Algebra Univers. (2022) 83:23 -c 2022 The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 1420-8911/22/030001-6 *published online* June 27, 2022 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00012-022-00781-6 **Algebra Univ[ersalis](http://crossmark.crossref.org/dialog/?doi=10.1007/s00012-022-00781-6&domain=pdf)**



# **The number fields that are** *O*∗**-fields**

 $\cup$ 

**Abstract.** Using the theory on infinite primes of fields developed by Harrison in [\[2\]](#page-5-0), the necessary and sufficient conditions are proved for real number fields to be *O*∗-fields, and many examples of *O*∗-fields are provided.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 06F25.

**Keywords.** Infinite prime, Real number field, *O*∗-field.

# **1. Introduction**

Let R be an associative ring. If a partial order on R is contained in a total order on R, then we say that the partial order is extended to a total order. A ring is called an O∗-*ring* if each partial order is extended to a total order. This concept was introduced by Fuchs in [\[1](#page-5-1)], and as an open question in [\[1](#page-5-1)], he asked establishing ring-theoretical properties of  $O^*$ -rings. In [\[5\]](#page-5-2), Steinberg provided the ring-theoretical characterization of the  $O<sup>*</sup>$ -rings, and from his characterizations, the question becomes determining the  $O<sup>*</sup>$ -fields [\[5](#page-5-2), Theorem]. An  $O^*$ -field must be a subfield of R, the field of real numbers, and must be algebraic over Q, the field of rational numbers. Steinberg also pointed out that it is sufficient to determine which subfields of  $\mathbb R$  that is a finite extension of  $\mathbb Q$  are  $O^*$ -fields [\[5](#page-5-2), p. 2557]. He proved that each real quadratic extension field of  $\mathbb Q$  is an  $O^*$ -field, and  $\mathbb Q[\sqrt[4]{2}]$  $\mathbb Q[\sqrt[4]{2}]$  $\mathbb Q[\sqrt[4]{2}]$  is not an  $O^*$ -field. In [4], it is shown that a sequence of real quadratic extension of  $\mathbb Q$  is an  $O^*$ -field and  $\mathbb Q[\sqrt[3]{n}]$ , where n is a cubic-free positive integer, is an  $O^*$ -field. No other results on  $O^*$ -fields seem available in the literature.

In the present paper, by using the theory on the infinite primes of the number fields developed by Harrison in [\[2\]](#page-5-0), the connection between the maximal partial orders on a number field  $R$  and the subfields of  $R$  is established, then necessary and sufficient conditions are obtained for a real number field being  $O^*$ . As a consequence, we show that, for instance, for a real number

Presented by W. Wm. McGovern.

field R if  $[R : \mathbb{Q}]$  is an odd integer, then R is  $O^*$ , where  $[R : \mathbb{Q}]$  denotes the dimension of R over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

We review a few definitions from partially ordered rings. Let  $R$  be an associative ring and  $P$  be a subset of  $R$ . Then  $P$  is said to be the positive cone of a partial order if  $P + P \subseteq P$ ,  $PP \subseteq P$ , and  $P \cap -P = \{0\}$ . We often just call  $P$  as a partial order on  $R$ . In this paper, we only consider partial orders on fields. By Zorn's Lemma, each partial order is contained in a maximal partial order. We denote by  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  and  $\mathbb{R}^+$  the positive cone of the usual total order on  $\mathbb Q$  and  $\mathbb R$ , respectively. For a maximal partial order  $P, \mathbb Q^+ \subset P$  and P is division closed in the sense that for any two elements a, b in the field, if  $ab > 0$ and  $a > 0$ , then  $b > 0$ .

For more information and undefined terminologies on partially ordered rings, the reader is referred to  $[1,3]$  $[1,3]$  $[1,3]$ .

### **2. Infinite primes for fields**

In this section, we collect some results from [\[2](#page-5-0)] that will be used in the following section. Let R be a field. A nonempty subset S is called a *preprime* if S is closed under the addition and multiplication in R, and  $-1 \notin S$ , that is,

$$
S + S \subseteq S, \ SS \subseteq S, \ \text{and} -1 \notin S.
$$

A maximal preprime is called a *prime*. By Zorn's Lemma, each preprime is contained in a prime. A prime S is called *infinite* if  $1 \in S$ , otherwise S is called *finite*. An infinite prime S of R is called *full* if  $R = S - S = \{a - b \mid a, b \in S\}$ .

Let R be a number field that is *n*-dimensional over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . There exist exactly *n* isomorphisms (or embeddings)  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n$  of R into C, the field of complex numbers. Let  $\rho$  be the ordinary complex conjugate on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Assume  $\rho \circ \sigma_i = \sigma_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and  $\rho \circ \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+s}$  for  $r < i \leq r+s$  with  $r+2s=n$ . Then

$$
\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r, \sigma_{r+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r+s}, \rho \circ \sigma_{r+1}, \ldots, \rho \circ \sigma_{r+s}
$$

are these isomorphisms, and  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$  are called the *real infinite prime divisors* of R, and the sets  $\{\sigma_{r+1}, \rho \circ \sigma_{r+1}\}, \ldots, \{\sigma_{r+s}, \rho \circ \sigma_{r+s}\}\$  are called the *complex infinite prime divisors* of R.

- <span id="page-1-0"></span>**Theorem 2.1** (1) ([\[2](#page-5-0), Proposition 3.5]). Let R be a number field and let  $\sigma_1, \ldots,$  $\sigma_r$  *be the real infinite prime divisors of R. The sets*  $\sigma_1^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+), \ldots, \sigma_r^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ *are distinct and consist exactly of all the full infinite primes of* R*.*
	- (2) ([\[2,](#page-5-0) Proposition 3.6])*. Let* R *be a number field. Let* P *be an infinite prime of* R which is not full (i.e.,  $P - P \neq R$ ). Then there exists a complex *infinite prime divisor*  $\{\sigma, \rho \circ \sigma\}$  *of* R *with*  $P = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ *. If* R *is a normal number field, then this gives a one-one correspondence between all the non-full infinite primes of* R *and all the complex infinite prime divisors of* R*.*

In the present paper, we only consider the real number fields which are subfields of  $\mathbb R$  and finite dimensional over  $\mathbb Q$ . Let R be a real number field. For a subset H of R, define  $E_H = H - H = \{a - b \mid a, b \in H\}$ . The following <span id="page-2-0"></span>result shows that in a real number field, infinite primes are precisely maximal partial orders.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let* R *be a real number field.*

- (1) Let H be subset of R such that  $H + H \subseteq H$ ,  $HH \subseteq H$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \subseteq H$ . *Then* E*<sup>H</sup> is a subfield of* R*.*
- (2) *If* S *is an infinite prime on* R*, then* S *is a maximal partial order on* R*.*
- (3) *If* P *is a maximal partial order on* R*, then* P *is an infinite prime on* R*.*

*Proof.* (1) It is clear that  $E_H$  is a subring of R and a subspace of R over Q. Take  $0 \neq a \in E_H$ , define  $f_a : E_H \to E_H$  by  $f_a(u) = au$  for any  $u \in E_H$ . Then  $f_a$  is a linear transformation from  $E_H$  to  $E_H$  over  $\mathbb Q$ . Since  $f_a$  is one-to-one and  $E_H$  is finite-dimensional over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $f_a$  is onto, so there exists  $b \in E_H$  such that  $ab = 1$ . Thus  $E_H$  is a subfield of R.

(2) Let S be an infinite prime on R. From the proof of  $[2,$  Proposition 3.5], we have  $S \cap -S = \{0\}$ , so S is a partial order on R. Assume  $S \subseteq P$ , where P is a maximal partial order on R. If  $-1 \in P$ , then  $1 \in P \cap -P = \{0\}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $-1 \notin P$  and P is a preprime. Since S is a maximal preprime and P is a preprime,  $S \subseteq P$  implies that  $S = P$  and hence S is a maximal partial order on R.

(3) If P is a maximal partial order, then P is a preprime. Let  $P \subseteq S$ which is an infinite prime. By  $(2)$ , S is a maximal partial order, so  $P = S$  is an infinite prime.  $\Box$ 

Since in a real number field, the maximal partial orders and the infinite primes are the same, we freely use both names.

## **3.** *O∗***-fields**

For a field K and a subfield F of K,  $[K : F]$  denotes the dimension of K as an F-vector space. A partial order on a real number field R is called *directed* if each element is a difference of two positive elements. For an embedding  $\sigma$ from R to  $\mathbb C$ , it is clear that  $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb R^+)$  is a partial order on R.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let* R *be a real number field. Then following conditions are equivalent.*

- <span id="page-2-1"></span>(1) R *is an* O∗*-field,*
- (2) For each complex infinite prime divisor  $(\sigma, \rho \circ \sigma)$  of R,  $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  is ex*tended to a total order on* R*,*
- (3) For each maximal partial order P on R,  $E_P = R$ ,
- (4) *Each maximal partial order* P *on* R *is directed,*
- (5) *Each maximal partial order* P *on* R *contains* [R : Q] *linearly independent vectors.*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is clear.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let P be a maximal partial order on R. We show that P must be a total order. Since  $P$  is an infinite prime on  $R$  by Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-0) Theorem [2.1](#page-1-0) implies that  $P = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , where  $\sigma$  is a real or complex infinite prime divisor of R, then P must be a total order by the assumption.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$  Assume that there exists a maximal partial order P on R such that  $E_P \neq R$ . Take  $z \in R \setminus E_P$ . Then  $z \notin P$  and  $-z \notin P$ , so P is not a total order and R is not  $O^*$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let P be a maximal partial order on R. We show that P must be a total order. By Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-0) P is an infinite prime. Since  $E_P = P - P$ and  $E_P = R$ ,  $R = P - P$ , that is P is a full infinite prime. By Theorem [2.1,](#page-1-0)  $P = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  for some real infinite prime divisor  $\sigma$  of R. Thus P is a total order on R.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Let P be a maximal partial order. Then  $R = P - P$ , so P is a directed partial order.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$  Let P be a maximal partial order on R, since P is directed,  $R = P - P$ , so P is a generating set of R as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus P contains  $[R: \mathbb{Q}]$  linearly independent vectors.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (3)$  Let P be a maximal partial order on R. Since P contains  $[R: \mathbb{Q}]$ linearly independent vectors,  $P - P$  is a subspace of  $[R : \mathbb{Q}]$ -dimensional, and hence  $R = P - P$ . hence  $R = P - P$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>The following result is an immediate consequences of Theorem [3.1.](#page-2-1)

**Theorem 3.2.** Let R be a real number field. If  $[R : \mathbb{Q}] = n$  is an odd integer, *then* R *is an* O∗*-field.*

*Proof.* Let P be a maximal partial order on R and  $[E_P : \mathbb{Q}] = k$ . Then  $k \mid n$ . Since  $P$  is a full infinite prime in  $E_P$ , there exists a real infinite prime divisor σ of E*<sup>P</sup>* such that P = σ−<sup>1</sup>(R<sup>+</sup>). It is well-known that σ can be extended to  $[R : E_P] = n/k$  embeddings from R to C, and since  $n/k$  is odd, one of those  $n/k$  embeddings must be a real infinite prime divisor of R, denoted by  $\delta$ . Then  $P = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq \delta^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , and hence  $P = \delta^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  since P is a maximal partial order on R. Thus R is  $O^*$ . partial order on R. Thus R is  $O^*$ .

There exist real number fields R in which  $E_P = R$  is not true for all the maximal partial orders  $P$ , and hence  $R$  is not an  $O^*$ -field. The following example is due to Steinberg.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Q}[a]$  with  $a = \sqrt[4]{2}$ . The irreducible polynomial  $f(x) =$  $x^4 - 2$  has four roots:  $a, -a, ia, -ia$ , where  $i^2 = -1$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the embedding from R to C that sends a to ia. Then  $-a^2 \in \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . If  $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+) = P$  is not a maximal partial order, then  $P \subsetneq P_1$  for some maximal partial order  $P_1$ . If  $P_1$ is not a full infinite prime on  $R$ , then by Theorem [2.1,](#page-1-0) there exists a complex infinite prime divisor  $\{\gamma, \rho \circ \gamma\}$  such that  $P_1 = \gamma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . However  $\{\sigma, \rho \circ \sigma\}$ is the only complex infinite prime divisor of  $R$ , so  $P = P_1$ , a contradiction. Hence we must have  $E_{P_1} = R$  and  $P_1 = \delta^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  for some real infinite prime divisor  $\delta$  of R. Then  $\delta$  is either the identity mapping or the embedding that sends a to −a. In either case,  $a^2 \in P_1$ . On the other hand,  $-a^2 \in P \subsetneq P_1$ , so  $a^2 \in P_1 \cap -P_1$ , a contradiction. Thus P is a maximal partial order on R. It follows that R is not  $O^*$  by Theorem [3.1.](#page-2-1)

Similar to Steinberg's example, if  $n$  is a positive integer divisible by 4, then  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[n]{2}]$  is not an  $O^*$ -field since the partial ordered  $P = \mathbb{Q}^+ + \mathbb{Q}^+(-a^{\frac{n}{2}})$ , where  $a = \sqrt[n]{2}$ , cannot be extended to a total order.

Let R be a real number field with  $[R : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ . It is possible for R to be an  $O^*$ -field as shown in Example [3.6.](#page-5-6)

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $R = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[2n]{p}]$ , where p is a prime number and n is a odd *integer, then*  $R$  *is*  $O^*$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let P be a maximal partial order on R and  $a = \sqrt[2^n]{p}$ ,  $b = a^n = \sqrt{p}$ . Then  $b^2 = p$ . We first show that either  $b \in P$  or  $-b \in P$ . Assume  $-b \notin P$ . Define  $P' = P + Pb$ . It is clear that  $P' + P' \subseteq P'$  and  $P'P' \subseteq P'$ . Suppose that  $P' \cap -P' \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $0 \neq w \in P' \cap -P'$ . Then  $w = \alpha + \beta b$  and  $-w = \alpha' + \beta' b$ , where  $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in P$ . Then  $(\alpha + \alpha') + (\beta + \beta')b = 0$  and  $w \neq 0$  implies  $\beta + \beta' \neq 0$  and hence  $-b = (\beta + \beta')^{-1}(\alpha + \alpha') \in P$  since P is division closed, a contradiction. Thus  $P' \cap -P' = \{0\}$ , so P' is a partial order. It follows that  $P = P'$  and  $b \in P$ . Thus either  $b \in P$  or  $-b \in P$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $b \in P$ .

Since  $\mathbb{Q}[b]$  is a subfield of R and  $\mathbb{Q}[b] \subseteq E_P$ ,  $2 \mid [E_P : \mathbb{Q}]$ , so  $[E_P : \mathbb{Q}] = 2k$ , where k is a positive integer and  $k \mid n$ . It follows that  $[R : E_P] = n/k$  is an odd integer. By a similar argument of Theorem [3.2,](#page-3-0) each real infinite prime divisor of  $E_P$  is extended to a real infinite prime divisor of  $R$ , so  $P$  must be a total order.  $\Box$ 

In the following we collect some conditions that make a real number field being  $O^*$ . Let R be a real number field. By Primitive Element Theorem, R is a simple extension over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , that is,  $R = \mathbb{Q}[a]$  for some  $a \in R$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let* R *be a real number field.*

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>(1) *Suppose that*  $R = \mathbb{Q}[a]$ *. Let*  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  *be the irreducible polynomial such that*  $f(a) = 0$ *. If each root of*  $f(x)$  *is a real number, then* R *is an* O∗*-field.*
- (2) *Let* R *be a real number field. If for each complex infinite prime divisor*  $\{\sigma, \rho \circ \sigma\}, \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  *is not prime, then* R *is an O<sup>\*</sup>-field.*
- (3) Let R be a real number field and  $R = \mathbb{Q}[a]$  with the minimal polynomial  $f(x)$  *of* a *over* Q. If  $f(x)$  *has a pure imaginary root, then* R *is not an* O∗*-field.*

*Proof.* (1) Let P be a maximal partial order on R. If P is not a full infinite prime, then, by Theorem [2.1,](#page-1-0)  $P = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  for a complex infinite prime divisor  ${\lbrace \sigma, \rho \circ \sigma \rbrace}$ , a contradiction since the minimal polynomial  $f(x)$  of a has only real roots. Thus P is full and  $E_P = R$ .

(2) Let  $P$  be a maximal partial order on  $R$ . Then  $P$  is an infinite prime by Lemma [2.2.](#page-2-0) So, by Theorem [2.1,](#page-1-0)  $P = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  for some real infinite prime  $\sigma$  by the assumption, so P is a total order on R.

(3) Suppose that  $z = ib, b \in \mathbb{R}$  is a root of  $f(x)$ . Then there exists an embedding  $\sigma$  from R to C that sends a to ib. Let  $P = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . Then P is a partial order on R. Since  $\sigma(-a^2) = -(ib)^2 = b^2 \in \mathbb{R}^+, -a^2 \in P$ , so P cannot be extended to a total order and R is not  $O^*$ . be extended to a total order and R is not  $O^*$ .

<span id="page-5-6"></span>As an application of Theorem [3.5,](#page-4-0) we determine all the  $O^*$ -fields R with  $[R : \mathbb{O}] = 4.$ 

**Example 3.6.** Let R be a real number field with  $[R : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ . Assume that  $R = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is a root of an irreducible polynomial  $f(x)$  of degree 4 over Q. We consider following cases.

- (1)  $f(x)$  has 4 real roots. By Theorem [3.5\(](#page-4-0)1), R is  $O^*$ .
- (2)  $f(x)$  has 2 real roots and 2 pure imaginary roots. By Theorem [3.5\(](#page-4-0)3), R is not  $O^*$ .
- (3)  $f(x)$  has 2 real roots and 2 complex roots with nonzero real part. Let  $\{\sigma, \rho \circ \sigma\}$  be the complex infinite prime divisor of R. Let  $E = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then E is a subfield of R, so  $E = R$ ,  $[E: \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ , or  $E = \mathbb{Q}$ . Let r be a real root of  $f(x)$  such that  $\sigma(r)$  is a complex root of  $f(x)$ . Then  $r, -r \notin E$ , so  $E \neq R$ . If  $E = \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+) = \mathbb{Q}^+$  is not a prime. Assume that  $[E: \mathbb{Q}] = 2.$  Then  $E = \mathbb{Q}[\beta]$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+) = E \cap \mathbb{R}^+$  or  $E \cap -\mathbb{R}^+$ , and hence  $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subsetneq R \cap \mathbb{R}^+$  or  $R \cap -\mathbb{R}^+$ , respectively. Thus  $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  is not a prime. By Theorem [3.5\(](#page-4-0)2), R is  $O^*$ .

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# <span id="page-5-4"></span>**References**

- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[1] Fuchs, L.: Partially ordered algebraic systems. Pergamon Press, New York (1963)
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[2] Harrison, D.: Finite and infinite primes for rings and fields. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **68** (1966)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[3] Ma, J.: Lecture notes on algebraic structure of lattice-ordered rings. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore (2014)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[4] Ma, J., Yang, Y.: Commutative *L*∗-rings II. Quaest. Math. **5**, 719–727 (2018)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[5] Steinberg, S.: A characterization of rings in which each partial order is contained in a total order. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **125**, 2555–2558 (1997)

Jingjing Ma Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Houston-Clear Lake Houston TX 77058 USA e-mail: ma@uhcl.edu URL: http://www.math.uhcl.edu/ma

Received: 27 August 2021. Accepted: 13 May 2022.