

# **Very ampleness for Theta on the compactified Jacobian**

## **Eduardo Esteves***?*

Instituto de Matematica Pura e Aplicada, IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, ´ 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro RJ, Brazil

Received 4 October 1995; in final form 13 November 1995

## **1 Introduction**

If *X* is a smooth, complete, connected curve over an algebraically closed field, then the Jacobian  $J_0$ , parametrizing invertible sheaves on  $X$  of Euler characteristic 0, is projective and admits a canonical ample divisor *Θ*, the Theta divisor. If *g* denotes the genus of *X*, then  $\Theta$  is the scheme-theoretic image of the Abel-Jacobi morphism  $X^{g-1} \rightarrow J_0$ , given by

$$
(p_1,\ldots,p_{g-1})\to \mathscr{O}_X(p_1+\ldots+p_{g-1})\ .
$$

It follows from [14, Sect. 17, p. 163] that 3*Θ* is very ample.

In the singular case, D'Souza has constructed a natural compactification  $\bar{J}_0$  for the Jacobian  $J_0$  of a complete, integral curve over an algebraically closed field [5]. The scheme  $J_0$  parametrizes torsion-free, rank 1 sheaves of Euler characteristic 0 on *X*. A natural question in this context is whether there is a canonical Cartier divisor on  $\bar{J}_0$  extending the notion of the classical Theta divisor.

The above question was partially and independently answered in [6] and [19]. In these two works the same canonical line bundle  $\mathscr{L}$  on  $\bar{J}_0$  and the same global section  $\theta$  of  $\mathscr L$  are defined. For smooth curves, the zero scheme of  $\theta$  is the classical Theta divisor *Θ*. In [19] Soucaris shows that the zero scheme of the restriction of  $\theta$  to the maximum reduced subscheme of  $\bar{J}_0$  is a Cartier divisor.<br>Both [6] and [19] show that  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample. It remains to determine whether the Both [6] and [19] show that  $\mathcal L$  is ample. It remains to determine whether the zero scheme of  $\theta$  on  $\bar{J}_0$  is a Cartier divisor in general, and what is the minimum<br>n such that  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}$  is very ample *n* such that  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}$  is very ample.

In this article our main concern is with the latter question. We will show that  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}$  is very ample for *n* at least equal to a specified lower bound (Theorem 7). If *X* has at most ordinary nodes or cusps as singularities, then our lower bound is 3. Our main tool is to use theta sections  $\theta_E$  associated to vector bundles *E* on *X*.

<sup>?</sup> Supported by a Starr fellowship from the MIT Japan Program.

The theta sections were used by Faltings [9] to construct the moduli of semistable vector bundles on a smooth, complete curve without using Geometric Invariant Theory (see also [18]). In a forthcoming work [7], [8] we will apply such method to construct the compactified Jacobian for families of reduced curves.

The importance of Theorem 7 is that we obtain a canonical projective embedding of  $\bar{J}_0$  in  $\mathbf{P}(H^0(\bar{J}_0, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}))$ , for *n* minimum such that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  is very ample. By studying the structure of the homogeneous coordinate ring of  $\bar{J}_0$  in  ${\bf P}(H^0(\bar{J}_0, \mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}))$ , maybe in a way analogous to Mumford's in [15] and [16], we might be able to understand better the algebraic structure of  $\bar{J}_n$ . we might be able to understand better the algebraic structure of  $\bar{J}_0$ .

*Notation.* We will often deal with parameter spaces, that is, spaces whose points are classes representing certain objects. In such context, we will employ the usual bracket notation  $[F]$  for the point representing the object  $F$ . If  $E$  is a vector bundle on a scheme *Y*, we denote by  $P_Y(E)$  the corresponding projective bundle over *Y* . By a point we mean a closed point.

## **2 The compactified Jacobian**

Let *X* be a complete, integral curve over an algebraically closed field *k*. Denote by *q* the arithmetic genus of *X*, and by  $\omega$  the dualizing sheaf on *X*. A coherent sheaf *I* on *X* is *torsion-free* if  $I_x$  is a torsion-free  $\mathcal{O}_x$ -module for every  $x \in X$ . A coherent sheaf *I* on *X* is *rank 1* if *I* is generically invertible. By [4, p. 96], the sheaf  $\omega$  is torsion-free, rank 1. Fix an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$  on *X*. For every coherent sheaf *F* on *X*, let  $Quot_X^{p(t)}(F)$  denote Grothendieck's Quot-scheme [10], parametrizing quotients of *F* with Hilbert polynomial  $p(t)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ . We will drop the superscript  $p(t)$  whenever it is not important.

For every integer  $d$ , let  $\bar{J}_d$  denote the *compactified Jacobian functor*. For each *k*-scheme *S*, the set  $\bar{J}_d$  (*S*) consists of equivalence classes of *S*-flat coherent sheaves  $\mathscr{T}$  on  $X \times S$  such that  $\mathscr{T}(s)$  is torsion-free, rank 1 of Euler characteristic *d* for every  $s \in S$ . (Two sheaves  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$  are called equivalent if there is an invertible sheaf *N* on *S* such that  $\mathcal{T}_1 \cong \mathcal{T}_2 \otimes N$ ). D'Souza [5] and Altman and Kleiman [3], [4] have shown that  $J_d$  is represented by a (projective) scheme  $J_d$ , the *compactilied Jacobian*. Here we present yet another proof of the representability of  $\bar{J}_d$  by a scheme, a proof more suitable for treating the question of very ampleness in Sect. 4.

For every torsion-free, rank 1 sheaf *I* on *X*, let

$$
e(I) := \max_{x \in X} \dim_k I(x) .
$$

Since *I* is generically invertible, then  $1 \leq e(I) < \infty$ .

**Proposition 1** *Let I be a torsion-free, rank 1 sheaf on X of Euler characteristic d. Then, for every integer*  $r \geq \max(e(Hom_X(I, \omega)), 2)$ *, there is a vector bundle E on X of rank r and degree −rd −* 1 *such that:*  $(h) h^{0}(X, I \otimes E) = 0$  *and*  $h^{1}(X, I \otimes E) = 1$ ;

*(ii) the unique (modulo k<sup>\*</sup>) non-zero homomorphism*  $I \rightarrow E^* \otimes \omega$  *is an embedding with torsion-free cokernel.*

*Proof.* Let  $m \gg 0$  be an integer such that  $H^0(X, I(-m)) = 0$  and  $\underline{Hom}_X(I, \omega)(m)$ is generated by global sections. Since  $r \geq \max(e(Hom_X(I, \omega)), 2)$  and *k* is infinite, then there is a surjection  $p : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \to \underline{Hom}_X(\overline{I}, \omega)(m)$ . Applying  $\underline{Hom}_X(\cdot, \omega)$ <br>to n we obtain an embedding  $I(-m) \hookrightarrow \omega^{\oplus r}$ , whose colormal is torsion free to *p*, we obtain an embedding  $I(-m) \hookrightarrow \omega^{\oplus r}$ , whose cokernel is torsion-free since  $\underline{Ext}^1_X(F, \omega) = 0$  for every torsion-free sheaf *F* on *X* [4, p. 96]. Twisting by<br>  $\mathscr{O}_r(m)$  and letting  $F := \mathscr{O}_r(-m)^{\oplus r}$  we get that  $H^0(Y, I \otimes F) = 0$  and there is a  $O_X(m)$  and letting  $E := O_X(-m)^{\oplus r}$ , we get that  $H^0(X, I \otimes E) = 0$  and there is a short exact sequence on *X* of the form short exact sequence on *X* of the form

$$
0 \to I \stackrel{\mu}{\to} E^* \otimes \omega \stackrel{q}{\to} C \to 0 ,
$$

where *C* is torsion-free.

Let  $h := h^1(X, I \otimes E)$ . If  $h = 1$ , then the proposition is proved. We will show by descending induction on *h* that we can choose *E* as in the above paragraph with  $h = 1$ . Suppose  $h > 1$ . Let  $\lambda : I \to E^* \otimes \omega$  be a homomorphism that is not a multiple of  $\mu$ . Since *I* is simple by [4, Lemma 5.4, p. 83], then the composition  $p := q \circ \lambda$  is not zero. Since *C* is torsion-free, then there is a regular point  $x \in X$ such that  $p(x) \neq 0$ . Let  $\sigma : C(x) \rightarrow I(x)$  be a splitting for  $\rho(x)$ . Let

$$
F := (\ker(E^* \to E^*(x) \stackrel{q(x)}{\to} C(x) \stackrel{\sigma}{\to} I(x)))^*.
$$

(We implicitly chose a trivialization of  $\omega$  at *x*. Any other choice of trivialization yields the same subsheaf *F*.) Then *F* is a vector bundle of deg  $F = \deg E + 1$ and rank  $r$ . By definition of  $F$ , we have that  $\mu$  factors through an embedding  $\mu': I \to F^* \otimes \omega$ , but  $\lambda$  does not. Thus  $h^1(X, I \otimes F) < h^1(X, I \otimes E)$ . Since  $\deg F = \deg E + 1$ , then  $H^0(X, I \otimes F) = 0$ . It is clear that the cokernel of  $\mu'$  is torsion-free. The induction proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

# **Corollary 2** *The functor*  $\bar{J}_d$  *is representable by a scheme.*

*Proof.* First note that properties (i) and (ii) in the statement of Proposition 1 are open on *I*. More precisely, given a vector bundle *E* on *X* of rank *r* and degree  $-\mathit{rd}$  − 1, the subfunctor  $\mathbf{U}_E \subseteq \mathbf{J}_d$ , parametrizing sheaves *I* satisfying properties (i) and (ii) in the statement of Proposition 1, is open. By Proposition 1, the subfunctors  $U_E$  cover  $\bar{J}_d$ . Thus to show that  $\bar{J}_d$  is representable we need only show that each  $U_E$  is representable.

Fix a vector bundle *E* on *X* of rank *r* and degree  $-rd - 1$ . Let

$$
V\subseteq \mathrm{Quot}_X(E^*\otimes\omega)
$$

be the open subscheme parametrizing those quotients  $q : E^* \otimes \omega \to G$  such that both *G* and  $\text{ker}(q)$  are torsion-free,  $\text{ker}(q)$  has rank 1,

(2.1) 
$$
h^0(X, \ker(q) \otimes E) = 0
$$
 and  $h^1(X, \ker(q) \otimes E) = 1$ .

There is a morphism of functors  $V \to U_E$  sending a quotient  $[q] \in V$  to its kernel,  $[\ker(q)] \in \mathbf{U}_E$ . It follows from (2.1) that the latter morphism is an isomorphism. The proof is complete.  $\square$ 

We will say that a vector bundle *E* on *X* of rank *r* and degree  $-\frac{rd}{r} - 1$ satisfying properties (i) and (ii) in the statement of Proposition 1 *represents I*. We remark that the property of representing *I* is open.

## **3 The Theta divisor**

Assume from now on that  $q > 0$ . Let  $\mathscr T$  be a universal relatively torsion-free, rank 1 sheaf on  $X \times \bar{J}_0$  over  $\bar{J}_0$ . Denote by  $p : X \times \bar{J}_0 \to \bar{J}_0$  the projection map. Define

$$
\mathscr{L} := (\det Rp_*(\mathscr{T}))^{-1} ,
$$

where det *Rp<sup>∗</sup>* denotes the determinant of cohomology associated with the projection *p*. (For a brief description of *Rp<sup>∗</sup>* see [6] or [19]; for a more in-depth development of the theory of determinants, see [12].) Since the sheaf  $\mathscr T$  has relative Euler characteristic 0 over  $\bar{J}_0$ , then  $\mathscr E$  is independent on the choice of a universal sheaf  $\mathscr{T}$ , and there is a canonical global section  $\theta$  of  $\mathscr{L}$  whose zero scheme *Θ* parametrizes torsion-free, rank 1 sheaves *<sup>I</sup>* of Euler characteristic 0 on *X* such that

$$
h^{0}(X,I) = h^{1}(X,I) \neq 0.
$$

Equivalently, by Serre's duality,  $\Theta$  consists of the torsion-free, rank 1 sheaves<br>of Euler characteristic 0 that can be embedded into the dualizing sheaf  $\omega$ . In of Euler characteristic 0 that can be embedded into the dualizing sheaf *ω*. In other words,  $\Theta$  is (set-theoretically) the image of the  $(g - 1)$ -th component of the Abel-Jacobi map:

$$
\mathscr{A}^{g-1} : \mathrm{Quot}_{X}^{g-1}(\omega) \to \bar{J}_0 ,
$$

where  $\mathcal{A}^{g-1}$  sends a quotient  $[q] \in \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{X}}^{g-1}(\omega)$  to its kernel,  $[\text{ker}(q)] \in \bar{J}_0$  (cf.  $\mathcal{A}$ , n 871) We say that  $\mathcal{L}$  is the *Thata line* bundle, and  $\Theta$  is the *Thata divisor* [4, p. 87].) We say that *<sup>L</sup>* is the *Theta line bundle*, and *Θ* is the *Theta divisor* (even though it is not known whether *Θ* is actually a Cartier divisor in general).

If *X* is smooth, then

$$
\operatorname{Quot}_X^{g-1}(\omega) \cong \operatorname{Hilb}_X^{g-1} = \operatorname{Symm}^{g-1}(X) ,
$$

where Hilb<sup>*g*−1</sup> := Quot<sub>*X*</sub><sup>*y*−1</sup>( $\mathcal{O}_X$ ) is the Hilbert scheme, parametrizing (*g*−1)-uples of points in *X* and Symm<sup>*g*−1</sup>(*X*) is the symmetric product of (*g* − 1) copies of of points in *X*, and  $\text{Symm}^{g-1}(X)$  is the symmetric product of  $(g-1)$  copies of  $Y$ . Hence  $\Theta$  corresponds to the classical Theta divisor (cf. Sect. 1) *<sup>X</sup>*. Hence *Θ* corresponds to the classical Theta divisor (cf. Sect. 1).

Assume that *X* is locally planar, that is, that the embedding dimension of each point of *X* is at most 2. Equivalently, assume that *X* can be embedded into a quasi-projective smooth surface [2]. Then Quot<sup>*g*-1</sup>( $\omega$ ) and  $\bar{J}_0$  are integral, local complete intersections of dimensions  $a - 1$  and  $a$  respectively. (Since local complete intersections of dimensions  $g - 1$  and  $g$ , respectively. (Since locally planar curves are Gorenstein, then  $\text{Quot}_{X}^{g-1}(\omega) \cong \text{Hilb}_{X}^{g-1}$ , and thus our statement follows from  $[1 \text{ Cor } 7 \text{ and Thm } 91)$  In this case  $\Theta$  is an irreducible statement follows from [1, Cor. 7 and Thm. 9].) In this case, *Θ* is an irreducible, local complete intersection, effective Cartier divisor on  $\bar{J}_0$ . Moreover, it is clear that  $\mathcal{A}^{g-1}$  is an isomorphism over the open subscheme of  $\Theta$  parametrizing torsion-free, rank 1 sheaves *I* with  $h^1(X, I) = 1$ . From [4, Prop. 3.5.ii, p. 76], this open subscheme is dense. Since *Θ* is Cohen-Macaulay and irreducible, and Quot<sup> $g^{-1}$ </sup> $(\omega)$  is integral, then *Θ* is also integral. We observe that the assumption that *Y* is locally planar is essential in the above argument. If *Y* is not locally that  $\hat{X}$  is locally planar is essential in the above argument. If  $X$  is not locally planar, then  $\bar{J}_0$  is not irreducible (cf. [11] or [17, Thm. A]), and may have dimension greater than *g* (cf. [1, Ex. 13, p. 10]).

We observe that the above notions and arguments can be extended to families of integral, complete curves without difficulty [6]. Moreover, the formation of *θ* and *<sup>L</sup>* commutes with base change, since so does the determinant of cohomology. From this observation it follows that Poincaré's formula holds for locally planar curves. Namely, we claim that, if *X* is locally planar, then the self-intersection  $\Theta^g$  is equal to *q*!. In fact, the claim is known for smooth curves [13, Sect. 2]. Since every locally planar curve is part of a family whose general member is a smooth curve, then we may apply the principle of conservation of intersection number to prove our claim.

As we have already remarked, it is not known whether *Θ* is always a Cartier divisor. Nevertheless, Soucaris showed that the zero scheme of the restriction of the canonical section  $\theta$  to the maximum reduced subscheme of  $\bar{J}_0$  is a Cartier divisor [19] Thm  $\beta$ , p. 236] divisor [19, Thm. 8, p. 236].

#### **4 Very ampleness**

Recall the notations of Sect. 3. If *E* is a vector bundle on *X* with deg  $E = 0$ , then  $\mathscr{T} \otimes E$  has relative Euler characteristic 0 over  $\bar{J}_0$ . Therefore, the invertible sheaf

$$
\mathscr{L}_E = (\det Rp_*(\mathscr{T} \otimes E))^{-1}
$$

on  $\bar{J}_0$  has a canonical global section  $\theta_E$ , whose zero scheme  $\Theta_E$  parametrizes torsion free rank 1 shares *L* of Fular characteristic 0 on *Y* such that torsion-free, rank 1 sheaves *I* of Euler characteristic 0 on *X* such that

$$
h^0(X, I \otimes E) = h^1(X, I \otimes E) \neq 0.
$$

As before,  $\mathcal{L}_E$  and  $\theta_E$  are independent on the choice of a universal sheaf  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Lemma 3** *Let E and F be vector bundles on X of same rank and degree 0. If*  $det E$   $\cong$   $det F$ *, then*  $\mathscr{L}_E$   $\cong$   $\mathscr{L}_F$ *.* 

*Proof.* By Seshadri in [18, Lemma 2.5, p. 165]. □

By Lemma 3, if *E* is a vector bundle on *X* of rank *n* and det  $E \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ , then  $\mathscr{L}_E$  ≃  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}$ . Thus we may consider  $\theta_E$  as a global section of  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}$  under the latter isomorphism. In this case we say that  $\theta_E$  is a *theta section of degree n*. We now have a convenient way to produce sections of powers of *L* .

For every integer  $d$ , let  $J<sup>d</sup>$  be the Jacobian of *X*, parametrizing invertible sheaves of degree *d* on *X*. Recall that  $J<sup>d</sup>$  is connected, quasi-projective and smooth.

**Lemma 4** *Let*  $n \geq 2$ *. For each*  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ *, let*  $d_i$  *be an integer and*  $U_i \subseteq J^{d_i}$ *be a non-empty, open subset. Let L be an invertible sheaf of degree*  $d_1 + \ldots + d_n$ *. Then there are points*  $[L_i] \in U_i$  *for every i* = 1, ..., *n such that* 

$$
L \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^n L_i \ .
$$

186 Eduardo Esteves

*Proof.* Consider the morphism  $\phi: U_1 \times \ldots \times U_{n-1} \to J^{d_n}$ , given by

$$
([M_1],\ldots,[M_{n-1}])\mapsto [L\otimes M_1^{-1}\otimes\ldots\otimes M_{n-1}^{-1}]\ .
$$

It is clear that the image *V* of  $\phi$  is open in  $J^{d_n}$ . Since  $J^{d_n}$  is irreducible, then *V* ∩  $U_n \neq \emptyset$ . Thus there is a point  $[L_i] \in U_i$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  such that

$$
L_n \cong L \otimes L_1^{-1} \otimes \ldots L_{n-1}^{-1} .
$$

The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5** *The sheaf*  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}$  *is generated by global sections if*  $n > 2$ *.* 

*Proof.* Fix  $n \geq 2$ . Let *I* be a torsion-free, rank 1 sheaf on *X* of Euler characteristic 0. We will show that there is a vector bundle *E* on *X* of rank *n* and det  $E \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ such that

(5.1) 
$$
h^{0}(X, I \otimes E) = h^{1}(X, I \otimes E) = 0.
$$

In this case, the section  $\theta_E$  generates  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}$  at [*I*], thereby proving the theorem.<br>By the proof of [19] Prop. 7, p. 235], there is an invertible sheaf *L* on *Y* of

By the proof of [19, Prop. 7, p. 235], there is an invertible sheaf *L* on *X* of degree 0 such that

$$
h^0(X, I \otimes L) = h^1(X, I \otimes L) = 0.
$$

By semicontinuity, there is an open, dense subset  $U \subseteq J^0$ , containing [*L*], such that if  $[M] \in U$ , then

$$
h^0(X, I \otimes M) = h^1(X, I \otimes M) = 0.
$$

From Lemma 4, with  $U_i := U$  for every  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , there are invertible sheaves  $M_1, \ldots, M_n$  of degree 0 on *X* such that

$$
h^0(X, I \otimes M_i) = h^1(X, I \otimes M_i) = 0
$$

for every  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , and

$$
M_1\otimes\ldots\otimes M_n\cong\mathscr{O}_X.
$$

If we now let  $E := M_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_n$ , then *E* satisfies (5.1) and det  $E \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$ 

Soucaris had used [19, Prop. 7, p. 235] to show that the pullback of  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 2}$  to the normalization of  $\bar{J}_0$  is generated by global sections [19, Prop. 9, p. 236].

If *S* is a *k*-scheme and  $\mathscr F$  is a vector bundle on  $X \times S$  of relative degree *d* over *S*, then we denote by  $\pi \infty : S \to J^d$  the determinant morphism, mapping  $s \in S$  to  $\left[\det \mathcal{F}(s)\right] \in J^d$ .

**Lemma 6** *Let*  $F_1, \ldots, F_n$  *be vector bundles on X of same rank r and same degree d. Then there are a connected, smooth k-scheme S and a vector bundle F on*  $X \times S$  *such that*  $\pi_{\mathscr{F}}$  *is smooth, and*  $F_i \cong \mathscr{F}(s_i)$  *for some*  $s_i \in S$ *, for each*  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m \gg 0$  be such that  $F_i(m)$  is generated by global sections for every  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Since *k* is infinite, then there is an exact sequence of the form

(6.1) 
$$
0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-m)^{\oplus r-1} \to F_i \to (\det F_i)((r-1)m) \to 0
$$

for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a universal sheaf on  $X \times J^d$ . Let  $p : X \times J^d \to J^d$ denote the projection map, and let  $\mathcal{V} := R^1 p_*(\mathcal{P}^{-1}(-rm))^{\oplus r-1}$ . Choose  $m \gg 0$ such that  $\mathcal V$  is locally free, and let  $T := \mathbf P_J \circ (\mathcal V^*)$ . Since  $\mathcal V$  is locally free, then *T* is smooth over  $J^d$ . Since  $J^d$  is connected, smooth and quasi-projective, then so is *T*. The scheme *T* parametrizes  $O_X$ -module extensions of  $L((r - 1)m)$  by  $\mathcal{O}_X(-m)^{\oplus r-1}$  for invertible sheaves *L* on *X* of degree *d*. Thus there is  $s_i \in T$ corresponding to (6.1) for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Since *T* is quasi-projective, then there is an affine open subscheme  $S \subseteq T$  containing  $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ . Since *S* is affine, then

$$
\mathscr{V}(S) = \text{Ext}^1_{X \times S}(\mathscr{P} \mid_{X \times S} ((r-1)m), \mathscr{O}_{X \times S}(-m)^{\oplus r-1}).
$$

Let  $q : \mathcal{V}^*_T \to \mathcal{Q}$  be the universal quotient on *T* over  $J^d$ . Then *q* induces an extension of the form

$$
0 \to \mathscr{O}_{X \times S}(-m)^{\oplus r-1} \otimes \mathscr{Q} \to \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{P}|_{X \times S} ((r-1)m) \to 0
$$

on *X*  $\times$  *S* that specializes to (6.1) over *s<sub>i</sub>*, for each *i* = 1, ..., *n*. By construction,  $\pi$  *f* is equal to the restriction to *S* of the structure morphism  $T \rightarrow J^d$ . Thus  $\pi$  *f* is smooth. The proof is complete.  $\square$ 

Let  $e_X := \max_I e(I)$ , where the maximum runs over all torsion-free, rank 1 sheaves on *X*. If *S* is a *k*-scheme, we say that an *S*-flat coherent sheaf  $C$  on  $X \times S$  is *relatively torsion-free* if  $\mathcal{C}(s)$  is torsion-free for every  $s \in S$ .

**Theorem 7** *The sheaf*  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n}$  *is very ample for every*  $n \geq \max(e_X, 2) + 1$ *.* 

*Proof.* Fix  $n \geq \max(e_X, 2) + 1$ . By Theorem 5, the sheaf  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  is generated by global sections. We need only show that *L <sup>⊗</sup><sup>n</sup>* separates points and tangent vectors on  $\bar{J}_0$ . The former is Step 1, while the latter is Step 2 below.

*Step 1.* Let  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  be non-isomorphic torsion-free, rank 1 sheaves on *X* of Euler characteristic 0. Then there is a vector bundle *E* on *X* of rank *n* and det  $E \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ such that  $\theta_F([I_1]) \neq 0$ , but  $\theta_F([I_2]) = 0$ .

*Proof of Step 1.* By Proposition 1, since  $n \ge \max(e_X, 2) + 1$ , there is a vector bundle  $F_i$  on  $X$  of rank  $n-1$  and degree  $-1$  representing  $I_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2$ . From Lemma 6, since the property of representing a torsion-free, rank 1 sheaf is open, we may assume that there are a non-empty, connected, smooth *k*-scheme *S*, and a vector bundle  $\mathscr F$  on  $X \times S$  of rank  $n-1$  and relative degree  $-1$  over *S* such that the determinant morphism  $\pi_{\mathscr{F}}$  is smooth, and  $\mathscr{F}(s)$  represents both *I*<sub>1</sub> and *I*<sub>2</sub> for every  $s \in S$ .

By replacing *S* with an open, dense subscheme if necessary, we may assume that for each  $i = 1, 2$  there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \to I_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{\lambda_i} \mathscr{F}^* \otimes \omega \xrightarrow{q_i} \mathscr{C}_i \to 0
$$

on  $X \times S$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_i$  is a relatively torsion-free sheaf over *S*. If the composition  $\rho := q_2 \circ \lambda_1$  were zero over a certain  $s \in S$ , then  $\lambda_1(s)$  would factor through  $I_2$ , and since  $\chi(I_1) = \chi(I_2)$  we would have that  $I_1 \cong I_2$ . Thus  $\rho : I_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{C}_2$  is an embedding with *S*-flat cokernel. Since  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is relatively torsion-free, by replacing *S* with an open, dense subscheme if necessary, we may assume that there is a regular point  $x \in X$  such that  $\rho(x) : I_1(x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{C}_2(x)$  is an embedding with free cokernel. Let  $\sigma$  :  $\mathcal{C}_2(x) \to I_1(x) \otimes \mathcal{C}_S$  be a splitting for  $\rho(x)$ . Let

$$
\mathcal{G} := (\ker(\mathcal{F}^* \to \mathcal{F}^*(x) \stackrel{q_2(x)}{\to} \mathcal{C}_2(x) \stackrel{\sigma}{\to} I_1(x) \otimes \mathcal{C}_3))^*.
$$

(As in the proof of Proposition 1, we implicitly chose a trivialization of  $\omega$  at *x*.) Then  $\mathcal G$  is a vector bundle on  $X \times S$  of rank  $n-1$  and relative degree 0 over *S*. Moreover, det  $\mathcal{G}(s) \cong \det \mathcal{F}(s) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(x)$  for every  $s \in S$ . Thus the determinant morphism  $\pi$  *g* is also smooth. In addition,  $\lambda_2$  factors through  $\mathcal{G}^* \otimes \omega$ , but  $\lambda_1(s)$ does not factor through  $\mathcal{G}^*(s) \otimes \omega(s)$  for any  $s \in S$ . Thus

$$
h^0(X, I_1 \otimes \mathcal{G}(s)) = 0, \quad \text{but } h^0(X, I_2 \otimes \mathcal{G}(s)) \neq 0
$$

for every  $s \in S$ .

By the proof of [19, Prop. 7, p. 235] (see the proof of Theorem 5), there is an open dense subset  $U \subseteq J^0$  such that

$$
h^0(X, I_1 \otimes L) = h^0(X, I_2 \otimes L) = 0
$$

for every  $[L] \in U$ . By Lemma 4 applied to  $U_1 := \pi \mathcal{G}(S)$  and  $U_2 := U$ , there are  $s \in S$  and  $[L] \in U$  such that

$$
(\det \mathscr{G}(s))\otimes L\cong \mathscr{O}_X.
$$

It is clear that *E* :=  $\mathcal{G}(s) \oplus L$  satisfies det *E*  $\cong \mathcal{O}_X$  and

$$
h^0(X, I_1 \otimes E) = 0, \quad \text{but } h^0(X, I_2 \otimes E) \neq 0.
$$

Thus  $\theta_E([I_1]) \neq 0$ , but  $\theta_E([I_2]) = 0$ . The proof of Step 1 is complete.  $\Box$ 

*Step 2.* Let *I* be a torsion-free, rank 1 sheaf on *X* with  $\chi(I) = 0$ . Let  $v \in T_{\bar{J}_0, [I]}$ <br>be a non-zero tangent vector on  $\bar{J}_0$  at [*I*]. Then there is a vector bundle *E* on *X* be a non-zero tangent vector on  $\bar{J}_0$  at [*I*]. Then there is a vector bundle *E* on  $\bar{X}$ of rank *n* and det  $E \cong \mathcal{O}_X$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_E$  contains [*I*] but not *v*.

*Proof of Step 2.* As in Step 1, we may assume that there are a non-empty, connected, smooth *k*-scheme *S*, and a vector bundle  $\mathscr{F}$  on  $X \times S$  of rank *n* − 1 and relative degree −1 over *S*, such that the determinant morphism  $\pi$ *F* is smooth, and  $\mathscr{F}(s)$  represents *I* for every  $s \in S$ .

By replacing *S* with an open, dense subscheme if necessary, we may assume that there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \to I \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathscr{F}^* \omega \xrightarrow{q} \mathscr{C} \to 0
$$

on  $X \times S$ , where  $\mathcal C$  is a relatively torsion-free sheaf over *S*. By the proof of Corollary 2, we have natural identifications

Theta on the compactified Jacobian 189

(7.1) 
$$
T_{\bar{J}_0,[I]} = T_{Q(s),[q(s)]} = Hom_X(I,\mathcal{C}(s))
$$

for every  $s \in S$ , where  $Q(s) := \text{Quot}_X(\mathscr{F}^*(s) \otimes \omega)$ . So there is a homomorphism  $\nu$  :  $I \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\nu(s) = v$  under the identification (7.1) for every  $s \in S$ . Since  $v \neq 0$ , then  $\nu$  is an embedding with *S*-flat cokernel. Since  $\mathcal C$  is relatively torsion-free, by replacing *S* with an open, dense subscheme if necessary, there is a regular point  $x \in X$  such that  $\nu(x)$  is an embedding with free cokernel. Let  $\sigma$  :  $\mathcal{C}(x) \rightarrow I(x) \otimes \mathcal{C}_S$  be a splitting for  $\nu(x)$ . Let

$$
\mathcal{G} := (\ker(\mathcal{F}^* \to \mathcal{F}^*(x) \stackrel{q(x)}{\to} \mathcal{C}(x) \stackrel{\sigma}{\to} I(x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S))^*.
$$

(As in the proof of Step 1, we implicitly chose a trivialization of  $\omega$  at *x*.) Then  $\mathcal G$ is a vector bundle on  $X \times S$  of rank  $n-1$  and relative degree 0 over *S*. Moreover, det  $\mathcal{G}(s) \cong$  det  $\mathcal{F}(s) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(x)$  for every *s* ∈ *S*. Thus the determinant morphism *πg* is smooth. In addition,  $\lambda$  factors through  $\mathscr{G}^* \otimes \omega$ . Thus  $[I] \in \Theta_{\mathscr{G}(s)}$  for every  $s \in S$ . On the other hand, since  $\nu(x)$  is an embedding, then *v* does not belong to  $\Theta_{\mathscr{G}(s)}$  for any  $s \in S$ .

The reader is invited to repeat the argument in the last paragraph of the proof of Step 1 to finish the proof of Step 2. The proof of Theorem 7 is complete.  $\Box$ 

*Remark 8* Let  $x \in X$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_x$  denote the normalization of  $\mathcal{O}_x$ . Let  $\delta_x$  denote the length of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_x/\mathcal{O}_x$ . If *I* is a torsion-free, rank 1 module over  $\mathcal{O}_x$ , then it is easy to show that *I* is isomorphic to a submodule of  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_x$  containing  $\mathcal{O}_x$ . Thus

$$
\dim_k I(x) \leq \delta_x + 1.
$$

If the conductor,  $\mathcal{C}_x := (\mathcal{O}_x : \mathcal{O}_x)$ , is the maximal ideal  $m_x$  of  $\mathcal{O}_x$ , then equality in (8.1) is achieved for  $I = \mathcal{O}_x$  only; otherwise the inequality (8.1) is always strict. Let

$$
\delta_X := \max_{x \in X} \delta_x \; .
$$

Since *X* is generically non-singular, then  $\delta_X < \infty$ . It follows from (8.1) that  $e_X \leq \delta_X + 1$ .

Theorem 7 states that  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 3}$  is very ample if  $e_X \leq 2$ . This is the case for *X* non-singular, or with at most ordinary nodes or cusps as singularities, as  $\delta_X \leq 1$ . It is clear that if  $e_X \leq 2$  then *X* is locally planar. If  $\delta_X = 2$ , then  $e_X \leq 2$  if and only if *X* is locally planar. If  $\delta_X = 3$ , then  $e_X \leq 2$  if and only if *X* is locally planar and  $m_x^2 \neq \mathcal{C}_x$  for every  $x \in X$ . Note that the planar curve  $X \subseteq \mathbf{P}_k^2$ , given as the zero scheme of  $u^3w - u^4$  has  $c_1 = 3$ as the zero scheme of  $u^3w - v^4$ , has  $e_X = 3$ .

*Question 9* It follows from the proof of the theorem in [14, Sect. 17, p. 163] that, if *X* is smooth, then 3 $\Theta$  is very ample, and the sections  $\theta_E$  associated to completely decomposable vector bundles *E* (that is: vector bundles *E* of the form  $L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3$ , where  $L_i$  is an invertible sheaf of degree 0 for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and *L*<sub>1</sub>  $\otimes$  *L*<sub>2</sub>  $\otimes$  *L*<sub>3</sub>  $\cong$   $\mathcal{O}_X$ *)*, are enough to embed *J*<sub>0</sub> into a projective space. We might ask: for which integral curves *X* are such sections enough to embed  $\bar{J}_0$  into a projective space? The proof of Theorem 7 shows that the sections  $\theta_E$  associated to

vector bundles *E* of the form  $F \oplus L$ , where *F* is a vector bundle of rank max( $e_X$ , 2) and degree 0, the sheaf *L* is invertible of degree 0 and (det *F*)  $\otimes L \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ , are enough to embed  $\bar{J}_0$  into a projective space.

*Example 10* Let *X* be a complete, integral curve of arithmetic genus  $q = 1$ . As a subset, *Θ* is the locus of torsion-free, rank 1 sheaves *<sup>I</sup>* with Euler characteristic 0 such that  $h^0(X, I) > 0$ . Since  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ , then any non-zero section  $\mathcal{O}_X \to I$ must be an isomorphism. Since  $\Theta$  is integral by Sect. 3, then  $\Theta = [\mathcal{O}_X]$ , as Cartier divisors of  $\bar{J}_0$ .

By [3, Ex. 8.9.iii, p. 109], the first component of the Abel-Jacobi map,

$$
\mathscr{A}^1: \quad X \to \bar{J}_{-1} \\ x \mapsto [m_x] \ ,
$$

where  $m<sub>x</sub>$  denotes the maximal ideal sheaf of *x*, is an isomomorphism. Fix a regular point *x*  $\in X$ . Then we have an isomorphism  $\phi_x : \bar{J}_{-1} \to \bar{J}_0$ , by sending  $\bar{J}_1 \in \bar{J}_1$ , to  $\bar{J}(x) \in \bar{J}_2$ . Under the composition  $\psi := \phi \circ \mathscr{A}^1$ , the Cartier divisor  $[I] \in \bar{J}_{-1}$  to  $[I(x)] \in \bar{J}_0$ . Under the composition  $\psi := \phi_x \circ \mathscr{A}^1$ , the Cartier divisor  $\Theta$  corresponds to the Cartier divisor  $[x]$  in  $Y$ *Θ* corresponds to the Cartier divisor [*x*] in *<sup>X</sup>*.

Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer. The complete linear system associated to  $\mathcal{O}_X(nx)$ gives rise to an embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ . If  $H \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  is a hyperplane intersecting *X* at regular points  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ , then  $[y_1] + \ldots + [y_n]$  is a Cartier divisor on *X* whose associated invertible sheaf is  $\mathcal{O}_X(nx)$ . Under  $\psi$ , the divisor  $[y_1] + ... + [y_n]$ corresponds to *<sup>Θ</sup><sup>E</sup>* , where

$$
E=(\mathscr{O}_X(y_1)\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathscr{O}_X(y_n))\otimes\mathscr{O}_X(-x).
$$

It follows now from Bertini's theorem that the theta sections of degree *n* associated to completely decomposable vector bundles generate  $H^0(\bar{J}_0, \mathscr{L}^{\otimes n})$  for every  $n \geq 0$ . (In case  $n \leq 2$  it is easy to check the latter statement directly.) Thus, for the case of curves of arithmetic genus 1, Question 9 is answered in the affirmative.

*Acknowledgements.* This work is a natural continuation of part of the author's Ph.D. thesis [6]. The author would like to thank Prof. Kleiman for introducing him to the theory of the compactified Jacobian and for many useful comments. In addition, the author would like to thank Prof. Faltings for discovering a serious mistake in the first version of the present article. Finally, the author would like to thank Waseda University, in particular Prof. Kaji and his students, and Prof. Homma for their warm hospitality during the period this work was carried out.

## **References**

- 1. Altman, A., Iarrobino, A., Kleiman, S.: Irreducibility of the compactified Jacobian. In: Real and complex singularities, Oslo 1976 (Proc. 9th nordic summer school, pp. 1–12) Sijthoff and Noordhoff 1977
- 2. Altman, A., Kleiman, S.: Bertini theorems for hypersurface sections containing a subscheme. Commun. Algebra **7** (8), 775–790 (1979)
- 3. Altman, A., Kleiman, S.: Compactifying the Picard scheme II. Am. J. Math. **101**, 10–41 (1979)
- 4. Altman, A., Kleiman, S.: Compactifying the Picard scheme. Adv. Math. **35**, 50–112 (1980)

Theta on the compactified Jacobian 191

- 5. D'Souza, C.: Compactification of generalized Jacobian. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A, Math. Sci. **88** (5), 419–457 (1979)
- 6. Esteves, E.: The presentation functor and Weierstrass theory for families of local complete intersection curves. M.I.T. Ph.D. thesis 1994
- 7. Esteves, E.: Separation properties of theta functions. Preprint 1997
- 8. Esteves, E.: Compactifying the relative Jacobian over families of reduced curves. Preprint 1997
- 9. Faltings, G.: Stable *G*-bundles and projective connections. J. Algebr. Geom. **2**, 507–568 (1993)
- 10. Grothendieck, A.: Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géometrie algébrique IV: les schemas de Hilbert (Seminaire Bourbaki, vol. 221) 1961 ´
- 11. Kleiman, S., Kleppe, H.: Reducibility of the compactified Jacobian. Compos. Math. **43**, 277–280 (1981)
- 12. Knudsen, F., Mumford, D.: The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves I. Mat Scand. **39**, 19–55 (1976)
- 13. Mattuck, A.: On symmetric products of curves. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **13**, 82–87 (1962)
- 14. Mumford, D.: Abelian varieties, Oxford University Press 1970
- 15. Mumford, D.: On the equations defining Abelian varieties. I. Invent. Math. **1**, 287–354 (1966)
- 16. Mumford, D.: On the equations defining Abelian varieties. II and III. Invent. Math. **3**, 75–135 and 215–244 (1967)
- 17. Rego, C.: The compactified Jacobian. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. ´ **13**, 211–223 (1980)
- 18. Seshadri, C.S.: Vector bundles on curves. In: R.S. Elman et al.: Linear Algebraic Groups and Their Representations, Los Angeles, California 1992 (Contemp. Math., vol. 153, pp. 163–200) Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society 1993
- 19. Soucaris, A.: The ampleness of the theta divisor on the compactified Jacobian of a proper and integral curve. Compos. Math. **93**, 231–242 (1994)

This article was processed by the author using the L<sup>AT</sup>EX style file *pljour1m* from Springer-Verlag.