

## On estimates in Hardy spaces for the Stokes flow in a half space

Yoshikazu Giga<sup>1,\*</sup>, Shin'ya Matsui<sup>2,\*\*</sup>, Yasuyuki Shimizu<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Business Administration and Information Science, Hokkaido Information University, Nishi-Nopporo, Ebetsu 069, Japan

Received June 5, 1997; in final form May 4, 1998

### 0. Introduction

We consider the Stokes equation

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t - \Delta u + \nabla p &= 0, \operatorname{div} u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u &= u_0 \text{ at } t = 0, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

in a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) with smooth boundary. Here  $u = (u^1, \dots, u^n)$  are unknown velocity field and  $p$  is unknown pressure field. Initial data  $u_0$  is assumed to satisfy a *compatibility condition* :  $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$  in  $\Omega$  and the normal component of  $u_0$  equals zero on  $\partial\Omega$ . This system is a typical parabolic equation and it has several properties resembling the heat equation.

If  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u$  is reduced to a solution of the heat equation with initial data  $u_0$  because there is no boundary condition. For example, a regularity-decay estimate

$$(0.2) \quad \|\nabla u(t)\|_p \leq Ct^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_p \text{ for } t > 0$$

holds for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  with  $C$  independent of  $t$  and  $u_0$ , where  $\|f(t)\|_p := (\int_{\Omega} |f(t, x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$  and  $\nabla$  denotes the gradient in the space variables. If  $p = 2$ , the estimate (0.2) is still valid for any domain. Indeed, since the

---

\* Partly supported by Nissan Science Foundation and the Japan Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture through Grant No.08874005

\*\* Partly supported by the Japan Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture through Grant No.08640135

Stokes operator  $A$  is self-adjoint and nonnegative, the operator  $A$  generates an analytic semigroup  $e^{-tA}$ . This yields

$$\|A^{1/2}e^{-tA}u_0\|_2 \leq Ct^{-1/2}\|u_0\|_2.$$

Since  $u = e^{-tA}u_0$  and  $\|A^{1/2}u\|_2 = \|\nabla u\|_2$ , (0.2) follows for  $p = 2$ . (See Borchers and Miyakawa [3] for applications.) For  $1 < p < \infty$ , (0.2) is valid for bounded domains (Giga [7]) and for a half space (Ukai [13]). The estimate (0.2) is also valid for exterior domain with  $n \geq 3$ , with extra restriction  $1 < p < n$ . (See Borchers and Miyakawa [2], Giga and Sohr [8], Iwashita [10].)

However, there was no result for  $p = 1$  or  $p = \infty$  where the boundary of  $\Omega$  is not empty. The main difficulty lies in the fact that the projection associated with the Helmholtz decomposition is not bounded in  $L^1$  type spaces, because it involves singular integral operators such as the Riesz operators. Nevertheless in this paper, we prove (0.2) for  $p = 1$  where  $\Omega$  is a half space  $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n); x_n > 0\}$ .

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $u$  be the solution of the Stokes equation (0.1) in  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$  with initial data  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which satisfy the compatibility condition. Then there is a constant  $C$  independent of  $u_0$  such that*

$$(0.3) \quad \|\nabla u(t)\|_1 \leq Ct^{-1/2}\|u_0\|_1$$

for all  $t > 0$ .

This is rather surprising since we do not expect  $\|u(t)\|_1 \leq C\|u_0\|_1$  for  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Actually, the estimate (0.3) follows from a stronger estimate:

**Theorem 0.2.** *Under the same hypothesis as in Theorem 0.1, there is a constant  $C'$  independent of  $u_0$  such that*

$$(0.4) \quad \|\nabla u(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C't^{-1/2}\|u_0\|_1$$

for all  $t > 0$ .

Here

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = \inf\{\|\tilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}; \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{f}|_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \equiv f\},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the Hardy space in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined later.

Combining the Sobolev inequality with (0.3), we have

$$(0.5) \quad \|u(t)\|_{n/(n-1)} \leq C_0t^{-1/2}\|u_0\|_1$$

with  $C_0$  independent of  $t > 0$  and  $u_0$ . This has been already proved by Borchers and Miyakawa [1] where a general  $L^p - L^q$  estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq C_0t^{-\alpha}\|u_0\|_q$$

with  $\alpha = (n/2)(1/q - 1/p)$  has been proved for all  $1 \leq q < p \leq \infty$  where  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Their method does not depend on (0.3). For  $1 < q < p < \infty$ , such estimate has been proved by Ukai [13]. There is an extensive literature on  $L^p - L^q$  estimates for exterior domains  $\Omega$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) (e.g. Giga and Sohr [9], Borchers and Miyakawa [2], Iwashita [10], Chen [4]) but the case  $q = 1$  and  $p = \infty$  is included only in Chen [4] for  $n = 3$ .

To show (0.4), we recall the solution formula obtained by Ukai [13]. The solution is represented by the Gauss kernel and various Riesz operators. It is known by Carpio [4] that the solution  $u = G_t * u_0$  of the heat equation with initial data  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies

$$(0.6) \quad \|\nabla u(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1 t^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_1$$

where  $G_t$  is the Gauss kernel. If the solution of (0.1) were represented only by  $G_t$  and a Riesz operator in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , (0.6) could yield (0.4) since the Riesz operator is bounded in  $\mathcal{H}^1$ . Unfortunately, the formula contains the Riesz operator in tangential variables  $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  to  $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , and therefore it is not clear that such operators are bounded in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . To overcome this difficulty, we rewrite Ukai's formula so that  $\nabla u$  does not contain tangential Riesz operators using the operator  $\Lambda$  whose symbol equals  $|\xi'|$ , where  $(\xi', \xi_n) = \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Because of this, we need to prove

$$(0.7) \quad \|\Lambda u(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_2 t^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_1$$

in addition to (0.6). Although there are several extra technical difficulties, this is a rough idea for the proof of (0.4).

### 1. The solution formula

In this section we recall the solution formula for (0.1) obtained by Ukai [13] for later use.

First, we establish conventions of notations. For an  $n$ -dimensional vector  $a$ , we denote its tangential component  $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  by  $a' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , so that  $a = (a', a_n)$ . We set  $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$  and let  $\nabla' = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_{n-1})$ . Hereafter,  $C$  denotes a positive constant which may differ from one occasion to another.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Fourier transform in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx,$$

and let  $\hat{f}$  be the Fourier transform of  $f$  in the tangential space:

$$\hat{f}(\xi', x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-ix' \cdot \xi'} f(x', x_n) dx'.$$

The Riesz operators  $R_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $S_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ ), and the operator  $\Lambda$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(R_j f)(\xi) &= \frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|} \mathcal{F}f(\xi), \\ \mathcal{F}(S_j f)(\xi) &= \frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|^2} \mathcal{F}f(\xi), \\ \mathcal{F}(\Lambda f)(\xi) &= |\xi'| \mathcal{F}f(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

We set  $R' = (R_1, \dots, R_{n-1})$ ,  $S = (S_1, \dots, S_{n-1})$  and define  $U$  by

$$Uf = rR' \cdot S(R' \cdot S + R_n)e,$$

where  $r$  is the restriction operator from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , and  $e$  is the extension operator from  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with value 0, that is,

$$ef = \begin{cases} f & \text{for } x_n \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x_n < 0. \end{cases}$$

We also define the operators  $E(t)$  and  $F(t)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} [E(t)f](x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \{G_t(x - y) - G_t(x' - y', x_n + y_n)\} f(y) dy, \\ [F(t)f](x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \{G_t(x - y) + G_t(x' - y', x_n + y_n)\} f(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where  $G_t$  is the Gauss kernel  $G_t(x) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-|x|^2/4t}$ . Note that  $E(t)f$  (resp.  $F(t)f$ ) is the solution to the heat equation in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  with Dirichlet (resp. Neumann) data:

$$\begin{aligned} z_t - \Delta z &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \times (0, T), \\ z|_{t=0} &= f, \\ z|_{x_n=0} &\equiv 0. \text{ (resp. } \partial_n z|_{x_n=0} = 0.) \end{aligned}$$

We recall the formula obtained by Ukai.

**Theorem 1.1(Ukai).** *The solution to (0.1) can be expressed as*

$$\begin{aligned} (1.1a) \quad u^n &= UE(t)V_1u_0, \\ (1.1b) \quad u' &= E(t)V_2u_0 - SUE(t)V_1u_0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_1u_0 = -S \cdot u'_0 + u^n_0$  and  $V_2u_0 = u'_0 + Su^n_0$ .

We give a formal proof of Theorem 1.1 for the reader's convenience. By (0.1), we get  $\Delta p = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Applying the tangential Fourier transform, the equation  $\Delta p = 0$  is reduced to an ordinary differential equation  $(\partial_n^2 - |\xi'|^2)\hat{p} = 0$ . Assuming that  $p$  is bounded, we get  $(\partial_n + |\xi'|)\hat{p} = 0$ . We set  $v^n = (\partial_n + \Lambda)u^n$  and  $v' = V_2u = u' + Su^n$ . Then  $v$  satisfies  $v_t -$

$\Delta v = 0$ ,  $v^n|_{t=0} = \Lambda V_1 u_0$ ,  $v'|_{t=0} = V_2 u_0$ , and  $v|_{x_n=0} = 0$ . Thus  $v$  solves the heat equation in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  with zero Dirichlet data. Solving for  $v$  with some manipulations we get (1.1).

To solve our problem, we rewrite the formula (1.1). Note that the vector field  $u$  in (1.1) is given as a restriction  $r\bar{u}$  of a vector field  $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}', \bar{u}_n)$  of the form

$$(1.2a) \quad \bar{u}^n = R' \cdot S(R' \cdot S + R_n)eE(t)V_1 u_0,$$

$$(1.2b) \quad \bar{u}' = E(t)V_2 u_0 - SR' \cdot S(R' \cdot S + R_n)eE(t)V_1 u_0.$$

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $j$  be an integer with  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Assume that  $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  when  $j = n$ . Then the first space derivative of  $\bar{u}$  are expressed as*

$$(1.3a) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_j \bar{u}^n &= -R_j \{ R' \cdot \Lambda eE(t)u'_0 - R_n \nabla' \cdot eE(t)u'_0 \\ &\quad + R' \cdot \nabla' eE(t)u_0^n + R_n \Lambda eE(t)u_0^n \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.3b) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_j \bar{u}' &= \partial_j E(t)u'_0 + w_j \\ &\quad + R_j \{ R' (\nabla' \cdot eE(t)u'_0) - R_n \nabla' (\nabla' \Lambda^{-1} \cdot eE(t)u'_0) \\ &\quad - R' \Lambda eE(t)u_0^n + R_n \nabla' eE(t)u_0^n \}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad w_j = \begin{cases} \partial_j \nabla' \Lambda^{-1} E(t)u_0^n & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ -\nabla' (\nabla' \cdot \Lambda^{-1} F(t)u'_0) & \text{for } j = n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* To show (1.3), it is convenient to use the Fourier transformation by  $\partial_j \bar{u}$  in (1.2). Note that the operators  $S_j$  and  $eE(t)$  commute. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\partial_j \bar{u}^n) &= i\xi_j \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi|} \cdot \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi'|} \left( \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi|} \cdot \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi'|} + \frac{i\xi_n}{|\xi|} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( -\frac{i\xi'}{|\xi'|} \cdot \mathcal{F}(eE(t)u'_0) + \mathcal{F}(eE(t)u_0^n) \right) \\ &= -\frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|} \left\{ \left( \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi|} |\xi'| - \frac{i\xi_n}{|\xi|} i\xi' \right) \cdot \mathcal{F}(eE(t)u'_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi|} \cdot i\xi' + \frac{i\xi_n}{|\xi|} |\xi'| \right) \mathcal{F}(eE(t)u_0^n) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(\partial_j \bar{u}') &= i\xi_j \left( \mathcal{F}(E(t)u'_0) + \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi'|} \mathcal{F}(E(t)u_0^n) \right) \\
&\quad - i\xi_j \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi'|} \left( \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi|} \cdot \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi'|} \right) \left( \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi|} \cdot \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi'|} + \frac{i\xi_n}{|\xi|} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left( -\frac{i\xi'}{|\xi'|} \cdot \mathcal{F}(eE(t)u'_0) + \mathcal{F}(eE(t)u_0^n) \right) \\
&= i\xi_j \mathcal{F}(eE(t)u'_0) - \frac{\xi_j \xi'}{|\xi'|} \mathcal{F}(eE(t)u_0^n) \\
&\quad + \frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|} \left\{ \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi|} \xi' \cdot \mathcal{F}(eE(t)u_0) - \frac{i\xi_n}{|\xi|} i\xi' \left( i\xi' \cdot \frac{1}{|\xi'|} \mathcal{F}(eE(t)u'_0) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left( \frac{i\xi'}{|\xi|} |\xi'| - \frac{i\xi_n}{|\xi|} i\xi' \right) \mathcal{F}(eE(t)u_0^n) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the inverse Fourier transform the first identity implies (1.3a). To show (1.3b), we must handle the term  $i\xi_j(i\xi'/|\xi'|)\mathcal{F}[E(t)u_0^n]$ . By the inverse Fourier transform this term is transformed to  $\partial_j \nabla' \Lambda^{-1} E(t)u_0^n$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  this equals to  $w_j$ . For  $j = n$  we invoke the assumption  $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$  so that  $\partial_n u_0^n = -\nabla' \cdot u'_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_n \nabla' \Lambda^{-1} E(t)u_0^n &= \partial_n \nabla' \Lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \{G_t(x-y) \\
&\quad - G_t(x'-y', x_n+y_n)\} u_0^n(y) dy \\
&= \nabla' \Lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} G_t(x-y) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} G_t(x'-y', x_n+y_n) \right\} u_0^n(y) dy \\
&= \nabla' \Lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left\{ -\frac{x_n-y_n}{2t} G_t(x-y) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{x_n+y_n}{2t} G_t(x'-y', x_n+y_n) \right\} u_0^n(y) dy \\
&= \nabla' \Lambda^{-1} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left[ \{-G_t(x-y) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - G_t(x'-y', x_n+y_n)\} u_0^n(y) \right]_{y_n=0}^{y_n=+\infty} dy' \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \{G_t(x-y) \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left. +G_t(x' - y', x_n + y_n) \right\} \partial_n u_0^n(y) dy \Big\} \\
 = & -\nabla' \Lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \{G_t(x - y) \\
 & +G_t(x' - y', x_n + y_n)\} \nabla' \cdot u_0'(y) dy \\
 = & -\nabla' (\nabla' \cdot \Lambda^{-1} F(t) u_0') = w_n. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

**2. Proof of theorem**

To prove Theorem 0.1, we need to estimate the right hand side of (1.3) in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In this section we estimate these terms in the Hardy space  $\mathcal{H}^1$  instead of  $L^1$ , which is the subspace of  $L^1$ . We recall the definition of the Hardy space  $\mathcal{H}^1$ . Note that the following definition is one of many equivalent definitions of the Hardy space. (See Fefferman and Stein [6].)

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  belongs to the Hardy space  $\mathcal{H}^1 = \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{s>0} |G_s * f(x)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where the symbol  $*$  denotes the convolution with respect to the space variable  $x$ . The norm of  $f \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} := \|f^*\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Here, we remark that a  $L^1$  function  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}^1$  if and only if its Riesz transform  $R_j f$  belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $j$ , and that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \cong \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|R_j f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (\text{equivalent norm}).$$

For the convenience, we denote the operator norm of  $R_j$  in  $\mathcal{H}^1$  by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}$ .

To estimate (1.3) in  $\mathcal{H}^1$ , we require the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $K$  be an integral operator of form*

$$(2.1) \quad Kf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*If the kernel  $k(x, y)$  satisfies that*

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|k(\cdot, y)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} = k_0 < \infty,$$

then  $K$  is a bounded operator from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , i.e.

$$(2.2) \quad \|Kf\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq k_0 \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

*Proof.* By definition of  $\mathcal{H}^1$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (Kf)^*(x) &= \sup_{s>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_s(x-z) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(z,y) f(y) dy dz \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{s>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_s(x-z) k(z,y) dz dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \left\{ \sup_{s>0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_s(x-z) k(z,y) dz \right| \right\} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (2.3) with respect to  $x$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Kf\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \|k(\cdot, y)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} dy \\ &\leq k_0 \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We next show several pointwise estimates on the heat kernel.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume that real parameters  $l$  and  $m$  satisfy  $0 \leq l \leq n$  and  $m \geq 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C = C_{l,m}$  which does not depend on  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \geq 0$  such that*

$$(2.4a) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial_j G_t(x)| &\leq C t^{(l+m-n-1)/2} |x'|^{-l} |x_n|^{-m} \\ &\text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ with } n \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4b) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial_j \partial_k \Lambda^{-1} G_t(x)| &\leq C t^{(l+m-n-1)/2} |x'|^{-l} |x_n|^{-m} \\ &\text{for } 1 \leq j, k \leq n-1 \text{ with } n \geq 3, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4c) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Lambda G_t(x)| &\leq C t^{(l+m-n-1)/2} |x'|^{-l} |x_n|^{-m} \\ &\text{with } n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

In (2.4a) the restriction  $l \leq n$  is unnecessary.

*Proof.* We first prove (2.1a). Since  $\partial_j G_t(x) = -(x_j/2t)G_t(x)$  and  $e^{-|x|^2/4t} \leq C|t^{-1/2}x|^{-\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \geq 0$ , we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_j G_t(x) &= -\frac{x_j}{2t} G_t(x) \\ &= -\frac{x_j}{2t^{n/2+1}} e^{-|x'|^2/4t} e^{-|x_n|^2/4t} \\ &\leq C t^{(l+m-n-1)/2} |x'|^{-l} |x_n|^{-m}. \end{aligned}$$

We next show (2.4b). Note that  $\Lambda^{-1}$  is equal to  $(-\Delta')^{-1/2} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \partial_k^2\right)^{-1/2}$ , so the integral kernel of  $\Lambda^{-1}$  is  $c_n |x'|^{-n+2}$  for  $n \geq 3$ , where  $c_n$  is some positive constant. Therefore we have

$$(2.6) \quad \partial_j \partial_k \Lambda^{-1} G_t(x) = c_n \partial_j \partial_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |x' - y'|^{-n+2} G_t(y', x_n) dy'.$$



Set  $x = t^{1/2}z$  to get

$$\partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_k} \Lambda^{-1} G_t(x) = t^{-(n+1)/2} \partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_k} \Lambda^{-1} G_1(z).$$

So it is sufficient to show (2.4b) for  $t = 1$ , i.e.

$$(2.7) \quad |\partial_j \partial_k \Lambda^{-1} G_1(z)| \leq C |z'|^{-l} |z_n|^{-m}.$$

In fact, if (2.7) is valid, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_k} \Lambda^{-1} G_t(x)| &= t^{-(n+1)/2} |\partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_k} \Lambda^{-1} G_1(z)| \\ &\leq C t^{-(n+1)/2} |z'|^{-l} |z_n|^{-m} \\ &= C t^{(l+m-n-1)/2} |x'|^{-l} |x_n|^{-m} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $t > 0$ .

Let  $\psi_1$  be a smooth function in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  such that  $0 \leq \psi_1 \leq 1$ ,  $\text{supp } \psi \subset \{|z'| \leq 1\}$ , and  $\psi_1|_{|z'| < 1/2} \equiv 1$ . Set  $\psi_2 = 1 - \psi_1$ . Then

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_j \partial_k \Lambda^{-1} G_1(z) &= \frac{C}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} e^{-z_n^2/4} \left\{ \partial_j \partial_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{\psi_1(z'-y')}{|z'-y'|^{n-2}} e^{-|y'|^2/4} dy' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_j \partial_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{\psi_2(z'-y')}{|z'-y'|^{n-2}} e^{-|y'|^2/4} dy' \right\} \\ &= C e^{-z_n^2/4} \{I_1(z') + I_2(z')\}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of the term  $I_1$ : We have

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1(z') &= \partial_j \partial_k \int_{|y'| \leq 1} \frac{\psi_1(y')}{|y'|^{n-2}} e^{-|z'-y'|^2/4} dy' \\ &= \int_{|y'| \leq 1} \frac{\psi_1(y')}{|y'|^{n-2}} K_{j,k}(z' - y') dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_{j,k}(z') = \left( \frac{z_j z_k}{4} - \frac{\delta_{j,k}}{2} \right) e^{-|z'|^2/4}$$

and  $\delta_{j,k}$  is Kronecker's delta. Recalling  $|z' - y'| \leq |z'| + 1$  and  $|z' - y'|^2 \geq |z'|^2/2 - 1$  holds for  $|y'| \leq 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{j,k}(z' - y')| &\leq \left\{ \frac{(|z'|+1)^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} e^{-(|z'|^2-2)/8} \\ &= \frac{e^{1/4}}{4} \{(|z'| + 1)^2 + 2\} e^{-|z'|^2/8} \\ &\leq C |z'|^{-l}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1(z')| &\leq C \int_{|y'| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|y'|^{n-2}} |z'|^{-l} dy' \\ &\leq C |z'|^{-l} \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of the term  $I_2$ : We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2(z') &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{(\partial_j \partial_k \psi_2)(z'-y')}{|z'-y'|^{n-2}} e^{-|y'|^2/4} dy' \\
 &\quad - (n-2) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (\partial_j \psi_2)(z'-y') \frac{z_k - y_k}{|z'-y'|^n} e^{-|y'|^2/4} dy' \right. \\
 (2.10) \quad &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (\partial_k \psi_2)(z'-y') \frac{z_j - y_j}{|z'-y'|^n} e^{-|y'|^2/4} dy' \right\} \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \psi_2(z'-y') L_{j,k}(z'-y') e^{-|y'|^2/4} dy' \\
 &= J_1(z') - (n-1)J_2(z') + J_3(z'),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_{j,k}(z') = (n-2) \left\{ n \frac{x_j x_k}{|z'|^{n+2}} - \frac{\delta_{j,k}}{|z'|^n} \right\}.$$

Since the support of  $\partial_j \psi_2$  and  $\partial_j \partial_k \psi_2$  are included in  $1/2 \leq |z| \leq 1$ , the estimates of  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  can be obtained like as the estimate of  $I_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_1(z')| &= \left| \int_{1/2 \leq |y'| \leq 1} \frac{(\partial_j \partial_k \psi_2)(y')}{|y'|^{n-2}} e^{-|z'-y'|^2/4} dy' \right| \\
 &\leq \|\nabla^2 \psi_2\|_{L^\infty} \int_{1/2 \leq |y'| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|y'|^{n-2}} e^{-(|z'|^2-2)/8} dy' \\
 (2.11) \quad &\leq C|z'|^{-l},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_2(z')| &\leq \|\nabla \psi_2\|_{L^\infty} \int_{1/2 \leq |y'| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|y'|^{n-1}} e^{-(|z'|^2-2)/8} dy' \\
 (2.12) \quad &\leq C|z'|^{-l}.
 \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the term  $J_3$ , we use the inequality  $|z'|^l \leq C_l(|z'-y'|^l + |y'|^l)$ . Since  $|L_{j,k}(z')| \leq \frac{C}{|z'-y'|^{n+1}}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_3(z')| &\leq C|z'|^{-l} \int_{|z'-y'| \geq 1/2} \left( \frac{|z'-y'|^l}{|z'-y'|^n} + \frac{|y'|^l}{|z'-y'|^n} \right) e^{-|y'|^2/4} dy' \\
 (2.13) \quad &\leq C|z'|^{-l} \int_{|z'-y'| \geq 1/2} (2^{l-n} + 2^n |y'|^l) e^{-|y'|^2/4} dy' \\
 &= C|z'|^{-l}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimate (2.11), (2.12), and (2.13), we get  $|I_2(z')| \leq C|z'|^{-l}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\partial_j \partial_k \Lambda^{-1} G_1(z)| &\leq C e^{-x_n^2/4} |z'|^{-l} \\
 (2.14) \quad &\leq C_{l,m} |z'|^{-l} |z_n|^{-m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.7) for  $n \geq 3$ .

The estimate (2.4c) for  $n \geq 3$  is easily obtained by the fact that  $\Lambda$  is equal to  $(-\Delta')\Lambda^{-1} = -(\partial_1^2 + \dots + \partial_{n-1}^2)\Lambda^{-1}$  and by applying (2.4b).

Finally, we show (2.4c) for  $n = 2$ . Note that  $\Lambda$  is equal to  $|\partial_1| = \partial_1 S_1$ . So we have

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda G_t(x) &= \partial_1 S_1 G_t(x) \\ &= \partial_1 \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|y_1| > \epsilon} \frac{1}{y_1} G_t(x_1 - y_1, x_2) dy_1. \end{aligned}$$

(See Torchinsky [12], p.266.) Integrating by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y_1| > \epsilon} \frac{1}{y_1} G_t(x_1 - y_1, x_2) dy_1 &= \left[ \log |y_1| G_t(x_1 - y_1, x_2) \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty} \\ &\quad + \left[ \log |y_1| G_t(x_1 - y_1, x_2) \right]_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} \\ &\quad - \int_{|y_1| > \epsilon} \log |y_1| \partial_{y_1} G_t(x_1 - y_1, x_2) dy_1 \\ &= \log \epsilon (G_t(x_1 + \epsilon, x_2) - G_t(x_1 - \epsilon, x_2)) \\ &\quad + \int_{|y_1| > \epsilon} \log |y_1| \frac{x_1 - y_1}{2t} G_t(x_1 - y_1, x_2) dy_1. \end{aligned}$$

Sending  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ , we get

$$(2.16) \quad \Lambda G_t(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \partial_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log |y_1| \frac{x_1 - y_1}{2t} G_t(x_1 - y_1, x_2) dy_1.$$

Set  $x = t^{1/2}z$  and  $y = t^{1/2}w$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda G_t)(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} t^{-1/2} \partial_{z_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\log |w_1| + \log t^{1/2}) \frac{z_1 - w_1}{2t^{1/2}} \\ &\quad \times t^{-1} G_1(z_1 - w_1, w_2) t^{1/2} dw_1 \\ &= t^{-3/2} (\Lambda G_1)(z). \end{aligned}$$

So it is sufficient to show (2.4c) for  $t = 1$ .

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda G_1(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{4\pi} e^{-z_2^2/4} \partial_1 \left\{ \int_{|y_1| < 1} \log |y_1| \frac{z_1 - y_1}{2} e^{-(z_1 - y_1)^2/4} dy_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{|y_1| > 1} \log |y_1| \frac{z_1 - y_1}{2} e^{-(z_1 - y_1)^2/4} dy_1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} e^{-z_2^2/4} (I_1(z_1) + I_2(z_1)). \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of  $I_1$ : We have

$$I_1(z_1) = \int_{-1}^1 \log |y_1| \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{|z_1 - y_1|^2}{2} \right) e^{-(z_1 - y_1)^2/4} dy_1.$$

As the same suggestion to (2.11), we obtain

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_1(z_1)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |\log |y_1|| \left( 1 + \frac{(|z_1| + 1)^2}{4} \right) e^{-\frac{|z_1|^2}{8} + \frac{1}{4}} dy_1 \\ &\leq C(1 + |z_1|^2) e^{-|z_1|^2/8}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of  $I_2$ : The method is similar to the case  $n \geq 3$ . Integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(z_1) &= \partial_1 \left\{ \left[ \log |y_1| e^{-(z_1-y_1)^2/4} \right]_1^{+\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \log |y_1| e^{-(z_1-y_1)^2/4} \right]_{-\infty}^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{|y_1|>1} \frac{1}{y_1} e^{-(z_1-y_1)^2/4} dy_1 \right\} \\ &= \int_{|y_1|>1} \frac{1}{y_1} \frac{z_1-y_1}{2} e^{-(z_1-y_1)^2/4} dy_1 \\ &= e^{-(z_1+1)^2/4} - e^{-(z_1-1)^2/4} + \int_{|y_1|>1} \frac{1}{y_1^2} e^{-(z_1-y_1)^2/4} dy_1. \end{aligned}$$

We set  $w_1 = z_1 - y_1$  and obtain

$$I_2(z_1) = e^{-(z_1+1)^2/4} - e^{-(z_1-1)^2/4} + \int_{|z_1-w_1|>1} \frac{1}{(z_1-w_1)^2} e^{-w_1^2/4} dw_1.$$

Using  $|z_1|^l \leq C(|z_1 - w_1|^l + |w_1|^l)$ , we obtain (2.19)

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2(z_1)| &\leq |e^{-(z_1+1)^2/4}| + |e^{-(z_1-1)^2/4}| \\ &\quad + C \int_{|z_1-w_1|>1} \frac{1}{|z_1|^l} \left( |z_1 - w_1|^{l-2} + \frac{|w_1|^l}{|z_1-w_1|^2} \right) e^{-|w_1|^2/4} dw_1 \\ &\leq C|z|^{-l} \end{aligned}$$

since  $l \leq 2$  so that  $|z_1 - w_1|^{l-2} \leq 1$ . Combining the estimate (2.18) and (2.19), we obtain (2.4c) for  $n = 2$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to show the key lemma for the main theorem.

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume a function  $a = a(x)$  is in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . Then

$$(2.20a) \quad \|\partial_j E(t)a\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq Ct^{-1/2} \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

$$(2.20b) \quad \|\partial_j \partial_k \Lambda^{-1} eE(t)a\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq Ct^{-1/2} \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \text{ for } 1 \leq j, k \leq n-1,$$

$$(2.20c) \quad \|\Lambda eE(t)a\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq Ct^{-1/2} \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$$

$$(2.20d) \quad \|\partial_j \partial_k \Lambda^{-1} F(t)a\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq Ct^{-1/2} \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \text{ for } 1 \leq j, k \leq n-1.$$

*Proof.* To show (2.20a,b,c), we extend the function  $a(x)$  from  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $a(x', x_n) = -a(x', -x_n)$  for  $x_n < 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [E(t)a](x) &= G_t * a(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_t(x-y)a(y)dy, \\ [eE(t)a](x) &= \theta(x_n)[E(t)a](x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta$  is the Heaviside function, i.e.

$$\theta(x_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x_n \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x_n < 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $G_s * (\partial_j G_t)(x) = \partial_j G_{s+t}(x)$ , the estimate (2.4a) implies

$$|G_s * (\partial_j G_t)(x)| \leq C(s+t)^{(l+m-n-1)/2} |x'|^{-l} |x_n|^{-m}$$

for any nonnegative  $l$  and  $m$ . Thus, for  $0 \leq l+m \leq n+1$  we have

$$(\partial_j G_t)^*(x) \leq C t^{(l+m-n-1)/2} |x'|^{-l} |x_n|^{-m}.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(2.21) \quad \|\partial_j G_t\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq \sum_{k=1}^4 C_{l,m} t^{(l+m-n-1)/2} \int_{\Omega_k} |x'|^{-l} |x_n|^{-m} dx,$$

where  $\Omega_1 = \{|x'| \leq t^{1/2}, |x_n| \leq t^{1/2}\}$ ,  $\Omega_2 = \{|x'| > t^{1/2}, |x_n| \leq t^{1/2}\}$ ,  $\Omega_3 = \{|x'| \leq t^{1/2}, |x_n| > t^{1/2}\}$  and  $\Omega_4 = \{|x'| > t^{1/2}, |x_n| > t^{1/2}\}$ . For each integration of (2.21), we take suitable  $l$  and  $m$  such that  $l = m = 0$  in  $\Omega_1$ ,  $l = n, m = 0$  in  $\Omega_2$ ,  $l = 0, m = 2$  in  $\Omega_3$  and  $l = n - 1/2, m = 3/2$  in  $\Omega_4$ . We thus observe that the right hand side of (2.21) is estimated from above by a constant times  $t^{-1/2}$ . Thus (2.20a) is obtained. The estimate is obtained by Carpio [3, Lemma 2.1] but the proof contains misprint in [3, p.457 line 4], so we gave the proof.

To prove (2.20b), we put  $k(x, y) = \partial_j \partial_k \Lambda^{-1} \theta(x_n) G_t(x - y)$ . Then

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} (G_s * k(\cdot, y))(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_s(z - x) k(z, y) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi s)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{-|z'-x'|^2/4s} \partial_j \partial_k \Lambda^{-1} e^{-|z'-y'|^2/4t} dz' \times \\ &\quad \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-|z_n-x_n|^2/4s} e^{-|z_n-y_n|^2/4t} dz_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since the integrand in the last integral in (2.22) is nonnegative, we get

$$|(G_s * k(\cdot, y))(x)| \leq |\partial_j \partial_k \Lambda^{-1} G_{s+t}(x)|.$$

By (2.4b) a calculation similar to the one to derive (2.21) yields

$$\sup_y \|k(\cdot, y)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq C t^{-1/2}$$

for  $n \geq 3$  and for  $n = 2$  with  $j = k = 1$ . Applying Lemma 2.2 we get (2.20b,c). Note that (2.20b) agrees with (2.20c) if  $n = 2$ .

The estimate (2.20d) is obtained in the same way as above but this time we have to extend  $a(x)$  as an even function in  $x_n$ , i.e.  $a(x', x_n) = a(x', -x_n)$  for  $x_n < 0$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 0.2. By Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_j \bar{u}_n\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} &\leq \|R_j\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|R_k\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} (\|AeE(t)u_0^k\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\partial_k eE(t)u_0^n\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|R_n\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} (\|\nabla \cdot eE(t)u_0'\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} + \|AeE(t)u_0^n\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}) \right\} \\ &\leq Ct^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}, \\ \|\partial_j \bar{u}'\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} &\leq Ct^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u = \bar{u}|_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ , we now get

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq Ct^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

The proof is complete.

## References

1. W. Borchers, T. Miyakaya,  $L^2$  decay for the Navier-Stokes flow in halfspaces, *Math. Ann.* **282** (1988), 139–155
2. W. Borchers, T. Miyakaya, Algebraic  $L^2$  decay for Navier-Stokes flows in exterior domains, *Acta Math.* **165** (1990), 189–227
3. W. Borchers, T. Miyakaya, Algebraic  $L^2$  decay for Navier-Stokes flows in exterior domains, II, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **21** (1991), 621–640
4. A. Carpio, Large time behavior in incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **27** (1996), 449–475
5. Z.-M. Chen, Solution of the stationary and nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains, *Pacific J. Math.* **159** (1993), 227–240
6. C. Fefferman, E. Stein,  $\mathcal{H}^p$  spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* **129** (1972), 137–197
7. Y. Giga: Analyticity of the semigroup generated by the Stokes operator in  $L^r$  spaces, *Math. Z.* **178** (1981), 297–329
8. Y. Giga, H. Sohr, On the Stokes operator in exterior domains, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.* **36** (1989), 103–130
9. Y. Giga, H. Sohr, Abstract  $L^p$  estimates for the Cauchy problem with applications to the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains, *J. of Functional Analysis* **102** (1991), 72–94
10. H. Iwashita:  $L_q - L_r$  estimate for solutions of the nonstationary Stokes equations in an exterior domain and the Navier-Stokes initial value problems in  $L_q$  spaces, *Math. Ann.* **285** (1989), 265–288
11. T. Miyakawa: Hardy spaces of solenoidal vector fields, with application to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Kyushu J. Math.* **50** (1997), 1–64
12. A. Torchinsky: *Real-variable methods in harmonic analysis*, Academic, Press, 1986
13. S. Ukai: A solution formula for the Stokes equation in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **XL** (1987), 611–621

**Note added in proof.** Recently, the third author proved (0.2) for  $p = \infty$  for the Stokes flow in a half space.