Omega} \prod_p \omega^{k_p}(p) dQ_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \prod_p p^{-ix_k k_p h} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \exp \left\{ -ix_k h \sum_p k_p \log p \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where only a finite number of integers  $k_p$  are distinct from zero. Obviously, if  $\underline{k} = \underline{0}$ , then

$$g_N(\underline{k}) = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

It is well known that the logarithms of prime numbers are linearly independent over the field of rational numbers. Therefore, if  $\underline{k} \neq \underline{0}$ , then

$$\sum_p k_p \log p \neq 0.$$

Thus, by hypothesis 1 for the class  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we have that, in the case  $\underline{k} \neq \underline{0}$ , the sequence

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2\pi} x_k h \sum_p k_p \log p \right\}$$

is uniformly distributed modulo 1. Hence, in view of the Weyl criterion,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \exp \left\{ -ix_k h \sum_p k_p \log p \right\} = 0.$$

This, (2.1), and (2.2) show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} g_N(\underline{k}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \underline{k} = \underline{0}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \underline{k} \neq \underline{0}. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Since the right-hand side of (2.3) is the Fourier transform of the Haar measure  $m_H$ , the lemma follows by a continuity theorem for probability measures on compact groups.  $\square$

The next step of the proof of Theorem 2.1 is a limit theorem for absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. Let  $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$  be a fixed number, and, for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$v_n(m) = \exp \left\{ -\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^\theta \right\}.$$

Define

$$\zeta_n(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_n(m)}{m^s}.$$

Then the series for  $\zeta_n(s)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$  [7]. For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D))$ , define

$$P_{N,n}(A) = \frac{1}{N} \# \{1 \leq k \leq N : \zeta_n(s + ix_k h) \in A\},$$

and consider the weak convergence of  $P_{N,n}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

We take the function  $u_n : \Omega \rightarrow H(D)$  defined by the formula

$$u_n(\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_n(m)\omega(m)}{m^s},$$

where  $\omega(p)$  is extended to the set  $\mathbb{N}$  by the formula

$$\omega(m) = \prod_{\substack{p^l | m \\ p^{l+1} \nmid m}} \omega^l(p), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since the series for  $\zeta_n(s)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ , we have that the function  $u_n$  is a continuous one. These remarks together with Lemma 2.2 and standard arguments give the following limit theorem.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that the sequence  $\{ax_k\}$  with every real  $a \neq 0$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1. Then  $P_{N,n}$  converges weakly to the measure  $\widehat{P}_n = m_H u_n^{-1}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . The measure  $\widehat{P}_n$  is defined by  $\widehat{P}_n(A) = m_H(u_n^{-1}A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D))$ .*

The most complicated part of the proof of Theorem 2.1 consists of the arguments which allow to pass from  $\zeta_n(s)$  to  $\zeta(s)$ . For this, other assumptions on the class  $\mathfrak{X}$  will be applied. We start with discrete moments of the Riemann zeta-function. First, we recall the Gallagher lemma which relates discrete and continuous mean squares of continuous functions.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $T_0$  and  $T \geq \delta > 0$  be real numbers, and  $\mathcal{T}$  be a finite set in the interval  $[T_0 + \frac{\delta}{2}, T_0 + T - \frac{\delta}{2}]$ . Define*

$$N_\delta(x) = \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathcal{T} \\ |t-x| < \delta}} 1,$$

and let  $S(x)$  be a complex-valued continuous function on  $[T_0, T + T_0]$  having a continuous derivative on  $(T_0, T + T_0)$ . Then

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} N_\delta^{-1}(t) |S(t)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |S(x)|^2 dx + \left( \int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |S(x)|^2 dx \int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |S'(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The proof of the lemma can be found in [13, Lemma 1.4.].

Denote by  $\rho(g_1, g_2)$  the metric in  $H(D)$  which induces its topology of uniform convergence on compacta, see [7].

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose that  $\{x_k\} \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Then, for every  $h > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \rho(\zeta(s + ix_k h), \zeta_n(s + ix_k h)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By hypothesis 3 of the class  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we find with  $\delta = \frac{1}{y_N}$  that

$$N_\delta(x_k) = \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ |x_k - x_m| < \frac{1}{y_N}}}^N 1 = 1.$$

Therefore, taking into account the well-known estimates, for a fixed  $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ ,

$$\int_1^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = O(T)$$

and

$$\int_1^T |\zeta'(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = O(T),$$

we find using Lemma 2.4 and hypotheses 2 and 3 of the class  $\mathfrak{X}$ , that, for  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N |\zeta(\sigma + ix_k h + it)|^2 &\leq \frac{y_N}{h} \int_{x_1 h}^{x_N h} |\zeta(\sigma + i\tau + it)|^2 d\tau \\ &+ \left( \int_{x_1 h}^{x_N h} |\zeta(\sigma + i\tau + it)|^2 d\tau \int_{x_1 h}^{x_N h} |\zeta'(\sigma + i\tau + it)|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll \frac{y_N}{h} (x_N h + |t|) + x_N h + |t| \ll y_N x_N + y_N |t| \ll N(1 + |t|). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now let  $K$  be an arbitrary compact subset of  $D$ . Then, using estimate (2.4) and applying the contour integration, we find similarly to the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [8] that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + ix_k h) - \zeta_n(s + ix_k h)| = 0.$$

This and the definition of the metric  $\rho$  prove the lemma. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* On a certain probability space  $(\widehat{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , define the random variable  $\eta_N$  by the formula

$$\mu(\eta_N = x_k h) = \frac{1}{N}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N.$$

Let  $X_{N,n}$  be the  $H(D)$ -valued random element given by

$$X_{N,n} = X_{N,n}(s) = \zeta_n(s + i\eta_N),$$

and let  $\widehat{X}_n$  be the  $H(D)$ -valued random element with the distribution  $\widehat{P}_n$ , where  $\widehat{P}_n$  is defined in Lemma 2.3. Then, using the convergence in distribution, we have by Lemma 2.3 that

$$X_{N,n} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} \widehat{X}_n, \tag{2.5}$$

Next we consider the family of probability measures  $\{\widehat{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and prove its tightness. Indeed, using the absolute convergence of the series for  $\zeta_n(s)$ , we find that, for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T |\zeta_n(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_n^2(m)}{m^{2\sigma}} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2\sigma}} \leq C < \infty.$$

This, Lemma 2.4, and the Cauchy inequality yield the bound

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N |\zeta_n(\sigma + ix_k h)| \leq C_1 < \infty$$

for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore, the integral Cauchy formula implies the bound

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \sup_{s \in K_l} |\zeta_n(s + ix_k h)| \leq B_l, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\{K_l : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a sequence of compact subsets of  $D$  such that

$$D = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} K_l,$$

$K_l \subset K_{l+1}$ , and if  $K \subset D$  is a compact, then  $K \subset K_l$  for some  $l$ . The sequence  $\{K_l\}$  occurs in the definition of the metric  $\rho$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary fixed number, and  $M_l = B_l \epsilon^{-1} 2^l$ . Then, in view of (2.6), we have that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left( \sup_{s \in K_l} |X_{N,n}(s)| > M_l \right) \\ &= \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq N : \sup_{s \in K_l} |\zeta_n(s + ix_k h)| > M_l \right\} \\ &\leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N M_l} \sum_{k=1}^N \sup_{s \in K_l} |\zeta_n(s + ix_k h)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^l} \end{aligned}$$

for  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, by (2.5),

$$\mu \left( \sup_{s \in K_l} |\widehat{X}_n(s)| > M_l \right) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^l} \tag{2.7}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now let

$$K = K(\epsilon) = \left\{ g \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K_l} |g(s)| \leq M_l, l \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$



Then the set  $K$  is compact in  $H(D)$ , and, by (2.7),

$$\mu(X_n(s) \in K) \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In other words,  $\widehat{P}_n(K) \geq 1 - \epsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, the tightness of  $\{\widehat{P}_n\}$  is proved.

The tightness of  $\{\widehat{P}_n\}$  implies its relative compactness. Therefore, there exists a sequence  $\{\widehat{P}_{n_l}\} \subset \{\widehat{P}_n\}$  such that  $P_{n_l}$  converges weakly to a certain probability measure  $P$  on  $(H(D), \mathcal{B}(H(D)))$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . In other words,

$$\widehat{X}_{n_l} \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P. \tag{2.8}$$

Define one more  $H(D)$ -valued random element  $X_N = X_N(s) = \zeta(s + i\eta_N)$ . Then, using Lemma 2.5, we obtain that, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\rho(X_N(s), X_{N,n}(s)) \geq \epsilon) \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N\epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^N \rho(\zeta(s + ix_k h), \zeta_n(s + ix_k h)) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Now an application of [2, Theorem 4.2] and (2.5), (2.8), and (2.9) lead to

$$X_N \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P. \tag{2.10}$$

This means that  $P_N$  converges weakly to  $P$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, (2.10) shows that the limit measure  $P$  is independent on the sequence  $\{P_{n_l}\}$ . Therefore,

$$\widehat{X}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P,$$

i.e.,  $\widehat{P}_n$  converges weakly to  $P$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In [7], it was proved that

$$\frac{1}{T} \text{meas } \{\tau \in [0, T] : \zeta(s + i\tau) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , also converges weakly to the limit measure  $P$  of  $\widehat{P}_n$ , and that  $P = P_\zeta$ . Therefore,  $P_N$  converges weakly to  $P_\zeta$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . □

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We recall the Mergelyan theorem on the approximation of analytic functions by polynomials [12].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $K \subset D$  be a compact set with connected complement, and  $f(s)$  be a continuous function on  $K$  which is analytic in the interior of  $K$ . Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a polynomial  $p(s)$  such that*

$$\sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - p(s)| < \epsilon.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* By Lemma 3.1, there exists a polynomial  $p(s)$  such that

$$\sup_{s \in K} \left| f(s) - e^{p(s)} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \tag{3.1}$$

In [7], it is obtained that the support of the measure  $P_\zeta$  is the set  $\{g \in H(D) : g(s) \neq 0 \text{ or } g(s) \equiv 0\}$ . Let

$$G = \left\{ g \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - e^{p(s)}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

Since  $e^{p(s)} \neq 0$ , we have that  $G$  is an open neighborhood of an element of the support of  $P_\zeta$ . Therefore,  $P_\zeta(G) > 0$ . Hence, in view of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.1 of [2],

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \{1 \leq k \leq N : \zeta(s + ix_k h) \in G\} \geq P_\zeta(G) > 0.$$

This and the definition of the set  $G$  give the inequality

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq N : \sup_{s \in K} |\zeta(s + ix_k h) - e^{p(s)}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\} > 0.$$

Combining this with (3.1) completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### References

- [1] B. BAGCHI, The statistical behaviour and universality properties of the Riemann zeta-function and other allied Dirichlet series, Ph. D. Thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, 1981.
- [2] P. BILLINGSLEY, Convergence of Probability Measures, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [3] A. DUBICKAS AND A. LAURINČIKAS, Distribution modulo 1 and the discrete universality of the Riemann zeta-function, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamb. **86** (2016), 79–87.
- [4] S. M. GONEK, Analytic properties of Zeta and  $L$ -Functions, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Michigan, 1979.
- [5] A. A. KARATSUBA AND S. M. VORONIN, The Riemann Zeta-Function, Translated from the Russian by Neal Koblitz. de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 5, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1992.
- [6] L. KUIPERS AND H. NIEDERREITER, Uniform Distribution of Sequences Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, London, Sydney, 1974.
- [7] A. LAURINČIKAS, Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1996.
- [8] A. LAURINČIKAS AND R. MACAITIENĖ, The discrete universality of the periodic Hurwitz zeta-function, Integral Transf. Spec. Funct. **20** (2009), 673–686.
- [9] A. LAURINČIKAS, R. MACAITIENĖ, AND D. ŠIAUČIŪNAS, Uniform distribution modulo 1 and the joint universality of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, Lith. Math. J. **56** (2016), 529–539.
- [10] A. LAURINČIKAS AND D. MOCHOV, A discrete universality theorem for periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions, Chebyshevski Sb. **17** (2016), 148–159.
- [11] K. MATSUMOTO, A survey on the theory of universality for zeta and  $L$ -functions, Number Theory: Plowing and Starring Through High Wave Forms, Proc. 7th China - Japan Seminar (Fukuoka 2013), Ser. on Number Theory and its Appl. **11**, M. Kaneko et al. (eds.), World Scientific Publishing Co., Japan, 2015, 95–144.

- [12] S. N. MERGELYAN, Uniform approximations to functions of complex variable, *Usp. Mat. Nauk.* **7** (1952), 31–122 (in Russian), *Amer. Math. Soc. Trans.* **101** (1954), 294–391.
- [13] H. L. MONTGOMERY, *Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory*, Lecture Notes Math. 227, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [14] L. PAŃKOWSKI, Joint universality for dependent  $L$ -functions, [arXiv:1604.04396](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.04396).
- [15] A. REICH, Werteverteilung von Zetafunktionen, *Arch. Math.* **34** (1980), 440–451.
- [16] J. STEUDING, *Value-Distribution of  $L$ -Functions*, Lecture Notes Math. 1877, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [17] S. M. VORONIN, Theorem on the 'universality' of the Riemann zeta-function, *Math. USSR Izv.* **9** (1975), 443–453.

RENATA MACAITIENĖ  
Research Institute,  
Šiauliai University,  
P. Višinskio str. 25,  
Šiauliai, LT 76351,  
Lithuania  
e-mail: [renata.macaitiene@su.lt](mailto:renata.macaitiene@su.lt)

Received: 14 July 2016