



L^p -Boundedness of the Littlewood–Paley g -Function Associated with the Spherical Mean Operator for $1 < p < +\infty$

A. Hammami and S. Omri

Abstract. We prove the L^p -boundedness of the Littlewood–Paley g -function associated with the spherical mean operator for $p \in]1, +\infty[$.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary : 43A32, Secondary : 42B10.

Keywords. spherical mean operator, poisson semigroup, poisson integral, Littlewood–Paley g -function.

1. Introduction

According to Stein [10], the Littlewood–Paley g -function is defined in the Euclidean case by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, g(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |\nabla (\mathcal{U}(f))(x, t)|^2 t dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\mathcal{U}(f)$ is the Poisson integral of f defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[$, by

$$\mathcal{U}(f)(x, t) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{tf(y)}{(t^2 + |x - y|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} dy,$$

and ∇ is the standard gradient on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . According to Stein [10], it is well known that the Littlewood–Paley g -function is bounded from the Lebesgue space L^p , $p \in]1, +\infty[$ into it self. The Littlewood–Paley theory constitutes one of the most important ways to study many function spaces as the Hardy spaces H^p , or the various forms of Lipshitz and BMO spaces, and remains closely related to the theory of Fourier multipliers in harmonic analysis. For more details, we refer the reader to Stein [10]. In the literature, many authors notably A. Achour, A. Fitouhi, and K. Stempak [1, 2, 11] generalized the Littlewood–Paley g -function to several other hypergroups and integral transforms, and showed similarly its L^p -boundedness.

The spherical mean operator \mathcal{R} is defined by [7]

$$\mathcal{R}(f)(r, x) = \int_{S^n} f(r\eta, x + r\xi) d\sigma_n(\eta, \xi), \quad (r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where S^n is the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and $d\sigma_n$ is the surface measure on S^n normalized to have total measure one. The Fourier transform associated with the spherical mean operator is defined by [7]

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\mu, \lambda) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{R}(\cos(\mu \cdot) e^{-i(\lambda|\cdot|)})(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x),$$

where $d\nu_{n+1}$ is a measure that will be defined later. Many harmonic analysis results related to spherical mean operator and its the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} have already been proved by Rachdi and Trimèche [7, 9] or also by Hleili and Omri [3, 5, 8]. Hleili and Omri [3] defined the Littlewood–Paley g -function associated with the spherical mean operator by

$$\in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad g(f)(r, x) = \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |\nabla(\mathcal{U}(f))(r, x, t)|^2 t dt \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\mathcal{U}(f)$ is the Poisson integral associated with the spherical mean operator (see [3]). The authors showed that for every $p \in]1, 2]$ and for every $f \in L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$ the function $g(f)$ belongs to the space $L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$ and satisfies

$$\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} \leq \frac{2^{\frac{2+p}{2p}}}{\sqrt{p}(p-1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|f\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}}.$$

The aim of this work is to extend this result to every $p \in]1, +\infty[$.

2. The Spherical Mean Operator

2.1. Eigenfunction Associated with the Spherical Mean Operator

In [7], Nessibi, Rachdi and Trimèche showed that for every $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$, the function $\varphi_{(\mu, \lambda)}$ defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$(r, x) = \mathcal{R}(\cos(\mu \cdot) e^{-i(\lambda|\cdot|)})(r, x), \tag{2.1}$$

is the unique infinitely differentiable function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, even with respect to the first variable, satisfying the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(r, x_1, \dots, x_n) = -i\lambda_j u(r, x_1, \dots, x_n), & 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ \ell_{\frac{n-1}{2}} u(r, x_1, \dots, x_n) - \Delta u(r, x_1, \dots, x_n) = -\mu^2 u(r, x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ u(0, \dots, 0) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, & (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

where $\ell_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ is the Bessel operator defined by $\ell_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{n}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$, and $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$ denotes the Laplacian operator. Then, according to Nessibi,

Rachdi and Trimèche [7], it is known that for every $r, \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\ell_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(j_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(r \cdot) \right) (\mu) = -r^2 j_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(r\mu) \tag{2.2}$$

where $j_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ is the modified Bessel function [6]. In [7], the authors proved also that the eigenfunction $\varphi_{(\mu,\lambda)}$ defined by relation (2.1) is explicitly given by

$$\forall (r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \varphi_{(\mu,\lambda)}(r, x) = j_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(r\sqrt{\mu^2 + |\lambda|^2})e^{-i\langle \lambda | x \rangle}, \tag{2.3}$$

From the properties of the modified Bessel function $j_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, we deduce that the eigenfunction $\varphi_{(\mu,\lambda)}$ is bounded on $\times \mathbb{R}^n$ if, and only if, $(\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon$, where

$$\Upsilon = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{ (ir, x), (r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, |r| \leq |x| \}, \tag{2.4}$$

and in this case

$$\sup_{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi_{(\mu,\lambda)}(r, x)| = 1. \tag{2.5}$$

In the following, we denote by

- $d\nu_{n+1}$ is the measure defined on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ by $d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) = \frac{r^n dr dx}{2^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}$.
- $\mathcal{C}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, even with respect to the first variable.
- $\mathcal{C}_{0,e}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, even with respect to the first variable such that $\lim_{r^2+|x|^2 \rightarrow +\infty} f(r, x) = 0$.
- $\mathcal{C}_e^k(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of functions of class C^k on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, even with respect to the first variable.
- $\mathcal{C}_e^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, even with respect to the first variable.
- $S_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions, rapidly decreasing together with all their derivatives, even with respect to the first variable.
- $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with compact support, even with respect to the first variable.
- $\Upsilon_+ = [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{ (is, y) ; (s, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n ; s \leq |y| \}$.
- \mathcal{B}_{Υ_+} the σ -algebra defined on Υ_+ by $\mathcal{B}_{\Upsilon_+} = \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{\text{Bor}}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n))$ where θ is the bijective function defined on the set Υ_+ by $\theta(s, y) = (\sqrt{s^2 + |y|^2}, y)$.
- γ_{n+1} the measure defined on \mathcal{B}_{Υ_+} by $\gamma_{n+1}(B) = \nu_{n+1}(\theta(B))$.

2.2. Generalized Translation Operator and Convolution Product

According to Nessibi, Rachdi and Trimèche [7], for every $(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$, the generalized translation operator $\mathcal{T}_{(r,x)}$ associated with the spherical mean operator is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_{(r,x)}(f)(s, y) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \int_0^\pi f(\sqrt{r^2 + s^2 + 2rs \cos \theta}, x + y)(\sin \theta)^{n-1} d\theta, \tag{2.6}$$

whenever the integral in the right hand side is well defined. The convolution product of two measurable functions f and g is defined on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$f * g(r, x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{T}_{(r,-x)}(\check{f})(s, y)g(s, y)d\nu_{n+1}(s, y), \tag{2.7}$$

whenever the integral of the right-hand side is well defined, where $\check{f}(s, y) = f(s, -y)$. Then, it is well know that for every $p \in [1, +\infty]$, $\mathcal{T}_{(r,x)}$ is bounded form $L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$ into itself and satisfies

$$\|\|\mathcal{T}_{(r,x)}\|\| \leq 1. \tag{2.8}$$

Moreover, for every $f \in L^p(d\nu_{n+1}), p \in [1, +\infty[$, we have

$$\lim_{(r,x) \rightarrow (0,0)} \|\mathcal{T}_{(r,x)}(f) - f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

We have also the following Young inequality [7], that is for every $p, q, r \in [1, +\infty]$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$ and for every $f \in L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$ and $g \in L^q(d\nu_{n+1})$, the function $f * g$ belongs to the space $L^r(d\nu_{n+1})$, and we have

$$\|f * g\|_{r,\nu_{n+1}} \leq \|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}}\|g\|_{q,\nu_{n+1}}. \tag{2.10}$$

2.3. The Fourier Transform Associated with the Spherical Mean Operator

The Fourier transform \mathcal{F} associated with the spherical mean operator is defined on $L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$ by [7]

$$\forall(\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon, \mathcal{F}(f)(\mu, \lambda) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(r, x)\varphi_{(\mu,\lambda)}(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x),$$

where $\varphi_{(\mu,\lambda)}$ is the eigenfunction given by relation (2.3), and Υ is the set defined by relation (2.4). Then, according to [7], it is known that for every $f \in L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$,

$$\mathcal{F}(f) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(f) \circ \theta, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the integral transform defined on $L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$, by

$$\forall(s, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(f)(s, y) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(r, x)j_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(rs)e^{-i\langle y|x\rangle} d\nu_{n+1}(r, x). \tag{2.12}$$

We know also that for every $f, g \in L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(f * g) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(g). \tag{2.13}$$

Moreover, relation (2.5) implies that the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} is a bounded linear operator from $L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$ into $L^\infty(d\gamma_{n+1})$, and that for every $f \in L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{\infty,\gamma_{n+1}} \leq \|f\|_{1,\nu_{n+1}}. \tag{2.14}$$

Theorem 2.1 [Inversion formula]. *Let $f \in L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$ such that $\mathcal{F}(f) \in L^1(d\gamma_{n+1})$, then for almost every $(r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$f(r, x) = \iint_{\Upsilon_+} \mathcal{F}(f)(\mu, \lambda)\overline{\varphi_{(\mu,\lambda)}(r, x)} d\gamma_{n+1}(\mu, \lambda)$$

Theorem 2.2 (Plancherel theorem). *The Fourier transform \mathcal{F} can be extended to an isometric isomorphism from $L^2(d\nu_{n+1})$ onto $L^2(d\gamma_{n+1})$. In particular, we have the following Parseval equality that is, for every $f, g \in L^2(d\nu_{n+1})$*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(r, x) \overline{g(r, x)} \, d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) = \iint_{\Upsilon_+} \mathcal{F}(f)(\mu, \lambda) \overline{\mathcal{F}(g)(\mu, \lambda)} \, d\gamma_{n+1}(\mu, \lambda). \tag{2.15}$$

3. The Generalized Poisson Integral Associated with the Spherical Mean Operator

3.1. The Generalized Poisson Integral Associated with the Spherical Mean Operator

In [3], Hleili and Omri introduced the Poisson kernel associated with the spherical mean operator, by

$$\begin{aligned} \forall (r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, p_t(r, x) &= \iint_{\Upsilon_+} e^{-t|\theta(s,y)|} \overline{\varphi_{(s,y)}(r, x)} \, d\gamma_{n+1}(s, y), \quad t > 0 \\ &= \frac{2^{n+\frac{1}{2}} n! t}{\sqrt{\pi}(t^2 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

According to [3], the generalized Poisson integral associated with the spherical mean operator is defined for every $f \in L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$ by

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[, \mathcal{U}(f)(r, x, t) = \mathcal{P}^t(f)(r, x),$$

where \mathcal{P}^t is the convolution operator defined on $L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$, by $\mathcal{P}^t(f) = p_t * f$. Then, by inversion formula, we deduce that for every $f \in L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(f)(r, x, t) &= \iint_{\Upsilon_+} e^{-t|\theta(\mu,\lambda)|} \mathcal{F}(f)(\mu, \lambda) \overline{\varphi_{(\mu,\lambda)}(r, x)} \, d\gamma_{n+1}(\mu, \lambda), \quad a.e \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(f)(s, y) j_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(rs) e^{i\langle y|x \rangle} \, d\nu_{n+1}(s, y), \quad a.e. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in L^1(d\nu_{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{0,e}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, then the function $\mathcal{U}(f)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[)$, and satisfies*

$$\forall (r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{U}(f)(r, x, 0) = f(r, x). \tag{3.3}$$

In the following for every nonnegative real number η , we denote by $B_\eta = \{(r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid r^2 + |x|^2 \leq \eta^2\}$ and $B_\eta^+ = B_\eta \cap (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. For every measurable function f on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $supp(f)$ the support of f .

Proposition 3.2. *Let η be a positive real number, and $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. If $supp(f) \subset B_\eta$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \text{For every } (r, x, t) \in B_{2\eta}^c \times]0, +\infty[, \text{ we have} \\ & \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial t}(r, x, t) \right| \leq \frac{2^{4n+3} (2n+3) (n!)^2 \eta^{2n+1}}{\pi (2n+1)!} \frac{\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{n+1}}}{(t^2 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

(ii) For every $(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial r}(r, x, t) \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}(2n+1)!}{2^{n-\frac{3}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})^2} \frac{\|f\|_{1, \nu_{n+1}}}{t^{2n+2}}. \tag{3.5}$$

(iii) For every $1 \leq j \leq n$ and for every $(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial x_j}(r, x, t) \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}(2n+1)!}{2^{n-\frac{3}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})^2} \frac{\|f\|_{1, \nu_{n+1}}}{t^{2n+2}}. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. (i) According to relations (2.6) and (3.1), we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_{(r,-x)} p_t}{\partial t}(s, y) \right| \leq \frac{2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}n!}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{2n+3}{(t^2 + (r-s)^2 + |x-y|^2)^{n+1}} \tag{3.7}$$

Hence by relations (2.7) and (3.7), we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial t}(r, x, t) \right| \leq \frac{2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}n!(2n+3)\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{n+1}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \int_{B_\eta^+} \frac{d\nu_{n+1}(s, y)}{(t^2 + (r-s)^2 + |x-y|^2)^{n+1}},$$

therefore, for every $(r, x, t) \in B_{2\eta}^c \times]0, +\infty[$, we get

$$\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial t}(r, x, t) \right| \leq \frac{2^{3n+\frac{5}{2}}n!(2n+3)\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{n+1}}\nu_{n+1}(B_\eta^+)}{\sqrt{\pi}(t^2 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{n+1}},$$

however, a standard calculus leads to $\nu_{n+1}(B_\eta^+) = \frac{\eta^{2n+1}n!2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}(2n+1)!}$. □

Lemma 3.3. Let W be the mapping defined on $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[, W(f)(r, x, t) = |\nabla \mathcal{U}(f)(r, x, t)|^2, \tag{3.8}$$

and let $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

- (i) $W(f) \in \mathcal{C}_e^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[)$.
- (ii) $\forall t > 0, W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, t) \in L^1(d\nu_{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{0,e}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (iii) $\lim_{r^2+|x|^2+t^2 \rightarrow +\infty} W(f)(r, x, t) = 0$.

Proof. (ii) Let $\eta > 0$ such that $supp(f) \subset B_\eta$, then according to Hleili and Omri [3, Lemma 4.2, pp. 900], we know that for every $(r, x, t) \in B_{2\eta}^c \times]0, +\infty[$, the generalized Poisson integral $\mathcal{U}(f)$ satisfies the following relations:

$$\left| \frac{\partial (\mathcal{U}(f))}{\partial r}(r, x, t) \right| \leq \frac{C\eta^{2n+1}\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{n+1}}}{(t^2 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{n+1}}, \tag{3.9}$$

and for every $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$\left| \frac{\partial (\mathcal{U}(f))}{\partial x_j}(r, x, t) \right| \leq \frac{C\eta^{2n+1}\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{n+1}}}{(t^2 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{n+1}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Then, by relations (3.4), (3.9) and (3.10), we get that for every $(r, x, t) \in B_{2\eta}^c \times]0, +\infty[$,

$$W(f)(r, x, t) \leq \frac{C\eta^{4n+2}\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{n+1}}^2}{(t^2 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{2n+2}}, \tag{3.11}$$

in particular for every $t > 0$, $W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, t)$ belongs to $L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$ and

$$\lim_{r^2+|x|^2 \rightarrow +\infty} W(f)(r, x, t) \leq \lim_{r^2+|x|^2 \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{C\eta^{4n+2} \|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{n+1}}^2}{(t^2 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{2n+2}} = 0.$$

(iii) • If $|(r, x)| \geq 2\eta$, then by relation (3.11), we have

$$\lim_{t^2+r^2+|x|^2 \rightarrow +\infty} W(f)(r, x, t) \leq \lim_{t^2+r^2+|x|^2 \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{C\eta^{4n+2} \|f\|_{\infty, \nu_{n+1}}^2}{(t^2 + r^2 + |x|^2)^{2n+2}} = 0.$$

• If $|(r, x)| \leq 2\eta$, then according to [3, Lemma 4.1 pp 899], we know that for every $(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial t}(r, x, t) \right| \leq \frac{n!(2n+1)!2^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{1, \nu_{n+1}}}{\sqrt{\pi}(2n)!} \frac{1}{t^{2n+2}}. \tag{3.12}$$

The proof is complete by means of relations (3.5), (3.6) and (3.12). □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, then for every $s > 0$, we have*

- (i) $\mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s)) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[)$.
- (ii) $\lim_{r^2+|x|^2+t^2 \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(r, x, t) = 0$.

Proof. According to Lemma 3.3, we know that for every $s > 0$ the function $W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s)$ belongs to $L^1(d\nu_{n+1})$ and, therefore, $\mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))$ is well defined; moreover, by relation (3.2), we have for every $(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(r, x, t) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t\sqrt{\mu^2+|\lambda|^2}} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(\mu, \lambda) j_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(r\mu) e^{i\langle \lambda, x \rangle} d\nu_{n+1}(\mu, \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s)) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[)$.

(ii) Let s be a positive real number, then by relation (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |r^2 \mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(r, x, t)| \\ & \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \ell_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(e^{-t\sqrt{\cdot^2+|\lambda|^2}} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(\cdot, \lambda))(\mu) \right| d\nu_{n+1}(\mu, \lambda) \\ & \leq C \frac{1+t+t^2}{t^{2n+1}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

and, by the same way, we may obtain that there is a nonnegative constant C (not necessarily the same) such that for every $(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[$,

$$|x_k^2 \mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(r, x, t)| \leq C \frac{1+t+t^2}{t^{2n+1}}, \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$|t^2 \mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(r, x, t)| \leq C \frac{1+t+t^2}{t^{2n+1}}, \tag{3.15}$$

□

Combining relations (3.13–3.15), we deduce that

$$|\mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(r, x, t)| \leq \frac{C(1+t+t^2)}{t^{2n+1}(r^2+|x|^2+t^2)}. \tag{3.16}$$

On the other hand by Lemma 3.3, we know that for every $s > 0$, $W(f) \in \mathcal{C}_{0,e}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, since the family $(p_t)_{t>0}$ is an approximation of identity in $\mathcal{C}_{0,e}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(\cdot, \cdot, t) = W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s)$ uniformly. Hence,

- If $t \rightarrow 0$, then $\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0^+ \\ r^2 + |x|^2 \rightarrow +\infty}} \mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(r, x, t) = 0$.
- If $t \geq a$ for some positive constant a , then by relation (3.16) we get

$$\lim_{\substack{t \geq a \\ t^2 + r^2 + |x|^2 \rightarrow +\infty}} \mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(r, x, t) = 0.$$

4. Litellewood–Paley g-Function Associated with the Spherical Mean Operator

The main idea of this section is to prove the L^p -boundedness for $4 \leq p < +\infty$ and to use nextly the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem. To prove the result for $4 \leq p < +\infty$, we are going to apply mainly the Hopf’s maximum principle to the uniformly elliptic operator [4]

$$\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{n}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}. \tag{4.1}$$

Theorem 4.1 (Strong Hopf’s maximum principle). Let

$$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j},$$

be an uniformly elliptic operator on a bounded connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, such that the functions a_{ij} and b_j are continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that for every $x \in \Omega$, $Lu(x) \geq 0$. If there exists $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x) = u(x_0)$, then

$$\forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, u(x) = u(x_0).$$

Proposition 4.2. Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n and T be positive real numbers and let

$$\Omega =] - a_0, a_0[\times \prod_{i=1}^n] - a_i, a_i[\times] 0, T[.$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$, be an even function with respect to the first variable, satisfying $\forall (r, x, t) \in \Omega, \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} u(r, x, t) \geq 0$. If there is $(r_0, x_0, t_0) \in \Omega, r_0 \neq 0$ such that $\sup_{(r,x,t) \in \bar{\Omega}} u(r, x, t) = u(r_0, x_0, t_0)$, then

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \bar{\Omega}, u(r, x, t) = u(r_0, x_0, t_0).$$

Proof. Assume that there is $(r_0, x_0, t_0) \in \Omega, r_0 \neq 0$ such that

$$\sup_{(r,x,t) \in \bar{\Omega}} u(r, x, t) = u(r_0, x_0, t_0),$$

since the function u is even with respect to the first variable then without loss of generality we can assume that $r_0 > 0$. Let ε be a real number satisfying $0 < \varepsilon < r_0$ and let $\Omega_\varepsilon =]\varepsilon, a_0[\times \prod_{i=1}^n] - a_i, a_i[\times] 0, T[$, then $(r_0, x_0, t_0) \in \Omega_\varepsilon$

and, therefore, $\sup_{(r,x,t) \in \overline{\Omega_\varepsilon}} u(r, x, t) = \sup_{(r,x,t) \in \overline{\Omega}} u(r, x, t) = u(r_0, x_0, t_0)$. On the other hand, the operator $\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ defined by relation (4.1) is uniformly elliptic on the connected bounded domain Ω_ε and satisfies according to the hypothesis $\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} u \geq 0$, hence by Theorem 4.1 we deduce that

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \Omega_\varepsilon, u(r, x, t) = u(r_0, x_0, t_0),$$

consequently

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in]0, a_0[\times \left(\prod_{i=1}^n] - a_i, a_i[\right) \times]0, T[, u(r, x, t) = u(r_0, x_0, t_0),$$

since u is continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$, then

$$\forall (x, t) \in \prod_{i=1}^n] - a_i, a_i[\times]0, T[, u(0, x, t) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} u(r, x, t) = u(r_0, x_0, t_0),$$

since u is even with respect to the first variable, then

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \Omega, u(r, x, t) = u(r_0, x_0, t_0).$$

However, u is continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$, hence

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \overline{\Omega}, u(r, x, t) = u(r_0, x_0, t_0).$$

□

Proposition 4.3. *Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n and T be positive real numbers and let*

$$\Omega =] - a_0, a_0[\times \prod_{i=1}^n] - a_i, a_i[\times]0, T[.$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$, be a function even with respect to the first variable satisfying

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \Omega, \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} u(r, x, t) \geq 0.$$

If there is $(x_0, t_0) \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^n] - a_i, a_i[\right) \times]0, T[$ such that $\sup_{(r,x,t) \in \overline{\Omega}} u(r, x, t) = u(0, x_0, t_0)$, then

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \overline{\Omega}, u(r, x, t) = u(0, x_0, t_0).$$

Proof. Let $M = u(0, x_0, t_0)$, then by Proposition 4.2, it is sufficient to prove that, there is $(r_1, x_1, t_1) \in \Omega$ such that $r_1 \neq 0$ and $M = u(r_1, x_1, t_1)$. Suppose towards a contradiction that this is not true, then

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \Omega, r \neq 0, u(r, x, t) < M. \tag{4.2}$$

Let φ be the function defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, by

$$\varphi(r, x, t) = e^{2r^2 - |x-x_0|^2 - (t-t_0)^2} - 1,$$

and H be the subset of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $H = \varphi^{-1}([0, +\infty[) \cap \Omega$. Since Ω is open and $(0, x_0, t_0) \in \Omega$, then there exists a real $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\overline{B_{(0,x_0,t_0),\varepsilon}} = \{(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}; r^2 + |x - x_0|^2 + (t - t_0)^2 \leq \varepsilon^2\} \subset \Omega,$$

and, therefore, $H \cap \overline{\partial B_{(0,x_0,t_0),\varepsilon}} = \varphi^{-1}([0, +\infty]) \cap \overline{B_{(0,x_0,t_0),\varepsilon}}$, in particular the set $K = H \cap \overline{\partial B_{(0,x_0,t_0),\varepsilon}}$ is compact. However, since u is continuous on K , then u attains its maximum on K that is there exists $(r_2, x_2, t_2) \in K$ such that

$$M' = \sup_{(r,x,t) \in K} u(r, x, t) = u(r_2, x_2, t_2).$$

Now, since $(r_2, x_2, t_2) \in K$, then $\varphi(r_2, x_2, t_2) = e^{2r_2^2 - |x_2 - x_0|^2 - (t_2 - t_0)^2} - 1 \geq 0$, in particular $r_2 \neq 0$ and by assertion (4.2) we get $M' < M$. Now let

$$M'' = \sup_{(r,x,t) \in K} \varphi(r, x, t),$$

since $(\varepsilon, x_0, t_0) \in K$ then $M'' \geq \varphi(\varepsilon, x_0, t_0) = e^{2\varepsilon^2} - 1 > 0$. Let $\delta \in]0, \frac{M - M'}{M''}[$, and let ϕ be the function defined on Ω by

$$\phi(r, x, t) = u(r, x, t) + \delta\varphi(r, x, t).$$

For every $(r, x, t) \in \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \varphi(r, x, t) \\ &= (16r^2 + 4|x - x_0|^2 + 4(t - t_0)^2 + 2n + 2) e^{2r^2 - |x - x_0|^2 - (t - t_0)^2} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\forall (r, x, t) \in \Omega, \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} u(r, x, t) \geq 0$, then for every $(r, x, t) \in \Omega$

$$\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \phi(r, x, t) > 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Let $(r, x, t) \in \partial B_{(0,x_0,t_0),\varepsilon}$,

– If $(r, x, t) \notin H$ then $\varphi(r, x, t) < 0$ and, therefore,

$$\phi(r, x, t) = u(r, x, t) + \delta\varphi(r, x, t) < u(r, x, t) < M.$$

– If $(r, x, t) \in H$ then $(r, x, t) \in K$, hence

$$\phi(r, x, t) = u(r, x, t) + \delta\varphi(r, x, t) \leq M' + \delta M'' < M.$$

Hence,

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \partial B_{(0,x_0,t_0),\varepsilon}, \phi(r, x, t) < M. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $(r_3, x_3, t_3) \in \overline{B_{(0,x_0,t_0),\varepsilon}}$ such that $\sup_{(r,x,t) \in \overline{B_{(0,x_0,t_0),\varepsilon}}} \phi(r, x, t) = \phi(r_3, x_3, t_3)$,

then

$$\phi(r_3, x_3, t_3) \geq \phi(0, x_0, t_0) = u(0, x_0, t_0) = M,$$

and by relation (4.4) we deduce that the function ϕ attains its maximum in $(r_3, x_3, t_3) \in B_{(0,x_0,t_0),\varepsilon}$.

• If $r_3 \neq 0$, then

$$\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \phi(r_3, x_3, t_3) = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2}(r_3, x_3, t_3) + \Delta \phi(r_3, x_3, t_3) + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2}(r_3, x_3, t_3) \leq 0. \tag{4.5}$$

• If $r_3 = 0$, since u is even with respect to the first variable, then for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(0, x, t) = 0$, and therefore $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2}(0, x, t) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(r, x, t)$, in particular $\ell_{\frac{n-1}{2}} u(0, x, t) = (n + 1) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2}(0, x, t)$, hence

$$\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \phi(0, x_3, t_3) = (n + 1) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2}(0, x_3, t_3) + \Delta \phi(0, x_3, t_3) + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2}(0, x_3, t_3) \leq 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Relations (4.5) and (4.6) show that $\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \phi(r_3, x_3, t_3) \leq 0$ which contradicts relation (4.3) and prove that assertion (4.2) is not true. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n and T be positive real numbers and let*

$$\Omega =] - a_0, a_0[\times] - a_i, a_i[\times] 0, T[.$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$, be a function even with respect to the first variable satisfying

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \Omega, \quad \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} u(r, x, t) \geq 0.$$

If u attains its maximum in Ω , then u is constant.

Proof. The result follows immediately from Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let $h \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[)$, be a function even with respect to the first variable. If*

- (i) $\forall (r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n; \quad h(r, x, 0) \geq 0.$
- (ii) $\lim_{r^2 + |x|^2 + t^2 \rightarrow +\infty} h(r, x, t) = 0.$
- (iii) $\forall (r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[, \quad \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} h(r, x, t) \leq 0.$ Then, h is nonnegative.

Proof. Suppose that there is $(r_1, x_1, t_1) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[$ such that

$$h(r_1, x_1, t_1) < 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Since h is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[$, and according to *ii*), we deduce that h is bounded on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[$ and attains its minimum in $(r_0, x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[$; furthermore, we have $h(r_0, x_0, t_0) \leq h(r_1, x_1, t_1) < 0$, hence according to *i*) we have $t_0 > 0$. Now, let $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n, \varepsilon$ be positive real numbers such that $\varepsilon > \sup(t_0, t_1)$ and such that the set $\Omega_1 = \prod_{j=0}^n] - b_j, b_j[\times] 0, 2\varepsilon[$ contains (r_0, x_0, t_0) . Let $g = -h$, then g satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 4.4 on Ω_1 , and attains its maximum in $(r_0, x_0, t_0) \in \Omega_1$. This implies that

$$\forall (r, x, t) \in \Omega_1, \quad h(r, x, t) = h(r_0, x_0, t_0) < 0.$$

In particular

$$h(r_0, x_0, \varepsilon) = h(r_0, x_0, t_0) < 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Relation (4.8) holds for every $\varepsilon > \sup(t_0, t_1)$ and consequently

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty} h(r_0, x_0, \varepsilon) = h(r_0, x_0, t_0) < 0,$$

which contradicts the hypothesis *ii*). \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, then for every $(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[$, we have*

$$\mathcal{U}(f)(r, x, 2t) \leq \mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, t))(r, x, t). \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, s be a positive real number, and $\Theta_s(f)$ be the function defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[$, by $\Theta_s(f)(r, x, t) = \mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s))(r, x, t) - W(f)(r, x, s + t)$. Our goal is to show that the function $\Theta_s(f)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.5. According to Lemma 3.3, it is clear that for every $s > 0$, the function $(r, x, t) \mapsto W(f)(r, x, s + t) \in \mathcal{C}_e^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[) \cap \mathcal{C}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[)$. On the other hand by Lemma 3.4, $\mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s)) \in \mathcal{C}_e^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[)$; furthermore by Lemma 3.3, we deduce that for every $s > 0$, $W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s) \in L^1(d\nu_{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{0,e}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ which implies by Lemma 3.1 that $\mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s)) \in \mathcal{C}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[)$; this means that $\Theta_s(f) \in \mathcal{C}_e^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[) \cap \mathcal{C}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[)$ and by relation (3.3) we have $\Theta_s(f)(r, x, 0) = 0$. From Lemmas 3.3 3.4, we have

$$\lim_{r^2+|x|^2+t^2 \rightarrow +\infty} \Theta_s(f)(r, x, t) = 0$$

Now, according to relations (3.3) and (3.2), we have

$$\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(\mathcal{U}(W(f)(\cdot, \cdot, s)))(r, x, t) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

We have, for every $g, h \in \mathcal{C}_e^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[)$,

$$\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(fg) = g\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(f) + f\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(g) + 2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \frac{\partial g}{\partial r} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \right). \tag{4.11}$$

We know that $\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(\mathcal{U}(f)) = 0$, and by the same way for every $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial x_j}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathcal{U}(f)\right) = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

and also

$$\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial t}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathcal{U}(f)\right) = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Then, by a standard calculus, we get

$$\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial r}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathcal{U}(f)\right) + \frac{n}{r^2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial r} = \frac{n}{r^2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial r}. \tag{4.14}$$

Combining relations (3.8),(4.11),(4.12), (4.13) and (4.14), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}W(f) \\ &= \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(f))}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(f))}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 + \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(f))}{\partial t}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2n}{r^2}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial r}\right)^2 + 2\left|\nabla\left(\frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(f))}{\partial r}\right)\right|^2 + 4\left(\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial r}\right)\right)\right)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial x_j}\right)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial r}\right)\right)^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Relations (4.10) and (4.15) imply that

$$\forall(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty[, \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Theta_s(f)(r, x, t) \leq 0,$$

and Corollary 4.5 achieves then the proof. □

According to [3], the Littlewood–Paley g -function associated with the spherical mean operator is defined for $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\forall(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^n, g(f)(r, x) = \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |\nabla(\mathcal{U}(f))(r, x, t)|^2 t dt\right)^{1/2}.$$

Lemma 4.7. *For every nonnegative functions $f, h \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(f)(r, x))^2 h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ &\leq 4 \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla\mathcal{U}(f)(r, x, t)|^2 \mathcal{U}(h)(r, x, t) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) t dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By relations (3.8) and (4.9) and using Fubini’s Theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(f)(r, x))^2 h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} t \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(r, x) \mathcal{P}^{\frac{t}{2}}\left(\left|\nabla\mathcal{P}^{\frac{t}{2}}(f)\right|^2\right)(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x)\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{P}^{\frac{t}{2}}$ is a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(d\nu_{n+1})$, then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(f)(r, x))^2 h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} t \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{P}^{\frac{t}{2}}(h)(r, x) \left|\nabla\mathcal{P}^{\frac{t}{2}}(f)(r, x)\right|^2 d\nu_{n+1}(r, x)\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by the change of variables $s = \frac{t}{2}$. □

Lemma 4.8. *For every positive functions $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{Supp}(f) \cup \text{Supp}(g) \subset B_\eta$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g)) (r, x, t) t dt d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^2(r, x) g(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. By the relation (4.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g)) &= \mathcal{U}(g) \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(f)^2) + \mathcal{U}(f)^2 \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(g)) \\ &+ 2 \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(f)^2)}{\partial r} \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial r} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(f)^2)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial x_j} \\ &+ 2 \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(f)^2)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial t}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Using the fact that $\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(f)) = \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(g)) = 0$, we obtain

$$\Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(f)^2) = 2 |\nabla(\mathcal{U}(f))|^2. \tag{4.18}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 2 \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(f)^2)}{\partial r} \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial r} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(f)^2)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial x_j} + 2 \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(f)^2)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial(\mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial t} \right| \\ & \leq 2 \mathcal{U}(f) |\nabla \mathcal{U}(f)|^2 + 2 \mathcal{U}(f) |\nabla \mathcal{U}(g)|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Since $\mathcal{U}(f)$ and $\mathcal{U}(g)$ are bounded, then using relations (4.11), (4.18) and (4.19) we deduce that there is a positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g)) (r, x, t) \right| t dt d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ & \leq C \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathcal{U}(f)(r, x, t)|^2 t dt d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ & \quad + C \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathcal{U}(g)(r, x, t)|^2 t dt d\nu_{n+1}(r, x). \end{aligned}$$

Using now the relation (4.18) and [11, proposition 4.3], we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g)) (r, x, t) \right| t dt d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ & \leq \frac{C}{2} \left(\|f\|_{2, \nu_{n+1}}^2 + \|g\|_{2, \nu_{n+1}}^2 \right) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g)) (r, x, t) t dt d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^\xi \int_0^\xi \int_{[-\xi, \xi]^n} \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g)) (r, x, t) t dt d\nu_{n+1}(r, x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

By Fubini’s theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\xi \int_0^\xi \int_{[-\xi, \xi]^n} \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g)) (r, x, t) dt d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ &= I(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^n I_j(\xi) + K(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

where

- $$\begin{aligned} I(\xi) &= \int_0^\xi \int_0^\xi \int_{[-\xi, \xi]^n} \ell_{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g)) (r, x, t) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) dt \\ &= \frac{\xi^n}{(\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})} \int_0^\xi \int_{[-\xi, \xi]^n} \frac{\partial (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial r} (\xi, x, t) dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

For $\xi \geq 2\eta$, and from [11, Lemma 4.2], we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{\partial (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial r} (\xi, x, t) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\xi^{6n+4}}.$$

Hence,

$$|I(\xi)| \leq \frac{C_1}{\xi^{4n+2}} \longrightarrow_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} 0. \tag{4.22}$$

- $$I_j(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \int_0^\xi \int_{[-\xi, \xi]^n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g)) (r, x, t) dt d\nu_{n+1}(r, x).$$

As the same way and using again [11, Lemma 4.2], we show that

$$|I_j(\xi)| \leq \frac{C_2}{\xi^{4n+2}} \longrightarrow_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} 0. \tag{4.23}$$

And $K(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \int_{[-\xi, \xi]^n} \left(\int_0^\xi \frac{\partial^2 (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial t^2} (r, x, t) dt \right) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x)$. Integrating by parts and using relation (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\xi \frac{\partial^2 (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial t^2} (r, x, t) dt \\ &= \xi \frac{\partial (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial t} (r, x, \xi) - (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g)) (r, x, \xi) + (f(r, x))^2 g(r, x), \end{aligned}$$

by [11, lemma 4.1], for $\xi > 0$, and $(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\left| \xi \frac{\partial (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g))}{\partial t} (r, x, \xi) - (\mathcal{U}(f)^2 \mathcal{U}(g)) (r, x, \xi) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\xi^{6n+3}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} K(\xi) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(r, x))^2 g(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x). \tag{4.24}$$

Then, the result follows from relations (4.20), (4.21), (4.22), (4.23) and (4.24). □

In [3], Hleili and Omri defined the maximal function f^* associated with the spherical mean operator by

$$f^*(r, x) = \sup_{t>0} |\mathcal{P}^t(f)(r, x)|, \tag{4.25}$$

and they showed that for every $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the maximal function f^* belongs to the space $L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$ and satisfies

$$\|f^*\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \leq 2 \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}}. \tag{4.26}$$

Lemma 4.9. *For every nonnegative functions $f, h \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(f)(r, x))^2 h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ & \leq 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(r, x)|^2 h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) + 8 \\ & \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^*(r, x) g(f)(r, x) g(h)(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using relation (4.18) and Lemma 4.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(f)(r, x))^2 h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ & \leq 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} ((\mathcal{P}^t(f))^2)(r, x) \mathcal{P}^t(h)(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) t dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Now, by a standard calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} ((\mathcal{P}^t(f))^2) \mathcal{P}^t(h) \\ & = \Delta_{\frac{n-1}{2}} ((\mathcal{P}^t(f))^2 \mathcal{P}^t(h)) - 4 \mathcal{P}^t(f) \langle \nabla(\mathcal{P}^t(f)) \mid \nabla(\mathcal{P}^t(h)) \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Combining relations (4.16), (4.25), (4.27) and (4.28), and using again Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get the desired result. \square

Proposition 4.10. *For every $p \in [4, +\infty[$ and for every nonnegative function $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $g(f)$ belongs to the space $L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$ and we have*

$$\|g(f)\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \leq A_p \|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}},$$

where $A_p = \sqrt{2} \left(8 p^{\frac{2-p}{2p}} (p-2)^{\frac{3p-4}{2p}} \frac{1}{(p-1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} + \sqrt{1 + 64 p^{\frac{2-p}{p}} (p-2)^{\frac{3p-4}{p}} \frac{1}{(p-1)^{\frac{2}{p}}}} \right)$.

Proof. Let q be the conjugate exponent of $\frac{p}{2}$, that is $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and let $f, h \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be nonnegative functions, such that $\|h\|_{q,\nu_{n+1}} \leq 1$.

From Lemma 4.9 and the generalized Hölder’s inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(f)(r, x))^2 h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ & \leq 2\|f\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}}^2 + 8\|f^*\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} \|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} \|g(h)\|_{q, \nu_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using relation (4.26) and [3, Proposition 4.6], we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(f)(r, x))^2 h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ & \leq 2\|f\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}}^2 + 8 \frac{2^{\frac{3}{2}} p^{\frac{2-p}{2p}} (p-2)^{\frac{3p-4}{2p}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|f\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} \|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}}^2 &= \sup_{\|h\|_{q, \nu_{n+1}} \leq 1} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g^2(f)(r, x) h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \right) \\ &\leq (\sqrt{2}\|f\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} + \frac{8p^{\frac{2-p}{2p}} (p-2)^{\frac{3p-4}{2p}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}})^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{64p^{\frac{2-p}{p}} (p-2)^{\frac{3p-4}{p}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{2}{p}}} \|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.11. *For every $p \in [4, +\infty[$ and for every $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the function $g(f)$ belongs to the space $L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$ and we have*

$$\|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} \leq B_p \|f\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}}.$$

Proof. Let $p \in [4, +\infty[$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $Supp(f) \subset B_a$, without loss of generality, we can assume that f is real valued and we consider $f^+ = \frac{f + |f|}{2}$, $f^- = \frac{-f + |f|}{2}$. Then, f^+ is nonnegative, belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{e,c}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies $Supp(f^+) \subset B_a$. From [11, Lemma 4.5], we know that for every real number $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there is a nonnegative function $h_1 \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $Supp(h_1) \subset B_{a+2}$ and

$$\forall (r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad 0 \leq h_1(r, x) - f^+(r, x) \leq \varepsilon. \tag{4.29}$$

On the other hand, the function $h_2 = h_1 - f = h_1 - f^+ + f^-$ is nonnegative, belongs to the space $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, and satisfies $Supp(h_2) \subset B_{a+2}$. Moreover,

$$\forall (r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad 0 \leq h_2(r, x) - f^-(r, x) = h_1(r, x) - f^+(r, x) \leq \varepsilon,$$

and $f = h_1 - h_2$. Since, the mapping $f \mapsto g(f)$ is sub-linear in the sense $g(f_1 + f_2) \leq g(f_1) + g(f_2)$, we deduce that $g(f) \leq g(h_1) + g(h_2)$. Using Proposition 4.10, it follows that for every $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} &\leq \|g(h_1)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} + \|g(h_2)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} \\ &\leq A_p (\|h_1\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} + \|h_2\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, from relation (4.29) and Minkowski’s inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_1\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} &= \left(\int \int_{B_{a+2}^+} (h_1(r, x))^p d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} + \varepsilon (\nu_{n+1}(B_{a+2}^+))^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

and by the same way $\|h_2\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \leq \|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} + \varepsilon (\nu_{n+1}(B_{a+2}^+))^{\frac{1}{p}}$. This means that for every $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$,

$$\|g(f)\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \leq 2A_p \left(\|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} + \varepsilon (\nu_{n+1}(B_{a+2}^+))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right),$$

and, consequently, $\|g(f)\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \leq 2A_p \|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} = B_p \|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}}$. □

Theorem 4.12. *For every $p \in [4, +\infty[$ and for every $f \in L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$, the function $g(f)$ belongs to the space $L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$ and we have*

$$\|g(f)\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \leq B_p \|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}}.$$

Proof. Let $p \in [4, +\infty[$ and let $f \in L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$, then there is a sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|f - f_k\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} = 0$. Since the mapping $g \mapsto g(f)$ is sub-linear, then for every $k, k' \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|g(f_k) - g(f_{k'})| \leq g(f_k - f_{k'}),$$

hence, by Proposition 4.11, we get

$$\|g(f_k) - g(f_{k'})\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \leq \|g(f_k - f_{k'})\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \leq B_p \|f_k - f_{k'}\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \xrightarrow{k, k' \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

This means that $((g(f_k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence which converges in $L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$. We put $g(f) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} g(f_k)$.

It is clear that $g(f)$ is independent of the choice of $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, and we have $\|g(f)\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} B_p \|f_k\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} = B_p \|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}}$. □

Theorem 4.13. *For every $p \in]1, +\infty[$ and for every function $f \in L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$, the function $g(f)$ belongs to the space $L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$, and we have*

$$\|g(f)\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \leq D_p \|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}}, \tag{4.30}$$

where

$$D_p = \begin{cases} C_p, & \text{if } p \in]1, 2[\\ B_p, & \text{if } p \in [4, +\infty[\\ 2^{\frac{4-p}{2p}} B_4^{\frac{2(p-2)}{p}}, & \text{if } p \in [2, 4] \end{cases}$$

Proof. The result is a consequence of Theorem [3, Theorem4.7], Theorem 4.12 and Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem. □

Theorem 4.14. *For every $p \in]1, +\infty[$ and for every $f \in L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$, the function $g(f)$ belongs to the space $L^p(d\nu_{n+1})$ and we have*

$$\|f\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}} \leq 4D_q \|g(f)\|_{p,\nu_{n+1}},$$

where D_q is the constant given by relation (4.30) and $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g_1(f)$ be the function defined on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$g_1(f)(r, x) = \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial t}(r, x, t) \right|^2 t dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From relation (3.2), we know that

$$\mathcal{U}(f)(r, x, t) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(f)(s, y) j_{\frac{n-1}{2}}(rs) e^{i\langle y|x \rangle} d\nu_{n+1}(s, y).$$

Hence, for every $(r, x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times]0, +\infty[$, we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(f)}{\partial t}(r, x, t) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} \left(-\sqrt{s^2 + |y|^2} e^{-t\sqrt{s^2+|y|^2}} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(f) \right) (r, x),$$

and, therefore, using Plancherel’s theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g_1(f)\|_{2, \nu_{n+1}}^2 \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r^2 + |x|^2) e^{-2t\sqrt{r^2+|x|^2}} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(f)(r, x) \right|^2 d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \right) t dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$\|g_1(f)\|_{2, \nu_{n+1}}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(f)\|_{2, \nu_{n+1}}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \|f\|_{2, \nu_{n+1}}^2.$$

Let $h \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, then by Schwarz’s inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(r, x) h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \\ &= \|g_1(f+h)\|_{2, \nu_{n+1}}^2 - \|g_1(f-h)\|_{2, \nu_{n+1}}^2 \\ &\leq 4 \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_1(f)(r, x) g_1(h)(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x), \end{aligned}$$

and, therefore, for every $p, q \in]1, +\infty[$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we get

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(r, x) h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \leq 4 \|g_1(f)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} \|g_1(h)\|_{q, \nu_{n+1}}.$$

In particular if $\|h\|_{q, \nu_{n+1}} \leq 1$ then by relation (4.30), we deduce that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(r, x) h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \leq 4D_q \|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}}.$$

Since,

$$\|f\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} = \sup_{\|h\|_{q, \nu_{n+1}} \leq 1} \left\{ \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(r, x) h(r, x) d\nu_{n+1}(r, x) \right\},$$

then, $\|f\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}} \leq 4D_q \|g(f)\|_{p, \nu_{n+1}}$. □

References

- [1] Achour, A., Trimèche, K.: La g -fonction de Littlewood–Paley associée à un opérateur différentiel singulier sur $]0, +\infty[$. *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble*, **33**, 203–226 (1983)
- [2] Annabi, H., Fitouhi, A.: La g -fonction de Littlewood–Paley associée à une classe d’opérateurs différentiels sur $]0, +\infty[$ contenant l’opérateur de Bessel. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **303**, 411–413 (1986)
- [3] Hleili, K., Omri, S.: The Littlewood–Paley g -function associated with the spherical mean operator. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **10**(2), 887–907 (2013)
- [4] Hopf, E.: A remark on linear elliptic differential equations of the second order. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **3**, 791–793 (1952)
- [5] Lamouchi, H., Majjaoui, B., Omri, S.: Localization of orthonormal sequences in the spherical mean setting, *Mediterr. J. Math.* (2015). doi:[10.1007/s00009-015-0572-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-015-0572-9)
- [6] Lebedev, N.N.: *Special Functions and their Applications*. Dover publications, New York (1972)
- [7] Nessibi, M.M., Rachdi, L.T., Trimèche, K.: Ranges and inversion formulas for spherical mean operator and its dual. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **196**(3), 861–884 (1995)
- [8] Omri, S.: Uncertainty principle in terms of entropy for the spherical mean operator. *J. Math. Inequal* **5**(4), 473–490 (2011)
- [9] Rachdi, L.T., Trimèche, K.: Weyl transforms associated with the spherical mean operator. *Anal. Appl.* **1**(N2), 141–164 (2003)
- [10] Stein, E.M.: *Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood–Paley Theory*, *Annals of Mathematical Studies*. Vol. 63, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1970)
- [11] Stempak, K.: La thorie de Littlewood–Paley pour la transformation de Fourier–Bessel. *C.R.A.S. Paris, Srie I, Math.* **303**(3), 15–18 (1986)

A. Hammami

Departement de mathmatiques
Facult des sciences de Tunis
Campus universitaire El Manar
2092, Tunis, Tunisia
e-mail: hammami2016@gmail.com

S. Omri

Departement de mathmatiques
Institut prparatoire aux études d’ingnieurs El Manar Campus
universitaire El Manar
2092, Tunis, Tunisia
e-mail: slim.omri@ipein.rnu.tn

Received: February 2, 2016.

Revised: May 18, 2016.

Accepted: May 23, 2016.