Aequationes Mathematicae



Approximate convexity with the standard deviation's error

Marek Żołdak

The paper is dedicated to Professor János Aczél on the occasion of his 90th birthday

Abstract. Functions $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on an open convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n satisfying the approximate type convexity condition with bound of the form $\varepsilon \sqrt{t(1-t)} ||x-y||$ are considered. We discuss properties concerning such functions characteristic for convex functions.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A51, 26B25.

Keywords. Approximate convexity.

1. Introduction

Let D be a bounded open convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n . It is known that a function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if only if it satisfies the Jensen integral inequality

$$f(x_{\mu}) \le \int_{D} f d\mu \tag{1}$$

for all probabilistic measures μ on D, where $x_{\mu} = \int_{D} x d\mu = (\int_{D} x_{1} d\mu, \dots, \int_{D} x_{n} d\mu)$. The question arises: what about a function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies (1) with some error depending on μ .

Let B(D) be the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{M}(D)$ be the set of all Borel probabilistic measures on D and let $\varepsilon \geq 0$.

Assume that a Borel measurable function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the inequality

$$f(x_{\mu}) \leq \int_{D} f(x)d\mu + \varepsilon \left[\int_{D} \|x - x_{\mu}\|^{2}d\mu\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(2)

with some $\varepsilon > 0$, for all probabilistic measures on D such that there exist finite: $x_{\mu}, \int_{D} f d\mu, \int_{D} ||x - x_{\mu}||^{2} d\mu$, where $x_{\mu} = \int_{D} x d\mu = (\int_{D} x_{1} d\mu, \dots, \int_{D} x_{n} d\mu)$.

🕲 Birkhäuser

In this paper we consider a class of functions for which this error is proportional to $[\int_D ||x - x_\mu||^2 d\mu]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $|| \cdot ||$ denotes the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n . Namely, taking in (1) for arbitrary fixed $x, y \in D$, $t \in [0, 1]$, instead of μ the Dirac convex combination $\mu = t\delta_x + (1 - t)\delta_y$ of Dirac measures, we get that f satisfies in particular the following inequality

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + \varepsilon\sqrt{t(1-t)} ||x-y||$$

Definition 1.1. We say that a function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on a convex subset $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is approximately ε -convex with respect to the standard deviation, briefly ε -sconvex, if

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + \varepsilon\sqrt{t(1-t)}||x-y||$$
(3)

for $x, y \in D, t \in [0, 1]$.

If condition (3) holds for $t = \frac{1}{2}$ and all $x, y \in D$, i.e.

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \le \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon ||x-y|| \quad \text{for } x, y \in D,$$
(4)

we say that f is approximately ε -midconvex with respect to the standard deviation, briefly ε -smidconvex.

The notion of ε -smidconvexity given in Definition 1.1 is a modification of the notion of approximate convexity. It was introduced by Hyers and Ulam [3] with constant error bound and next generalized and development by many authors, see for example: [2–6,9].

We give basic properties of ε -sconvex and ε -smidconvex functions. One of the main tools will be the following

Theorem TTZ [9, Thr. 2.2]. Let D be an open convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ be an ε -smidconvex function locally bounded above at a point. Then f is locally uniformly continuous.

2. Results

Let D be an open convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 2.1. Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. $f, g: D \to \mathbb{R}$. If f, g are respectively ε_1 - and ε_2 -sconvex (smidconvex) then $\alpha f + \beta g$ is $\alpha \varepsilon_1 + \beta \varepsilon_2$ -sconvex (midconvex).

Proof. Obvious.

Proposition 2.2. If $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is ε -sconvex then f is locally uniformly continuous.

If f is ε -smidconvex and locally bounded at a point then f is locally uniformly continuous.

Proof. The first part follows from [9, Thr. 2.2].

Observe, for the second one, that f is locally bounded. Indeed, let $S = \text{conv} \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}\}$ be an *n*-dimensional simplex contained in D. We show that

$$f(t_1x_1 + \dots + t_kx_k) \le t_1f(x_1) + \dots + t_kf(x_k) + (k-1)\varepsilon \operatorname{diam} S \quad (5)$$

for $t_1, \ldots, t_k \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i = 1.$

For k = 1 it is trivial, for k = 2 it follows from (3). Assuming that (5) holds for a certain $k \in \{2, ..., n\}$. Let $t_1, ..., t_{k+1} \ge 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} t_i = 1$, and $t_{k+1} \ne 1$. Then, using (4) and the inequality $\sqrt{t_{k+1}(1-t_{k+1})} \le 1$, then applying (5) and the fact that the distance of two elements of S is not larger than diam S we have

$$f(t_1x_1 + \dots + t_{k+1}x_{k+1}) = f\left((t_1 + \dots + t_k)\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t_i}{t_1 + \dots + t_k}x_i + t_{k+1}x_{k+1}\right)$$
$$\leq (t_1 + \dots + t_k)f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t_i}{t_1 + \dots + t_k}x_i\right) + t_{k+1}f(x_{k+1})$$
$$+ \varepsilon \left\|\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t_i}{t_1 + \dots + t_k}x_i - x_{k+1}\right\|$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} t_i f(x_i) + (k-1)\varepsilon \text{ diam } S + \varepsilon \text{ diam } S$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} t_i f(x_i) + k\varepsilon \text{ diam } S.$$

Hence (5) holds for k + 1, because the case $t_{k+1} = 1$ is obvious. By (5) we obtain that

$$f(y) \le \max \{f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{n+1})\} + n\varepsilon \operatorname{diam} S \quad \text{for } y \in S.$$

Hence f is bounded from above on S and consequently locally bounded from above in int S. By [9, Thr. 2.2] f is locally uniformly continuous.

Theorem 2.1. Let P be an open interval in \mathbb{R} , $\varepsilon > 0$, and $f: P \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} & f \ is \ \varepsilon\text{-sconvex}, \\ \text{(ii)} & \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_1)}{x_3 - x_1} \le \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_2)}{x_3 - x_2} + \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_3 - x_2}} & for \ x_1 < x_2 < x_3, \\ \text{(iii)} & \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \le \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_1)}{x_3 - x_1} + \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{x_3 - x_2}{x_2 - x_1}} & for \ x_1 < x_2 < x_3, \end{array}$$

(iv)
$$\frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} - \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{x_3 - x_2}{x_2 - x_1}} \le \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_2)}{x_3 - x_2} + \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_3 - x_2}} \quad for \ x_1 < x_2 < x_3.$$

Proof. In this case the definition of sconvexity of f is equivalent to:

$$f(x_2) \le \frac{x_3 - x_2}{x_3 - x_1} f(x_1) + \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_3 - x_1} f(x_3) + \varepsilon \sqrt{(x_3 - x_2)(x_2 - x_1)}.$$
 (6)

for $x_1 < x_2 < x_3, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in P$.

Indeed, since $x_2 = \frac{x_3 - x_2}{x_3 - x_1} x_1 + \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_3 - x_1} x_3$, from (3) we get (6).

On the other hand, assuming (6), and putting in (6) $x_1 = x, x_2 = tx + (1 - t)y, x_3 = y$, we have

$$x_3 - x_2 = t(y - x), x_2 - x_1 = (1 - t)(y - x), x_3 - x_1 = y - x,$$

hence we obtain (3).

We show that (6) and (ii) are equivalent. Subtracting $f(x_3)$ from both sides of (6) we get

$$f(x_2) - f(x_3) \le \frac{x_3 - x_2}{x_3 - x_1} (f(x_1) - f(x_3)) + \varepsilon \sqrt{(x_3 - x_2)(x_2 - x_1)}.$$

Hence, by dividing by $x_3 - x_2$, (i) follows.

Next we show that (6) and (iii) are equivalent. Subtracting $f(x_1)$ from both sides of (6) we obtain

$$f(x_2) - f(x_1) \le \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_3 - x_1} (f(x_3) - f(x_1)) + \varepsilon \sqrt{(x_3 - x_2)(x_2 - x_1)}$$

Dividing this by $x_2 - x_1$ we get (iii).

Finally we show the equivalence of (6) and (iv). Subtracting from both sides of (6) the expression $\frac{x_2-x_1}{x_3-x_1}f(x_2) + \frac{x_3-x_2}{x_3-x_1}f(x_1)$ we obtain

$$\frac{x_3 - x_2}{x_3 - x_1}(f(x_2) - f(x_1)) \le \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_3 - x_1}(f(x_3) - f(x_2)) + \varepsilon \sqrt{(x_3 - x_2)(x_2 - x_1)}.$$

Multiplying this inequality by $\frac{x_3-x_1}{(x_3-x_2)(x_2-x_1)}$ we get

$$\frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \le \frac{f(x_3) - f(x_2)}{x_3 - x_2} + \varepsilon \frac{(x_3 - x_2) + (x_2 - x_1)}{\sqrt{(x_3 - x_2)}\sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)}}.$$

Hence we get (iv).

Theorem 2.2. If $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is ε -smidconvex then

$$f\left(\frac{k}{2^n}x + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2^n}\right)y\right) \le \frac{k}{2^n}f(x) + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2^n}\right)f(y) + 2\varepsilon\frac{\sqrt{k(2^n - k)}}{2^n}\|x - y\|$$
(7)

for
$$x, y \in D$$
, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \{0, \dots, 2^n\}$.

Proof. For n = 1 condition (7) is true (for k = 1 by (4), for k = 0 or k = 2 it is obvious). Assume, for the proof by induction, that (7) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, y \in D, k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^n\}$. We check that this condition holds for n+1. Let $x, y \in D, k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^{n+1}\}$. Without loss of generality we may assume

that $k \in \{1, \ldots, 2^{n+1}\}$. Changing x and y, if necessary, we may assume that $k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^n\}$. Making use of (4) and the inductive hypothesis we obtain

$$\begin{split} f\left(\frac{k}{2^{n+1}}x + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2^{n+1}}\right)y\right) \\ &= f\left(\frac{\frac{k}{2^n}x + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2^n}\right)y + y}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{f\left(\frac{k}{2^n}x + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2^n}\right)y\right) + f(y)}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{k}{2^n}\|x - y\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{2^n}f(x) + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2^n}\right)f(y) + 2\varepsilon\frac{\sqrt{k(2^n - k)}}{2^n}\|x - y\| + f(y)\right) \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\frac{k}{2^n}\|x - y\| \\ &= \frac{k}{2^{n+1}}f(x) + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2^{n+1}}\right)f(y) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{k(2^n - k)}}{2^n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}\right)\|x - y\|. \end{split}$$

Hence we need to show that

$$\frac{\sqrt{k(2^n-k)}}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \le 2\frac{\sqrt{k(2^{n+1}-k)}}{2^{n+1}} \quad \text{for } k \in \{1,\dots,2^n\},$$

i.e.

$$2\sqrt{k(2^n-k)} + 1 \le 2\sqrt{k(2^{n+1}-k)}$$
 for $k \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}$.

This inequality is a consequence of the following one

$$2\sqrt{k(2^n - k)} + k \le 2\sqrt{k(2^{n+1} - k)} \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\},$$

which is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} 4k(2^n - k) + k^2 + 4k\sqrt{k(2^n - k)} &\leq 4k(2^{n+1} - k), \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}, \\ 4\sqrt{k(2^n - k)} &\leq 4 \cdot 2^n - k, \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\} \\ 16k(2^n - k) &\leq 16 \cdot 4^n + k^2 - 8k2^n, \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\} \\ 17k^2 - 24 \cdot 2^nk + 16 \cdot 4^n &\geq 0, \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds, because its discriminant $\Delta := (24^2 - 4 \cdot 17 \cdot 16)4^n = -512 \cdot 4^n < 0.$

Corollary 2.1. If $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is ε -smidconvex and continuous at a point then f is 2ε -sconvex.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 f is continuous. By Theorem 2.2 inequality (3) with ε replaced by 2ε holds for every dyadic number $t \in [0, 1]$. By the continuity of f, it holds also for every $t \in [0, 1]$.

Example 2.1. The Takagi function $T(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} dist(2^k x, \mathbb{Z}), x \in [0, 1]$, satisfies (4) with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ [1]. It follows by Corollary 2.1 that T is 1-sconvex. This example shows that, even if an sconvex function is continuous, it can be non-differentiable at every point.

Example 2.2. Assume that $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is ε -sconvex and let $D \subset G \subset \overline{G} \subset D$, where the set G is open convex with the compact closure. Let $\omega \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\omega \geq 0$, $\omega(x) = 0$ if $||x|| \geq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(x) dx = 1$. Let $\omega_{\delta}(x) = \frac{1}{\delta^n} \omega(\frac{1}{\delta}x)$, for $\delta > 0$.

For $\delta < dist(G, \partial D)$ the regularization $f_{\delta}(x) = \int_{B(0,\delta)} f(x-z)\omega_{\delta}(z)dz$ is ε -sconvex in G. Indeed, for $x, y \in G, t \in [0,1]$

$$f_{\delta}(tx + (1-t)y) = \int_{B(0,\delta)} f_{\delta}(tx + (1-t)y - z)\omega_{\delta}(z)dz$$

$$\leq \int_{B(0,\delta)} \left[tf(x-z)\omega_{\delta}(y-z) + (1-t)\omega_{\delta}(y-z) + \varepsilon\sqrt{t(1-t)} \|x-y\| \right] dz$$

$$= tf_{\delta}(x) + (1-t)f_{\delta}(y) + \varepsilon\sqrt{t(1-t)} \|x-y\|.$$

Let for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, R > 0

 $m(B(x_0, R))$ denote the volume of the ball $B(x_0, R)$ in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , and $\sigma(S(x_0, R))$ - the area of the sphere $S(x_0, R)$, the boundary of $B(x_0, R)$.

Proposition 2.3. If $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is ε -sconvex then for each $B(x_0, R) \subset D$ the following Hadamard-type inequality holds

$$\frac{1}{m(B(x_0,R))} \int_{B(x_0,R)} f dm \leq \frac{1}{\sigma(S(x_0,R))} \int_{S(x_0,R)} f d\sigma + \varepsilon n R c_n,$$

where $c_n = \int_0^1 r^{n-1} \sqrt{1-r^2} dr.$

Proof. Using polar coordinates and (3) we have

$$\int_{B(x_0,R)} f dm = \int_0^R \int_{S(x_0,r)} f(x) d\sigma(x) dr$$
$$= \int_0^R \int_{S(x_0,r)} \left[f(\frac{R+r}{2R} \left(x_0 + \frac{R(x-x_0)}{r} \right) + \frac{R-r}{2R} \left(x_0 - \frac{R(x-x_0)}{r} \right) \right] d\sigma(x) dr$$

$$\leq \int_0^R \int_{S(x_0,r)} \left[\frac{R+r}{2R} f\left(x_0 + \frac{R(x-x_0)}{r}\right) + \frac{R-r}{2R} f\left(x_0 - \frac{R(x-x_0)}{r}\right) + \varepsilon \frac{\sqrt{R^2 - r^2}}{2R} \left\| \frac{2R(x-x_0)}{r} \right\| \right] d\sigma(x) dr.$$

For $x \in S(x_0, r)$ we have $||x - x_0|| = r$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \int_{B(x_0,R)} fdm &\leq \frac{R+r}{2R} \int_0^R \int_{S(x_0,r)} f\left(x_0 + \frac{R(x-x_0)}{r}\right) d\sigma(x) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{R-r}{2R} \int_0^R \int_{S(x_0,r)} f\left(x_0 - \frac{R(x-x_0)}{r}\right) d\sigma(x) dr \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_0^R \int_{S(x_0,r)} \sqrt{R^2 - r^2} d\sigma(x) dr. \end{split}$$

By the symmetry of $S(x_0, r)$ with respect to x_0 , in the last equality the first two integrals are equal. For the third integral, using that $\sigma(S(x_0, r)) = r^{n-1}$ $\sigma(S(0, 1))$, we obtain

$$\int_0^R \int_{S(x_0,r)} \sqrt{R^2 - r^2} d\sigma(x) dr = \int_0^R \sigma(S(x_0,r)) \sqrt{R^2 - r^2} dr$$
$$= \sigma(S(0,1)) \int_0^R r^{n-1} \sqrt{R^2 - r^2} dr$$
$$= \sigma(S(0,1)) R^{n+1} \int_0^1 r^{n-1} \sqrt{1 - r^2} dr.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \int_{B(x_0,R)} fdm &\leq \int_0^R \int_{S(x_0,r)} f\left(x_0 + \frac{R(x-x_0)}{r}\right) d\sigma(x) dr + \varepsilon \sigma(S(0,1)) R^{n-1} R^2 c_n \\ &= \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \int_0^R \int_{S(x_0,R)} f(x) d\sigma(x) r^{n-1} dr + \varepsilon R^2 \sigma(S(x_0,R)) c_n \\ &= \frac{R}{n} \int_{S(x_0,R)} f d\sigma + \varepsilon R^2 \sigma(S(x_0,R)) c_n \\ &= \frac{m(B(x_0,R))}{\sigma(S(x_0,R))} \int_{S(x_0,R)} f d\sigma + \varepsilon R^2 \sigma(S(x_0,R)) c_n. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{m(B(x_0,R)} \int_{B(x_0,R)} fdm \leq \frac{1}{\sigma(S(x_0,R))} \int_{S(x_0,R)} fd\sigma + \varepsilon R^2 \frac{\sigma(S(x_0,R))}{m(B(x_0,R))} c_n.$$

This ends the proof, because $\frac{\sigma(S(x_0,R))}{m(B(x_0,R))} = \frac{n}{R}.$

Remark 2.1. The constants c_n from Proposition 2.3 can be found using well known properties of the beta and gamma functions, see for example [7, ch. 8]. By substituting $t = r^2$ in the integral defining c_n we get

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} (1 - t)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = \frac{1}{2} B\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\right)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using Legendre's formula

$$\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{2n-1}} \frac{\Gamma(2n)}{\Gamma(n)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{2n-1}} \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-1)!}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and basic properties of the gamma function we obtain

$$c_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(n+2)} = \frac{\pi}{2^{2n+1}} \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n+1)!(n-1)!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(Obviously, by definition, $c_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}$.) Similarly,

$$c_{2n} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{3}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Gamma(n)\sqrt{\pi}}{(n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{2^{2n-3}}{(n+\frac{1}{2})} \frac{((n-1)!)^2}{(2n-1)!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the Centre for Innovation and Transfer of Natural Sciences and Engineering Knowledge.

References

- Boros, Z.: An inequality for the Takagi functions. Math. Inequalities Appl. 11/4, 757– 765 (2008)
- [2] Házy, A., Páles, Zs.: On approximately midconvex functions. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 36(3), 339–350 (2004)
- [3] Hyers, D.H., Ulam, S.M.: Approximately convex functions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 3, 821– 828 (1952)
- [4] Makó, J., Páles, Zs.: Approximate convexity and Takagi type function. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 369, 545–554 (2010)
- [5] Makó, J., Páles, Zs.: On $\phi\text{-convexity.}$ Publ
 Math. Debr. 80, 107–126 (2012)
- [6] Ng, C.T., Nikodem, K.: On approximately convex functions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 118(1), 103–108 (1993)
- [7] Rudin, W.: Principles of Mathematical Analysis. Mc Graw-Hill, New York (1964)
- [8] Rudin, W.: Real and Complex analysis. Mc Graw-Hill, New York (1974)
- [9] Tabor, Ja., Tabor, Jó., Żołdak, M.: Approximately convex functions on topological vector spaces. Publ. Math. Debr. 77/1–2, 115–123 (2010)

Marek Żołdak Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science University of Rzeszów Prof. St. Pigonia 1 35-310 Rzeszow Poland e-mail: marek_z2@op.pl

Received: April 15, 2014 Revised: September 3, 2014