

© Springer-Verlag New York Inc. 1998

# **Identification of Major Phylogenetic Branches of Inhibitory Ligand-Gated Channel Receptors**

# **Hong Xue**

Department of Biochemistry, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Hong Kong

Received: 15 April 1997 / Accepted: 11 March 1998

Abstract. The gene superfamily of ligand-gated ion channel (LGIC) receptors is composed of members of excitatory LGIC receptors (ELGIC) and inhibitory LGIC receptors (ILGIC), all using amino acids as ligands. The ILGICs, including  $GABA_A$ , Gly, and GluCl receptors, conduct Cl− when the ligand is bound. To evaluate the phylogenetic relationships among ILGIC members, 90 protein sequences were analyzed by both maximumparsimony and distance matrix-based methods. The strength of the resulting phylogenetic trees was evaluated by means of bootstrap. Four major phylogenetic branches are recognized. Branch I, called BZ, for the majority of the members are known to be related to benzodiazepine binding, is subdivided into IA, composed of all GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\alpha$  subunits, and IB, composed of the  $\gamma$  and  $\varepsilon$  subunits, which are shown to be tightly linked. Branch II, named NB for non–benzodiazepine binding, and consisting of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\pi$ , and  $\rho$  subunits, is further subdivided into IIA, containing  $\beta$  subunits; IIB, containing  $\delta$ , and  $\pi$  subunits; and IIC, containing  $\rho$  subunits. Branch IIIA, composed of vertebrate Gly receptors, is loosely clustered with Branch IIIB, composed of invertebrate GluCl receptors, to form Branch III, which is designated NA for being non– GABA responsive. Branch IV is called UD for being undefined in specificity. The existence of primitive forms of  $GABA_A$  receptor non- $\beta$  subunits in invertebrates is first suggested by the present analysis, and the identities of sequences p25123 from *Drosophila melanogaster,* s34469 from *Lymnaea stagnalis,* and u14635

and p41849 from *C. aenorhabditis elegans* are determined to be different from their previously given annotations. The proposed branching classification of ILGICs provides a phylogenetic map, based on protein sequences, for tracing the evolutionary pathways of ILGIC receptor subunits and determining the identities of newly discovered subunits on the basis of their protein sequences.

**Key words:** Classification — Evolution —  $\gamma$ -Aminobutyric  $\text{acid}_A$  — Glutamate — Glycine — Ligand-gated channel — Phylogeny — Receptor

# **Introduction**

Ligand-gated ion channel (LGIC) receptors form a gene superfamily (Betz 1990) composed of excitatory cation channels gated by acetylcholine (nACh) and serotonin (5HT<sub>3</sub>) and inhibitory anion channels gated by  $\gamma$ aminobutyric acid (GABA $_A$ ), glycine (Gly), and glutamate (GluCl). The members of the superfamily share high degrees of amino acid sequence similarities and are therefore believed to have similar three-dimensional (3- D) structures (Unwin 1993). They are likely to be heteroor homopentamers, with a large N-terminal extracellular domain followed by transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains (Karlin 1993). As members of the LGIC superfamily are often important pharmaceutical targets, their 3-D structural resolution would greatly facilitate the study of drug–receptor interactions. However, the oligomeric and membrane-bound nature of these receptors has *Correspondence to:* H. Xue; *e-mail:* hxue@usthk.ust.hk so far obstructed all attempts to obtain suitable starting 324



materials for 3-D structural studies by either X-ray or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). The lack of information about the origin of LGIC receptors has also hampered the application of homology modeling to elucidate the tertiary structure of these receptors.

As a result of the combined power of patch clamping and molecular genetics, new ion channel receptors from various phylogenetic sources are constantly being cloned and characterized (Betz 1990). These advances along with progress in genomic sequencing, including the complete sequencing of four entire genomes from three phylogenetic kingdoms (Garret 1996), have made possible an evolutionary analysis of the LGIC receptor superfamily. The molecular evolution of nACh receptors, an excitatory LGIC (or ELGIC) multigene family, has been the topic of several publications (Novére and Changeux 1995; Ortells and Lunt 1995; Gundelfinger 1995), in contrast to the much less discussed inhibitory LGIC (or ILGIC) receptors. Recently, Ortells and Lunt (1995) analyzed the evolutionary history of the whole superfamily of LGIC receptors, including 47  $GABA_A$  and Gly receptors, but not the GluCl receptors. Their study was based on nucleic acid sequences of the receptor subunits. In contrast, the present study attempts to infer phylogenies from protein sequences, since the greater conservation of amino acid sequences should allow us to bring more information to bear on ancient origins of lineages. Only the phylogeny of ILGICs as a subissue of the phylogeny of the whole LGIC superfamily is addressed. Accordingly, 90 protein sequences of ILGIC members, including  $GABA_A$ , Gly, and GluCl receptor subunits, are statistically analyzed for their evolutionary relationships using both cladistic and phenetic methods supported by the use of the resampling method, bootstrap, to test the robustness of resulting tree nodes. Known ELGIC receptor subunits are used as the outgroup, which offers the best basis for placing the root on the phylogenetic trees. The level of sequence density employed has allowed the delineation of four major phylogenetic branches. This branching classification provides a basis for not only tracing the evolutionary history of ILGICs, but also predicting the ligand specificity of newly discovered ILGIC receptor subunits.

#### **Materials and Methods**

## *Sequence Data*

Protein sequences were obtained from the SwissProt (release 34.0) or PIR (release 50.0) databases or deduced from DNA sequences in the GenBank (release 97.0) database. We used the tools provided with the Sequence Analysis Software Package, GCG, Version 8.1.0 (Genetic Computer Group 1994), EGCG (Rice 1996), and Entrez available at the National Center of Biotechnology Information for database search and sequence conversion. The sequences employed are listed in Table 1 for vertebrates and Table 2 for invertebrates.

# *Alignment of Sequences*

Multiple sequence alignments were performed by means of the program PILEUP from the GCG package, according to the empirical scor-

#### **Table 2.** Thirty-six sequences of ILGICs from invertebrates



<sup>a</sup> Accession No.: underlined, suggested identity is different from previous annotation; boldface, newly suggested identity.

 $b$  GA, GABA<sub>A</sub>; GL, GluCl/Gly; ILGIC, undifferentiated ILGIC;  $-$ , not included in the present analysis.

ing matrix PAM250 (Dayhoff 1979), with a gap creation weight of 6.0 and a gap length weight of 0.01. Most variable sequences from the N and C termini are excluded from further analysis. The alignments were cross-checked using a more sensitive program, CLUSTAW (Thompson et al. 1994), which made no difference to the final results.

# *Phylogenetic Analyses*

Multiple alignments of amino acid sequences were analyzed using the PHYLIP 3.57c software package (Felsentein 1993). For cladistic analysis, the program PROTPARS based on the maximum-parsimony algorithm (Fitch 1971) was used to construct phylogenetic trees. For phenetic analysis, the program FITCH (Fitch and Margoliash 1967) was employed. Based on sequence alignments corrected distances were calculated according to the PAM250 scoring matrix using PRODIST of the PHYLIP package and scaled in expected historical events per site (Dayhoff 1979). Gaps were treated as missing data and excluded from the calculations. The matrices were then used to construct additional trees by the least-squares method of program FITCH from the PHYLIP package (Fitch and Margoliash 1967). The strength of the tree topology was tested by bootstrap analysis (Felsenstein 1985) with either 100 or 1000 (as specified in the figure legends) replications employing the program SEQBOOT. Majority-rule consensus trees were obtained with the program CONSENSE.

## **Results and Discussion**

Ninety-four protein sequences (Tables 1 and 2) were retrieved from databases. They all have some highly characteristic sequence motif, for example, a 15-residue cysteine (Cys) loop in the N-terminal domain, and all are annotated as ligand-gated Cl− ion channel receptors regardless of the ligand being GABA, Gly, Glu, or, in some cases, unidentified. Eighty-eight sequences of ninety-five were aligned with PILEUP, five of the remaining seven sequences bearing excessive variations and two others being published recently (Hedblom and



**Fig. 1.** Bootstrap majority-rule consensus tree obtained from 100 replicates (SEQBOOT, PROTPARS, and CONSENSE programs) of 88 ILGIC sequences using nACh receptor sequence ach9\_rat as an outgroup with 80 informative sites. For clarity, bootstrap numbers are omitted. Abbreviations used in Figs.  $1-4$ : GAB, GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor;

GLY, Gly receptor; GLU, Glu receptor; a,  $\alpha$  subunit; b,  $\beta$  subunit; g,  $\gamma$  subunit; d,  $\delta$  subunit; e,  $\varepsilon$  subunit; p,  $\pi$  subunit; r,  $\rho$  subunit; chick, chicken; gfish, goldfish; drome, *Drosophila melanogaster;* lymst, *Lymnaea stagnalis;* caeel, *Caenorhabditis elegans;* haeco, *Haemonchus contortus;* oncvo, *Onchocerca volvulus.*

Kirkness 1997). Two most highly conserved regions are revealed by the alignment. The first region covers the 15-residue Cys loop, while the second spans the three tentative transmembrane segments M1–M3. Other regions of the sequences are generally more variable than these two. For example, a LGIC-like sequence (Accession No. x78349 (Harvey et al. 1994) from *Drosophila melanogaster* has a long insertion of about 70 residues between the two regions. The consensus tree obtained from 100 bootstrap replicates is shown in Fig. 1.

To carry out a thorough search of tree topologies, the 90 sequences were divided into smaller groups and subjected to further extensive analysis. Results from analyzing various combinations of sequences are summarized in Table 3. Only one example of such group analysis is provided graphically (Figs. 2 and 3). This group is a

#### **Table 3.** Branch classification of ILGIC receptor subunits



<sup>a</sup> BZ, relevant to benzodiazepine binding; NB, not involved in benzodiazepine binding; NA, nonresponsive to GABA; UD, specificity undefined.

collection of 30 representative sequences (Figs. 2 and 3). This sample collection included one sequence from each subtype of the GABA, and Gly receptors, along with the nACh receptor subunit ach9\_rat as an outgroup. Sequences from rat were chosen from orthologs wherever feasible. For GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\gamma$ 4 and  $\beta$ 4, for which no rat orthologs were available, chicken sequences were employed. Seven invertebrate sequences were also included due to their being significantly different from the vertebrate sequences. Among them, three were from *Drosophila melanogaster,* i.e., gab\_drome, x78349, and u58776. Two of them, gab\_lymst and s34469, were from *Lymnaea stagnalis,* while the other two, u14525 and y09796, were from *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Haemonchus contortus,* respectively. Other group-analysis results are available on request.

#### *Branches of ILGICs*

Four major branches were delineated by both the maximum-parsimony (Figs. 1 and 2) and the distance matrixbased (Fig. 3) methods. Branches I and III each can be further subdivided into two secondary branches, A and B, while Branch II is divided into A, B, and C (Table 3). Alignment with either ach1\_caeel or 5ht3\_mouse as an outgroup did not change these tree topologies. The ranges of pairwise distances between and within branches are presented in Table 4 to provide a numerical estimation of the relationships among the sequences. While the interbranch distances point to the relative closeness between branches, the intrabranch distances shown on the diagonal in Table 4 are indicative of the relative closeness between members of the same branch. The striking difference in pairwise distance between orthologs (Table 5) suggests an inconstancy in evolutionary rates of ILGICs. Therefore no attempt was made to estimate the divergence times using the molecular clock assumption.

## *GABAA Receptor Subunits*

Fifty-three  $GABA_A$  receptor sequences (Tables 1 and 2), forty-four from mammals, five from chicken, one from goldfish, two from fruit fly, and one from the great pond snail, were analyzed. Among these are two newly discovered subunit classes, namely,  $\varepsilon$  (Davies et al. 1997) and  $\pi$  (Hedblom and Kirkness 1997) subunits. All known subtypes of  $GABA_A$  receptor subunits can be divided into the two monophyletic Branches I and II (Figs. 2 and 3). Branch I comprises all known  $GABA_A$ receptor  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  subunit sequences, plus the new class, the  $\varepsilon$  subunit. As the  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  subunits are known to carry, respectively, the principal and the complementary parts of benzodiazepine sites, Branch I is called BZ. Branch I is further divided into two monophyla, named IA for all  $\alpha$  subunits and IB for all  $\gamma$  and  $\varepsilon$  subunits. Branch II, composed of  $GABA_A$  receptor  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\rho$ subunits as well as the new class, the  $\pi$  subunit, is designated NB because a majority of the members of this branch are known not to be directly relevant to benzodiazepine binding. Under Branch II, all  $\beta$  subunits clearly form the monophylum IIA, and all  $\rho$  subunits form another monophylum, IIC. Bootstrap did not strongly support the assignment of  $\delta$  subunits as either IIA or IIC members. They are therefore assigned to a separated secondary branch, IIB. The newly discovered  $\pi$  subunit is assigned to IIB based on our analysis.

Most recently, Davies et al. (1997) suggested the occurrence of a new class of human  $GABA_A$  receptor subunits,  $\varepsilon$ , based on its pharmacological property of conferring insensitivity to the potentiating effects of the intravenous anesthetic agents propofol, pentobarbital, and pregnanolone. The sequence of the  $\varepsilon$  subunit is nearly identical to that of a putative GABA-gated chloride channel subunit expressed in the human cardiac conduction system (Garret et al. 1997), with the exception that the former has one extravaline (Val) residue at position 261. The latter was not included in our analysis, to avoid redundancy. The  $\varepsilon$  subunit is shown by our analysis (Figs. 2 and 3) to form a monophyletic group with all the other  $\gamma$  subunits. In this sense, it may be regarded as a type of  $\gamma$  subunit rather than a separate class.

## *Gly and GluCl Receptor Subunits*

Branch III is named NA for being non–GABA responsive; it is essentially a collection of chloride channel receptors gated by either Gly or Glu. The fact that



**Fig. 2.** Bootstrap majority-rule consensus tree obtained from 1000 replicates (SEQBOOT, PROTPARS, and CONSENSE programs) of 30 ILGIC sequences along with nACh receptor sequence ach9\_rat as an outgroup. The reconstruction is based on the alignment shown in Appendix 1 with 267 informative sites. The *numbers beneath the branches* give the bootstrap values of 1000 replications.

 $GABA_A$  and Gly receptors are closely related has long been noted from their high sequence similarity. This close relationship is statistically confirmed by the present analysis. In an evolutionary analysis of the whole LGIC receptor superfamily, including ELGICs and ILGICs, Ortells and Lunt (1995) suggested that Gly receptors were derived from  $GABA_A$  receptors. Although the present study does not provide strong support for this hypothesis, the closeness between  $GABA_A$  and Gly receptors is evident from the pairwise distance values (Table 4). It has been noted that the sequences of GluCl receptors are related to those of Gly and  $GABA_A$  receptors (Cully et al. 1994). Figures 2 and 3 further suggest that GluCl receptors are closer to Gly receptors than they are to  $GABA_A$  receptors.

The clustering of Gly and GluCl receptors into Branch III is indicated by both cladistic (Fig. 2) and phenetic (Fig. 3) analyses, but only weakly supported by bootstrap. This looseness in the clustering as shown by the low bootstrapping rate and the relatively large distances (Table 4) between Gly and GluCl suggest that contemporary Gly and GluCl receptors are derived from a rela-



**Fig. 3.** Bootstrap majority-rule consensus tree obtained from 1000 replicates (SEQBOOT, PROTDIST, FITCH, and CONSENSE programs) of 30 ILGIC sequences along with nACh receptor sequence ach9\_rat as an outgroup. The reconstruction is based on the alignment shown in Appendix 1 with 267 informative sites. The *numbers beneath the branches* give the bootstrap values of 1000 replications.

tively remote common ancestor. The internal stabilities of the two secondary branches, IIIA, with all the Gly receptors, and IIIB, with all the GluCl receptors, are strongly established by bootstrapping. This confers substantial confidence in the identification of any potential Gly or GluCl receptors on the basis of protein sequence alone.

So far no GluCl receptor has been discovered in vertebrates, and likewise no Gly receptor could be confirmed in invertebrates (Laughton et al. 1994). Three sequences from *C. elegans,* namely, p41849, u28929, and z68217, were previously annotated as Gly or Glylike receptor subunits (Table 2). Instead, they are found to be members of Branch IV (Table 3). This would suggest that the specialization of Gly and GluCl receptors must have occurred after the divergence of invertebrates and vertebrates. Given the clustering of Branch IIIA with Branch IIIB, Gly and GluCl receptors possibly represent the vertebrate and invertebrate counterparts of one another. This agrees with the suggestion of Vassilatis et al. (1997), based on their gene structure comparisons and phylogenetic analysis, that invertebrate GluCl receptors may be orthologous to the vertebrate Gly receptors, although no outgroup was employed in their analysis.

# *ILGIC Receptor in Invertebrates*

Invertebrate ILGIC sequences are listed in Table 2. The sequences include those obtained by Wilson et al. (1994)

	<b>Branch</b>								
Branch	IA	IΒ	IIA/B	IIС	ШA	<b>IIIB</b>	IV		
ĪА	$0.66 - 61.62$	70.45–93.69	$96.32 - 126.38$	105.13–135.82	95.89 - 145.25	95.55-167.90	124.47-177.95		
ΙB		1.08-40.47	93.44-111.01	$110.31 - 125.35$	98.35-130.09	103.54–152.80	117.35-162.44		
IIА			$0.21 - 98.88$	93.18-114.42	93.55-121.01	97.98-150.86	119.23-169.79		
IIВ				5.44 - 48.72	$109.12 - 129.29$	104.40-154.24	121.70-159.81		
ШA					$0.22 - 78.30$	87.86-156.69	109.05-160.20		
ШB						17.58-115.59	100.69-195.52		
IV							51.0-178.53		

**Table 5.** Distances between orthologs



from a 2.2-Mb fragment of *C. elegans* chromosome III (Wilson et al. 1994), with at least 24 open reading frames encoding proteins bearing sequence similarity to LGIC receptors. All 11 current members of Branch IV (Tables 2 and 3) are from *C. elegans.* It remains to be seen whether the completion of additional genome sequencing will reveal additional Branch IV members that could shed more light on the nature of this phylogenetic branch. The distances between Branch IV and each of other three branches are of the same order of magnitude (Table 4). In view of the relatively large distances between Branch IV and the other branches, the separations of the three other branches from Branch IV were evidently remote historical events. The large intrabranch distances within Branch IV suggest that the original divergences of the various Branch IV members were likewise ancient occurrences.

The classification scheme developed and illustrated in Figs. 1–3 suggests the nature of many of these invertebrate sequences (Tables 2 and 3) whose specifications were hitherto unknown. These include the assignment of u41113, u40573, and u64840 from *C. elegans* to Branch

330

Instances where the present branching classification results in a revision of earlier annotations include the placement of s34469 from the great pond snail, previously designated the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\zeta$  subunit (Hutton

may well have altered its ligand specificity.

IIIB (Figs. 2 and 3, Tables 2 and 3), thereby implying a GluCl identity, and x78349 from *Drosophila melanogaster* to Branch 1, thereby implying a GABA<sub>A</sub>  $\alpha/\gamma$  identity, although the large insertion in its extracellular portion

et al. 1993), closer to Gly/GluCl than to  $GABA_A$  receptors by both the PROTPARS (Fig. 2) and the FITCH (Fig. 3) procedures; also, P41849 from *C. elegans* is grouped with other Branch IV sequences instead of Gly sequences as had been suggested (Swiss-Prot annotation). In yet another example, *C. elegans* u14635 (Wilson et al. 1994), previously annotated as a  $GABA_{A}/Gly$  subunit (Swiss-Prot annotation), is assigned to Branch IIIB (Figs. 2 and 3, Table 3), which implies a potential GluCl identity.

The monophylum consisting of p25123, m69057, and u02042 from *Drosophila melanogaster,* u40187 and z50016 from *C. elegans,* and s33744 from *Aedes aegypti,* represents an intermediate group. All six sequences were previously annotated as GABA or GABA-like, but the present study suggests that they are more likely to be evolutionary intermediates rather than well-differentiated  $GABA_A$  receptor subunits. Two of these six sequences, namely, m69057 and s33744, are known to confer cyclodiene resistance (Table 2).

In invertebrates, hitherto only  $\beta$  subunits have been encountered, and there has been no suggestion made of the occurrence of  $GABA_A$  non- $\beta$  subunits in invertebrates. In the present analysis, however, the *Drosophila melanogaster* sequence x78349 is found to cluster with the non- $\beta$  Branch I sequences (Figs. 2 and 3). The distances between x78349 and Branch I vertebrate sequences are 93.66–120.73, which are smaller than the distances of 123.83–135.79 between x78349 and other *Drosophila melanogaster* ILGICs. Therefore x78349 is closer to Branch I than to other *Drosophila melanogaster* sequences, with the major difference between x78349 and Branch I sequences arising from a single large insertion at its amino-terminal domain (Harvey et al. 1994). In line with this tentative identification of x78349

GABrl_rat	RVTVTAMCNMDFSRFPLDTQTCSLEIESY				
GABr2 rat	RITVTAMCNMDFSHFPLDSOTCSLELESY				
GABr3_rat	RITVSAMCFMDFSRFPLDTONCSLELESY				
GABb2 rat	RITTTAACMMDLRRYPLDEONCTLEIESY				
GABb3_rat	RITTTAACMMDLRRYPLDEONCTLEIESY				
GABb1_rat	RITTTAACMMDLRRYPLDEONCTLEIESY				
GABb4_chick	RITTTAACMMDLRRYPLDOONCTLEIESY				
GABb3 drome	RFTTTLACMMDLHYYPLDSONCTVEIESY				
GABb lymst	RFTTTLACMMDLHNYPLDHOECTVEIESY				
GABp_rat	RITTTVTCNMDLSKYPMDTOTCKLOLESY				
GABd rat	RITSTVACDMDLAKYPMDEOECMLDLESY				
GABa4 rat	RLTISAEC PMRLVDFPMDGHACPLKFGSY				
GABa6_rat	RLTINAD <b>C</b> PMRLVNF <b>PMD</b> GHA <mark>C PLKFGS</mark> Y				
GABal_rat	RLTVRAEC PMHLEDFPMDAHAC PLKFGS Y				
GABa3_rat	RLTIHAEC PMHLEDFP MD VHAC PLKFGS Y				
GABa2_rat	RLTVQAEC PMHLEDFPMDAHSC PLKFGS Y				
GABa5_rat	RLTISAEC PMQLEDFPMDAHAC PLKFGSY				
GABg1_rat	RLTINAEC YLOLHNFPMD EHSC PLEFSS Y				
GABg2 rat	RLTIDAECOLOLHNFPMDEHSCPLEFSSY				
GABg3_rat	RLTINAECOLOLHNFPMDAHACPLTFSSY				
GABg4_chick	RLTIEAECLLOLONFPMDTHSCPLVFSSY				
GABe human	RMTIDAGC SLHMLRFPMD SHSC PLSFSS F				
x78349	RLTIKAGC PMNLADFPMD I OKC PLKFGS F				
x73584	RLTIKTK <b>C</b> LMFLKKF <b>PMD</b> VOA <mark>CP</mark> IEIG <b>S</b> L				
GLYal rat	RITLTLAC PMDLKNFPMDVOTCIMOLESF				
GLYa3_rat	RLTLTLSC PMDLKNFPMDVOTC IMOLES F				
GLYa2_rat	RLTLTLSC PMDLKNFPMDVOTCTMOLESF				
GLYb rat	RLSITLSC PLDLTLFPMDTORCKMOLESF				
GLUb_caeel	RISLTSSCPMRLQLYPLDYQSCNFDLVSY				
GLUb_haeco	RISITSSCHMQLQLYPLDLQFCDFDLVSY				
GLU drome	RISLTLAC PMNLKLYPLDRQIC SLRMASY				
ACh9 rat	PAITKSSCVVDVTYFPFDSOOCNLTFGSW				
LGIC common	c	P	D	с	S
ILGIC specific	R				
Branch I specific			Н	P	

**Fig. 4.** The neighborhood of the conserved Cys–Cys loop in 31 representative ILGIC subunits aligned with the outgroup ACh9\_rat. Residues common to both ILGIC and ELGIC are in *boldface.* They are indicated at the *bottom,* along with residues specific to ILGIC or Branch I members. The Cys–Pro doublet characteristic to Branch I is *boxed.*

as a primitive form of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor  $\alpha/\gamma$  subunit, sequence x73584 from the nematode *Haemonchus contortus* also displays  $\alpha/\gamma$ -like properties, showing in the C–C loop region the characteristic Cys–proline (Pro) doublet that is unique for Branch I sequences (Fig. 4). Based on x78349 and supported by x73584, the present analysis therefore provides evidence for the first time of the existence of primitive non- $\beta$  GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits among invertebrates.

*Acknowledgments.* I would like to thank Dr. J. Tze-Fei Wong for expert discussion and critical review of the manuscript and Dr. J. Felsenstein for provision of the PHYLIP program. The computational facility of SEQNET at Daresbury Laboratory, Science & Engineering Research Council, Warrington, UK, has been most helpful in the completion of this project. Support by the Hong Kong Government Industry Department is gratefully acknowledged.

# **References**

- Antkiewicz-Michaluk L, Guidotti A, Kruger KE (1988) Molecular characterization and mitochondrial density of a recognition site for peripheral-type benzodiazepine ligands. Mol Pharmacol 34:272– 278
- Arena JP, Liu KK, Paress PS, Cully DF (1991) Avermectin-sensitive chloride currents induced by *Caenorhabditis elegans* RNA in *Xenopus oocytes.* Mol Pharmacol 40:368–374
- Arena JP, Liu KK, Paress PS, Schaeffer JM, Cully DF (1992) Expres-

sion of a glutamate-activated chloride current in *Xenopus oocytes* injected with *Caenorhabditis elegans* RNA: evidence for modulation by avermectin. Mol Brain Res 15:339–348

- Betz H (1990) Ligand-gated ion channels in the brain: the amino acid receptor superfamily. Neuron 5:383–392
- Cully DF, Vassilatis DK, Liu KK, Paress PS, Van der Ploeg LHT, Schaeffer JM, Arena JP (1994) Cloning of an avermectin-sensitive glutamate-gated chloride channel from *Caenorhabditis elegans.* Nature 371:707–711
- Cully DF, Paress PS, Liu KK, Schaeffer JM, Arena JP (1996) Identification of a *Drosophila melanogaster* glutamate-gated chloride channel sensitive to the antiparasitic agent avermectin. J Biol Chem 271:20187–20191
- Davies PA, Hanna MC, Hales TG, Kirkness EF (1997) Insensitivity to anaesthetic agents conferred by a class of  $GABA_A$  receptor subunit. Nature 385:820–823
- Dayhoff MO (1979) Atlas of protein sequence and structure, Vol 5, Suppl 3, National Biomedical Research Foundation, Washington, DC
- Felsentein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791
- Felsenstein J (1993) PHYLIP (phylogeny inference package), version 3.5c. Department of Genetics, University of Washington, Seattle
- Ffrench-Constant RH, Rocheleau TA, Steichen JC, Chalmers AE (1993) A point mutation in a *Drosophila melanogaster* GABA receptor confers insecticide resistance. Nature 363:449–451
- Fitch WM (1971) Toward defining the course of evolution: minimum change for a specified tree topoloty. Syst Zool 20:406–416
- Fitch WM, Margoliash E (1967) Construction of phylogenetic trees. Science 155:279–284
- Garret M, Bascles L, Boue-Grabot E, Sartor P, Charron G, Bloch B and Margolskee RF (1997) An mRNA encoding a putative GABAgated chloride channel is expressed in the human cardiac conduction system. J Neurochem 68:1382–1389
- Garrett RA (1996) Genomes: *Methanococcus jannaschii,* the golden fleece. Curr Biol 6:1377–138
- Geary TG, Klein RD, Vanover L, Bowman JW, Thompson DP (1992) The nervous system of helminths as targets for drugs. J Parasitol 78:215–230
- Gundelfinger ED (1992) How complex is the nicotinic receptor system of insects? Trends Neurosci 15:206–211
- Gundelfinger ED (1995) Evolution, desensitization of LGIC receptors. Trends Neurosci 18:297
- Harvey RJ, Schmitt B, Hermans-Borgmeyer I, Gundelfinger ED, Betz H, Darlison MG (1994) Sequence of a *Drosophila melanogaster* ligand-gated ion-channel polypeptide with an unusual aminoterminal extracellular domain. J Neurochem 62:2480–2483
- Hedblom E and Kirkness EF (1997) A novel class of GABAA receptor subunit in tissues of the reproductive system. J Biol Chem 272: 15346–15350
- Holden-Dye L, Krogsgaard-Larsen P, Nielsen L, Walker RJ (1989) GABA receptors on the somatic muscle cells of the parasitic nematode, *Ascaris suum:* stereoselectivity indicates similarity to a GABAA-type agonist recognition site. Br J Pharmacol 98:841–850
- Hutton ML, Harvey RJ, Earley FG, Barnard EA, Darlison MG (1993) A novel invertebrate  $GABA_A$  receptor-like polypeptide: sequence and pattern of gene expression. FEBS Lett 326:112–116
- Jukes TH, Cantor CR (1969) Evolution of protein molecules. In: Munro HN (ed) Mammalian protein metabolism. Academic Press, New York, pp 21–132
- Karlin A (1993) Structure of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Curr Opin Neurobiol 3:299–309
- Laughton DL, Amar M, Thomas P, Towner P, Harris P, Lunt GG, Wolstenholme AJ (1994) Cloning of a putative inhibitory amino acid receptor subunit from the parasitic nematode *Haemonchus contortus.* Receptors Channels 2:155–163
- Le Novére N, Changeux JP (1995) Molecular evolution of the nicotinic

acetylcholine receptor: an example of multigene family in excitable cells. J Mol Evol 40:155–172

- Marshall J, Buckingham SD, Shingai R, Lunt GG, Goosey MW, Darlison MG, Sattelle DB, Barnard EA (1990) Sequence and functional expression of a single  $\alpha$  subunit of an insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. EMBO J 9:4391–4398
- Martin RJ, Pennington AJ, Duittoz AH, Robertson S, Kusel JR (1991) The physiology and pharmacology of neuromuscular transmission in the nematode parasite. *Ascaris suum.* Parasitology 102 (Suppl):S41–S58
- Ono JK, Salvaterra PM (1981) Snake alpha-toxin effects on cholinergic and noncholinergic response of Aplysia california neurons. J Neurosci 1:259–270
- Ortells MO, Lunt GG (1995) Evolutionary history of the ligand-gated ion-channel superfamily of receptors. Trends Neurosci 18:121–127
- Rich P et al. (1996) The EGCG extension to the Wisconsin Package Version 8.1.1, Sanger Center, Hinxton, England

Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTAL W: improv-

ing the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 22:4673–4680

- Unwin N (1993) The nicotinic acetylcholine receptor at 9 Å resolution. J Mol Biol 229:1101–1124
- Walker RJ, Holden-Dye L (1991) Evolutionary aspects of transmitter molecules, their receptors and channels. Parasitology 102 (Suppl):S7–S29
- Walker RJ, Colquhoun L, Holden-Dye L (1992) Pharmacological profiles of the GABA and acetylcholine receptors from the nematode, *Ascaris suum.* Acta Biol Hung 43:59–68
- Wieland HA, Lüddens H, Seeburg PH (1992) Molecular determinants in GABA<sub>A</sub>/BZ receptor subtypes. In: Biggio G, Concas A, Costa E (eds) GABAergic synaptic transmission. Raven Press, New York, pp 29–40
- Wilson R, Ainscough R, Anderson K, et al. (1994) 2.2 Mb of contiguous nucleotide sequence from chromosome III of *C. elegans.* Nature 368:32–38

# **Appendix**





