

© Springer-Verlag New York Inc. 1998

# Heat Shock Protein 70 Family: Multiple Sequence Comparisons, Function, and Evolution

### Samuel Karlin, Luciano Brocchieri

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-2125, USA

Received: 29 January 1998 / Accepted: 14 May 1998

Abstract. The heat shock protein 70 kDa sequences (HSP70) are of great importance as molecular chaperones in protein folding and transport. They are abundant under conditions of cellular stress. They are highly conserved in all domains of life: Archaea, eubacteria, eukaryotes, and organelles (mitochondria, chloroplasts). A multiple alignment of a large collection of these sequences was obtained employing our symmetric-iterative ITERALIGN program (Brocchieri and Karlin 1998). Assessments of conservation are interpreted in evolutionary terms and with respect to functional implications. Many archaeal sequences (methanogens and halophiles) tend to align best with the Gram-positive sequences. These two groups also miss a signature segment [about 25 amino acids (aa) long] present in all other HSP70 species (Gupta and Golding 1993). We observed a second signature sequence of about 4 aa absent from all eukaryotic homologues, significantly aligned in all prokaryotic sequences. Consensus sequences were developed for eight groups [Archaea, Gram-positive, proteobacterial Gramnegative, singular bacteria, mitochondria, plastids, eukaryotic endoplasmic reticulum (ER) isoforms, eukaryotic cytoplasmic isoforms]. All group consensus comparisons tend to summarize better the alignments than do the individual sequence comparisons. The global individual consensus "matches" 87% with the consensus of consensuses sequence. A functional analysis of the global consensus identifies a (new) highly significant mixed charge cluster proximal to the carboxyl terminus of the sequence highlighting the hypercharge run EED-KKRRER (one-letter aa code used). The individual Archaea and Gram-positive sequences contain a corresponding significant mixed charge cluster in the location of the charge cluster of the consensus sequence. In contrast, the four Gram-negative proteobacterial sequences of the alignment do not have a charge cluster (even at the 5% significance level). All eukaryotic HSP70 sequences have the analogous charge cluster. Strikingly, several of the eukaryotic isoforms show multiple mixed charged clusters. These clusters were interpreted with supporting data related to HSP70 activity in facilitating chaperone, transport, and secretion function. We observed that the consensus contains only a single tryptophan residue and a single conserved cysteine. This is interpreted with respect to the target rule for disaggregating misfolded proteins. The mitochondrial HSP70 connections to bacterial HSP70 are analyzed, suggesting a polyphyletic split of Trypanosoma and Leishmania protist mitochondrial (Mt) homologues separated from Mt-animal/fungal/plant homologues. Moreover, the HSP70 sequences from the amitochondrial Entamoeba histolytica and Trichomonas vaginalis species were analyzed. The E. histolytica HSP70 is most similar to the higher eukaryotic cytoplasmic sequences, with significantly weaker alignments to ER sequences and much diminished matching to all eubacterial, mitochondrial, and chloroplast sequences. This appears to be at variance with the hypothesis that E. histolytica rather recently lost its mitochondrial organelle. T. vaginalis contains two HSP70 sequences, one Mt-like and the second similar to eukaryotic cytoplasmic sequences suggesting two diverse origins.

Key words: Chaperonine — 70-kD heat shock protein — Archaea — Eubacteria — Eukaryotes — Evolution — SSPA — Multiple alignment

#### Introduction

The heat shock protein 70 family (HSP70) is broadly and highly conserved across prokaryotes and eukaryotes. These proteins function for facilitating the assembly of multimeric protein complexes and as molecular chaperons for facilitating intracellular folding of proteins, for secretion and transport. They are activated rapidly for protecting the cell from environmental stress (Gething and Sambrook, 1992; Gupta and Golding, 1993; Boorestein et al. 1994; Gupta et al. 1997). Under normal cell conditions they constitute important and abundant proteins. In most eukaryotes from yeast to human there are at least three forms, with cellular location in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) lumen, in the cytoplasm, and in mitochondrial and chloroplast organelles. We use the notation ER-euk and CYT-euk for the HSP70 sequences of the eukaryotic ER and cytoplasmic localizations, respectively. Several prokaryotic genomes contain multiple HSP70 sequences (see below).

This paper analyzes a large collection of HSP70 sequences among prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Our analysis centers on two protocols: SSPA (significant segment pair alignment) comparisons and development of a multiple alignment for all sequences. The SSPA method produces an assessment of the similarity for each pair of sequences (for formal details see Karlin et al. 1995; Brocchieri and Karlin 1998). The SSPA determinations distinguish consistent groups. Criteria for group ascertainments are twofold: (i) within-group SSPA scores generally exceed SSPA scores with sequences not in the group and (ii) SSPA scores with other groups or singular sequences are reasonably congruent for all members of the group. Applying our new method of multiple sequence alignment (Brocchieri and Karlin, 1998), ITERALIGN, we will establish a global alignment and separate alignments for subfamilies of the HSP70s: from archaeal sources, Gram-positive homologues, Gram-negative proteobacterial sequences, singular bacterial types, mitochondrial group, chloroplast functioning, and the two groups of eukaryotic isoforms ER-euk and CYT-euk separately. The consensus of these consensuses compares excellently (more than 86% identity) with the global consensus of the HSP70 individual sequences (see below).

#### SSPA Assessments Among HSP70 Sequences

Pairwise SSPA scores (see Karlin et al. 1995; Brocchieri and Karlin 1998) were determined for more than 50 sequences. Following our protocol, a single representative sequence was retained from sets of sequences registering SSPA mutual scores exceeding 80%. By this criterion the HSP70 family was reduced to 40 sequences. Among prokaryotes the listing in Fig. 1 encompasses five archaeal sequences, six Gram-positive [Gram (+)] and one *Myco*- *plasma*; four Gram-negative proteobacterial sequences [Gram (–)]; a singular group consisting of two diverse *Synechococcus*, strains 1 and 2; and three other nonclassical individual eubacterial sequences. Among eukaryotes we have six mitochondria (Mt), one Mt-like sequence from the amitochondriate *Trichomonas vaginalis*, and two plastids (Pl), three ER-euk, and seven CYT-euk sequences. The protein sizes are reasonably constant ranging between 595 and 690 residues (two anomalous cases, lengths 706 and 749). No eocyte (*Sulfolobus*-like) HSP70 archaeal sequence has been detected to date.

Archaeal SSPA Comparisons. The SSPA scores among the archaeal sequences omitting the two halophiles are 56-65 (see Fig. 1). The two methanogen sequences score 61 and the two halobacteria mutually score 76 but, compared with the other Archaea, 49-58. The notation Archaea/Gram(+) and values refers to all the pairwise SSPA scores of archaeal sequences versus Gram(+) sequences and similarly for other group pairings. METMA (see legend to Fig. 1) aligns best with Gram(+) sequences, producing SSPA scores in the range 61-66 (exception, 70 with Clostridium) >> (non-Methano Archaea)/Gram(+) 51-57. Comparisons of Archaea to the mycoplasma MYCGE yield SSPA values lowered to 46-52. Pairwise alignments to Gram(-) sequences give METMA/Gram(-) 55-64 >> (non-Methano Archaea)/Gram(-) 49-53 [differences for each individual Gram(-) sequence are 5-12 points]. The SSPA scores of Archaea with mitochondrial and chloroplast sequences are again significantly higher for the methanobacteria than for other Archaea.

SSPA Values Among Eubacterial Sequences. The Gram(+) separate a high G+C group  $G^+ = (M. leprae, S. coelicolor)$  having mutual SSPA score 73. The low G+C Gram(+) constitute three groups:  $G_1 = \{B. subtilis, L. lactis\}, G_2 = \{Clostridium acetobutylicum\}, and G_3 = \{Erysipelothrix rhusiopathie\}$  with respect to SSPA values.

The Gram(–) divide into  $G_1^- = \{\text{ECOLI}, \text{PSECE}\}$ usually associated with  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria and  $G_2^- = \{\text{RHIME}, \text{CAUCR}\}$  associated with  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria. The SSPA value within  $G_1^-$  is 73 and that within  $G_2^-$  is 76. Between-group alignment scores yield  $G_1^-/G_2^-$  67– 69, consistent with their distinct proteobacterial designations.

Among all the classical eubacteria we have Gram(-)/Gram(+) 52–64 (mostly 57–64), with the highest scores achieved for *B. subtilis* and *Clostridium* aligned to  $G_{-2}^-$ .

SSPA Values for Mitochondrial (Mt) and Plastid (Pl) HSP70 Sequences Compared with Eubacterial Sequences. The six mitochondrial HSP70 sequences divide into the two groups  $M_1$  = (animal, insect, yeast, and

				l						PF	ROK	CAR	YC	ντe	S																		E	UK	AR	YO	res		
					Ar	cha	ea							E	ubad	cteri	ia									0	rgan	elle	\$										
				Ī						C	iran	n(+)	)		(	Grai	m(-)	)	į	Sin	gula	ar				Mt				F	ข.	E	R			Cy	уt		
				L NETMA	HLIEM 2	THEAC     ■	ALMA	4 HALCU	O ANCLE	r sirco +	G BACSE BACSE	G IACLA	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		( ITIO2E 13	Ji ESECE IA	G JULHE 15	CAUCK 16	NENOR 17	G CHLFR	INAS 19	ZNAS 20	DHEAD 21	75 YEAST-Mt	₩-90030 23	TM-BSNOW 24	PEA-Mt 5 78YCR-Mt	HA-HALEL	28 TRIVA-MC	1e-04804 2	Б <b>ЕА</b> -Р]	E YEAST-ER	55 EUMAN-ER	CIALA	W120	95 PLAFA	ENTE:	A PALEE 39	NAMUH 40
	Archaea		1. METMA 2. METTH 3. THEAC 4. HALMA 5. HALCU	-	61 -	56 65	58 53 50 -	55 55 49 76	61 55 51 54 55	62 57 53 56 54	66 6 60 5 53 5 55 5 54 5	47 625 45 35	0 6: 1 5 7 5 5 5 3 5	3 52 51 46 48 48 47	59 56 52 51 50	55 52 50 50 <b>49</b>	64 57 52 55 53	60 58 53 52 51	61 58 53 51 51	58 55 54 49 49	56 51 50 49 48	64 59 57 53 52	54 55 50 53 50	53 53 50 49 49	56 54 50 49 47	57 5 56 5 50 5 50 5 49 5	7 51 7 51 2 49 0 48 0 44	50 47 46 47 46	53 52 47 49 548	60 55 56 50 51	57 57 54 48 48	51 46 45 44 44	49 44 46 42 48 41 46 41 42 39	45 42 41 41 40	44 40 41 41 40	48 4 42 4 41 4 41 4 41 4	17 40 12 41 12 40 12 40 11 40 41 <u>3</u>	6 49 1 44 0 47 0 43 9 41	48 45 44 43 43
RYOTES		$(\stackrel{G^+}{\underset{G_1}{\overset{G_2}{\overset{G_2}{\overset{G_3}}{\overset{G_3}}{\overset{G_3}}{\overset{G_3}}{\overset{G_3}}{\overset{G_3}}{\overset{G_3}}{\overset{G_3}}{\overset{G_3}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$	6. MYCLE 7. STRCO 8. BACSU 9. LACLA 10. CLOAC 11. ERYRH							73	60 6 6 <u>2 6</u> - 7	0 6	0 5 2 5 8 70 6 60	7 51 7 53 7 56 3 56 3 56 4 54 - 60	56 59 57 62 57	55 58 54 57 57 52	59 59 64 62 63 57	57 <u>57</u> 62 <u>59</u> 63 61	59 59 61 60 65 59	56 57 59 58 59 59 55	52 53 53 52 54 51	61 62 63 61 66 59	56 55 54 53 55 54	50 52 57 54 56 53	53 53 54 53 55 52	53 5 54 5 56 5 53 5 56 5 54 5	5 48 6 49 6 54 8 49 7 51 6 49	48 48 48 49 49 47 49 49	53 53 53 51 51 51	54 58 59 56 57 57	56 61 57 57 61 57	46 47 49 49 50 51.	47 41 47 41 48 42 50 42 49 49 49 43	42 42 43 44 44 44	44 43 44 43 45 47	45 4 46 4 45 4 45 4 45 4 48 4	15 42 17 42 15 41 12 43 17 41 48 4	4 46 2 48 7 48 3 45 6 51 8 51	46 45 48 48 44 49 51
PROKAI	Eubacteria	(-) Gi Gi Gi Gi	12. MYCGE 13. ECOLI 14. PSECE 15. RHIME 16. CAUCR												-	51 73 -	51 68 69 -	51 67 68 76 -	52 62 61 58	52 58 56 58 59	49 50 48 51 50	55 61 59 60 59	51 55 50 57 54	46 58 <u>57</u> 64 59	47 61 58 64 62	49 5 60 6 59 5 65 6 63 6	0 47 1 54 9 53 6 58 5 56	45 53 52 55 54	42 56 55 61 60	51 57 53 57 56	49 52 52 53 53	47 47 46 49 47	44 40 48 44 47 42 50 49 48 44	42 45 41 46 45	42 44 42 47 47	44 4 45 4 44 4 47 4 44 4	13 4 17 4 13 4 13 4 17 4 17 4	3 45 4 48 2 48 5 50 4 48	46 46 45 49 48
		Singular	17. BORBU 18. CHLTR 19. SYN1 20. SYN2 21. THEAO																-	61	55 50 -	64 59 62 -	56 58 59 60 -	58 55 47 53 53	58 53 45 59 <u>50</u>	61 6 56 5 45 4 55 5 5 <u>3 5</u>	2 54 8 50 7 44 8 55 4 49	52 48 44 550 49	55 53 53 49 52 52 48	61 57 63 73 57	58 54 53 73 59	48 42 42 47 47	51 44 45 41 43 39 48 44 46 44	45 41 39 46 45	45 43 39 45 44	46 4 43 4 37 4 48 4 46 4	19 40 12 42 10 41 16 41 45 41	6 49 2 44 1 43 6 49 5 48	49 44 42 49 49 47
	Organelles	Wt	22. YEAST-Mt 23. DROME-Mt 24. MOUSE-Mt 25. PEA-Mt 26. TRYCR-Mt 27. LEIMA-Mt									<u> </u>												-	-	66 5 75 6 - 6	9 59 0 56 1 57 - 58	54 56 58 58 51 51	60 60 61 63 63 57 55	53 53 54 56 49 47	50 48 47 51 45 45	48 44 46 <u>44</u> 44 44	49 42 46 42 48 44 46 42 45 39 44 40	43 42 42 41 39 40	45 42 43 43 42 42 42 41	46 4 43 4 44 4 45 4 43 4 42 4	17 4: 14 44 16 4: <u>13 4(</u> 13 4: 13 4: 43 4:	5 48 4 48 5 49 4 48 1 45 2 44 5 47	48 47 48 48 48 43 43 43
	Ŭ	ц.	28. TRIVA-MI 29. PORPU-PI 30. PEA-P1																										-	-	70	42 47 42	<u>49 44</u> 49 44 45 42	41	41 42 40	40 47 4	<u>13 4</u> 14 4 41 4	5 47 5 50 3 48	47
TES		H	31. YEAST-EF 32. HUMAN-EF 33. GIALA-EF	2 2																												-	67 57 - 56	51 58 53	56 58 52	58 ( 60 ( 52 )	50 58 61 63 55 5	8 64 2 65 4 57	63 64 57
EUKARYO		Cyt	<ol> <li>GIALA</li> <li>TRIVA</li> <li>TRIVA</li> <li>PLAFA</li> <li>ENTHI</li> <li>MAIZE</li> <li>YEAST</li> <li>HUMAN</li> </ol>																															-	57	61 ( 65 ( - (	51 6: 55 6: 69 7: - 7:	3 65 5 67 0 74 4 77 - 73 -	66 75 75 75 77 77 77

Fig. 1. Pairwise SSPA similarities of 40 HSP70 sequences. This set includes five archaeal sequences (the sequence code used throughout the text follows) including two methanobacteria, Methanosarcina mazei (1, METMA) and Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum (2, METTH); one thermophile Thermoplasma acidophilum (3, THEAC); and two halophiles, Halobacterium marismortui (4, HALMA) and Halobacterium cutirubrum (5, HALCU); two high G+C Gram-positive, Mycobacterium leprae (6, MYCLE) and Streptomyces coelicolor (7, STRCO); four low C+G Gram-positive, Bacillus subtilis (8, BACSU), Lactococcus lactis (9, LACLA), Clostridium acetobutylicum (10, CLOAC), Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae (11, ERYRH), and separately, Mycoplasma genitalium (12, MYCGE); four Gram-negative proteobacterial sequences, E. coli (13, ECOLI), Pseudomonas cepacia (14, PSECE), Rhizobium meliloti (15, RHIME), Caulobacter crescentus (16, CAUCR); five individual bacterial sequences including Borrelia burgdorferi (17, BORBU), Chlamydia trachomatis (18, CHLTR),

plant Mt) and  $M_2 =$  (protist Mt: TRYBR, LEIMA). The sequence from the amitochondriate *Trichomonas vaginalis* (TRIVA-Mt) is more similar to  $M_1$  sequences (60– 63) than to  $M_2$  sequences (55, 57). Comparisons to the Gram(–) and Gram(+) sequences entail SSPA values Mt/ Gram(–) 50–66. The highest Mt/bacterial scores are achieved with the Gram(–)  $\alpha$ -proteobacterial types at the level 62–66 (one 59) for  $M_1$  and 52–58 for  $M_2$ . An Mt-like sequence from the amitochondriate protist *Varimorpha necatrix* (Microsporidia) also shows the highest similarity to  $M_1$  and  $\alpha$ -proteobacterial sequences but two Synechococcus, strains 1 (19, SYN1) and 2 (20, SYN2), and Thermus aquaticus (21, THEAQ). Among the eukaryotes we have six mitochondrial (Mt) sequences, Saccharomyces cerevisiae (22, YEAST-Mt), Drosophila melanogaster (23, DROME-Mt), 24, MOUSE-Mt, 25, PEA-Mt, and the two Protists, Trypanosoma cruzi (26, TRYCR-Mt) and Leishmania major (27, LEIMA-Mt) and one mitochondrial-like sequence from Trichomonas vaginalis (28, TRIVA-Mt); two chloroplast (Pl) sequences, Porphyra purpurea (29, PORPU-Pl) and 30, PEA-Pl; three eukaryotic sequences from the endoplasmic reticulum (EReuk), S. cerevisae (31, YEAST-ER), 32, HUMAN-ER, and Giardia lamblia (33, GIALA-ER); and seven sequences of cytoplasmic localization (CYT-euk) from Giardia lamblia (34, GIALA), Plasmodium falciparum (35, PLAFA), Entamoeba histolytica (36, ENTHI), Trichomonas vaginalis (37, TRIVA), 38, MAIZE, 39, YEAST, and 40, HU-MAN.

with reduced SSPA scores, 51–52 (data not shown). The two Pl score high, with a SSPA value of 70, but lower than the alignment scores to SYN2 (score 73), consistent with the Pl endosymbiont hypothesis. Both the Mt and the Pl sequences compared with all eukaryotic sequences yield SSPA values in the relatively low range, 39–50.

SSPA Scores Between ER-euk and CYT-euk HSP70 Sequences. Three HSP70 sequences (yeast, Giardia, and human) have a subcellular localization to the ER. The SSPA score is relatively high at 67 for yeast compared to

m			
8 By TPEELSAWVLOKHKETAESYJJGEKVITNAVITYPAYFNO SOROATKDAGKIAGINVJAIJAEPTAAAIJAYGL-DK	ET THE CALL AND	1 BMTLIFFAKEBELFADLIER-TLEPVERALORAGLEKEDIDEVILVGESETRAR 1 VQQLVVDEF 0	<ul> <li>DIULTRAGRIGHTURLING TURGENALDAGLIPHOLDUM TURGENAME</li> <li>DIULTRAGRIGHTURT WARDEL PROLUCTINGUE TURGENAME</li></ul>
¥93	885 98 98 98 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	и 10 11	
7 VEV 2		1 G-PK	42 3 70 4
с С	ພະຈະຫຍຸດສະຈະຈະດາດດານ ຈະຈະຄາດດາດດາດສະຈະສອດສະຫາຊາດ ດ້ວຍຕະມອບອີດເຫັດທີ່ສະຫະດາດດາດດາດສະຈະສອດສະຫາຊາດ ທີ່ຈະຫຍຸດສະຫະລະຫຍຸດຄາດ ຈະຈະສະຫະລະຫຍຸດສະຫຼຸດ	4-9-V	
-VK	・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	12 12-11	
9 ЭНИРҮКИ	DI 1994 DI	NI I	
VQKDMK	A CONTRACT AND A CONT	r-gris	
R		NKIELS:	MUTEL: MU
5 C RRFI	REPART RE	Nama	DAARKA DA
OFIC		ALQRL3	WILCHLE WILCHL
ITESV		QR	
ATNPEN		GIDLSK	
PAKROA	NATCO PARTICIC PARTIC	0 N	
ERLVGD		VEEFOR	
а г.		IKGAIN	NUTRING AND
3 SVVAE	A Constant of the second of th	10 GEDEDA	
I RTN		GDTHLC	
NAEG		aliyaa.	A Construction of the second s
SUPKV12	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	eb-GVI	
2 AVMEGG	A A MANUSCRAPHIC AND A CONTRACT		
STINSC/		IISMOL	
IGIDIC		popular 1	beschildense in the second sec
4-4 0 K-4		WIIIX-	ACTURY AC
ს	<pre># # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #</pre>	٥	21122222222222222222222222222222222222
	C F S V + S		CYT S S + - A
. SNO		. SNNC	
ö	ーッッキャッチフラッツははいかいかいののののののののののののののののののののののののない。 リットキャットのういはいいたいかいのののののののののののののののののののののののののののののののの	៥	中にあるないないないないないないないないないないにいいいのもくもうとしょうはいののないないないないないないないないないないないないないないないないないない



Fig. 2. Multiple alignment of 40 HSP70 proteins from prokaryotic and eukaryotic sources. Blocks of alignment are *numbered* and aligned residues shown in *uppercase letters*. Positions completely conserved are indicated by *1*. Positions of conservation index  $CI \ge 0.4$  are indicated by an *asterisk*. Positions of negative CI are indicated by a *hyphen*.

human, but much diminished for either with respect to the primitive *Giardia* sequence, 56, 57. Comparing the ER sequences to the eubacterial, chloroplast, and mitochondrial sets gives values at the reduced levels, 41–51.

			21		22	23	24	25	26	22		
CONS.			G-1.SEEEJERMVYDAEKHAEEDXXRRRVEARNO	AESLV-Y-QTEKTL-	-K-E 2 DKI-DAE	0 DKE 1	I EDAVEELK-ENL-E-NND	5 AX-TE-EL-Q-K	1 AVO	0 EIGDIND	W-W-0	29
I METMA I		480	G LSODELERMVKOAEMHAEDAKRIGEVELMNN	AEALI N AAEKTI	X E ag D L ATE	DQK sk	VNAAIEDLK KAL E GKD	SANT EALQE	SVY	PISTANY Q	X A QQ 582	57
HILIM 2		488	K LSEELINGKIEZAKKHAEDRAKQEETEIRUN	ADSMI Y TAEKTL	D E lg DKV PAE	KKE e	VENQVRELR ELI A GDD	SSKT EELTK	ŝ	EIGVS Y I	P A GS 589	ŝ
3 THEAC	<	483	K LSKEELERWOOGAEQYAEQDRKAKBQIELLMN	MESLA Y SVEKSL	X 3 DKV DKE	TKE	LINEVIDLR XAL E EXH	STADUTING KISK	ÕIO	EVGMONY Q	Q A SA 585	2
4 BALMA		64	G LSDOQIEENQOEAEQHAEDEQRUDGIEARNE	AEASV R RAETLL	D E ne EEI DED	1 050	IEAKIEDWE EVL E DED	7 AV TETLSE	ELO	EIGKOWY 0	dQ A QQ 584	51
5 BALCU J		439	G LSODELDENQEDAEAHALEDEQRRRLEARNE	ALTGI Q RAESIL	E E ne ELV DED	1 EAD	VNDALDOWG AVL D EDE	7 TAT EELSD	911	EIGKONY O	0 0 00 583	46
6 MCLE 7		481	G LISKEEIDRWWCDAFAHAEEDRKHREEADWRWQ	AETLY Y OTENEV	X E 7 SRV PE	3 NK	VERAVAEAK TAL G GTD	JIKS AMER	090 E	ALCOALY E	atQ A AS 590	ΤE
7 STRCO		Ę	S LPKDEVDRMPQEAEXYAEEDBARREAAESRVQ	<b>GEOLV Y OFENEL</b>	X D ne DKV PGE	v KTE	VESAVAELK EKL K GED	STAT EKVAA	0SA	KLOQAMY A	0 A QA 579	<b>6</b> E
8 BACSU		415	G LSDEETERMAREAEDNADADAKKKEETEVRAE	ADOLV F OTEKTL	X D le GKV DEE	d VKK	ANDANDALK ANT E KNE	. SAKK DELOT	2	3 ADMSTR	E A AK 577	53
9 LUCLA	+	476	G LISDEEIDKMMCDAEANADADAKRAKEVDFRNE	ADALV F OTENTL	X D le GKVeFAE	VKK	AEDANEELK XAL E GED	5 AKS EALSE	INO	NLAVKLY E	Q AnAA 579	28
10 CLONC		478	N LISDEETDKAVKDAEKFAEDKOKOKENTEVKNN	ADQIV F OTDIGL	X D 1g DKV SAE	DKS J	IEAMORALS XVIC D COD	4 KKaT EDUTO	ALY	ALTINHY E	Q S GA 580	35
11 ERYRH J		476	G LEUBELERMAREATENASEDLALKERAELKUR	AEQFI H QIDESL	Ase d SPV DDA	OKE e	VINCEDELQ AAM D NND	SEKL DOLEO	AAQ	AMSQAMY E	0 0 MG 578	22
12 MYCCE		487	N LSEELIQKWIRDAEANKURDHIIRERIELENE	GEGIV NTI	X E 11 ASP DAK	5 EKE 1	LENLIGNID ANI K AND	YAKI KVELEN	9	EMAXK Y N	P T GE 589	9
13 BCOLI 7		33	G LNEDETQKMVRDAEANAFADRKFEELWQTRNQ	CONTRACT R STRKON	E E ag DKL PAD	DKT	IESALTALE TAL X GED	SAKN QELAQ	0s.	3 EIACOOH aQ	0 0 TA 611	26
14 PSECE	,	5	G LSEAEIDQMIKDAEANAAEDHMVRELADSRNQ	COALV H STRICAL	TE YO DKL DHG	EKE	LEASLIKSLE EVL K DTS	<b>7 AK V E EL G K</b>	0SV	KLGERONY A	D M QA 511	<b>6</b> E
15 RHIME		5	G LEDAETERMVRDAEANAEADRERBEGVEAKNO	MESLY H SSEKSL	Q E hoj DKV SE	C DRK a	IEDALAALK SRV E VSE	. JAKT N TANE	m	KLOONIYeaO	Q TAMA 608	ĒĒ
16 CAUCH L		ŝ	G LEDSDIERMWREAEANKAADEKNKQLVEAKNQ	<b>GEALL H STEKAL</b>	A E he DKV GAA	EKT	IETGITELK TAL E GED	SAKT OALIO	ASH	KUGEAMYaaQ	0 C SA 604	26
17 BORBU 7		5	G LISESEIDRM/NOAEAHAERDMCKLKENIEARNT	ANSLI Y OTEKSI.	X E ys EKI SSE	DKE	IESKINGLK ESL E KED	I SSRT EELOK	YSA	KLAEMMY K	D S SQ 603	32
18 CHLTR	4	503	G LIKEDELLOOMERDAELHKEEDKORKEASDWKNE	ADCHI F RAEKAV	X D yh DKI PAE	1 VKE	IECHIEKVR OAI KED	7 AAS DELST	GMN	KUGEAHO A	Q S AS 606	53
10 SYNI	2	3	T LSGEEVIGN#00AELYAQQDBQLXGRIEKGNR	AQTLI A QSERRL	RE 5 GLY FAE	SKR LL	IESTIRELK DYL E ROD	6 ALA ELOD	9 AAR	3 ERGECEF E	P L KO 619	130
20 SYN2		ŝ	T L'SONEVDRMVICHEANAADRERRERIDILRNO	ADTLY Y OSENOL	SE 19 DKI SAD	EKS	VEGFIGELK DAL A AED	5 SI I E QLO Q	ALY	AAGSSVY Q	Q A SA 602	32
21 THEAD		ŝ	T LSEELORIIEEAKRHAEEDRRRREHAELKNA	LDSAR V QAERVI.	QE 4 PEA RAR		LEAALGRAK ELV E RD	7 AAT EELLK	AVE	V D XX XX	Q A AS 599	16
22 YEAST 7		529	G LSENELEQMVNDAEKFKSODEARKOALETANK	NDQLA N DTENSL	K E fe GKV DKA	A OK3	VRDQITSLK ELV A RVO	ILIKTEELO		TIS SPECIFIC E	Q L YK 633	21
23 DROME		222	G LSKDELENNIKKAEEYATADKOKRELIEIVNO	GESTV H DIETRON	E E fk sol par	ECE X	LECELADLE TLL A NKE	LADIEEVRK	O SLO	4 KLEELAY K	X M SA 657	ŝ
24 MOUSE		<b>2</b> 22	G LSKODTENIMVRNAEKYAEEDRAKGERVEAVNM	ABGUT H DITETION	E E CK DOL PND	ec NK	LICELSKVR ALL A RMD	SETGENIRO	3 SLQ	CASLALF E	M & TK 653	26
25 PEA .	Ĩ	SS 250	G LEODEIDROWNEAELHAQROQERKALIDIRNS	NDTSI Y SIEKSL	A E yr EKI PAE	V AKE	IEDAVSDERCAMAGE NAD	<b>JAKLDANK</b>	AVS	KICOBNS G	G S 3G 652	23
26 TRYCH		523	G LSKEQIERMIRDSESHATSDRIKKELVEVRN	AETOA N TAEROL	T E V XYVSDAE	R N	VRTLEAMCR KSW E NPN	7 AAT DKC QK	AVM	ECCRER 0	Q A AA 630	26
27 LEINM		222	G LISKEQIEQMIRDS EQHAEADRYNG/ELVEVION	AETOL T TAEROL	G E w KYVSDAE	ч Ж	VICTIVABLE KAN E NPN	7 AM T D KLOK	AVM	ECCRIEY Q	Q A AA 628	ø
28 TRIVA -		8	G LEODELAKMIQDAEKHAAEDKORGELLEKOVA	MCOVI N ELEKTI	S E ne NKL PAD	L LKR	INDSVNDLK DAI A CAUD	CANDERAD	11 AIS	5		
29 PORPU	īa	667	T LPKDDVERMVKEAEDNFTVTDOKREUNIDIRVQ	AESLC Y OSEROV	K E fe DKI DEE	LKN	ITHULISELR SNL E KEE	SANS ENCON	ALH	EIG KNA T	S A EK 600	20
	-	5	T LPGDEVERMVSEAERESKEDKEKREAIDTRND	ADSVY Y OTEKOL	K E 19 EKV PAP	VKE	VEAKLGELK EAL T OGS	3 IX DALAA	3 EVH	QLGQSLY N	OpG AA 670	36
JI YEAST	4	2	GELTO BELLDHINVERAEKE ASEDAS LYAKVESHNK	LENTA H SLICHOV	NOD TO EKE EKE	DKE	LIDAANDVIL ENT. D DNF	. 9 EKF E SLSK	m	DITSTLY G	G AL DC 659	53
32 BURNN	¥	5	R. LTPEELERMWINGAEKFAEEDKOLKERIDTRNE	LESTA Y SLICHOLG	dke 3 gkt sse	DKE	MERCAVEENI EWL ESHOD	7 AKK KELEE	IVO	PIISHIY G	S A GP 639	1
33 CIALA -		55	GLL SEDETNRLVKEA EEF AEEDKINRERAEADVA	FEMUVSILTTOTIA	dk E 4 DKI SSD	OLE V	VICEAVEREAG DIFLICD NTD	ASKEELEE	ow c	P ILCENEG R	S A SA 647	15
34 CIALA		23	R LISOBELDRIMMOMEREAKTORKIRBOOKGRINE	LESLY F SVKSTL	GEGKVOIS		IETAVNECA TWL E NNK	BAKK KELEA	S HAI	<b>–</b>		
35 TREVA		ŝ	GLL SQADIDRWVSDAERY KAODEEI KORTEARNG	LEGEC F GURNEY	NGE 19 SKI DAA	e exa	ILICITATION AND ESNOD	J 3 3 4 F MK O K	EVE	4 PLMOKAY Q	S A AG 613	46
36 PLAFA		22	GLL SOOELDRWANDAERY KAEDEENRUR LEARNS	LENTC Y GUICSEL	ED 4 EKT OFA	EIE to	MK TITTLE ENG. E. KNQ	BAKQ KEAES	m	O ADSMIG	D A AG 629	52
THUR LE	C71	3	GLI SKEQIDKOWAEAEKFKAEDDKOKQRVEARNK	LENEC Y SVICITL	SE 3 DKI AAE	DKT t	DNN G ING TISSANINGI	I DAST E EVGNEX	6 KVO	DIFTE	Q A GA 618	ee Ee
36 MALZE		5	Gel skeeleronoeaencykaedeevicondarona	LENTA Y NMRNTI	K D 4 SKL PAE	XXQ	IEDAVIDCAL SHELD SNO	IAEV EEFEdK	<b>0</b> 1	PILAKHY X	ge c ac 619	26
39 YEAST		š	Gelskedtermaraentwerkedekesorlasnoo	LESTA Y SLICHT	S E ag DKL EOA	8	VINCOLETI SHI D SNT		<b>m</b> ;	O ATDISHIA	A G GA 610	#1
		22	GELSKEDLEMINVGERENT KAEDERQUURVSSKINS	LESTA F NMKAIV	E D 4 GKI MDE		ITTENCORETT NATE D KNO	I CAEK EEFEH	10	O ATTALLIA	SA GC 616	P,
						R	1	= =		•		

Unaligned insertions are shown in *lowercase letters* if of length  $\leq 2$ , or their length is indicated otherwise. See legend to Fig. 1 for sequence descriptions. Sequence positions are indicated after the sequence names and at the end of the last block of alignment. The last column indicates the length of each carboxy-terminal segment.

Protist SSPA Scores. Trypanosoma, Leishmania (not shown), Giardia, Plasmodium, Entamoeba, and Trichomonas, align to the same extent with bacterial and chloroplast sequences in the low range, 37–48 (among the lowest of all SSPA values for the HSP70 proteins at hand). The alignment scores for protists with all CYT-euk sequences rise to the level 63–77, somewhat higher for the vertebrates than for the invertebrates (data not shown).

SSPA Scores of Cytoplasmic HSP70 from Animals, Plants, and Fungi. The CYT-euk yeast and human sequences score with CYT-maize at 73 and 77, respectively, and are elevated to 77 for CYT-yeast/CYThuman. Plant HSP70 versus a variety of invertebrates and vertebrates produces SSPA values in the range 70– 80 (data not shown). SSPA comparisons of CYT-euk or ER-euk versus Archaea, eubacteria, Pl, and Mt sequences decline to the level 37–51.

HSP70 repeated sequences. Among the Cyt-HSP70 sequences in eukaryotes, there are multiple copies often mutually of  $\geq 80\%$  identity. For example, human and mouse show at least three sequences; bovine-two sequences; Drosophila melanogaster-two sequences; Arabidopsis thaliana-two sequences; Plasmodium falciparum-two sequences; Leishmania tarentolae-two sequences; Trypanosoma brucei-two sequences. Perhaps surprising, the cyanobacteria SYNP7 (Synechococcus sp. and SYNY3 (Synechocystis sp.) each contains three HSP70 homologues (designated here P7-1, P7-2, P7-3, and Y3-1, Y3-2, Y3-3). The SSPA score between P7-2 and Y3-2 is 85, while all other pairings align in the reduced range 47-62. Generally, P7-2 and Y3-2 compare to the Mt-HSP70 at the level 50-60, while other homologues have alignments  $\leq 50$ . It appears that SYNP7-2 and SYNY3-2 were the original HSP70 in the ancestor of these cyanobacteria. Intriguingly, E. coli has two additional sequences that match the DnaK sequence modestly but significantly. These are HSC66 (heat shock cognate) with SSPA score 40 to DnaK and the sequence labeled hypothetical 62 kd protein YBEW with SSPA score 29. Haemophilus influenzae also contains two E. coli HSP 70 analogs of SSPA scores 81 and 38, respectively. B. burgdorferi (BORBU) also possesses two HSP70 sequences with corresponding alignment similarities 62 and 38.

### **Multiple Alignment of HSP70 Sequences**

The global alignment yields one continuous domain divided into 27 conserved motifs (core blocks) with few unaligned amino acid positions between blocks and few indels (Fig. 2).

Consensus Sequences of the Multiple Alignment and Conservation Index. A consensus residue is associated with each aligned position in a core block. The consensus residue is determined as the amino acid which maximizes the (weighted) average similarity score with respect to all residues at that column. In this way, each core block can be summarized by a vector of consensus residues. A conservation index (CI) is computed for each column of the block, reflecting the degree of conservation of the amino acids at the aligned positions. To compute the conservation index the similarity matrix is normalized as by Karlin and Brocchieri (1996), producing the set of normalized scores  $s^*(\alpha,\beta) = s(\alpha,\beta)/\sqrt{s(\alpha,\alpha)} \cdot \sqrt{s(\beta,\beta)}$  for amino acids  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that identities score 1.0 and substantially dissimilar residues entail a negative score >-1.0. The CI at each position is calculated as the average pairwise normalized similarity value over each column of the *r* aligned sequences: CI =  $(2/r(r-1)) \sum_{1 \le k \le j \le r} s^*(i,j)$ .

Primary Signature Segment. A dramatic result of the alignments is the absence from the Archaea and Gram(+) sequences of core blocks 5 and 6 about 25 amino acids (aa) long. The remaining sequences are significantly aligned at average CI = 0.55 in core block 5 and average CI = 0.37 in core block 6. An additional three to eight residues following block 6 diverge among all the sequences. This result, observed first by Gupta and collaborators (e.g., Gupta and Golding 1993; Gupta et al. 1997), argues that for HSP70, the Archaea, especially the methanococcal and halobacterial sequences, resemble Gram(+) more than other eubacterial sequences. The greater similarity of halobacteria to Gram(+) is also observed in comparing the genome signature among prokaryotic and eukaryotic sequences. Specifically, the halobacterial genome is found to be quite similar to the Gram(+) Streptomyces griseus genome and significantly more divergent from all other prokaryotic and eukaryotic genomes (Karlin and Cardon 1994; Karlin and Mrázek 1997b).

Second Signature Segment. Core block 13 (4 aa's) is absent from all eukaryotes (ER and CYT forms) but significantly aligned (average CI = 0.64) among all other sequences including the Mt and Pl sequences.

Conserved Core Blocks. Inspection of Table 1 reveals that core blocks 2–4, 8–11, 13, 14, and 16–19, contained in the first three-fourths of the protein, are very strongly conserved across all sequences at average CI values exceeding 0.5 (mostly  $\geq$ 0.65) and several blocks extend without interruptions to lengths  $\geq$ 25 aa, suggesting that these blocks are functionally/structurally important.

*Moderately Conserved Core Blocks.* Blocks 6, 12, 15, 20, and 21, are conserved at the moderate levels CI = 0.37, 0.46, 0.41, 0.42, and 0.44, respectively. The final group of blocks 22–27 register CI values reduced to the level 0.18–0.31 with more variable insertion residues.

Bl.	Seq.	Len.	Pos.	Sequence	Ins.	CI
					0–67	
1	37	3	5	GKV	0	0.35
2	40	28	8	IGIDLGTTNSCVAVMEGGKPKVIANAEG	1	0.64
3	40	10	37	RTTPSVVAFT	1	0.73
4	40	30	48	DGERLVGDPAKRQAVTNPENTIFSVKRLIG	0	0.59
5	27	5	78	RRFDD	2	0.55
6	28	16	85	VQKDMKHVPYKVVKAD	5	0.37
7	40	3	106	VEV	2	0.43
8	40	78	111	EGKEYTPEEISAMVLQKMKETAESYLGEKVTNAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGKIA- GLNVLRIINEPTAAALAYGLDK	3	0.72
9	40	21	192	DKTILVFDLGGGTFDVSILEI	0	0.75
10	40	37	213	GDGVFEVKATNGDTHLGGEDFDNRIVDYLVEEFKKEN	0	0.58
11	40	31	250	GIDLSKDKMALQRLREAAEKAKIELSSTTQT	1	0.58
12	39	10	282	INLPFITAGA	1	0.46
13	30	4	293	GPKH	0	0.64
14	40	52	297	LEMTLTRAKFEELTEDLIERTLGPVEQALKDAGLSKSDIDEVILVGGSTRMP	1	0.53
15	39	9	350	VQQLVKDFF	0	0.41
16	40	28	359	GKEPNKGVNPDEAVAIGAAIQGGVLSGD	3	0.69
17	40	8	390	DILLLDVT	0	0.83
18	40	29	398	PLSLGIETLGGVMTKLIPRNTTIPTKKSQ	0	0.73
19	40	70	427	VFSTAADNQTSVEIKVFQGEREMAKDNKLLGRFDLTGIPPAPRGVPQIEVTFDIDA- NGILNVSAKDKGTG	0	0.67
20	40	11	497	KEQKITITNSS	0	0.42
21	40	47	508	GLSEEEIERMVKDAEKHAEEDKKRRERVEARNQAESLVYQTEKTLKE	2	0.44
22	40	6	557	DKIDAE	0	0.30
23	39	3	563	DKE	1	0.31
24	40	16	567	IEDAVEELKEWLENND	5	0.22
25	40	8	588	AKTEELQK	1	0.28
26	32	3	597	AVQ	0	0.18
27	38	12	600	EIGQKMYQQAA	6–130	0.20

<sup>a</sup> Core blocks produced in the alignment of the 40 HSP70 proteins. Bl., block number. Seq., the number of sequences included in the block. Len., the length of the block. Pos., the starting position of the block in the consensus sequence. Sequence, the consensus sequence for each block. Ins., the average number of residues between successive blocks.

*Insertions Between Blocks.* Within the aligned parts there are scattered indels. Longer insertions are particularly evident in the two eukaryotic primitive sequences from *Giardia lamblia*, GIALA-ER (9 aa's inserted between blocks 7 and block 8 and between block 10 and block 11; 8 aa's between block 8 and block 9) and GIALA-CYT (10 nonaligned aa's between block 16 and block 17). Most eukaryotic sequences have longer insertions than prokaryotic or organellar sequences between block 7 and block 8 (4–9 aa's vs. 0–4), block 8 and block 9 (3–8 vs. 0–4), and block 16 and block 17 (3–10 vs. 2).

*Amino-Terminal Sequences.* Almost all eubacterial sequences align except for a single N-terminal amino acid (exceptions, *Mycoplasma* MYCGE unaligned for 5 aa's and *Chlamydia* CHLTR unaligned for 6 aa's). At the N terminus all the Mt sequences have a range of 26 to 52 unaligned aa's, putatively enveloping the leader sequence pertinent to translocation to the Mt organelle. The long amino-terminal targeting sequence for Mt is missing from the Mt-like sequence of *T. vaginalis*; PEA-PI involves 67 unaligned aa's at the N terminus and the

Insertions are represented in the consensus sequence by the average number of X's between blocks. Insertions at the beginning and end of the sequence are arbitrarily set to 4 and 3, respectively. CI, average conservation index of the block.

ER-euk are unaligned for 49, 27, and 12 aa's. Strikingly, the CYT-euk sequences (except the protist PLAFA) have rather short unaligned peptides.

*Carboxyl-Terminal Variability.* The C-terminal HSP70 sequences manifest variable unaligned lengths, of about 10–50 aa's. However, *Synechococcus* 1 contains a massive 130-aa unaligned terminal sequence.

Regions and Residues of Highest Conservation. It is of interest to highlight the most conserved residues (CI  $\ge 0.95$ ) for each core block of the multiple alignment which contain all sequences but at most one.

(i)Twenty conserved glycine positions are prominent including one homotripeptide glycine (in block 9) and two glycine doublets (blocks 10 and 14), all perfectly conserved. A third highly conserved glycine doublet appears in block 18 (CI = 1.00 and 0.81). These glycine diresidues may be critical in establishing structural hinge connections or may facilitate alternative tertiary conformations. Concerning this phenomenon, see the discussion of RecA-like sequences by Brendel et al. (1997) and Brocchieri and Karlin (1998). (ii) The next most conserved specific residues comprise the aliphatics A (13 positions), V (11), L (10), and I (7). These presumably correspond to hydrophobic core positions.

(iii) Conserved charged residues include D (7 positions), E (3), R (3), and K (2).

(iv) There are no conserved C, H, M, and W amino acids.

Segments of at least three contiguous positions that are highly conserved (CI  $\ge 0.95$ ) are positions 2–7 (GIDLGT) of block 2, five perfectly conserved (see Fig. 2); positions 38–41 (PAYF) of block 8; the succession 67–70 (PTAA) of block 8; positions 10–13 (GGGT) of block 9, emphasizing three contiguous glycines; 16–18 (LGG) of block 10; 44–47 (LVGG) of block 14; 17–19 (GAA) of block 18; and 19–21 (GER) of block 19. The perfect conservation of the basic pair K at position 26 and R (except for one S) at position 27 in block 4 may be functionally important. With the exception of the sequence in blocks 18 and 19, all these conserved segments belong to the ATP-binding domain of HSP70, which extends up to block 16.

# Individual Sequence Similarity to the Consensus Sequence

Within each block individual sequences or sequence groups can be compared to the consensus to assess conservation. For example, eukaryotic sequences score globally with the consensus differently (by at least 0.50) than prokaryotic sequences in the following blocks.

				Blo	ock			
	1	2	7	11	12	15	23	24
Euk. Pro.	1.92 <b>2.89</b>	2.93 <b>4.05</b>	<b>3.20</b> 2.26	2.01 <b>3.65</b>	0.64 <b>3.55</b>	<b>3.68</b> 2.36	<b>3.93</b> 2.13	<b>2.34</b> 1.75

Thus, in the foregoing segments the average similarity assessments of prokaryotic and eukaryotic sequences with the global consensus differ markedly. Generally there are minor fluctuations in average similarity scores among the different subgroups of the prokaryotes.

Multiple Alignment and SSPA Analysis of Subfamilies. The multiple alignment protocol was implemented separately for each "natural" group listed next: Archaea (METMA, METTH, THEAC, HALMA, HALCU); Gram(+) (high G+C; MYCLE, STRCO; low G+C; BACSU, LACLA, CLOAC, ERYRH; *Mycoplasma* MY-CGE); Gram(–) ( $\gamma$ -type, ECOLI, PSECE;  $\alpha$ -type; RHIME, CAUCR); singular (BORBU, CHLTR, SYN1, SYN2, THEAQ); mitochondrial (YEAST, DROME, MOUSE, PEA, TRYCR, LEIMA, TRIVA); chloroplast (PORPU, PEA); eukaryotes—ER isoform (YEAST, HU-MAN, GIALA); and eukaryotes—CYT isoform (GIALA, TRIVA, PLAFA, ENTHI, MAIZE, YEAST, HUMAN).

The multiple alignment and consensus sequences of the eight subgroup sets of sequences is given in Fig. 3. Table 2 gives the SSPA values among the consensus sequences of the eight groups. The following comparisons stand out.

(i) The halobacteria, thermoplasma, and methanobacteria generating the Archaea consensus align significantly with the Gram(+) consensus (SSPA value 71).

(ii) The Pl vs. the singular consensuses score dramatically high (74) compared to all other consensus sequence comparisons. This undoubtedly reflects the intrinsic endosymbiotic connections of the chloroplast with cyanobacterial sequences.

(iii) The weakest among all alignment scores, 50, involve the CYT-euk consensus compared to the Archaea, in contrast with other proteins relating Archaea with eukaryotes (e.g., Brown and Doolittle 1997).

(iv) All pairwise group consensus comparisons produce better alignments than do individual pairwise sequence comparisons.

(v) The Gram(+) consensus has its highest alignment score (73) with the singular consensus.

*Multiple Alignment of Consensus Sequences.* The global alignment consensus (Table 1) against the consensus of the consensus sequences (see Fig. 3) gives the impressive SSPA value of 87. The multiple alignment of all eight consensus groups divides into two domains. Domain I consists of five blocks. The signature sequence distinguishing Archaea and Gram(+) described in the global multiple alignment is modified when comparing among the consensuses. Thus, the Gram(+) consensus does not align in blocks 4 and 5 but Archaea diverges only in block 5. Domain II contains 17 core blocks, 6–22. Both eukaryotic consensuses do not align in blocks 9 and 11. The prokaryotic, mitochondrial and chloroplast consensuses do not align in block 12.

## **Functional Properties and the Multiple Alignments**

The global multiple alignment consensus sequence S displayed in Fig. 2 (length, 612 aa, with X substituted for unaligned positions) was investigated by the SAPS (statistical analysis of protein sequences) program (Brendel et al. 1992). The following sequence features stand out.

(i) *S* shows a highly significant mixed charge cluster [for definitions and interpretations, see Karlin 1995)] extending over positions 511–576 from block 21 to block 24, which contains 14 {K or R}, 24 {E or D}, and 25 uncharged residues, and features the hypercharge run EEDKKRRER (at position 526). Eukaryotic sequences, including frog HSP70, *Drosophila* HSP70, human HSP70, *Drosophila* HSP82, yeast HSP90, and chicken

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Archaea Gram(+) Gram(-) Singular Mt P1 ER CYT	7 5 6 35 14 18 8	ILGIDLGTINSAFAVMEGGDFTIIPNAEG XX KTTFSVVAF Xd DGEMLVGEPAKRQAVTNPDNT IYSIKRHMXXX 8 VIGIDLGTINSCVAMUGCKEVEVIANEG n RTTFSVVAF k NCELLVGCDAKRQAVTNPDNT 18 IIGIDLGTINSCVAMUGCKEVEVIENAEG t RTTFSVVAF k NCERLVGCMAKRQAVINPENT FYSIKRFIGRR F DEVTDEIKRVPYK 16 VIGIDLGTINSCVAMMECKEVEVIENAEG a RTTFSIVAF kk DCERLVGCMAKRQAVINPENT FYSIKRFIGRR F DEVTDEIKRVPYK 16 VIGIDLGTINSCVAMMECKEVEVIENAEG n RTTFSVVAF kk DCERLVGCMAKRQAVINPENT FYSIKRFIGRR F DEVTDEIKRVPYK 16 VIGIDLGTINSCVAMMECKEVEVIENAEG n RITFSVVAF kk DCERLVGCMAKRQAVINPENT FYSIKRFIGRR F DDEEVOKDIKNVPYKI 15 VIGIDLGTINSCVAMMECKEVEVIENAEG n RITFSVVAF tx DCERLIGDAAKNQVPANPENT IFDVKRLIGRK WNDPSVCKDIKFLPFKV 19 AVGIDLGTINSCVAVMENRVDIIANDGG n RITFSYVAF tx DCERLIGDAAKNQVAMPENT VPDAKRLIGRR FNDFAVOGDMEKHMFFKV 17 **111111*1****** *1 1*1 **** 1*1 1***** 1*1 1******
	Cons.		KQFTPEEISAMVLQKMKEDAESYLGEKVTKAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGTIAGLNVMRIINEPTAAALAYGLDK-K 2 DKTILVFDLGGGTFDVSILEI 0
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Archaea Gram(+) Gram(-) Singular Mt Pl ER CYT	89 84 109 110 140 118 127 114	KEYTPQEISAFILQKIKKDAEAYLGEEIKKAVITVPAYFNDNQRQATKDAGTIAGFDVERIVNEPTAASLAYGLDX E dk DQTILVYDLGGGTFDVSIMDF         KDYTPQEISAMILQKIKKDAEAYLGEKVTKAVITVPAYFNDAQRQATKDAGXIAGLAVERIINEPTAAALAYGLDK X xk EQKILVPDLGGTFDVSILEL         QXQAPPQVSARKITADVICPYFNDAQRQATKDAGKIAGLAVERIINEPTAAALAYGLDK K xkKIAVYDLGGTFDVSILEI xx         KQFTPEEISAMILRKMKEDASKYLGEKVTEAVITVPAYFNDAQRQATKDAGKIAGLAVERIINEPTAAALAYGLDK K q NETILVFDLGGGTFDVSILEI xx         KQFTPEEISAMILRKMKEDASKYLGEKVTEAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGKIAGLAVERIINEPTAAALAYGLDK K q NETILVFDLGGGTFDVSILEI xx         KQFSPSQIGAFVLAKMKKTABAYLGKYTKAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGCIAGLAVLRVINEPTAAALAYGLDK K Q NETILVFDLGGGTFDVSILEI XX         KQFSPEEISAMVLTKMKETABAYLGKYTKAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGCIAGLAVLRVINEPTAAALAYGUDK K X         KVFSPEEISAMVLTKMKETABYLGKYTKAVITVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGTIAGLNMRTIINEPTAAALAYGLDK K 4 EKNILVFDCGGCFDVSILEV         KVFSPEEISAMVLTKMKETABYLGKKYTNAVYTVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGTIAGLNMRTINEPTAAALAYGLDK K 3 EENVLITDLGGGTFDVSILEV         MCTTPEEISSNVITKMKETABSYLGKKYTNAVYTVPAYFNDSQRQATKDAGTIAGLNMRTINEPTAAALAYGLDK K 3 EENVLITDLGGGTFDVSILEV         MCTTPEEISSNVITKMKETABSYLGKKYTNAVYTVPAYFNDSQRATKDAGTIAGLNMRTINEPTAAALAYGLDK K 3 EENVLITDLGGGTFDVSLL11         MCTTPEEISSNVITKMKETABSYLGKKYTNAVYTVPAYFNDSQRATKDAGTIAGLNMRTINEPTAAALAYGLDK K 3 EENVLITDLSGGTFDVSLL11
	Cons.		GDGVFEVKATNGDTHLGGDDFDQRIVDYLVDEFKKEHGIDL 1 KDK 0 QALQRLREAAEKAKIELSSTTOTEINL 0 PFITA 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Archaea Gram(+) Gram(-) Singular Mt Pl ER CYT	189 184 211 209 239 217 229 215	GGGVFEVKSTNGDTDLGGDDMDHAIIDYLADEFEKEHGIDL       r EDR       QALQRLREAAEKAKIELSTKETNINL       PFITV td         GDGVFEVKATAGDNRLGGDDMDAKIVDYLVDEFKKENGIDL       s KDK       MAMORLKEAAEKAKIELSSSTETSINL       PFITA xe         GDGVFEVKATNGDTHLGGEDPDMRIIDYLVDEFKKEQGVDL       r NDK       LALQRLKEAAEKAKIELSSSQTEVNL       PYITA da         GDGVFEVKATNGDTHLGGDDPDHKIVNNLAEFKKEGGDL       r KDR       QALQRLREAAEKAKIELSSSQTEVNL       PYITA da         SNCVFEVKATNGDTHLGGDDPDLALDJVIVSEFKKEGIDL       s KDR       MALQRLREAAEKAKIELSSTQTEINL       PFITA da         GDGVFEVLSTSGDTHLGGDDPDKQIVDWLXXDFKQDEGIDL       x KDR       QALQRLTETAEKAKMELSNLTQTNINL       PFITA da         DNCVFEVLATSGDTHLGGDDPDDRQIVDWLXXDFKQHEGIDL       x KDK       QALQRLTETAEKAKMELSNLTQTNINL       PFITA tq         DNCVFEVLATSGDTHLGGEDPDDRDLINGFFQFFKRKKNGTDV       0       KAISKLRREVEKAXALSSQASTRIET       FAISKLREVEKAXALSSQASTRIET         11111       1111       1111       1111       1111       1111       1111
			Domain II ( <i>continued</i> ) 12 13 14 15
	Cons,		DS-F 1 DGPKHLEMTITRAKFEELTEDLIERT 2 PCEKALKDAGLSKSDIDEVILVGGSTRMPKVQELVKDFF 0 GKEPNKGVNPDEAVAIGAAVQGGVLSG 0
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Archaea Cram(+) Gram(-) Singular Mt Pl ER CYT	268 263 290 288 318 296 305 287	DGPKHLEQDITRAKFEELTEDLIERT 1g PMEQALEDAGLTKSDIDKVILVGCPTRMPQVQKYVEDFV KCPLALDMKLTRAKFEELTKDLIERT 1g PMEQALEDAGLTKSDIDKVILVGCPTRMPQVQKYVEDFV SGPKHLDKLTRAKFEELTKDLIERT 1g PIRQALEDAGLKVSDIDDVILVGCSTRMPAVQEVKNEFF SGPKHLDKLTTRAKFEELTKDLIERT 1g PCQALKDAGLSVSDIDDVILVGGSTRMPAVQEVKNEFF SGPKHLDKKTTRAKFEELTSDLIERT 1g PCQALKDAGLSVSDIDDVILVGGSTRMPAVQEVKNEFF SGPKHLDKKTTRAKFEELCSDLIKKT 1g PCKALKDAGVSLKDINEVILVGGSTRMPAVQEVKKELF GKEPNKOVNPDEVVAIGAAVQGGVLAG SGPKHLDKKTTRAKFEELCSDLIDKX xx PVNNALKDAKLEAKDIDEVILVGGSTRMPAVQETVKELF DGPKHIEKTITRAKFEELCSDLIDKX xx PVNNALKDAKLEAKDIDEVILVGGSTRIPAIQEVVKL DS F 1 DGIPSE TLTRAKFEELNDIFKKT 1k PVEKVLQSDKIKDIDEVILVGGSTRIPAIQELQUPT n SKXSSKGINPDEAVAIGAAVQAGVLAG DS1F EG IDFYTSITRAFEELNIDIFKST 1d PVEKVLRDAKMEXSVHDIVLVGGSTRIPKVQLLQDFF n GKEPCKSINPDEAVAGAAVQAAVLAG 11 1 1** - *11***1 1 1**** 1 1**** 11**** 11**** 11******
			ישטעבדו דר נטטעבדו דר נטטעבענענען 16 16 ארגעריין גערער
12345678	Archaea Gram(+) Gram(-) Singular Mt Pl ER CYT	362 357 384 382 412 390 405 389	EVKDILDAVIELSLAELDAVIELSLAELDAVIELSENTIETEKSQVFILADAVGEVILAVIEVANDAVGEVELAVIEVANDAVGEVILEVIEVIEVIEVIEVIEVIEVIEVIEVIEVIEVIEVIEVIE
			17 18 19 20 21 22
	Cons.		LSDEEIERMVKDAEKHAEEDRKKKERVEVRNQAESLIYQTEKTI 4 DKIDAED-KEKIEKAINEL 12 IEAKTEELEKVVQEI 1 SKMY 10 SGDE 3 EKDE 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Archaea Gram(+) Gram(-) Singular Mt Pl ER CYT	484 479 506 504 534 512 528 512	LSDDEIEEMQEEAEQHAEEDRKRKEEIEIRNNAESMIYRAEKTL       4 DKVD EDIKEDVNNEIEDL 12 IKTKTEELSETIQEI g KQMY 20       601         LSDEEIDRMVKDAEKNAEEDRKRKEEVEVNNEAEQUVYQTEKTL       4 XKVPEEE KEKVEKAELI 11 IKAKTEKLSQVAQEL s QKMY 21       596         LSDAEIEKMVKDAEANAEADRKKREEVEVNNEAEQUVYQTEKTL       4 XKVPEEE KEKVEKAELI 11 IKAKTEKLSQVAQEL s QKMY 21       596         LSDAEIEKMVKDAEANAEADRKKREUVEXNNQGDALLHSTEKAL       4 DKISAEI KKRIESHIKEL 11 IKAKTEKLSQVAQEL g EAMY 16       619         LSEEEIQMVIKDAEAHAEEDRKKRELIEVENNAETMINDTEKQL       4 DKISAEI KKRIESHIKEL 11 IKAATEEKKAWEK 39       634         LSKDEIEKMIKDAEKHAEEDRKKRELIEVENNAETMINDTEKQL       4 EKLPAEE CKKIKDEISDL 13 IKKATDKLQKASMEL 20       SGGE 3 EGEE 658       kq         LPEDDVERNVKEAEKAEKAEEDRKKRELIEVENNAETMINDTEKQL       4 DKISAEI KKRIKOKICEL 11 IEXXXXLINEIMEI 15       618         LTEEDEIDRMVKEAEKAEKAEKAEKNSEENVCYSVENTI       7 DKISSED KETMKEAVKEN 14 FEEKKKKLEKVVOPI 1 SKLY 10 SGDE 3 EKDE 651       1         LSKEDIDRMVQDAEKYKAENSEENVCYSVENTI 5 DKIQAED KEKIEKAVNET 14 FENKKKLEKVVOPI 1 SKLY 39       651       1         LSKEDIDRMVQDAEKYKEAKSENSEENVCYSVENTI 5 DKIQAED KEKIEKAVNET 14 FENKKKLEKVVOPI 1 SKMY 39       651       1
			Domain II (continued)

3

Cons

Fig. 3. Alignment of consensus sequences obtained from each group of organisms. See legend to Fig. 2 for symbols and Table 2, footnote a, for composition.

HSP80, show at least one charge cluster (Karlin et al. 1990). Several of the CYT-euk HSP70 sequences possess two distinct charge clusters (see below). In contrast, the E. coli HSP70 does not contain a charge cluster of any kind.

(ii) The aggregate frequency of charged {K, R, E, D} residues in S is 30.6%, which occurs in the extreme high 5%, i.e., only 5% of all protein sequences (among 50,000 current in SWISS-PROT) have a charge frequency ex-

ceeding 30.6%. All but three (HALMA, HALCU, SYN1) sequences have a total charge between 24 and 30% (mostly 26.5-28.5%).

(iii) S contains only a single C (cysteine) and a single W (tryptophan) residue.

The Archaea and Gram(+) sequences feature a significant mixed charge cluster in the location of the charge cluster of the consensus sequence. In contrast, the

0

z

 Table 2.
 SSPA scores of HSP70 group consensuses<sup>a</sup>

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Archaea		71	65	68	64	63	52	50
2. Gram (+)			69	73	66	64	53	57
3. Gram (-)				65	71	60	53	51
4. Singular					68	74	53	55
5. Mt						62	54	51
6. Pl							51	53
7. ER								71
8. CYT								

<sup>a</sup> SSPA scores for eight consensus sequences of HSP70 subgroups: Archaea is the consensus from five archaeal sequences; Gram(+) from six sequences and *Mycoplasma genitalium*; Gram(-) from four sequences; singular from five unrelated eubacteria; Mt from six mitochondrial sequence and one mitochondrial-like sequence from *T. vaginalis*; Pl from two chloroplast sequences; ER from three endoplasmatic eukaryotic sequences; CYT from seven cytoplasmic eukaryotic sequences.

four Gram(-) proteobacterial sequences of the alignment do not have a charge cluster (even at the 5% significance level). Among the singular bacterial sequences, only CHLTR and THEAQ show the corresponding charge cluster. Also the Mt sequences are variable in this respect. The animal Mt (mouse, Drosophila) and Trichomonas Mt-like sequence have a concordant charge cluster, whereas the Pea Mt, Trypanosoma Mt, and Leismania Mt sequences do not possess a charge cluster. Both chloroplast HSP70 sequences contain the corresponding charge cluster. All ER-euk and CYT-euk HSP70 sequences have the analogous charge cluster. Strikingly, most of the CYT-euk sequences carry two separated charge clusters featuring the mixed charge cluster in the usual region and a second charge cluster in human and yeast about positions 244-272.

Molecular chaperones (including HSP70) facilitate the process of protein folding for a wide array of polypeptides. Along these lines, HSP70 proteins are thought to bind with a high affinity to putative hydrophobic patches exposed in unfolded polypeptides, thus preventing aggregation or premature folding. As pointed out above, the major heat shock protein in eukaryotes (HSP70) is distinguished by multiple charge clusters, a highly unusual property of protein sequences that is present in less than 4% of all eukaryotic proteins (Karlin 1995). It is plausible that these charge cluster regions of HSP70 might, to some extent, interact ionically to help orient and position the protein to interact in a hydrophobic manner with special residues of the target protein in its unfolded state. The peptide binding specificity of the ER-euk HSP70 (also known as BiP) seems to be to recognize special hepta- or octapeptides of an improperly folded protein (Flynn et al. 1991). BiP binds to the target peptide via its carboxyl domain. We hypothesize that the substrate binding attributes of BiP explicitly center on the charge cluster. In the target peptide preference for binding is an enrichment of tryptophan residues or,

sometimes, other aromatic residues (Blond-Elguindi et al. 1994). Major aromatic residues (W, Y, and F) engage in cationic–aromatic electrostatic interactions where the charge cluster of BiP can be fundamental (Karlin et al. 1994). Moreover, misfolded proteins may also permit charge–backbone interactions of HSP70 with the target peptide. The rarity of W residues (a single position) in the consensus sequence perhaps serves to curtail HSP70 from self-binding with malconformational consequences and avoiding W may also help to maintain HSP70 in a flexible state.

The heat shock proteins including HSP70, HSP90 (human, chicken), HSP108 (chicken, mouse), cognate HSP80 similar to HSP82 (*Drosophila*), HSP80–84 in plants, and HSP82 (yeast) all possess mixed hypercharge runs, supporting the hypothesis of a role of charge in target protein interaction, sorting, transport, and secretion (Karlin 1995).

#### **Evolutionary Implications**

Two measures have dominated analyses of similarities and dissimilarities among various genomes (for a critique see Karlin et al. 1997). (i) In 16S rRNA comparisons, the genes span only about 1500 to 1800 nucleotides, of which less than half are retained in attempting to develop informative alignments. (ii) Protein sequence comparisons also require alignable segments. The amount of sequence available from the ensemble of all proteins is much greater than that of 16S rRNA. The results of such analyses are mixed and often conflicting (e.g., see Gupta and Golding 1996; Doolittle 1996; Karlin et al. 1997). Several authors emphasize lateral gene transfer as a major mechanism for interpreting evolutionary relationships among taxa. This applies, inter alia, to discussions of glutamine synthetase (Brown et al. 1994), glutamate dehydrogenase (Benachenhou-Lahfa et al. 1993), and EF-1 $\alpha$  (Baldauf et al. 1996).

Gupta and collaborators rely heavily on HSP70 alignments for comparing taxa; for an alternative perspective see Brown and Doolittle (1997). The HSP70 family displays an amino acid segment of about 25 aa's [called signature sequence by Gupta and Golding (1993) and Gupta et al. (1997)] absent from Archaea and Gram(+) sequences but present and reasonably aligned in eukaryotes and Gram(-) eubacterial sequences. On this basis Gupta and Golding (1993) and Gupta et al. (1997) suggest that Archaea and Gram(+) sequences have a specific relationship whereas Archaea are more divergent from Gram(-) species.

Considering Archaea as a "coherent" group in the consensus sequences Table 2 indicates greater similarity of the archaeal consensus to the Gram(+) consensus than to the Gram(–) consensus. However, the specific SSPA scores indicate that the methanogen METMA HSP70

sequence has a SSPA score relative to eubacterial HSP70 sequences consistently higher than the SSPA scores of the archaeal THEAC, HALMA, and HALCU sequences with the corresponding eubacterial sequences. Notably, METMA alignment scores with Gram(+) (range, 61-70; the highest score obtained with the Clostridium sequence CLOAC) are better than scores of METMA with Gram(-) sequences (55-64) and of Gram(+) with Gram(-) sequences (52-64). METMA aligns with the halophile Archaea in the range 55–58. The circumstance of the eocyte (Sulfolobus-like) prokaryotes is unresolved since the corresponding HSP70 sequences, if extant, have not been ascertained. These results suggest that the archaeal species are fundamentally heterogeneous. Archaeal sequences tend to be closer to the Gram(+) sequences than to the Gram(-), in line with Gupta and Golding (1993) and Gupta et al. (1997), but the phylogenetic distinctness of Archaea and/or their monophyletic character is not supported by the HSP70-based phylogeny (cf. Gupta and Singh 1994). For example, comparisons of the HSP70 proteins place the Halobacteria closer to the Streptomyces of high G+C Gram(+) bacteria and Methanobacteria closer to Clostridium (see also Gupta and Golding 1993; Gupta and Singh 1994; Karlin 1994). Similar results associating archaea and Gram(+) apply to comparisons of the two isoforms of glutamine synthetase GS-I and GS-II (Brown et al. 1994), the isoform of glutamate dehydrogenase GDH-I (Brown and Doolittle 1997), and the FGARAT protein (Gupta and Golding 1996).

The lowest SSPA scores for the archaeal sequences are realized with the eukaryotes, at variance with the hypothesis of Woese et al. (1990) based on 16S rRNA gene comparisons. Actually, in terms of the SSPA values for HSP70 sequences, the halophiles appear significantly eubacterial.

Based on HSP70 sequences Gupta et al. (1997) contend that Gram(-) eubacteria form a phylogenetically coherent group more similar to the eukaryotes than to the Archaea/Gram(+) groups. Contrary to Gupta and Golding (1996) and Gupta et al. (1997), the comparisons to the eukaryotic sequences do not discriminate Gram(+) from Gram(-) sequences. In our analysis, eukaryotic HSP70 sequences seem to be equally far from Gram(-) and Gram(+) according to SSPA scores consistent with the nature of the second signature segment (global Block 13) absent in eukaryotes but extant and reasonably aligned in all prokaryote and organelle sequences. Indeed, these blocks to not separate Gram(+), Gram(-) and Archaea which are substantially aligned in the second signature segments, whereas eukaryotic sequences diverge in this region and align separately.

The SSPA analysis of the HSP70 mitochondrial (Mt) sequences separates the protist homologues from the animal-plant-yeast homologues, perhaps suggesting a polyphyletic origin and/or secondary modifications among

575

Compared to all prokaryotes, the protist Mt sequences score best with  $\alpha$ -type proteobacteria, 52 and 58, though significantly lower than nonprotist Mt do, 62-66. Our comparisons are consistent with the hypothesis that the Mt organelle derives as an endosymbiont from an  $\alpha$ -type proteobacterium. A caveat: we have provided data [genomic signature comparisons (Karlin and Campbell 1994)] and analysis of phenotypic similarities supporting the hypothesis that the source of animal Mt is more likely a Sulfolobus-like prokaryote. As yet, a HSP70 homologue in a Sulfolobus species has not been identified.

Three amitochondriate protist clades, trichomonads (e.g., Trichomonas vaginalis), diplomonads (e.g., Giardia lamblia), and microsporidia (e.g., Varimorpha necatrix) are among the earliest-branching lineages of the eukaryotes which primitively either lack mitochondrial organelles or lost mitochondrial function (Germot et al. 1996; Roger et al. 1996). Another deep branch includes Entamoeba histolytica. These organisms live under anaerobic conditions. Trichomonas vaginalis possesses a hydrogenosome organelle (double membrane, no DNA) but contains an analogue of pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase that uses pyruvate as a major substrate and oxidizes it to acetyl-CoA, which is converted to acetate + ADP. In this process, hydrogenase specific to the hydrogenosome combines electrons and protons yielding molecular hydrogen. This energy system is classical in Clostridium species.

Hydrogenosomes (Hy) are found in rumen-dwelling and free-living ciliates and some fungi. They are known to exist in Trichomonads and probably other amitochondrial protists. The current models of these amitochondrial lineages express the HSP70 and/or HSP60 chaperonins, which align significantly with the corresponding Mt proteins (Germot et al. 1996; Roger et al. 1996, 1998). Views on the origin of the hydrogenosome organelle range from a relic Mt, a higher-order Mt, or a modified Mt. A current hypothesis has Mt derived from an aerobic bacterial source, whereas Hy would be derived from an anaerobic bacterial source, presumably in independent endosymbiotic events. However, a new hypothesis can be proposed indicating that the forebear was a chimeric fusion prokaryote composed from a Clostridium-like prokaryote with a hydrogenosome-like capacity and a Sulfolobuslike prokaryote. The data and analysis supporting this hypothesis will be elaborated in a future publication.

A single HSP70 homologue was detected in Entamoeba histolytica (Ortner et al. 1992), which is most similar to higher eukaryote HSP70 cytoplasmic sequences (SSPA scores, 74-77), with significantly lower similarity scores to other protist (Giardia lamblia, Trichomonas vaginalis, and Plasmodium falciparum) sequences (61-69) and ER sequences (55-61). The ENTHI sequence alignments to Archaea, mitochondria, and chloroplasts show much lower SSPA scores, in the range 40-49. These comparisons suggest that E. hystolytica likely never possessed a mitochondrial organelle. T. vaginalis encodes two HSP70 sequences, one Mt-like (TRIVA-Mt) (Germot et al. 1996) and the other closest to the eukaryotic cytoplasmic sequences (TRIVA-CYT) (sequence in GenBank). In particular, TRIVA-Mt aligns with the eukaryotic Mt sequences (SSPA scores, 60-63) and at the same level as the  $\alpha$ -proteobacterial types RHIME (61) and CAUCR (60). Intermediate similarities are scored with  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria (55, 56), BORBU (55), and other protist mitochondrial sequences (57 with TRYCR-Mt and 55 with LEIMA-Mt). All other alignments have SSPA values ≤53. Similar SSPA score orderings are attained for the Mt-like sequence of the amitochondriate V. necatrix. The cytoplasmic TRIVA sequence is closest to the other cytoplasmic sequences (SSPA scores, 65-75), except for GIALA (57). The alignments with the ER sequences are in the range 52-58. All other SSPA scores for TRIVA are significantly lower, 39-47. These results have been interpreted in terms of an original mitochondrion in T. vaginalis and V. necatrix that was lost (cf. Germot et al. 1996). However, the possibility of horizontal transfer of these Mt-like sequences from an  $\alpha$ -proteobacterium cannot be ruled out.

Parenthetically, *T. vaginalis* also encodes two very similar (SSPA value 73) HSP60 sequences, one of which is localized to the hydrogenosome. *G. lamblia* possesses two HSP70 sequences of the ER-isoform and CYT-isoform. These sequences align relatively poorly with all prokaryotic sequences.

The source of Mt organelles have been largely inferred from sequence comparisons of the protein families HSP60 and HSP70 (Gray and Spencer 1996, Viali and Arakaki 1994). However, there are strong suggestions that the Mt functioning HSP60 sequences are not part of any endosymbiont event but a result of lateral transfer probably from an  $\alpha$ -proteobacterial progenitor. This conclusion relates to an impressive number of duplicated HSP60 among the classical  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria, whereas no duplications among HSP60 sequences are identified from other proteobacterial genomes (data not shown).

The SSPA values for the two chloroplast (Pl) sequences aligned with the classical Gram(–) and Gram(+) and singular eubacteria attain the levels 49–61 (but with SYN2, 73 and 73). These values are consonant with the hypothesis that Pl organelles originate as an endosymbiont from the cyanobacteria.

On the basis of rRNA gene comparisons (Woese et al. 1990), the Archaea are deemed monophyletic and closest to eukaryotes. This conclusion is consistent for certain protein comparisons, e.g., the elongation factor EF-1 $\alpha$  and EF-2G families (Iwabe et al. 1989; Creti et al. 1994) and the eukaryotic and archaeal RecA-like sequences of Rad51/Dmc1/RadA (Brendel et al. 1997). However, HSP70 and other protein comparisons challenge the monophyletic character of the Archaea. Results also con-

testing the pure monophyletic hypothesis from other protein sequence comparisons are given by Benachenhou-Lahfa et al. (1993) in terms of glutamate dehydrogenase sequences and Brown et al. (1994) for glutamine synthetase. Observations of variegated sequence similarities are often interpreted as evidence of lateral gene transfer in the evolutionary history of an organism. Consistent with HSP70 results, genomic signature comparisons (Karlin and Cardon 1994; Karlin and Mrázek 1997b) have the halobacteria closest to the Streptomyces sequences, "moderately similar," and the next twice as distant are M. tuberculosis and M. leprae sequences. Halobacterial sequences are very distant from the archaebacterial sequences of Sulfolobus sp. and M. thermoautotrophicum. The classical bacterial genome sequences are generally very distant from Sulfolobus sp.

There are many uncertainties concerning divisions among prokaryotes. The HSP70 comparisons of CAUCR (Caulobacter crescentus) with RHIME (Rhizobium me*liloti*), both classified as  $\alpha$ -type proteobacteria in terms of rRNA genes and HSP70 proteins, are high, 76% identity, whereas the corresponding comparisons for the DnaA protein are low, 30% identity (data not shown). Based on analysis of different protein, gene, or noncoding sequences, different phylogenetic (tree) reconstructions not uncommonly result for the same set of organisms. From this perspective there is a 16S RNA tree, a HSP70 tree, a DnaA tree, etc. The assumption of constant rates of evolution may be violated for different proteins and for different sites within a protein sequence [the problem of unequal rate effects (e.g., Lake 1994; Grishin 1995)]. Moreover, chimeric origins, recombination, transpositions, inversions, lateral transfer, and fusion events may complicate the evolutionary history. There are probably also effects due to ecological, physiological, or other selection forces that putatively engender some convergent evolution that varies from gene to gene. Translation of sequence similarities into evolutionary relatedness and branching order will always be tentative, as the underlying assumptions about mutation rates, selective forces, and gene transfer events are uncertain.

*Acknowledgments.* We are happy to acknowledge helpful comments on the manuscript from B.E. Blaisdell, V. Brendel, and C. Burge. S.K. is supported in part by NIH Grants 5RO1GM10452-33 and 2RO1HG00335-09, NSF Grant DMS9403553-002, and SB-Stanford Research Project 1997.

#### References

- Baldauf SL, Palmer JD, Doolittle WF (1996) The root of the universal tree and the origin of eukaryotes based on elongation factor phylogeny. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:7749–7754
- Benachenhou-Lahfa N, Forterre P, Labedan B (1993) Evolution of glutamate dehydrogenase genes: Evidence for two paralogous protein families and unusual branching patterns of the archaebacteria in the universal tree of life. J Mol Evol 36:335–346
- Blond-Elguindi S, Cwirla SE, Dower WJ, Lipshutz RJ, Sprang SR,

Sambrook JF, Gething MJ (1994) Affinity panning of a library of peptides displayed on bacteriophages reveals the binding specificity of BiP. Cell 75:717–728.

- Boorstein WR, Ziegelhoffer T, Craig EA (1994) Molecular evolution of the HSP70 multigene family. J Mol Evol 38:1–17
- Brendel V, Bucher P, Nourbakhsh IR, Blaisdell BE, Karlin S (1992) Methods and algorithms for statistical analysis of protein sequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89:2002–2006
- Brendel V, Brocchieri L, Sandler SJ, Clark AJ, Karlin S (1997) Evolutionary comparisons of RecA-like proteins across all major kingdoms of living organisms. J Mol Evol 44:528–541
- Brocchieri L, Karlin S (1998) A symmetric-iterated multiple alignment of protein sequences. J Mol Biol 276:249–264
- Brown JR, Doolittle WF (1997) Archaea and the Prokaryote-to-Eukaryote transition. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 61:456–502
- Brown JR, Masuchi Y, Robb FT, Doolittle WF (1994) Evolutionary relationships of bacterial and archaeal glutamine synthetase genes. J Mol Evol 38:566–576
- Creti R, Ceccarelli E, Bocchetta M, Sanangelantoni AM, Tiboni O, Palm P, Cammarano P (1994) Evolution of translational elongation factor (EF) sequences: Reliability of global phylogenies inferred from EF-1 alpha(Tu) and EF-2(G) proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:3255–3259
- Doolittle WF (1996) At the core of the Archaea. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:8797–8799
- Flynn GC, Pohl J, Flocco MT, Rothman JE (1991) Peptide-binding specificity of the molecular chaperone BiP. Nature 353:726–730
- Germot A, Philippe H, Le Guyader H (1996) Presence of a mitochondrial-type 70-kDa heat shock protein in *Trichomonas vaginalis* suggests a very early mitochondrial endosymbiosis in eukaryotes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:14614–14617
- Gething MJ, Sambrook J (1992) Protein folding in the cell. Nature 355:33-45
- Gray MW, Spencer DE (1996) Organellar evolution in Evolution of Microbial Life eds. Roberts DM, Sharp P, Alderson G, Collins MA, Cambridge Univ Press. 109–126
- Grishin NV (1995) Estimation of the number of amino acid substitutions per site when the substitution rate varies among sites. J Mol Evol 41:675–679
- Gupta RS, Golding GB (1993) Evolution of HSP70 gene and its implications regarding relationships between archaebacteria, eubacteria, and eukaryotes. J Mol Evol 37:573–582
- Gupta RS, Golding GB (1996) The origin of the eukaryotic cell. Trends Biochem Sci 21:166–171
- Gupta RS, Singh B (1994) Phylogenetic analysis of 70 kD heat shock protein sequences suggests a chimeric origin for the eukaryotic cell nucleus. Curr Biol 4:1104–1114
- Gupta RS, Bustard K, Falah M, Singh D (1997) Sequencing of heat shock protein 70 (DnaK) homologs from *Deinococcus proteolyticus* and *Thermomicrobium roseum* and their integration in a protein-based phylogeny of prokaryotes. J Bacteriol 179:345–357
- Iwabe N, Kuma K, Hasegawa M, Osawa S, Miyata T (1989) Evolu-

tionary relationship of archaebacteria, eubacteria, and eukaryotes inferred from phylogenetic trees of duplicated genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 86:9355–9359

- Karlin S (1994) Statistical studies of bimolecular sequences: scorebased methods. Phil Trans R Soc Lond B 344:391–402
- Karlin S (1995) Statistical significance of sequence patterns in proteins. Curr Opin Struct Biol 5:360–371
- Karlin S, Brocchieri L (1996) Evolutionary conservation of RecA genes in relation to protein structure and function. J Bacteriol 178: 1881–1894
- Karlin S, Campbell AM (1994) Which bacterium is the ancestor of the animal mitochondrial genome? Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:12842– 12846
- Karlin S, Cardon L (1994) Computational DNA sequence analysis. Annu Rev Microbiol 48:619–654
- Karlin S, Mrázek J (1997a) Compositional differences within and between eukaryotic genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:10227– 10232
- Karlin S, Mrázek J (1997b) Prokaryotic genome-wide comparisons and evolutionary implications. In: de Bruijn FJ, Lupski J, Weinstock G (eds). Bacterial genomes: Physical structure and analysis, in press. Chapman, Hall, New York
- Karlin S, Blaisdell BE, Brendel V (1990) Identification of significant sequence patterns in proteins. In: Doolittle R (ed) Methods of enzymology, Academic Press, San Diego, CA
- Karlin S, Weinstock G, Brendel V (1995) Bacterial classifications derived from RecA protein sequence comparisons. J Bacteriol 177: 6881–6893
- Karlin S, Mrázek J, Campbell AM (1997) Compositional biases of bacterial genomes and evolutionary implications. J Bacteriol 179: 3899–3913
- Lake JA (1994) Reconstructing evolutionary trees from DNA and protein sequences: Paralinear distances. Proc Natl Acad Sci 91:1455– 1459
- Ortner S, Plaimauer B, Binder M, Wiedermann G, Scheiner O, Duchene M (1992) Humoral immune response against a 70-kilodalton heat shock protein of *Entamoeba histolytica* in a group of patients with invasive amoebiases. Mol Biochem Parasitol 54:175–184
- Roger AJ, Clark CG, Doolittle WF (1996) A possible mitochondrial gene in the early-branching amitochondriate protist *Trichomonas* vaginalis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:14618–14622
- Roger AJ, Svard SG, Tovar J, Clark CG, Smith MW, Gillin FD, Sogin ML (1998) A mitochondrial-like chaperonin 60 gene in *Giardia lamblia*: Evidence that diplomonads once harbored an endosymbiont related to the progenitor of mitochondria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:229–234
- Viale AM, Arakaki AK (1994) The chaperonin connection to the origins of the eukaryotic organelles. FEBS LETT 341:146–151
- Woese CR, Kandler O, Wheelis ML (1990) Towards a natural system of organisms: Proposal for the domains Archaea, Bacteria, and Eukarya. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87:4576–4579