



A Note on Centralizers and Twisted Centralizers in Clifford Algebras

Ekaterina Filimoshina*  and Dmitry Shirokov

Abstract. This paper investigates centralizers and twisted centralizers in degenerate and non-degenerate Clifford (geometric) algebras. We provide an explicit form of the centralizers and twisted centralizers of the subspaces of fixed grades, subspaces determined by the grade involution and the reversion, and their direct sums. The results can be useful for applications of Clifford algebras in computer science, physics, and engineering.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A66, 11E88.

Keywords. Clifford algebra, geometric algebra, degenerate Clifford algebra, centralizer, twisted centralizer.

1. Introduction

In this work, we consider degenerate and non-degenerate real and complex Clifford (geometric) algebras $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ of arbitrary dimension and signature (in the case of any complex Clifford algebra, we can take $q = 0$). Degenerate Clifford algebras have applications in physics [5], geometry [7, 13, 20], computer vision and image processing [2], motion capture and robotics [23], neural networks and machine learning [4, 21, 22], etc.

Several recent works on Clifford algebras use the notion of centralizers and twisted centralizers in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ [9–12, 14, 21, 24]. We call a *centralizer of a set* in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ a subset of all elements of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ that commute with all elements of this set. A *twisted centralizer of a set* in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ is a subset of such multivectors that their projections onto the even $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}$ and odd $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$ subspaces commute and anticommute respectively with all elements of this set (see details in Sect. 3). Centralizers and twisted centralizers of some particular sets in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ are used in literature for various purposes. For example,

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Proceedings ICCA 23, Holon, 2023, edited by Uwe Kaehler and Maria Elena Luna-Elizarraras.

*Corresponding author.

the recent paper [21] finds an explicit form of the twisted centralizer of the grade-1 subspace in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ and applies it in the construction of Clifford group equivariant neural networks. The work [5] uses the explicit form of the same twisted centralizer when considering degenerate spin groups. The book [12] finds an explicit form of the centralizer of the even subspace in the case of the non-degenerate Clifford algebra $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}$. In the papers [9, 24], the centralizers and twisted centralizers of the even subspace and the grade-1 subspace are employed in consideration of Lie groups preserving the even and odd subspaces under the adjoint and twisted adjoint representations in the non-degenerate Clifford algebras $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}$. The works [10, 11] find an explicit form of these centralizers in the case of arbitrary $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$.

In light of appearance of centralizers and twisted centralizers in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ in the recent papers, we decided to investigate them in this note. We concentrate on the centralizers and twisted centralizers of the subspaces of fixed grades $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$, determined by the grade involution and the reversion, and their direct sums. In particular, we consider the centralizers and twisted centralizers of the even $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}$ and odd $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$ subspaces. We find an explicit form of these centralizers and twisted centralizers in the case of arbitrary $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$. We study the relations between the considered centralizers and the twisted centralizers. This paper also considers the centralizers and twisted centralizers in the particular cases of the non-degenerate Clifford algebra $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}$ and the Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}_{0,0,n}$. Theorems 3.6, 5.1, 5.2 and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.4 are new.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces all the necessary notation related to $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$. Section 3 provides an explicit form of the centralizers and twisted centralizers of the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and considers the relations between them. In Sect. 4, we write out all the considered centralizers and twisted centralizers in the particular cases $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{0,0,n}$ and in the case of small $k \leq 4$. Section 5 provides an explicit form of the centralizers and twisted centralizers of the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and their direct sums, in particular, the even and odd subspaces. The conclusions follow in Sect. 6. In ‘‘Appendix A’’, we describe the results related to the twisted adjoint representation with different signs, which is important for geometrical applications.

2. Degenerate and Non-degenerate Clifford Algebras $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$

Let us consider the Clifford (geometric) algebra [15, 18, 19] $\mathcal{G}(V) = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$, $p + q + r = n \geq 1$, over a vector space V with a symmetric bilinear form, where V can be real $\mathbb{R}^{p,q,r}$ or complex $\mathbb{C}^{p+q,0,r}$. We use \mathbb{F} to denote the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} in the first case and the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} in the second case. In this work, we consider both the case of the non-degenerate Clifford algebras $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}$ and the case of the degenerate Clifford algebras $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$, $r \neq 0$. We use Λ_r to denote the subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_{0,0,r}$, which is the Grassmann (exterior) algebra [6, 18]. The identity element is denoted by

e , the generators are denoted by e_a , $a = 1, \dots, n$. The generators satisfy the following conditions:

$$e_a e_b + e_b e_a = 2\eta_{ab} e, \quad \forall a, b = 1, \dots, n, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\eta = (\eta_{ab})$ is the diagonal matrix with p times $+1$, q times -1 , and r times 0 on the diagonal in the real case $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^{p,q,r})$ and $p + q$ times $+1$ and r times 0 on the diagonal in the complex case $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C}^{p+q,0,r})$.

Let us consider the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^k$ of fixed grades $k = 0, \dots, n$. Their elements are linear combinations of the basis elements $e_A = e_{a_1 \dots a_k} := e_{a_1} \dots e_{a_k}$, $a_1 < \dots < a_k$, labeled by ordered multi-indices A of length k , where $0 \leq k \leq n$. The multi-index with zero length $k = 0$ corresponds to the identity element e . The grade-0 subspace is denoted by \mathcal{G}^0 without the lower indices p, q, r , since it does not depend on the Clifford algebra's signature. We have $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^k = \{0\}$ for $k < 0$ and $k > n$. Let us use the following notation:

$$\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\geq k} := \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^k \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{k+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\leq k} := \mathcal{G}^0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^k \tag{2.3}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$. For example, $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\geq 0} = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\leq n} = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\geq n} = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n$.

Consider such conjugation operations as grade involution and reversion. The grade involute of an element $U \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ is denoted by \widehat{U} and the reversion is denoted by \widetilde{U} . These operations satisfy

$$\widehat{UV} = \widehat{U}\widehat{V}, \quad \widetilde{UV} = \widetilde{V}\widetilde{U}, \quad \forall U, V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}. \tag{2.4}$$

The grade involution defines the even $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}$ and odd $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$ subspaces:

$$\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(k)} = \{U \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : \widehat{U} = (-1)^k U\} = \bigoplus_{j=k \pmod 2} \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^j, \quad k = 0, 1. \tag{2.5}$$

We can represent any element $U \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ as a sum

$$U = \langle U \rangle_{(0)} + \langle U \rangle_{(1)}, \quad \langle U \rangle_{(0)} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}, \quad \langle U \rangle_{(1)} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}. \tag{2.6}$$

We use the angle brackets $\langle \cdot \rangle_{(l)}$ to denote the operation of projection of multivectors onto the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(l)}$, $l = 0, 1$. For an arbitrary subset $H \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$, we have

$$\langle H \rangle_{(0)} := H \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}, \quad \langle H \rangle_{(1)} := H \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}. \tag{2.7}$$

The grade involution and the reversion define four subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}}$, and $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{3}}$ (they are called the subspaces of quaternion types 0, 1, 2, and 3 respectively in the papers [26–28]):

$$\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}} = \{U \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : \widehat{U} = (-1)^k U, \widetilde{U} = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} U\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ can be represented as a direct sum of the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and viewed as $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra with respect to the commutator and anticommutator [25]. To denote the direct sum of different subspaces, we use the upper multi-index and omit the direct sum sign. For instance, $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)\bar{2}4} := \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^4$.

3. Centralizers and Twisted Centralizers of the Subspaces of Fixed Grades

Consider the subset $Z_{p,q,r}^m$ of all elements of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ commuting with all elements of the grade- m subspace for some fixed m :

$$Z_{p,q,r}^m := \{X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : XV = VX, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that $Z_{p,q,r}^m = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ for $m < 0$ and $m > n$. We call the subset $Z_{p,q,r}^m$ the *centralizer* (see, for example, [12, 17]) of the subspace $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$ in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$.

The center of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ is also the centralizer but of the entire Clifford algebra $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$. We denote the center of the degenerate and non-degenerate Clifford algebra $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ by $Z_{p,q,r}$. It is well known (see, for example, [5]) that

$$Z_{p,q,r} = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)}, & n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly, consider the set $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$:

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m := \{X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : \widehat{X}V = VX, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Note that $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ for $m < 0$ and $m > n$. We call the set $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$ the *twisted centralizer* of the subspace $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$ in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$. The particular case $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1$ is considered in the papers [5, 11, 21].

Note that twisted centralizers can be defined in another way, which we denote by $\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$:

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^m := \{X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : X\langle V \rangle_{(0)} + \widehat{X}\langle V \rangle_{(1)} = VX, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m\}. \tag{3.4}$$

We write out an explicit form of these objects in ‘‘Appendix A’’.

Note that the projections $\langle Z_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(0)}$ and $\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(0)}$ of $Z_{p,q,r}^m$ and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$ respectively onto the even subspace $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}$ (2.7) coincide by definition:

$$\langle Z_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(0)} = \langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(0)}, \quad \forall m = 0, 1, \dots, n. \tag{3.5}$$

In the case $m = 0$, we have

$$Z_{p,q,r}^0 = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^0 = \{X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : \widehat{X} = X\} = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}. \tag{3.6}$$

In Theorem 3.6, we find explicit forms of the centralizers $Z_{p,q,r}^m$ and the twisted centralizers $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$ of the subspaces of fixed grades for an arbitrary $m = 1, \dots, n$.

To prove Theorem 3.6, let us prove auxiliary Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.4. In Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we use that any non-zero $X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ has the following decomposition over a basis:

$$X = X_1 + \dots + X_k, \quad X_i = \alpha_i e_{A_i}, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}^\times, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \tag{3.7}$$

where A_i is an ordered multi-index, $A_i \neq A_j$ for $i \neq j$, and each X_i is non-zero, i.e. $\alpha_i \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any even m , we have*

$$\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)} \subseteq \langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{m+1} \rangle_{(1)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. In the case of even $m < 0$ or $m \geq n$, we have $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{m+1} = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$. For $m = 0$, we have $\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^0 \rangle_{(1)} = \langle \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)} \rangle_{(1)} = \{0\}$, where we use (3.6). Let us consider the case $0 < m < n$. Consider a non-zero element $X \in \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$, where m is even, and its decomposition over a basis (3.7). For any fixed $e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$, each summand X_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, contains at least one such e_{x_i} that $x_i \in \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$, because otherwise we have $X_i e_{a_1 \dots a_m} = e_{a_1 \dots a_m} X_i$, so $\widehat{X} e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \neq e_{a_1 \dots a_m} X$, and we get a contradiction. Therefore, for any $e_{a_1 \dots a_{m+1}} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{m+1}$,

$$\widehat{X} e_{a_1 \dots a_{m+1}} = \pm \widehat{X}_1 e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_1 \dots a_{m+1}} e_{x_1} \pm \dots \pm \widehat{X}_k e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_k \dots a_{m+1}} e_{x_k}, \tag{3.9}$$

where X_i contains e_{x_i} , $i = 1, \dots, k$, the sign depends on the parity of the corresponding permutation, and the checkmarks indicate that the corresponding indices are missing. From (3.9), we obtain

$$\widehat{X} e_{a_1 \dots a_{m+1}} = \pm e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_1 \dots a_{m+1}} X_1 e_{x_1} \pm \dots \pm e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_k \dots a_{m+1}} X_k e_{x_k} \tag{3.10}$$

$$= \pm e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_1 \dots a_{m+1}} e_{x_1} X_1 \pm \dots \pm e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_k \dots a_{m+1}} e_{x_k} X_k = e_{a_1 \dots a_{m+1}} X, \tag{3.11}$$

where all the signs preceding the terms remain the same in (3.9)–(3.11), since $X_i \in \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$ and X_i contains e_{x_i} , $i = 1, \dots, k$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. *For any odd m , we have*

$$\langle Z_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)} \subseteq \langle Z_{p,q,r}^{m+1} \rangle_{(1)}. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. In the case $m < 0$ or $m \geq n$, we have $Z_{p,q,r}^m = Z_{p,q,r}^{m+1} = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$. Let us consider the case $0 < m < n$. Consider a non-zero $X \in Z_{p,q,r}^m \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$, where m is odd, and its decomposition (3.7). For any fixed $e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$, each summand X_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, contains at least one such e_{x_i} that $x_i \in \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$, because otherwise $X_i e_{a_1 \dots a_m} = e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \widehat{X}_i$, so $X e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \neq e_{a_1 \dots a_m} X$, and we get a contradiction. Hence, for any $e_{a_1 \dots a_{m+1}} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{m+1}$, we have

$$X e_{a_1 \dots a_{m+1}} = \pm X_1 e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_1 \dots a_{m+1}} e_{x_1} \pm \dots \pm X_k e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_k \dots a_{m+1}} e_{x_k}, \tag{3.13}$$

where X_i contains e_{x_i} , $i = 1, \dots, k$, the sign depends on the parity of the corresponding permutation, and the checkmarks indicate that the corresponding indices are missing. From (3.13), we obtain

$$X e_{a_1 \dots a_{m+1}} = \pm e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_1 \dots a_{m+1}} X_1 e_{x_1} \pm \dots \pm e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_k \dots a_{m+1}} X_k e_{x_k} \tag{3.14}$$

$$= \pm e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_1 \dots a_{m+1}} e_{x_1} X_1 \pm \dots \pm e_{a_1 \dots \check{x}_k \dots a_{m+1}} e_{x_k} X_k = e_{a_1 \dots a_{m+1}} X, \tag{3.15}$$

where all the signs preceding the terms in (3.13)–(3.15) remain the same, since $X_i \in Z_{p,q,r}^m \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$ and X_i contains e_{x_i} , $i = 1, \dots, k$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.3. Note that the more general statement than (3.12) holds true:

$$Z_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^{m+1}, \quad m \text{ is odd}, \tag{3.16}$$

which follows from Theorem 3.6 below and is provided in the formula (3.41) of Remark 3.7. Note that the statement (3.8) can not be generalized in a similar way. For any even m , we have

$$\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(0)} \subseteq \langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{m+1} \rangle_{(0)}, \quad n \text{ is odd}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\langle \langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(0)} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n \rangle_{(0)} \subseteq \langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{m+1} \rangle_{(0)}, \quad n \text{ is even}, \tag{3.18}$$

which follows from Theorem 3.6 below as well.

Lemma 3.4. For any $M \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$, $K \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^k$, and $L \in \Lambda_r^{n-m}$, we have

$$(KL)M = \begin{cases} M(KL) & \text{if } m, k \text{ are even; } m, k, n \text{ are odd;} \\ M(\widehat{KL}) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd, } k \text{ is even; } m, n \text{ are even, } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $m, k = 0 \pmod 2$. We have

$$(KL)M = (LM)K = (ML)K = M(KL), \tag{3.19}$$

where we use that $LM \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n$ commutes with any even element, $LM = ML$, and $LK = KL$, since m and k are even respectively. If $m, k, n = 1 \pmod 2$, then we again have (3.19), since L is even and $LM \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n \subset Z_{p,q,r}$ is odd.

Consider the case $m = 1 \pmod 2$ and $k = 0 \pmod 2$. If n is odd, then L is even. We get (3.19) again and obtain $(KL)M = M(\widehat{KL})$, since both K and L are even. If n is even, then L is odd and

$$(KL)M = (LM)K = (M\widehat{L})K = M(\widehat{KL}) = M(\widehat{KL}). \tag{3.20}$$

Finally, suppose $m, n = 0 \pmod 2$ and $k = 1 \pmod 2$. We obtain

$$(KL)M = (LM)\widehat{K} = (ML)\widehat{K} = M(\widehat{KL}) = M(\widehat{KL}), \tag{3.21}$$

since L is even, $ML \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n$ is even, and it anticommutes with all odd elements, including K . \square

Remark 3.5. Note that

$$\Lambda_r^k \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{k+m}, \quad k \geq 1; \quad \Lambda_r^0 \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m. \tag{3.22}$$

Moreover, if at least one of k and m is even, then

$$XV = VX, \quad \forall X \in \Lambda_r^k, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m. \tag{3.23}$$

If both k and m are odd, then

$$\widehat{X}V = VX, \quad \forall X \in \Lambda_r^k, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m. \tag{3.24}$$

We use Remark 3.5 in the proof of Theorem 3.6. In Theorem 3.6, we find the centralizers and twisted centralizers for any $m = 1, \dots, n$ in the case $r \neq n$. The case of the Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}_{0,0,n}$ is written out separately in Remark 4.2 for the sake of brevity in the theorem statement.

A few words about the notation in Theorem 3.6. The spaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k$ and Λ_r^k , $k = 0, \dots, n$, are regarded as subspaces of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$. By $\{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^l\}$, we denote the subspace of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ spanned by the elements of the form ab , where $a \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k$ and $b \in \Lambda_r^l$.

Theorem 3.6. *Consider the case $r \neq n$.*

1. *For an arbitrary even m , where $n \geq m \geq 2$, the centralizer has the form*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{p,q,r}^m = & \Lambda_r^{\leq n-m-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \bmod 2}^{m-3} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \right\} \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-2} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m} \right\} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

and the twisted centralizer is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m = & \langle \Lambda_r^{\leq n-m-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \bmod 2}^{m-3} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \right\} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-2} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m} \right\} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n \rangle_{(0)} \\ & \oplus \langle \bigoplus_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-2} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \right\} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \bmod 2}^{m-1} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m} \right\} \rangle_{(1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

2. *For an arbitrary odd m , where $n \geq m \geq 1$, we have*

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m = \Lambda_r^{\leq n-m-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \bmod 2}^{m-2} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \right\} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-1} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m} \right\} \tag{3.27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{p,q,r}^m = & \langle \Lambda_r^{\leq n-m-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \bmod 2}^{m-2} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \right\} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-1} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m} \right\} \rangle_{(0)} \\ & \oplus \langle \bigoplus_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-3} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \right\} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \bmod 2}^{m-2} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m} \right\} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n \rangle_{(1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Proof. Let us prove (3.25). Namely, we prove that for any $X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ and even m , where $n \geq m \geq 2$, the condition $X e_{a_1 \dots a_m} = e_{a_1 \dots a_m} X$ for any basis element $e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$ is equivalent to the condition

$$X \in \Lambda_r^{\leq n-m-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \bmod 2}^{m-3} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \right\} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-2} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m} \right\} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n.$$

For any fixed a_1, \dots, a_m , we can always represent X as a sum of 2^m summands:

$$X = Y + e_{a_1} Y_{a_1} + \dots + e_{a_m} Y_{a_m} + e_{a_1 a_2} Y_{a_1 a_2} + \dots + e_{a_1 \dots a_m} Y_{a_1 \dots a_m}, \tag{3.29}$$

where $Y, Y_{a_1}, \dots, Y_{a_1 \dots a_m} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ do not contain e_{a_1}, \dots, e_{a_m} . We get

$$\begin{aligned}
 X e_{a_1 \dots a_m} &= (Y + \dots + e_{a_1 \dots a_m} Y_{a_1 \dots a_m}) e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \\
 &= e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \left(\sum_{k=0 \pmod 2}^m e_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} Y_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} - \sum_{k=1 \pmod 2}^{m-1} e_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} Y_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k} \in \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$, $a_{i_1} < \dots < a_{i_k}$, the elements e_A and Y_A with the multi-indices of zero length are the identity element e and Y respectively, and the minus sign precedes summands with $e_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$. We get that the condition $X e_{a_1 \dots a_m} = e_{a_1 \dots a_m} X$ is equivalent to

$$2 e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \left(\sum_{k=1 \pmod 2}^{m-1} e_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} Y_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} \right) = 0. \tag{3.30}$$

The Eq. (3.30) is equivalent to the system of 2^{m-1} equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (e_{a_1})^2 e_{a_2 \dots a_m} Y_{a_1} &= 0, \quad \dots, \quad (e_{a_m})^2 e_{a_1 \dots a_{m-1}} Y_{a_m} = 0, \\
 (e_{a_1})^2 (e_{a_2})^2 (e_{a_3})^2 e_{a_4 \dots a_m} Y_{a_1 a_2 a_3} &= 0, \quad \dots, \quad (e_{a_2})^2 \dots (e_{a_m})^2 e_{a_1} Y_{a_2 \dots a_m} = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Using $(e_{a_1})^2 e_{a_2 \dots a_m} Y_{a_1} = 0$ (3.31), we get that if $(e_{a_1})^2 \neq 0$, i.e. $a_1 \in \{1, \dots, p+q\}$, then $Y_{a_1} = 0$, since Y_{a_1} does not contain e_{a_2}, \dots, e_{a_m} . On the other hand, if each summand of X either contains only the non-invertible generators, or contains at least 1 invertible generator and at the same time does not contain less than $m-1$ generators, then the equation $(e_{a_1})^2 e_{a_2 \dots a_m} Y_{a_1} = 0$ is satisfied. Therefore, $(e_{a_1})^2 e_{a_2 \dots a_m} Y_{a_1} = 0$ is satisfied if and only if X has no summands containing at least 1 invertible generator and at the same time not containing $m-1$ or more of any generators. Similarly, for any other odd $k < m$, using $(e_{a_1})^2 (e_{a_2})^2 \dots (e_{a_k})^2 e_{a_{k+1} \dots a_m} Y_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} = 0$ (3.31), we get $Y_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} = 0$ if $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{1, \dots, p+q\}$. Moreover, the equation $(e_{a_1})^2 (e_{a_2})^2 \dots (e_{a_k})^2 e_{a_{k+1} \dots a_m} Y_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} = 0$ is satisfied if and only if X has no summands containing at least k invertible generators and at the same time not containing $m-k$ or more of any generators for any odd $k \leq m$. This implies that for

$$X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} = \Lambda_r \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r \} \oplus \dots \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{p+q} \Lambda_r \}, \tag{3.32}$$

we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 X \in \Lambda_r \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m} \} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^3 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \} \\
 \oplus \dots \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{m-3} \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{m-2} \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m} \} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

since for any fixed odd k and even $k+1$, we have the following condition on d for the subspaces $\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^d \}$ and $\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{k+1} \Lambda_r^d \}$ respectively: the number of not contained generators should be less than $m-k$, i.e. $n-(k+d) < m-k$, so $d \geq n-(m-1)$ for $\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^d \}$, and $n-(k+1+d) < m-k$, thus, $d \geq n-m$ for $\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{k+1} \Lambda_r^d \}$. This completes the proof.

Let us prove (3.27). Namely, let us prove that for any $X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ and odd m , where $n \geq m \geq 1$, the condition $\tilde{X} e_{a_1 \dots a_m} = e_{a_1 \dots a_m} X$ for any basis element $e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$ is equivalent to the condition

$$X \in \Lambda_r^{\leq n-m-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \bmod 2}^{m-2} \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-1} \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m}\}. \quad (3.34)$$

For any fixed a_1, \dots, a_m , we can represent X as a sum of 2^m summands (3.29), where $Y, \dots, Y_{a_1 \dots a_m} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ do not contain e_{a_1}, \dots, e_{a_m} . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{X}e_{a_1 \dots a_m} &= (\langle X \rangle_{(0)} - \langle X \rangle_{(1)}) e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \\ &= e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \left(\sum_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-1} e_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} Y_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} - \sum_{k=1 \bmod 2}^m e_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} Y_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k} \in \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$, $a_{i_1} < \dots < a_{i_k}$, the elements e_A and Y_A with the multi-indices of zero length are the identity element e and Y respectively, and the minus sign precedes summands with $e_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$.

We get that the equality $\widehat{X}e_{a_1 \dots a_m} = e_{a_1 \dots a_m} X$ is equivalent to the formula

$$2e_{a_1 \dots a_m} \left(\sum_{k=1 \bmod 2}^m e_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} Y_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}} \right) = 0. \quad (3.35)$$

Similar to how it is done for the formula (3.30) above, from the formula (3.35), we get that it is equivalent to the condition that X has no summands containing at least k invertible generators and at the same time not containing $m - k$ or more of any generators for any odd $k \leq m$. So, for $X \in \Lambda_r \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r\} \oplus \dots \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{p+q} \Lambda_r\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} X \in \Lambda_r \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^3 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} \\ \oplus \dots \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{m-2} \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{m-1} \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

since, similarly to the proof of (3.25) above, for any odd k , for $\{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^d\}$, we have the condition $n - (k + d) < m - k$, i.e. $d \geq n - (m - 1)$, and for any $\{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{k+1} \Lambda_r^d\}$, we get $n - (k + 1 + d) < m - k$, thus, $d \geq n - m$. This completes the proof.

Now we prove (3.26). Suppose m is even and $n \geq m \geq 2$. Since $\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(0)} = \langle Z_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(0)}$ (3.5), we only need to prove

$$\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)} = \left\langle \bigoplus_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-2} \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \bmod 2}^{m-1} \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m}\} \right\rangle_{(1)}. \quad (3.37)$$

First, we prove that the right set is a subset of the left one in (3.37). We have $\{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{n-m}\} \subseteq \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$ for any odd k , where $m - 1 \geq k \geq 1$, and even n by Lemma 3.4. Let us prove $\{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^m$ for any even $k = 0, \dots, m - 2$. Note that $n - (m - 1) \geq 1$; hence, we have $\{\Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\geq n-(m-1)+m} = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{n+1} = \{0\}$ and, similarly, $\{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} = \{0\}$ by Remark 3.5. Therefore, for any $k = 0, \dots, n$,

$$\left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \right\} \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \right\} = \{0\}. \quad (3.38)$$

Thus, $\{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} \subseteq \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$. Let us prove that the left set is a subset of the right one in (3.37). Using $\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)} \subseteq \langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{m+1} \rangle_{(1)}$ for any even m by Lemma 3.1 and applying (3.27) proved above, we get

$$\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)} \subseteq \langle \Lambda_r^{\leq n-(m+1)} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0 \pmod 2}^m \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m+1)}\} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \pmod 2}^{m-1} \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m}\} \rangle_{(1)}.$$

Now let us show that the inclusion above implies the inclusion of the left set in the right one in (3.37). The projection of $\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)}$ onto the subspace $\langle \Lambda_r^{\leq n-(m+1)} \rangle_{(1)}$ equals zero, since for any odd basis element $X \in \Lambda_r^{\leq n-(m+1)}$ there exists such an even basis element $V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$ that $XV \neq 0$ and $XV = VX$. For example, in the case $n = r = 4$ and $m = 2$, for $X = e_1$, we have $V = e_{23}$, and $e_1 e_{23} = e_{23} e_1 \neq e_{23} \widehat{e}_1$. The projection of $\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)}$ onto $\langle \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{n-m}\} \rangle_{(1)}$ equals zero for any even k, m and odd n by Lemma 3.4. The projection of $\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)}$ onto $\langle \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{n-m-1}\} \rangle_{(1)}$ equals zero for any even k , where $m \geq k > 0$, since for any basis elements $K = e_{a_1 \dots a_k} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \subset \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}$ and $L \in \Lambda_r^{n-m-1} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$, there exists such an even grade- m element $M \in e_{a_1 \dots a_k} \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{m-k}$ that $LM \neq 0$, $LM = ML$, and $KM = MK$, so we get $(KL)M = M(KL) \neq M(\widehat{KL})$, where we use Remark 3.5. For example, if $n = 6, p = k = 2$, and $r = m = 4$, for $K = e_{12} \in \mathcal{G}_{2,0,0}^2$ and $L = e_3 \in \Lambda_4^1$, there exists $M = e_{1245} \in e_{12} \mathcal{G}_{2,0,4}^2$, such that $(e_{12} e_3) e_{1245} = e_{1245} (e_{12} e_3) \neq e_{1245} (\widehat{e_{12} e_3})$. Finally, the projection of $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$ onto $\{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} = \{0\}$ equals zero as well. Thus, we obtain (3.26), and the proof is completed.

Finally, let us prove (3.28). Suppose m is odd and $n \geq m \geq 1$. We have $\langle Z_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(0)} = \langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(0)}$ (3.5), so we only need to prove

$$\langle Z_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)} = \langle \bigoplus_{k=0 \pmod 2}^{m-3} \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \pmod 2}^{m-2} \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m}\} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n \rangle_{(1)}. \tag{3.39}$$

We obtain that the right set is a subset of the left one in (3.39), using $\{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} = \{0\}$, Lemma 3.4, and $\langle \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n \rangle_{(1)} \subset Z_{p,q,r}$. Let us prove that the left set is a subset of the right one in (3.39). Using $\langle Z_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)} \subseteq \langle Z_{p,q,r}^{m+1} \rangle_{(1)}$ by Lemma 3.2 and applying (3.25) proved above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)} \subseteq & \langle \Lambda_r^{\leq n-m-2} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0 \pmod 2}^{m-1} \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m-1}\} \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \pmod 2}^{m-2} \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m}\} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n \rangle_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The projection of $\langle Z_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)}$ onto the subspace $\langle \Lambda_r^{\leq n-m-2} \rangle_{(1)}$ equals zero because for any odd $X \in \Lambda_r^{\leq n-m-2}$ there exists such an odd $V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$ that $XV \neq 0$ and $XV = -VX$. For example, if $n = r = 4$ and $m = 1$, for $X = e_1$, we have $V = e_2$, and $e_1 e_2 = -e_2 e_1$. The projection of $\langle Z_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)}$ onto $\langle \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{n-m} \rangle_{(1)}$ for any even k and odd m, n is zero by Lemma 3.4. The projection of $\langle Z_{p,q,r}^m \rangle_{(1)}$ onto $\langle \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{n-m-1}\} \rangle_{(1)}$ for any even $k \leq m-1$ equals

zero because for any basis elements $K = e_{a_1 \dots a_k} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}$ and $L \in \Lambda_r^{n-m-1} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$, there exists such an odd grade- m element $M \in e_{a_1 \dots a_k} \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{m-k}$ that $LM \neq 0$, $LM = M\widehat{L}$, and $KM = MK$, therefore, $(KL)M = KM\widehat{L} = M(K\widehat{L}) \neq M(KL)$. For example, if $n = 5$, $p = k = 2$, and $r = m = 3$, for $K = e_{12} \in \mathcal{G}_{2,0,0}^2$ and $L = e_3 \in \Lambda_3^1$, we can take $M = e_{124} \in e_{12} \mathcal{G}_{2,0,3}^1$ and get $(e_{12}e_3)e_{124} = -e_{124}(e_{12}e_3)$. Finally, $\{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{m-1} \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)}\} = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n$. Thus, we obtain (3.28), and the proof is completed. \square

Remark 3.7. Note that Theorem 3.6 implies

$$Z_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^{m+2}, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{m+2}, \quad m = 1, \dots, n-2; \tag{3.40}$$

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^{m+1}, \quad Z_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^{m+1}, \quad m \text{ is odd}; \tag{3.41}$$

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^{m+2}, \quad m \text{ is even.} \tag{3.42}$$

Using (3.40)–(3.42), we get

$$Z_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^4, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^4, \quad m = 1, 2, 3. \tag{3.43}$$

If $r \leq n - (m + 1)$, then

$$Z_{p,q,r}^m = Z_{p,q,r}^1, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1, \quad m \text{ is odd}; \tag{3.44}$$

$$Z_{p,q,r}^m = Z_{p,q,r}^2, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^2, \quad m \text{ is even, } m \neq 0. \tag{3.45}$$

We use these relations to prove Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

4. Particular Cases of Centralizers and Twisted Centralizers

In this section, we consider the centralizers and twisted centralizers in the particular cases that are important for applications. In Remarks 4.1 and 4.2 below, we explicitly write out $Z_{p,q,r}^m$ and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$, in the cases of the non-degenerate Clifford algebra $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}$ and the Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}_{0,0,n}$ respectively. Note that in these special cases, the centralizers and twisted centralizers have a much simpler form than in the general case of arbitrary $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ (Theorem 3.6).

Remark 4.1. In the particular case of the non-degenerate algebra $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}$, we get from Theorem 3.6

$$Z_{p,q,0}^m = \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}, & m = 0; \quad m = n \text{ and } m, n = 1 \text{ mod } 2; \\ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{(0)}, & m = n \text{ and } m, n = 0 \text{ mod } 2; \\ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{0n}, & m \neq 0, n \text{ and } m = 0 \text{ mod } 2 \\ & \text{or } m \neq n \text{ and } m, n = 1 \text{ mod } 2; \\ \mathcal{G}^0, & m = 1 \text{ mod } 2 \text{ and } n = 0 \text{ mod } 2; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,0}^m = \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}, & m = n, \quad m, n = 0 \text{ mod } 2; \\ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{(0)}, & m = 0; \quad m = n \text{ and } m, n = 1 \text{ mod } 2; \\ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^{0n}, & m \neq 0, n \text{ and } m, n = 0 \text{ mod } 2; \\ \mathcal{G}^0, & m \neq n \text{ and } m = 1 \text{ mod } 2 \\ & \text{or } m \neq 0, \quad m = 0 \text{ mod } 2, \text{ and } n = 1 \text{ mod } 2. \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.2. In the particular case of the Grassmann algebra $\mathcal{G}_{0,0,n} = \Lambda_n$, we obtain from Theorem 3.6

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{0,0,n}^0 &= \Lambda_n, & \check{Z}_{0,0,n}^0 &= \Lambda_n^{(0)}; \\ Z_{0,0,n}^m &= \Lambda_n, & \check{Z}_{0,0,n}^m &= \Lambda_n^{(0)} \oplus \langle \Lambda_n^{\geq n-m+1} \rangle_{(1)}, & m &= 0 \pmod 2, & m &\neq 0; \\ Z_{0,0,n}^m &= \Lambda_n^{(0)} \oplus \langle \Lambda_n^{\geq n-m+1} \rangle_{(1)}, & \check{Z}_{0,0,n}^m &= \Lambda_n, & m &= 1 \pmod 2. \end{aligned}$$

In Remark 4.3 below, we explicitly write out the particular cases of Theorem 3.6 and Remark 4.2 in the case of small $k \leq 4$. We use these centralizers and twisted centralizers in Theorems 5.1 and 5.2. Note that some of these centralizers and twisted centralizers are considered, for instance, in the papers [5, 9–11, 21]. The cases $Z_{p,q,r}^2$ (4.2) and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1$ (4.4) are proved in detail, for example, in [11]. The other cases are presented for the first time.

Remark 4.3. We have:

$$Z_{p,q,r}^1 = Z_{p,q,r} = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)}, & n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

$$Z_{p,q,r}^2 = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & r \neq n, \\ \Lambda_r, & r = n, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

$$Z_{p,q,r}^3 = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-2} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 (\Lambda_r^{n-3} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-2}) \} \\ \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-3} \} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-2} \} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-2} \}, & n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

$$Z_{p,q,r}^4 = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 (\Lambda_r^{n-3} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-2}) \} \\ \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 (\Lambda_r^{n-4} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-3}) \} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & r \neq n, \\ \Lambda_r, & r = n, \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

and:

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1 = \Lambda_r, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^2 = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^n \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-1} \}, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-2} \} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is even, } r \neq n, \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1}, & n \text{ is even, } r = n, \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^3 = \Lambda_r \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-2} \} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-3} \}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^4 = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-2} \oplus \Lambda_r^n \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-3} \} \\ \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-3} \} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^3 \Lambda_r^{n-3} \}, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-3} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^3 \Lambda_r^{n-4} \} \\ \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 (\Lambda_r^{n-4} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-3}) \} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n \\ \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 (\Lambda_r^{n-4} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-3} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-2}) \}, & n \text{ is even, } r \neq n, \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-3} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1}, & n \text{ is even, } r = n. \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

In Remark 4.4, we consider how the centralizers and the twisted centralizers are related to the kernels of the adjoint and twisted adjoint representations.

Remark 4.4. Note that

$$Z_{p,q,r}^{1\times} = \ker(\text{ad}), \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{1\times} = \ker(\check{\text{ad}}) \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\ker(\text{ad}) \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^{m\times}, \quad \ker(\check{\text{ad}}) = \Lambda_r^{(0)\times} \subseteq \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{m\times}, \quad m = 0, \dots, n, \tag{4.9}$$

where $\ker(\text{ad})$, $\ker(\check{\text{ad}})$, and $\ker(\check{\text{ad}})$ are the kernels of the adjoint representation ad and the twisted adjoint representations $\check{\text{ad}}$ and $\check{\text{ad}}$ respectively. The adjoint representation $\text{ad} : \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^\times \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r})$ acts on the group of all invertible elements as $T \mapsto \text{ad}_T$, where

$$\text{ad}_T(U) = TUT^{-1}, \quad U \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}, \quad T \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^\times. \tag{4.10}$$

The twisted adjoint representation $\check{\text{ad}}$ has been introduced in a particular case by Atiyah, Bott, and Shapiro in [1]. The representation $\check{\text{ad}} : \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^\times \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r})$ acts on $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^\times$ as $T \mapsto \check{\text{ad}}_T$ with

$$\check{\text{ad}}_T(U) = \widehat{T}UT^{-1}, \quad U \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}, \quad T \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^\times. \tag{4.11}$$

The representation $\check{\text{ad}} : \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^\times \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r})$ acts on $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^\times$ as $T \mapsto \check{\text{ad}}_T$ with

$$\check{\text{ad}}_T(U) = T\langle U \rangle_{(0)}T^{-1} + \widehat{T}\langle U \rangle_{(1)}T^{-1}, \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}, \quad T \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^\times. \tag{4.12}$$

See the details about ad , $\check{\text{ad}}$, $\check{\text{ad}}$, and their kernels, for example, in [11].

5. Centralizers and Twisted Centralizers of the Subspaces Determined by the Grade Involution and the Reversion

This section finds explicit forms of the centralizers and twisted centralizers of the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$ (2.8), $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$, determined by the grade involution and the reversion and their direct sums. In particular, we consider the centralizers and twisted centralizers of the even $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)} = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{02}}$ and odd $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)} = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{13}}$ subspaces.

Let us consider the centralizers $Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$ and twisted centralizers $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$ of the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$ (2.8), $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$, in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$:

$$Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} := \{X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : XV = VX, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}\}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} := \{X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : \widehat{X}V = VX, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}\}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{5.2}$$

In Theorem 5.1, we prove that $Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$ and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$ coincide with some of the centralizers $Z_{p,q,r}^m$ and the twisted centralizers $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$ of the subspaces of fixed grades, which are considered in Sects. 3 and 4.

Theorem 5.1. *We have*

$$Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} = Z_{p,q,r}^m, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m, \quad m = 1, 2, 3; \tag{5.3}$$

$$Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{0}} = Z_{p,q,r}^4, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{0}} = \langle Z_{p,q,r}^4 \rangle_{(0)}. \tag{5.4}$$

The centralizers $Z_{p,q,r}^m$ and twisted centralizers $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$, $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are written out explicitly in Remark 4.3 for the readers' convenience. In the formula (5.4), we have

$$\langle Z_{p,q,r}^4 \rangle_{(0)} = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-2} \} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-3} \}, & n \text{ is odd or } r = n, \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-3} \} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-4} \} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is even, } r \neq n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The inclusions $Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^m$, $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} \subseteq \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$, $m = 1, 2, 3$, and $Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{0}} \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^4$ follow from $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^k \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{k}}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, and $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^4 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{0}}$ respectively. We get $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{0}} \subseteq \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^4 \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^0 = Z_{p,q,r}^4 \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}$, using $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{04} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{0}}$ and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^0 = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}$ (3.6).

Let us prove $Z_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$ and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$, $m = 1, 2, 3$. Any basis element of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{k}}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, can be represented as a product of one basis element of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^k$ and basis elements of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^4$. Since $Z_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^4$ and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^4$ by the statement (3.43) of Remark 3.7, we get $Z_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$ and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m \subseteq \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$.

We obtain $Z_{p,q,r}^4 \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{0}}$ and $Z_{p,q,r}^4 \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)} \subseteq \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{0}}$ because $Z_{p,q,r}^4 \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^0$ and $Z_{p,q,r}^4 \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^0$ (3.6) respectively and any basis element of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{0}} \setminus \mathcal{G}^0$ can be represented as a product of basis elements of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^4$. □

Let us denote by $Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}}$ and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}}$, $k, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$, the centralizers and the twisted centralizers respectively of the direct sums of the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$ (2.8) in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}} &:= Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{k}} \cap Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} = \{ X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : XV = VX, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}} \}, \\ \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}} &:= \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{k}} \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} = \{ X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : \widehat{X}V = VX, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{02}}$, $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{02}}$, $Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{13}}$, and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{13}}$ are the centralizers and the twisted centralizers of the even $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}$ and odd $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$ subspaces respectively. In Theorem 5.2, we find explicit forms of $Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}}$ and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}}$, $k, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 5.2. *We have*

$$Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{01}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{12}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{13}} = Z_{p,q,r}, \quad Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{23}} = Z_{p,q,r}^2 \cap Z_{p,q,r}^3, \tag{5.5}$$

$$Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{02}} = Z_{p,q,r}^2, \quad Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{03}} = Z_{p,q,r}^3, \tag{5.6}$$

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{12}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1 \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^2, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{23}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^2 \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^3, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{13}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{01}} = \langle Z_{p,q,r}^1 \rangle_{(0)}, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{02}} = \langle Z_{p,q,r}^2 \rangle_{(0)}, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{03}} = \langle Z_{p,q,r}^3 \rangle_{(0)}. \tag{5.8}$$

The centralizers $Z_{p,q,r}^2, Z_{p,q,r}^3, Z_{p,q,r} = Z_{p,q,r}^1$ and the twisted centralizer $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1$ are written out explicitly in Remark 4.3. In the formulas (5.5)–(5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{p,q,r}^2 \cap Z_{p,q,r}^3 &= \begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-2} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is odd;} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-1}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-2}\}, & n \text{ is even;} \end{cases} \\ \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1 \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^2 &= \begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^n, & n \text{ is odd;} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1}, & n \text{ is even;} \end{cases} \\ \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^2 \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^3 &= \begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^n \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-1}\}, & n \text{ is odd;} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-2}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-2}\}, & n \text{ is even;} \end{cases} \\ \langle Z_{p,q,r}^1 \rangle_{(0)} &= \Lambda_r^{(0)}, \quad \langle Z_{p,q,r}^2 \rangle_{(0)} = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)}, & n \text{ is odd;} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is even, } r = n; \end{cases} \\ \langle Z_{p,q,r}^3 \rangle_{(0)} &= \begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-2}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-3}\}, & n \text{ is odd;} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-1}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-2}\}, & n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, let us prove (5.5) and (5.6). We get

$$Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}\bar{3}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}} \cap Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{3}} = Z_{p,q,r}^2 \cap Z_{p,q,r}^3 \tag{5.9}$$

by Theorem 5.1. For $k = 1, 2, 3$, we obtain

$$Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}\bar{k}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}} \cap Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}} = Z_{p,q,r}^4 \cap Z_{p,q,r}^k = Z_{p,q,r}^k, \tag{5.10}$$

using $Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}} = Z_{p,q,r}^k, Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}} = Z_{p,q,r}^4$ by Theorem 5.1 and $Z_{p,q,r}^k \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^4$ by Remark 3.7. Since $Z_{p,q,r}^1 = Z_{p,q,r}$ by Remark 4.3, we get $Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}\bar{1}} = Z_{p,q,r}$. Similarly, for $l = 2, 3$, we obtain

$$Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}\bar{l}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}} \cap Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{l}} = Z_{p,q,r}^1 \cap Z_{p,q,r}^l = Z_{p,q,r} \cap Z_{p,q,r}^l = Z_{p,q,r}, \tag{5.11}$$

where we use $Z_{p,q,r} \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^2$ and $Z_{p,q,r} \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^3$ by the formula (3.40) of Remark 3.7.

Let us prove (5.7). We get

$$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}\bar{2}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}} \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1 \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^2, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}\bar{3}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}} \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{3}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^2 \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^3,$$

and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}\bar{3}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}} \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{3}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1 \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^3 = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1$, using Theorem 5.1 and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1 \subseteq \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^3$ by Remark 3.7. Now we prove (5.8). For $k = 1, 2, 3$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}\bar{k}} &= \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}} \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}} = Z_{p,q,r}^4 \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)} \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^k \\ &= \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^k \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)} = Z_{p,q,r}^k \cap \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)} = \langle Z_{p,q,r}^k \rangle_{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

using Theorem 5.1, $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^k \subseteq Z_{p,q,r}^4$ by Remark 3.7, and (3.5). □

In Table 1, we present a comprehensive list of the centralizers and twisted centralizers of the subspaces determined by the grade involution and the reversion $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}}$ and their direct sums $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}\bar{l}}$, $k, l = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The first column indicates different centralizers $Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}}$ and twisted centralizers $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}\bar{l}}$,

$k, l = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The second column contains the corresponding (see Theorems 5.1 and 5.2) centralizers $Z_{p,q,r}^m$ and twisted centralizers $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$ of the subspaces of fixed grades $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$, while the third column contains their explicit forms.

Remark 5.3. The equalities for the centralizer $Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{02}}$ and the twisted centralizer $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{02}}$ of the even subspace presented in the formulas (5.6) and (5.8) are proved in Lemma 3.2 [11] in the case of the degenerate and non-degenerate algebras $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$. In the non-degenerate case $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}$, the set $Z_{p,q,0}^{\overline{02}}$ is considered, for example, in [12, 14, 24] and the set $\check{Z}_{p,q,0}^{\overline{02}}$ is considered in [9]. The other equalities in the formulas (5.5)–(5.8) are presented for the first time.

In “Appendix A”, we consider the twisted centralizers $\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$ and $\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}}$ defined as

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} := \{X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : X\langle V \rangle_{(0)} + \widehat{X}\langle V \rangle_{(1)} = VX, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}\},$$

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}} := \begin{cases} Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{k}} \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} & k \text{ is even, } m \text{ is odd;} \\ Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{k}} \cap Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}}, & k = 0, m = 2; \\ \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{k}} \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}}, & k = 1, m = 3; \end{cases}$$

and write out their explicit forms.

6. Conclusions

In this work, we consider the centralizers and twisted centralizers in degenerate and non-degenerate Clifford algebras $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$. In Theorems 3.6, 5.1, and 5.2, we find an explicit form of the centralizers and the twisted centralizers

$$Z_{p,q,r}^k, \quad Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}, \quad Z_{p,q,r}^{\overline{ml}}, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^k, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}, \quad \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{ml}}$$

of the subspaces of fixed grades $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$, $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$, determined by the grade involution and the reversion, and their direct sums $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{ml}}$, $m, l = 0, 1, 2, 3$. In particular, we consider the centralizers and twisted centralizers of the even $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(0)}$ and odd $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$ subspaces. The relations between $Z_{p,q,r}^k$ and $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^k$ for different k are considered in Remark 3.7. We also consider the relation between their projections $\langle Z_{p,q,r}^k \rangle_{(1)}$ and $\langle \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^k \rangle_{(1)}$ onto the odd subspace $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{(1)}$ in Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and Remark 3.3.

In the particular cases of the non-degenerate Clifford algebras $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}$ and the Grassmann algebras $\mathcal{G}_{0,0,n}$, the considered centralizers and the twisted centralizers have a simpler form than in the general case of arbitrary $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$ (see Remarks 4.1 and 4.2 respectively). In the particular case of small k , the centralizers $Z_{p,q,r}^k$ and the twisted centralizers $\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^k$ have simple form as well and are written out in Remark 4.3 for $k \leq 4$.

In the further research, we are going to use the explicit forms of the centralizers and the twisted centralizers presented in Theorems 3.6, 5.1, and 5.2 to define and study several families of Lie groups in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$. These groups

TABLE 1. Centralizers (C) and twisted centralizers (TC) in $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}$

C and TC of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^k$ and $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{kl}$	C and TC of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$	Explicit forms
$Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{01}}$	$Z_{p,q,r}$	$\begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)}, & n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$
$Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{13}}$	$Z_{p,q,r}$	Λ_r
$Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{02}}$	$Z_{p,q,r}$	$\begin{cases} \Lambda_r \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & r \neq n, \\ \Lambda_r, & r = n, \end{cases}$
$Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{12}}$	$Z_{p,q,r}$	$\begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^n \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-1}\}, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-2}\} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is even, } r \neq n, \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1}, & n \text{ is even, } r = n, \end{cases}$
$Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{3}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{03}}$	$Z_{p,q,r}$	$\begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-2} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 (\Lambda_r^{n-3} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-2})\} \\ \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-3}\} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-2}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-2}\}, & n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$
$Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{3}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{13}}$	$Z_{p,q,r}$	$\Lambda_r \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-2}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-3}\}$
$Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}}$	$Z_{p,q,r}$	$\begin{cases} \Lambda_r \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 (\Lambda_r^{n-3} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-2})\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 (\Lambda_r^{n-4} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-3})\} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & r \neq n, \\ \Lambda_r, & r = n, \end{cases}$
$Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}}$	$\langle Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}} \rangle^{(0)}$	$\begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-2}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-3}\}, & n \text{ is odd or } r = n, \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-3}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-4}\} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is even, } r \neq n, \end{cases}$

TABLE 1. continued

C and TC of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{kl}$	C and TC of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$	Explicit forms
$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}\bar{3}}$	$Z_{p,q,r}^2 \cap Z_{p,q,r}^3$	$\begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-2} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-1}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-2}\}, & n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$
$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}$	$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^1 \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^2$	$\begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^n, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1}, & n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$
$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}\bar{3}}$	$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^2 \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^3$	$\begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^n \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-1}\}, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \Lambda_r^{n-1} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-2}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-2}\}, & n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$
$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}\bar{1}}$	$\langle Z_{p,q,r}^1 \rangle(0)$	$\Lambda_r^{(0)}$
$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}\bar{2}}$	$\langle Z_{p,q,r}^2 \rangle(0)$	$\begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)}, & n \text{ is odd, } n \text{ is even, } r = n, \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & n \text{ is even, } r \neq n, \end{cases}$
$\check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}\bar{3}}$	$\langle Z_{p,q,r}^3 \rangle(0)$	$\begin{cases} \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-2}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-3}\}, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \Lambda_r^{(0)} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-1}\} \oplus \{\mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-2}\}, & n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$

preserve the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m$ and their direct sums under the adjoint and twisted adjoint representations. These Lie groups can be considered as generalizations of Clifford and Lipschitz groups and are important for the theory of spin groups. We hope that the explicit forms of centralizers and twisted centralizers can be useful for applications of Clifford algebras in physics [6, 8, 15], computer science, in particular, for neural networks and machine learning [3, 4, 16, 21, 22], image processing [2, 8], and in other areas.

Acknowledgements

The results of this paper were reported at the 13th International Conference on Clifford Algebras and Their Applications in Mathematical Physics, Holon, Israel, June 2023. The authors are grateful to the organizers and the participants of this conference for fruitful discussions. The authors are grateful to the anonymous reviewers for valuable comments on how to improve the presentation. The publication was prepared within the framework of the Academic Fund Program at HSE University (grant 24-00-001 Clifford algebras and applications).

Data availability Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no Conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

Appendix A. Twisted centralizers $\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$, $\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{m}}$, and $\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\overline{km}}$

This paper considers the twisted centralizers $\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$, $m = 0, \dots, n$, defined as (3.3). As recommended by one of the respected reviewers, we consider the other twisted centralizers of the fixed grade subspaces defined as

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^m := \{X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : X \langle V \rangle_{(0)} + \hat{X} \langle V \rangle_{(1)} = VX, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^m\}. \tag{A.1}$$

This definition corresponds to the twisted adjoint representation $\tilde{\text{ad}}$ (see the formula (4.12) in Sect. 4). We have

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^m = \begin{cases} Z_{p,q,r}^m, & m \text{ is even,} \\ \tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^m, & m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{A.2}$$

In the case $m = 0$, we have by (3.6)

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^0 = \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}. \tag{A.3}$$

Using Theorem 3.6, we get an explicit form (A.4)–(A.5) of $\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^m$, $m = 1, \dots, n$. For an arbitrary even m , where $n \geq m \geq 2$, the twisted centralizer has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^m = & \Lambda_r^{\leq n-m-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \bmod 2}^{m-3} \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \} \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-2} \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m} \} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n. \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

For an arbitrary odd m , where $n \geq m \geq 1$, we have

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^m = \Lambda_r^{\leq n-m-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1 \bmod 2}^{m-2} \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-(m-1)} \} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0 \bmod 2}^{m-1} \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^k \Lambda_r^{\geq n-m} \}. \tag{A.5}$$

Consider the twisted centralizers of the subspaces $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{m}}$, $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$:

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{m}} := \{ X \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r} : X \langle V \rangle_{(0)} + \widehat{X} \langle V \rangle_{(1)} = VX, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{m}} \}. \tag{A.6}$$

Note that

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{m}} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{m}}, & m \text{ is even,} \\ \mathcal{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{m}}, & m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{A.7}$$

We have

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}} = Z_{p,q,r}^4; \quad \tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^k, \quad k = 1, 3; \quad \tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}} = Z_{p,q,r}^2. \tag{A.8}$$

Consider the twisted centralizers of the direct sums $\mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}m}$, $k, m = 0, 1, 2, 3$:

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}m} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}} \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{m}}, & k \text{ is even, } m \text{ is odd;} \\ \mathcal{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{m}} = \mathcal{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}m}, & k = 0, m = 2; \\ \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}} \cap \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{m}} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{k}m}, & k = 1, m = 3. \end{cases} \tag{A.9}$$

We have

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}2} = Z_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}2} = Z_{p,q,r}^2 = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r \oplus \mathcal{G}_{p,q,r}^n, & r \neq n, \\ \Lambda_r, & r = n, \end{cases} \tag{A.10}$$

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}3} = \check{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}3} = \tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}1} = \tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{1}2} = \Lambda_r, \tag{A.11}$$

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{0}3} = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-2} \} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{\geq n-3} \}, & r \neq n, \\ \Lambda_r, & r = n, \end{cases} \tag{A.12}$$

$$\tilde{Z}_{p,q,r}^{\bar{2}3} = \begin{cases} \Lambda_r \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^1 \Lambda_r^{n-1} \} \oplus \{ \mathcal{G}_{p,q,0}^2 \Lambda_r^{n-2} \}, & r \neq n, \\ \Lambda_r, & r = n. \end{cases} \tag{A.13}$$

References

- [1] Atiyah, M., Bott, R., Shapiro, A.: Clifford modules. *Topology* **3**, 3–38 (1964)
- [2] Bayro-Corrochano, E.: *Geometric Algebra Applications. Vol. I, Computer Vision, Graphics and Neurocomputing*. Springer, Cham (2019)
- [3] Brandstetter, J., Berg, R.V., Welling, M., Gupta, J.K.: Clifford neural layers for PDE modeling (2022). [arXiv:2209.04934](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.04934)
- [4] Brehmer, J., De Haan, P., Behrends, S., Cohen, T.: Geometric algebra transformer (2023). [arXiv:2305.18415](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.18415)
- [5] Brooke, J.: Clifford algebras, spin groups and Galilei invariance-new perspectives. Thesis, University of Alberta (1980)
- [6] Doran, C., Lasenby, A.: *Geometric Algebra for Physicists*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003)
- [7] Dorst, L., De Keninck, S.: A guided tour to the plane-based geometric algebra PGA. Version 2.0 (2022). <http://bivector.net/PGA4CS.html>
- [8] Dorst, L., Doran, C., Lasenby, J.: *Applications of Geometric Algebra in Computer Science and Engineering*. Birkhauser, Boston (2002)
- [9] Filimoshina, E., Shirokov, D.: On generalization of Lipschitz groups and spin groups. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **47**(3), 1375–1400 (2024)
- [10] Filimoshina, E., Shirokov, D.: On some Lie groups in degenerate geometric algebras. In: Hitzer, E., Hildenbrand, D. (eds.) *First International Conference, ICACGA 2022, Colorado Springs, CO, USA, 2022, Proceedings. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, Cham (2024) **(to appear)**
- [11] Filimoshina, E., Shirokov, D.: On some Lie groups in degenerate Clifford geometric algebras. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **33**(4), 44 (2023). [arXiv:2301.06842](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.06842)
- [12] Garling, D.: *Clifford Algebras: An Introduction*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2011)
- [13] Gunn, C.: Geometric algebras for Euclidean geometry. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **27**, 185–208 (2017)
- [14] Helmstetter, J., Micali, A.: *Quadratic Mappings and Clifford Algebras*. Birkhäuser, Basel (2008)
- [15] Hestenes, D., Sobczyk, G.: *Clifford Algebra to Geometric Calculus—A Unified Language for Mathematical Physics*. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht Holland (1984)
- [16] Hildenbrand, D.: *The Power of Geometric Algebra Computing*, 1st edn. Chapman and Hall/CRC, New York (2021)
- [17] Isaacs, I.M.: *Algebra: A Graduate Course*. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2009)
- [18] Lounesto, P.: *Clifford Algebras and Spinors*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997)
- [19] Porteous, I.: *Clifford Algebras and the Classical Groups*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995)
- [20] Roelfs, M., De Keninck, S.: Graded symmetry groups: plane and simple. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **33**(3), 30 (2023)
- [21] Ruhe, D., Brandstetter, J., Forré, P.: Clifford group equivariant neural networks (2023). [arXiv:2305.11141](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.11141)

- [22] Ruhe, D., Gupta, J.K., De Keninck, S., Welling, M., Brandstetter, J.: Geometric Clifford algebra networks (2023). [arXiv:2302.06594](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.06594)
- [23] Selig, J., Bayro-Corrochano, E.: Rigid body dynamics using Clifford algebra. *Adv. Appl. Clifford algebras* **20**, 141–154 (2010)
- [24] Shirokov, D.: On inner automorphisms preserving fixed subspaces of Clifford algebras. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **31**(3), 30 (2021)
- [25] Shirokov, D.: Clifford algebras and their applications to Lie groups and spinors. In: *Proceedings of the 19 International Conference on Geometry, Integrability and Quantization, Avangard Prima, Sofia*, pp. 11–53 (2018)
- [26] Shirokov, D.: Classification of elements of Clifford algebras according to quaternionic types. *Dokl. Math.* **80**(1), 610–612 (2009)
- [27] Shirokov, D.: Quaternion typification of Clifford algebra elements. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **22**(1), 243–256 (2012)
- [28] Shirokov, D.: Development of the method of quaternion typification of Clifford algebra elements. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **22**(2), 483–497 (2012)

Ekaterina Filimoshina and Dmitry Shirokov
HSE University
Moscow
Russia 101000
e-mail: filimoshinaek@gmail.com

Dmitry Shirokov
e-mail: dm.shirokov@gmail.com

Ekaterina Filimoshina
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology
Moscow
Russia 121205

Dmitry Shirokov
Institute for Information Transmission Problems of the Russian Academy of Sciences
Moscow
Russia 127051

Received: February 15, 2024.

Accepted: July 17, 2024.