#### REVIEW



# Can Interferon-γ Release Assays Be Useful for Monitoring the Response to Anti-tuberculosis Treatment?: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Babak Pourakbari<sup>1</sup> · Setareh Mamishi<sup>1,2</sup> · Sepideh Benvari<sup>3</sup> · Ilaria Sauzullo<sup>4</sup> · Andrea Bedini<sup>5</sup> · Piero Valentini<sup>6</sup> · Naoto Keicho<sup>7</sup> · Shima Mahmoudi<sup>1</sup>

Received: 3 March 2019 / Accepted: 17 January 2020 / Published online: 3 February 2020 © L. Hirszfeld Institute of Immunology and Experimental Therapy, Wroclaw, Poland 2020

#### Abstract

The number of studies which evaluated interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs) results after anti-tuberculosis (TB) treatment has been rapidly increasing. The aim of this study was to investigate the potential use of IGRAs (QFT-GIT, T-SPOT. TB, QFT-Plus) in assessing the response to anti-TB treatment. We searched all studies in English language published from 1 October 2011 to 18 November 2018 in PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus. Our search included the term "tuberculosis treatment AND interferon-y release assay". We included studies evaluating the performance of commercial IGRAs (including QFT-GIT, T-SPOT.TB and QFT-Plus) before and after the anti-TB treatment. We performed subgroup analysis based on the age (children, adults), type of TB (active, latent, active and latent, and contacts exposed to MDR defined as MDR LTBI), type of IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB), and follow-up interval (2, 3, 4, 6, 9 months). Of the 18 included studies, 12 used OFT-GIT for assessment of IGRA performance after therapy, 1 used T-SPOT.TB, and 3 used both OFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB. Since then, only two studies have assessed the QFT-Plus performance during therapy. According to the results of the meta-analysis, the pooled rate of positive IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB) following anti-TB therapy was estimated at 76% [95% CI 70-81%] and no difference was found compared to the pooled positive rate of IGRAs before initiation of therapy which was 76% [95% CI 60–89%]. The subgroup analysis showed that the pooled rate of positive IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB) after anti-TB therapy was significantly higher in monitoring active TB subjects [80% (95% CI 74–88%)] than LTBI [71% (95% CI 70–81%)]. Available data are now sufficient to suggest that monitoring changes in the IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB) response during anti-TB treatment may have limited use in evaluating the effectiveness of treatment, while the monitoring changes in QFT-Plus during anti-tubercular treatment are recommended to determine treatment efficacy or for treatment monitoring. Further research is needed to establish the efficacy of this new assay as marker on a larger scale for treatment monitoring.

Keywords Igras · Tuberculosis · Treatment

Shima Mahmoudi sh-mahmoudi@sina.tums.ac.ir

- <sup>1</sup> Pediatric Infectious Disease Research Center, Children's Medical Center Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Dr. Gharib Street, Keshavarz Boulevard, Tehran, Iran
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases, Pediatrics Center of Excellence, Children's Medical Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran

- <sup>4</sup> Department of Public Health and Infectious Diseases, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy
- <sup>5</sup> Clinic of Infectious Diseases, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria, Policlinico of Modena, Modena, Italy
- <sup>6</sup> Institute of Pediatrics, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Gemelli, Rome, Italy
- <sup>7</sup> The Research Institute of Tuberculosis, Japan Anti-Tuberculosis Association, Kiyose, Tokyo, Japan

# Introduction

According to the latest World Health Organization (WHO) global tuberculosis report, tuberculosis (TB) is the ninth prime cause of death worldwide and has been responsible for 1.7 million deaths in 2017 (Organization 2016). On the other hand, latent TB infection (LTBI) affects one-quarter of the world's population and can develop into active TB in almost 15% of the cases (Getahun et al. 2015; Sester et al. 2015); therefore, the accurate diagnosis and treatment of LTBI can control and eliminate a TB outbreak (Lönnroth et al. 2015). Patient-centered care is one of the main components of WHO's End TB Strategy. According to the latest reports, it has been estimated that 54 million lives were saved through TB diagnosis and treatment between 2000 and 2017 (World Health Organization 2016).

Currently, a new biomarker to monitor treatment efficacy would be a major advance for the management of TB globally (Goletti et al. 2018). Specifically, in the case of extrapulmonary TB, it is hard to correlate the sputum result with the clinical outcome when *Mycobacterium. tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) cannot be detected in sputum. It is even more difficult in LTBI cases, where *Mtb* cannot be isolated despite its presence (Goletti et al. 2016). In addition to monitoring the treatment efficacy in patients, a new biomarker may allow the assessment of shorter course regimens for both LTBI and active TB treatment. A new biomarker capable of confirming adequate TB treatment would also reduce transmission from reactivation due to treatment failure.

The number of studies which evaluated interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs) results after anti-tuberculosis (TB) treatment has been rapidly increasing. Currently, there are three commercial IGRAs available: the QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube assay (QFT-GIT) (Cellestis, Valencia, CA, USA), the T-SPOT.TB assay (Oxford Immunotec, Inc, Oxfordshire, UK) and an updated version of the QFT-GIT assay termed QuantiFERON TB Plus (QFT-Plus). QFT-GIT assay is an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay-based, whole-blood test that uses peptides from ESAT-6 and CFP-10 as well as peptides from TB7.7 [Rv2654c]. T-SPOT.TB is an enzyme-linked immunospot assay using ESAT-6 and CFP-10 peptides. QFT-Plus is the next-generation IGRA launched by Qiagen in 2015. It includes an additional antigen tube (TB2) [QIAGEN (2014). www.quantiferon.com/ irm/content/package-inserts.aspx Date last accessed: March 13], which contains peptides stimulating Mtb-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, in addition to the CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell response detected with QFT-GIT (Petruccioli et al. 2016).

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we investigated the potential use of IGRAs (QFT-GIT, T-SPOT.TB, QFT-Plus) in assessing the response to anti-TB treatment.

## Search Strategy

This study was performed in accordance with the PRISMA protocol (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-analysis) (Moher et al. 2009). We searched all studies in English language published from 1 October 2011 to 18 November 2018 in PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus. Our search included the term "tuberculosis treatment AND interferon- $\gamma$  release assay". Additional references were screened through references cited by the identified studies.

## **Study Selection and Data Extraction**

We included studies aimed at evaluating the performance of commercial IGRAs (including QFT-GIT, T-SPOT.TB and QFT-Plus) before and after the anti-TB treatment.

The results of QFT-GIT were assessed according to the manufacturer's criteria (Keshavarz Valian et al. 2019). The result was considered positive if the IFN- $\gamma$  value in TB antigen tube was  $\geq 0.35$  IU/ml after correction for negative control. The result was considered negative if the response to the specific antigens was < 0.35 IU/mL and if the IFN- $\gamma$  of the positive control (mitogen well) was  $\geq 0.5$  IU/mL.

The results of T-SPOT.TB were assessed according to the manufacturer's criteria (Wang et al. 2012). A test was scored as positive if the ESAT-6- or CFP-10-stimulated well had at least 6 spots more than the negative control well, which had  $\leq$  5 spots. Also, if the negative control well had six to ten spots, the result was considered as positive when either the ESAT-6- or CFP-10-stimulated well contained at least twice as many spots.

In QFT-Plus assay, the qualitative cutoff values, and result interpretation, is identical to the QFT-GIT assay, with the key exception that if either one or both of the QFT-Plus TB antigen tubes (TB1 or TB2) are equal to or greater than 0.35 IU/mL and are at least 25% of the nil tube value, the patient is considered positive (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The QFT-Plus results can be stratified according to the ability of subjects to respond to both TB1 and TB2 peptides ("TB1 and TB2"), only to TB1 ("only TB1") or only to TB2 ("only TB2").

Patients were considered to have active TB if they had laboratory-confirmed active TB and culture isolation of *Mtb* and/or distinctive clinical presentation and/or symptoms as well as available radiographs and computed tomography images.

The inclusion criteria for patients with LTBI were: asymptomatic patients with both risk factors for TB infection (travel to or origin from a TB endemic country, known TB exposure, etc.), and a positive tuberculin skin test (TST) (inducation  $\geq 10$  mm) and/or IGRAs result.

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) TB was defined as *Mtb* that is resistant at least to isoniazid and rifampicin (Prasad et al. 2018).

Studies were excluded when there was a lack of the number of positive or negative IGRA results before and after therapy, or included participants who did not receive treatment, or if the results did not differentiate between treated and untreated subjects. Moreover, we excluded review articles and conference abstract.

Two reviewers evaluated all titles and abstracts independently to identify potentially relevant studies. Next, one author reviewed the full text of all selected studies to determine the eligibility for inclusion and a second author repeated this evaluation separately. There was a complete agreement regarding the excluded studies. Two authors extracted data from all the included articles independently. Disagreements were resolved by consensus.

#### **Quality Assessment**

Two authors independently assessed each study based on Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) checklist for cohort studies (Institute 2014). Any discrepancies were resolved by discussion or, if an agreement could not be reached, a third author was consulted.

#### **Data Analysis and Synthesis**

We calculated pooled estimates using random effects modeling, which provides more conservative estimates than fixed effects modeling when heterogeneity is present. Outcomes were the total rate of positive IGRAs tests following anti-TB treatment. We visually assessed for heterogeneity using forest plots, which represents the estimated prevalence and their relevant 95% confidence interval (CI). The Cochran's heterogeneity statistic (Q test) and  $I^2$  statistic were used to examine the heterogeneity of studies. The  $I^2$  values of 25%, 50%, and 75% were considered as low, medium, and high heterogeneity, respectively.

For each individual study, we assessed all outcomes for which data were available. We generated forest plots to display the individual study estimates and their 95% confidence intervals.

We performed subgroup analysis based on the age (children, adults), type of TB (active, latent, active and latent, and contacts exposed to MDR defined as MDR LTBI), type of IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB), and follow-up interval (2, 3, 4, 6, 9 months). The Q and  $I^2$  statistics values were calculated for each subgroup to determine the effective factors on the positivity of IGRA and heterogeneity of the studies. Publication bias was evaluated by Egger's regression test (Begg and Mazumdar 1994).

We performed all analyses using STATA software (Release 12. statistical software. College Station, Texas: STATA Corp LP).

## Results

#### **Included Studies**

A total of 1432 unique references were identified by the initial search. After review of title and abstracts, 114 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. Of these, 96 articles were excluded after the review of the complete texts, and 18 studies met our inclusion criteria. Figure 1 shows the study selection process, reported according to PRISMA guidelines.

#### **Study Description**

Of the 18 included studies, 12 used QFT-GIT (Bartalesi et al. 2013; Bastos et al. 2013; Bedini et al. 2016; Denkinger et al. 2013; Johnson et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2014; Le Hang et al. 2014; Lee et al. 2012; Matsushita et al. 2015; Sali et al. 2018; Sauzullo et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2013) for assessment of IGRA performance after therapy, 1 used T-SPOT.TB (Wang et al. 2012), and 3 used both QFT-GIT and T-SPOT. TB (Chiappini et al. 2012; O'Shea et al. 2014; Park and Shim 2017). Since, only two studies assessed the QFT-Plus performance during therapy (Kamada and Amishima 2017; Petruccioli et al. 2018), they were not considered in quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis). All the studies had quality score  $\geq 5$  (high quality).

Seven studies were conducted in Europe (Bartalesi et al. 2013; Bedini et al. 2016; Chiappini et al. 2012; O'Shea et al. 2014; Petruccioli et al. 2018; Sali et al. 2018; Sauzullo et al. 2014), one in the USA (Johnson et al. 2014), one in Canada (Denkinger et al. 2013), one in Brazil (Bastos et al. 2013) and eight in Asia (Kamada and Amishima 2017; Kim et al. 2014; Le Hang et al. 2014; Lee et al. 2012; Matsushita et al. 2015; Park and Shim 2017; Wang et al. 2012, 2013).

The mean age of the subjects was  $36.45 \pm 17.3$  years. The number of participants after treatment follow-up in each study varied considerably (from 12 to 391); the largest studies were by Hang et al. (with 391 participants) (Le Hang et al. 2014) and Matsushita et al. (with 351 participants) (Matsushita et al. 2015). There was one study including people living with human immunodeficiency virus (Sauzullo et al. 2014); however, the number of HIV-positive patients in this work was very low (n=13).

Classical anti-TB therapy (rifampicin, isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol) was used in seven studies





(Chiappini et al. 2012; Kamada and Amishima 2017; Kim et al. 2014; Le Hang et al. 2014; Matsushita et al. 2015; Park and Shim 2017; Sauzullo et al. 2014). Rifampicin and isoniazid were used in four studies (Bartalesi et al. 2013; Bastos et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2012; Petruccioli et al. 2018), isoniazid in three studies (Johnson et al. 2014; Sali et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2012), and rifampin in one study (Wang et al. 2013). Pyrazinamide plus levofloxacin were used as treatment choice for MDR LTBI in one study (Bedini et al. 2016).

According to the results of the meta-analysis, the pooled rate of positive IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB) following anti-TB therapy was estimated at 76% [95% CI 70–81%] and no difference was found compared to the pooled positive rate of IGRAs before initiation of therapy which was 76%7 [95% CI 60–89%] (Fig. 2).

Results revealed a strong heterogeneity among the selected studies ( $l^2 = 89.1\%$ ; P < 0.001) (Fig. 2b).

The subgroup analysis was performed according to age (children, adults), type of TB (active, latent, active and latent, and MDR LTBI), type of IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB), TB burden, and follow-up interval (2, 3, 4, 6, 9 months). The results are shown in Table 2.

#### **Studies in Children and Adults**

We identified only one study which used the T-SPOT.TB assay for monitoring therapy in children (26). Instead, the QFT-GIT assay was used in two pediatric studies (21, 26) in which a total of 108 children had a longitudinal follow-up treatment. The remaining studies included only adults (Bartalesi et al. 2013; Bedini et al. 2016; Johnson et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2014; Le Hang et al. 2014; Lee et al. 2012; Matsushita et al. 2015; Park and Shim 2017; Sauzullo et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2012, 2013).

Based on the results of Egger's regression test, the publication bias among included studies could not be ignored (P < 0.001; Fig. 3).

This subgroup analysis illustrated that the pooled rate of positive IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB) following anti-TB treatment was similar among the two age groups of participants [children 77% (95% CI 44–98%); adults 76% (95% CI 69–82%)] (Table 1).

Weight

3.87

2.37 3.39 4.42 4.42 3.06

2.46 2.46 4.43 4.42 3.46 2.92 2.92 3.18 4.20 3.94 4.26

4.05 3.62

3.18 3.18 4.22 4.24 82.63

> 3.21 3.39

3.62 3.14

4.01 17.37

100.00

1.5



Fig. 2 Forest plot shows percentage of patients with positive IGRA test using QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB. Squares and horizontal lines correspond to the recorded percentage of patients with positive results of IGRA at baseline (a) and after treatment follow-up in

patients with positive IGRAs results at baseline (b). Squares reflect the weight each study contributed to the analysis. The diamond represents the pooled value, and corresponding 95% CI

## **Studies in Different Types of TB**

Seven studies investigated active TB patients (Denkinger et al. 2013; Kim et al. 2014; Le Hang et al. 2014; Matsushita et al. 2015; Park and Shim 2017; Sauzullo et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2013), six investigated LTBI patients (Bartalesi et al. 2013; Bastos et al. 2013; Johnson et al. 2014; Lee et al. 2012; O'Shea et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2012), two investigated both latent and active TB (Chiappini et al. 2012; Sali et al. 2018), and one investigated MDR LTBI patients (Bedini et al. 2016).

The subgroup analysis showed that the pooled rate of positive IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB) after anti-TB therapy was significantly higher in monitoring of active TB subjects [80% (95% CI 74–88%)] than LTBI [71% (95% CI 70–81%)]. The pooled rate of positive IGRAs for two studies, evaluating both active and latent TB infection, was estimated at 77% [95% CI 44–98%]. Only one study assessed the performance of IGRA in MDR LTBI subjects, who displayed a positive QFT-GIT result after completion of treatment (100%) (14).

## **TB Burden**

The pooled rate of positive IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT. TB) after anti-TB therapy was higher in high burden TB countries [80% (95% CI 75–85%)] than low/intermediate TB burden countries [73% (95% CI 62–82%)] (Table 2).

## **Treatment Follow-Up**

The pooled rate of positive IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT. TB) following anti-TB therapy was significantly different based on follow-up intervals (64–88%) (Table 2).

The largest and most statistically significant decreases of positive IGRAs results were observed during the first 3 months of specific treatment [64% (95% CI 55–72%)].

# Studies Using QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB

Among the studies evaluating the performance of IGRA for monitoring therapy, there was no significant difference in the pooled rate of positive QFT-GIT (77%) and T-SPOT.TB (70%) following TB treatment (P=0.36).

Overall, a large proportion of subjects remained IGRAs positive even after completion of specific treatment. The IFN- $\gamma$  level responses generally fall after anti-TB treatment (Bartalesi et al. 2013; Bedini et al. 2016; Denkinger et al. 2013; Johnson et al. 2014; Le Hang et al. 2014; Lee et al. 2012; O'Shea et al. 2014), while two studies showed no significant change in IGRA results (Bartalesi et al. 2013; Sauzullo et al. 2014).

#### **Studies Using QFT-Plus**

We identified only two studies evaluating the effect of treatment on QFT-Plus response (Kamada and Amishima 2017; Petruccioli et al. 2018). Notably, these studies were



**Fig.3 a** The funnel plot diagram to test for publication bias. **b** The Egger's test to test for publication bias (P value  $\le 0.001$ )

carried out in low-burden settings. In the study of Petruccioli et al,. a cohort of subjects with LTBI (n = 46) and active TB (n=28) was evaluated and 59% of them were BCG vaccinated (Petruccioli et al. 2018). The median age of the cases was 38 (24-50) years. The authors showed that anti-TB therapy significantly decreased IFN-y values and number of responders to TB1 and TB2 peptides stimulation in both latent and active TB patients (Table 3). Stratifying LTBI subjects according to the type of preventive TB therapy, INH treatment, but not INH and RIF, significantly decreased IFN-y production. In fact, among LTBI subjects treated with INH, 97% responded to both "TB1 and TB2" at baseline and 75% at treatment completion (P = 0.02). Among the "TB1" responders, 97% responded at baseline and 78% at therapy completion (P = 0.03). Among the "TB2" responders, 100% responded at baseline and 81% at therapy completion (P = 0.03).

In clinical TB patients, the positive IFN- $\gamma$  response at therapy completion declined more than in microbiologically confirmed TB patients (44% vs 79%) (Petruccioli et al. 2018) (Table 4).

In the study of Kamada et al., 38 patients [mean  $\pm$  SD age of 66  $\pm$  19.3 years (29–94 years)] with confirmed TB were enrolled from October 2013 to January 2015. In this study, 36 patients (95% of the cohort) displayed a positive QFT-Plus result before therapy. Statistically significant decreases of IFN- $\gamma$  responses of TB2 were observed during initiation of treatment to 3 months, but not between 3 and 6 months (Kamada and Amishima 2017) (Table 3).

Changes from a positive to negative result during treatment occurred in 26% of the cases (9 out of 35) for TB1, 14% (5 out of 36) for TB2, and 14% (5 out of 36) for TB1 or TB2.

# Discussion

In recent years, numerous studies have been conducted to investigate the impact of anti-TB treatment on specific IFN- $\gamma$  response, as measured by IGRAs, and controversial results in the case of both latent and active TB treatments have been shown.

It has been suggested that the progressive decline of IFN- $\gamma$  response measured by IGRAs reflects the reduction of mycobacterial burden following a successful anti-TB treatment, but the clinical significance of IGRA conversions and reversions is still to be established.

Our analysis indicates that the IGRA, in particular the QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB, should not be used as a reliable marker for monitoring the efficacy of therapy in clinical practice. Before and after specific TB treatment, we found an equal pooled positive performance of IGRA. In addition, no significant differences between QFT-GIT and T-SPOT. TB following therapy was observed.

Conversely, the new generation of IGRA, QFT-Plus which contains novel antigens aimed at eliciting a response from CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, seems to be useful as a surrogate marker for effective anti-TB monitoring (Kamada and Amishima 2017; Petruccioli et al. 2018). Further researches on a larger scale are needed to establish its clinical value as a supplemental tool for the monitoring of the efficacy of pharmacologic intervention for TB.

A review of included studies shows a general decline in quantitative IFN- $\gamma$  response following anti-TB treatment, even if the majority of patients showed still a positive result at the end of therapy using especially QFT-GIT and T-SPOT. TB.

Persistent positive IGRA results were seen particularly in subjects with active TB disease, in which the pooled rate of positive IGRAs after TB therapy was significantly higher than that observed in subjects with LTBI infection, during which the bacterial burden is limited (Nikolova et al. 2013).

According to previous reports, the Mtb-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response, but not the CD4<sup>+</sup> response, was different

Total (0)         Negative (r)         Negative (r) <th>Author</th> <th>Year</th> <th>Country</th> <th>Kit</th> <th>Population</th> <th>Before trea</th> <th>itment</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Treatment</th> <th>After treatr</th> <th>nent</th> <th></th> <th></th>	Author	Year	Country	Kit	Population	Before trea	itment			Treatment	After treatr	nent		
Puck and Shim (2017)         2004-2005         Koral         QFT-GT         Adults         33         21         12         12         12           LeHang et al. (2014)         2007-2009         Vienum         QFT-GT         Adults         33         31         39         37         39         37         39         37         34           Masushing et al. (2014)         2007-2009         Vienum         QFT-GT         Adults         370         331         301         301         39         33         30         33         312         54           Masushing et al. (2014)         2007-2009         Taiwn         TSpotTB         Adults         330         311         40 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Total (n)</th> <th>Positive (n)</th> <th>Negative (n)</th> <th>IND (n)</th> <th>duration</th> <th>Total (n)</th> <th>Positive (n)</th> <th>Negative (n)</th> <th>IND (n)</th>						Total (n)	Positive (n)	Negative (n)	IND (n)	duration	Total (n)	Positive (n)	Negative (n)	IND (n)
Le Hang et al. (2014)         T-SporTB         Andus         33         31         6         33         30         3         31         12         50         33         31         31         33         31         33         31         33         31         33         31         33         31         33         31         33         33         31         33         33         31         33	Park and Shim (2017)	2004-2005	Korea	QFT-GIT	Adults	33	23	10	0	9	33	21	12	0
Le Hang et al. (2014)         2007–2009         Vietnam         QFT-GIT         Adults         390         18         2         391         337         54           Masushia et al. (2015)         2007–2009         Vietnam         QFT-GIT         Adults         370         351         19         7         332         294         58           Masushia et al. (2015)         2007–2009         Vietnam         QFT-GIT         Adults         583         176         407         7         331         300         6           Wasushin et al. (2012)         2007–2009         Tiawa         T-SporTB         Adults         583         176         407         4         2         311         33         30         6         33           Suzublo et al. (2012)         2010–2012         Italy         CFGT         Adults         583         176         407         4         35         13         9         0         33         302         54         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         14         126         13         13         10         25         13         26         13         26         13         26         13         26         14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>T-Spot.TB</td> <td>Adults</td> <td>33</td> <td>31</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td>33</td> <td>30</td> <td>б</td> <td></td>				T-Spot.TB	Adults	33	31			9	33	30	б	
Masushina e al. (2015)         2007–2009         Vienum $QT-GT$ Adults         370         351         19         7         352         294         58           Wang et al. (2012)         2007–2009         Taiwan         T-SpotTB         Adults         370         351         19         0         7         351         312         5           Wang et al. (2012)         2007–2009         Taiwan         T-SpotTB         Adults         383         176         407         7         351         312         5           Sauzallo et al. (2012)         2010–2012         Inaj         T-SpotTB         Adults         13         9         0         4         4         36         44         4         36         11         26         13         8         4           Using et al. (2012)         2010–2012         Brazil         QTT-GTT         Adults         23         11         4         26         14         26         14         26         22         21         26         22         21         20         22         24         26         22         21         26         22         26         17         26         22         26         12         <	Le Hang et al. (2014)	2007-2009	Vietnam	QFT-GIT	Adults	407	389	18		2	391	337	54	0
Matenshifa e al. (2015)         2007-2006         Viernam         QFT-GIT         Adults         370         351         19         0         2         311         5           Wang et al. (2012)         2007-2006         Taivan         F-SpotTB         Adults         583         176         407         7         351         300         5           Wang et al. (2012)         2007-2006         Taivan         F-SpotTB         Adults         13         9         0         7         351         300         35           Sauzulo et al. (2014)         2010-2012         Itaip         T         44         5         1         4         3           Standard et al. (2013)         2010-2012         Bazzil         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         13         4         26         14         26           Bassot et al. (2013)         2010-2012         Bazzil         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         21         26         22         23         11         26         26         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20										7	352	294	58	0
Wang et al. (2012)         2007–2009         Taiwan         T-Spot.TB         Adults         583         176         407         7         351         300         6           Sauzullo et al. (2012)         2008–2012         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         583         176         407         4         25         12         13           Sauzullo et al. (2014)         2008–2012         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         13         9         0         4         25         12         13           Sauzullo et al. (2013)         2010–2012         Italy         T-Spot.TB         Adults         13         3         6         13         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         4         26         2         3         1         6         44         26         2         2         3         1         26         2         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         4         3         3         2         2         2         3         3         3         3         3	Matsushita et al. (2015)	2007-2009	Vietnam	QFT-GIT	Adults	370	351	19	0	2	351	312	5	
Wang et al. (2012)         2007–2009         Taivan         T-Spot.TB         Adults         533         176         407         4         25         12         13           Sazullo et al. (2014)         2068–2012         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         13         9         0         4         6         13         4         33           Chiappini et al. (2012)         2010–2012         Italy         T-Spot.TB         Children         44         34         9         0         4         6         13         4         26           Bastos et al. (2012)         2010–2012         Brazi         OFT-GIT         Adults         26         13         44         26         44         26         11         26         26         22         23         11         6         26         27         26         12         26           Bastos et al. (2013)         2012         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         23         31         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26         26 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7</td> <td>351</td> <td>300</td> <td>6</td> <td></td>										7	351	300	6	
Suzullo et al. (2014)         2008–2012         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         13         9         0         4         83         50         33           Chiappini et al. (2012)         2010–2012         Italy         T-SporTB         Children         44         34         9         0         4         6         13         8         4         9           Bastos et al. (2013)         2010–2012         Italy         T-SporTB         Adults         134         123         11         6         13         8         4         26           Bastos et al. (2013)         2011–2012         Korea         QTF-GIT         Adults         26         23         11         6         10         24         26           Lee et al. (2013)         2011         Korea         QTF-GIT         Adults         26         23         31         6         26         20         7         26         10         27           Bartalesi et al. (2013)         2012         Italy         QTF-GIT         Adults         26         23         10         26         20         7         26         10         27         20         26         10         26         26         17	Wang et al. (2012)	2007-2009	Taiwan	T-Spot.TB	Adults	583	176	407		4	25	12	13	
Sauzulo et al. (2014)         2008-2012         Iajy         Fr-Grr         Adults         13         9         0         4         6         13         8         4           Chiappini et al. (2012)         2010-2012         Iajy         F-SporTB         Chidren         44         34         9         13         4         9         13         4         9           Chiappini et al. (2012)         2010-2012         Barzil         CFF-GIT         43         36         1         6         44         26           Bastos et al. (2013)         2010-2012         Korea         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         23         1         6         26         20         27           Kim et al. (2013)         2011         Korea         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         23         3         1         6         26         20         7           Loe et al. (2013)         2012         Ialy         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         11         55         0         3         10         21         20         20         10         24           Bardesi et al. (2013)         2012         Ialy         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         11										4	83	50	33	
Chiappini et al. (2012)         2010–2012         Italy         T-Spot TB         Children         44         34         9         13         4         28           Bastos et al. (2013)         2010–2012         Brazil         QFT-GIT         VD         134         123         11         2         44         28           Bastos et al. (2013)         2010–2012         Brazil         QFT-GIT         ND         134         123         11         4         134         110         24           Kim et al. (2013)         2011–2012         Korea         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         23         3         1         6         26         7         20           Lee et al. (2013)         2011         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         11         55         0         3         26         17         9         7           Bartolsi et al. (2013)         2012         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         11         55         0         3         26         17         9           Denkinger et al. (2013)         2012         Canada         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         11         55         16         9	Sauzullo et al. (2014)	2008-2012	Italy	QFT-GIT	Adults	13	6	0	4	6	13	8	4	1
										6	13	4	6	0
Bastos et al. (2013) $210-2012$ Brazil         QFT-GIT         A3         36         3         44         26           Kim et al. (2014) $210-2012$ Brazil         QFT-GIT         ND         134         123         11         24         10         24           Kim et al. (2014) $201-2012$ Korea         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         23         3         1         6         26         20         7         22           Lee et al. (2012) $2011$ Korea         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         23         3         1         6         26         20         7         22           Bartalesi et al. (2013) $2012$ Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         166         11         55         0         3         26         17         9         7           Denkinger et al. (2013) $2012$ Landa         QFT-GIT         Adults         166         11         55         0         3         26         17         9         26           Denkinger et al. (2013) $2012$ Canada         QFT-GIT         Adults         149         133         16	Chiappini et al. (2012)	2010-2012	Italy	T-Spot.TB	Children	44	34			6	44	28		
				QFT-GIT		43	36			3	44	26		
Kin et al. (2014)2011-2012Korea $0FT-GIT$ Adults26231626206Lee et al. (2012)2011Korea $0FT-GIT$ Adults26231626206Bartalesi et al. (2013)2012Italy $0FT-GIT$ Adults26115503261797Bartalesi et al. (2013)2012Italy $0FT-GIT$ Adults16611155031669472Denkinger et al. (2013)2012Canada $0FT-GIT$ Adults14913316214412519Denkinger et al. (2013)2012Canada $0FT-GIT$ Adults39362610.9925240Donson et al. (2013)2013USA $0FT-GIT$ Adults20197240Donson et al. (2013)2013USA $0FT-GIT$ Adults20197240Vang et al. (2013)2013USA $0FT-GIT$ Adults20197240Vang et al. (2014)20132013UR $0FT-GIT$ Adults20197240Vang et al. (2014)20132013UR $0FT-GIT$ Adults201912240Vang et al. (2014)20132013UR $0FT-GIT$ Adults201962240 <td>Bastos et al. (2013)</td> <td>2010-2012</td> <td>Brazil</td> <td>QFT-GIT</td> <td>ND</td> <td>134</td> <td>123</td> <td>11</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>134</td> <td>110</td> <td>24</td> <td></td>	Bastos et al. (2013)	2010-2012	Brazil	QFT-GIT	ND	134	123	11		4	134	110	24	
Kim et al. (2014)         2011-2012         Korea         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         22         3         1         6         26         20         6           Lee et al. (2012)         2011         Korea         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         19         7           Bartalesi et al. (2013)         2012         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         166         111         55         0         3         26         17         9           Bartalesi et al. (2013)         2012         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         166         11         55         0         3         166         94         72           Denkinger et al. (2013)         2012         Canada         QFT-GIT         Adults         149         133         16         2         14         125         19           Denkinger et al. (2013)         2013         USA         QFT-GIT         Adults         20         3         36         34         26           Johnson et al. (2013)         2013         USA         QFT-GIT         Adults         20         19         6109         150         124         26           Johnson et al. (2013)         2013         <						73	68	5		6	73	51	22	
Lee et al. (2012)         2011         Korea         QFT-GIT         Adults         26         19         7           Bartalesi et al. (2013)         2012         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         166         111         55         0         3         26         17         9           Bartalesi et al. (2013)         2012         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         166         111         55         0         3         166         94         72           Denkinger et al. (2013)         2012         Canada         QFT-GIT         Adults         149         133         16         2         14         125         19           Johnson et al. (2013)         2013         USA         QFT-GIT         Adults         20         19         36         34         26           Johnson et al. (2014)         2013         USA         QFT-GIT         Adults         20         19         17         6         36         34         26           Johnson et al. (2014)         2013         USA         QFT-GIT         Adults         20         19         16         27         20         19           Johnson et al. (2014)         2014         UK	Kim et al. (2014)	2011-2012	Korea	QFT-GIT	Adults	26	22	33	1	9	26	20	6	0
Bartalesi et al. (2013)       2012       Italy       QFT-GIT       Adults       166       11       55       0       3       166       94       72         Denkinger et al. (2013)       2012       Canada       QFT-GIT       Adults       149       133       16       2       144       125       19         Denkinger et al. (2013)       2013       USA       QFT-GIT       Adults       149       133       16       2       144       125       19         Johnson et al. (2014)       2013       USA       QFT-GIT       Adults       39       36       6       9       50       124       26         Johnson et al. (2014)       2013       USA       QFT-GIT       Adults       20       19       133       16       2       144       125       19         Wang et al. (2014)       2013       USA       QFT-GIT       Adults       20       19       5       26         O'Shea et al. (2014)       2014       UK       T-Spot TB       ND       166       27       2       20       19       6       2       6       2       5       5         O'Shea et al. (2014)       2016       Italy       7       2	Lee et al. (2012)	2011	Korea	QFT-GIT	Adults	26				9	26	19	L	0
Baralesi et al. (2013)       2012       Italy       QFT-GIT       Adults       166       11       55       0       3       166       94       72         Denkinger et al. (2013)       2012       Canada       QFT-GIT       Adults       149       133       16       2       144       125       19         Denkinger et al. (2013)       2013       USA       QFT-GIT       Adults       149       133       16       2       144       125       19         Johnson et al. (2014)       2013       USA       QFT-GIT       Adults       20       19       56       36       34       26         Wang et al. (2013)       2013       China       QFT-GIT       Adults       20       19       56       36       34       26         Wang et al. (2014)       2014       UK       T-Spot.TB       ND       166       27       2       20       19         O'Shea et al. (2014)       2014       UK       T-Spot.TB       ND       166       27       2       2       6       20       19       6       5       5         O'Shea et al. (2014)       2016       Italy       QFT-GIT       ND       166       23 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td><td>26</td><td>17</td><td>6</td><td>0</td></t<>										3	26	17	6	0
Denkinger et al. (2013)       2012       Canada       QFT-GIT       Adults       149       133       16       2       144       125       19         Johnson et al. (2013)       2013       USA       QFT-GIT       Adults       39       36       6 to 9       150       124       26         Johnson et al. (2014)       2013       USA       QFT-GIT       Adults       20       19       6 to 9       150       124       26         Wang et al. (2013)       2013       UK       QFT-GIT       Adults       20       19       2       40       2         Wang et al. (2014)       2014       UK       T-Spot.TB       ND       166       27       2       20       19       2         O'Shea et al. (2014)       2014       UK       T-Spot.TB       ND       166       27       3       27       20       19         O'Shea et al. (2014)       2016       Italy       0FT-GIT       ND       166       27       3       27       22       5         Sali et al. (2016)       2016       Italy       0FT-GIT       Adults       39       17       9       6       12       10       10       12       12	Bartalesi et al. (2013)	2012	Italy	QFT-GIT	Adults	166	111	55	0	3	166	94	72	0
Denkinger et al. (2013)         2012         Canada         QFT-GIT         Adults         149         133         16         2         144         125         19           Johnson et al. (2014)         2013         USA         QFT-GIT         Adults         39         36         6 to 9         150         124         26           Johnson et al. (2014)         2013         USA         QFT-GIT         Adults         20         19         6         36         34         2           Wang et al. (2013)         2013         China         QFT-GIT         Adults         20         19         2         20         19           Wang et al. (2014)         2014         UK         T-Spot.TB         ND         166         27         2         20         19           O'Shea et al. (2014)         2014         UK         T-Spot.TB         ND         166         27         3         27         22         5           O'Shea et al. (2014)         2016         Italy         QFT-GIT         ND         166         27         3         27         22         5           Sali et al. (2016)         2016         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         39         17										6 to 9	92	52	40	
Johnson et al. (2014)       2013       USA       QFT-GIT       Adults       39       36       6       36       34       26         Wang et al. (2013)       2013       UIna       QFT-GIT       Adults       20       19       2       20       19       2       2         Wang et al. (2013)       2013       China       QFT-GIT       Adults       20       19       2       20       19       2       2       20       19       2       2       20       19       2       2       20       19       2       2       20       19       2       2       20       19       2       2       2       20       19       2       2       2       20       19       2	Denkinger et al. (2013)	2012	Canada	QFT-GIT	Adults	149	133	16		2	144	125	19	
Johnson et al. (2014)         2013         USA         QFT-GIT         Adults         39         36         6         36         34         2           Wang et al. (2013)         2013         China         QFT-GIT         Adults         20         19         2         20         19         2         2         10         19         2         2         10         19         2         2         10         19         2         2         10         19         2         10         19         2         2         10         19         2         10         19         2         10         19         2         10         19         2         10         19         10         1										6 to 9	150	124	26	
Wang et al. (2013)         2013         China         QFT-GIT         Adults         20         19         2         20         19         6         20         19         6         20         19         6         20         19         6         20         6         20         6         20         6         5         6         20         6         5         6         7         6         7         5         7         21         22         5         7         21         22         5         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         9         17         9         6         12         12         12         12         10         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         8         9         17         16         17         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10 <t< td=""><td>Johnson et al. (2014)</td><td>2013</td><td>USA</td><td>QFT-GIT</td><td>Adults</td><td>39</td><td>36</td><td></td><td></td><td>9</td><td>36</td><td>34</td><td>2</td><td>0</td></t<>	Johnson et al. (2014)	2013	USA	QFT-GIT	Adults	39	36			9	36	34	2	0
O'Shea et al. (2014)       2014       UK       T-Spot.TB       ND       166       27       3       27       22       5         O'Shea et al. (2014)       2014       UK       T-Spot.TB       ND       166       27       3       27       22       5         Bedini et al. (2016)       2016       Italy       QFT-GIT       Adults       39       17       6       12       12       0         Sali et al. (2018)       2018       Italy       OFT-GIT       Children       550       64       477       9       6       64       62       2	Wang et al. (2013)	2013	China	QFT-GIT	Adults	20	19			2	20	19		
O'Shea et al. (2014)       UK       T-Spot.TB       ND       166       27       3       27       22       5         QFT-GIT       ND       166       23       3       23       15       8         Bedini et al. (2016)       2016       Italy       QFT-GIT       Adults       39       17       6       12       12       0         Sali et al. (2018)       2018       Italy       OFT-GIT       Children       550       64       477       9       64       62       2										9	20	9		
QFT-GIT         ND         166         23         3         23         15         8           Bedini et al. (2016)         2016         Italy         QFT-GIT         Adults         39         17         6         12         12         0           Sali et al. (2018)         2018         Italy         OFT-GIT         Children         550         64         477         9         64         62         2	O'Shea et al. (2014)	2014	UK	T-Spot.TB	ND	166	27			3	27	22	5	0
Bedini et al. (2016) 2016 Italy QFT-GIT Adults 39 17 6 12 12 0 Sali et al. (2018) 2018 Italy OFT-GIT Children 550 64 477 9 6 64 62 2				QFT-GIT	ND	166	23			Э	23	15	8	0
Sali et al. (2018) 2018 Italy OFT-GIT Children 550 64 477 9 6 64 62 2	Bedini et al. (2016)	2016	Italy	QFT-GIT	Adults	39	17			9	12	12	0	0
	Sali et al. (2018)	2018	Italy	QFT-GIT	Children	550	64	477	9	9	64	62	2	0

Archivum Immunologiae et Therapiae Experimentalis (2020) 68:4

 
 Table 2
 Sub-group analysis of the IGRA performance (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB) following anti-TB treatment

		tivity (95% CI)	I <sup>2</sup> (%)	Heterogeneity $(\chi^2)$	P value	Interac- tion test $(\chi^2)$	P value
TB type	Active TB	80 (74–88)	64.67	67.22	< 0.001	9.27	0.03
	Latent	71 (70-81)	82.99		< 0.001		
	Active and Latent	77 (44–98)					
	MDR LTBI	100 (74–100)					
Age	Children	77 (44–98)				0.02	0.99
	Adults	76 (69–82)	90.26	205.35	< 0.001		
	ND	76 (67–84)	49.27	5.97	0.11		
IGRA type	QFT-GIT	77 (71–82)	89.43	208.21	< 0.001	0.84	0.36
	T-Spot.TB	70 (55-83)	79.26	19.28	< 0.001		
TB burden	High	80 (75-85)	81.12	63.56	< 0.001	1.89	0.17
	Low/intermediate	73 (62–82)	89.91	138.71	< 0.001		
Follow-up	2 months	88 (86–90)	0	2.06	0.56	62.68	< 0.001
	3 months	64 (55–72)	41.45	6.83	0.15		
	4 months	66 (44–84)					
	6 months	77 (65–87)	87.97	91.44	< 0.001		
	7 months	85 (82-87)					
	9 months	65 (54–75)					
TB type Age IGRA type TB burden Follow-up	Active TB Latent Active and Latent MDR LTBI Children Adults ND QFT-GIT T-Spot.TB High Low/intermediate 2 months 3 months 4 months 6 months 7 months 9 months	80 (74–88) 71 (70–81) 77 (44–98) 100 (74–100) 77 (44–98) 76 (69–82) 76 (67–84) 77 (71–82) 70 (55–83) 80 (75–85) 73 (62–82) 88 (86–90) 64 (55–72) 66 (44–84) 77 (65–87) 85 (82–87) 65 (54–75)	64.67 82.99 90.26 49.27 89.43 79.26 81.12 89.91 0 41.45 87.97	67.22 205.35 5.97 208.21 19.28 63.56 138.71 2.06 6.83 91.44	< 0.001 < 0.001 0.11 < 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 0.56 0.15 < 0.001	<ul><li>9.27</li><li>0.02</li><li>0.84</li><li>1.89</li><li>62.68</li></ul>	0.0 0.2 0.2 < 0.0

Table 3 QFT-Plus test quantitative results

Study	Group	TB tube	Baseline	After 3 months therapy	After 6 months therapy
Petruccioli	LTBI	TB1 (IFN-γ IU·mL-1)	4.6 (1.3–10)		2.1 (0.7-6.4)
et al. (2018)		TB2 (IFN-γ IU·mL-1)	4.8 (1.5–10)		2.4 (0.6–5.2)
	ТВ	TB1 (IFN-γ IU·mL-1)	2 (0.8–6.2)		0.9 (0.2–2)
		TB2 (IFN-γ IU·mL-1)	2.6 (0.7-5.9)		0.9 (0.2–3)
	TB clinical	TB1 (IFN-γ IU·mL-1)	3.2 (1.2–7.4)		0.2 (0.046-0.1)
		TB2 (IFN-γ IU·mL-1)	4.6 (1.4–7.8)		0.1 (0.04–2.9)
	TB microbiology	TB1 (IFN-γ IU·mL-1)	1.7 (0.5–6.3)		1 (0.4–2.1)
		TB2 (IFN-γ IU·mL-1)	1.8 (0.6–5.9)		1.9 (0.3–3.3)
Kamada and	TB microbiology	TB1 (IFN-γ IU·mL-1)	$6.40 \pm 8.92$	$2.56 \pm 3.28$	$2.33 \pm 3.06$
Amishima		TB2 (IFN-γ IU·mL-1)	$8.98 \pm 16.25$	$4.50 \pm 7.53$	$3.23 \pm 4.95$
(2017)		TB2-TB1 (IFN- $\gamma$ IU·mL-1)	$2.58 \pm 8.45$	$1.93 \pm 5.12$	$0.91 \pm 2.85$

Quantitative data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD or median (IQR)

LTBI latent tuberculosis, TB tuberculosis, TB1 tube 1, TB2 tube 2, N number

after TB treatment compared to baseline (Day et al. 2011; Nyendak et al. 2013). Interesting, Nyendak et al. showed that after 24 weeks of anti-TB therapy, the CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response decreased by 58%, with an early difference observed at 8 weeks of therapy. Conversely, no significant difference in CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell response was observed during the treatment (Nyendak et al. 2013), suggesting that CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response may be more accurate for monitoring TB treatment.

With the use of new QFT-Plus, it has been shown that IFN- $\gamma$  response following TB2 stimulation decreased during TB therapy in subjects with active TB disease in parallel with decrease of *Mtb* load (Kamada and Amishima 2017;

Petruccioli et al. 2016, 2017, 2018). It is in line with flow cytometry studies showing CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response declines with anti-TB treatment (Cho et al. 2000; Day et al. 2011; Kamada and Amishima 2017; Nyendak et al. 2013).

In particular, the recent study of Petruccioli et al. (2018), no "only TB2" response was observed in active TB patients at the end of TB therapy, which suggests a loss of the CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response in parallel with the decrease of mycobacterial load; therefore, investigation of the TB2 response could be served as a new tool to monitor TB therapy efficacy.

Although our meta-analysis revealed that both QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB assay cannot be a useful tools for

Table 4	QFT-Plus results at the baseline and	at the end of preventive	e therapy (3 months)	and 6 months)
---------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------	---------------

Study	TB tube	Active TB					LTBI	
		Microbiologica	ally confirmed		Clinical diagno	sis		
		Baseline	After 3 months therapy	After 6 months therapy	Baseline	After 6 months therapy	Baseline	After 6 months therapy
		Positive $N(\%)$	Positive $N(\%)$	Positive N (%)	Positive $N(\%)$	Positive $N(\%)$	Positive $N(\%)$	Positive $N(\%)$
Petruc- cioli et al.	Either TB1 or TB2	18 (95)	_	15 (79)	8 (89)	4 (44)	46 (100)	40 (87)
(2018)	TB1 and TB2	17 (89)		15 (79)	8 (89)	4 (44)	43 (93)	37 (80)
	Only TB1	0 (0)		0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (2)	1 (2)
	Only TB2	1 (5)		0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (4)	2 (4)
	TB1	17 (89)		15 (79)	8 (89)	4 (44)	44 (96)	38 (83)
	TB2	18 (95)		15 (79)	8 (89)	4 (44)	45 (98)	39 (85)
Kamada and Amishima	Either TB1 or TB2	36 (95)	32 (84)	32 (84)				
(2017)	Only TB1	35 (92)	31 (82)	26 68)				
	Only TB2	36 (95)	32 (84)	32 (84)				

LTBI latent tuberculosis, TB tuberculosis, TB1 tube 1, TB2 tube 2, N number

monitoring therapeutic efficacy, it has been reported that decreases in the *Mtb*-specific antigens/phytohaemagglutinin ratios could be tested for monitoring of anti-TB treatment efficacy (Wang et al. 2018). However, future studies are needed to better characterize this approach as a potential marker for monitoring therapy.

Although IGRA performance may vary largely between adults and children (Silveira et al. 2018), our results show no differences between the pooled positive rate of IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB) following anti-TB drugs in both groups. The significant decreases of positive IGRAs during the first 3 months of treatment might be due to the greater bacterial clearance during the first 3 months (Kamada and Amishima 2017).

Several studies have evaluated the IGRAs performance (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB) following anti-TB treatment in both low and high TB burden countries. Only two studies have evaluated anti-TB treatment using QFT-Plus, and both were conducted in low TB burden countries. Further studies on evaluation of QFT-Plus performance in high TB burden countries are highly recommended. It is not known if QFT-Plus can also apply to patients with HIV infection, immunosuppression, or affected by other abnormalities of the ratio CD4<sup>+</sup> /CD8<sup>+</sup>. There are no reports on efficacy of QFT-plus in MDR-TB cases. Since observing the changes in QFT-Plus during anti-tubercular treatment might be a reliable tool for monitoring the treatment of MDR-TB, further studies on the evaluation of QFT-Plus performance following treatment of MDR-TB, especially in developing countries, are highly recommended.

Biomarker responses to TB antigens may be useful for the detection of TB infection (Mamishi et al. 2014, 2015, 2016). Changes in cytokine responses induced by TB antigens over the course of anti-tuberculous therapy can reflect immuno-logical changes in the host due to the reduction in antigenic burden (Clifford et al. 2015); therefore, the use of cytokine responses as a biomarker of TB infection in patients treated with anti-TB warrants further investigation.

# Conclusions

Available data are now sufficient to suggest that monitoring changes in the IGRAs (QFT-GIT and T-SPOT.TB) response during anti-TB treatment may have limited use in evaluating the effectiveness of treatment, while monitoring changes in QFT-Plus during anti-tubercular treatment is recommended to determine the treatment efficacy or for treatment monitoring. However, further research is needed to establish the efficacy of this new assay as a marker on a larger scale for treatment monitoring.

Acknowledgements This study was supported by a grant (grant number: 97-03-88-40795) from Tehran University of Medical Sciences to Dr. Shima Mahmoudi.

#### **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

**Conflict of Interest** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## References

- Bartalesi F, Goletti D, Spinicci M, Cavallo A, Attala L, Mencarini J, Fiori G, Gobbi FL, Mantella A, Benucci M (2013) Serial QuantiFERON TB-gold in-tube testing during LTBI therapy in candidates for TNFi treatment. J Infect 66(4):346–356
- Bastos M, Menzies D, Belo M, Teixeira E, De Abreu S, Antas P, Trajman A (2013) Changes in QuantiFERON<sup>®</sup>-TB Gold In-Tube results during treatment for tuberculous infection. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 17(7):909–916
- Bedini A, Garlassi E, Stentarelli C, Petrella S, Meacci M, Meccugni B, Meschiari M, Franceschini E, Cerri S, Brasacchio A, Rumpianesi F, Richeldi L, Mussini C (2016) Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis outbreak in an Italian prison: tolerance of pyrazinamide plus levofloxacin prophylaxis and serial interferon gamma release assays. New Microbes New Infect 12:45–51
- Begg CB, Mazumdar M (1994) Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 50(4):1088-1101
- Chiappini E, Bonsignori F, Mangone G, Galli L, Mazzantini R, Sollai S, Azzari C, de Martino M (2012) Serial T-SPOT. TB and quantiFERON-TB-Gold In-Tube assays to monitor response to antitubercular treatment in Italian children with active or latent tuberculosis infection. Pediatric Infect Dis J 31(9):974–977
- Cho S, Mehra V, Thoma-Uszynski S, Stenger S, Serbina N, Mazzaccaro RJ, Flynn JL, Barnes PF, Southwood S, Celis E, Bloom BR, Modlin RL, Sette A (2000) Antimicrobial activity of MHC class I-restricted CD8+ T cells in human tuberculosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97(22):12210–12215
- Clifford V, Zufferey C, Germano S, Ryan N, Leslie D, Street A, Denholm J, Tebruegge M, Curtis N (2015) The impact of antituberculous antibiotics and corticosteroids on cytokine production in QuantiFERON-TB Gold In Tube assays. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 95(3):343–349
- Day CL, Abrahams DA, Lerumo L, Janse van Rensburg E, Stone L, O'Rie T, Pienaar B, de Kock M, Kaplan G, Mahomed H, Dheda K, Hanekom WA (2011) Functional capacity of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*-specific T cell responses in humans is associated with mycobacterial load. J Immunol 187(5):2222–2232
- Denkinger CM, Pai M, Patel M, Menzies D (2013) Gamma interferon release assay for monitoring of treatment response for active tuberculosis: an explosion in the spaghetti factory. J Clin Microbiol 51(2):607–610
- Getahun H, Matteelli A, Abubakar I, Aziz MA, Baddeley A, Barreira D, Den Boon S, Gutierrez SMB, Bruchfeld J, Burhan EJERJ (2015) Management of latent *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection: WHO guidelines for low tuberculosis burden countries. Eur Respir J 46(6):1563–1576
- Goletti D, Petruccioli E, Joosten SA, Ottenhoff THJIDR (2016) Tuberculosis biomarkers: from diagnosis to protection. Infect Dis Rep 8(2):6568
- Goletti D, Lee MR, Wang JY, Walter N, Ottenhoff THJR (2018) Update on tuberculosis biomarkers: from correlates of risk, to correlates of active disease and of cure from disease. Respirology 23(5):455–466
- Institute JBIJATJB (2014) Joanna Briggs Institute reviewers' manual: 2014 edition
- Johnson JL, Geldenhuys H, Thiel BA, Toefy A, Suliman S, Pienaar B, Chheng P, Scriba T, Boom WH, Hanekom W (2014) Effect of isoniazid therapy for latent TB infection on QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube responses in adults with positive tuberculin skin test results in a high TB incidence area. Chest 145(3):612–617
- Kamada A, Amishima M (2017) QuantiFERON-TB<sup>®</sup> Gold Plus as a potential tuberculosis treatment monitoring tool. Eur Respir J. https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01976-2016

- Keshavarz Valian S, Mahmoudi S, Pourakbari B, Abdolsalehi MR, Eshaghi H, Mamishi S (2019) Screening of healthcare workers for latent tuberculosis infection in the low tuberculosis burden country: QuantiFERON-TB gold in tube test or tuberculin skin test? Arch Environ Occup Health 74(3):109–114
- Kim CH, Choi KJ, Yoo SS, Lee SY, Won DI, Lim JO, Cha SI, Park JY, Lee J (2014) Comparative analysis of whole-blood interferon-γ and flow cytometry assays for detecting post-treatment Immune responses in patients with active tuberculosis. Cytom Part B Clin Cytom 86(4):236–243
- Le Hang NT, Matsushita I, Shimbo T, Lien LT, Thuong PH, Cuong VC, Hijikata M, Kobayashi N, Sakurada S, Higuchi K (2014) Association between tuberculosis recurrence and interferon-γ response during treatment. J Infect 69(6):616–626
- Lee S, Lee S, Yim J-J (2012) Serial interferon-gamma release assays after chemoprophylaxis in a tuberculosis outbreak cohort. Infection 40(4):431–435
- Lönnroth K, Migliori GB, Abubakar I, D'Ambrosio L, De Vries G, Diel R, Douglas P, Falzon D, Gaudreau M-A, Goletti DJERJ (2015) Towards tuberculosis elimination: an action framework for lowincidence countries. Eur Respir J 45(4):928–952
- Mamishi S, Pourakbari B, Teymuri M, Rubbo PA, Tuaillon E, Keshtkar AA, Mahmoudi S (2014) Diagnostic accuracy of IL-2 for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 33(12):2111–2119
- Mamishi S, Pourakbari B, Marjani M, Bahador A, Mahmoudi S (2015) Discriminating between latent and active tuberculosis: the role of interleukin-2 as biomarker. J Infect 70(4):429–431
- Mamishi S, Pourakbari B, Shams H, Marjani M, Mahmoudi S (2016) Improving T-cell assays for diagnosis of latent TB infection: confirmation of the potential role of testing Interleukin-2 release in Iranian patients. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr) 44(4):314–321
- Matsushita I, Le Hang NT, Lien LT, Thuong PH, Cuong VC, Hijikata M, Kobayashi N, Sakurada S, Higuchi K, Harada N (2015) Dynamics of immune parameters during the treatment of active tuberculosis showing negative interferon gamma response at the time of diagnosis. Int J Infect Dis 40:39–44
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DGJAOIM (2009) Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann Intern Med 151(4):264–269
- Nikolova M, Markova R, Drenska R, Muhtarova M, Todorova Y, Dimitrov V, Taskov H, Saltini C, Amicosante M (2013) Antigenspecific CD4- and CD8-positive signatures in different phases of Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 75(3):277–281
- Nyendak MR, Park B, Null MD, Baseke J, Swarbrick G, Mayanja-Kizza H, Nsereko M, Johnson DF, Gitta P, Okwera A, Goldberg S, Bozeman L, Johnson JL, Boom WH, Lewinsohn DA, Lewinsohn DM (2013) Mycobacterium tuberculosis specific CD8(+) T cells rapidly decline with antituberculosis treatment. PLoS ONE 8(12):e81564
- O'Shea MK, Fletcher TE, Beeching NJ, Dedicoat M, Spence D, McShane H, Cunningham AF, Wilson D (2014) Tuberculin skin testing and treatment modulates interferon-gamma release assay results for latent tuberculosis in migrants. PLoS ONE 9(5):e97366
- Park I-N, Shim TS (2017) Qualitative and quantitative results of interferon-γ release assays for monitoring the response to antituberculosis treatment. Korean J Intern Med 32(2):302
- Petruccioli E, Chiacchio T, Pepponi I, Vanini V, Urso R, Cuzzi G, Barcellini L, Cirillo DM, Palmieri F, Ippolito G, Goletti D (2016) First characterization of the CD4 and CD8 T-cell responses to QuantiFERON-TB Plus. J Infect 73(6):588–597
- Petruccioli E, Vanini V, Chiacchio T, Cuzzi G, Cirillo DM, Palmieri F, Ippolito G, Goletti D (2017) Analytical evaluation of QuantiF-ERON-Plus and QuantiFERON-Gold In-tube assays in subjects with or without tuberculosis. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 106:38–43

- Petruccioli E, Chiacchio T, Vanini V, Cuzzi G, Codecasa LR, Ferrarese M, Schinina V, Palmieri F, Ippolito G, Goletti D (2018) Effect of therapy on Quantiferon-Plus response in patients with active and latent tuberculosis infection. Sci Rep 8(1):15626
- Prasad R, Gupta N, Banka A (2018) Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis/ rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis: principles of management. Lung India 35(1):78–81
- QIAGEN (2014) QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus ELISA Package Insert. www.quantiferon.com/irm/content/package-inserts.aspx. Accessed 13 Mar
- Sali M, Buonsenso D, D'Alfonso P, De Maio F, Ceccarelli M, Battah B, Palucci I, Chiacchio T, Goletti D, Sanguinetti M (2018) Combined use of quantiferon and HBHA-based IGRA supports tuberculosis diagnosis and therapy management in children. J Infect 77(6):526–533
- Sauzullo I, Mengoni F, Ermocida A, Massetti AP, D'Agostino C, Russo G, Salotti A, Falciano M, Vullo V, Mastroianni CM (2014) Interferon-γ release assay in HIV-infected patients with active tuberculosis: impact of antituberculous drugs on host immune response. New Microbiol 37(2):153–161
- Sester M, van Crevel R, van Leth F, Lange CJERJ (2015) Numbers needed to treat to prevent tuberculosis. Eur Respir J 46(6):1836–1838

- Silveira MBV, Ferrarini MAG, Viana PO, Succi RC, Terreri MT, Costa-Carvalho B, Carlesse F, de Moraes-Pinto MI (2018) Contribution of the interferon-gamma release assay to tuberculosis diagnosis in children and adolescents. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 22(10):1172–1178
- Wang J-Y, Shu C-C, Lee C-H, Yu C-J, Lee L-N, Yang P-C (2012) Interferon-gamma release assay and rifampicin therapy for household contacts of tuberculosis. J Infect 64(3):291–298
- Wang H, Li Z, Zhang Q, Lu G, Wang Q, Zhang L, Zhang C (2013) Clinical value of a whole blood interferon γ release assay for the diagnosis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection during antitubercular treatment. Exp Ther Med 6(2):455–458
- Wang F, Yu J, Zhou Y, Luo Y, Wu S, Huang M, Yin B, Huang J, Mao L, Sun Z (2018) The use of TB-specific antigen/phytohemagglutinin ratio for diagnosis and treatment monitoring of extrapulmonary tuberculosis. Front Immunol 9:1047

World Health Organization (2016) Global tuberculosis report 2016

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.