



Global Higher Integrability of the Gradient of Weak Solutions of a Quasilinear Elliptic Equation

Abdeslem Lyaghfour

Abstract. In this paper, we establish global higher integrability of the gradient of the solution of the quasilinear elliptic equation $\Delta_A u = \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{a(|F|)}{|F|} F \right)$ in \mathbb{R}^n , where Δ_A is the so called A -Laplace operator.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J62, 35B65.

Keywords. A -Laplace operator, Orlicz–Sobolev space, gradient higher integrability.

1. Introduction

We are interested in higher integrability of the gradient of the solution of the following problem:

$$(P) \begin{cases} u \in W^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \Delta_A u = \operatorname{div}(\Theta(F)) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

where $n \geq 2$, $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) \in L^A(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Delta_A u = \operatorname{div}(\Theta(\nabla u))$, $\Theta(X) = \frac{a(|X|)}{|X|}$

X , and $A(t) = \int_0^t a(s) ds$, with a a function in $C^1((0, \infty)) \cap C^0([0, \infty))$ satisfying $a(0) = 0$, and the condition

$$a_0 \leq \frac{ta'(t)}{a(t)} \leq a_1 \quad \forall t > 0, \quad a_0, a_1 \text{ positive constants.} \quad (1.1)$$

Without loss of generality, we shall assume that $a_0 < 1 < a_1$.

We call a solution of problem (P) any function $u \in W^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Theta(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Theta(F) \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

We recall the definition of the Orlicz space $L^A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its norm (see [10])

$$L^A(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(|u(x)|)dx < \infty \right\},$$

$$\|u\|_A = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{k}\right)dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

The dual space of $L^A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Orlicz space $L^{\tilde{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\tilde{A}(t) = \int_0^t a^{-1}(s)ds$ and a^{-1} is the inverse function of a .

The Orlicz–Sobolev space $W^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its norm are given by

$$W^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ u \in L^A(\mathbb{R}^n) : |\nabla u| \in L^A(\mathbb{R}^n) \}, \quad \|u\|_{1,A} = \|u\|_A + \|\nabla u\|_A.$$

Both $L^A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are reflexive Banach spaces.

The following useful inequalities can be easily deduced from (1.1) (see [9])

$$\frac{ta(t)}{1+a_1} \leq A(t) \leq ta(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$sa(t) \leq sa(s) + ta(t) \quad \forall s, t \geq 0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\min(s^{a_0}, s^{a_1})a(t) \leq a(st) \leq \max(s^{a_0}, s^{a_1})a(t) \quad \forall s, t \geq 0, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\min(s^{1+a_0}, s^{1+a_1})\frac{A(t)}{1+a_1} \leq A(st) \leq (1+a_1)\max(s^{1+a_0}, s^{1+a_1})A(t) \quad \forall s, t \geq 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Using (1.5) and the convexity of A , we obtain

$$A(s+t) = A\left(2 \cdot \left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right)\right) \leq (1+a_1)2^{1+a_1}A\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right)$$

$$\leq (1+a_1)2^{a_1}(A(s) + A(t)) \quad \forall s, t \geq 0 \tag{1.6}$$

We also recall the following monotonicity inequality (see [3])

$$(\Theta(X) - \Theta(Y)) \cdot (X - Y) \geq C(A, n)|X - Y|^2 \frac{a((|X|^2 + |Y|^2)^{1/2})}{(|X|^2 + |Y|^2)^{1/2}}$$

$$\forall (X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\} \tag{1.7}$$

where $C(A, n)$ is a positive constant depending only on A and n .

There is a wide range of functions $a(t)$ satisfying (1.1). In particular, we observe that we have $a_0 = a_1 = p - 1$ if and only if $a(t) = ct^{a_0}$ for some positive constant c . In this case, we have $A(t) = \frac{ct^p}{p}$ and $\Delta_A = c\Delta_p$, where Δ_p is the p -Laplace operator. We refer to [4] for more examples of these functions.

Definition 1.1. We say that a function $A : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an N -function if $A' \in C^1((0, \infty)) \cap C^0([0, \infty))$, $A'(0) = 0$, and A' satisfies (1.1).

Here is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $B : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that $B \circ A^{-1}$ is an N -function and let $u \in W^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a solution of problem (P). If $F \in L^B(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we have $|\nabla u| \in L^B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|\nabla u|)dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|F|)dx$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, A,$ and B .

Remark 1.1. We would like to mention that this regularity is well known for the Laplace equation (see [8]). For the p -Laplace equation, we refer to [8] and to [5] for systems.

Example 1.1. Assume that Φ is an N -function with $\Phi' = \varphi$ and let $B = \Phi \circ A$. Then, B is an N -function as a compose of two N -functions, namely Φ and A . Moreover, $B \circ A^{-1} = \Phi$ is also an N -function. Hence, the following functions satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.1:

- $B(t) = (A(t))^p$ with $p > 1, (\Phi(t) = t^p)$.
- $B(t) = (A(t))^\alpha \ln(\beta A(t) + \gamma)$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, (\Phi(t) = t^\alpha \ln(\beta t + \gamma))$.
- $B(t) = (A(t))^{p(A(t))}, (\Phi(t) = t^{p(t)})$, provided $p(t)$ satisfies for all $t > 0$:
 $\alpha_0 \leq t \ln(t)p'(t) + p(t) - 1 \leq \alpha_1$, for some positive constants α_0 and α_1 .

Corollary 1.1. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, if moreover, the integral $\int_1^\infty \frac{B^{-1}(t)}{t^{\frac{n+1}{n}}} dt$ is finite, then we have $u \in C_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mu(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{B^{-1}(s)}{s^{\frac{n+1}{n}}} ds$ and $C_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of continuous functions with μ as a modulus of continuity.*

Proof. From Theorem 1.1, we know that $u \in W^{1,B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since the integral $\int_1^\infty \frac{B^{-1}(t)}{t^{\frac{n+1}{n}}} dt$ is finite, the result follows from the embedding $W^{1,B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C_\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [1, Theorem 8.40]). □

Remark 1.2. Since $(B \circ A^{-1})'$ satisfies (1.1), using (1.5) with $t = 1$ and $s = \frac{1}{t}$, we see that there exist two positive constants $\mu > 1$ and K such that

$$0 \leq B \circ A^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \leq \frac{K}{t^\mu} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 1.$$

Therefore, the integral $\int_1^\infty B \circ A^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) dt$ is finite. This property will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Sect. 3.

In the sequel, we will denote by u a solution of problem (P). In Sect. 2, we recall some well known results about A -harmonic functions and establish a few Lemmas to pave the way for the proof of Theorem 1.1 which will be given in Sect. 3.

2. Some Auxiliary Lemmas

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R > 0$. For each open ball $B_R(x_0)$ in \mathbb{R}^n of center x_0 and radius R , let v be the unique solution of the following problem:

$$(P_0) \begin{cases} v \in W^{1,A}(B_R(x_0)), \\ \Delta_A v = 0 \quad \text{in } B_R(x_0), \\ v = u \quad \text{in } \partial B_R(x_0) \end{cases}$$

First, we recall some properties of the solution of problem (P_0) .

Lemma 2.1.

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} a(|\nabla v|)|\nabla v| \leq 2^{a_1+2} \int_{B_R(x_0)} a(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u| dx$$

Proof. See [3], Proof of Lemma 3.1. □

Remark 2.1. Using (1.2) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla v|) dx &\leq \int_{B_R(x_0)} a(|\nabla v|)|\nabla v| dx \\ &\leq 2^{a_1+2} \int_{B_R(x_0)} a(|\nabla v|)|\nabla v| dx \\ &\leq (1 + a_1)2^{a_1+2} \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla u|) dx \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a positive constant $C_1 = C_1(n, a_1)$ such that*

$$\sup_{B_{R/2}(x_0)} A(|\nabla v|) \leq \frac{C_1}{R^n} \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla v|) dx$$

Proof. See [9], Lemma 5.1.

For each function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (\mathbb{R}^n), let $(f)_{x_0,r} = \frac{1}{|B_r(x_0)|} \int_{B_r(x_0)} f dx$ and $(f)_r = (f)_{0,r}$. Then we have the following property of the function v . □

Lemma 2.3. *There exist two positive constants $\alpha = \alpha(n, a_1) < 1$ and $C_2 = C_2(n, a_1)$ such that we have for any $r \in (0, R)$*

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} A(|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_{x_0,r}|) dx \leq C_2 \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^\alpha \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_{x_0,R}|) dx$$

Proof. See [9], Lemma 5.1. □

Remark 2.2. Using (1.6), Remark 2.1, and Jensen’s inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_{x_0,R}|) dx &\leq \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla v| + |(\nabla v)_{x_0,R}|) dx \\ &\leq (1 + a_1)2^{a_1} \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla v|) dx + A(|(\nabla v)_{x_0,R}|) \right) \\ &= (1 + a_1)2^{a_1} \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla v|) dx + A \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v| dx \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq (1 + a_1)2^{a_1} \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla v|)dx + \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla v|)dx \right) \\
 &= (1 + a_1)2^{a_1+1} \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla v|)dx \\
 &\leq (1 + a_1)^2 2^{2a_1+3} \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla u|)dx \tag{2.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.1) and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} A(|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_{x_0,r}|)dx \leq C_2(1 + a_1)^2 2^{2a_1+3} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^\alpha \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla u|)dx$$

The next lemma is the key tool in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.4. *There exist two positive constants $\gamma = \gamma(n, a_0, a_1)$ and $m = m(\alpha, n, a_0, a_1)$ such that for each $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we have for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $R > 0$ and $r \in (0, R)$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{B_r(x_0)} |A(|\nabla u|) - (A(|\nabla u|))_r|dx \leq \frac{\gamma}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^m \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|F|)dx \\
 &+ \gamma \left(\delta^{a_0+1} + \frac{1}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^\alpha \right) \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla u|)dx
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 2.4 requires several lemmas. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $G : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$G(\xi, \zeta) = \int_0^1 \frac{a(|\theta_t|)}{|\theta_t|} dt, \quad \theta_t = t\xi + (1 - t)\zeta.$$

Then there exists two positive constants $c_{a_1,n}$ depending only on n and a_1 , and $C_{a_0,n}$ depending only on n and a_0 such that:

$$\forall (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}, \quad c_{a_1,n} \frac{a(|\xi| + |\zeta|)}{|\xi| + |\zeta|} \leq G(\xi, \zeta) \leq C_{a_0,n} \frac{a(|\xi| + |\zeta|)}{|\xi| + |\zeta|}$$

The proof of Lemma 2.5 is based on the following lemma proved in [2] for $n = 2$ and whose proof extends easily to $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $n \geq 2$, $p > 1$ and let $F_p : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$F_p(\xi, \zeta) = \int_0^1 |t\xi + (1 - t)\zeta|^{p-2} dt$$

Then, there exists two positive constants $c(p, n) < C(p, n)$ depending only on p and n such that:

$$\forall (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}, \quad c(p, n)(|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \leq F_p(\xi, \zeta) \leq C(p, n)(|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.5. For $(\xi, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$, we set

$$X_0 = \frac{\xi}{(|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}}, \quad X_1 = \frac{\zeta}{(|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}}.$$

It follows that

$$\theta_t = (|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}(tX_0 + (1-t)X_1), \quad |\theta_t| = (|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}|tX_0 + (1-t)X_1|.$$

Then, we have by inequality (1.4)

$$a(|\theta_t|) \leq \max(|tX_0 + (1-t)X_1|^{a_0}, |tX_0 + (1-t)X_1|^{a_1})a((|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}) \\ \min(|tX_0 + (1-t)X_1|^{a_0}, |tX_0 + (1-t)X_1|^{a_1})a((|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}) \leq a(|\theta_t|)$$

Note that since $|tX_0 + (1-t)X_1| \leq t|X_0| + (1-t)|X_1| \leq t + (1-t) = 1$ and $0 < a_0 \leq a_1$, we have $|tX_0 + (1-t)X_1|^{a_1} \leq |tX_0 + (1-t)X_1|^{a_0}$. Hence we get

$$|tX_0 + (1-t)X_1|^{a_1}a((|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}) \leq a(|\theta_t|) \\ \leq |tX_0 + (1-t)X_1|^{a_0}a((|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2})$$

which leads to

$$F_{a_1+1}(X_0, X_1) \frac{a((|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2})}{(|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}} \leq G(\xi, \zeta) \leq F_{a_0+1}(X_0, X_1) \frac{a((|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2})}{(|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}}.$$

Now, if we apply Lemma 2.6 with $p = a_0 + 1$ and $p = a_1 + 1$, we get since $|X_0|^2 + |X_1|^2 = 1$

$$F_{a_0+1}(X_0, X_1) \leq C(a_0 + 1, n)(|X_0|^2 + |X_1|^2)^{\frac{a_0-1}{2}} = C(a_0 + 1, n) \\ F_{a_1+1}(X_0, X_1) \geq c_{a_1+1, n}(|X_0|^2 + |X_1|^2)^{\frac{a_1-1}{2}} = c(a_1 + 1, n)$$

Finally, we obtain

$$c(a_1 + 1, n) \frac{a((|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2})}{(|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}} \leq G(\xi, \zeta) \leq C(a_0 + 1, n) \frac{a((|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2})}{(|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}}$$

Since the two norms $|\xi| + |\zeta|$ and $(|\xi|^2 + |\zeta|^2)^{1/2}$ are equivalent, the lemma follows using (1.4) □

Lemma 2.7. For any $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have:

$$A(|X|) \geq A(|Y|) + \langle \Theta(Y), X - Y \rangle$$

Proof. Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. First, we have

$$A(|X|) - A(|Y|) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt}[A(|tX + (1-t)Y|)]dt \\ = \int_0^1 A'(|tX + (1-t)Y|) \cdot \frac{\langle tX + (1-t)Y, X - Y \rangle}{|tX + (1-t)Y|} dt \\ = \int_0^1 a(|tX + (1-t)Y|) \cdot \frac{\langle tX + (1-t)Y, X - Y \rangle}{|tX + (1-t)Y|} dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \langle \Theta(tX + (1 - t)Y), X - Y \rangle dt$$

Next, using (1.7), this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} A(|X|) - A(|Y|) &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t} \langle \Theta(tX + (1 - t)Y), t(X - Y) \rangle dt \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t} \langle \Theta(Y), t(X - Y) \rangle dt \\ &= \langle \Theta(Y), X - Y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which achieves the proof. □

Lemma 2.8. *For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have:*

$$|A(|X|) - A(|Y|)| \leq \frac{C_3}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} A(|X - Y|) + C_4 \delta^{a_0+1} (A(|X|) + A(|Y|))$$

where $C_3 = (1 + a_1)C_{a_0,n}$ and $C_4 = (1 + a_1)2^{a_1}C_3$

Proof. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A(|X|) - A(|Y|)| &= \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} [A(|tX + (1 - t)Y|)] dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 A'(|tX + (1 - t)Y|) \cdot \frac{\langle tX + (1 - t)Y, X - Y \rangle}{|tX + (1 - t)Y|} dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 a(|tX + (1 - t)Y|) \cdot \frac{\langle tX + (1 - t)Y, X - Y \rangle}{|tX + (1 - t)Y|} dt \right| \\ &\leq |X - Y| \cdot (|X| + |Y|) \cdot \int_0^1 \frac{a(|tX + (1 - t)Y|)}{|tX + (1 - t)Y|} dt \end{aligned}$$

Next, we get by using Lemma 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} |A(|X|) - A(|Y|)| &\leq C_{a_0,n} |X - Y| \cdot (|X| + |Y|) \cdot \frac{a(|X| + |Y|)}{|X| + |Y|} \\ &= C_{a_0,n} |X - Y| \cdot a(|X| + |Y|) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We observe that we have by (1.2)–(1.4), for $s, t \geq 0$ since $\delta \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} sa(t) &= \frac{s}{\delta^{a_1}} \cdot \delta^{a_1} a(t) \leq \frac{s}{\delta^{a_1}} \cdot a(\delta t) \leq \frac{s}{\delta^{a_1}} \cdot a\left(\frac{s}{\delta^{a_1}}\right) + \delta ta(\delta t) \\ &\leq \frac{s}{\delta^{a_1}} \cdot \frac{1}{(\delta^{a_1})^{a_1}} a(s) + \delta t \delta^{a_0} a(t) = \frac{sa(s)}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} + \delta^{a_0+1} ta(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1 + a_1}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} A(s) + (1 + a_1) \delta^{a_0+1} A(t) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Using (2.2) and (2.3), with $s = |X - Y|$ and $t = |X| + |Y|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A(|X|) - A(|Y|)| &\leq \frac{(1 + a_1)C_{a_0,n}}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} A(|X - Y|) + (1 + a_1)C_{a_0,n} \delta^{a_0+1} \\ &\quad A(|X| + |Y|) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Using (1.6), we get from (2.4)

$$|A(|X|) - A(|Y|)| \leq \frac{C_3}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} A(|X - Y|) + (1 + a_1)^2 2^{a_1} C_{a_0, n} \delta^{a_0+1} (A(|X|) + A(|Y|))$$

Hence, the lemma follows. □

Lemma 2.9. *There exist two positive constants $C_5 = \frac{(1+a_1)2^{\frac{a_1}{2}}}{C(A, n)}$ and $C_6 = (1 + a_1)2^{a_1} (1 + (1 + a_1)2^{a_1+2}) (C_5 + \frac{1+a_1}{4})$ such that we have for each $\eta \in (0, 1)$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $R > 0$ and $r \in (0, R)$:*

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} A(|\nabla u - \nabla v|) dx \leq \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n \left(\frac{C_5}{\eta^{1+a_1(1+a_1)}} \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|F|) dx + C_6 \eta^{a_0} \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla u|) dx \right)$$

Proof. We observe that by translation, it is enough to prove the lemma for $x_0 = 0$. Using $w = (u - v)\chi_{B_R}$ as a test function for problems (P) and (P₀) and subtracting the two equations and using (2.3), we get for $\eta \in (0, 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R} (\Theta(\nabla u) - \Theta(\nabla v))(\nabla u - \nabla v) dx \\ &= \int_{B_R} \frac{a(|F|)}{|F|} F \cdot \nabla w dx \leq \int_{B_R} a(|F|) \cdot |\nabla w| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1 + a_1}{\eta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \int_{B_R} A(|F|) dx + (1 + a_1) \eta^{a_0+1} \int_{B_R} A(|\nabla w|) dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Using (2.5) and (1.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C(A, n)}{2^{\frac{a_1}{2}}} \int_{B_R} \frac{a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)}{|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|} \cdot |\nabla w|^2 dx &\leq \frac{1 + a_1}{\eta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \int_{B_R} A(|F|) dx \\ &+ (1 + a_1) \eta^{a_0+1} \int_{B_R} A(|\nabla w|) dx \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R} \frac{a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)}{|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|} \cdot |\nabla w|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{C_5}{\eta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \int_{B_R} A(|F|) dx + C_5 \eta^{a_0+1} \int_{B_R} A(|\nabla w|) dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

By (1.2), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla w|) dx &\leq \int_{B_r} |\nabla w| a(|\nabla w|) dx \\ &= \int_{E_{1,r}} |\nabla w| a(|\nabla w|) dx + \int_{E_{2,r}} |\nabla w| a(|\nabla w|) dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $E_{1,r} = B_r \cap \{ (|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)a(|\nabla w|) \leq |\nabla w|a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) \}$ and $E_{2,r} = B_r \cap \{ (|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)a(|\nabla w|) > |\nabla w|a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) \}$.

From the definition of $E_{1,r}$, we see that

$$\int_{E_{1,r}} |\nabla w| a(|\nabla w|) dx \leq \int_{E_{1,r}} \frac{a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)}{|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|} \cdot |\nabla w|^2 dx \tag{2.8}$$

Using the monotonicity of a , the definition of $E_{2,r}$, and Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_{2,r}} |\nabla w| a(|\nabla w|) dx &\leq \int_{E_{2,r}} a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) \cdot |\nabla w| dx \\ &\leq \int_{E_{2,r}} \left(\frac{a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)}{|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|} \cdot |\nabla w|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot ((|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|))^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{E_{2,r}} \frac{a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)}{|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|} \cdot |\nabla w|^2 dx + \frac{\eta}{4} \int_{E_{2,r}} (|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{E_{2,r}} \frac{a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)}{|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|} \cdot |\nabla w|^2 dx + \frac{(1 + a_1)\eta}{4} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Combing (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), and (2.9), and using (1.6) and Remark 2.1, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_r} A(|\nabla w|) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{B_r} \frac{a(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|)}{|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|} \cdot |\nabla w|^2 dx + \frac{(1 + a_1)\eta}{4} \int_{B_R} A(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C_5}{\eta^{a_1(1+a_1)+1}} \int_{B_R} A(|F|) dx + C_5 \eta^{a_0} \int_{B_R} A(|\nabla w|) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 + a_1)\eta}{4} \int_{B_R} A(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C_5}{\eta^{a_1(1+a_1)+1}} \int_{B_R} A(|F|) dx + \left(C_5 \eta^{a_0} + \frac{(1 + a_1)\eta}{4} \right) \int_{B_R} A(|\nabla u| + |\nabla v|) dx \\ &\leq (1 + a_1) 2^{a_1} \left(C_5 \eta^{a_0} + \frac{(1 + a_1)\eta}{4} \right) \left(\int_{B_R} A(|\nabla u|) dx + \int_{B_R} A(|\nabla v|) dx \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{C_5}{\eta^{a_1(1+a_1)+1}} \int_{B_R} A(|F|) dx \\ &\leq (1 + a_1) 2^{a_1} \left(C_5 + \frac{1 + a_1}{4} \right) (1 + (1 + a_1) 2^{a_1+2}) \eta^{a_0} \int_{B_R} A(|\nabla u|) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{C_5}{\eta^{a_1(1+a_1)+1}} \int_{B_R} A(|F|) dx \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the lemma follows. □

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $R > 0$ and $r \in (0, R)$. First, we have by Lemma 2.8 used for $X = \nabla u(x)$ and $Y = \nabla u(y)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B_r} |A(|\nabla u|) - (A(|\nabla u|))_r| dx \\
 &= \int_{B_r} \left| \int_{B_r} (A(|\nabla u(x)|) - A(|\nabla u(y)|)) dy \right| dx \\
 &\leq \int_{B_r \times B_r} |A(|\nabla u(x)|) - A(|\nabla u(y)|)| dx dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C_3}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \int_{B_r \times B_r} A(|\nabla u(x) - \nabla u(y)|) dx dy \\
 &\quad + C_4 \delta^{a_0+1} \left(\int_{B_r \times B_r} A(|\nabla u(x)|) dx dy + \int_{B_r \times B_r} A(|\nabla u(y)|) dx dy \right) \\
 &= \frac{C_3}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \int_{B_r \times B_r} A(|\nabla u(x) - \nabla u(y)|) dx dy \\
 &\quad + 2C_4 \delta^{a_0+1} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u(x)|) dx \\
 &\leq \frac{C_3}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \int_{B_r \times B_r} A(|\nabla u(x) - (\nabla u)_r| + |\nabla u(y) - (\nabla u)_r|) dx dy \\
 &\quad + 2C_4 \delta^{a_0+1} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u|) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

This leads by (1.6) to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B_r} |A(|\nabla u|) - (A(|\nabla u|))_r| dx \\
 &\leq \frac{C_3(1+a_1)2^{a_1}}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \left(\int_{B_r \times B_r} A(|\nabla u(x) - (\nabla u)_r|) dx dy \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{B_r \times B_r} A(|\nabla u(y) - (\nabla u)_r|) dx dy \right) \\
 &\quad + 2C_4 \delta^{a_0+1} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u|) dx \\
 &= \frac{2C_3(1+a_1)2^{a_1}}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r|) dx + 2C_4 \delta^{a_0+1} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u|) dx
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Using (1.6) again, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r|) dx \\
 &\leq \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u - \nabla v| + |\nabla v - (\nabla v)_r| + |(\nabla u)_r - (\nabla v)_r|) dx \\
 &\leq (1+a_1)2^{a_1} \left(\int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u - \nabla v|) dx \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_r| + |(\nabla u)_r - (\nabla v)_r|) dx \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 + a_1)2^{a_1} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u - \nabla v|)dx \\ &+ (1 + a_1)^2 2^{2a_1} \left(\int_{B_r} A(|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_r|)dx + \int_{B_r} A(|(\nabla u)_r - (\nabla v)_r|)dx \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Using Jensen’s inequality, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} A(|(\nabla u)_r - (\nabla v)_r|)dx &= A\left(\left|\int_{B_r} (\nabla u - \nabla v)dx\right|\right) \\ &\leq \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u - \nabla v|)dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u - (\nabla u)_r|)dx \\ &\leq (1 + a_1)2^{a_1}(1 + (1 + a_1)2^{a_1}) \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u - \nabla v|)dx \\ &\quad + (1 + a_1)^2 2^{2a_1} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_r|)dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |A(|\nabla u|) - (A(|\nabla u|))_r|dx &\leq \frac{C_7}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u - \nabla v|)dx \\ &\quad + \frac{C_8}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla v - (\nabla v)_r|)dx + 2C_4\delta^{a_0+1} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u|)dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where $C_7 = 2C_3(1 + a_1)^2 2^{2a_1}(1 + (1 + a_1)2^{a_1})$ and $C_8 = 2C_3(1 + a_1)^3 2^{3a_1}$.

Finally, by taking into account Remark 2.2 and Lemma 2.8, we obtain from (2.14) for any $\eta \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |A(|\nabla u|) - (A(|\nabla u|))_r|dx &\leq \frac{C_7}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n \\ &\quad \left(\frac{C_5}{\eta^{1+a_1(1+a_1)}} \int_{B_R} A(|F|)dx + C_6\eta^{a_0} \int_{B_R(x_0)} A(|\nabla u|)dx\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{C_8C_2}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}}(1 + a_1)^2 2^{2a_1+3} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^\alpha \int_{B_R} A(|\nabla u|)dx \\ &\quad + 2C_4\delta^{a_0+1} \int_{B_r} A(|\nabla u|)dx \end{aligned}$$

To conclude the proof, we let $\gamma = \max(C_5C_7, C_6C_7 + C_2C_8(1+a_1)^2 2^{2a_1+3}, 2C_4)$, $m = n + \frac{(\alpha+n)(1+a_1(1+a_1))}{a_0}$, and choose η such that $\eta^{a_0} = \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\alpha+n}$ or $\eta = \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+n}{a_0}}$. Then we obtain

$$\int_{B_r} |A(|\nabla u|) - (A(|\nabla u|))_r| dx \leq \frac{\gamma}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^m \int_{B_R} A(|F|) dx + \gamma \left(\delta^{a_0+1} + \frac{1}{\delta^{a_1(1+a_1)}} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^\alpha\right) \int_{B_R} A(|\nabla u|) dx$$

□

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. First, we recall that for each function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function associated with f is given by

$$M[f](x_0) = \sup_{r>0} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |f(x)| dx, \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and the sharp maximal function associated with f is defined by

$$f^\sharp(x_0) = \sup_{r>0} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |f - (f)_{x_0,r}| dx, \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We deduce from Lemma 2.4 that we have for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $r > 0$, $R = \frac{r}{\delta^{\frac{a_1(1+a_1)+a_0+1}{\alpha}}}$, $\kappa = a_1(1 + a_1) + \frac{m(a_1(1+a_1)+a_0+1)}{\alpha}$, and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |A(|\nabla u|) - (A(|\nabla u|))_r| dx \leq \frac{\gamma}{\delta^\kappa} \int_{B_{Rx_0}} A(|F|) dx + 2\gamma\delta^{a_0+1} \int_{B_{Rx_0}} A(|\nabla u|) dx$$

which leads to

$$(A(|\nabla u|))^\sharp(x_0) \leq \frac{\gamma}{\delta^\kappa} M[A(|F|)](x_0) + 2\gamma\delta^{a_0+1} M[A(|\nabla u|)](x_0) \tag{3.1}$$

Now, let B be a function such that $B \circ A^{-1}$ is an N -function and assume that $F \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\nabla u| \in L^B(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then by using (1.6), we obtain from (3.1) (see [6]) for $\gamma' = \gamma(1 + c_1)2^{c_1}$, where c_1 is the equivalent to the constant a_1 for the function $B \circ A^{-1}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|\nabla u|) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(A^{-1}(A(|\nabla u|))^\sharp(x)) dx \leq 2\gamma'\delta^{1+a_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(A^{-1}M[A(|\nabla u|)](x)) dx + \frac{\gamma'}{\delta^\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(A^{-1}M[A(|F|)](x)) dx \tag{3.2}$$

By the Hardy–Littlewood maximal theorem (see [7]), we have for some positive constant C_9 depending only upon n, A and B

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(A^{-1}M[A(|F|)](x)) dx \leq C_9 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|F|) dx \tag{3.3}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(A^{-1}M[A(|\nabla u|)](x)) dx \leq C_9 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|\nabla u|) dx \tag{3.4}$$

We deduce then from (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|\nabla u|)dx \leq 2\gamma' C_9 \delta^{1+a_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|\nabla u|)dx + \frac{\gamma' C_9}{\delta^{\kappa}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|F|)dx \quad (3.5)$$

Now, if we choose δ such that $\delta \in \left(0, \min\left(1, (2\gamma' C_9)^{-\frac{1}{1+a_0}}\right)\right)$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|\nabla u|)dx \leq \frac{\gamma' C_9}{\delta^{\kappa}(1 - 2\gamma' C_9 \delta^{1+a_0})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|F|)dx \quad (3.6)$$

This completes the proof when $F \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\nabla u| \in L^B(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Next, we will establish it when $F \in L^B(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To do that, we consider a sequence $(F_k)_k$ of vector functions in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that converges to F in $L^B(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote by $(u_k)_k$ the sequence of unique solutions of the following problem

$$(P_k) \begin{cases} u_k \in W^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \operatorname{div}(\Theta(\nabla u_k)) = \operatorname{div}(\Theta(F_k)) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

Since F_k has compact support in \mathbb{R}^n , there exists $l_k > 0$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(F_k) \subset B_{l_k}$, and therefore we have for all k

$$\operatorname{div}(\Theta(\nabla u_k)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{l_k} \quad (3.7)$$

We will prove that $|\nabla u_k| \in L^B(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $u_k \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it is enough to show that $\int_{\{|x|>2l_k\}} B(|\nabla u_k(x)|)dx < \infty$. We observe that because of (3.7), we can apply Lemma 2.3 in $B_{|x|-l_k}(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2l_k}$

$$A(|\nabla u_k(x)|) \leq \frac{C_1}{(|x| - l_k)^n} \int_{B_{|x|-l_k}(x)} A(|\nabla u_k|)dy \quad (3.8)$$

As observed in Remark 1.2, there exists two positive constants μ and K such that

$$0 \leq B \circ A^{-1}(st) \leq Ks^\mu B \circ A^{-1}(t) \quad \forall s, t \geq 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Using (3.8) and (3.9), and taking into account Remark 1.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|x|>2l_k\}} B(|\nabla u_k(x)|)dx \\ & \leq K \left(C_1 \int_{B_{|x|-l_k}(x)} A(|\nabla u_k|)dy \right)^\mu \cdot \int_{\{|x|>2l_k\}} B \circ A^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{(|x| - l_k)^n} \right) dx \\ & \leq K\omega_n \left(C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(|\nabla u_k|)dy \right)^\mu \cdot \int_{2l_k}^\infty r^{n-1} B \circ A^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{(r - l_k)^n} \right) dr \\ & \leq K\omega_n 2^{n-1} \left(C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(|\nabla u_k|)dy \right)^\mu \cdot \int_{2l_k}^\infty (r - l_k)^{n-1} B \circ A^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{(r - l_k)^n} \right) dr \\ & = \frac{K\omega_n 2^{n-1}}{n} \left(C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(|\nabla u_k|)dy \right)^\mu \cdot \int_{l_k^n}^\infty B \circ A^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) dt < \infty \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $|\nabla u_k| \in L^B(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, (3.6) is valid for u_k and we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|\nabla u_k|)dx \leq \frac{\gamma' C_9}{\delta^{\kappa}(1 - 2\gamma' C_9 \delta^{1+a_0})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|F_k|)dx \quad \forall k \quad (3.10)$$

Since $F_k \rightarrow F$ strongly in $L^B(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we deduce from (3.10) that we have for some positive integer k_0

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|\nabla u_k|)dx \leq \frac{2\gamma' C_9}{\delta^\kappa(1 - 2\gamma' C_9 \delta^{1+a_0})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|F|)dx \quad \forall k \geq k_0 \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore, u_k is uniformly bounded in $W^{1,B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and consequently has a weakly convergent subsequence to some function v in $W^{1,B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using Lemma 2.7 with function B , we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|\nabla u_k|)dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|\nabla v|)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\langle \frac{b(|\nabla v|)}{|\nabla v|} \nabla v, \nabla u_k - \nabla v \right\rangle dx \quad (3.12)$$

Passing to the limit in (3.11) and taking into account (3.12) and the convergence for the weak topology, we infer that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|\nabla v|)dx \leq \frac{2\gamma' C_9}{\delta^\kappa(1 - 2\gamma' C_9 \delta^{1+a_0})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(|F|)dx \quad (3.13)$$

The proof of the theorem will be complete if we prove that v is a solution of problem (P) . To this end, we observe that it is enough to show that $\Theta(\nabla u_k)$ has a weakly convergent subsequence in $L^{\tilde{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\Theta(\nabla v)$. The proof is well known for monotone and continuous operators. We give it here for the sake of completeness.

Using $w = u_k - v$ as a test function for problem (P_k) , we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Theta(\nabla u_k) \cdot (\nabla u_k - \nabla v) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Theta(F_k) \cdot (\nabla u_k - \nabla v) dx \quad (3.14)$$

Now, given that ∇u_k is uniformly bounded in $L^A(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Theta(\nabla u_k)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{\tilde{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and, therefore, has a weakly convergent subsequence to some vector function V in $L^{\tilde{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, $u_k \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $W^{1,B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $F_k \rightarrow F$ strongly in $L^B(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, in particular, $u_k \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $W^{1,A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $F_k \rightarrow F$ strongly in $L^A(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, we obtain from (3.14)

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_k| a(|\nabla u_k|) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V \cdot \nabla v dx \quad (3.15)$$

At this point, we use (1.7) for $X = \nabla u_k$ and a vector function $Y \in L^A(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Theta(\nabla u_k) - \Theta(Y)) \cdot (\nabla u_k - Y) dx \geq 0.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and taking into account (3.15), one can check that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (V - \Theta(Y)) \cdot (\nabla v - Y) dx \geq 0.$$

Now choosing $Y = \nabla v - \lambda \vartheta$, where λ is a positive number and ϑ is an arbitrary vector function in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (V - \Theta(\nabla v - \lambda \vartheta)) \cdot \vartheta dx \geq 0.$$

Letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, we get $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (V - \Theta(\nabla v)) \vartheta dx \geq 0$. Since ϑ is arbitrary in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that $V = \Theta(\nabla v)$ in \mathbb{R}^n . This achieves the proof. \square

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Adams, R.A., Fournier, J.J.F.: Sobolev Spaces, 2nd edn. Academic Press, Berlin (2003)
- [2] Alessandrini, G., Sigalotti, M.: Geometric properties of solutions to the anisotropic p-Laplace equation in dimension two. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **26**(1), 249–266 (2001)
- [3] Challal, S., Lyaghfour, A.: Hölder continuity of solutions to the A -Laplace equation involving measures. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **8**(5), 1577–1583 (2009)
- [4] Challal, S., Lyaghfour, A., Rodrigues, J.F.: On the A -obstacle problem and the Hausdorff measure of its free boundary. *Ann. Mat.* **191**, 113–165 (2012)
- [5] DiBenedetto, E., Manfredi, J.: On the higher integrability of the gradient of weak solutions of certain degenerate elliptic systems. *Am. J. Math.* **115**(5), 1107–1134 (1993)
- [6] Fujii, N.: A proof of the Fefferman–Stein–Strömberg inequality for the sharp maximal functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **106**(2), 371–377 (1989)
- [7] Gallardo, D.: Orlicz spaces for which the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is bounded. *Publ. Mat.* **32**, 261–266 (1988)
- [8] Iwaniec, T.: Projections onto gradient fields and L^p -estimates for degenerate elliptic equations. *Stud. Math.* **75**, 293–312 (1983)
- [9] Lieberman, G.M.: The natural generalization of the natural conditions of Ladyzhenskaya and Uraltseva for elliptic equations. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **16**(2–3), 311–361 (1991)
- [10] Musielak, J.: Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces. Springer, Berlin (1983)

Abdeslem Lyaghfour
United Arab Emirates University
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Al Ain
Abu Dhabi
UAE
e-mail: a.lyaghfour@uaeu.ac.ae

Received: June 12, 2021.

Revised: November 5, 2021.

Accepted: May 24, 2022.