

On an exponential representation of the gravitational S-matrix

Poul H. Damgaard,^{a,b} Ludovic Planté^a and Pierre Vanhove^{c,d}

^a*Niels Bohr International Academy, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 17, Copenhagen DK-2100, Denmark*

^b*Theoretical Physics Department, CERN, Geneva 23 1211, Switzerland*

^c*Institut de Physique Theorique, Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex F-91191, France*

^d*National Research University Higher School of Economics, Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, 20, Moscow 101000, Russia*

E-mail: phdamg@nbi.dk, ludovic.plante@mines.org, pierre.vanhove@ipht.fr

ABSTRACT: An exponential representation of the S-matrix provides a natural framework for understanding the semi-classical limit of scattering amplitudes. While sharing some similarities with the eikonal formalism it differs from it in details. Computationally, rules are simple because pieces that must be subtracted are given by combinations of unitarity cuts. Analyzing classical gravitational scattering to third Post-Minkowskian order in both maximal supergravity and Einstein gravity we find agreement with other approaches, including the contributions from radiation reaction terms. The kinematical relation for the two-body problem in isotropic coordinates follows immediately from this procedure, again with the inclusion of radiation reaction pieces up to third Post-Minkowskian order.

KEYWORDS: Scattering Amplitudes, Classical Theories of Gravity, Effective Field Theories

ARXIV EPRINT: [2107.12891](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.12891)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Exponential representation of the S-matrix	2
3	Unitarity cuts and velocity cuts	8
3.1	Maximal supergravity	10
3.2	General relativity	12
4	Kinematics in isotropic coordinates	15
5	Conclusions	17
A	Details of the one and two loop box calculations	18
A.1	The cut part of the box integral	18
A.2	The two-cut part of the double-box integral	21

1 Introduction

The surge of interest in using modern amplitude techniques to calculate the Post-Minkowskian expansion of classical general relativity [1–27] has led to a renewed focus on the eikonal formalism in relativistic quantum field theory. This eikonal approach is based on an exponentiation of the scattering amplitude in impact-parameter space and it is particularly well suited for understanding classical gravity from a field theoretic setting [28–43]. Nevertheless, as has been known for long (see, e.g., appendix B of ref. [31] as well as ref. [44]), the precise definition of the eikonal phase becomes subtle at higher orders. What in a first approximation can be treated as insignificant contributions from small transverse momenta q^2 become important and have to be taken into account. Such terms may appear as being of quantum origin but since they mix with terms from the Laurent expansion in \hbar of higher orders in the perturbative expansion they cannot be ignored. Although there is little doubt that the eikonal formalism can be pushed to arbitrarily high order by a careful analysis (the small-angle classical scattering regime exists and should be computable systematically order by order in the coupling), it nevertheless suggests that it may be useful to pursue alternative strategies as well.

A different approach to the semi-classical limit of the S -matrix is the WKB formalism. While well-known in the context of non-relativistic quantum mechanics, where it provides a systematic semi-classical expansion of the wave function through its connection to the classical Hamilton-Jacobi formalism, little attention has so far been paid to the WKB framework in relativistic quantum field theory. Motivated by the recent proposal of Bern

et al. [17] we here wish to explore the possibility of using the WKB limit of relativistic quantum field theories to derive in an alternative manner the classical scattering of two massive objects in general relativity from scattering amplitudes.

The eikonal formalism has issues of complexity at several layers. First, while scattering amplitudes are conveniently computed in the plane-wave basis of momentum space, the eikonal lives in impact-parameter space. To obtain the needed eikonal exponentiation, one must carefully separate, order by order, those terms that go into the exponent and those terms that remain as prefactors at the linear level. Second, after exponentiation in impact-parameter space one must apply the inverse transformation and seek from it two crucial ingredients: (1) the correct identification of the transverse momentum transfer \vec{q} in the center of mass frame and (2) the correct identification of the scattering angle from the saddle point. At low orders in the eikonal expansion this procedure works well but it hinges on the impact-parameter transformation being able to undo the convolution product of the momentum-space representation. When q^2 -corrections are taken into account it is well known that this procedure requires amendments. This motivates why an alternative pathway, such as the one proposed in ref. [17] which we find is rooted in the WKB approximation rather than the eikonal *per se* should be investigated.

We begin our paper by first introducing the exponential representation of the S -matrix and we then proceed to develop a method that differs from that of ref. [17]. We shall check our proposal to third Post-Minkowskian order for both maximal supergravity and Einstein gravity, and we shall also point out a simple link to the potential from the Hamiltonian formalism. This has all classical contributions to the order we work, including radiation reaction parts.

2 Exponential representation of the S -matrix

Conventionally, the S -matrix is expanded in the form

$$\hat{S} = 1 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{T} \tag{2.1}$$

where \hat{T} is the scattering operator. Restricting ourselves at first to two-particle scalar scattering with incoming and outgoing momenta p_1, p_2 and p'_1, p'_2 , respectively, matrix elements of \hat{T} define for us the scattering amplitude $M(p_1, p_2, p'_1, p'_2)$ through

$$\langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T} | p_1, p_2 \rangle = (2\pi\hbar)^D \delta^{(D)}(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2) M(p_1, p_2, p'_1, p'_2). \tag{2.2}$$

At this stage it is convenient to introduce also the exchanged four-momentum q through $p'_1 = p_1 + q$ and $p'_2 = p_2 - q$. The center-of-mass kinematics reads

$$p_1 = (E_1(p), \vec{p}), \quad p_2 = (E_2(p), -\vec{p}) \tag{2.3}$$

so that $q = p_1 - p'_1 = -p_2 + p'_2$ with $p_1^2 = (p'_1)^2 = m_1^2$ and $p_2^2 = (p'_2)^2 = m_2^2$, where we have introduced the two masses m_1 and m_2 .

Because the S -matrix is unitary, $\hat{S}^\dagger \hat{S} = 1$, a more natural parametrization might appear to be

$$\hat{S} = \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{N} \right] \tag{2.4}$$

where, in contrast to \hat{T} , the operator \hat{N} is Hermitian: $\hat{N} = \hat{N}^\dagger$. The eigenvalues of S are then manifestly pure phases, defined mod 2π .

Standard quantum field theory is set up to evaluate matrix elements of the \hat{T} -matrix, providing us with the conventional Born series of perturbation theory. However, it is clearly straightforward to relate \hat{N} to \hat{T} at the operator level. Everything can be formulated in general terms but since we are interested in the application to classical gravity we will be specific here. In perturbation theory of gravity we assume that both \hat{T} and \hat{N} can be expanded in Newton's constant G_N . When an odd number of gravitons are emitted the expansion of the fundamental \hat{T} -matrix will include powers of $\sqrt{G_N}$ and for consistency this must also be the power series expansion of \hat{N} . Without having to write out explicitly the precise expansion of the S -matrix in terms of the Dyson expansion, we can therefore in a compact notation write¹

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T} &= G_N \hat{T}_0 + G_N^{3/2} \hat{T}_0^{\text{rad}} + G_N^2 \hat{T}_1 + G_N^{5/2} \hat{T}_1^{\text{rad}} + G_N^3 \hat{T}_2 + \dots \\ \hat{N} &= G_N \hat{N}_0 + G_N^{3/2} \hat{N}_0^{\text{rad}} + G_N^2 \hat{N}_1 + G_N^{5/2} \hat{N}_1^{\text{rad}} + G_N^3 \hat{N}_2 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

which leads to the operator identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_0 &= \hat{N}_0 \\ \hat{T}_0^{\text{rad}} &= \hat{N}_0^{\text{rad}} \\ \hat{T}_1 &= \hat{N}_1 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \hat{N}_0^2 \\ \hat{T}_1^{\text{rad}} &= \hat{N}_1^{\text{rad}} + \frac{i}{2\hbar} (\hat{N}_0 \hat{N}_0^{\text{rad}} + \hat{N}_0^{\text{rad}} \hat{N}_0) \\ \hat{T}_2 &= \hat{N}_2 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} (\hat{N}_0^{\text{rad}})^2 + \frac{i}{2\hbar} (\hat{N}_0 \hat{N}_1 + \hat{N}_1 \hat{N}_0) - \frac{1}{3! \hbar^2} \hat{N}_0^3 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and so on for higher orders. We have here kept explicit factors of \hbar . The ordering in terms of \hbar clearly becomes meaningful only once we evaluate matrix elements: loop contributions to \hat{T} matrix elements will produce a Laurent series in \hbar , thus reshuffling the apparent counting at the operator level.

Iteratively, we can relate \hat{N}_i to \hat{T}_i and lower-order \hat{T} 's. In detail,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}_0 &= \hat{T}_0 \\ \hat{N}_0^{\text{rad}} &= \hat{T}_0^{\text{rad}} \end{aligned}$$

¹We do not include the lowest-order radiative terms of order $G_N^{1/2}$ because they are not needed for our discussion of classical two-body scattering to the order we consider below. Radiative terms contribute also to even powers in G_N but we choose here to absorb them into the non-radiative terms without explicit labelling.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{N}_1 &= \hat{T}_1 - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \hat{T}_0^2 \\
\hat{N}_1^{\text{rad}} &= \hat{T}_1^{\text{rad}} - \frac{i}{2\hbar} (\hat{T}_0 \hat{T}_0^{\text{rad}} + \hat{T}_0^{\text{rad}} \hat{T}_0) \\
\hat{N}_2 &= \hat{T}_2 - \frac{i}{2\hbar} (\hat{T}_0^{\text{rad}})^2 - \frac{i}{2\hbar} (\hat{T}_0 \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_0) - \frac{1}{3\hbar^2} \hat{T}_0^3
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and similarly for higher orders.

We now proceed to consider matrix elements of the S -matrix in momentum space. In addition, and in order to elucidate the structure, it is convenient to also insert completeness relations whenever operator multiplications occur. We write the completeness relation symbolically as

$$\sum_n |n\rangle \langle n| = \mathbb{I} \tag{2.8}$$

where the sum over n runs over the complete set of accessible states. Specifically, and again with a view towards the scattering of two heavy objects in gravity, the sum takes the explicit form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{I} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int \frac{d^{(D-1)}k_1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \frac{1}{2E_{k_1}} \frac{d^{(D-1)}k_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \frac{1}{2E_{k_2}} \frac{d^{(D-1)}\ell_1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \frac{1}{2E_{\ell_1}} \cdots \frac{d^{(D-1)}\ell_n}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \frac{1}{2E_{\ell_n}} \\
\times |k_1, k_2; \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n\rangle \langle k_1, k_2; \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n|, \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

where the state $n = 0$ corresponds to just the two massive scalars, the $n = 1$ state to one graviton in addition, etc. As is well known, the sum over states is the Lorentz invariant phase space. This is important because the completeness relation will hence relate expressions to cuts and, therefore, unitarity. When we use the complete set of states to saturate the matrix elements to any order in G it becomes immediately obvious which terms include radiative parts.

Considering just two-body scattering, matrix elements of \hat{N} in momentum space now read:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{N}_0 | p_1, p_2 \rangle &= \langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_0 | p_1, p_2 \rangle, \\
\langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{N}_0^{\text{rad}} | p_1, p_2 \rangle &= 0, \\
\langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{N}_1 | p_1, p_2 \rangle &= \langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_1 | p_1, p_2 \rangle - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \sum_n \langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_0 | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{T}_0 | p_1, p_2 \rangle, \\
\langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{N}_1^{\text{rad}} | p_1, p_2 \rangle &= 0, \\
\langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{N}_2 | p_1, p_2 \rangle &= \langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_2 | p_1, p_2 \rangle - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \sum_n \langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_0^{\text{rad}} | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{T}_0^{\text{rad}} | p_1, p_2 \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \sum_n (\langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_0 | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{T}_1 | p_1, p_2 \rangle + \langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_1 | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{T}_0 | p_1, p_2 \rangle) \\
&\quad - \sum_{n,m} \frac{1}{3\hbar^2} \langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_0 | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{T}_0 | m \rangle \langle m | \hat{T}_0 | p_1, p_2 \rangle. \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

We straightforwardly infer some basic facts from these expressions. First, the matrix element of \hat{T}_0 , the leading term of the \hat{T} -matrix, must be real. Second, because also the matrix element of \hat{N}_1 is real we conclude that

$$\Im[\langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_1 | p_1, p_2 \rangle] = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \sum_n \langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_0 | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{T}_0 | p_1, p_2 \rangle \quad (2.11)$$

where we have abbreviated the completeness relation (2.9). This relation is the leading-order expression of unitarity,

$$\hat{T} - \hat{T}^\dagger = \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{T} \hat{T}^\dagger. \quad (2.12)$$

On account of coupling constant counting, the right hand side of eq. (2.11) is saturated by elastic unitarity so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Im[\langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_1 | p_1, p_2 \rangle] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\hbar} \int \frac{d^{(D-1)}k_1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \frac{1}{2E_{k_1}} \frac{d^{(D-1)}k_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \frac{1}{2E_{k_2}} \langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{T}_0 | k_1, k_2 \rangle \langle k_1, k_2 | \hat{T}_0 | p_1, p_2 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

is exact.

The next step where unitarity allows us to understand the separation into real and imaginary parts is in the evaluation of the matrix element of \hat{N}_2 . Although we are here restricting ourselves to elastic scattering (it can easily be extended to include radiation following the general steps above) radiation appears indirectly because of unitarity. From eq. (2.7) it follows that the matrix element of \hat{T}_0^{rad} , the leading term of the \hat{T} -matrix that includes radiation, must be real. Let us now consider the unitarity relation (2.12) at order G_N^3 :

$$\hat{T}_2 - \hat{T}_2^\dagger = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[(\hat{T}_0^{\text{rad}})^2 + \hat{T}_0 \hat{T}_1^\dagger + \hat{T}_1 \hat{T}_0 \right]. \quad (2.14)$$

What is inside the parenthesis on the right hand side of this equation is indeed Hermitian. Inserting complete sets of states, we see that the first term is saturated by an intermediate state of two scalars and one graviton while the remaining terms are saturated by just the two-particle state of the scalars, thus

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\hbar \Im[\langle 2 | \hat{T}_2 | 2 \rangle] \\ &= \int \frac{d^{(D-1)}k_1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \frac{1}{2E_{k_1}} \frac{d^{(D-1)}k_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \frac{1}{2E_{k_2}} \frac{d^{(D-1)}\ell}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}2E_\ell} \langle 2 | \hat{T}_0^{\text{rad}} | k_1, k_2; \ell \rangle \langle k_1, k_2; \ell | \hat{T}_0^{\text{rad}} | 2 \rangle \\ & \quad + \int \frac{d^{(D-1)}k_1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \frac{1}{2E_{k_1}} \frac{d^{(D-1)}k_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^{(D-1)}} \frac{1}{2E_{k_2}} \left(\langle 2 | \hat{T}_0 | k_1, k_2 \rangle \langle k_1, k_2 | \hat{T}_1^\dagger | 2 \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \langle 2 | \hat{T}_1 | k_1, k_2 \rangle \langle k_1, k_2 | \hat{T}_0 | 2 \rangle \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Also this equation is exact to all orders in \hbar . Comparing with eq. (2.10) we see how the imaginary part from \hat{T}_2 is cancelled partly by the radiation term, partly by the iteration of the real part of \hat{T}_1 . Of course, \hat{T}_1 also has an imaginary part which indeed contributes, through the shown iteration, to \hat{N}_2 . Also in the relation for \hat{N}_2 in eq. (2.10) the sums over

states are saturated by two scalars and one graviton for the term involving \hat{T}_0^{rad} and just two scalars in the remaining terms.

At higher orders these relations get increasingly complicated although of course the two body matrix elements of \hat{N} are always real.² reality of the matrix elements For each \hat{N}_i the subtractions of eq. (2.7) remove precisely all imaginary parts from the matrix element of \hat{T}_i .

The expansions (2.10) have nice diagrammatic interpretations in terms of unitarity cuts. At one-loop order,

$$N_1 = M_1 - \frac{i}{2} \left(\text{Diagram 1} + \text{Diagram 2} \right) \quad (2.16)$$

and at two-loop order,

$$N_2 = M_2 - \frac{i}{2} \left(\text{Diagram 1} + \text{Diagram 2} \right) - \frac{i}{2} \left(\text{Diagram 3} + \text{Diagram 4} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \left(\text{Diagram 5} \right) \quad (2.17)$$

The cut involving \hat{T}_0^{rad} was first computed in ref. [18]. We see here how this imaginary part of the matrix element of \hat{T}_2 is automatically subtracted off as dictated by unitarity. Similar radiative cancellations occur at higher orders. It is interesting to compare with the manner in which terms exponentiate in the eikonal approach where the additional subtractions of imaginary parts of eq. (2.15) occur as part of the lower-point iterations, instead, as here, in one go because of unitarity.

To summarize this part, the matrix element of any \hat{N}_i is manifestly real. In addition, all iterations of lower-point amplitudes and their corresponding super-classical terms are automatically subtracted off so that matrix elements of \hat{N} admit a semi-classical limit where $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. Such an object could be expected to have a classical meaning from analytical mechanics. Resembling the method of Born subtractions that lead to the classical potential from the solution of the Lippmann-Schwinger equation [8] one might guess that it could define in an alternative manner the classical potential. Although Born subtractions share the properties of removing all imaginary parts from the amplitude and of ensuring the existence of a semi-classical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, such an identification does not hold beyond leading orders.

²The reality of the two-particle elements is a consequence of the hermiticity of the operator $(\langle p_1, p_2 | \hat{N} | p_3, p_4 \rangle)^* = \langle p_3, p_4 | \hat{N}^\dagger | p_1, p_2 \rangle = \langle p_3, p_4 | \hat{N} | p_1, p_2 \rangle$ and the time reversibility of the amplitudes $(\langle p_1, p_2 | \hat{N} | p_3, p_4 \rangle)^* = \langle p_1, p_2 | \hat{N} | p_3, p_4 \rangle$.

We can instead get a hint from the identification of the exponential representation of the two-body S -matrix in momentum space with the semi-classical WKB approximation. As shown in ref. [45], the Schrödinger-like equation satisfied by the U -matrix (the limit of which provides the S -matrix) leads to a systematic semi-classical expansion of the momentum-space S -matrix that is a direct analog of the semi-classical WKB expansion of the wave function in non-relativistic quantum mechanics. The pertinent Hamilton-Jacobi equation of the leading-order approximation is in a canonically transformed form compared to the more commonly used in analytical mechanics where, up to a sign, coordinates and momenta are swapped. In quantum field theory this is implemented by a Fourier transform in the usual way. With this identification, the two-body S -matrix in momentum space admits a classical limit in terms of the WKB phase shift,

$$\langle p'_1, p'_2 | \hat{S} | p_1, p_2 \rangle \sim e^{2i\delta/\hbar} \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\delta = J\frac{\pi}{2} + \int_{r_m}^{\infty} dr (p_r - p_\infty) - p_\infty r_m \tag{2.19}$$

is the radial action. The first term corresponds to the free motion, p_r is radial momentum, r_m is the classical turning point (minimal distance in the case of scattering), and p_∞ is the 3-momentum of either particle in the center of mass frame, evaluated at infinity.³ This simple expression is valid in the elastic channel.

The conventional approach to linking the scattering matrix \hat{T} with the classical trajectory of this two-body problem would be to transform to impact-parameter space as in the eikonal approximation. This unwinds the already beautifully exponentiated form of the S -matrix as in eq. (2.4) and would essentially take us back to the complications of the eikonal expansion beyond the first leading orders. Instead, one could ask if it might be possible to start the analysis directly with the operator \hat{N} , essentially viewing it as the operator of the phase shift itself, i.e. here the radial action. Instead of the S -matrix itself, we thus consider matrix elements of the \hat{N} -operator. This seems to be what lies behind the interesting proposal of a recent paper by Bern et al. in ref. [17], although it is phrased there with somewhat different terminology. An immediate issue is how to go from momentum space to impact-parameter space. In the above paper it is proposed to do this by means of a $(D - 2)$ -dimensional Fourier transform, as in the eikonal formalism, in conjunction

³This form of the radial action appears to depend on the classical turning point r_m . In fact, because this turning point is uniquely fixed by the angular momentum J and initial momentum p_∞ the final result does not depend on it. Starting with the main equation for the scattering angle χ [11],

$$\chi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2b}{k!} \int_0^{\infty} du \left(\frac{d}{du^2} \right)^k \left[\frac{V_{\text{eff}}^k(\sqrt{u^2 + b^2})(u^2 + b^2)^{k-1}}{p_\infty^{2k}} \right] \tag{2.20}$$

we find that the radial action is

$$\int_{r_m}^{+\infty} dr p_r = -\frac{\pi J}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^{\infty} du \left(\frac{d}{du^2} \right)^{k-1} \left[\frac{V_{\text{eff}}^k(\sqrt{u^2 + b^2})(u^2 + b^2)^{k-1}}{p_\infty^{2k-1}} \right] \tag{2.21}$$

up to a constant which is irrelevant here. The first term is just the free radial action.

with a subtraction formalism with roots in effective field theory. This has been employed to compute up to fourth Post-Minkowskian order in what is technically known as the potential region [17]. We shall here view the same idea from the slightly different perspective outlined above and with what we believe is a simpler and more general formalism. We will check the results up to third Post-Minkowskian order and will simultaneously show that this method is not limited to the potential region.

3 Unitarity cuts and velocity cuts

In practical terms, the expansions (2.10) are useful for the same reason the effective field theory subtractions do their job in ref. [17]: they tell us which parts of the matrix elements of \hat{T}_i we do not have to compute since we know that they will be subtracted off anyway.

Because the idea of computing the matrix element of the exponentiated operator \hat{N} is new, we nevertheless find it illuminating to work through the computations and thus show how the subtractions of cut diagrams leave us precisely with the desired object up to third order in the Post-Minkowskian expansion. We will use the same method and the same basis of integrals as in refs. [19, 20] to which we refer for further details.

As a warm-up exercise, we first go through the one-loop calculation in all details. Already at this order we will need to carefully keep terms that appear as being of quantum origin but which, nevertheless, will contribute to classical physics at higher orders. In fact, we shall provide an all-order result in q^2 which will be needed at all subsequent orders in the Post-Minkowskian expansion.

As our starting point, consider the box integral in $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions

$$I_{\square}^s = -\frac{1}{4} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi\hbar)^D} \frac{\hbar^5}{(p_1 \cdot k + i\epsilon)(p_2 \cdot k - i\epsilon)k^2(k+q)^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

In this expression we neglect the k^2 terms of the massive propagators as they vanish in what corresponds to a soft expansion of $I_{\square,s}$ in powers of q . This is explained in appendix A. As in [19, 20], we write q as $q = \hbar|\vec{q}|u_q$ where u_q is a unit space-like vector ($u_q^2 = -1$). Doing the change of variable $k \rightarrow \hbar|\vec{q}|k$ we get

$$I_{\square}^s = -\frac{|\vec{q}|^{D-6}}{4\hbar} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p_1 \cdot k + i\epsilon)(p_2 \cdot k - i\epsilon)k^2(k+u_q)^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

The integral can be written equivalently in terms of p_3 and p_4 as

$$I_{\square}^s = -\frac{|\vec{q}|^{D-6}}{4\hbar} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p_3 \cdot k - i\epsilon)(p_4 \cdot k + i\epsilon)k^2(k+u_q)^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Note the exchange of the signs of the ϵ in the propagators. Similarly, for crossed box which we label by u we get

$$I_{\square}^u = \frac{|\vec{q}|^{D-6}}{4\hbar} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p_1 \cdot k + i\epsilon)(p_4 \cdot k + i\epsilon)k^2(k+u_q)^2} \quad (3.4)$$

or, equivalently,

$$I_{\square}^u = \frac{|\vec{q}|^{D-6}}{4\hbar} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p_3 \cdot k - i\varepsilon)(p_2 \cdot k - i\varepsilon)k^2(k + u_q)^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

Adding the boxes ($I_{\square} = I_{\square}^s + I_{\square}^u$) the final result takes the compact form

$$I_{\square} = -\frac{|\vec{q}|^{D-6}}{8\hbar} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2(k + u_q)^2} \times \left(\frac{1}{p_1 \cdot k + i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{p_3 \cdot k - i\varepsilon} \right) \left(\frac{1}{p_2 \cdot k - i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{p_4 \cdot k + i\varepsilon} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

As shown in appendix A, the real part of the box integral is given by the unitarity cuts of the massive propagators

$$\Re(I_{\square}) = -\frac{|\vec{q}|^{D-6}}{2\hbar} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \frac{\delta((k + p_1)^2 - m_1^2)\delta((k - p_2)^2 - m_2^2)}{k^2(k + u_q)^2}, \quad (3.7)$$

which exactly matches to all orders in q^2 , the product of trees from the right hand side of the one-loop unitarity relation (2.11) (recalling that the one-loop amplitude is i times the box contribution)

$$I_{\square}^{1\text{-cut}} \equiv -2\Re(I_{\square}). \quad (3.8)$$

The integral (3.7) evaluated in $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ reads

$$\Re(I_{\square}) = -\left(1 - \frac{\hbar^2 |\vec{q}|^2 s}{4m_1^2 m_2^2 (\sigma^2 - 1)}\right)^{\epsilon} \frac{1}{8|\vec{q}|^{2+2\epsilon} \hbar m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \frac{\Gamma(-\epsilon)^2 \Gamma(1 + \epsilon)}{(4\pi)^{1-\epsilon} \Gamma(-2\epsilon)}. \quad (3.9)$$

This has precisely the form needed for being cancelled by the first unitarity subtraction of eq. (2.10).

At two-loop order we need one more iteration of the tree-level amplitude, which is the two-loop equivalent of the one-cut (or two velocity cuts) of the box integral. The relevant two-cut (or four velocity cuts) two-loop integral is in $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions

$$I_{\square\square}^{2\text{-cut}} = \hbar^3 \int \frac{d^D l_1 d^D l_2}{(2\pi\hbar)^{2D-4}} \frac{\delta((p_1 - l_1)^2 - m_1^2)\delta((p_2 + l_1)^2 - m_2^2)}{l_1^2(l_1 + l_2 - q)^2} \times \frac{\delta((p_1 + l_2 - q)^2 - m_1^2)\delta((p_2 - l_2 + q)^2 - m_2^2)}{l_2^2}. \quad (3.10)$$

As we show in detail in appendix A, this integral can also be evaluated in the soft expansion. For both the computation of q^2 -corrections to $\Re(I_{\square})$ and $I_{\square\square}^{2\text{-cut}}$ our method is the one of velocity cuts introduced in ref. [20]. Velocity cuts can be viewed as the leading-order parts of full unitarity cuts and they conveniently form the starting point for computations of q^2 -corrections in the soft expansion.

Keeping for now only the order in q^2 needed for our present purpose, we find

$$I_{\square\square}^{2\text{-cut}} = -\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon |\vec{q}|^2 s}{3m_1^2 m_2^2 (\sigma^2 - 1)}\right) \frac{1}{16m_1^2 m_2^2 (\sigma^2 - 1) |\vec{q}|^{2+4\epsilon} \hbar^3} \frac{\Gamma(-\epsilon)^3 \Gamma(1 + 2\epsilon)}{(4\pi)^{2-2\epsilon} \Gamma(-3\epsilon)} + \mathcal{O}(|\vec{q}|^{1-4\epsilon}). \quad (3.11)$$

These equations, together with the results already provided in refs. [19, 20] suffice to evaluate the needed matrix elements of \hat{N}_1 and \hat{N}_2 for both maximal supergravity and Einstein gravity. This will be described in the next two subsections.

3.1 Maximal supergravity

In maximal supergravity the tree amplitude reads

$$\mathcal{M}_0(|\underline{q}|, \sigma) = N_0(|\underline{q}|, \sigma) = \frac{32\pi G_N m_1^2 m_2^2 \sigma^2}{|\underline{q}|^2} \quad (3.12)$$

and the one-loop amplitude evaluated in [19] can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_1(|\underline{q}|, \sigma) &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left(32\pi G_N m_1^2 m_2^2 \sigma^2 \right)^2 I_{\square}^{1-\text{cut}} \\ &+ \frac{32\sqrt{\pi} G_N^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 (m_1 + m_2) \sigma^4 (4\pi)^\epsilon \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon\right)}{\sigma^2 - 1} \frac{(4\pi)^\epsilon \Gamma(-2\epsilon)}{|\underline{q}|^{1+2\epsilon} \Gamma(-2\epsilon)} \\ &+ \frac{16(1 + 2\epsilon) G_N^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 \sigma^4 \left(\sigma \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma) - \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} \right) (4\pi)^\epsilon \Gamma(-\epsilon)^2 \Gamma(1 + \epsilon)}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{(4\pi)^\epsilon \Gamma(-2\epsilon)}{|\underline{q}|^{2\epsilon} \Gamma(-2\epsilon)} \hbar + \mathcal{O}(|\underline{q}|^{1+2\epsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

The first line is the square of the numerator of the tree amplitude in (3.12) times the imaginary part of the one-loop amplitude in (3.7). This is just the unitarity subtraction given by one iteration of the tree as shown in the one-loop computation above. These amplitudes are given with the choice of helicity for the external states made in [13, 46]. We refer to section 3 of [19] for a discussion of helicity dependence on the various part of the amplitude. We thus immediately get from (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(|\underline{q}|, \sigma) &= \frac{32\sqrt{\pi} G_N^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 (m_1 + m_2) \sigma^4 (4\pi)^\epsilon \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon\right)}{\sigma^2 - 1} \frac{(4\pi)^\epsilon \Gamma(-2\epsilon)}{|\underline{q}|^{1+2\epsilon} \Gamma(-2\epsilon)} \\ &+ \frac{16(1 + 2\epsilon) G_N^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 \sigma^4 \left(\sigma \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma) - \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} \right) (4\pi)^\epsilon \Gamma(-\epsilon)^2 \Gamma(1 + \epsilon)}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{(4\pi)^\epsilon \Gamma(-2\epsilon)}{|\underline{q}|^{2\epsilon} \Gamma(-2\epsilon)} \hbar + \mathcal{O}(|\underline{q}|^{1+2\epsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

It is worthwhile to highlight one difference with the corresponding eikonal calculation here. Apart from exponentiating the amplitude in impact-parameter space, the eikonal method is also based on an order-by-order separation into classical and quantum pieces conventionally parameterized in the b -space transform of the S -matrix as

$$\bar{S}(b) = \left(1 + 2\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta(b) \right) e^{\frac{2i\delta(b)}{\hbar}}. \quad (3.15)$$

Where $\Delta(b)$ collects, to any given order in the expansion, those quantum terms that are not needed to ensure exponentiation. Indeed, already at one-loop order $\Delta(b)$ will contain

q^2 -corrections that are subtracted off in the above cancellations used to produce $N_1(|\vec{q}|, \sigma)$. Thus, to that order, exponentiation of the eikonal is also based on unitarity [12] but only through the leading contribution from the unitarity relation. Here, we instead consistently subtract in the exponent and thus include the q^2 -corrections. In this way, all imaginary parts of the amplitude in momentum space are removed completely, rather than kept at the non-exponentiated level, as in the eikonal formalism. At this one-loop level, where we have kept the first quantum correction to illustrate our point, this does not affect the calculation of the classical term but it shows explicitly how the imaginary quantum terms are removed. From 2-loop order and up these (real) quantum subtractions of lower order can correct classical terms at higher orders. A related phenomenon occurs in the eikonal formalism, but again: the details differ.

We next move to the two-loop amplitude in supergravity, including the radiation reaction parts. Quoting from ref. [19], we can conveniently rewrite that result as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}_2(|\vec{q}|, \sigma) = & \frac{\hbar}{6} (32\pi G_N m_1^2 m_2^2 \sigma^2)^3 I_{\square\square}^{2\text{-cut}} \\
 & + \frac{64i\sqrt{\pi} G_N^3 m_1^3 m_2^3 (m_1 + m_2) \sigma^6 (4\pi)^{2\epsilon} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + 2\epsilon\right) \Gamma(-\epsilon) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon\right)}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} |\vec{q}|^{1+4\epsilon} \hbar} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - 3\epsilon\right) \Gamma(-2\epsilon)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - 3\epsilon\right) \Gamma(-2\epsilon)} \\
 & + \frac{32G_N^3 m_1^4 m_2^4 \sigma^4 (4\pi e^{-\gamma_E})^{2\epsilon}}{\pi} \left(\frac{i\pi(1 + 2\epsilon)\sigma^2 \left(\sigma \operatorname{arccosh} \sigma - \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}\right)}{\epsilon^2 |\vec{q}|^{4\epsilon} m_1 m_2 (\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \right. \\
 & \quad - \frac{\pi^2 s \sigma^2}{6\epsilon |\vec{q}|^{4\epsilon} m_1^2 m_2^2 (\sigma^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{\pi^2 \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\epsilon |\vec{q}|^{4\epsilon} m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{i\pi(1 + i\pi\epsilon)}{2\epsilon^2 |\vec{q}|^{4\epsilon} m_1 m_2 (\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \left((1 + 2\epsilon)\sigma^2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} + \sigma(\sigma^2 - 2) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma) + \epsilon \left((\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \left. - \sigma(\sigma^2 - 2) \operatorname{arccosh}^2(\sigma) - \epsilon\sigma(\sigma^2 - 2) \operatorname{Li}_2(2 - 2\sigma(\sigma + \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1})) \right) \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar). \quad (3.16)
 \end{aligned}$$

In this form we see that the first three lines are eliminated by the relations of eq. (2.10) with tree and one-loop terms. The imaginary part of the radiation reaction part is subtracted by the corresponding two-to-three particle cut of eq. (2.10) as follows from the calculation of ref. [18]. We thus get

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_2(|\vec{q}|, \sigma) = & \frac{32\pi G_N^3 m_1^3 m_2^3 \sigma^4 (4\pi e^{-\gamma_E})^{2\epsilon}}{\epsilon |\vec{q}|^{4\epsilon} \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left(- \frac{s\sigma^2}{6m_1 m_2 (\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma) \right. \\
 & \left. + \left(\frac{1}{4(\sigma^2 - 1)} \right)^\epsilon \left(\frac{\sigma(\sigma^2 - 2) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 - 1} \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar). \quad (3.17)
 \end{aligned}$$

Up to two loop classical order and keeping only the leading terms in ϵ we finally have

$$N(|\vec{q}|, \sigma) = \frac{32\pi G_N m_1^2 m_2^2 \sigma^2}{|\vec{q}|^2} + \frac{32\pi G_N^3 m_1^3 m_2^3 \sigma^4}{\epsilon |\vec{q}|^4 \epsilon \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left(-\frac{s\sigma^2}{6m_1 m_2 (\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \text{arccosh}(\sigma) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{1}{4(\sigma^2 - 1)} \right)^\epsilon \left(\frac{\sigma(\sigma^2 - 2) \text{arccosh}(\sigma)}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 - 1} \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar). \quad (3.18)$$

Following the prescription of ref. [17] and thus defining a Fourier transform of a function $f(s, q^2)$ by

$$\bar{f}(s, b^2) = \frac{1}{4m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \int \frac{d^{D-2}q}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} f(s, q^2) e^{-ib \cdot q} \quad (3.19)$$

we go to impact-parameter space with $\vec{p} \cdot \vec{b} = 0$ and angular momentum $J = |\vec{p}| |\vec{b}|$. This gives, again keeping only needed terms of ϵ ,

$$\bar{N}(b, \sigma) = \frac{2G_N m_1 m_2 \sigma^2 \Gamma(-\epsilon)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} (\pi |\vec{b}|^2)^\epsilon + \frac{16\pi G_N^3 m_1^2 m_2^2 \sigma^4}{\sigma^2 - 1} \left(-\frac{s\sigma^2}{6m_1 m_2 (\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \text{arccosh}(\sigma) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{1}{4(\sigma^2 - 1)} \right)^\epsilon \left(\frac{\sigma(\sigma^2 - 2) \text{arccosh}(\sigma)}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 - 1} \right) \right) \frac{1}{(\pi |\vec{b}|^2)^{1-3\epsilon}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \quad (3.20)$$

or, in terms of J and ignoring factors of p^ϵ which become unity in the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit,

$$\bar{N}(J, \sigma) = \frac{2G_N m_1 m_2 \sigma^2 \Gamma(-\epsilon)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} (\pi J^2)^\epsilon + \frac{16\pi G_N^3 m_1^4 m_2^4 \sigma^4}{s} \left(-\frac{s\sigma^2}{6m_1 m_2 (\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \text{arccosh}(\sigma) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{1}{4(\sigma^2 - 1)} \right)^\epsilon \left(\frac{\sigma(\sigma^2 - 2) \text{arccosh}(\sigma)}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 - 1} \right) \right) \frac{1}{(\pi J^2)^{1-3\epsilon}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar). \quad (3.21)$$

Assuming that this coincides with the interacting part of the radial action, we get

$$\chi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial J} \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \bar{N}(J, \sigma) = \frac{4G_N m_1 m_2 \sigma^2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \frac{1}{J} \\ + \frac{32G_N^3 m_1^4 m_2^4 \sigma^4}{s} \left(-\frac{s\sigma^2}{6m_1 m_2 (\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \text{arccosh}(\sigma) + \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(\frac{\sigma^2 \text{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \right) \right) \frac{1}{J^3} \quad (3.22)$$

which we indeed recognize as the angle at third Post-Minkowskian order in maximal supergravity [14, 18, 19]. It includes all terms, and hence also radiation reaction pieces.

3.2 General relativity

Next, we turn to Einstein gravity. We will be able to recycle much of what was used above plus add the needed new features from refs. [19, 20].

The tree and one-loop amplitudes now read

$$\mathcal{M}_0(|\vec{q}|, \sigma) = N_0(|\vec{q}|, \sigma) = \frac{16\pi G_N m_1^2 m_2^2 (2\sigma^2 - 1)}{|\vec{q}|^2} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}_1(|\underline{q}|, \sigma) &= \frac{i\hbar}{2} (16\pi G_N m_1^2 m_2^2 (2\sigma^2 - 1))^2 I_{\square}^{1-\text{cut}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{3\pi^2 G_N^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 (m_1 + m_2) (5\sigma^2 - 1) (4\pi e^{-\gamma_E})^\epsilon}{|\underline{q}|^{1+2\epsilon}} \\
 &\quad - \frac{4G_N^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 (4\pi e^{-\gamma_E})^\epsilon \hbar}{\epsilon |\underline{q}|^{2\epsilon}} \left(\frac{2(2\sigma^2 - 1)(7 - 6\sigma^2) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{1 - 49\sigma^2 + 18\sigma^4}{15(\sigma^2 - 1)} \right) + \mathcal{O}(|\underline{q}|^{1+2\epsilon})
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where for the classical and quantum terms this is valid up to leading pieces in ϵ . For the one-loop piece we have rewritten the result in the same manner as for maximal supergravity above. We notice that the first line is cancelled by the unitarity relation based on the iteration of the tree as dictated by eq. (2.10), leaving us with

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_1(|\underline{q}|, \sigma) &= \frac{3\pi^2 G_N^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 (m_1 + m_2) (5\sigma^2 - 1) (4\pi e^{-\gamma_E})^\epsilon}{|\underline{q}|^{1+2\epsilon}} \\
 &\quad - \frac{4G_N^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 (4\pi e^{-\gamma_E})^\epsilon \hbar}{\epsilon |\underline{q}|^{2\epsilon}} \left(\frac{2(2\sigma^2 - 1)(7 - 6\sigma^2) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{1 - 49\sigma^2 + 18\sigma^4}{15(\sigma^2 - 1)} \right) + \mathcal{O}(|\underline{q}|^{1+2\epsilon}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

We next turn to the full two-loop amplitude in Einstein gravity [20] which to the needed order in q^2 can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}_2(|\underline{q}|, \sigma) &= \frac{\hbar}{6} (16\pi G_N m_1^2 m_2^2 (2\sigma^2 - 1))^3 I_{\square}^{2-\text{cut}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{6i\pi^2 G_N^3 (m_1 + m_2) m_1^3 m_2^3 (2\sigma^2 - 1) (1 - 5\sigma^2) (4\pi e^{-\gamma_E})^{2\epsilon}}{\epsilon \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} |\underline{q}|^{1+4\epsilon}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{2\pi G_N^3 (4\pi e^{-\gamma_E})^{2\epsilon} m_1^2 m_2^2 \hbar}{\epsilon |\underline{q}|^{4\epsilon}} \left(\frac{im_1 m_2 (2\sigma^2 - 1)}{\pi \epsilon (\sigma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\frac{1 - 49\sigma^2 + 18\sigma^4}{15} - \frac{2\sigma(7 - 20\sigma^2 + 12\sigma^4) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \quad + \frac{s(64\sigma^6 - 120\sigma^4 + 60\sigma^2 - 5)}{3(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{4}{3} m_1 m_2 \sigma (14\sigma^2 + 25) \\
 &\quad \quad \left. + \frac{4m_1 m_2 (3 + 12\sigma^2 - 4\sigma^4) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. - \frac{2im_1 m_2 (2\sigma^2 - 1)^2}{\pi \epsilon \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \frac{1 + i\pi \epsilon}{(4(\sigma^2 - 1))^\epsilon} \left(-\frac{11}{3} + \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(\frac{(2\sigma^2 - 1) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \right) \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

So that the first three lines are eliminated by the subtractions of tree and one-loop terms as dictated by eq. (2.10). The imaginary part of the radiation reaction term is cancelled by the corresponding two-to-three particle cut of eq. (2.10) as again follows from the calculation

of ref. [18]. We thus find

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_2(|\vec{q}|, \sigma) = & \frac{2\pi G_N^3 (4\pi e^{-\gamma_E})^{2\epsilon} m_1^2 m_2^2}{\epsilon |\vec{q}|^{4\epsilon}} \left(\frac{s(64\sigma^6 - 120\sigma^4 + 60\sigma^2 - 5)}{3(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \right. \\
 & - \frac{4}{3} m_1 m_2 \sigma (14\sigma^2 + 25) + \frac{4m_1 m_2 (3 + 12\sigma^2 - 4\sigma^4) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \\
 & \left. + \frac{2m_1 m_2 (2\sigma^2 - 1)^2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left(-\frac{11}{3} + \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(\frac{(2\sigma^2 - 1) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \right) \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar). \quad (3.27)
 \end{aligned}$$

Up to two loop classical order and again keeping only the leading real terms in ϵ we thus arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(|\vec{q}|, \sigma) = & \frac{16\pi G_N m_1^2 m_2^2 (2\sigma^2 - 1)}{|\vec{q}|^2} + \frac{3\pi^2 G_N^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 (m_1 + m_2) (5\sigma^2 - 1)}{|\vec{q}|^{1+2\epsilon}} \\
 & + \frac{2\pi G_N^3 (4\pi e^{-\gamma_E})^{2\epsilon} m_1^2 m_2^2}{\epsilon |\vec{q}|^{4\epsilon}} \left(\frac{s(64\sigma^6 - 120\sigma^4 + 60\sigma^2 - 5)}{3(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \right. \\
 & - \frac{4}{3} m_1 m_2 \sigma (14\sigma^2 + 25) + \frac{4m_1 m_2 (3 + 12\sigma^2 - 4\sigma^4) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \\
 & \left. + \frac{2m_1 m_2 (2\sigma^2 - 1)^2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left(-\frac{11}{3} + \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(\frac{(2\sigma^2 - 1) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \right) \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \quad (3.28)
 \end{aligned}$$

which after the Fourier transform to impact-parameter space becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{N}(b, \sigma) = & \frac{G_N m_1 m_2 (2\sigma^2 - 1) \Gamma(-\epsilon)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} (\pi |\vec{b}|^2)^\epsilon + \frac{3\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} G_N^2 m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2) (5\sigma^2 - 1)}{4\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} (\pi |\vec{b}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon}} \\
 & + \frac{\pi G_N^3 m_1 m_2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} (\pi |\vec{b}|^2)^{1-3\epsilon}} \left(\frac{s(64\sigma^6 - 120\sigma^4 + 60\sigma^2 - 5)}{3(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \right. \\
 & - \frac{4}{3} m_1 m_2 \sigma (14\sigma^2 + 25) + \frac{4m_1 m_2 (3 + 12\sigma^2 - 4\sigma^4) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \\
 & \left. + \frac{2m_1 m_2 (2\sigma^2 - 1)^2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left(-\frac{11}{3} + \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(\frac{(2\sigma^2 - 1) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \right) \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \quad (3.29)
 \end{aligned}$$

or, in terms of angular momentum J ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{N}(J, \sigma) = & \frac{G_N m_1 m_2 (2\sigma^2 - 1) \Gamma(-\epsilon)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} J^{2\epsilon} + \frac{3\pi G_N^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 (m_1 + m_2) (5\sigma^2 - 1)}{4\sqrt{s}} \frac{1}{J} \\
 & + \frac{G_N^3 m_1^3 m_2^3 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}{s} \left(\frac{s(64\sigma^6 - 120\sigma^4 + 60\sigma^2 - 5)}{3(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \right. \\
 & - \frac{4}{3} m_1 m_2 \sigma (14\sigma^2 + 25) + \frac{4m_1 m_2 (3 + 12\sigma^2 - 4\sigma^4) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \\
 & \left. + \frac{2m_1 m_2 (2\sigma^2 - 1)^2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left(-\frac{11}{3} + \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(\frac{(2\sigma^2 - 1) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \right) \right) \right) \frac{1}{J^2} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar). \quad (3.30)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking this to be the interacting part of the radial action to third Post-Minkowskian order, we obtain the scattering angle

$$\begin{aligned} \chi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial J} \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \bar{N}(J, \sigma) &= \frac{2G_N m_1 m_2 (2\sigma^2 - 1)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \frac{1}{J} + \frac{3\pi G_N^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 (m_1 + m_2) (5\sigma^2 - 1)}{4\sqrt{s}} \frac{1}{J^2} \\ &+ \frac{2G_N^3 m_1^3 m_2^3 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}{s} \left(\frac{s(64\sigma^6 - 120\sigma^4 + 60\sigma^2 - 5)}{3(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \right. \\ &- \frac{4}{3} m_1 m_2 \sigma (14\sigma^2 + 25) + \frac{4m_1 m_2 (3 + 12\sigma^2 - 4\sigma^4) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \\ &\left. + \frac{2m_1 m_2 (2\sigma^2 - 1)^2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left(-\frac{11}{3} + \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(\frac{(2\sigma^2 - 1) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \right) \right) \right) \frac{1}{J^3}, \quad (3.31) \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with the literature [6, 7], including the radiation reaction terms [14, 15, 20].

4 Kinematics in isotropic coordinates

So far, the computation of the scattering angle from the amplitude has entirely bypassed the notion of a potential V ; only the radial action, an indirect function of the potential, played a role. However, there is more information in the potential V itself, even if it by construction refers to specific coordinates. It may therefore be useful to see how such an effective potential can be extracted from the scattering angle. Our starting point for this is the relativistic Salpeter equation of two-body scattering in the center of mass frame,

$$E = \sqrt{p^2 + m_1^2} + \sqrt{p^2 + m_2^2} + V(p, r). \quad (4.1)$$

The operator version of this equation together with the Lippmann-Schwinger equation [8] allows us to relate the potential $V(p, r)$ to the Fourier transform of the scattering amplitude. However, it is not necessary to introduce this additional step if we already know the scattering angle up to the given order in G_N . In isotropic coordinates we can always solve the energy equation (4.1) in terms of p^2 ,

$$p^2 = p_\infty^2 - V_{\text{eff}}(r), \quad (4.2)$$

where $p_\infty^2 = m_1^2 m_2^2 (\sigma^2 - 1)/s$ and, without loss of generality we can parametrize (in $D = 4$ dimensions)

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G_N^n f_n(E)}{r^n} \quad (4.3)$$

where the coefficients must be extracted from the amplitude.⁴ One of the surprising results of the amplitude approach is that $V_{\text{eff}}(r)$ is directly related to the classical part of the amplitude as it derives either from the effective field theory matching [9] or from the Born subtractions [10, 11]. In fact, the kinematical relation (4.2) can be taken as a new and

⁴The case of general D is discussed in ref. [12].

equally good quantum mechanical Hamiltonian operator, a result anticipated by Damour [2] before these explicit amplitude computations.

The scattering angle based on the kinematical relation (4.2) has been derived to all orders and we quote the first few orders from table 1 of ref. [11],

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{1PM} &= f_1, \\ \chi_{2PM} &= \frac{\pi p_\infty}{2} f_2, \\ \chi_{3PM} &= 2p_\infty^4 f_3 + p_\infty^2 f_1 f_2 - \frac{f_1^3}{12}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

Comparing with the scattering angle computed to this order by the eikonal method (and reproduced here, using the new method) we can recursively solve for the unknown f_i -coefficients.

Reminding the reader that $s = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2m_1 m_2 \sigma$ also has σ -dependence, we find

$$f_1 = \frac{2m_1^2 m_2^2 (2\sigma^2 - 1)}{\sqrt{s}},\tag{4.5}$$

$$f_2 = \frac{3m_1^2 m_2^2 (5\sigma^2 - 1) (m_1 + m_2)}{2\sqrt{s}},\tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}f_3 &= -\frac{m_1^2 m_2^2}{2(\sigma^2 - 1)} \left(3(2\sigma^2 - 1)(5\sigma^2 - 1)(m_1 + m_2) - 4(12\sigma^4 - 10\sigma^2 + 1)\sqrt{s} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{2m_1^3 m_2^3}{3\sqrt{s}} \left(2\sigma(14\sigma^2 + 25) + \frac{6(4\sigma^4 - 12\sigma^2 - 3)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2m_1^3 m_2^3 (1 - 2\sigma^2)^2}{3\sqrt{s}(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \left((8 - 5\sigma^2)\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} + (6\sigma^3 - 9\sigma) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma) \right),\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

including radiation-reaction contributions in the last line of f_3 . We stress again that while these f_i -coefficients reproduce the scattering angle up to third post-Minkowskian order including the contributions from radiation-reaction terms, they also, through eq. (4.3), provide us with the kinematical relation (4.2) in isotropic coordinates.

Comparing to the potential of a probe small mass m in the Schwarzschild background of large mass $M \gg m$ we have [2]

$$\begin{aligned}f_1^{\text{probe}} &= 2m^2 M(2\sigma^2 - 1), \\ f_2^{\text{probe}} &= \frac{3}{2}m^2 M^2(5\sigma^2 - 1), \\ f_3^{\text{probe}} &= \frac{m^2 M^3}{2}(18\sigma^2 - 1),\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

a well known result when comparing with the result of the potential region only. Because radiation reaction terms vanish in the probe limit, this is indeed unchanged here.

5 Conclusions

In an attempt to improve on the systematic expansion of the eikonal formalism we have instead explored an alternative idea recently suggested by Bern et al. [17] and which we find is linked to the closely related WKB approximation. Using an exponential representation of the S -matrix, we systematically relate matrix elements of the operator in the exponential \hat{N} to ordinary Born amplitudes minus pieces provided by unitarity cuts. Crucially, we must now relate this object to the radial action. We do this by a Fourier transform into impact parameter space and we have checked up to third Post-Minkowskian order that this method, combined with the above transformation to impact-parameter space, works for both maximal supergravity and Einstein gravity. It reproduces the scattering angles to that order and it is not limited to what is known as the potential region of the loop amplitudes. Instead, we sum all classical contributions and thus include also radiation reaction pieces. The simplicity of this method seems very appealing and suggests that it may be used to streamline Post-Minkowskian amplitudes in gravity by means of a diagrammatic technique that systematically avoids the evaluation of the cut diagrams that must be subtracted, but simply discards them at the integrand level.

In practice, we need only evaluate matrix elements in the soft q^2 -expansion. This means that we expand genuine unitarity cuts around the velocity cuts introduced recently [19, 20]. These velocity cuts seem to provide the most natural way to organize amplitude calculations in the soft expansion.

We have finally pointed out that there is no obstacle towards obtaining the potential $V_{\text{eff}}(r)$ from the scattering angles computed by this method. Iteratively, coefficients of the effective potential in isotropic coordinates follow from the angles and the result is unique. It is also not limited to the result of just the potential region of the amplitudes. This thereby gives the kinematical relation between momenta and coordinates in isotropic gauge. As a simple illustration, one can from this predict infinite series of terms from lower-order pieces. For instance, keeping only the leading f_1 -term of the effective potential there is nothing to prevent one from summing the whole series to obtain the standard Newtonian deflection angle. If one were to include also the f_2 -term one would get the exact analytical result corresponding to the f_1 - f_2 -theory computed in [8]. Of course, in the context of general relativity it is not meaningful to compute only a part of the higher order terms.

Acknowledgments

We thank Zvi Bern and Radu Roiban for useful comments on the manuscript. We also acknowledge interesting discussions with participants at the GGI workshop “Gravitational scattering, inspiral, and radiation”, April-May, 2021. The research of P.V. has received funding from the ANR grant “Amplitudes” ANR-17-CE31-0001-01, and the ANR grant “SMAGP” ANR-20-CE40-0026-01 and is partially supported by Laboratory of Mirror Symmetry NRU HSE, RF Government grant, ag. No. 14.641.31.0001. P.V. is grateful to the I.H.E.S. for the use of their computer resources. The work of P.H.D. was supported in part by DFF grant 0135-00089A.

A Details of the one and two loop box calculations

In this appendix we first provide some details on the derivation of the cut part of the one-loop box integral in (3.7) and two-cut part of the double-box integral in (3.11). We show explicitly that the real part is given by the unitarity cut of the massive propagators in (A.15) and we evaluate it to all order in \underline{q} in (A.19).

A.1 The cut part of the box integral

The box integral is defined as

$$I_{\square}^s = -\frac{1}{4} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi\hbar)^D} \frac{\hbar^4}{(p_1 \cdot k + i\varepsilon)(p_2 \cdot k - i\varepsilon)k^2(k+q)^2}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In dimensional regularization we can neglect the k^2 -terms in the massive propagators as they will, after cancelling a massless k^2 -propagator only give rise to tadpoles in the soft expansion, and will hence be set to zero. To perform the soft expansion in powers of $q = \hbar|\underline{\vec{q}}|u_q$ where u_q is a unit space-like vector ($u_q^2 = -1$), we make the change of variable $k \rightarrow \hbar|\underline{\vec{q}}|k$ to get

$$I_{\square}^s = -\frac{|\underline{\vec{q}}|^{D-6}}{4\hbar^2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p_1 \cdot k + i\varepsilon)(p_2 \cdot k - i\varepsilon)k^2(k+u_q)^2}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

We note that the integral can equivalently be written in terms of p_3 and p_4 as

$$I_{\square}^s = -\frac{|\underline{\vec{q}}|^{D-6}}{4\hbar^2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p_3 \cdot k - i\varepsilon)(p_4 \cdot k + i\varepsilon)k^2(k+u_q)^2} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

with the important change of sign of signs of the $i\varepsilon$ term in the propagators.

Similarly, in the u channel corresponding to the crossed box integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\square}^u &= \frac{|\underline{\vec{q}}|^{D-6}}{4\hbar^2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p_1 \cdot k + i\varepsilon)(p_4 \cdot k + i\varepsilon)k^2(k+u_q)^2}, \\ &= \frac{|\underline{\vec{q}}|^{D-6}}{4\hbar^2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p_3 \cdot k - i\varepsilon)(p_2 \cdot k - i\varepsilon)k^2(k+u_q)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The sum of these box contributions $I_{\square} = I_{\square}^s + I_{\square}^u$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\square} &= -\frac{|\underline{\vec{q}}|^{D-6}}{8\hbar^2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2(k+u_q)^2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{p_1 \cdot k + i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{p_3 \cdot k - i\varepsilon} \right) \left(\frac{1}{p_2 \cdot k - i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{p_4 \cdot k + i\varepsilon} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Using the variables $p_1 = \bar{p}_1 + \hbar \frac{q}{2}$ and $p_2 = \bar{p}_2 - \hbar \frac{q}{2}$ we have

$$I_{\square} = -\frac{|\underline{q}|^{D-6}}{8\hbar^2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2(k+u_q)^2} \times \left(\frac{1}{\bar{p}_1 \cdot k + \frac{\hbar|\underline{q}|u_q \cdot k}{2} + i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\bar{p}_1 \cdot k - \frac{\hbar|\underline{q}|u_q \cdot k}{2} - i\varepsilon} \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\bar{p}_2 \cdot k - \frac{\hbar|\underline{q}|u_q \cdot k}{2} - i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\bar{p}_2 \cdot k + \frac{\hbar|\underline{q}|u_q \cdot k}{2} + i\varepsilon} \right). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The soft expansion for small $\hbar|q|$ reads

$$I_{\square} = -\frac{|\underline{q}|^{D-6}}{8\hbar^2} \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2(k+u_q)^2} \left(\frac{\hbar|\underline{q}|}{2} u_q \cdot k \right)^{n_1+n_2} \times \left(\frac{(-1)^{n_1}}{(\bar{p}_1 \cdot k + i\varepsilon)^{n_1+1}} - \frac{1}{(\bar{p}_1 \cdot k - i\varepsilon)^{n_1+1}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(\bar{p}_2 \cdot k - i\varepsilon)^{n_2+1}} - \frac{(-1)^{n_2}}{(\bar{p}_2 \cdot k + i\varepsilon)^{n_2+1}} \right). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

We now rewrite $2u_q \cdot k = (k+u_q)^2 - k^2 - u_q^2 = (k+u_q)^2 - k^2 + 1$. Since, as explained above, we can neglect the tadpoles in dimensional regularization, we can replace $u_q \cdot k$ by $1/2$ in the previous expression, and reduce each integral in a basis of four master integrals of the scalar box, the scalar triangles and the bubble (but we neglect tadpoles as usual):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(\bar{p}_1 \cdot k)^{n_1+1} (\bar{p}_2 \cdot k)^{n_2+1} k^2 (k+u_q)^2} \\ &= \mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2}(m_1, m_2, \hbar|\underline{q}|, \sigma) \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(\bar{p}_1 \cdot k)(\bar{p}_2 \cdot k)k^2(k+u_q)^2} \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_{n_1, n_2}^1(m_1, m_2, \hbar|\underline{q}|, \sigma) \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(\bar{p}_1 \cdot k)k^2(k+u_q)^2} \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_{n_1, n_2}^2(m_1, m_2, \hbar|\underline{q}|, \sigma) \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(\bar{p}_2 \cdot k)k^2(k+u_q)^2} \\ &+ \mathcal{C}_{n_1, n_2}(m_1, m_2, \hbar|\underline{q}|, \sigma) \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2(k+u_q)^2}, \quad (\text{A.8}) \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{T}^1 , \mathcal{T}^2 and \mathcal{C} are real rational functions of m_1 , m_2 , $\hbar|\underline{q}|$ and σ . Since the bubble integral is purely imaginary this term cannot contribute to the real part of the integral.

Using LiteRed [47], we observe⁵ that for all n_1 and n_2 we have $\mathcal{T}_{2n_1, 2n_2}^i = \mathcal{T}_{2n_1+1, 2n_2+1}^i = 0$ with $i = 1, 2$. This implies that the triangle master integrals contribute only when n_1 and n_2 are of different parity. In that case, the sum of s and u channel in the box in (A.7) implies that the integral has one delta function, leaving an integral of the form

$$i \int \frac{d^{D-1} \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \frac{1}{\vec{k}^2(\vec{k} + \vec{u}_q)^2} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

which is obviously imaginary.

⁵This has been checked to high order in $|q|^2$.

We therefore conclude that only the box master integral contributes to the real part of I_{\square} . This box master integral reads

$$I_{\square}|_{\text{box}} = -\frac{|\underline{q}|^{D-6}}{8\hbar^2} \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\hbar|\underline{q}|}{4}\right)^{n_1+n_2} \mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2} \times \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2(k+u_q)^2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{n_1}}{\bar{p}_1 \cdot k + i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\bar{p}_1 \cdot k - i\varepsilon}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\bar{p}_2 \cdot k - i\varepsilon} - \frac{(-1)^{n_2}}{\bar{p}_2 \cdot k + i\varepsilon}\right). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

We next make that for x real,

$$\frac{1}{x \pm i\varepsilon} = p.v.(x) \mp i\pi\delta(x) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p.v.(x)$ is the principal value. This implies that for x real we have

$$\frac{1}{x+i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{x-i\varepsilon} = 2p.v.(x); \quad \frac{1}{x+i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{x-i\varepsilon} = -2i\pi\delta(x). \quad (\text{A.12})$$

We now remark that for both x and y real,

$$\left(\frac{1}{x+i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{x-i\varepsilon}\right) \left(\frac{1}{y+i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{y-i\varepsilon}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{x+i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{x-i\varepsilon}\right) \left(\frac{1}{y-i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{y+i\varepsilon}\right) + \frac{2}{(x+i\varepsilon)(y+i\varepsilon)} + \frac{2}{(x-i\varepsilon)(y-i\varepsilon)}. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Applied inside the box integral the last terms on the right-hand-side lead to an imaginary contribution. We therefore conclude that the real part of the box contribution is given by

$$\Re(I_{\square}) = -\frac{|\underline{q}|^{D-6}}{2\hbar^2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{2n} (-1)^m \left(\frac{\hbar|\underline{q}|}{4}\right)^{2n} \mathcal{B}_{m, 2n-m}\right) \times \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \frac{\delta(\bar{p}_1 \cdot k) \delta(\bar{p}_2 \cdot k)}{k^2(k+u_q)^2}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Which is the soft expansion of the box integral in terms of the velocity cuts of [20].

We remark that this expression indeed is the soft small $|q|$ expansion of the one-loop unitarity one-cut of the massive propagators

$$\Re(I_{\square}) = -\frac{1}{2} I_{\square}^{1\text{-cut}} \equiv -\frac{|\underline{q}|^{D-6}}{2\hbar^2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \frac{\delta(2p_1 \cdot k + k^2) \delta(-2p_2 \cdot k + k^2)}{k^2(k+u_q)^2}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Using LiteRed [47] to high orders in $|\underline{q}|^2$ we conjecture that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{2n} (-1)^m \mathcal{B}_{m, 2n-m} = \frac{2^n s^n \prod_{j=0}^n (D-3-2j)}{(D-3)((\bar{p}_1 \cdot \bar{p}_2)^2 - \bar{p}_1^2 \bar{p}_2^2)^n}. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

We have checked this expression by evaluating the expression up to and including $n = 12$.

Performing the sum,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{D-3}{2} - j\right) \left(\frac{\hbar^2 |\underline{q}|^2 s}{4((\bar{p}_1 \cdot \bar{p}_2)^2 - \bar{p}_1^2 \bar{p}_2^2)}\right)^n = \frac{D-3}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\hbar^2 |\underline{q}|^2 s}{4((\bar{p}_1 \cdot \bar{p}_2)^2 - \bar{m}_1^2 \bar{m}_2^2)}\right)^{\frac{D-5}{2}}, \quad (\text{A.17})$$

and we thus finally obtain the full real part of the box integral in the soft expansion:

$$I_{\square}^{1\text{-cut}} = \frac{|\vec{q}|^{D-6}}{4\hbar^2} \left(1 + \frac{\hbar^2 |\vec{q}|^2 s}{4((\bar{p}_1 \cdot \bar{p}_2)^2 - \bar{m}_1^2 \bar{m}_2^2)} \right)^{\frac{D-5}{2}} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \frac{\delta(\bar{p}_1 \cdot k) \delta(\bar{p}_2 \cdot k)}{k^2 (k + u_q)^2}. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Noting that $(\bar{p}_1 \cdot \bar{p}_2)^2 - \bar{m}_1^2 \bar{m}_2^2 = m_1^2 m_2^2 \left(\sigma^2 - 1 - \frac{\hbar^2 |\vec{q}|^2 s}{4m_1^2 m_2^2} \right)$ and finally evaluating the remaining bubble integral we have thus established that the cut part of the box integral is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\square}^{1\text{-cut}} &= \frac{|\vec{q}|^{D-6}}{\hbar^2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \frac{\delta(2p_1 \cdot k + k^2) \delta(-2p_2 \cdot k + k^2)}{k^2 (k + u_q)^2}, \\ &= \frac{|\vec{q}|^{D-6}}{4\hbar^2 m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left(1 - \frac{\hbar^2 |\vec{q}|^2 s}{4m_1^2 m_2^2 (\sigma^2 - 1)} \right)^{\frac{4-D}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{D-4}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{6-D}{2}\right)}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D-2}{2}} \Gamma(D-4)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

A.2 The two-cut part of the double-box integral

Having gone through the derivation of the one-cut part of the one-loop box integral in such great detail we can be brief regarding the corresponding two-cut (or four velocity cut) computation of the doubly iterated tree in momentum space. We define

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\square\square}^{2\text{-cut}} &= \frac{1}{\hbar^{2D-7}} \int \frac{d^D l_1 d^D l_2}{(2\pi)^{2D-4}} \frac{\delta((p_1 - l_1)^2 - m_1^2) \delta((p_2 + l_1)^2 - m_2^2)}{l_1^2 (l_1 + l_2 - q)^2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\delta((p_1 + l_2 - q)^2 - m_1^2) \delta((p_2 - l_2 + q)^2 - m_2^2)}{l_2^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Using \bar{p} -coordinates and neglecting the l_i^2 terms (for the same reason given above)

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\square\square}^{2\text{-cut}} &= \frac{1}{\hbar^{2D-7}} \int \frac{d^D l_1 d^D l_2}{(2\pi)^{2D-4}} \frac{\delta(-2\bar{p}_1 \cdot l_1 - q \cdot l_1) \delta(2\bar{p}_2 \cdot l_1 - q \cdot l_1)}{l_1^2 (l_1 + l_2 - q)^2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\delta(2\bar{p}_1 \cdot l_2 - q \cdot l_2) \delta(-2\bar{p}_2 \cdot l_2 - q \cdot l_2)}{l_2^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

The soft series expansion leads to

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\square\square}^{2\text{-cut}} &= \frac{1}{(2i\pi)^4 \hbar^{2D-7}} \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_4=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^D l_1 d^D l_2}{(2\pi)^{2D-4}} \frac{(q \cdot l_1)^{n_1+n_2} (q \cdot l_2)^{n_3+n_4}}{l_1^2 l_2^2 (l_1 + l_2 - q)^2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{(-2\bar{p}_1 \cdot l_1 - i\varepsilon)^{n_1+1}} - \frac{1}{(-2\bar{p}_1 \cdot l_1 + i\varepsilon)^{n_1+1}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(2\bar{p}_2 \cdot l_1 - i\varepsilon)^{n_2+1}} - \frac{1}{(2\bar{p}_2 \cdot l_1 + i\varepsilon)^{n_2+1}} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{(2\bar{p}_1 \cdot l_2 - i\varepsilon)^{n_3+1}} - \frac{1}{(2\bar{p}_1 \cdot l_2 + i\varepsilon)^{n_3+1}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(-2\bar{p}_2 \cdot l_2 - i\varepsilon)^{n_4+1}} - \frac{1}{(-2\bar{p}_2 \cdot l_2 + i\varepsilon)^{n_4+1}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Computing the two first orders in $|\vec{q}|$ with LiteRed [47] gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\square\square}^{2\text{-cut}} &= \left(1 - \frac{(3+4\varepsilon)|\vec{q}|^2 s}{12m_1^2 m_2^2 (\sigma^2 - 1)} \right) \frac{1}{16\hbar^{2D-7}} \int \frac{d^D l_1 d^D l_2}{(2\pi)^{2D-4}} \frac{\delta(\bar{p}_1 \cdot l_1) \delta(\bar{p}_1 \cdot l_2) \delta(\bar{p}_2 \cdot l_1) \delta(\bar{p}_2 \cdot l_2)}{l_1^2 l_2^2 (l_1 + l_2 - q)^2} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(|\vec{q}|^{1-4\varepsilon}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

which is evaluated to

$$I_{\square\square}^{2\text{-cut}} = - \left(1 - \frac{(3 + 4\varepsilon)\hbar^2|\vec{q}|^2s}{12m_1^2m_2^2(\sigma^2 - 1)} \right) \frac{1}{16\hbar^3 \left(m_1^2m_2^2(\sigma^2 - 1) - \frac{\hbar^2|\vec{q}|^2s}{4} \right) |\vec{q}|^{2+4\varepsilon}} \times \frac{\Gamma(-\varepsilon)^3\Gamma(1 + 2\varepsilon)}{(4\pi)^{2-2\varepsilon}\Gamma(-3\varepsilon)} + \mathcal{O}(|\vec{q}|^{1-4\varepsilon}). \quad (\text{A.24})$$

Finally expanding also the q^2 of the denominator this gives the result quoted in the main text.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] T. Damour, *Gravitational scattering, post-Minkowskian approximation and Effective One-Body theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 104015 [[arXiv:1609.00354](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.00354)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] T. Damour, *High-energy gravitational scattering and the general relativistic two-body problem*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 044038 [[arXiv:1710.10599](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.10599)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P.H. Damgaard, G. Festuccia, L. Planté and P. Vanhove, *General Relativity from Scattering Amplitudes*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 171601 [[arXiv:1806.04920](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.04920)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] C. Cheung, I.Z. Rothstein and M.P. Solon, *From Scattering Amplitudes to Classical Potentials in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 251101 [[arXiv:1808.02489](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.02489)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] D.A. Kosower, B. Maybee and D. O’Connell, *Amplitudes, Observables, and Classical Scattering*, *JHEP* **02** (2019) 137 [[arXiv:1811.10950](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.10950)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, M.P. Solon and M. Zeng, *Scattering Amplitudes and the Conservative Hamiltonian for Binary Systems at Third Post-Minkowskian Order*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 201603 [[arXiv:1901.04424](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.04424)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] A. Antonelli, A. Buonanno, J. Steinhoff, M. van de Meent and J. Vines, *Energetics of two-body Hamiltonians in post-Minkowskian gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 104004 [[arXiv:1901.07102](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.07102)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] A. Cristofoli, N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P.H. Damgaard and P. Vanhove, *Post-Minkowskian Hamiltonians in general relativity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 084040 [[arXiv:1906.01579](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.01579)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, M.P. Solon and M. Zeng, *Black Hole Binary Dynamics from the Double Copy and Effective Theory*, *JHEP* **10** (2019) 206 [[arXiv:1908.01493](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.01493)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] G. Kälin and R.A. Porto, *From Boundary Data to Bound States*, *JHEP* **01** (2020) 072 [[arXiv:1910.03008](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.03008)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, A. Cristofoli and P.H. Damgaard, *Post-Minkowskian Scattering Angle in Einstein Gravity*, *JHEP* **08** (2020) 038 [[arXiv:1910.09366](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.09366)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [12] A. Cristofoli, P.H. Damgaard, P. Di Vecchia and C. Heissenberg, *Second-order Post-Minkowskian scattering in arbitrary dimensions*, *JHEP* **07** (2020) 122 [[arXiv:2003.10274](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] J. Parra-Martínez, M.S. Ruf and M. Zeng, *Extremal black hole scattering at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$: graviton dominance, eikonal exponentiation, and differential equations*, *JHEP* **11** (2020) 023 [[arXiv:2005.04236](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo and G. Veneziano, *Universality of ultra-relativistic gravitational scattering*, *Phys. Lett. B* **811** (2020) 135924 [[arXiv:2008.12743](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] T. Damour, *Radiative contribution to classical gravitational scattering at the third order in G* , *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 124008 [[arXiv:2010.01641](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo and G. Veneziano, *Radiation Reaction from Soft Theorems*, *Phys. Lett. B* **818** (2021) 136379 [[arXiv:2101.05772](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] Z. Bern et al., *Scattering Amplitudes and Conservative Binary Dynamics at $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) 171601 [[arXiv:2101.07254](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo and G. Veneziano, *The eikonal approach to gravitational scattering and radiation at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$* , *JHEP* **07** (2021) 169 [[arXiv:2104.03256](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P.H. Damgaard, L. Planté and P. Vanhove, *Classical gravity from loop amplitudes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 026009 [[arXiv:2104.04510](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P.H. Damgaard, L. Planté and P. Vanhove, *The Amplitude for Classical Gravitational Scattering at Third Post-Minkowskian Order*, [arXiv:2105.05218](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] D. Bini, T. Damour and A. Geralico, *Radiative contributions to gravitational scattering*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 084031 [[arXiv:2107.08896](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] Y.F. Bautista, A. Guevara, C. Kavanagh and J. Vines, *From Scattering in Black Hole Backgrounds to Higher-Spin Amplitudes. Part I*, [arXiv:2107.10179](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] A. Cristofoli, R. Gonzo, D.A. Kosower and D. O’Connell, *Waveforms from Amplitudes*, [arXiv:2107.10193](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] E. Herrmann, J. Parra-Martínez, M.S. Ruf and M. Zeng, *Gravitational Bremsstrahlung from Reverse Unitarity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) 201602 [[arXiv:2101.07255](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] E. Herrmann, J. Parra-Martínez, M.S. Ruf and M. Zeng, *Radiative classical gravitational observables at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ from scattering amplitudes*, *JHEP* **10** (2021) 148 [[arXiv:2104.03957](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] S. Mougiakakos, M.M. Riva and F. Vernizzi, *Gravitational Bremsstrahlung in the post-Minkowskian effective field theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 024041 [[arXiv:2102.08339](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] G.U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka and J. Steinhoff, *Classical Gravitational Bremsstrahlung from a Worldline Quantum Field Theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) 201103 [[arXiv:2101.12688](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] G. ’t Hooft, *Graviton Dominance in Ultrahigh-Energy Scattering*, *Phys. Lett. B* **198** (1987) 61 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, *Superstring Collisions at Planckian Energies*, *Phys. Lett. B* **197** (1987) 81 [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [30] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, *Classical and Quantum Gravity Effects from Planckian Energy Superstring Collisions*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **3** (1988) 1615 [INSPIRE].
- [31] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, *Higher Order Gravitational Deflection and Soft Bremsstrahlung in Planckian Energy Superstring Collisions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **347** (1990) 550 [INSPIRE].
- [32] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, *Planckian scattering beyond the semiclassical approximation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **289** (1992) 87 [INSPIRE].
- [33] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, *Effective action and all order gravitational eikonal at Planckian energies*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **403** (1993) 707 [INSPIRE].
- [34] D.N. Kabat and M. Ortiz, *Eikonal quantum gravity and Planckian scattering*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **388** (1992) 570 [hep-th/9203082] [INSPIRE].
- [35] R. Akhoury, R. Saotome and G. Sterman, *High Energy Scattering in Perturbative Quantum Gravity at Next to Leading Power*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 064036 [arXiv:1308.5204] [INSPIRE].
- [36] A. Luna, S. Melville, S.G. Naculich and C.D. White, *Next-to-soft corrections to high energy scattering in QCD and gravity*, *JHEP* **01** (2017) 052 [arXiv:1611.02172] [INSPIRE].
- [37] A. Koemans Collado, P. Di Vecchia, R. Russo and S. Thomas, *The subleading eikonal in supergravity theories*, *JHEP* **10** (2018) 038 [arXiv:1807.04588] [INSPIRE].
- [38] A. Koemans Collado, P. Di Vecchia and R. Russo, *Revisiting the second post-Minkowskian eikonal and the dynamics of binary black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 066028 [arXiv:1904.02667] [INSPIRE].
- [39] G. D’Appollonio, P. Di Vecchia, R. Russo and G. Veneziano, *High-energy string-brane scattering: Leading eikonal and beyond*, *JHEP* **11** (2010) 100 [arXiv:1008.4773] [INSPIRE].
- [40] G. D’Appollonio, P. Di Vecchia, R. Russo and G. Veneziano, *Microscopic unitary description of tidal excitations in high-energy string-brane collisions*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 126 [arXiv:1310.1254] [INSPIRE].
- [41] P. Di Vecchia, A. Luna, S.G. Naculich, R. Russo, G. Veneziano and C.D. White, *A tale of two exponentiations in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **798** (2019) 134927 [arXiv:1908.05603] [INSPIRE].
- [42] P. Di Vecchia, S.G. Naculich, R. Russo, G. Veneziano and C.D. White, *A tale of two exponentiations in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity at subleading level*, *JHEP* **03** (2020) 173 [arXiv:1911.11716] [INSPIRE].
- [43] Z. Bern, H. Ita, J. Parra-Martínez and M.S. Ruf, *Universality in the classical limit of massless gravitational scattering*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** (2020) 031601 [arXiv:2002.02459] [INSPIRE].
- [44] M. Ciafaloni and D. Colferai, *Rescattering corrections and self-consistent metric in Planckian scattering*, *JHEP* **10** (2014) 085 [arXiv:1406.6540] [INSPIRE].
- [45] B.C. Eu, *On the WKB Approximation in Time-Dependent Scattering Theory Including Rearrangement Processes*, *J. Chem. Phys.* **57** (1972) 2531.
- [46] S. Caron-Huot and Z. Zahraee, *Integrability of Black Hole Orbits in Maximal Supergravity*, *JHEP* **07** (2019) 179 [arXiv:1810.04694] [INSPIRE].
- [47] R.N. Lee, *LiteRed 1.4: a powerful tool for reduction of multiloop integrals*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **523** (2014) 012059 [arXiv:1310.1145] [INSPIRE].