

On the polynomial Hardy–Littlewood inequality

G. ARAÚJO, P. JIMÉNEZ-RODRIGUEZ, G. A. MUÑOZ-FERNÁNDEZ,
D. NÚÑEZ-ALARCÓN, D. PELLEGRINO, J. B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA
AND D. M. SERRANO-RODRÍGUEZ

Abstract. We investigate the behavior of the constants of the polynomial Hardy–Littlewood inequality.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H60, 47A63, 46G25.

Keywords. Hardy–Littlewood inequality, Bohnenblust–Hille inequality, Absolutely summing operators.

1. Introduction. Let \mathbb{K} be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} and given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, define $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. Also, \mathbf{x}^α stands for the monomial $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$. The polynomial Bohnenblust–Hille inequality asserts that, given $m, n \geq 1$, if P is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m on ℓ_∞^n given by

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha,$$

then

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} \right)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{pol}} \|P\|$$

for some positive constant $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{pol}}$ which does not depend on n (the exponent $\frac{2m}{m+1}$ is optimal), where $\|P\| := \sup_{z \in B_{\ell_\infty^n}} |P(z)|$. Precise estimates of the growth of the constants $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{pol}}$ are crucial for different applications. The following diagram shows the evolution of the estimates of $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{pol}}$ for complex scalars.

G. Araújo, D. Pellegrino and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda was supported by CNPq Grant 401735/2013-3 (PVE-Linha 2). G.A. Muñoz-Fernández was supported by MTM2012-34341. D. Nunez and D. Serrano were supported by CNPq Grant 461797/2014-3.

Authors	Year	Estimate
Bohnenblust and Hille	1931, [6] (<i>Ann. Math.</i>)	$B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{pol}} \leq m^{\frac{m+1}{2m}} (\sqrt{2})^{m-1}$
Defant, Frerick, Ortega-Cerdá, Ounaïes, and Seip	2011, [9] (<i>Ann. Math.</i>)	$B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{pol}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{m-1}\right)^{m-1} \sqrt{m} (\sqrt{2})^{m-1}$
Bayart, Pellegrino, and Seoane-Sepúlveda	2014, [5] (<i>Adv. Math.</i>)	$B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{pol}} \leq C(\varepsilon) (1 + \varepsilon)^m$

In the table above, $C(\varepsilon) (1 + \varepsilon)^m$ means that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{pol}} \leq C(\varepsilon) (1 + \varepsilon)^m$ for all m .

For real scalars it is shown in [7, Theorem 2.2] that

$$(1.1)^m \leq B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{pol}} \leq C(\varepsilon) (2 + \varepsilon)^m,$$

and this means that for real scalars the hypercontractivity of $B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{pol}}$ is optimal.

From now on, for any map $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$f(\infty) := \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} f(p).$$

When replacing ℓ_∞^n by ℓ_p^n , the extension of the polynomial Bohnenblust–Hille inequality is called polynomial Hardy–Littlewood inequality and the optimal exponents are $\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}$ for $2m \leq p \leq \infty$. More precisely, given $m, n \geq 1$, if P is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m on ℓ_p^n with $2m \leq p \leq \infty$ given by $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha$, then there is a constant $C_{\mathbb{K},m,p}^{\text{pol}} \geq 1$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{\mathbb{K},m,p}^{\text{pol}} \|P\|,$$

and $C_{\mathbb{K},m,p}^{\text{pol}}$ does not depend on n , where $\|P\| := \sup_{z \in B_{\ell_p^n}} |P(z)|$.

This is a consequence of the multilinear Hardy–Littlewood inequality (see [2, 10]). More precisely, given an integer $m \geq 1$, the multilinear Hardy–Littlewood inequality (see [1, 12, 14]) asserts that for $2m \leq p \leq \infty$ there exists a constant $C_{\mathbb{K},m,p}^{\text{mult}} \geq 1$ such that, for all continuous m -linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \dots \times \ell_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n ,

$$\left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{\mathbb{K},m,p}^{\text{mult}} \|T\|$$

and the exponents $\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}$ are optimal, where $\|T\| := \sup_{z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(m)} \in B_{\ell_p^n}} |T(z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(m)})|$. When $p = \infty$ we recover the classical multilinear Bohnenblust–Hille inequality (see [6]). More precisely, it asserts that there exists a constant $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}}$ such that for all continuous m -linear forms $T : \ell_\infty^n \times \dots \times \ell_\infty^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n ,

$$\left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} \right)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq B_{\mathbb{K}, m}^{\text{mult}} \|T\|.$$

In this paper we look for upper and lower estimates for $C_{\mathbb{K}, m, p}^{\text{pol}}$. The notation of the constants $C_{\mathbb{K}, m, p}^{\text{mult}}$ and $B_{\mathbb{K}, m}^{\text{mult}}$ above will be used in all this paper.

2. First (and probably bad) upper estimates for $C_{\mathbb{K}, m, p}^{\text{pol}}$. Given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, define $\binom{m}{\alpha} := \frac{m!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!}$ for $|\alpha| = m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. A straightforward consequence of the multinomial formula yields the following relationship between the coefficients of a homogeneous polynomial and the polar of the polynomial (this lemma appears in [8] and is essentially *folklore*).

Lemma 2.1. *If P is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m on \mathbb{K}^n given by*

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha$$

and L is the polar of P (i.e., the unique symmetric m -linear form associated to P), then

$$L(e_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_n^{\alpha_n}) = \frac{a_\alpha}{\binom{m}{\alpha}},$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{K}^n and $e_k^{\alpha_k}$ stands for e_k repeated α_k times.

The following result is also essentially known. We present here the details of its proof for the sake of completeness of the paper.

Proposition 2.2. *If P is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m on ℓ_p^n with $p \geq 2m$ given by $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha$, then*

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{\mathbb{K}, m, p}^{\text{pol}} \|P\|$$

with

$$C_{\mathbb{K}, m, p}^{\text{pol}} \leq C_{\mathbb{K}, m, p}^{\text{mult}} \frac{m^m}{(m!)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}}},$$

where $C_{\mathbb{K}, m, p}^{\text{mult}}$ are the constants of the multilinear Hardy–Littlewood inequality.

Proof. From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left(\binom{m}{\alpha} \left| L(e_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_n^{\alpha_n}) \right| \right)^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \binom{m}{\alpha}^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \left| L(e_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_n^{\alpha_n}) \right|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}}. \end{aligned}$$

However, for every choice of α , the term $|L(e_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_n^{\alpha_n})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}}$ is repeated $\binom{m}{\alpha}$ times in the sum $\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |L(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \binom{m}{\alpha}^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} |L(e_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_n^{\alpha_n})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \binom{m}{\alpha}^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \frac{1}{\binom{m}{\alpha}} |L(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \end{aligned}$$

and, since $\binom{m}{\alpha} \leq m!$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \binom{m}{\alpha}^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} |L(e_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_n^{\alpha_n})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \\ & \leq (m!)^{\frac{mp-p+2m}{mp+p-2m}} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |L(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}}. \end{aligned}$$

We finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \\ & \leq \left((m!)^{\frac{mp-p+2m}{mp+p-2m}} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |L(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \\ & = (m!)^{\frac{mp-p+2m}{2mp}} \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |L(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \\ & \leq (m!)^{\frac{mp-p+2m}{2mp}} C_{\mathbb{K}, m, p}^{\text{mult}} \|L\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is well-known that

$$\|L\| \leq \frac{m^m}{m!} \|P\|$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{\mathbb{K}, m, p}^{\text{mult}} (m!)^{\frac{mp-p+2m}{2mp}} \frac{m^m}{m!} \|P\| \\ & = C_{\mathbb{K}, m, p}^{\text{mult}} \frac{m^m}{(m!)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}}} \|P\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.3. Let us define the polarization constants for polynomials on ℓ_p spaces as

$$\mathbb{K}(m, p) := \inf\{M > 0 : \|L\| \leq M\|P\|\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m\ell_p^n)$ and L is the polar of P . Notice that using $\mathbb{K}(m, p)$ instead of $\frac{m^m}{m!}$ we may improve the inequality

$$\|L\| \leq \frac{m^m}{m!} \|P\|$$

used in the proof of Proposition 2.2. For details on polarization constants we refer to the excellent book of Dineen [11, Section 1.3].

3. The real polynomial Hardy–Littlewood inequality: lower bounds for the constants. As mentioned in the Introduction, in [7, Theorem 2.2] it is proved that $C_{\mathbb{R},m,\infty}^{\text{pol}} \geq (1.1)^m$ for all $m \geq 2$. In this section we show that a similar result holds for the constants $C_{\mathbb{R},m,p}^{\text{pol}}$ of the polynomial Hardy–Littlewood inequality.

Theorem 3.1. *For all positive integers $m \geq 2$ and $2m \leq p < \infty$, we have*

$$\left(\sqrt[16]{2}\right)^m \leq 2^{\frac{mp+p-6m+4}{4p} \cdot \frac{m-1}{m}} \leq C_{\mathbb{R},m,p}^{\text{pol}}$$

Proof. Let m be an even integer. Consider the m -homogeneous polynomial $P_m : \ell_p^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$P_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (x_1^2 - x_2^2)(x_3^2 - x_4^2) \cdots (x_{m-1}^2 - x_m^2).$$

Notice that

$$\|P_m\| = P_m\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[m]{m/2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt[m]{m/2}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt[m]{m/2}}, 0\right) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[m]{m/2}}\right)^m.$$

From the Hardy–Littlewood inequality for P_m , we have

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}}\right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{\mathbb{R},m,p}^{\text{pol}} \|P_m\|,$$

i.e.,

$$C_{\mathbb{R},m,p}^{\text{pol}} \geq \frac{\left(2^{\frac{m}{2}}\right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}}}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[m]{m/2}}\right)^m} = 2^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{4p}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{\frac{m}{p}} = 2^{\frac{mp+p-6m}{4p}} m^{\frac{m}{p}} \geq 2^{\frac{mp+p-6m}{4p}}.$$

If m is odd, define

$$Q_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (x_1^2 - x_2^2)(x_3^2 - x_4^2) \cdots (x_{m-2}^2 - x_{m-1}^2) x_m.$$

Then

$$\|Q_m\| \leq \|P_{m-1}\| = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[m-1]{(m-1)/2}}\right)^{m-1}.$$

From the Hardy–Littlewood inequality for Q_m , we have

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{m+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{m+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{\mathbb{R},m,p}^{\text{pol}} \|Q_m\|,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathbb{R},m,p}^{\text{pol}} &\geq \frac{\left(2^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{m+p-2m}{2mp}}}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(m-1)/2}}\right)^{m-1}} = 2^{\frac{(m+p-2m)(m-1)}{4mp}} \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{p}} \\ &= 2^{\frac{mp+p-6m+4}{4p} \cdot \frac{m-1}{m}} (m-1)^{\frac{m-1}{p}} \geq 2^{\frac{mp+p-6m+4}{4p} \cdot \frac{m-1}{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.2. From the estimates of the last proof, note that if m is even and $m \geq 4$, then

$$C_{\mathbb{R},m,p}^{\text{pol}} \geq 2^{\frac{mp+p-6m}{4p}} m^{\frac{m}{p}} \geq 2^{\frac{mp+p-6m}{4p}} \left(2^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{\frac{m}{p}} = \left(\sqrt[4]{2}\right)^{m+1}. \tag{3.1}$$

If m is odd, and $m \geq 5$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathbb{R},m,p}^{\text{pol}} &\geq 2^{\frac{mp+p-6m+4}{4p} \cdot \frac{m-1}{m}} (m-1)^{\frac{m-1}{p}} \geq 2^{\frac{mp+p-6m+4}{4p} \cdot \frac{m-1}{m}} \left(2^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{p}} \\ &= 2^{\frac{pm^2+4m-p-4}{4mp}} \geq \left(\sqrt[4]{2}\right)^{m-\frac{1}{m}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Thus, by (3.1) and (3.2), if $m \geq 4$,

$$C_{\mathbb{R},m,p}^{\text{pol}} \geq \left(\sqrt[4]{2}\right)^{m-\frac{1}{m}}.$$

4. The complex polynomial Hardy–Littlewood inequality: upper estimates.

The following multi-index notation will come in handy for us: for positive integers m, n , we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(m, n) &:= \{\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m); i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}, \\ \mathcal{J}(m, n) &:= \{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{M}(m, n); i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_m\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $k = 1, \dots, m$, $\mathcal{P}_k(m)$ denotes the set of the subsets of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ with cardinality k . For $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\} \in \mathcal{P}_k(m)$, its complement will be $\widehat{S} := \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus S$, and \mathbf{i}_S shall mean $(i_{s_1}, \dots, i_{s_k}) \in \mathcal{M}(k, n)$. For a multi-index $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{M}(m, n)$, we denote by $|\mathbf{i}|$ the cardinality of the set of multi-indexes $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}(m, n)$ such that there is a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ with $i_{\sigma(k)} = j_k$, for every $k = 1, \dots, m$. The equivalence class of \mathbf{i} is denoted by $[\mathbf{i}]$. When we write $c_{[\mathbf{i}]}$ for $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{M}(m, n)$, we mean $c_{\mathbf{j}}$ for $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m, n)$ and \mathbf{j} equivalent to \mathbf{i} .

The following very recent generalization of the famous Blei inequality will be crucial for our estimates (see [5, Remark 2.2]).

Lemma 4.1 (Bayart, Pellegrino, Seoane [5]). *Let m, n be positive integers, $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $1 \leq s \leq q$, satisfying $\frac{m}{\rho} = \frac{k}{s} + \frac{m-k}{q}$. Then for all scalar matrices $(a_i)_{i \in \mathcal{M}(m,n)}$,*

$$\left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}(m,n)} |a_i|^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \leq \prod_{S \in \mathcal{P}_k(m)} \left(\sum_{i_S} \left(\sum_{i_{\hat{S}}} |a_i|^q \right)^{\frac{s}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{m}{k}}}$$

Let us use the following notation: $S_{\ell_p^n}$ denotes the unit sphere on ℓ_p^n if $p < \infty$, and $S_{\ell_\infty^n}$ denotes the n -dimensional torus. More precisely: for $p \in (0, \infty)$

$$S_{\ell_p^n} := \left\{ \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|\mathbf{z}\|_{\ell_p^n} = 1 \right\},$$

and

$$S_{\ell_\infty^n} := \mathbb{T}^n = \{ \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_i| = 1 \}.$$

Let μ^n be the normalized Lebesgue measure on the respective set. The following lemma is a particular instance ($1 \leq p = s \leq 2$ and $q = 2$) of the Khinchin–Steinhaus polynomial inequalities (for polynomials homogeneous or not) and $p \leq q$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $1 \leq s \leq 2$. For every m -homogeneous polynomial $P(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \mathbf{z}^\alpha$ on \mathbb{C}^n with values in \mathbb{C} , we have*

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{s} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |P(\mathbf{z})|^s d\mu^n(\mathbf{z}) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

When $n = 1$ a result due to Weisler (see [15]) asserts that the optimal constant for the general case is $\sqrt{2/s}$. In the n -dimensional case, the best constant for m -homogeneous polynomials is $(\sqrt{2/s})^m$ (see also [4]).

For $m \in [2, \infty]$ let us define $p_0(m)$ as the infimum of the values of $p \in [2m, \infty]$ such that for all $1 \leq s \leq \frac{2p}{p-2}$ there is a $K_{s,p} > 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2p}{p-2}} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2p}} \leq K_{s,p}^m \left(\int_{S_{\ell_p^n}} |P(\mathbf{z})|^s d\mu^n(\mathbf{z}) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \tag{4.1}$$

for all positive integers n and all m -homogeneous polynomials $P : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For the sake of simplicity, $p_0(m)$ will be simply denoted by p_0 . From Lemma 4.2 we know that this definition makes sense, since from this lemma we know that (4.1) is valid for $p = \infty$. We conjecture that $p_0 \leq m^2$.

Now, let us state and prove the main result of this section. The argument of the proof follows the lines of that in [5,9]. We will use the following result due L. Harris (see [11, Exercise 1.68]):

Lemma 4.3 (Harris). *Let X be a complex normed linear space. If P is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m on X and L is the polar of P , then, for*

any nonnegative integers m_1, \dots, m_k with $m_1 + \dots + m_k = m$ and for any $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}$ unit vectors in X ,

$$\left| L(\underbrace{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(1)}}_{m_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{x^{(k)}, \dots, x^{(k)}}_{m_k \text{ times}}) \right| \leq \frac{m_1! \cdots m_k! \cdot m^m}{m_1^{m_1} \cdots m_k^{m_k} \cdot m!} \|P\|.$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let $m \in [2, \infty]$ and $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$. If $p_0(m - k) < p \leq \infty$ (and $p = \infty$ if $p_0(m - k) = \infty$) then, for every m -homogeneous polynomial $P : \ell_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $P(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha \mathbf{z}^\alpha$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq K^{\frac{m-k}{kp+p-2k} \cdot p} \cdot \frac{m^m}{(m-k)^{m-k}} \\ & \cdot \left(\frac{(m-k)!}{m!} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2p}} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{2k(k-1)}{p}} \\ & \cdot (B_{\mathbb{C},k}^{\text{mult}})^{\frac{p-2k}{p}} \|P\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{\mathbb{C},k}^{\text{mult}}$ is the optimal constant of the multilinear Bohnenblust–Hille inequality associated with k -linear forms.

Proof. We can also write

$$P(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{J}(m,n)} c_{\mathbf{i}} z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_m}.$$

Consider

$$\rho = \frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}, \quad s_k = \frac{2kp}{kp+p-2k}, \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{2p}{p-2}.$$

Note that

$$s_k \leq 2 < q \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{m}{\rho} = \frac{mp+p-2m}{2p}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k}{s_k} + \frac{m-k}{q} &= \frac{kp+p-2k}{2p} + \frac{(m-k)(p-2)}{2p} \\ &= \frac{kp+p-2k}{2p} + \frac{mp-kp-2m+2k}{2p} \\ &= \frac{mp+p-2m}{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{m}{\rho} = \frac{k}{s_k} + \frac{m-k}{q}$$

and we can use Lemma 4.1.

Let $L : \ell_p^n \times \dots \times \ell_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the unique symmetric m -linear map associated to P . Note that

$$L(\mathbf{z}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{z}^{(m)}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{M}(m, n)} \frac{C[\mathbf{i}]}{|\mathbf{i}|} z_{i_1}^{(1)} \dots z_{i_m}^{(m)}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} &= \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{J}(m, n)} |c_{\mathbf{i}}|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{M}(m, n)} |\mathbf{i}|^{\frac{-p}{mp+p-2m}} \left(\frac{|C[\mathbf{i}]|}{|\mathbf{i}|^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right)^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{M}(m, n)} \left(\frac{|C[\mathbf{i}]|}{|\mathbf{i}|^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right)^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.1 with $s_k = \frac{2kp}{kp+p-2k}$ and $q = \frac{2p}{p-2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \\ &\leq \left[\prod_{S \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}_S \in \mathcal{M}(k, n)} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}_{\bar{S}} \in \mathcal{M}(m-k, n)} \left(\frac{|C[\mathbf{i}]|}{|\mathbf{i}|^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{s_k}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\binom{m}{k}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|\mathbf{i}| \leq |\mathbf{i}_{\bar{S}}| \frac{m!}{(m-k)!}$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq \left(\frac{m!}{(m-k)!} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \\ &\times \left[\prod_{S \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}_S \in \mathcal{M}(k, n)} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}_{\bar{S}} \in \mathcal{M}(m-k, n)} \frac{|C[\mathbf{i}]|^q}{|\mathbf{i}|^q} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{i}_{\bar{S}}|}{|\mathbf{i}|} \right)^{q-1} \right)^{\frac{s_k}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\binom{m}{k}}} \\ &= \left(\frac{m!}{(m-k)!} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \\ &\times \left[\prod_{S \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}_S \in \mathcal{M}(k, n)} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}_{\bar{S}} \in \mathcal{M}(m-k, n)} \frac{|C[\mathbf{i}]|^q}{|\mathbf{i}|^q} |\mathbf{i}_{\bar{S}}|^{q-1} \right)^{\frac{s_k}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\binom{m}{k}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us fix $S \in \mathcal{P}_k(m)$. There is no loss of generality in supposing $S = \{1, \dots, k\}$. We then fix some $\mathbf{i}_S \in \mathcal{M}(k, n)$ and we introduce the following $(m-k)$ -homogeneous polynomial on ℓ_p^n :

$$P_{\mathbf{i}_S}(\mathbf{z}) = L(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}, \mathbf{z}, \dots, \mathbf{z}).$$

Observe that

$$P_{\mathbf{i}_S}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}_S \in \mathcal{M}(m-k, n)} \frac{c[\mathbf{i}]}{|\mathbf{i}|} z_{\mathbf{i}_S} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}_S \in \mathcal{J}(m-k, n)} \frac{c[\mathbf{i}]}{|\mathbf{i}|} |\mathbf{i}_S| z_{\mathbf{i}_S}$$

and so

$$\|P_{\mathbf{i}_S}(\mathbf{z})\|_q = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}_S \in \mathcal{J}(m-k, n)} \frac{|c[\mathbf{i}]|^q}{|\mathbf{i}|^q} |\mathbf{i}_S|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}_S \in \mathcal{M}(m-k, n)} \frac{|c[\mathbf{i}]|^q}{|\mathbf{i}|^q} |\mathbf{i}_S|^{q-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

By the definition of p_0 , we have

$$\|P_{\mathbf{i}_S}(\mathbf{z})\|_q^{s_k} \leq K_{s_k, p}^{(m-k)s_k} \int_{S_{\ell_p^n}} |L(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}, \mathbf{z}, \dots, \mathbf{z})|^{s_k} d\mu^n(\mathbf{z}).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i}_S} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}_S} \frac{|c[\mathbf{i}]|^q}{|\mathbf{i}|^q} |\mathbf{i}_S|^{q-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q} \times s_k} \leq K_{s_k, p}^{(m-k)s_k} \int_{S_{\ell_p^n}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}_S} |L(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}, \mathbf{z}, \dots, \mathbf{z})|^{s_k} d\mu^n(\mathbf{z}).$$

Now fixing $\mathbf{z} \in S_{\ell_p^n}$ we apply the multilinear Hardy–Littlewood inequality to the k –linear form $(\mathbf{z}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{z}^{(k)}) \mapsto L(\mathbf{z}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{z}^{(k)}, \mathbf{z}, \dots, \mathbf{z})$ and we obtain, from [3, Theorem 1.1] and Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mathbf{i}_S} |L(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}, \mathbf{z}, \dots, \mathbf{z})|^{s_k} \\ & \leq \left(\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{2k(k-1)}{p}} \cdot (B_{\mathbb{C}, k}^{\text{mult}})^{\frac{p-2k}{p}} \cdot \sup_{\mathbf{z}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{z}^{(k)} \in S_{\ell_p^n}} \left| L(\mathbf{z}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{z}^{(k)}, \mathbf{z}, \dots, \mathbf{z}) \right| \right)^{s_k} \\ & \leq \left(\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{2k(k-1)}{p}} \cdot (B_{\mathbb{C}, k}^{\text{mult}})^{\frac{p-2k}{p}} \cdot \frac{(m-k)! \cdot m^m}{(m-k)^{m-k} \cdot m!} \|P\| \right)^{s_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |a_\alpha|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq \left(\frac{m!}{(m-k)!} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \\ & \cdot K_{s_k, p}^{m-k} \cdot \frac{(m-k)! \cdot m^m}{(m-k)^{m-k} \cdot m!} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{2k(k-1)}{p}} \\ & \cdot (B_{\mathbb{C}, k}^{\text{mult}})^{\frac{p-2k}{p}} \|P\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

5. Real versus complex estimates. As it happens with the constants of the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality, we observe that

$$C_{\mathbb{R},m,p}^{\text{pol}} \leq 2^{m-1} C_{\mathbb{C},m,p}^{\text{pol}}. \quad (5.1)$$

In fact, from [13] we know that if $P : \ell_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an m -homogeneous polynomial and $P_{\mathbb{C}} : \ell_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the same polynomial, then

$$\|P_{\mathbb{C}}\| \leq 2^{m-1} \|P\|.$$

We thus obtain (5.1). So if one succeeds in proving that $C_{\mathbb{R},m,p}^{\text{pol}} \leq C^m$ (for all $p \geq 2m$) for a certain $C \geq 1$, as it happens with the constants of the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality, then we immediately conclude that a similar result holds for real scalars (with the constant multiplied by two).

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the referee for important remarks and suggestions.

References

- [1] N. ALBUQUERQUE ET AL., Sharp generalizations of the multilinear Bohnenblust–Hille inequality, *J. Funct. Anal.* **266** (2014), 3726–3740.
- [2] N. ALBUQUERQUE ET AL., Optimal Hardy–Littlewood type inequalities for polynomials and multilinear operators, *Israel J. Math.*, in press.
- [3] G. ARAÚJO, D. PELLEGRINO, AND D. DA SILVA E SILVA, On the upper bounds for the constants of the Hardy–Littlewood, *J. Funct. Anal.* **267** (2014), 1878–1888.
- [4] F. BAYART. Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and their composition operators, *Monatsh. Math.* (3), **136** (2002), 203–236.
- [5] F. BAYART, D. PELLEGRINO, and J.B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA, The Bohr radius of the n -dimensional polydisk is equivalent to $\sqrt{(\log n)/n}$, *Adv. Math.* **264** (2014), 726–746.
- [6] H.F. BOHNENBLUST AND E. HILLE, On the absolute convergence of Dirichlet series, *Ann. of Math.* **32** (1931), 600–622.
- [7] J.R. CAMPOS ET AL., On the real polynomial Bohnenblust–Hille inequality, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **465** (2015), 391–400.
- [8] A. DEFANT ET AL., Unconditional basis and Gordon–Lewis constants for spaces of polynomials, *J. Funct. Anal.* **181** (2001), 119–145.
- [9] A. DEFANT ET AL., The Bohnenblust–Hille inequality for homogeneous polynomials is hypercontractive, *Ann. of Math.* (2), **174** (2011), 485–497.
- [10] V. DIMANT AND P. SEVILLA-PERIS, Summation of coefficients of polynomials on ℓ_p spaces, [arXiv:1309.6063v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.6063v1) [math.FA].
- [11] S. DINEEN, *Complex analysis on infinite-dimensional spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 1999.
- [12] G. HARDY AND J.E. LITTLEWOOD, Bilinear forms bounded in space $[p, q]$, *Quart. J. Math.* **5** (1934), 241–254.

- [13] G.A. MUÑOZ-FERNANDEZ, Y. SARANTOPOULOS, AND A. TONGE, Complexifications of real Banach spaces, polynomials and multilinear maps, *Studia Math.* **134** (1999), 1–33.
- [14] T. PRACIANO-PEREIRA, On bounded multilinear forms on a class of ℓ_p spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **81** (1981), 561–568.
- [15] F.B. WEISSLER, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and hypercontractive estimates on the circle, *J. Funct. Anal.* **37** (1980), 218–234.

G. ARAÚJO AND D. PELLEGRINO

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba,
João Pessoa 58051-900, Brazil
e-mail: gdasaraujo@gmail.com

D. PELLEGRINO

e-mail: pellegrino@pq.cnpq.br; dmpellegrino@gmail.com

P. JIMÉNEZ-RODRIGUEZ

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Mathematics and Computer Sciences Building,
Kent State University,
Kent, OH 44242, USA
e-mail: pjimene1@kent.edu

G. A. MUÑOZ-FERNÁNDEZ AND J. B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas,
Universidad Complutense de Madrid,
Plaza de Ciencias 3, 28040 Madrid, Spain
e-mail: gustavo_fernandez@mat.ucm.es

J. B. SEOANE-SEPÚLVEDA

e-mail: jseoane@mat.ucm.es

D. NÚÑEZ-ALARCÓN AND D. M. SERRANO-RODRÍGUEZ

Departamento de Matemática,
Universidade Federal de Pernambuco,
Recife 50740-560, Brazil
e-mail: danielnunezal@gmail.com

D. M. SERRANO-RODRÍGUEZ

e-mail: dmserrano0@gmail.com

Received: 3 October 2014