

Mechanisms regulating the development and function of natural regulatory T cells

Sonal Gupta¹, Weirong Shang² and Zuoming Sun^{1, 3}

¹ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, School of Medicine, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL 60612, USA

² Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30308, USA

³ Division of Immunology, Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope, Duarte, CA 91010, USA

Received: 2007.10.08, Accepted: 2008.02.04, Published online first: 2008.03.31

Abstract

The key of the immune system is to protect the host from foreign threat posed by pathogens and from the internal threat posed by self-attacking lymphocytes. The ability to discriminate self versus non-self ensures that only “non-self” pathogens, but not the self antigens, are attacked. Such tolerance to “self” arises from the central tolerance mechanisms that include the deletion of thymocytes with high reactivity to self antigens and also the induction of unresponsiveness of autoreactive T cells in the periphery. Natural regulatory T cells (nTregs) directly inhibit effector T cells, and keep their proliferation in control. Apart from preventing autoimmune reactions, Tregs also contribute to peripheral immune homeostasis as evidenced by the excessive lymphocyte accumulation in peripheral lymphoid organs and intestinal inflammation in the absence of nTregs. Here we discuss the molecular aspects of the development and suppressive function of naturally occurring Tregs. Accumulating evidence shows the importance of these Tregs in autoimmunity, tumor immunity, organ transplantation, allergy, and microbial immunity.

Key words: development, Treg, T cell activation, signaling, PKC- θ .

Corresponding author: Zuoming Sun, Division of Immunology, Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope, 1500 East Duarte Road, Duarte, CA 91010, USA, tel.: +1 626 256-4673 ext. 68851, e-mail: zsun@coh.org

PHENOTYPIC CHARACTERIZATION OF NATURAL T REGULATORY CELLS

The existence of regulatory T cells (Tregs) was reported in the early 1970s, as a “shut-off” substance of the thymus [51], and later as “suppressor T cells” [50]. Thymectomy performed on the third day after birth induced autoimmune reactions in various organs, causing gastritis, thyroiditis, oophoritis, and orchitis [79, 159]. Adoptive transfer of CD5^{low} (Lyt.1^{low}) into *nu/nu* mice induced autoimmunity which was prevented by CD5^{high} cells [125]. In non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice, which spontaneously develop type 1 diabetes, adoptive transfer of CD4⁺ T cells from syngeneic normal mice delayed onset of diabetes [21]. Injection of splenic CD45RB^{high} (OX-22^{high}) CD4⁺ T cells into congenitally athymic mice induced a severe wasting disease with inflammatory infiltration observed in liver, lung, stomach, thyroid, and pancreas [121]. In addition, CD45RB^{high} CD4⁺ T cells adoptively transferred into severe combined immuno-deficiency (SCID) mice induced a wasting disease characterized by intestinal inflammation along with increased numbers of T cells in

the colon [103, 120]. Co-transfer of total CD4⁺ T cells or enriched CD45RB^{low} CD4⁺ T cells with CD45RB^{high} CD4⁺ T cells prevented the onset of the wasting disease. However, owing to a lack of specific markers for such regulatory cells, the nature of the Treg cells have remained controversial for a long period of time. Subsequently, high-affinity α -subunit of the interleukin (IL)-2 receptor, IL-2R α (CD25), was identified as the marker for the CD4⁺ Tregs [126]. CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells are present in both CD5^{high} and CD45RB^{low} populations and were shown to be more effective in preventing the onset of autoimmune disease caused by adoptive transfer of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells. When CD4⁺ T cells from normal mice were depleted of the CD25⁺ population (~10% of total CD4⁺ T cells) and inoculated into athymic *nu/nu* mice, they caused multiple organ-specific autoimmune diseases which were prevented by inoculation of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells. Thymectomy of a 3-day-old mouse resulted in elimination of the CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cell sub-population, causing autoimmune gastritis, orchitis, oophoritis, and thyroiditis, whereas reconstitution of neonatally thymectomized mice with CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells prevented the development of the

above autoimmune diseases [10]. The use of the CD25 marker enabled the identification of human Tregs with phenotype and function similar to those in mice [7, 87]. The primary causes for many autoimmune diseases in human were found to be genetic mutations affecting the generation and function of naturally arising Tregs [19, 164]. In the mouse, CD25 is also expressed by activated T cells, although the expression of CD25 is transient and eventually lost once the stimulation is removed. Naturally occurring CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells express higher levels of CD25 upon activation. CD25 expression remains high even when the stimulation is removed [83]. Furthermore, *in vitro* and *in vivo* suppression of T cell activation is mediated only by naturally occurring CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells, but not by CD4⁺CD25⁺ arising from activation [83]. In contrast to mouse, human peripheral blood represents a heterogeneous population of CD4⁺ T cells in terms of CD25 expression, consisting of activated and memory T cells along with unprimed T cells. Treg's properties are mainly attributed to the CD25^{high} population [15].

In addition to CD25, CD4 Tregs also express several other surface molecules that are not unique to Tregs, but facilitate the identification of Treg cells. Cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen (CTLA)-4 is generally not expressed on naïve CD4⁺ T cells, but is upregulated following T cell activation. In contrast, Read et al. [123] and Takahashi et al. [149] independently reported that CTLA-4 was constitutively expressed on CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs in normal naïve mice. Furthermore, treatment with anti-CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (mAb) abrogated the function of Tregs, resulting in spontaneous organ-specific autoimmune diseases in normal BALB/c mice and wasting disease in SCID mice [123, 149]. Consistent with this result, CTLA-4-deficient mice develop a severe lymphoproliferative disorder and die from autoimmune-like disease within one month after birth [158, 172]. This result also explains why anti-CTLA-4 antibody exacerbated autoimmune responses in the murine model of multiple sclerosis or type 1 diabetes [72, 92, 115], enhanced anti-tumor responses [84], and prevented the induction of immunologic tolerance to concurrently administered non-self antigens [114]. Using DNA microarray to analyze the differential expression of genes in CD4⁺CD25⁻ and CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells, glucocorticoid-induced TNFR-related (GITR) was found to be upregulated on the surface of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells [100]. Shimizu et al. [139] also showed that GITR expression is elevated on peripheral CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells and CD4⁺CD8⁻CD25⁺ thymocytes. Depletion of GITR⁺ T cells in normal mice or adoptive transfer of a CD4⁺GITR⁻ T cell population into SCID mice resulted in multiple organ-specific autoimmune diseases [139]. GITR⁺CD4⁺ T cells, regardless of CD25 expression, prevented wasting disease and colitis [161]. GITR⁺CD4⁺ T cells express intracellular CTLA-4, suppress T cell proliferation, and are themselves anergic. T cell stimulation through GITR increased anti-tumor immunity by decreasing Treg infiltration of tumors [78].

Adoptive transfer of GITR^{high}-depleted T cells (both CD25⁻ and CD25⁺) induced autoimmune diseases which were more severe than those induced by T cells depleted of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Treg [108]. Though, it is clear from multiple reports that a portion of CD4⁺CD25⁻ (CTLA-4⁺CD45RB^{low}GITR⁺) T cells also have potent suppressive capacity, this population is only about 5% of the CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cell population. CD103⁺ (integrin $\alpha_E\beta_7$ ⁺) T cells were reported to be more suppressive than CD103⁻ T cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* and comprised both the CD4⁺CD25⁺ and CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cell populations [86]. Also, CD62L^{high}CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs were found to be more potent in preventing type 1 diabetes in NOD mice, presumably because of the different homing specificities of these cells [146]. Neuropilin-1, a receptor involved in axon guidance, angiogenesis, and the activation of T cells, is constitutively expressed on the surface of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs independently of their activation status. In contrast, neuropilin-1 expression is down-regulated in naïve CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells in response to T cell receptor (TCR) stimulation. Furthermore, CD4⁺ neuropilin-1^{high} T cells express high levels of Foxp3 and suppress CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cell activation [22]. In general, natural CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs express lower levels of TCR and CD4 co-receptor than effector T cells, as normally is the case with anergic T cells. Treg cells express higher Fas, CD27, and CD62L, similarly to activated memory cells. However, Treg cells are still a distinct population of CD4⁺ T cells. Human CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs in both the peripheral blood and thymus express surface GITR, CTLA-4, tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR)2, and CCR8 [16]. Unfortunately, none of these markers are truly specific to Tregs since their expression also reflects the activation state of T cells.

Foxp3

Immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked syndrome (IPEX), which displays multiple autoimmune disorders including diarrhea, type 1 diabetes along with auto-destruction of endocrine glands, insulinitis, and thyroiditis in human males, was attributed to the dysfunction of an immune-response locus on X chromosome [119]. Similar immune dysfunction was found in "scurfy" mice marked by severe lymphocyte infiltration of various organs and hyperactivation of CD4⁺ T cells [53]. Furthermore, it was shown that T cells from scurfy mice exhibited spontaneous proliferation and over-expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines. IPEX was later found to be the human equivalent of scurfy mouse, as the gene responsible for both IPEX and scurfy mice was identified as *Foxp3*, which encodes a nuclear protein, Scurfin or Foxp3, a member of the forkhead/winged-helix family of transcriptional factors [19, 23]. Foxp3 has very high sequence homology across humans, mice, and rats [45]. Three independent groups showed that Foxp3 is specifically expressed in CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs in the periphery and CD4⁺CD8⁻CD25⁺ thymocytes and is required for their

development and function [42, 60, 74]. Scurfy mice had few CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells, although they developed many chronically activated T cells [74]. CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells from scurfy mice did not have suppressive activity [74]. Ectopic expression of Foxp3 in CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells convert them *in vitro* and *in vivo* to a suppressive activity similar to that of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs. Retroviral transduction of Foxp3 suppressed cytokine gene transcription and the upregulated Treg-associated markers, CD25, GITR, CD103, and intracellular CTLA-4 [60, 74]. Foxp3-transgenic mice had increased numbers of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs. The fatality of *CTLA-4*^{-/-} mice resulting from autoimmunity was delayed by 20 weeks when crossed with Foxp3-transgenic mice [74]. Mice deficient in Foxp3 specifically in T cells displayed a phenotype identical to that of scurfy mice, confirming that the autoimmunity observed in scurfy mice was due to deficiency of CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ Tregs [44]. These results demonstrated that Foxp3 expression is both necessary and sufficient for the suppressive function of natural Tregs (nTregs). Foxp3 deficiency neither affected the development of conventional CD4⁺ T cells nor did it affect their antigen sensitivity, co-stimulation requirement, or proliferative capacity, suggesting that Foxp3 does not play a role in conventional T cell function [44]. Foxp3 expression is highly restricted to Tregs, as it was mostly detected in CD4⁺CD8⁻CD25⁺ thymocytes and CD4⁺CD25⁺ peripheral T cells. Foxp3 is virtually absent in thymic and peripheral CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, B cells, and brain, heart, kidney, liver, testes, and lung tissues [23, 60, 74]. Using transgenic mice with green fluorescent protein (GFP) fused to Foxp3 (Foxp3^{GFP} mice), Foxp3 expression was shown to be highly restricted to TCRαβ⁺ cells, as also revealed by a total lack of Foxp3⁺ cells in *Rag*^{-/-} mice which lack αβ⁺ T and B cells [44]. Foxp3 expression was also absent in TCRγδ⁺, natural killer (NK), NKT, macrophages, and dendritic cells (DCs). In lymph nodes, more than 97% of Foxp3-expressing cells are CD4⁺ T cells [44], which is confirmed by Foxp3^{RFP}-transgenic mice [169]. In these mice, Foxp3 was also detected in >30% of bone-marrow-derived CD4⁺TCR⁺ cells, 1% of single-positive (SN) CD4 thymocytes, and 0.37% of double-positive (DP) thymocytes. The *in situ* distribution of Foxp3⁺ cells was localized in the CD4⁺CD25⁺ subset of both lymphoid (spleen, mesenteric lymph nodes) and non-lymphoid organs (colon) [160]. Furthermore, 82% of Foxp3⁺ cells were CD25^{high}CD4⁺. However, CD25^{low}Foxp3⁺ cells were equally suppressive as CD25^{high}Foxp3⁺ T cells, which is in clear contrast to CD25⁺Foxp3⁻ cells, which were not suppressive, and proliferated faster than CD25⁻Foxp3⁻ or Foxp3⁻CD4⁺ T cells [44]. Moreover, CD25^{high}Foxp3⁻ cells showed a gene expression profile characteristic of activated/effector T cells, with increased expression of cytokines IL-2, IL-4, IL-17, T-bet, and Edg3 and decreased CD62L expression. This confirms that Foxp3, rather than CD25, directly correlates with Treg function. In the mouse, Foxp3 expression in CD4 T cells is

not associated with the activation state of these cells, as stimulation of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells does not induce Foxp3 expression [60, 74]. Human Tregs also express high levels of Foxp3, which correlated with CD25^{high}, GITR, and CTLA-4 surface expression [168, 175]. In contrast to mice, humans express two different splice variants of Foxp3. In addition, human CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells upregulate Foxp3 upon activation [168]. Reduced expression levels of Foxp3 protein and mRNA have been shown to be associated with impaired function of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells in patients with graft-versus-host disease [102], multiple sclerosis [64], and myasthenia gravis [17]. Transgenic mice that have endogenous Foxp3 gene expression attenuated in Tregs were established [170]. Decreased Foxp3 expression in these mice led to a severe autoimmune condition similar to that observed in scurfy mice but did not affect thymic development, homeostatic expansion, and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β-induced *de novo* generation of Foxp3⁺ cells. The attenuated Foxp3-expressing cells obtained from transgenic mice were not suppressive both *in vitro* and *in vivo* although they were still hypoproliferative. These results confirm that true Treg activity resides in Foxp3⁺ T cells. Since Foxp3 is a nuclear protein, it is unsuitable for live cell sorting, and thus CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells are isolated as Treg cells in most functional studies.

THYMIC DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL TREGS

Prior to the third day after birth in mice, only the thymus contains CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs with full suppressive potential, which are totally absent in the periphery at this time [68]. In addition, CD4⁻CD8⁻ double-negative immature thymocytes, when adoptively transferred into the thymus of the recipient mice, gave rise to donor-specific CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells one week after adoptive transfer [68]. This result supports the notion that Treg cells are developed in the thymus and migrate to the periphery to carry out their functions.

Role of TCR signaling

Natural Tregs were present in normal numbers in TCR-transgenic mice expressing TCRs specific for a peptide, but were absent in TCR-transgenic mice on a *Rag2*^{-/-} background. The higher percentage of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells expressing endogenous TCR α-chain than transgenic β-chain and the total absence in TCR α-β-rearrangement-defective *Rag2*^{-/-} mice suggests that Tregs are subject to a thymic selection process [68]. In other words, endogenous rearrangement of TCRs in the thymus is required for the development of Tregs. Furthermore, the proportion of Tregs that develop in TCR-transgenic mice is increased when the cognate antigen is expressed by the thymic antigen-presenting cells (APCs) in the same mice [70, 167]. Such generation of neo-self antigen-specific Tregs was shown to be

dependent on radio-resistant thymic epithelium cells, but not on bone marrow (BM)-derived DCs [70]. Using a similar double-transgenic mouse model it was shown that promiscuous expression of the hemagglutinin (HA) peptide in thymic epithelial cells (TECs) is involved in the selective induction and expansion of HA-specific thymic Treg precursors as early as the DP stage [25]. Thus, the self antigen seems to drive the positive selection of self-reactive CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs in the thymus. This “positive-selection” process requires a TCR with moderately high affinity for the self peptide, since thymocytes with low affinity did not get selected to become Tregs. However, thymocytes presented with very high-affinity self antigens were clonally deleted, similar to the negative selection of conventional thymocytes. Therefore, Tregs development in the thymus directly correlates with TCR signaling strength [70, 144]. Attenuating the efficiency of TCR-ligand interactions by one or two orders of magnitude abolished the increase in the proportion of thymic Tregs, again suggesting the requirement of high-affinity TCR-peptide-MHC interactions for Treg development [29]. When Foxp3 expression was examined among CD4 SP thymocytes and compared with the levels of TCR, TCR levels positively correlated with Foxp3 expression. Most of the Foxp3⁺ cells are also TCR^{high}, whereas almost no Foxp3 expression was detected in the TCR^{low}CD4⁺ thymocytes [169]. Induction of Foxp3 is thus believed to depend on the strength of TCR signals. It has also been suggested that thymic CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs are more resistant than CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells, by two to three orders of magnitude, to activation-induced cell death, resulting in increasing their frequency relative to the CD4⁺CD25⁻ cells regardless of the dose of the agonist ligand [163]. The role of Foxp3 in Treg development was evident from BM chimeras with a mixture of BM cells from wild-type and Foxp3-deficient mice. Foxp3-deficient BM cells failed to give rise to CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells, and all the CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells were derived from Foxp3-intact BM cells [42]. The expression of Foxp3 was restricted mostly to CD4⁺CD8⁻ (CD4 SP) thymocytes, with only a few Foxp3⁺ cells in the CD8⁺ SP and DP^{dull} (double-positive but lower expression of CD4 and CD8) population. Using Foxp3^{GFP} mice on *MHCI*^{-/-}, *MHCII*^{-/-}, and *MHCI*^{-/-}*MHCII*^{-/-} double-deficient backgrounds, it has been shown that the expression of MHCII is absolutely required for Foxp3 expression in SP CD4⁺ thymocytes, and MHCI for Foxp3 expression in the remaining SP CD8⁺ and DP^{dull} thymocytes [44]. This result also implies that Foxp3 expression in the thymus is strictly dependent on TCR/MHC interactions and independent of commitment CD4 or CD8 lineage. The Foxp3⁺ CD4 SP cells do not arise from the DP subset, as evident by concomitant expression of Foxp3 in both the CD4 SP and DP subsets. Furthermore, there is no difference in the percentage of SP and DP Foxp3⁺ thymocytes expressing cell cycle-associated nuclear protein Ki67 [41]. Antigen-independent interactions via accessory or co-stimulatory molecules expressed on develop-

ing thymocytes and TECs also contribute to the thymic generation of Tregs, presumably by enhancing the avidity of the interactions between thymocytes and stromal cells. The major co-stimulatory signal is provided by the interaction of CD28 on the T cell surface with either B7-1 or B7-2 ligands on the surface of the APC [57]. This is evident from the substantial reduction in numbers of thymic Tregs from *B7-1*^{-/-}/*B7-2*^{-/-} and *CD28*^{-/-} mice [128, 147], *CD40*^{-/-} mice [56], and *LFA*^{-/-} [99]. CD28 co-stimulation along with TCR stimulation induced Foxp3 expression along with GITR and CTLA-4 in DP thymocytes undergoing *in vitro* differentiation [147]. This *in vitro* induction of Foxp3 expression required stimulation from both TCR and CD28 simultaneously. The requirement for CD28 could not be replaced by stronger TCR stimulation. Generally, CD28 co-stimulation induces immature (HSA^{high}) SP CD4⁺ thymocytes to undergo negative selection [76, 122], whereas it provides survival signals to mature (HSA^{low}) SP CD4⁺ thymocytes. Since most of the Foxp3⁺ thymocytes are mature CD4 SP (HSA^{low}), their survival could be mediated by CD28 co-stimulation.

All the current evidence echoes the belief that TCR coupled with co-stimulatory signals induces Foxp3 expression in developing thymocytes. However, the molecular events underlying Treg development are unclear. It was suggested that NF- κ B signaling might be responsible for the thymic development of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells [130]. It has been widely reported that the activation of TCR and CD28 activates protein kinase C (PKC)- θ , an isoform of the PKC family of proteins expressed specifically in lymphoid cells [116, 142]. PKC- θ is believed to mediate the TCR signals required for the activation of NF- κ B, NFAT, and AP-1 in T cells [32, 90, 142]. We have recently observed that PKC- θ is required for the development of thymic CD4⁺Foxp3⁺ Tregs (Gupta et al., unpublished data). The frequency of Foxp3⁺ cells was drastically reduced among CD4 SP thymocytes in *PKC- θ* ^{-/-} mice. However, in contrast to a previous report [130], we did not see a major difference in the population of CD25⁺ CD4 SP thymocytes between *PKC- θ* ^{-/-} and wild-type mice. This is consistent with the otherwise normal thymic development of T cells in *PKC- θ* ^{-/-} mice. Since, CD25⁺ cells appear prior to Foxp3⁺ cells in the thymus during development [41], PKC- θ might be responsible for specific upregulation of Foxp3 in these CD25⁺ CD4 SP thymocytes. Similarly, mice expressing a mutant linker of activated T (LAT) cells incapable of binding phospholipase C (PLC) γ 1 displayed drastically reduced Foxp3⁺ Tregs in the thymus and periphery, despite the presence of CD4⁺CD25⁺ thymocytes [80]. LAT is a transmembrane adaptor molecule essential for T cell activation and for normal thymocyte development [180]. Considering that PKC- θ mediates the survival of CD4 and CD8 T cells by upregulating the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-x_L, which is important for thymocyte survival, one might predict that the reduced numbers of Tregs in the thymus of *PKC- θ* ^{-/-} mice are due to defective survival. We eliminated this possibility by breeding

PKC- θ ^{-/-} mice to transgenic mice over-expressing Bcl-x_L. Over-expression of Bcl-x_L restored survival to conventional T cells, but did not restore the numbers of Foxp3⁺ Tregs in *PKC- θ* ^{-/-} mice (Gupta et al., unpublished data), thus ruling out the role of Bcl-x_L-mediated survival in Treg development. Moreover, thymic Tregs have been shown to be moderately resistant to apoptosis via TCR comparison with the conventional thymocytes [153]. PKC- θ seems to be directly responsible for Foxp3 expression, as *PKC- θ* ^{-/-} CD4 SP thymocytes expressed less Foxp3 protein per cell compared with normal CD4 SP thymocytes. Furthermore, PKC- θ could directly upregulate Foxp3 promoter activity, likely via NFAT activation, since dominant-negative NFAT inhibited PKC- θ -mediated activation of Foxp3 promoter activity. This result is in agreement with another study reporting that Foxp3 promoter activity is induced with TCR stimulation via NFAT activation [97]. This is particularly interesting considering that PKC- θ is otherwise dispensable for the development of conventional CD4 and CD8 T cells [142]. While TCR-MHCII interaction is a common requirement in the development of conventional T cells and Tregs, different downstream signaling events might be responsible for their lineage commitment. As noted earlier, the strength of TCR signaling is crucial for Treg positive selection in the thymus. Increasing the TCR signaling intensity or duration in polyclonal developing thymocytes promotes Treg lineage commitment. This is evident by the increase in thymic Treg development in mice lacking the negative regulators of TCR signaling SHP-1 (Src homology domain 2-containing phosphotyrosine phosphatase) or Dok-1 or both [28, 73]. In *SHP-1*^{-/-} mice, the percentages of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs was increased 3-fold within CD4⁺ T cells. The percentage of Tregs was also increased in fetal thymic organ cultures (FTOCs) derived from *SHP-1*^{-/-} mice, establishing the thymic origin of these Tregs. Exposure of increasing doses of cognate ovalbumin peptide to FTOCs from DO11.10 TCR-transgenic mice favored the appearance of Tregs [28]. In SHIP/Dok-1 double knock-out mice, there was a huge decrease in total CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells. Interestingly, all the remaining CD4⁺ T cells in the SHIP/Dok-1 double knock-out mice had a Treg phenotype in terms of surface markers, hypoproliferation, no secretion of IL-2 or interferon (IFN)- γ , secretion of TGF- β 1, intracellular Foxp3 expression, and suppression of T cell activation [73]. Using glucocorticoid receptor knock-out mice and pharmacological inhibitor of glucocorticoid synthesis, it was shown that large proportions of conventional CD4⁺ thymocytes are biased towards commitment to the Treg lineage by reducing the threshold of thymocyte activation [141]. PKC- θ is believed to lower the threshold of T cell activation, i.e. higher antigenic concentration or stronger TCR stimulation is required to compensate for PKC- θ deficiency in T cell activation. Thus, PKC- θ , through its role in the activation of NFAT and NF- κ B, seems to be one of the key elements in the Foxp3 expression and lineage commitment for Treg cells.

Role of cytokines

IL-2 is not essential for the thymic production of Tregs in terms of frequency, cell number, and suppressive function [34, 43]. Foxp3 mRNA in Tregs was comparable in *IL-2*^{-/-} and *IL-2*^{+/+} mice. Similarly, there was no defect in the thymic generation of Foxp3⁺ Tregs in *IL-2R α* ^{-/-} mice, showing that CD25 has no function in thymic development of Tregs [34, 43, 111, 140]. However, the frequency of Tregs is reduced in the thymus and periphery of *IL-2R β* ^{-/-} mice [111, 140]. This defect in functional CD4⁺CD25⁺ Treg development is restored by the thymic expression of IL-2R β in *IL-2R β* ^{-/-} mice [93]. Interestingly, Foxp3⁺ Tregs were not present in the thymus or periphery of *IL-2R γ* ^{-/-} or γ c^{-/-} mice [43]. IL-2R β is the common subunit between IL-2 and IL-15 cytokine receptors, while γ c is the common subunit among IL-2, IL-15, and IL-7 receptors. Thus, it is possible that IL-15 and IL-7 may compensate for IL-2 required for the development of Foxp3⁺ Tregs in the thymus.

TCR specificity

The requirement of TCR stimulation for their suppressive function along with the fact that they protect mice from multiple organ-specific autoimmunity suggested the self-reactive specificity of their TCR. As noted before, the increased frequency of neo-self antigen-specific Tregs in double-transgenic mice reinforces the idea of the self-reactive nature of Tregs [9, 70]. More recent data showed that Tregs utilize a diverse repertoire of TCRs to recognize a wide spectrum of antigens. The TCR diversity of peripheral CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs was comparable to that of conventional CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells, but their TCR repertoires were distinct, although with some overlap [62]. Similar results were obtained when the TCR specificities of Tregs were compared [63] between the thymus and spleen. These results were also confirmed by another report showing that peripheral Foxp3⁺ Tregs share TCR specificities with thymic Foxp3⁺ Tregs, but not with thymic and peripheral Foxp3⁻ conventional T cells [110], implying the thymic origin of peripheral Foxp3⁺ Tregs rather than peripheral conversion from Foxp3⁻ precursors. Moreover, the TCR diversity on Tregs was higher compared with Foxp3⁻ conventional T cells [110]. Activated CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells from *Foxp3*^{-/-} mice lacking Tregs and suffering from massive lympho-proliferative autoimmunity displayed TCRs common with Tregs from normal mice [63]. It is believed that T cells expressing TCRs with higher affinity to self antigens show better expansion in lymphopenic mice [49]. Retroviral expression of TCRs cloned from Tregs made the naive T cells proliferate much better in TCR-transgenic lymphopenic mice than the T cells with TCRs from conventional T cells [62]. However, in the normal non-lymphopenic mice, T cells bearing TCRs from both naive and Tregs expanded equally [111]. Also, the Treg

repertoire of TCRs was shown to be similar to the conventional T cells in terms of self-specificity. This was supported by the observation that T cells expressing Treg-derived TCRs caused wasting disease in lymphopenic mice [111]. An intriguing aspect of Treg development is when and where (cortex-early or medullate) in the thymus certain thymocytes differentiate into Tregs. Immunohistochemical analysis showed that most of the Foxp3⁺ thymocytes were localized to the medullary region of the adult thymus [44]. Expression of Foxp3 paralleled the development of the medullary region of the thymus during ontogeny [41], i.e. low expression of Foxp3 was mirrored by smaller and disorganized medullary regions of day-1 thymus relative to the cortical regions. This is also supported by the observation that mice with disrupted thymic medullar architecture, such as NF- κ B-inducing kinase-deficient (*Nik^{aly/aly}*) and TNF-associated receptor 6-deficient (*Traf6^{-/-}*) mice, showed drastically reduced numbers of CD4⁺CD25⁺ thymocytes and relatively lower levels of Foxp3 mRNA [1, 71]. This coupled with an observation that medullary TCEs (mTECs) express a diverse range of tissue-specific self antigens [35] explains the generation of self-specific Tregs in the thymic medulla. mTECs exclusively express transcriptional factor autoimmune regulator (Aire), whose mutation in humans leads to multi-organ autoimmune diseases. Furthermore, Aire-deficient mice displayed general autoimmunity [5]. *Aire^{-/-}* mTECs showed a specific reduction in ectopic transcription of genes encoding peripheral antigens, thus showing a requirement for Aire for peripheral self-antigen expression on mTECs. Since Aire⁺ mTECs are more effective in presenting antigens to developing thymocytes, Aire-induced self-peptide expression by mTECs was shown to be responsible for the negative deletion of non-regulatory autoreactive thymocytes [4, 91]. Thus it is likely that Aire-mediated expression of self antigen on mTECs directs the positive selection of nTregs in the thymic medulla via TCR-MHC and CD28-CD80/CD86 interactions. It has been shown that agonist peptide presented by thymic epithelia prevents the deletion and promotes the positive selection of mature Tregs with diverse specificities [124]. It has been suggested that thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) DCs in the central part of the medulla may be critical for the positive selection of high-affinity autoreactive T cells to differentiate into CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs [171]. Human Hassall's corpuscles expressing TSLP activate thymic CD11c⁺ DCs to express high levels of CD80 and CD86. These TSLP-conditioned DCs can induce the proliferation and differentiation of CD4⁺CD8⁻CD25⁻ thymocytes into CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ Tregs [171]. This induction depended on the peptide-MHC II interactions, and the presence of CD80 and CD86 as well as IL-2. Immunohistochemical analysis revealed the association of these Tregs with activated or mature DCs and TSLP-expressing Hassall's corpuscles in the thymic medulla. More support comes from the recent finding that self antigen expressed on mTECs efficiently induces the dif-

ferentiation of Foxp3⁺CD25⁺ nTregs [11]. Antigens exclusively expressed by mTECs can be presented concomitantly by mTECs as well as DCs [11, 165]. However, differentiation of antigen-specific Tregs resulting from exclusive expression of antigen by mTECs was shown to be autonomously mediated by mTECs, but not by thymic DCs. Thymic DCs that cross-present mTEC-derived self antigens negatively select both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, whereas mTECs efficiently eliminate CD8⁺ but not CD4⁺ T cells [47]. This could explain the development of Tregs in the medullary region of the thymus where other self-specific CD4 and CD8 thymocytes are deleted by negative selection. In addition, since Treg development requires CD28 signaling, expression of its cognate ligand B7 by mTECs could provide the necessary survival signals for developing Tregs [41].

PERIPHERAL MAINTENANCE OF TREGS

Natural Tregs maintain their homeostatic numbers in the periphery by expansion so as to prevent the onset of autoimmunity [40, 59]. Adult thymectomy does not reduce the peripheral levels of nTregs, suggesting they can be maintained in the absence of thymic output [112]. In addition, CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs could expand *in vivo* when CD4⁺ cells were injected into athymic nude mice [112]. For a given age and genetic background (BALB/c versus C57B/6), the percentage of Foxp3⁺ Tregs is constant among peripheral CD4⁺ T cells. This suggests that there are homeostatic mechanisms in place to control the population of Tregs. When transferred into lymphopenic mice, CD4⁺CD25⁺CD45RB^{low} Tregs expanded and reached equilibrium at 10-fold lower numbers than similarly transferred CD4⁺CD25⁻CD45RB^{high} T cells [2]. This number represents the normal percentage of Tregs among the total CD4⁺ T cells. Peripheral homeostasis of conventional CD4 T cells depends primarily on TCR-self-antigen-MHCII interactions and IL-7 availability [135]. When polyclonal CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs (CD62L^{high}) were adoptively transferred into normal mice, they formed two distinct populations, long-lived quiescent cells (CD62L^{high}) and rapidly dividing cells (CD62L^{low}) with activation markers [40]. The rapidly dividing population is believed to be the auto-reactive Tregs which colonize the cognate tissue-draining lymph nodes and become activated continuously, while the quiescent population represents the non-self-specific Tregs. Peripheral CD4⁺ T cells from rat lacking a thyroid could not prevent thyroiditis when adoptively transferred into thymectomized and irradiated recipients, but they could prevent diabetes in the recipients. In contrast, CD4⁺CD8⁻ thymocytes from rats lacking a thyroid could prevent thyroiditis, suggesting the requirement of the presence of self antigen for the peripheral expansion of self-reactive Tregs [133]. HA-specific CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs proliferate only upon transfer into irradiated HA-transgenic mice, but not in

irradiated normal mice, suggesting an essential role of TCR-MHCII interactions [33]. Polyclonal Tregs, when transferred into lymphopenic *Rag-1*^{-/-} mice, proliferated extensively, but not when transferred into *MHC class II I-Ab*^{+/-} *Rag-1*^{-/-} mice, again suggesting TCR-MHC class II interactions are important for the homeostatic proliferation of Tregs [48]. Co-stimulation via B7 is also important for the peripheral homeostasis of Tregs. *B7-1/B7-2*^{-/-} and *CD28*^{-/-} NOD mice have reduced numbers of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs in the periphery, implying a defective maintenance of Tregs [128]. Administration of murine CTLA-4-Ig antibody, which blocks B7 signaling, into wild-type NOD mice leads to a 5-fold reduction in the numbers of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs. In addition, CTLA-4-Ig treated mice had increased incidence and rapid onset of autoimmune diabetes compared with control mice [128]. The peripheral expansion of nTregs *in vivo* is believed to be dependent on weak stimulation provided by the interaction of its TCR with self-peptide-MHC on peripheral tissues. PKC- θ , with its ability to lower the threshold of activation, might play an important role in the peripheral activation and expansion of nTregs. We also found that mice deficient in PKC- θ may have defective maintenance of Tregs in the periphery, as evident by reduced numbers of CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3⁺, CD4⁺CD25⁺GITR⁺, and CD4⁺CTLA-4⁺ T cells in the spleen and lymph nodes (Gupta et al., unpublished data). The homeostasis of Tregs was also shown to be regulated by CD40/CD40L interactions [56]. *CD40*^{-/-} mice or normal mice treated with CD40/CD40L-blocking antibodies have reduced numbers of Tregs in the periphery. In addition, Tregs injected into *CD40*^{-/-} mice showed poor survival and homeostatic expansion [56]. It has been suggested that B cells can affect the peripheral homeostasis of nTregs without affecting their thymic development [144]. In μ -chain-deficient mice lacking mature B cells, the percentage of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs was reduced to half compared with the spleens of wild-type mice, but the percentage of Tregs in the thymus was not affected. TGF- β may also contribute to the peripheral homeostasis of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs, as mice deficient in TGF- β [98] and mice defective in TGF- β RII have reduced numbers of CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ Tregs in the periphery [66]. However, the thymic development of nTregs is not dependent on TGF- β , as indicated by the normal numbers of thymic Tregs in *TGF- β* ^{-/-} mice [98].

Since CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs express all the subunits of a functional high-affinity IL-2 receptor (IL-2R α , IL-2R β , and γ c), it makes sense to believe that IL-2 is important for Treg maintenance and function. IL-2-deficient mice had reduced numbers of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs in the thymus as well as in the spleen and lymph nodes, although the composition of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells was normal [2, 132]. A similar phenotype was observed in CD25 (IL-2R α)-deficient mice, which also suffered lymphocyte accumulation and autoimmune disorders [173]. The frequency of CD4⁺Foxp3⁺ Tregs was reduced in the periphery of both IL-2- and IL-2R α -deficient mice [34, 43], even though Treg numbers were nor-

mal in the thymus [34, 43]. In addition, *in vivo* neutralization of IL-2 using anti-IL-2 mAb significantly and specifically reduced the CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cell numbers and consequently caused autoimmune disease [137]. Adoptive transfer of polyclonal CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs into *IL-2R β* ^{-/-} mice which lack endogenous Tregs caused their expansion, sustenance, and subsequent prevention of autoimmune pathology in the recipient mice [93]. In contrast, Tregs, when transferred into IL-2-deficient mice, disappeared in the recipients after four weeks and the recipients eventually developed autoimmunity [93]. Similarly, when both conventional CD4 T cells and Tregs were adoptively transferred into an *IL-2*^{-/-} host, survival of Tregs, but not of conventional CD4 T cells, was impaired [34]. Likewise, IL-2 therapy resulted in the expansion of Tregs in the blood of normal individuals, and even more extensive peripheral expansion in lymphopenic cancer patients [179]. These data collectively highlight the requirement of IL-2 in the peripheral maintenance of Tregs. IL-2 signaling activates two major signaling pathways in T cells for their survival, proliferation, and differentiation [162]. One leads to the activation of the serine/threonine kinase Akt and consequent upregulation of the anti-apoptotic molecules Bcl-2 and Bcl-x_L. The other pathway leads to the activation of the transcription factor STAT5 required for proliferation and differentiation. Using *IL-2*^{-/-} mice over-expressing Bcl-2 transgene it was shown that reduced Treg numbers in the periphery of *IL-2*^{-/-} mice were not due to defective survival [8]. However, transient over-expression of STAT5 rescued the reduction in peripheral Treg numbers in *IL-2*^{-/-} mice, suggesting a role of STAT5 in the homeostasis of Tregs in the periphery [8]. Consistent with this observation, deletion of STAT5 resulted in a dramatic reduction in CD4⁺Foxp3⁺ cells [178]. STAT5^{-/-} fetal liver reconstituted mice still displayed reduced Tregs, suggesting the intrinsic requirement of STAT5 for the development of Tregs. Furthermore, STAT5 binds to the target DNA sequences on the Foxp3 promoter, suggesting that STAT5 is likely to regulate Treg development by transcriptional regulation of Foxp3 expression. Interestingly, reduction of STAT3 does not affect Tregs. Therefore, members of the STAT family differentially regulate the development of Tregs. Reduced levels of IL-2 in the periphery of *PKC- θ* ^{-/-} mice [3] could be responsible for the low frequency of CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3 Tregs in the spleen and lymph nodes of *PKC- θ* ^{-/-} mice (Gupta et al., unpublished data). The source of IL-2 required for Treg peripheral maintenance is believed to be DCs [56]. Accordingly, DCs from *CD40*^{-/-} mice produce lower levels of IL-2 and could not support Treg expansion. These defects were reversed by adding IL-2 to the cultures or injecting it into *CD40*^{-/-} mice [56]. Some studies also addressed the role of apoptosis in the maintenance of peripheral Tregs. *In vitro* TCR re-stimulation of naïve T cells and Tregs showed reduced sensitivity of Tregs towards AICD compared with CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells [46]. Moreover, murine Tregs were

shown to be more resistant to clonal deletion induced by viral superantigen *in vivo* and by ligation of Fas [18]. In contrast, freshly isolated naïve human Tregs were shown to be highly sensitive towards Fas-mediated apoptosis, unlike conventional CD4⁺ T cells [46]. Since *PKC-θ*^{-/-} T cells are defective in FasL upregulation upon stimulation *in vivo* and *in vitro* [96], increased AICD of *PKC-θ*^{-/-} Tregs in the periphery is less likely. The resistance of Tregs to TCR-mediated apoptosis [153] also suggests that poor maintenance of Tregs in *PKC-θ*^{-/-} mice may be independent of TCR-mediated apoptosis [95].

FUNCTIONAL FEATURES OF NATURAL TREGs

While most of the self-specific T cells are deleted in the thymus by negative selection, some of these self-destructive T cells come out in circulation, but their activation and proliferation are kept in check by nTregs. The suppressive function of Tregs was explored using an *in vitro* assay in which CD4⁺CD25⁻ conventional T cells isolated from naïve mice were stimulated in the presence of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells and irradiated APCs. Inhibition of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T-cell proliferation and cytokine secretion was measured as the suppressive potential of CD25⁺ Tregs [148, 156]. This is still the most widely used method to assess the suppressive potential of Tregs *in vitro*. The following were the main functional attributes of Tregs as deciphered by these *in vitro* assays [148, 156]: CD4⁺CD25⁺ Treg cells from normal naïve mice potently suppressed proliferation and cytokine production by CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ effector T cells in a dose-dependent manner. This suppression required the activation of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells by stimulation via their TCR either by polyclonal anti-CD3 antibody or antigen-specific stimulation of the T cells. Co-stimulation was provided by APCs. CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells stimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3 antibody alone did not cause suppression of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells. However, Tregs can suppress the proliferation and cytokine production by CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells even in the absence of APCs when stimulated by immobilized anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies. Cross-linking with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies mimics stimulation through the TCR and CD28 receptor on T cells. Nonspecific antigenic stimulation of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells does not evoke suppression by these cells, highlighting the relevance of appropriate TCR stimulation. However, once CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells are appropriately stimulated, they can suppress the activation and proliferation of both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in an antigen nonspecific manner, i.e. they suppress the proliferation of not only cells with the same antigen specificity, but also suppress T cells with different antigen specificities. In addition, MHC histocompatibility between CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs and non-Tregs is not required for suppression. This was evident in the allogeneic mixed lymphocyte reaction where CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs activated by allogeneic APCs suppressed the proliferation of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells of

a third-party strain [127]. The suppression by CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs is highly sensitive to antigenic stimulation. About a 10- to 100-fold lower concentration of antigen is required to activate CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells for suppression than that required for the activation and proliferation of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells from the same TCR-transgenic mice [156]. Although they themselves do not secrete IL-2, CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs suppress IL-2 production in CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells at the mRNA level [148, 156] and inhibit IFN- γ production by CD8⁺ T cells [118]. Tregs also suppress B cell proliferation, immunoglobulin production, and class switch [89, 181]. Studies have also shown that they can inhibit the cytotoxic functions of NK cells [52] and NKT cells [14], as well as the function and maturation of DCs [101]. Tregs can also inhibit memory T cells to some extent [87, 145].

Though TCR stimulation is required for their suppressive function, CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs are themselves hypo-proliferative or anergic to *in vitro* antigenic stimulation. They were unable to flux Ca²⁺ upon TCR engagement [48]. Studies exploring the molecular basis of the Treg anergic phenotype found defective Ras, MEK1/2, and ERK1/2 activation in CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs [88]. Examination of TCR proximal and distal signaling events revealed impaired amplitude and duration of tyrosine phosphorylation compared with CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells [58]. However, the duration of such tyrosine phosphorylation was increased by strong CD28 co-stimulation in Tregs, explaining the transient loss of energy upon strong CD28 ligation. Activation of PLC γ and downstream events of calcium mobilization, NFAT, NF- κ B, and Ras-ERK-AP-1 activation were also impaired. This anergic phenotype was not lost even upon lymphopenia-driven proliferation of Tregs *in vivo*. Stimulation of Tregs with PMA+ionomycin or TCR stimulation along with PMA treatment can break their anergy, resulting in subsequent proliferation and IL-2 production due to enhanced NF- κ B and AP-1 activation [58]. The anergic phenotype of Tregs can be explained by the finding that Foxp3 represses the gene transcription of IL-2, IL-4, and IFN- γ through direct physical interactions with the transcription factors NF- κ B and NFAT [20]. Also, the NFAT-Foxp3 complex upregulates the expressions of CD25 and CTLA-4 and is required for the suppressive function of Tregs [174]. This hypo-proliferative state is closely linked to their suppressive potential, as breaking their anergy by TCR stimulation along with a high dose of IL-2 and CD28 ligation results in their proliferation and simultaneous loss of suppression. Such proliferating and non-suppressive Tregs revert to their “default” anergic and suppressive state once the IL-2 and CD28 ligation are removed. Tregs expanded in such a way are more suppressive than unexpanded Tregs. Antigen-specific Treg expansion can also be achieved *in vitro* when Tregs are exposed to alloantigen-loaded DCs in the presence of IL-2 [107] or by mature DCs in the absence of added IL-2 [177]. Human Tregs from both the thymus and the peripheral blood display similar properties as murine nTregs. They

are anergic to TCR stimulation *in vitro* and are capable of suppressing the proliferation and cytokine production by CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells *in vitro* [7, 36, 69, 87]. Human Tregs also do not produce IL-2, IL-4, IFN- γ , IL-5, or IL-13 upon activation [7]. Human Tregs that are expanded in the presence of high IL-2, IL-4, or IL-15 maintain their suppressive potential. In contrast to the *in vitro* situation, Tregs that are proliferated in normal or lymphopenic mice still maintain their suppressive phenotype [2, 48, 77, 137, 167]. In T cell-deficient mice, exposure of alloantigen to transferred Tregs elicited spontaneous expansion of alloantigen-specific CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs, which provided long-term graft tolerance against subsequently transferred naïve T cells [107]. Besides *in vitro* suppression, Tregs are shown to regulate various *in vivo* immune responses mediated by CD4⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, B cells, and others, highlighting the essence of Treg function in preventing autoimmune diseases, allograft transplant rejection, allergic responses, etc. Antigen-specific Tregs prevented graft rejection or autoimmunity by directly inhibiting effector cells *in vivo* [85, 150]. Tregs were found to prevent various *in vivo* pathologies by inhibiting the differentiation of effector T cells in terms of cytokine production and chemokine receptor expression, but not much by inhibiting their proliferation [37, 129, 150]. *In vivo* depletion of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells or injection of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells into immunodeficient mice leads to various pathologies (gastritis, colitis, etc.) which can be both prevented [2] and cured by introduction of enriched CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs from normal mice [104]. By using TCR-transgenic mice that either harbor or lack nTregs as recipients, proliferation of injected CD4⁺ T cells was shown to be restricted only in recipients with nTregs [138].

MECHANISM OF SUPPRESSION

The mechanisms by which Tregs exert their suppressive function are still controversial, owing to disparate *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies. It seems highly possible that Tregs employ multiple mechanisms to suppress various kinds of effector cells.

Role of cytokines

Initial studies explored the immunosuppressive cytokines IL-4, TGF- β 1, and IL-10 in the suppression mediated by Tregs since their transcripts were more actively transcribed in CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells than in CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells during an *in vitro* suppression assay [148]. Neutralization of these cytokines with corresponding monoclonal antibodies, alone or in combination, was unable to abrogate the suppression of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells, *in vitro*. The supernatant from the suppression co-culture also failed to suppress anti-CD3-stimulated CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells, ruling out the possibility that soluble factors such as cytokines are responsible

for suppression [148, 156]. Moreover, CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs isolated from *IL-4*^{-/-}, *TGF- β 1*^{-/-}, or *IL-10*^{-/-} mice were as effective as wild-type Tregs in suppressing T cell proliferation *in vitro* [117, 156]. When stimulated with soluble anti-CD3 in the presence of APCs, Tregs highly expressed membrane-bound latent TGF- β [106]. The suppressive role of TGF- β 1 was attributed to this membrane-bound TGF- β 1, but not to the soluble factors present in the supernatant. Another TGF- β 1-blocking agent, recombinant latency-associated peptide of TGF- β 1 (rLAP), is also shown to inhibit Treg-mediated suppression *in vitro* in a dose-dependent manner, confirming the importance of membrane-bound TGF- β 1 in suppressor function [105]. Membrane-bound TGF- β on Tregs is also involved in NK-cell suppression [52]. Another mechanism of suppression involves TGF- β and Notch1, a transmembrane molecule required for commitment to the T cell lineage during development [166]. Intracellular domain of Notch1, which splits after the binding to its ligands jagged 1 and jagged 2, acts as a transcription factor to induce the expression of hairy and enhancer of split 1 (HES1), a transcription repressor. It has been demonstrated that membrane expression of TGF- β is required for the expression of Notch1 ligands on Tregs [109]. Tregs that express membrane-bound TGF- β can activate the Notch1-HES1 axis in activated T cells. Inhibition of the Notch1 pathway abrogates Treg-mediated suppression of allergic airway inflammation. Because of the constitutively high expression of CD25 on Tregs, it was suggested that Tregs suppress by depriving the available IL-2 required for effector T cell function. However, CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs inhibit IL-2 transcription in CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells even in the presence of exogenous IL-2 [154]. This observation challenges the IL-2 competition theory. Furthermore, Tregs isolated from *IL-2R α* ^{-/-} (CD25-deficient mice) and *IL-2*^{-/-} mice are fully capable of suppressing the proliferation of normal CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells *in vitro* [45].

Cell-cell contact

The *in vitro* suppression is dependent upon contact between CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs and CD4⁺CD25⁻ effector T cells, since their separation by a TranswellTM membrane, which permits soluble factor exchange but not direct physical contact, abrogates the suppression [148, 156]. Such contact-dependent suppression is not because of direct killing of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells by CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells via the Fas/FasL or TNF/TNFR-dependent pathway, since blocking antibodies to FasL or TNF- α had no effects on the number of CD4⁺CD25⁻ responders [148]. Another way of suppression by Tregs is Granzyme-B-dependent perforin-independent killing of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells [54]. When co-cultured with CD4⁺CD25⁺ in the presence of stimulation, CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells were shown to be non-proliferating and dying. CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs from Granzyme-B-deficient mice were less efficient than normal Tregs for suppression *in vitro*. Also, mRNA and protein levels of

Granzyme-B were shown to be elevated in CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs by stimulation with anti-CD3 antibody. More recently, Tregs were also shown to inhibit B cell proliferation by direct killing in a Granzyme B and perforin-dependent, but Fas/FasL-independent fashion [181]. Tregs were also shown to inhibit NK and CD8⁺ T cell-mediated tumor-immunity by direct killing of these cells in a Granzyme B- and perforin-dependent way at tumor sites *in vivo* [26]. The contribution of several T cell accessory molecules such as CTLA-4 (CD152) and lymphocyte-activation gene (LAG)-3 expressed by Tregs and B7-1/B7-2 co-stimulatory molecules expressed by APCs have also been tested in contact-dependent suppression. CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs highly express LAG-3 (a CD4-related molecule that binds MHC class II) upon activation. An antibody to LAG-3 inhibits suppression by Tregs both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [65]. CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs from *LAG-3*^{-/-} mice exhibited reduced regulatory activity. In addition, ectopic expression of LAG-3 on normal CD4⁺ T cells reduced their proliferative ability and rendered them suppressor activity towards CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells [65]. CTLA-4 is constitutively expressed on the surface of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs, in contrast to naïve CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells, which express CTLA-4 only after activation [123, 149]. Upon stimulation, CTLA-4 expression is upregulated on Tregs more than on CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells [149]. This suggested a possible role of CTLA-4 in contact-dependent suppression. Tregs from *CTLA-4*^{-/-} mice were fully capable of *in vitro* suppression although they produced more TGF-β1 than normal Tregs [151]. Injecting anti-CTLA-4 mAb into normal mice over a limited period of time elicited autoimmune gastritis similar to that produced by depletion of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs without reducing the number of total CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs in the treated mice [149]. Administration of anti-CTLA-4 mAb also neutralized the protection mediated by CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs in the murine model of colitis [123]. CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells from normal mice suppressed CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells from CTLA-4-deficient mice, but not when treated with antigen-binding (Fab) fragments of anti-CTLA-4 mAb [149]. Furthermore, ectopic expression of Foxp3 in naïve T cells upregulated the expression of CTLA-4 in a Foxp3-dependent way [60]. Another direct action of CTLA-4 is believed to be the induction of indoleamine 2, 3-dioxygenase (IDO) in DCs by interaction with B7-1 and B7-2 on DCs. IDO is responsible for the metabolism of an essential amino acid, tryptophan, required for the activation of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells [38, 39]. The soluble fusion protein CTLA-4-immunoglobulin (CTLA-4-Ig) induced IDO in DCs, resulting in the induction of tolerance *in vivo* [55]. This tolerance was abrogated by the IDO inhibitor 1-MT. Some reports suggested that Tregs may also down-modulate APC functions in Tcell activation by inhibiting the expression levels of B7-1 and B7-2 on DCs [30, 101]. It is very well documented that CTLA-4 has a higher affinity than CD28 for ligands B7-1 and B7-2. CTLA-4 on the surface of Tregs may compete for B7-1/B7-2 required for activating CD28 co-stimula-

tory signals. This could also explain why strong stimulation with anti-CD28 mAb can break the suppression by Tregs. However, use of lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-activated APCs which expressed B7-2 at high levels did not decrease the suppression by CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs. Furthermore, suppression was not affected when varying amounts of APCs were used in an *in vitro* suppression assay, ruling out the notion that competition for co-stimulatory molecules is the major mechanism responsible for Treg-mediated suppression [157]. On the contrary, some reports showed that anti-CTLA-4 mAb or anti-CTLA-4 Fab fragments did not abrogate the suppressive activity of Tregs *in vitro* [87, 155, 156], and thus challenged the requirement of CTLA-4 for suppression. A possible explanation could be a compensatory increased production of TGF-β1 with anti-CTLA ligation in these cultures [106], as also seen with the CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs from CTLA-4-deficient mice [151]. Therefore, cell-to-cell cognate interaction-dependent suppression seems to be the dominant mechanism of suppression by Tregs *in vitro*, but the molecular basis of this interaction remains unknown.

Quite different from contact-dependent suppression *in vitro* is the requirement of cytokines IL-10 and TGF-β1 for *in vivo* suppression. Adoptive transfer of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs into mice with allogeneic skin graft induces graft tolerance, but the administration of IL-10-receptor blocking antibody notably accelerated transplant rejection [75]. The role of IL-10 in the CD4⁺CD25⁺ Treg-mediated control of murine transplantation tolerance, chronic parasite infection, and a rat model of type 1 diabetes has also been reported [61]. IL-10 is required for the control of the mouse model of colitis and the homeostatic maintenance of T cell numbers by Tregs. IL-10-deficient mice developed spontaneous colitis [81] mediated by Th1 CD4⁺ T cells and triggered by intestinal *Helicobacter hepaticus* [82, 136]. CD4⁺CD25⁺CD45RB^{low} Tregs, which normally can prevent colitis and homeostatic proliferation of CD4⁺ T cells in immunodeficient Rag^{-/-} mice, failed to do so when isolated from IL-10-deficient mice [6]. Treatment with anti-IL-10 receptor mAb abrogated the inhibition of colitis mediated by normal CD4⁺CD45RB^{low} T cells, suggesting a role for IL-10 in Treg-mediated suppression [12]. Furthermore, injection of anti-IL-10 receptor mAb to wild-type mice induces colitis [13]. It was concluded that IL-10 is required for Treg-mediated suppression of colitis induced by antigen-experienced colitogenic Th1 cells, but is not absolutely required for CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cell-mediated inhibition of colitis induced by the transfer of naïve CD4⁺CD45RB^{high} cells. It is intriguing that *IL-10*^{-/-} Tregs can effectively prevent autoimmune gastritis produced by depletion of Tregs in BALB/C mice, but are not sufficient to suppress bacterial-driven colitis in the same recipient mice [143]. *IL-10*^{-/-} mice spontaneously develop colitis, but not gastritis. Recently it was shown that IL-10-producing CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ Tregs are selectively enriched within the colonic lamina propria of

colitic mice and wild-type mice [160]. Also, inflamed intestine of human patients with inflammatory bowel disease showed an accumulation of CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ Tregs, thus suggesting impaired function, but not physical absence of Tregs in the development of the disease [160]. The partial requirement of IL-10 in Tregs from colon, but not from secondary lymphoid organs explains the discrepancies found in the above studies. In contrast to *in vitro* suppression, CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs from TGF- β 1^{-/-} mice failed to prevent the development of colitis in the murine model [105]. CD4⁺CD25⁺LAP⁺ T cells expressing a membrane-bound form of TGF- β 1 were suggested to be responsible for the control of colitis induced by CD4⁺CD45RB^{high} T cells [105]. Administration of anti-TGF- β and anti-IL-4 antibody neutralized the suppressive activity of CD4⁺CD45RC^{low} T cells in rat type-1 diabetes and thyroiditis [134]. TGF- β also contributes to the suppression by Tregs in an indirect way. TGF- β 1 (presumably from Tregs) induces Foxp3 expression in about 10–30% of CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells upon TCR stimulation under *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions [31, 113]. Induced Foxp3 expression in these effector cells made them anergic and they could suppress IFN- γ cytokine production by other CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells [169]. Such an increase in Foxp3⁺ cells from a Foxp3⁻ population upon anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation in the presence of TGF- β is dose dependent. The highest dose of TGF- β (10 ng/ml) results in the production of 30% Foxp3⁺ cells [169]. TGF- β 1 is a well-characterized immunosuppressive cytokine known to be produced by a variety of cells other than Tregs or activated CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells. Thus there are doubts about a direct requirement of TGF- β 1 production by nTregs. All the studies so far collectively imply that the mechanisms of suppression employed by Tregs are different in *in vitro* and *in vivo* situations. While a contact-dependent mechanism dominates during *in vitro* suppression, soluble factors such as IL-10 and TGF- β are important in *in vivo* suppression. These results might reflect the different conditions prevailing during *in vitro* and *in vivo* set-ups. *In vitro* conditions provide higher proximity among Tregs and effector cells and normally stronger than physiological TCR stimulation. In contrast, during *in vivo* suppression, other long-range factors come into play, such as the migration of Tregs to the appropriate sites of suppression, which might involve the upregulation of certain receptors on Tregs. Moreover, it is possibly that more than one mechanism exists during *in vitro* and *in vivo* suppression, with the choice lying in the nature and magnitude of the immune responses and the tissue involved.

ACTIVATION OF TREGS

The requirement of co-stimulation provided either by APCs or CD28 cross-linking along with TCR stimulation during *in vitro* activation of suppressor function reflects the contribution of various co-stimulatory mole-

cules highly expressed on the Treg surface. A strong stimulation of CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs through CD28 abrogates their anergic and suppressive state [148, 156]. In contrast, they are not dependent on CD28 for their activation, since Tregs from CD28-deficient mice exhibited an equally potent *in vitro* suppressive activity [149]. CTLA-4 ligation increases the receptor clustering and the interaction of lymphocyte function-associated antigen (LFA)-1 on T cells with ICAM-1/2 on APCs. This can possibly augment the physical interactions between Tregs and APCs, enhancing their activation [131]. Co-stimulation through CTLA-4 enhances the proliferation of and TGF- β 1 production by CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs [106]. Stimulation of GITR on Tregs abrogated their suppressive function *in vitro* without changing their anergic state [139]. Administration of anti-GITR mAb produced organ-specific autoimmune disease in otherwise normal mice. This suggests that the signal through GITR attenuates the ability of Tregs to exert suppression. CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs selectively express several members of the Toll-like receptor (TLR) family, such as TLR4 [27]. *In vitro* stimulation of Tregs with a high concentration of LPS through TLR4 elicited their proliferation, prolonged their survival, and augmented their *in vitro* suppressive activity even in the absence of APCs, indicating that LPS directly acts on TLR4 molecules expressed by Tregs [27]. TLR4-mediated stimulation of Treg cells, as a result of infection by Gram-negative bacteria, may lead to inhibit T cell-mediated immune responses against bacteria. The expression pattern of other accessory molecules on Tregs, such as CD45RB^{low}, CD44^{high}, CD5^{high}, CD54 (ICAM-1)^{high}, CD11a/CD18 (LFA-1)^{high}, and CD62L^{low}, is somewhat similar to that of primed, activated effector or memory T cells [68, 83, 126, 156]. This suggests that Tregs may be continuously stimulated by self antigens in the normal internal environment. Human Tregs express the chemokine receptors CCR4 and CCR8 on their surface [67]. Consequently, mature DCs preferentially attract Tregs among circulating CD4⁺ T cells by secreting the CCR4 ligands, CCL17 and CCL22 [67]. Similarly, it was shown that activated B cells and professional APCs upregulated the expression of CCL4, which acts as a potent chemoattractant for Tregs [24]. The expression of such receptors may enable Tregs to preferentially migrate to the sites of antigen presentation in the secondary lymphoid tissues and recruit to the sites of inflammation and tissue damage to control physiological and pathological immune responses. Several cytokines are also shown to play important roles in the activation of Tregs. TGF- β activation induced the upregulation of CD25 and CTLA-4 expression on naïve CD4⁺ T cells in peripheral human blood, leading to suppressive phenotype *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and also induced the expansion of Tregs [176]. Cell surface TGF- β 1, from autocrine or paracrine sources, may mediate its effects by activating CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs themselves, possibly by maintaining their survival, differentiation, expansion, or suppressive functions [31, 94]. Moreover, TGF- β 1 sig-

naling may enhance the suppressive function of Tregs by stimulating Foxp3 expression [98]. IL-2 and IL-4 are showed to activate nTregs *in vitro* along with TCR stimulation, leading to Treg expansion [155]. IL-4 can substitute for IL-2 both in terms of *in vitro* expansion of Tregs and activation of inhibitory function of Tregs [155].

CONCLUSION

Although nTregs share few of their markers with other cells, the identification of Foxp3 as a specific and lineage-determining factor in Tregs has helped immensely in the understanding of the thymic development, peripheral maintenance, and function of Tregs. The only handicap in the field of Tregs is the reliance on CD25 as the marker for Treg isolation and for functional studies. However, CD25 expression on naïve CD4⁺ T cells correlates well with Foxp3 expression and thus Treg phenotype. There are chances of contamination with activated T cells, especially when studying Tregs from human peripheral blood. Significant observations have been made regarding Treg development in the thymus, but there are still some questions remaining to be answered. No doubt exists regarding the requirement of high-avidity TCR-MHC class II interactions in the thymic medullary region, along with additional cofactors which might provide a survival advantage to the developing Tregs. CD28 is one of the most important cofactors known to upregulate anti-apoptotic genes in the cells such as Bcl-x_L. Thus it is not surprising that mice lacking CD28 do not support the development and peripheral maintenance of Tregs. Similar is the case with mice deficient in LAT, which is an adaptor molecule transmitting signals from TCR to the nucleus. Besides TCR and co-stimulatory signals, signals from cytokine receptors are equally important for the thymic generation of Tregs. This is exemplified by the absolute requirement of the γ c subunit of the receptor common to IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, and IL-15. It is not very clear how these important factors coordinate during Treg development to induce Foxp3 expression. PKC- θ is one such protein which integrates TCR and CD28 signaling to lower the threshold of T cell activation, and is thus important for the activation, survival, and differentiation of T cells. Not surprisingly, PKC- θ ^{-/-} mice have drastically reduced Foxp3⁺ Tregs in the thymus. We have shown recently that PKC- θ , through coupling of TCR and CD28 signaling, is responsible for Foxp3 gene expression via stimulating NFAT. Once Foxp3 is expressed, it can associate with NFAT to act as a transcriptional complex to regulate various genes involved in Treg differentiation. The presence of some Foxp3⁺ Tregs in the periphery of PKC- θ ^{-/-} mice suggests that Treg development is not completely abrogated. Factors that govern the thymic development of Tregs seem to be different from those which govern its function. Co-stimulation provided by CD28 signaling is important for the thymic development and peripheral homeostasis of

Tregs, but not essential for its suppressive function [147, 149, 152]. In contrast, CTLA-4 signaling is required for Treg function, but not for their development and maintenance [151]. The expression of B7-2 is important for thymic generation and *in vivo* function of Tregs, but the *in vitro* activation of Tregs with B7-1/B7-2-double-deficient APCs or in the presence of an antagonist of B7/CD28 interaction (CTLA-4-Ig) does not affect their suppressive function [155]. We also observed that PKC- θ is important for Treg development, but not for their activation and suppressive function. The mechanism of suppression by Tregs has been studied extensively. Factors important for *in vitro* and *in vivo* suppression appear to be different. These discrepancies about the roles of various factors involved in suppression may reflect different experimental conditions between *ex vivo* and *in vivo*, and also between various laboratories. It could have serious implications when transferring such experimental observations to clinic applications. It is truly remarkable that Tregs, which appear to be just a subset, have such distinct properties to be qualified as a separate lineage of T cells. Equally remarkable is the fact that one molecule like PKC- θ can highlight the difference between nTregs and conventional CD4 T cells in terms of their thymic development, activation, survival, and effector function.

REFERENCES

1. Akiyama T., Maeda S., Yamane S., Ogino K., Kasai M., Kajiura F., Matsumoto M. and Inoue J. (2005): Dependence of self-tolerance on TRAF6-directed development of thymic stroma. *Science*, **308**, 248–251.
2. Almeida A. R., Legrand N., Papiernik M. and Freitas A. A. (2002): Homeostasis of peripheral CD4⁺ T cells: IL-2R alpha and IL-2 shape a population of regulatory cells that controls CD4⁺ T cell numbers. *J. Immunol.*, **169**, 4850–4860.
3. Anderson K., Fitzgerald M., Dupont M., Wang T., Paz N., Dorsch M., Healy A., Xu Y., Ocain T., Schopf L., Jaffee B. and Picarella D. (2006): Mice deficient in PKC theta demonstrate impaired *in vivo* T cell activation and protection from T cell-mediated inflammatory diseases. *Autoimmunity*, **39**, 469–478.
4. Anderson M. S., Venanzi E. S., Chen Z., Berzins S. P., Benoist C. and Mathis D. (2005): The cellular mechanism of Aire control of T cell tolerance. *Immunity*, **23**, 227–239.
5. Anderson M. S., Venanzi E. S., Klein L., Chen Z., Berzins S. P., Turley S. J., von Boehmer H., Bronson R., Dierich A., Benoist C. and Mathis D. (2002): Projection of an immunological self shadow within the thymus by the aire protein. *Science*, **298**, 1395–1401.
6. Annacker O., Pimenta-Araujo R., Burlen-Defranoux O., Barbosa T. C., Cumano A. and Bandeira A. (2001): CD25⁺ CD4⁺ T cells regulate the expansion of peripheral CD4 T cells through the production of IL-10. *J. Immunol.*, **166**, 3008–3018.
7. Annunziato F., Cosmi L., Liotta F., Lazzeri E., Manetti R., Vanini V., Romagnani P., Maggi E. and Romagnani S. (2002): Phenotype, localization, and mechanism of suppression of CD4(+)CD25(+) human thymocytes. *J. Exp. Med.*, **196**, 379–387.

8. Antov A., Yang L., Vig M., Baltimore D. and Van Parijs L. (2003): Essential role for STAT5 signaling in CD25+CD4+ regulatory T cell homeostasis and the maintenance of self-tolerance. *J. Immunol.*, **171**, 3435–3441.
9. Apostolou I., Sarukhan A., Klein L. and von Boehmer H. (2002): Origin of regulatory T cells with known specificity for antigen. *Nat. Immunol.*, **3**, 756–763.
10. Asano M., Toda M., Sakaguchi N. and Sakaguchi S. (1996): Autoimmune disease as a consequence of developmental abnormality of a T cell subpopulation. *J. Exp. Med.*, **184**, 387–396.
11. Aschenbrenner K., D’Cruz L. M., Vollmann E. H., Hinterberger M., Emmerich J., Swee L. K., Rolink A. and Klein L. (2007): Selection of Foxp3+ regulatory T cells specific for self antigen expressed and presented by Aire+ medullary thymic epithelial cells. *Nat. Immunol.*, **8**, 351–358.
12. Asseman C., Mauze S., Leach M. W., Coffman R. L. and Powrie F. (1999): An essential role for interleukin 10 in the function of regulatory T cells that inhibit intestinal inflammation. *J. Exp. Med.*, **190**, 995–1004.
13. Asseman C., Read S. and Powrie F. (2003): Colitogenic Th1 cells are present in the antigen-experienced T cell pool in normal mice: control by CD4+ regulatory T cells and IL-10. *J. Immunol.*, **171**, 971–978.
14. Azuma T., Takahashi T., Kunisato A., Kitamura T. and Hirai H. (2003): Human CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T cells suppress NKT cell functions. *Cancer Res.*, **63**, 4516–4520.
15. Baecher-Allan C., Brown J. A., Freeman G. J. and Hafler D. A. (2001): CD4+CD25high regulatory cells in human peripheral blood. *J. Immunol.*, **167**, 1245–1253.
16. Baecher-Allan C., Viglietta V. and Hafler D. A. (2004): Human CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *Semin. Immunol.*, **16**, 89–98.
17. Balandina A., Lecart S., Darteville P., Saoudi A. and Berrih-Aknin S. (2005): Functional defect of regulatory CD4(+)CD25+ T cells in the thymus of patients with autoimmune myasthenia gravis. *Blood*, **105**, 735–741.
18. Banz, A., Pontoux C. and Papiernik M. (2002): Modulation of Fas-dependent apoptosis: a dynamic process controlling both the persistence and death of CD4 regulatory T cells and effector T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **169**, 750–757.
19. Bennett C. L., Christie J., Ramsdell F., Brunkow M. E., Ferguson P. J., Whitesell L., Kelly T. E., Saulsbury F. T., Chance P. F. and Ochs H. D. (2001): The immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked syndrome (IPEX) is caused by mutations of FOXP3. *Nat. Genet.*, **27**, 20–21.
20. Bettelli E., Dastrange M. and Oukka M. (2005): Foxp3 interacts with nuclear factor of activated T cells and NF- κ B to repress cytokine gene expression and effector functions of T helper cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **102**, 5138–5143.
21. Boitard C., Yasunami R., Dardenne M. and Bach J. F. (1989): T cell-mediated inhibition of the transfer of autoimmune diabetes in NOD mice. *J. Exp. Med.*, **169**, 1669–1680.
22. Bruder D., Probst-Kepper M., Westendorf A. M., Geffers R., Beissert S., Loser K., von Boehmer H., Buer J. and Hansen W. (2004): Neuropilin-1: a surface marker of regulatory T cells. *Eur. J. Immunol.*, **34**, 623–630.
23. Brunkow M. E., Jeffery E. W., Hjerrild K. A., Paepfer B., Clark L. B., Yasayko S. A., Wilkinson J. E., Galas D., Ziegler S. F. and Ramsdell F. (2001): Disruption of a new forkhead/winged-helix protein, scurf, results in the fatal lymphoproliferative disorder of the scurfy mouse. *Nat. Genet.*, **27**, 68–73.
24. Bystry R. S., Aluvihare V., Welch K. A., Kallikourdis M. and Betz A. G. (2001): B cells and professional APCs recruit regulatory T cells via CCL4. *Nat. Immunol.*, **2**, 1126–1132.
25. Cabarocas J., Cassan C., Magnusson F., Piaggio E., Mars L., Derbinski J., Kyewski B., Gross D. A., Salomon B. L., Khazaie K., Saoudi A. and Liblau R. S. (2006): Foxp3+ CD25+ regulatory T cells specific for a neo-self-antigen develop at the double-positive thymic stage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **103**, 8453–8458.
26. Cao X., Cai S. F., Fehniger T. A., Song J., Collins L. I., Piwnicka-Worms D. R. and Ley T. J. (2007): Granzyme B and perforin are important for regulatory T cell-mediated suppression of tumor clearance. *Immunity*, **27**, 635–646.
27. Caramalho I., Lopes-Carvalho T., Ostler D., Zelenay S., Haury M. and Demengeot J. (2003): Regulatory T cells selectively express toll-like receptors and are activated by lipopolysaccharide. *J. Exp. Med.*, **197**, 403–411.
28. Carter J. D., Calabrese G. M., Naganuma M. and Lorenz U. (2005): Deficiency of the Src homology region 2 domain-containing phosphatase 1 (SHP-1) causes enrichment of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **174**, 6627–6638.
29. Caton A. J., Cozzo C., Larkin J. 3rd, Lerman M. A., Boesteanu A. and Jordan M. S. (2004): CD4(+) CD25(+) regulatory T cell selection. *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.*, **1029**, 101–114.
30. Cederbom, L., Hall H. and Ivars F. (2000): CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells down-regulate co-stimulatory molecules on antigen-presenting cells. *Eur. J. Immunol.*, **30**, 1538–1543.
31. Chen W., Jin W., Hardegen N., Lei K. J., Li L., Marinos N., McGrady G. and Wahl S. M. (2003): Conversion of peripheral CD4+CD25– naive T cells to CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells by TGF- β induction of transcription factor Foxp3. *J. Exp. Med.*, **198**, 1875–1886.
32. Coudronniere N., Villalba M., Englund N. and Altman A. (2000): NF- κ B activation induced by T cell receptor/CD28 costimulation is mediated by protein kinase C- θ . *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **97**, 3394–3399.
33. Cozzo C., Larkin J. 3rd and Caton A. J. (2003): Cutting edge: self-peptides drive the peripheral expansion of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **171**, 5678–5682.
34. D’Cruz L. M. and Klein L. (2005): Development and function of agonist-induced CD25+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells in the absence of interleukin 2 signaling. *Nat. Immunol.*, **6**, 1152–1159.
35. Derbinski J., Schulte A., Kyewski B. and Klein L. (2001): Promiscuous gene expression in medullary thymic epithelial cells mirrors the peripheral self. *Nat. Immunol.*, **2**, 1032–1039.
36. Dieckmann D., Plottner H., Berchtold S., Berger T. and Schuler G. (2001): *Ex vivo* isolation and characterization of CD4(+)CD25(+) T cells with regulatory properties from human blood. *J. Exp. Med.*, **193**, 1303–1310.
37. DiPaolo R. J., Glass D. D., Bijwaard K. E. and Shevach E. M. (2005): CD4+CD25+ T cells prevent the development of organ-specific autoimmune disease by inhibiting the differentiation of autoreactive effector T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **175**, 7135–7142.
38. Fallarino F., Grohmann U., Hwang K. W., Orabona C., Vacca C., Bianchi R., Belladonna M. L., Fioretti M. C.,

- Alegre M. L. and Puccetti P. (2003): Modulation of tryptophan catabolism by regulatory T cells. *Nat. Immunol.*, **4**, 1206–1212.
39. Fallarino F., Grohmann U., You S., McGrath B. C., Cavener D. R., Vacca C., Orabona C., Bianchi R., Belladonna M. L., Volpi C., Santamaria P., Fioretti M. C. and Puccetti P. (2006): The combined effects of tryptophan starvation and tryptophan catabolites down-regulate T cell receptor zeta-chain and induce a regulatory phenotype in naive T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **176**, 6752–6761.
 40. Fisson S., Darrasse-Jeze G., Litvinova E., Septier F., Klatzmann D., Liblau R. and Salomon B. L. (2003): Continuous activation of autoreactive CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T cells in the steady state. *J. Exp. Med.*, **198**, 737–746.
 41. Fontenot J. D., Dooley J. L., Farr A. G. and Rudensky A. Y. (2005): Developmental regulation of Foxp3 expression during ontogeny. *J. Exp. Med.*, **202**, 901–906.
 42. Fontenot J. D., Gavin M. A. and Rudensky A. Y. (2003): Foxp3 programs the development and function of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *Nat. Immunol.*, **4**, 330–336.
 43. Fontenot J. D., Rasmussen J. P., Gavin M. A. and Rudensky A. Y. (2005): A function for interleukin 2 in Foxp3-expressing regulatory T cells. *Nat. Immunol.*, **6**, 1142–1151.
 44. Fontenot J. D., Rasmussen J. P., Williams L. M., Dooley J. L., Farr A. G. and Rudensky A. Y. (2005): Regulatory T cell lineage specification by the forkhead transcription factor Foxp3. *Immunity*, **22**, 329–341.
 45. Fontenot J. D. and Rudensky A. Y. (2005): A well adapted regulatory contrivance: regulatory T cell development and the forkhead family transcription factor Foxp3. *Nat. Immunol.*, **6**, 331–337.
 46. Fritzsche B., Oberle N., Eberhardt N., Quick S., Haas J., Wildemann B., Krammer P. H. and Suri-Payer E. (2005): In contrast to effector T cells, CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ regulatory T cells are highly susceptible to CD95 ligand- but not to TCR-mediated cell death. *J. Immunol.*, **175**, 32–36.
 47. Gallegos A. M. and Bevan M. J. (2004): Central tolerance to tissue-specific antigens mediated by direct and indirect antigen presentation. *J. Exp. Med.*, **200**, 1039–1049.
 48. Gavin M. A., Clarke S. R., Negrou E., Gallegos A. and Rudensky A. (2002): Homeostasis and anergy of CD4(+)CD25(+) suppressor T cells *in vivo*. *Nat. Immunol.*, **3**, 33–41.
 49. Ge Q., Rao V. P., Cho B. K., Eisen H. N. and Chen J. (2001): Dependence of lymphopenia-induced T cell proliferation on the abundance of peptide/ MHC epitopes and strength of their interaction with T cell receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **98**, 1728–1733.
 50. Gershon R. K., Cohen P., Hencin R. and Lieberman S. A. (1972): Suppressor T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **108**, 586–590.
 51. Gershon R. K. and Kondo K. (1970): Cell interactions in the induction of tolerance: the role of thymic lymphocytes. *Immunology*, **18**, 723–737.
 52. Ghiringhelli F., Menard C., Terme M., Flament C., Taieb J., Chaput N., Puig P. E., Novault S., Escudier B., Vivier E., Lecesne A., Robert C., Blay J. Y., Bernard J., Caillaud-Zucman S., Freitas A., Tursz T., Wagner-Ballon O., Capron C., Vainchenker W., Martin F. and Zitvogel L. (2005): CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells inhibit natural killer cell functions in a transforming growth factor-beta-dependent manner. *J. Exp. Med.*, **202**, 1075–1085.
 53. Godfrey V. L., Wilkinson J. E. and Russell L. B. (1991): X-linked lymphoreticular disease in the scurfy (sf) mutant mouse. *Am. J. Pathol.*, **138**, 1379–1387.
 54. Gondek D. C., Lu L. F., Quezada S. A., Sakaguchi S. and Noelle R. J. (2005): Cutting edge: contact-mediated suppression by CD4+CD25+ regulatory cells involves a granzyme B-dependent, perforin-independent mechanism. *J. Immunol.*, **174**, 1783–1786.
 55. Grohmann U., Orabona C., Fallarino F., Vacca C., Calcinaro F., Falorni A., Candeloro P., Belladonna M. L., Bianchi R., Fioretti M. C. and Puccetti P. (2002): CTLA-4-Ig regulates tryptophan catabolism *in vivo*. *Nat. Immunol.*, **3**, 1097–1101.
 56. Guiducci C., Valzasina B., Dislich H. and Colombo M. P. (2005): CD40/CD40L interaction regulates CD4+CD25+ T reg homeostasis through dendritic cell-produced IL-2. *Eur. J. Immunol.*, **35**, 557–567.
 57. Harding F. A., McArthur J. G., Gross J. A., Raulet D. H. and Allison J. P. (1992): CD28-mediated signalling co-stimulates murine T cells and prevents induction of anergy in T-cell clones. *Nature*, **356**, 607–609.
 58. Hickman S. P., Yang J., Thomas R. M., Wells A. D. and Turka L. A. (2006): Defective activation of protein kinase C and Ras-ERK pathways limits IL-2 production and proliferation by CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **177**, 2186–2194.
 59. Hori S., Haury M., Lafaille J. J., Demengeot J. and Coutinho A. (2002): Peripheral expansion of thymus-derived regulatory cells in anti-myelin basic protein T cell receptor transgenic mice. *Eur. J. Immunol.*, **32**, 3729–3735.
 60. Hori S., Nomura T. and Sakaguchi S. (2003): Control of regulatory T cell development by the transcription factor Foxp3. *Science*, **299**, 1057–1061.
 61. Hori S., Takahashi T. and Sakaguchi S. (2003): Control of autoimmunity by naturally arising regulatory CD4+ T cells. *Adv. Immunol.*, **81**, 331–371.
 62. Hsieh C. S., Liang Y., Tzysnik A. J., Self S. G., Liggitt D. and Rudensky A. Y. (2004): Recognition of the peripheral self by naturally arising CD25+ CD4+ T cell receptors. *Immunity*, **21**, 267–277.
 63. Hsieh C. S., Zheng Y., Liang Y., Fontenot J. D. and Rudensky A. Y. (2006): An intersection between the self-reactive regulatory and nonregulatory T cell receptor repertoires. *Nat. Immunol.*, **7**, 401–410.
 64. Huan J., Culbertson N., Spencer L., Bartholomew R., Burrows G. G., Chou Y. K., Bourdette D., Ziegler S. F., Offner H. and Vandenberg A. A. (2005): Decreased FOXP3 levels in multiple sclerosis patients. *J. Neurosci. Res.*, **81**, 45–52.
 65. Huang C. T., Workman C. J., Flies D., Pan X., Marson A. L., Zhou G., Hipkiss E. L., Ravi S., Kowalski J., Levitsky H. I., Powell J. D., Pardoll D. M., Drake C. G. and Vignali D. A. (2004): Role of LAG-3 in regulatory T cells. *Immunity*, **21**, 503–513.
 66. Huber S., Schramm C., Lehr H. A., Mann A., Schmitt S., Becker C., Protschka M., Galle P. R., Neurath M. F. and Blessing M. (2004): Cutting edge: TGF-beta signaling is required for the *in vivo* expansion and immunosuppressive capacity of regulatory CD4+CD25+ T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **173**, 6526–6531.
 67. Iellem A., Mariani M., Lang R., Recalde H., Panina-Bordignon P., Sinigaglia F. and D'Ambrosio D. (2001): Unique chemotactic response profile and specific expression of chemokine receptors CCR4 and CCR8 by CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory T cells. *J. Exp. Med.*, **194**, 847–853.
 68. Itoh M., Takahashi T., Sakaguchi N., Kuniyasu Y., Shimizu J., Otsuka F. and Sakaguchi S. (1999): Thymus and

- autoimmunity: production of CD25+CD4+ naturally anergic and suppressive T cells as a key function of the thymus in maintaining immunologic self-tolerance. *J. Immunol.*, **162**, 5317–5326.
69. Jonuleit H., Schmitt E., Stassen M., Tuettenberg A., Knop J. and Enk A. H. (2001): Identification and functional characterization of human CD4(+)/CD25(+) T cells with regulatory properties isolated from peripheral blood. *J. Exp. Med.*, **193**, 1285–1294.
 70. Jordan M. S., Boesteanu A., Reed A. J., Petrone A. L., Hohenbeck A. E., Lerman M. A., Naji A. and Caton A. J. (2001): Thymic selection of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells induced by an agonist self-peptide. *Nat. Immunol.*, **2**, 301–306.
 71. Kajitani F., Sun S., Nomura T., Izumi K., Ueno T., Bando Y., Kuroda N., Han H., Li Y., Matsushima A., Takahama Y., Sakaguchi S., Mitani T. and Matsumoto M. (2004): NF- κ B-inducing kinase establishes self-tolerance in a thymic stroma-dependent manner. *J. Immunol.*, **172**, 2067–2075.
 72. Karandikar N. J., Vanderlugt C. L., Walunas T. L., Miller S. D. and Bluestone J. A. (1996): CTLA-4: a negative regulator of autoimmune disease. *J. Exp. Med.*, **184**, 783–788.
 73. Kashiwada M., Cattoretti G., McKeag L., Rouse T., Showalter B. M., Al-Alem U., Niki M., Pandolfi P. P., Field E. H. and Rothman P. B. (2006): Downstream of tyrosine kinases-1 and Src homology 2-containing inositol 5'-phosphatase are required for regulation of CD4+CD25+ T cell development. *J. Immunol.*, **176**, 3958–3965.
 74. Khattri R., Cox T., Yasayko S. A. and Ramsdell F. (2003): An essential role for Scurfin in CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells. *Nat. Immunol.*, **4**, 337–342.
 75. Kingsley C. I., Karim M., Bushell A. R. and Wood K. J. (2002): CD25+CD4+ regulatory T cells prevent graft rejection: CTLA-4- and IL-10-dependent immunoregulation of alloresponses. *J. Immunol.*, **168**, 1080–1086.
 76. Kishimoto H. and Sprent J. (1999): Several different cell surface molecules control negative selection of medullary thymocytes. *J. Exp. Med.*, **190**, 65–73.
 77. Klein L., Khzaie K. and von Boehmer H. (2003): *In vivo* dynamics of antigen-specific regulatory T cells not predicted from behavior *in vitro*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **100**, 8886–8891.
 78. Ko K., Yamazaki S., Nakamura K., Nishioka T., Hirota K., Yamaguchi T., Shimizu J., Nomura T., Chiba T. and Sakaguchi S. (2005): Treatment of advanced tumors with agonistic anti-GITR mAb and its effects on tumor-infiltrating Foxp3+CD25+CD4+ regulatory T cells. *J. Exp. Med.*, **202**, 885–891.
 79. Kojima A., Tanaka-Kojima Y., Sakakura T. and Nishizuka Y. (1976): Spontaneous development of autoimmune thyroiditis in neonatally thymectomized mice. *Lab. Invest.*, **34**, 550–557.
 80. Koonpaew S., Shen S., Flowers L. and Zhang W. (2006): LAT-mediated signaling in CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cell development. *J. Exp. Med.*, **203**, 119–129.
 81. Kuhn R., Lohler J., Rennick D., Rajewsky K. and Muller W. (1993): Interleukin-10-deficient mice develop chronic enterocolitis. *Cell*, **75**, 263–274.
 82. Kullberg M. C., Ward J. M., Gorelick P. L., Caspar P., Hiemy S., Cheever A., Jankovic D. and Sher A. (1998): *Helicobacter hepaticus* triggers colitis in specific-pathogen-free interleukin-10 (IL-10)-deficient mice through an IL-12- and gamma interferon-dependent mechanism. *Infect. Immun.*, **66**, 5157–5166.
 83. Kuniyasu Y., Takahashi T., Itoh M., Shimizu J., Toda G. and Sakaguchi S. (2000): Naturally anergic and suppressive CD25(+)/CD4(+) T cells as a functionally and phenotypically distinct immunoregulatory T cell subpopulation. *Int. Immunol.*, **12**, 1145–1155.
 84. Leach D. R., Krummel M. F. and Allison J. P. (1996): Enhancement of antitumor immunity by CTLA-4 blockade. *Science*, **271**, 1734–1736.
 85. Lee M. K., Moore D. J., Jarrett B. P., Lian M. M., Deng S., Huang X., Markmann J. W., Chiacchio M., Barker C. F., Caton A. J. and Markmann J. F. (2004): Promotion of allograft survival by CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells: evidence for *in vivo* inhibition of effector cell proliferation. *J. Immunol.*, **172**, 6539–6544.
 86. Lehmann J., Huehn J., de la Rosa M., Maszyra F., Kretschmer U., Krenn V., Brunner M., Scheffold A. and Hamann A. (2002): Expression of the integrin alpha Ebeta 7 identifies unique subsets of CD25+ as well as CD25- regulatory T cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **99**, 13031–13036.
 87. Levings M. K., Sangregorio R. and Roncarolo M. G. (2001): Human cd25(+)/cd4(+) t regulatory cells suppress naive and memory T cell proliferation and can be expanded *in vitro* without loss of function. *J. Exp. Med.*, **193**, 1295–1302.
 88. Li L., Godfrey W. R., Porter S. B., Ge Y., June C. H., Blazar B. R. and Boussiotis V. A. (2005): CD4+CD25+ regulatory T-cell lines from human cord blood have functional and molecular properties of T-cell anergy. *Blood*, **106**, 3068–3073.
 89. Lim H. W., Hillsamer P., Banham A. H. and Kim C. H. (2005): Cutting edge: direct suppression of B cells by CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **175**, 4180–4183.
 90. Lin X., O'Mahony A., Mu Y., Geleziunas R. and Greene W. C. (2000): Protein kinase C-theta participates in NF- κ B activation induced by CD3-CD28 costimulation through selective activation of IkappaB kinase beta. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **20**, 2933–2940.
 91. Liston A., Lesage S., Wilson J., Peltonen L. and Goodnow C. C. (2003): Aire regulates negative selection of organ-specific T cells. *Nat. Immunol.*, **4**, 350–354.
 92. Luhder F., Hoglund P., Allison J. P., Benoist C. and Mathis D. (1998): Cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) regulates the unfolding of autoimmune diabetes. *J. Exp. Med.*, **187**, 427–432.
 93. Malek T. R., Yu A., Vincek V., Scibelli P. and Kong L. (2002): CD4 regulatory T cells prevent lethal autoimmunity in IL-2Rbeta-deficient mice. Implications for the nonredundant function of IL-2. *Immunity*, **17**, 167–178.
 94. Mamura M., Lee W., Sullivan T. J., Felici A., Sowers A. L., Allison J. P. and Letterio J. J. (2004): CD28 disruption exacerbates inflammation in Tgf-beta1-/- mice: *in vivo* suppression by CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells independent of autocrine TGF-beta1. *Blood*, **103**, 4594–4601.
 95. Manicassamy S., Gupta S., Huang Z. and Sun Z. (2006): Protein kinase C-theta-mediated signals enhance CD4+ T cell survival by up-regulating Bcl-xL. *J. Immunol.*, **176**, 6709–6716.
 96. Manicassamy S. and Sun Z. (2007): The critical role of protein kinase C-theta in Fas/Fas ligand-mediated apoptosis. *J. Immunol.*, **178**, 312–319.
 97. Mantel P. Y., Ouaked N., Ruckert B., Karagiannidis C., Welz R., Blaser K. and Schmidt-Weber C. B. (2006): Molecular mechanisms underlying FOXP3 induction in human T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **176**, 3593–3602.
 98. Marie J. C., Letterio J. J., Gavin M. and Rudensky A. Y. (2005): TGF-beta1 maintains suppressor function and

- Foxp3 expression in CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *J. Exp. Med.*, **201**, 1061–1067.
99. Marski M., Kandula S., Turner J. R. and Abraham C. (2005): CD18 is required for optimal development and function of CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells. *J. Immunol.*, **175**, 7889–7897.
 100. McHugh R. S., Whitters M. J., Piccirillo C. A., Young D. A., Shevach E. M., Collins M. and Byrne M. C. (2002): CD4(+)CD25(+) immunoregulatory T cells: gene expression analysis reveals a functional role for the glucocorticoid-induced TNF receptor. *Immunity*, **16**, 311–323.
 101. Misra N., Bayry J., Lacroix-Desmazes S., Kazatchkine M. D. and Kaveri S. V. (2004): Cutting edge: human CD4+CD25+ T cells restrain the maturation and antigen-presenting function of dendritic cells. *J. Immunol.*, **172**, 4676–4680.
 102. Miura Y., Thoburn C. J., Bright E. C., Phelps M. L., Shin T., Matsui E. C., Matsui W. H., Arai S., Fuchs E. J., Vogelsang G. B., Jones R. J. and Hess A. D. (2004): Association of Foxp3 regulatory gene expression with graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*, **104**, 2187–2193.
 103. Morrissey P. J., Charrier K., Braddy S., Liggitt D. and Watson J. D. (1993): CD4+ T cells that express high levels of CD45RB induce wasting disease when transferred into congenic severe combined immunodeficient mice. Disease development is prevented by cotransfer of purified CD4+ T cells. *J. Exp. Med.*, **178**, 237–244.
 104. Mottet C., Uhlig H. H. and Powrie F. (2003): Cutting edge: cure of colitis by CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **170**, 3939–3943.
 105. Nakamura K., Kitani A., Fuss I., Pedersen A., Harada N., Nawata H. and Strober W. (2004): TGF- β 1 plays an important role in the mechanism of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cell activity in both humans and mice. *J. Immunol.*, **172**, 834–842.
 106. Nakamura K., Kitani A. and Strober W. (2001): Cell contact-dependent immunosuppression by CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory T cells is mediated by cell surface-bound transforming growth factor β . *J. Exp. Med.*, **194**, 629–644.
 107. Nishimura E., Sakihama T., Setoguchi R., Tanaka K. and Sakaguchi S. (2004): Induction of antigen-specific immunologic tolerance by *in vivo* and *in vitro* antigen-specific expansion of naturally arising Foxp3+CD25+CD4+ regulatory T cells. *Int. Immunol.*, **16**, 1189–1201.
 108. Ono M., Shimizu J., Miyachi Y. and Sakaguchi S. (2006): Control of autoimmune myocarditis and multiorgan inflammation by glucocorticoid-induced TNF receptor family-related protein(high), Foxp3-expressing CD25+ and CD25- regulatory T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **176**, 4748–4756.
 109. Ostroukhova M., Qi Z., Oriss T. B., Dixon-McCarthy B., Ray P. and Ray A. (2006): Treg-mediated immunosuppression involves activation of the Notch-HES1 axis by membrane-bound TGF- β . *J. Clin. Invest.*, **116**, 996–1004.
 110. Pacholczyk R., Ignatowicz H., Kraj P. and Ignatowicz L. (2006): Origin and T cell receptor diversity of Foxp3+CD4+CD25+ T cells. *Immunity*, **25**, 249–259.
 111. Pacholczyk R., Kern J., Singh N., Iwashima M., Kraj P. and Ignatowicz L. (2007): Nonself-antigens are the cognate specificities of Foxp3+ regulatory T cells. *Immunity*, **27**, 493–504.
 112. Papiernik M., de Moraes M. L., Pontoux C., Vasseur F. and Penit C. (1998): Regulatory CD4 T cells: expression of IL-2R α chain, resistance to clonal deletion and IL-2 dependency. *Int. Immunol.*, **10**, 371–378.
 113. Peng Y., Laouar Y., Li M. O., Green E. A. and Flavell R. A. (2004): TGF- β regulates *in vivo* expansion of Foxp3-expressing CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells responsible for protection against diabetes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **101**, 4572–4577.
 114. Perez V. L., Van Parijs L., Biuckians A., Zheng X. X., Strom T. B. and Abbas A. K. (1997): Induction of peripheral T cell tolerance *in vivo* requires CTLA-4 engagement. *Immunity*, **6**, 411–417.
 115. Perrin P. J., Maldonado J. H., Davis T. A., June C. H. and Racke M. K. (1996): CTLA-4 blockade enhances clinical disease and cytokine production during experimental allergic encephalomyelitis. *J. Immunol.*, **157**, 1333–1336.
 116. Pfeifhofer C., Kofler K., Gruber T., Tabrizi N. G., Lutz C., Maly K., Leitges M. and Baier G. (2003): Protein kinase C θ affects Ca²⁺ mobilization and NFAT cell activation in primary mouse T cells. *J. Exp. Med.*, **197**, 1525–1535.
 117. Piccirillo C. A., Letterio J. J., Thornton A. M., McHugh R. S., Mamura M., Mizuhara H. and Shevach E. M. (2002): CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory T cells can mediate suppressor function in the absence of transforming growth factor β 1 production and responsiveness. *J. Exp. Med.* **196**, 237–246.
 118. Piccirillo C. A. and Shevach E. M. (2001): Cutting edge: control of CD8+ T cell activation by CD4+CD25+ immunoregulatory cells. *J. Immunol.*, **167**, 1137–1140.
 119. Powell B. R., Buist N. R. and Stenzel P. (1982): An X-linked syndrome of diarrhea, polyendocrinopathy, and fatal infection in infancy. *J. Pediatr.*, **100**, 731–737.
 120. Powrie F., Leach M. W., Mauze S., Caddle L. B. and Coffman R. L. (1993): Phenotypically distinct subsets of CD4+ T cells induce or protect from chronic intestinal inflammation in C. B-17 scid mice. *Int. Immunol.*, **5**, 1461–1471.
 121. Powrie F. and Mason D. (1990): OX-22high CD4+ T cells induce wasting disease with multiple organ pathology: prevention by the OX-22low subset. *J. Exp. Med.*, **172**, 1701–1708.
 122. Punt J. A., Havran W., Abe R., Sarin A. and Singer A. (1997): T cell receptor (TCR)-induced death of immature CD4+CD8+ thymocytes by two distinct mechanisms differing in their requirement for CD28 costimulation: implications for negative selection in the thymus. *J. Exp. Med.*, **186**, 1911–1922.
 123. Read S., Malmstrom V. and Powrie F. (2000): Cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 plays an essential role in the function of CD25(+)CD4(+) regulatory cells that control intestinal inflammation. *J. Exp. Med.*, **192**, 295–302.
 124. Ribot J., Romagnoli P. and van Meerwijk J. P. (2006): Agonist ligands expressed by thymic epithelium enhance positive selection of regulatory T lymphocytes from precursors with a normally diverse TCR repertoire. *J. Immunol.*, **177**, 1101–1107.
 125. Sakaguchi S., Fukuma K., Kuribayashi K. and Masuda T. (1985): Organ-specific autoimmune diseases induced in mice by elimination of T cell subset. I. Evidence for the active participation of T cells in natural self-tolerance; deficit of a T cell subset as a possible cause of autoimmune disease. *J. Exp. Med.*, **161**, 72–87.
 126. Sakaguchi S., Sakaguchi N., Asano M., Itoh M. and Toda M. (1995): Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by

- activated T cells expressing IL-2 receptor alpha-chains (CD25). Breakdown of a single mechanism of self-tolerance causes various autoimmune diseases. *J. Immunol.*, **155**, 1151–1164.
127. Sakaguchi S., Sakaguchi N., Shimizu J., Yamazaki S., Sakihama T., Itoh M., Kuniyasu Y., Nomura T., Toda M. and Takahashi T. (2001): Immunologic tolerance maintained by CD25+ CD4+ regulatory T cells: their common role in controlling autoimmunity, tumor immunity, and transplantation tolerance. *Immunol. Rev.*, **182**, 18–32.
128. Salomon B., Lenschow D. J., Rhee L., Ashourian N., Singh B., Sharpe A. and Bluestone J. A. (2000): B7/CD28 costimulation is essential for the homeostasis of the CD4+CD25+ immunoregulatory T cells that control autoimmune diabetes. *Immunity*, **12**, 431–440.
129. Sarween N., Chodos A., Raykundalia C., Khan M., Abbas A. K. and Walker L. S. (2004): CD4+CD25+ cells controlling a pathogenic CD4 response inhibit cytokine differentiation, CXCR-3 expression, and tissue invasion. *J. Immunol.*, **173**, 2942–2951.
130. Schmidt-Suppran M., Tian J., Grant E. P., Pasparakis M., Maehr R., Ovaa H., Ploegh H. L., Coyle A. J. and Rajewsky K. (2004): Differential dependence of CD4+CD25+ regulatory and natural killer-like T cells on signals leading to NF-kappaB activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **101**, 4566–4571.
131. Schneider H., Valk E., da Rocha Dias S., Wei B. and Rudd C. E. (2005): CTLA-4 up-regulation of lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1 adhesion and clustering as an alternate basis for coreceptor function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **102**, 12861–12866.
132. Schorle H., Holtschke T., Hunig T., Schimpl A. and Horak I. (1991): Development and function of T cells in mice rendered interleukin-2 deficient by gene targeting. *Nature*, **352**, 621–624.
133. Seddon B. and Mason D. (1999): Peripheral autoantigen induces regulatory T cells that prevent autoimmunity. *J. Exp. Med.*, **189**, 877–882.
134. Seddon B. and Mason D. (1999): Regulatory T cells in the control of autoimmunity: the essential role of transforming growth factor beta and interleukin 4 in the prevention of autoimmune thyroiditis in rats by peripheral CD4(+)/CD45RC(-) cells and CD4(+)/CD8(-) thymocytes. *J. Exp. Med.*, **189**, 279–288.
135. Seddon B. and Zamoyska R. (2002): TCR and IL-7 receptor signals can operate independently or synergize to promote lymphopenia-induced expansion of naive T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **169**, 3752–3759.
136. Sellon R. K., Tonkonogy S., Schultz M., Dieleman L. A., Grenther W., Balish E., Rennick D. M. and Sartor R. B. (1998): Resident enteric bacteria are necessary for development of spontaneous colitis and immune system activation in interleukin-10-deficient mice. *Infect. Immun.*, **66**, 5224–5231.
137. Setoguchi R., Hori S., Takahashi T. and Sakaguchi S. (2005): Homeostatic maintenance of natural Foxp3(+) CD25(+) CD4(+) regulatory T cells by interleukin (IL)-2 and induction of autoimmune disease by IL-2 neutralization. *J. Exp. Med.*, **201**, 723–735.
138. Shen S., Ding Y., Tadokoro C. E., Olivares-Villagomez D., Camps-Ramirez M., Curotto de Lafaille M. A. and Lafaille J. J. (2005): Control of homeostatic proliferation by regulatory T cells. *J. Clin. Invest.*, **115**, 3517–3526.
139. Shimizu J., Yamazaki S., Takahashi T., Ishida Y. and Sakaguchi S. (2002): Stimulation of CD25(+)CD4(+) regulatory T cells through GTR breaks immunological self-tolerance. *Nat. Immunol.*, **3**, 135–142.
140. Soper D. M., Kasprovicz D. J. and Ziegler S. F. (2007): IL-2Rbeta links IL-2R signaling with Foxp3 expression. *Eur. J. Immunol.*, **37**, 1817–1826.
141. Stephens G. L. and Ignatowicz L. (2003): Decreasing the threshold for thymocyte activation biases CD4+ T cells toward a regulatory (CD4+CD25+) lineage. *Eur. J. Immunol.*, **33**, 1282–1291.
142. Sun Z., Arendt C. W., Ellmeier W., Schaeffer E. M., Sunshine M. J., Gandhi L., Annes J., Petrzilka D., Kupfer A., Schwartzberg P. L. and Littman D. R. (2000): PKC-theta is required for TCR-induced NF-kappaB activation in mature but not immature T lymphocytes. *Nature*, **404**, 402–407.
143. Suri-Payer E. and Cantor H. (2001): Differential cytokine requirements for regulation of autoimmune gastritis and colitis by CD4(+)/CD25(+) T cells. *J. Autoimmun.*, **16**, 115–123.
144. Suto A., Nakajima H., Ikeda K., Kubo S., Nakayama T., Taniguchi M., Saito Y. and Iwamoto I. (2002): CD4(+)/CD25(+) T-cell development is regulated by at least 2 distinct mechanisms. *Blood*, **99**, 555–560.
145. Suvas S., Kumaraguru U., Pack C. D., Lee S. and Rouse B. T. (2003): CD4+CD25+ T cells regulate virus-specific primary and memory CD8+ T cell responses. *J. Exp. Med.*, **198**, 889–901.
146. Szanya V., Ermann J., Taylor C., Holness C. and Fathman C. G. (2002): The subpopulation of CD4+CD25+ splenocytes that delays adoptive transfer of diabetes expresses L-selectin and high levels of CCR7. *J. Immunol.*, **169**, 2461–2465.
147. Tai X., Cowan M., Feigenbaum L. and Singer A. (2005): CD28 costimulation of developing thymocytes induces Foxp3 expression and regulatory T cell differentiation independently of interleukin 2. *Nat. Immunol.*, **6**, 152–162.
148. Takahashi T., Kuniyasu Y., Toda M., Sakaguchi N., Itoh M., Iwata M., Shimizu J. and Sakaguchi S. (1998): Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by CD25+CD4+ naturally anergic and suppressive T cells: induction of autoimmune disease by breaking their anergic/suppressive state. *Int. Immunol.*, **10**, 1969–1980.
149. Takahashi T., Tagami T., Yamazaki S., Uede T., Shimizu J., Sakaguchi N., Mak T. W. and Sakaguchi S. (2000): Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by CD25(+)CD4(+) regulatory T cells constitutively expressing cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4. *J. Exp. Med.*, **192**, 303–310.
150. Tang Q., Adams J. Y., Tooley A. J., Bi M., Fife B. T., Serra P., Santamaria P., Locksley R. M., Krummel M. F. and Bluestone J. A. (2006): Visualizing regulatory T cell control of autoimmune responses in nonobese diabetic mice. *Nat. Immunol.*, **7**, 83–92.
151. Tang Q., Boden E. K., Henriksen K. J., Bour-Jordan H., Bi M. and Bluestone J. A. (2004): Distinct roles of CTLA-4 and TGF-beta in CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cell function. *Eur. J. Immunol.*, **34**, 2996–3005.
152. Tang Q., Henriksen K. J., Boden E. K., Tooley A. J., Ye J., Subudhi S. K., Zheng X. X., Strom T. B. and Bluestone J. A. (2003): Cutting edge: CD28 controls peripheral homeostasis of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **171**, 3348–3352.
153. Taylor S. R., Alexander D. R., Cooper J. C., Higgins C. F. and Elliott J. I. (2007): Regulatory T cells are resistant to

- apoptosis via TCR but not P2X7. *J. Immunol.*, **178**, 3474–3482.
154. Thornton A. M., Donovan E. E., Piccirillo C. A. and Shevach E. M. (2004): Cutting edge: IL-2 is critically required for the *in vitro* activation of CD4+CD25+ T cell suppressor function. *J. Immunol.*, **172**, 6519–6523.
 155. Thornton A. M., Piccirillo C. A. and Shevach E. M. (2004): Activation requirements for the induction of CD4+CD25+ T cell suppressor function. *Eur. J. Immunol.*, **34**, 366–376.
 156. Thornton A. M. and Shevach E. M. (1998): CD4+CD25+ immunoregulatory T cells suppress polyclonal T cell activation *in vitro* by inhibiting interleukin 2 production. *J. Exp. Med.*, **188**, 287–296.
 157. Thornton A. M. and Shevach E. M. (2000): Suppressor effector function of CD4+CD25+ immunoregulatory T cells is antigen nonspecific. *J. Immunol.*, **164**, 183–190.
 158. Tivol E. A., Borriello F., Schweitzer A. N., Lynch W. P., Bluestone J. A. and Sharpe A. H. (1995): Loss of CTLA-4 leads to massive lymphoproliferation and fatal multiorgan tissue destruction, revealing a critical negative regulatory role of CTLA-4. *Immunity*, **3**, 541–547.
 159. Tung K. S., Smith S., Teuscher C., Cook C. and Anderson R. E. (1987): Murine autoimmune oophoritis, epididymo-orchitis, and gastritis induced by day 3 thymectomy. *Am. J. Pathol.*, **126**, 293–302.
 160. Uhlig H. H., Coombes J., Mottet C., Izcue A., Thompson C., Fanger A., Tannapfel A., Fontenot J. D., Ramsdell F. and Powrie F. (2006): Characterization of Foxp3+CD4+CD25+ and IL-10-secreting CD4+CD25+ T cells during cure of colitis. *J. Immunol.*, **177**, 5852–5860.
 161. Uraushihara K., Kanai T., Ko K., Totsuka T., Makita S., Iiyama R., Nakamura T. and Watanabe M. (2003): Regulation of murine inflammatory bowel disease by CD25+ and CD25- CD4+ glucocorticoid-induced TNF receptor family-related gene+ regulatory T cells. *J. Immunol.*, **171**, 708–716.
 162. Van Parijs L., Refaeli Y., Lord J. D., Nelson B. H., Abbas A. K. and Baltimore D. (1999): Uncoupling IL-2 signals that regulate T cell proliferation, survival, and Fas-mediated activation-induced cell death. *Immunity*, **11**, 281–288.
 163. van Santen H. M., Benoist C. and Mathis D. (2004): Number of T reg cells that differentiate does not increase upon encounter of agonist ligand on thymic epithelial cells. *J. Exp. Med.*, **200**, 1221–1230.
 164. Viglietta V., Baecher-Allan C., Weiner H. L. and Hafler D. A. (2004): Loss of functional suppression by CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in patients with multiple sclerosis. *J. Exp. Med.*, **199**, 971–979.
 165. Viret C., Barlow A. K. and Janeway C. A. Jr. (1999): On the intrathymic intercellular transfer of self-determinants. *Immunol. Today*, **20**, 8–10.
 166. Visan I., Yuan J. S., Tan J. B., Cretigny K. and Guidos C. J. (2006): Regulation of intrathymic T-cell development by Lunatic Fringe- Notch1 interactions. *Immunol. Rev.*, **209**, 76–94.
 167. Walker L. S., Chodos A., Eggena M., Dooms H. and Abbas A. K. (2003): Antigen-dependent proliferation of CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T cells *in vivo*. *J. Exp. Med.*, **198**, 249–258.
 168. Walker M. R., Kaspirowicz D. J., Gersuk V. H., Benard A., Van Landeghen M., Buckner J. H. and Ziegler S. F. (2003): Induction of FoxP3 and acquisition of T regulatory activity by stimulated human CD4+CD25- T cells. *J. Clin. Invest.*, **112**, 1437–1443.
 169. Wan Y. Y. and Flavell R. A. (2005): Identifying Foxp3-expressing suppressor T cells with a bicistronic reporter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **102**, 5126–5131.
 170. Wan Y. Y. and Flavell R. A. (2007): Regulatory T-cell functions are subverted and converted owing to attenuated Foxp3 expression. *Nature*, **445**, 766–770.
 171. Watanabe N., Wang Y. H., Lee H. K., Ito T., Cao W. and Liu Y. J. (2005): Hassall's corpuscles instruct dendritic cells to induce CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in human thymus. *Nature*, **436**, 1181–1185.
 172. Waterhouse P., Penninger J. M., Timms E., Wakeham A., Shahinian A., Lee K. P., Thompson C. B., Griesser H. and Mak T. W. (1995): Lymphoproliferative disorders with early lethality in mice deficient in Ctl4. *Science*, **270**, 985–988.
 173. Willerford D. M., Chen J., Ferry J. A., Davidson L., Ma A. and Alt F. W. (1995): Interleukin-2 receptor alpha chain regulates the size and content of the peripheral lymphoid compartment. *Immunity*, **3**, 521–530.
 174. Wu Y., Borde M., Heissmeyer V., Feuerer M., Lapan A. D., Stroud J. C., Bates D. L., Guo L., Han A., Ziegler S. F., Mathis D., Benoist C., Chen L. and Rao A. (2006): FOXP3 controls regulatory T cell function through cooperation with NFAT. *Cell*, **126**, 375–387.
 175. Yagi H., Nomura T., Nakamura K., Yamazaki S., Kitawaki T., Hori S., Maeda M., Onodera M., Uchiyama T., Fujii S. and Sakaguchi S. (2004): Crucial role of FOXP3 in the development and function of human CD25+CD4+ regulatory T cells. *Int. Immunol.*, **16**, 1643–1656.
 176. Yamagiwa S., Gray J. D., Hashimoto S. and Horwitz D. A. (2001): A role for TGF-beta in the generation and expansion of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells from human peripheral blood. *J. Immunol.*, **166**, 7282–7289.
 177. Yamazaki S., Iyoda T., Tarbell K., Olson K., Velinzon K., Inaba K. and Steinman R. M. (2003): Direct expansion of functional CD25+ CD4+ regulatory T cells by antigen-processing dendritic cells. *J. Exp. Med.*, **198**, 235–247.
 178. Yao Z., Kanno Y., Kerenyi M., Stephens G., Durant L., Watford W. T., Laurence A., Robinson G. W., Shevach E. M., Moriggl R., Hennighausen L., Wu C. and O'Shea J. J. (2007): Nonredundant roles for Stat5a/b in directly regulating Foxp3. *Blood*, **109**, 4368–4375.
 179. Zhang H., Chua K. S., Guimond M., Kapoor V., Brown M. V., Fleisher T. A., Long L. M., Bernstein D., Hill B. J., Douek D. C., Berzofsky J. A., Carter C. S., Read E. J., Helman L. J. and Mackall C. L. (2005): Lymphopenia and interleukin-2 therapy alter homeostasis of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *Nat. Med.*, **11**, 1238–1243.
 180. Zhang W., Sommers C. L., Burshtyn D. N., Stebbins C. C., DeJarnette J. B., Triple R. P., Grinberg A., Tsay H. C., Jacobs H. M., Kessler C. M., Long E. O., Love P. E. and Samelson L. E. (1999): Essential role of LAT in T cell development. *Immunity*, **10**, 323–332.
 181. Zhao D. M., Thornton A. M., DiPaolo R. J. and Shevach E. M. (2006): Activated CD4+CD25+ T cells selectively kill B lymphocytes. *Blood*, **107**, 3925–3932.