PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY 2 SPRINGER

Received: September 13, 2018 Accepted: October 19, 2018 PUBLISHED: October 31, 2018

NNLO soft function for top quark pair production at small transverse momentum

René Ángeles-Martínez,^{*a*} Michał Czakon^b and Sebastian Sapeta^{*a*}

^a Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences,

ul. Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Kraków, Poland

^bInstitute for Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology, RWTH Aachen University, Otto-Blumenthal-Str., 52074 Aachen, Germany

E-mail: reneang17@gmail.com, mczakon@physik.rwth-aachen.de, sebastian.sapeta@ifj.edu.pl

Abstract: The cross section for top quark pair production factorizes at small transverse momentum of the heavy quark pair, q_T . One of the key ingredients that appears in the factorization formula is the soft function, which mediates soft gluon exchanges between particles and gives rise to colour correlations. We present the complete result for the small- q_T soft function at the next-to-next-to-leading order. This is the last missing element needed to calculate the NNLO cross section for top quark pair production by means of the q_T slicing method. In order to evaluate divergent integrals appearing in the calculation, we develop methods based on sector decomposition and differential equations. We present an extensive validation of our framework. In particular, we recover results predicted by the renormalization group, which constitutes a direct demonstration of validity of the small- q_T factorization at NNLO. We provide complete results for the real and imaginary part of the soft function, which are ready for application in the calculation of the $t\bar{t}$ cross section at NNLO.

KEYWORDS: Perturbative QCD, Resummation

ArXiv ePrint: [1809.01459](https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.01459)

Contents

1 Introduction

One of the most important classes of measurements studied at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) concern processes which involve the production of the top quark. Of particular interest is top-anti-top production, which is relevant both in studies of properties of the Standard Model, as well as in searches for new physics, as it forms significant backgrounds to many signatures [\[1\]](#page-52-0).

In the Standard Model, the top quark is the heaviest particle and it does not form bound states, but decays immediately to the W boson and the bottom quark. As the heaviest quark, it also couples most strongly to the Higgs boson, and therefore plays an important role in the mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking. Top quark pair production enters into pole mass extraction as well as determination of gluon PDFs. Precise predictions for top production allow one also to study rare decays, like those happening through flavourchanging neutral currents, which are predicted to be very small in the Standard Model. The value of the top mass also has an impact on the question of stability of the vacuum [\[2\]](#page-52-1).

The experiments at the LHC have observed millions of top quarks and more will be detected in the upcoming runs, including the one with high luminosity. The increasing accuracy of experimental data from the LHC is in many cases superior to that of theoretical predictions and this presents a challenge for theory.

The cross section for top pair production is currently known up to next-to-next-toleading order (NNLO) in Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), both total and differential [\[3–](#page-52-2) [7\]](#page-53-0). This, single, complete, NNLO result has been obtained with the approach based on STRIPPER [\[8–](#page-53-1)[10\]](#page-53-2). There exist also several partial results including NNLO corrections to the off-diagonal channels obtained with the q_T slicing method [\[11\]](#page-53-3), leading-colour NNLO correction to the $q\bar{q}$ channel calculated with the antenna subtraction [\[12\]](#page-53-4), as well as approximate NNLO correction including semi-leptonic decays in the narrow-width approximation [\[13\]](#page-53-5). Besides, the electroweak corrections for top pair production are known up to NLO [\[14,](#page-53-6) [15\]](#page-53-7), and they were also combined with the NNLO QCD corrections [\[16\]](#page-53-8).

In addition to the fixed-order results, a number of resummed cross sections for our process of interest have been calculated. In particular, soft gluons have been resummed at threshold up to next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic (NNLL) accuracy [\[17–](#page-53-9)[21\]](#page-53-10). Also, combined resummation of soft and small-mass logarithms at NNLL has been performed [\[22,](#page-54-0) [23\]](#page-54-1). Soft and Coulomb gluons have also been resummed simultaneously [\[24\]](#page-54-2). Finally, the top quark production cross section has been resummed in the small- q_T limit up to NNLL [\[25](#page-54-3)[–27\]](#page-54-4).

Given the complexity of the NNLO calculation for top pair production, a second, independent result is highly desirable. One of the most promising methods that could be used to obtain it is the q_T slicing approach [\[28\]](#page-54-5).

Consider the process $h_1 + h_2 \rightarrow F(q_T) + X$, where two hadrons, h_1 and h_2 , collide and produce an object F , which is registered in a detector, together with an undetected QCD radiation X. Then, the cross section at order N^mLO can be written as a sum of two components

$$
\frac{\sigma_{\text{N}^mLO}^F}{d\Phi} = \int_0^{q_{\text{T}cut}} dq_T \frac{d\sigma_{\text{N}^mLO}^F}{d\Phi dq_T} + \int_{q_{\text{T}cut}}^{\infty} dq_T \frac{d\sigma_{\text{N}^{m-1}LO}^{F+\text{jet}}}{d\Phi dq_T}, \qquad (1.1)
$$

each of which is separately finite. The advantage of this approach is that the second term in the above equation, which represents resolved emissions, is required only at the $N^{m-1}LO$ accuracy, and it is already known in most relevant cases. On the contrary, the first term in eq. [\(1.1\)](#page-3-0), which combines virtual and unresolved real corrections, is usually unknown. However, it is needed only in the small- q_T approximation.

In order to calculate the latter, we can use the Soft Collinear Effective The-ory (SCET) [\[29\]](#page-54-6), in which the cross section factorizes at small q_T . SCET is an effective theory derived from QCD by expanding diagrams around low-energy scales related to soft and collinear particle emissions. It is based on the strategy of regions [\[30\]](#page-54-7) and it leads to representing a single QCD field by a set of fields corresponding to collinear, anti-collinear and soft radiation. The hard degrees of freedom are integrated out into Wilson coefficients, which are then used to adjust couplings of the effective theory. The new fields decouple in the Lagrangian and this separation largely facilitates proofs of factorization theorems.

One of such factorizations [\[25\]](#page-54-3) lies at the basis of the formalism used in our calculation, and the only missing piece needed to use it to evaluate the cross section for top pair production at the next-to-next-to-leading order is the NNLO, small- q_T soft function. The result for the latter is presented in this work.

Our result, together with the framework and tools developed to obtain it, form key elements of an alternative calculation of the complete NNLO cross section for the top pair production. They also make up an essential step towards extending the q_T slicing method to N^3LO .

We note that our calculation shares many features with that of the NNLO soft function for top pair production in the threshold limit [\[31,](#page-54-8) [32\]](#page-54-9). However, the result for the latter is not of direct use in the context of q_T slicing.

The paper is organized as follows. In section [2,](#page-4-0) we introduce, in detail, all concepts relevant for our calculation. In particular, we define the variables, discuss the factorization and define the small- q_T soft function. We elaborate on the colour algebra and introduce the idea of multiplicative renormalization of the soft function. In section [3,](#page-14-1) we present the LO and NLO soft function, the latter up to the order ϵ , which is necessary for renormalization of the NNLO soft function. Section [4](#page-17-0) is devoted to a detailed description of the calculation of the bare NNLO soft function. We discuss diagrams which have to be included and we explain the methods which we developed to evaluate all the divergent integrals. Finally, in section [5,](#page-40-0) we present the results for the complete, small- q_T NNLO soft function up to the order ϵ^0 . There, we also validate our framework by comparing the results from direct calculation to predictions from the renormalization group, and by comparing the results for a sub-class of NNLO graphs obtained with two different methods. In that section, we also show the results for the NNLO soft function after renormalization. Our findings are summarized in section [6.](#page-43-0)

2 The formalism

We consider the hadronic process

$$
h_1(P_1) + h_2(P_2) \to t(p_3) + \bar{t}(p_4) + X(p_X), \qquad (2.1)
$$

with the leading-order, partonic subprocesses

$$
q(p_1) + \bar{q}(p_2) \to t(p_3) + \bar{t}(p_4), \qquad (2.2)
$$

$$
g(p_1) + g(p_2) \to t(p_3) + \bar{t}(p_4), \qquad (2.3)
$$

where $p_1 = \xi_1 P_1$ and $p_2 = \xi_2 P_2$. In eq. [\(2.1\)](#page-4-2), X represents undetected QCD radiation.

2.1 Kinematics and notation

We define the following variables

s = (P¹ + P2) 2 , sˆ = (p¹ + p2) 2 , u¹ = (p¹ − p4) − m² t , t¹ = (p¹ − p3) ² − m² t , M² = (p³ + p4) 2 , y = 1 2 ln ^ξ¹ ξ2 , (2.4)

where q_T is the transverse momentum of the $t\bar{t}$ pair, y is its rapidity, and m_t is the top quark mass. The small-transverse momentum limit is defined as

$$
\hat{s}, M^2, |t_1|, |u_1|, m_t^2 \gg q_T^2 \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2.
$$
\n(2.5)

We carry out our calculations consistently in $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions. It is convenient to introduce the following vectors

$$
n = (1, 0_{\perp}^{(d-2)}, 1), \quad \bar{n} = (1, 0_{\perp}^{(d-2)}, -1), \qquad n \cdot \bar{n} = 2, \qquad n^2 = \bar{n}^2 = 0,
$$
 (2.6)

which point towards directions of the colliding partons, and

$$
k^{\mu} = n \cdot k \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} + \bar{n} \cdot k \frac{n^{\mu}}{2} + k^{\mu}_{\perp} \tag{2.7}
$$

$$
\equiv k^{-\frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} + k^{+}\frac{n^{\mu}}{2} + k^{\mu}_{\perp}. \tag{2.8}
$$

Notice that k_{\perp} is a d-vector, although with pure d – 2-dimensional transverse part.

The momenta of the incoming, p_1 and p_2 , and the outgoing, p_3 and p_4 , partons, can be written as

$$
p_1^{\mu} = \frac{\sqrt{\hat{s}}}{2} n , \qquad p_2^{\mu} = \frac{\sqrt{\hat{s}}}{2} \bar{n} , \qquad (2.9a)
$$

$$
p_i^{\mu} = m_t v_i^{\mu} + k_i^{\mu}, \qquad v_i^2 = 1, \qquad i = 3, 4, \qquad (2.9b)
$$

where k_i^{μ} ^{$μ$} is a residual momentum which scales like the soft mode $k_i^μ \sim λ = q_T/M \ll 1$. The total d-momentum of the $t\bar{t}$ pair reads

$$
q = p_3 + p_4. \t\t(2.10)
$$

We also introduce

$$
\beta_t = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_t^2}{M^2}},
$$
 and $x_s = \frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t}.$ (2.11)

The variable β_t is related to the relative velocity of the top and anti-top (or, equivalently, velocity of the top quark in the $t\bar{t}$ rest frame) [\[33\]](#page-54-10)

$$
|\vec{v}_t - \vec{v}_{\bar{t}}| = 2\beta_t. \tag{2.12}
$$

We will also work in coordinate space, where the position of the $t\bar{t}$ pair is given by

$$
x^{\mu} = x^{-\frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2}} + x^{+}\frac{n^{\mu}}{2} + x^{\mu}_{\perp}, \qquad (2.13)
$$

where x_{\perp} is a d-dimensional vector with purely transverse part and the length $x_T^2 = -x_{\perp}^2$. It is useful to express its norm through the following logarithm

$$
L_{\perp} = \ln \frac{x_T^2 \mu^2}{4 e^{-2\gamma_E}} \,. \tag{2.14}
$$

The soft function is invariant with respect to rescalings $v_i \to \kappa_i v_i$, where κ_i s are arbitrary constants. It turns out to be convenient to use this property and redefine the vectors v_3 and v_4 with slightly different normalization

$$
\tilde{v}_3 = \sqrt{1 - \beta_t^2} \, v_3 \,, \qquad \qquad \tilde{v}_4 = \sqrt{1 - \beta_t^2} \, v_4 \,. \tag{2.15}
$$

Unless stated otherwise, we shall use the following parametrizations for the d-vectors

$$
\tilde{v}_3 = (1, 0_{\perp}^{(d-3)}, \beta_t \sin \theta, \beta_t \cos \theta), \qquad (2.16a)
$$

$$
\tilde{v}_4 = (1, 0_{\perp}^{(d-3)}, -\beta_t \sin \theta, -\beta_t \cos \theta), \qquad (2.16b)
$$

$$
k = k_0 \left(1, 0_{\perp}^{(d-4)}, \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2, \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2, \cos \theta_1 \right), \tag{2.16c}
$$

$$
l = l_0 \left(1, 0_{\perp}^{(d-4)}, \sin \chi_1 \sin \chi_2, \sin \chi_1 \cos \chi_2, \cos \chi_1 \right), \tag{2.16d}
$$

where k and l are the momenta of the two soft gluons radiated at NNLO. The scalar products involving the above vectors read

$$
\tilde{v}_3^2 = \tilde{v}_4^2 = 1 - \beta_t^2,\tag{2.17}
$$

$$
\tilde{v}_3 \cdot \tilde{v}_4 = 1 + \beta_t^2, \qquad (2.18)
$$

$$
n \cdot \tilde{v}_3 = \bar{n} \cdot \tilde{v}_4 = 1 - \beta_t \cos \theta, \qquad (2.19)
$$

$$
\bar{n} \cdot \tilde{v}_3 = n \cdot \tilde{v}_4 = 1 + \beta_t \cos \theta, \qquad (2.20)
$$

$$
n \cdot k = k_0 \left(1 - \cos \theta_1 \right), \tag{2.21}
$$

$$
\bar{n} \cdot k = k_0 \left(1 + \cos \theta_1 \right), \tag{2.22}
$$

$$
n \cdot l = l_0 \left(1 - \cos \chi_1 \right), \tag{2.23}
$$

$$
\bar{n} \cdot l = l_0 \left(1 + \cos \chi_1 \right),\tag{2.24}
$$

$$
\tilde{v}_3 \cdot k = k_0 \left(1 - \beta_t \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta - \beta_t \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta \right), \tag{2.25}
$$

$$
\tilde{v}_4 \cdot k = k_0 \left(1 + \beta_t \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta + \beta_t \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta \right), \tag{2.26}
$$

$$
\tilde{v}_3 \cdot l = l_0 \left(1 - \beta_t \sin \chi_1 \cos \chi_2 \sin \theta - \beta_t \cos \chi_1 \cos \theta \right), \tag{2.27}
$$

$$
\tilde{v}_4 \cdot l = l_0 \left(1 + \beta_t \sin \chi_1 \cos \chi_2 \sin \theta + \beta_t \cos \chi_1 \cos \theta \right). \tag{2.28}
$$

We see, in particular, that

$$
k_0 = \frac{1}{2} (n \cdot k + \bar{n} \cdot k) = \frac{1}{2} (k_+ + k_-) = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{v}_3 \cdot k + \tilde{v}_4 \cdot k) ,
$$
 (2.29a)

$$
l_0 = \frac{1}{2} (n \cdot l + \bar{n} \cdot l) = \frac{1}{2} (l_+ + l_-) = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{v}_3 \cdot l + \tilde{v}_4 \cdot l) .
$$
 (2.29b)

2.2 Small- q_T factorization

At small transverse momenta of the top quark pair, q_T , the cross section factorizes according to the formula [\[25\]](#page-54-3)

$$
\frac{d^4\sigma}{dq_T^2 dy\, dM \, d\cos\theta} = \frac{8\pi\beta_t}{3sM} \frac{1}{2} \int x_T dx_T \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} J_0(x_T q_T) \times \left\{ \left(\frac{x_T^2 M^2}{4e^{-2\gamma_E}} \right)^{-F_{gg}(x_T^2,\mu)} 4B_{g/h_1}^{\mu\rho}(\xi_1, x_\perp, \mu) B_{g/h_2}^{\nu\sigma}(\xi_2, x_\perp, \mu) \text{Tr} \left[\mathbf{H}_{gg}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(M, m_t, v_3, \mu) \mathbf{W}_{gg}(x_\perp, \mu) \right] \n+ \left(\frac{x_T^2 M^2}{4e^{-2\gamma_E}} \right)^{-F_{q\bar{q}}(x_T^2,\mu)} B_{q/h_1}(\xi_1, x_T^2, \mu) B_{\bar{q}/h_2}(\xi_2, x_T^2, \mu) \text{Tr} \left[\mathbf{H}_{q\bar{q}}(M, m_t, \cos\theta, \mu) \mathbf{W}_{q\bar{q}}(x_\perp, \mu) \right] \n+ (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}) \right\},
$$
\n(2.30)

which is a convolution of the beam functions, B_{q/h_i} , $B_{q/l_i}^{\mu \rho}$ $g_{/\hbar_i}^{\mu\rho}$, the hard functions, $\boldsymbol{H}_{q\bar{q}}, \boldsymbol{H}_{gg}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$, the soft functions, $W_{q\bar{q}}$, W_{gg} , and the anomaly exponents $F_{q\bar{q}}(x_T^2, \mu)$, $F_{gg}(x_T^2, \mu)$. Above, y corresponds to the rapidity of the top-anti-top quark system, defined in eq. [\(2.4\)](#page-4-3), θ to the scattering angle of the top quark in the $t\bar{t}$ rest frame, and ϕ is the relative azimuthal angle between x_{\perp} an v_3 .

We note that the gluon beam functions depend, in general, on the vector x_{\perp} , which means that they are sensitive both to its length and to its azimuthal position in the transverse plane. On the contrary, the quark beam functions depend only on the magnitude of the transverse position vector.

The functions appearing in eq. [\(2.30\)](#page-6-1) capture contributions of gluon emissions from different regions of phase space. In the light-cone parametrization, $k^{\mu} = (k_{+}, k_{-}, k_{\perp})$, the momenta corresponding to each function scale as

collinear
$$
k_i^{\mu} \sim (1, \lambda^2, \lambda) M^2
$$
 B_{i/h_1} ,
anti-collinear $k_i^{\mu} \sim (\lambda^2, 1, \lambda) M^2$ B_{i/h_2} ,
hard $k_i^{\mu} \sim (1, 1, 1) M^2$ $H_{i\bar{i}}$,
soft $k_i^{\mu} \sim (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda) M^2$ $S_{i\bar{i}}$. (2.31)

The beam functions are process-independent and they are currently known up to NNLO [\[34,](#page-54-11) [35\]](#page-54-12). The hard and the soft functions are not universal, hence, they have to be calculated on a process-by-process basis. The hard function can be extracted from refs. [\[36,](#page-54-13) [37\]](#page-54-14). The small- q_T soft function has been calculated up to NLO in refs. [\[25,](#page-54-3) [26\]](#page-54-15).

Each function defined on the right hand side of eq. [\(2.30\)](#page-6-1) is separately divergent when calculated directly from diagrammatic definitions, like the ones that shall be discussed in section [4.](#page-17-0) These divergencies correspond to the soft and collinear limits and they must cancel between the hard, soft and beam functions, as the entire cross section has to be finite.

It turns out to be useful to remove divergences also at the level of the functions entering the factorization formula [\(2.30\)](#page-6-1). This can be achieved by the procedure of multiplicative renormalization, and it will be discussed in detail, for the case of the soft function, in section [2.8.](#page-12-0) As usual in the procedure of renormalization, the renormalized object acquires dependence on an arbitrary parameter μ , which has to vanish at the level of the cross section. This implies that the renormalized versions of the functions entering the factorization formula (2.30) must satisfy certain evolution equations that govern their μ dependence.

2.3 The soft function

The general definition for the position-space, small- q_T soft function entering the factorization formula [\(2.30\)](#page-6-1) reads [\[25\]](#page-54-3)

$$
\mathbf{W}(x_{\perp}, \mu) = \frac{1}{d_R} \langle 0 | \bar{\mathbf{T}} [\mathbf{O}_s^{\dagger}(x_{\perp})] \mathbf{T} [\mathbf{O}_s(0)] | 0 \rangle , \qquad (2.32)
$$

where \bar{T} and T represent time and anti-time ordering [\[38\]](#page-54-16). The normalization factors are

$$
d_R = N, \t\t in the q\bar{q} channel,d_R = N^2 - 1, in the gg channel,
$$
\t(2.33)

where N is the number of colours, hence, in QCD, $N = 3$. It turns out to be convenient to insert the sum over all final states (both discrete and continuous quantum numbers) into the definition [\(2.32\)](#page-7-1) and obtain

$$
\mathbf{W}(x_{\perp}, \mu) = \frac{1}{d_R} \sum_{X} \langle 0| \bar{\mathbf{T}}[\mathbf{O}_s^{\dagger}(x_{\perp})] | X \rangle \langle X| \mathbf{T}[\mathbf{O}_s(0)] | 0 \rangle \tag{2.34}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{d_R}\sum_{X}e^{iP_{\perp}\cdot x_{\perp}}\langle 0|\bar{T}[{\bm{O}}_s^{\dagger}(0)]|X\rangle\langle X|{\bm{T}}[{\bm{O}}_s(0)]|0\rangle, \qquad (2.35)
$$

where P_{\perp} is the total transverse momentum carried by gluons or massless quarks (which recoil against the $t\bar{t}$ system) in the state $|X\rangle$. The amplitude $\langle X|\bar{T}[O_{s}(0)]|0\rangle$ is shown in figure [1.](#page-8-1)

The operator \mathbf{O}_s is defined as a product of Wilson lines, which mediate soft gluon exchanges between particles and give rise to colour correlations. The Wilson line for a particle in representation R, moving along the straight line with d-momentum n_i between the points $x + an_i$ and $x + bn_i$, is defined as [\[39\]](#page-55-0)

$$
\left[\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n_i}^{(R)}(x;b,a)\right]_{a_ib_i} = \left\{\mathcal{P}\exp\left(ig_s^0 \int_a^b dt \, n_i \cdot A^c(x+tn_i)\,\mathbf{T}^{(R)c}\right)\right\}_{a_ib_i},\tag{2.36}
$$

Figure 1. Representation of the amplitude involving four Wilson lines.

where P denotes path ordering. The indices a_i and b_i arise since the Wilson line is an operator in colour space and it accounts for colour evolution of a particle due to gluon emissions. The operator \mathbf{O}_s for top quark pair production in the $q\bar{q}$ channel is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{O}_{s}(x) = \left[\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{v_{3}}^{(3)}(x;\infty,0)\right]_{b_{3}a_{3}} \left[\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{v_{4}}^{(\bar{3})}(x;\infty,0)\right]_{b_{4}a_{4}} \left[\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n}^{(3)}(x;0,-\infty)\right]_{a_{1}b_{1}} \left[\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\bar{n}}^{(\bar{3})}(x;0,-\infty)\right]_{a_{2}b_{2}},
$$
\n(2.37)

and in the gg channel

$$
\boldsymbol{O}_{s}(x) = \left[\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{v_{3}}^{(3)}(x;\infty,0)\right]_{b_{3}a_{3}} \left[\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{v_{4}}^{(\bar{3})}(x;\infty,0)\right]_{b_{4}a_{4}} \left[\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n}^{(8)}(x;0,-\infty)\right]_{a_{1}b_{1}} \left[\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\bar{n}}^{(8)}(x;0,-\infty)\right]_{a_{2}b_{2}}.
$$
\n(2.38)

2.4 Azimuthal averaging

As we see in eq. [\(2.30\)](#page-6-1), the factorization formula involves integration over ϕ , the azimuthal angle between x_{\perp} an v_3 . If we restrict ourselves to the NNLO cross section for top quark pair production, the NNLO soft function will enter the factorization formula [\(2.30\)](#page-6-1) multiplied by the leading order hard function and the leading order beam functions, both of which are Lorentz scalars. Hence, the integration over the azimuthal angle can be pulled directly to the soft function. This motivates us to define the averaged soft function

$$
\mathbf{S}_{i\bar{i}}(x_{\perp}) = \int \frac{d\Omega_{d-3}}{S_{d-3}} \mathbf{W}_{i\bar{i}}(x_{\perp}), \qquad (2.39)
$$

with $d\Omega_{d-3}$ being a rotationally-invariant measure on the unit $(d-3)$ -sphere, defined recursively as

$$
\int_{S_1^{d-3}} d\Omega_{d-3} = \int_{S_1^{d-3}} d\Omega(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{d-3}) = \int_0^{\pi} d\theta_1 \sin^{d-4} \theta_1 \int_{S_1^{d-4}} d\Omega(\theta_2, \dots, \theta_{d-3}), \quad (2.40)
$$

with

$$
\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{d-4} \in [0, \pi],
$$
\n $\theta_{d-3} \in [0, 2\pi],$ \n(2.41)

while S_{d-3} is this sphere's surface, which can be calculated from the general formula

$$
S_d = \frac{2\pi^{(d+1)/2}}{\Gamma[(d+1)/2]}.
$$
\n(2.42)

We note that the averaging of eq. (2.39) is defined in $d-3$ dimensions as the entire calculation of the NNLO soft function is performed consistently in d dimensions. That implies that all external and internal momenta, as well as polarizations, are d-dimensional.

The averaged soft function can be expanded as perturbation series

$$
\mathbf{S}_{i\bar{i}}(x_{\perp}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{S}_{i\bar{i}}^{(n)}(x_{\perp}) \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^n . \tag{2.43}
$$

Finally, we mention that in order to compute the complete NNLO cross section for $t\bar{t}$ production in the gg channel, one also needs a version of the NLO soft function which is averaged azimuthally together with the x^{μ}_{\perp} $_{\perp}^{\mu}x_{\perp}^{\nu}$ Lorentz structure which comes from the NLO gluon beam function [\[35\]](#page-54-12). This component can be obtained using the unaveraged NLO soft function of ref. [\[26\]](#page-54-15).

2.5 Colour space

The soft function discussed so far is an abstract operator in colour space. It turns out to be useful to represent it as a matrix, with the elements

$$
S_{IJ} = \langle c_I | \mathbf{S} | c_J \rangle. \tag{2.44}
$$

We choose the basis vectors, $|c_I\rangle = (c_I^{i\bar{i}})_{\{a\}}$, following ref. [\[31\]](#page-54-8). In the $q\bar{q}$ channel, they read

$$
\left(c_1^{q\bar{q}}\right)_{\{a\}} = \delta_{a_1 a_2} \, \delta_{a_3 a_4} \,, \qquad \left(c_2^{q\bar{q}}\right)_{\{a\}} = t_{a_2 a_1}^c \, t_{a_3 a_4}^c \,, \tag{2.45}
$$

and in the gg channel

$$
(c_1^{gg})_{\{a\}} = \delta^{a_1 a_2} \delta_{a_3 a_4} , \qquad (c_2^{gg})_{\{a\}} = i f^{a_1 a_2 c} t_{a_3 a_4}^c , \qquad (c_3^{gg})_{\{a\}} = d^{a_1 a_2 c} t_{a_3 a_4}^c . \qquad (2.46)
$$

The inner product is defined as a sum over all colour indices

$$
\langle c_I | c_J \rangle = \sum_{\{a\}} (c_I)_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4}^* (c_J)_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} . \tag{2.47}
$$

The above basis vectors are orthogonal but not orthonormal [\[31\]](#page-54-8).

The NLO and NNLO contributions to the soft function can be represented as

$$
S_{\text{bare}}^{(1)}(x_{\perp}) = \sum_{i,j} w_{ij}^{(1)} I_{ij}(x_{\perp}), \qquad (2.48)
$$

$$
\mathbf{S}^{(2)}_{\text{bare}}(x_{\perp}) = \sum_{i,j} \mathbf{w}_{ij}^{(1)} I_{ij}^{(1)}(x_{\perp}) + \sum_{i,j,k,l} \mathbf{w}_{ijkl}^{(2S)} I_{ijkl}^{(2)}(x_{\perp}) + \sum_{i,j,k} \mathbf{w}_{ijk}^{(2A)} I_{ijk}^{(2)}(x_{\perp}), \quad (2.49)
$$

where w are the colour matrices defined as

$$
\mathbf{w}_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{dR} \langle c_I | \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j | c_J \rangle \,, \tag{2.50a}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{ijkl}^{(2S)} = \frac{1}{dR} \langle c_I | \{ \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j, \mathbf{T}_k \cdot \mathbf{T}_l \} | c_J \rangle, \qquad (2.50b)
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{ijk}^{(2A)} = \frac{1}{d_R} \langle c_I | \left[\mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_k, \mathbf{T}_j \cdot \mathbf{T}_k \right] | c_J \rangle. \tag{2.50c}
$$

The Hermitian, colour operators \mathbf{T}_i satisfy the following relations [\[40\]](#page-55-1)

$$
\mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j = \mathbf{T}_j \cdot \mathbf{T}_i = T_i^c T_j^c, \quad \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_i = T_i^2 = C_i \mathbb{1} = C_{a_i} \mathbb{1}, \quad \sum_i \mathbf{T}_i | \mathcal{M}_n \rangle = 0, \quad (2.51)
$$

where 1 is the identity operator, $a_i \in \{q, \bar{q}, g\}$, and $C_g = C_A$, $C_q = C_{\bar{q}} = C_F$. The operators \mathbf{T}_i act on vectors in the colour space as follows

$$
\langle c_1, \ldots, c_i, \ldots, c_n, c | \mathbf{T}_i | b_1, \ldots, b_i, \ldots, b_n \rangle = \langle c_1, \ldots, c_i, \ldots, c_n | T_i^c | b_1, \ldots, b_i, \ldots, b_n \rangle
$$

= $\delta_{c_1 b_1} \ldots T_{c_i b_i}^c \ldots \delta_{c_n b_n}$. (2.52)

The matrix elements of the *i*th parton operator, \mathbf{T}_i , are given by $(\mathbf{T}_i^c)_{c_1c_2} = i f^{c_1c_2}$, for an initial-state and final-state gluon, $(\mathbf{T}_{i}^{c})_{c_1c_2} = t_{c_1c_2}^{c} (= -t_{c_2c_1}^{c})$, for a final-state quark (anti-quark), and $(\mathbf{T}_{i}^{c})_{c_1c_2} = -t_{c_2c_1}^{c} (= t_{c_1c_2}^{c})$, for an initial-state quark (anti-quark).

2.6 Fourier transform

In practice, it is easier to calculate the soft function in momentum space. The relevant scalar integrals, which appear in eqs. (2.48) and (2.49) , can be transferred to momentum space by means of the Fourier transform

$$
\tilde{I}_{\{i\}}(q_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} \int d^{d-2}x_{\perp} I_{\{i\}}(x_{\perp}) e^{ix_{\perp} \cdot q_{\perp}}, \qquad (2.53a)
$$

$$
I_{\{i\}}(x_\perp) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} \int d^{d-2}q_\perp \tilde{I}_{\{i\}}(q_\perp) e^{-ix_\perp \cdot q_\perp}, \qquad (2.53b)
$$

where $\{i\}$ represents the two, three or four particle indices. The structure of the $I_{\{i\}}(x_\perp)$ integrals, for all types of diagrams encountered at NNLO, is

$$
I_{\{i\}}(x_{\perp}) = \int d^d p \, e^{-ix_{\perp} \cdot p_{\perp}} \times (\dots), \tag{2.54}
$$

where the ellipsis depend on details of the graph, i.e. the direction of the Wilson lines and the soft parton emissions, and p denotes the total momentum of the latter.

By plugging eq. (2.54) to eq. $(2.53a)$, we obtain

$$
\tilde{I}_{\{i\}}(q_{\perp}) = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \int d^d p \,\delta^{(d-2)}(p_{\perp} - q_{\perp}) \times (\dots). \tag{2.55}
$$

And by applying the azimuthal averaging of eq. [\(2.39\)](#page-8-2) we get

$$
\int \frac{d\Omega_{d-3}}{S_{d-3}} \tilde{I}_{\{i\}}(q_\perp) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{S_{d-3}} \int d^d p \, d\Omega_{d-3} \, \delta^{(d-2)}(p_\perp - q_\perp) \times (\dots) \tag{2.56}
$$

$$
= \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{S_{d-3}} \int \frac{d^d p}{q_T^{d-3}} \,\delta(p_T - q_T) \times (\dots). \tag{2.57}
$$

In the above, we used rotational invariance of the measures and the fact that the order of the integrations can be changed. This leads to a reinterpretation of the angles in $d\Omega_{d-3}$ as

the azimuthal angles of q_{\perp} and that allows one to go from (2.56) to (2.57) with the help of the identity

$$
\int d\Omega_{d-3} \,\delta^{(d-2)}(p_\perp - q_\perp) = \frac{1}{q_T^{d-3}} \,\delta(p_T - q_T) \,. \tag{2.58}
$$

Now, we rescale the momenta associated to the emissions by q_T , in particular $\tilde{p}^{\mu} = q_T p^{\mu}$, and get

$$
\int \frac{d\Omega_{d-3}}{S_{d-3}} \tilde{I}_{\{i\}}(q_T) = \frac{1}{q_T^r} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{S_{d-3}} \int d^d \tilde{p} \,\delta(\tilde{p}_T - 1) \times (\dots). \tag{2.59}
$$

Above, the overall power of q_T has been denoted as r. It is a sum of the contribution from the $d-2$ -dimensional delta function and a genuine contribution from the graph part. The rescaling factorizes all the q_T dependence. As a consequence, all integrals in momentum space are proportional to the factor $1/q_T^r$, with the power r, which depends on the order of perturbative expansion. Hence, the transformation from momentum to position space, by means of the Fourier Transform (FT), will amount to multiplication by a factor given by the compact expression

$$
\operatorname{FT}\left[\frac{1}{q_T^r}\right]^{d-2} = 2^{-2+3\epsilon+r} \sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma_E + \frac{1}{2}L_\perp}}{\mu}\right)^{-2+2\epsilon+r} \frac{\Gamma(2-2\epsilon-r)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon-\frac{r}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)}.\tag{2.60}
$$

At NLO, $r = 2 + \alpha$, and at NNLO, $r = 2 + 2\alpha + 2\epsilon$ for double-cut diagrams and $r = 2 + \alpha + 2\epsilon$, for single-cut diagrams, where α is the analytic regulator discussed in the next section. An important feature of (2.60) , both at NLO and NNLO, is that its expansion begins at order $1/\epsilon$

$$
\operatorname{FT}\left[\frac{1}{q_T^{2+\alpha}}\right]^{d-2} = -\frac{1}{2\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha/\epsilon^2\right), \qquad \operatorname{FT}\left[\frac{1}{q_T^{2+2\alpha+2\epsilon}}\right]^{d-2} = -\frac{1}{4\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha/\epsilon^2\right). \tag{2.61}
$$

Hence, to obtain a result in position space at the order ϵ^0 , one needs to calculate the momentum-space soft function up to ϵ^1 .

2.7 Analytic regulator

The phase space integrals $\tilde{I}_{\{i\}}$ turn out to be divergent not only when the gluons become soft, but also in the limit where the light-cone components of gluons' momenta tend to zero or infinity. Through the relation

$$
y_g = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{k_+}{k_-},\tag{2.62}
$$

this limit occurs when the gluon rapidity $y_q \to \pm \infty$. That is why, these are called "rapidity" divergencies". They arise because, in SCET, we approximate the full QCD Feynman integrals following the expansion by regions. Yet, we integrate each expression over the full phase space of gluons' momenta. We note that this does not give rise to double counting [\[29\]](#page-54-6). Nevertheless, it forces us to introduce another regulator to handle the integrals.

The reason why contributions from different regions do not overlap even when integrated from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ is that integrals in each region depend on a single scale and expanding them further (e.g. soft integrals in the collinear region) leads to scaleless integrals, which vanish.

In our calculation, we chose to adopt the analytic regulator prescription of ref. [\[41\]](#page-55-2), which amounts to the following replacement of the integration measure

$$
\int d^d k \,\delta^+(k^2) \to \int d^d k \left(\frac{\nu}{n \cdot k}\right)^{\alpha} \delta^+(k^2) \,,\tag{2.63}
$$

where ν is a free parameter introduced for dimensional reasons (an analogue of μ in dimensional regularization) and we denote $\delta^+(k^2) = \delta(k^2)\theta(k_0)$. The regulator α becomes necessary at intermediate stages of the calculation. Since rapidity divergencies do not appear in full QCD, the result for the complete cross section is finite in the limit $\alpha \to 0$. In the case of the analytic regulator, the poles in α cancel not only at the level of the cross section but even at the level of the soft function. This comes from the fact that the soft function for the Drell-Yan process is equal to one, which implies that the product of the beam functions is α -independent (but not the beam functions themselves, see ref. [\[35\]](#page-54-12)). As beam functions are universal, the same, α -independent product of the beam functions is used in the process of $t\bar{t}$ production. This means that the only dependence on α can occur in the soft function. Therefore, all α poles have to vanish within the latter. We shall use this feature as one of validations of our calculation.

2.8 Renormalization

The renormalized soft function of the small- q_T factorization satisfies the following renormalization group evolution (RGE) equation [\[42\]](#page-55-3)

$$
\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}\mathbf{S}_{i\overline{i}}(\mu) = -\gamma_{i\overline{i}}^{s\dagger}\,\mathbf{S}_{i\overline{i}}(\mu) - \mathbf{S}_{i\overline{i}}(\mu)\,\gamma_{i\overline{i}}^{s}\,,\tag{2.64}
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{i\bar{i}}^s = \gamma_{i\bar{i}}^h - 2\gamma^i \mathbf{1},\tag{2.65}
$$

and γ_{ii}^h is defined as a non- Γ_{cusp} part of the full anomalous dimension matrix Γ [\[19\]](#page-53-11), while γ^i is the massless-particle anomalous dimension (and enters RGE equations for beam functions in Drell-Yan and Higgs production [\[43,](#page-55-4) [44\]](#page-55-5)). To make the notation lighter we shall omit the index $i\overline{i}$, keeping in mind that the soft function and the anomalous dimension are different in the $q\bar{q}$ and gg channels.

The soft anomalous dimension matrix γ^s is related to the soft renormalization factor (also a matrix in colour space), \mathbf{Z}_s , as follows

$$
\gamma^s = -Z_s^{-1} \frac{dZ_s}{d\ln \mu},\qquad(2.66)
$$

and Z_s absorbs all UV divergences such that

$$
\mathbf{S}(\mu) = \mathbf{Z}_s^{\dagger}(\mu, \epsilon) \mathbf{S}_{\text{bare}}(\epsilon) \mathbf{Z}_s(\mu, \epsilon).
$$
 (2.67)

Each quantity in the above equation has a perturbative expansion, either in the renormalized coupling, $a_s = \alpha_s/(4\pi)$, or in the bare coupling, $a_s^0 = \alpha_s^0/(4\pi)$, and the relation between the two is

$$
a_s^0(\epsilon) = \left(\frac{\mu^2 e^{\gamma_E}}{4\pi}\right)^{\epsilon} Z_\alpha a_s \equiv \xi_{\alpha_s}(\epsilon, \mu) Z_\alpha a_s(\mu), \qquad (2.68)
$$

where the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalization constant reads

$$
Z_{\alpha} = 1 - \frac{\beta_0 \alpha_s}{4\pi\epsilon} + \ldots = 1 - \frac{\beta_0}{\epsilon} a_s(\mu) + \ldots, \qquad (2.69)
$$

and β_0 is the one-loop coefficient of the QCD β function given in eq. [\(C.5\)](#page-50-1). Hence, substitution of eq. (2.43) for the bare and renormalized soft function, as well as eqs. (2.68) and [\(2.69\)](#page-13-1) to eq. [\(2.64\)](#page-12-1) leads to the following, order-by-order relations

$$
S^{(0)} = S_{\text{bare}}^{(0)}, \tag{2.70}
$$

$$
S^{(1)} = Z_s^{\dagger(1)} S_{\text{bare}}^{(0)} + S_{\text{bare}}^{(0)} Z_s^{(1)} + \xi_{\alpha_s} S_{\text{bare}}^{(1)}, \qquad (2.71)
$$

$$
S^{(2)} = Z_s^{\dagger(2)} S_{\text{bare}}^{(0)} + S_{\text{bare}}^{(0)} Z_s^{(2)} + Z_s^{\dagger(1)} S_{\text{bare}}^{(0)} Z_s^{(1)}
$$

$$
Z_s^{\dagger(1)} \in S_s^{(1)} + S_s^{(1)} Z_s^{(1)} + Z_s^{(2)} Z_s^{(2)} \qquad \beta_0 \in S_s^{(1)}
$$
 (2.73)

$$
+Z_s^{\dagger(1)}\xi_{\alpha_s}S_{\text{bare}}^{(1)}+\xi_{\alpha_s}S_{\text{bare}}^{(1)}Z_s^{(1)}+\xi_{\alpha_s}^2S_{\text{bare}}^{(2)}-\frac{\beta_0}{\epsilon}\xi_{\alpha_s}S_{\text{bare}}^{(1)}.
$$
 (2.72)

The quantities on the l.h.s. are finite in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$. The $\mathbb{Z}_s^{(i)}$ factors have only singular terms with poles in ϵ , while the bare functions, $S_{\text{bare}}^{(1)}$ and $S_{\text{bare}}^{(2)}$, have both singular and finite parts.

For notational simplicity, in what follows we will absorb the ξ_{α_s} prefactors into the definitions of the bare soft functions and change the notation according to

$$
\xi_{\alpha_s}^n \mathbf{S}_{\text{bare}}^{(n)} \to \mathbf{S}_{\text{bare}}^{(n)}.\tag{2.73}
$$

At the order a_s^2 , from eq. (2.72) , we get

$$
\mathcal{S}^{(2)} = \overbrace{Z_s^{\dagger(2)}S_{\text{bare}}^{(0)} + S_{\text{bare}}^{(0)}Z_s^{(2)} + Z_s^{\dagger(1)}S_{\text{bare}}^{(0)}Z_s^{(1)}}^{\text{finite part only}} + \underbrace{Z_s^{\dagger(1)}S_{\text{bare}}^{(1)} + S_{\text{bare}}^{(1)}Z_s^{(1)} + S_{\text{bare}}^{(2)} - \frac{\beta_0}{\epsilon}S_{\text{bare}}^{(1)}}_{\text{(II) finite + pole part}}.
$$
\n(2.74)

Part (I) on the r.h.s. has only terms singular in ϵ , which come from the fact that the Z_s factors are defined in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme.

These pole terms have to cancel against the singular terms of part (II), which can be used in the following way: knowing $Z_s^{(1)}$ and $Z_s^{(2)}$, as well as $S_{\text{bare}}^{(0)}$ and $S_{\text{bare}}^{(1)}$, allows one to cross-check all the singular terms of the $S_{\text{bare}}^{(2)}$ function obtained from direct calculation. The explicit form of the Z_s factor, together with the relevant anomalous dimensions are given in appendix [C.](#page-50-0)

2.9 Determination of L_{\perp} -dependent terms of the soft function from RGE

The RGE equation [\(2.64\)](#page-12-1) can be written as

$$
\frac{d}{dL_{\perp}}\mathbf{S}(\mu) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\gamma^{s\dagger} \,\mathbf{S}(\mu) + \mathbf{S}(\mu) \,\gamma^{s} \right] \,, \tag{2.75}
$$

where L_{\perp} was defined in eq. [\(2.14\)](#page-5-0). Both the soft function and the anomalous dimension have perturbative expansions

$$
\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^{(0)} + a_s \mathbf{S}^{(1)} + a_s^2 \mathbf{S}^{(2)} + \dots, \qquad (2.76)
$$

$$
\gamma_s = a_s \left(\gamma_{s,0} + a_s \gamma_{s,1} + \ldots \right) \,. \tag{2.77}
$$

All quantities in the above equations are renormalized and they are defined in d dimensions.

Because the anomalous dimension matrix starts at the order a_s , eq. [\(2.75\)](#page-14-2) can be solved iteratively. We just need to plug eqs. (2.76) and (2.77) into eq. (2.75) and remember that the renormalized, 4-dimensional coupling a_s also depends on $\ln \mu$, which implies

$$
\frac{da_s}{dL_{\perp}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{da_s}{d\ln\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial a_s}{\partial\ln\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta(a_s)}{4\pi} = -\beta_0 a_s^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(a_s^3\right),\tag{2.78}
$$

where we used the expansion of the QCD β function given in eq. [\(C.4\)](#page-50-2).

After collecting terms at each order, we arrive at the following differential equations

$$
\frac{d}{dL_{\perp}} S^{(0)} = 0, \qquad (2.79)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dL_{\perp}} \mathbf{S}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{S}^{(0)} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{s,0} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{s,0}^{\dagger} \, \mathbf{S}^{(0)} \right] \,, \tag{2.80}
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dL_{\perp}} \mathbf{S}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{S}^{(1)} \gamma_{s,0} + \gamma_{s,0}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}^{(1)} - 2\beta_0 \mathbf{S}^{(1)} + \mathbf{S}^{(0)} \gamma_{s,1} + \gamma_{s,1}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}^{(0)} \right].
$$
 (2.81)

Hence, knowing the soft anomalous dimension to order a_s^2 , and the soft function to order a_s^1 allows one to determine all pieces of the soft function at order a_s^2 except the constant, i.e. L_{\perp} -independent term. Specifically, at order a_s^2 , we get

$$
\mathbf{S}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \Biggl\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{S}_{L_{\perp}}^{(1)} \gamma_{s,0} + \gamma_{s,0}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}_{L_{\perp}}^{(1)} - 2\beta_0 \mathbf{S}_{L_{\perp}}^{(1)} \right] L_{\perp}^2 + \left[\mathbf{S}_{L_{\perp}}^{(1)} \gamma_{s,0} + \gamma_{s,0}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}_{L_{\perp}}^{(1)} - 2\beta_0 \mathbf{S}_{L_{\perp}}^{(1)} + \mathbf{S}^{(0)} \gamma_{s,1} + \gamma_{s,1}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}^{(0)} \right] L_{\perp} \Biggr\} + \text{const} \,, \tag{2.82}
$$

where $S_{L}^{(1)}$ $S^{(1)}_{L_\perp}$ and $S^{(1)}_{L_\perp}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\perp}^{(1)}$ denote, respectively, the L_{\perp} -dependent and L_{\perp} -independent pieces of the $S^{(1)}$ soft function.

3 NLO soft function

The leading order soft function corresponds to the case without radiation. It is given by the following, constant matrices [\[25,](#page-54-3) [31\]](#page-54-8)

$$
\mathbf{S}_{q\bar{q}}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{C_F}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{S}_{gg}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{N}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{N^2 - 4}{2N} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}
$$

Figure 2. Feynman diagram for the NLO soft function. The solid lines pointing towards the cut represent the Wilson lines of the top quarks. The lines pointing away from the cut correspond to the Wilson lines of the incoming, massless partons, quarks or gluons. The diagram represents a class of graphs with all possible gluon connections between the Wilson lines.

respectively for the $q\bar{q}$ and gg channels. Because the LO soft function is not divergent, the above result corresponds both to the bare and the renormalized case. Hence, we see that $\pmb{Z}^{(0)}_{i\bar{i}}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial i}^{(0)}=1$.

The next-to-leading order, bare soft function, is given by

$$
\mathbf{S}_{i\bar{i}}^{(1),\text{bare}} = \sum_{i,j=1,\dots,4} \mathbf{w}_{ij}^{(1)} I_{ij} \,, \tag{3.2}
$$

where the colour structure is encoded in the $w_{ij}^{(1)}$ matrices defined as

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{ij}^{(1)}\right)_{IJ} = \frac{1}{d_R} \langle c_I | \boldsymbol{T}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_j | c_J \rangle ,\qquad (3.3)
$$

with the basis vectors $|c_J\rangle$ introduced in eqs. [\(2.45\)](#page-9-3) and [\(2.46\)](#page-9-4). For the sake of notational simplicity, in what follows, we suppress the index $i\overline{i}$ in the colour matrices. It can always be inferred either from the context, or based on the size of a matrix. The explicit expressions for colour matrices (3.3) are given in appendix [A.](#page-45-0)

 I_{ij} s in eq. [\(3.2\)](#page-15-1) correspond to phase-space integrals, represented diagrammatically in figure [2.](#page-15-2) The solid lines pointing towards the cut represent the top quarks. The lines pointing away from the cut correspond to the incoming, massless partons. The momentum-space versions of the integrals represented in figure [2,](#page-15-2) obtained with the Fourier transform [\(2.53a\)](#page-10-2), read

$$
\tilde{I}_{ij} = (4\pi)^2 \left(\frac{\mu^2 e^{\gamma_E}}{4\pi}\right)^{\epsilon} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{S_{d-3} q_T^{d-3}} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \left(\frac{\nu}{n \cdot k}\right)^{\alpha} \delta(k^2) \theta(k^0) \delta(k_T - q_T) \frac{(-v_i \cdot v_j)}{v_i \cdot k v_j \cdot k},
$$
\n(3.4)

where $\left(\frac{\mu^2 e^{\gamma_E}}{4\pi}\right)$ $\frac{q_{e\gamma_E}}{4\pi}$ comes from renormalization of the strong coupling, see eq. [\(2.68\)](#page-13-0), and the remaining prefactors arise from the Fourier transform and azimuthal averaging. Only the real-type diagrams contribute to the NLO soft function as the virtual graphs are scaleless and vanish.

The integration measure can be written as $d^dk = dk_+ dk_- d^{d-2}k_\perp$ and the k_+ and $k_$ components are integrated from minus to plus infinity. However, the phase space of integration of these light-cone momenta is restricted by $\delta(k^2) \theta(k^0)$ appearing in eq. [\(3.4\)](#page-15-3). This can be easily seen by rewriting the above condition as $\delta(k_+k_- - k_T^2)\theta(k_+ + k_-)$.

Figure 3. Integration regions over the light-cone components of the on-shell (left) and off-shell gluon. The former corresponds to the integrals of the NLO soft function defined in section [3,](#page-14-1) the latter to bubble integrals of the NNLO soft function discussed in section [4.2.](#page-23-0)

The delta function fixes $k_+k_- = k_T^2 > 0$, hence the light-cone components must be both positive or negative. And the theta function, chooses them to be both positive. All in all, the integration over $dk_{+}dk_{-}$ is restricted to the line depicted in figure [3](#page-16-0) (left).

The NLO soft function has been calculated up to order ϵ^0 in refs. [\[25,](#page-54-3) [26\]](#page-54-15). However, as can be seen from eq. [\(2.74\)](#page-13-3), to renormalize the NNLO soft function, we need to know the NLO soft function up to the order ϵ^1 . This order has been calculated in ref. [\[45\]](#page-55-6), yet, using a slightly different definition of azimuthal averaging. We have adjusted this result to our definition, as well as fully cross checked it using a sector decomposition-based approach (described in detail in section [4.4\)](#page-32-1), finding a perfect agreement.

Hence, the final result for the position-space NLO soft function up to order ϵ^1 reads

$$
S_{i\bar{i}}^{(1)} = -4w_{13}^{(1)} \left[\text{Li}_2\left(1 - \frac{t_1 u_1}{m_t^2 M^2}\right) - 2L_\perp \ln \frac{-t_1}{m_t M} \right] - 4w_{23}^{(1)} \left[\text{Li}_2\left(1 - \frac{t_1 u_1}{m_t^2 M^2}\right) - 2L_\perp \ln \frac{-u_1}{m_t M} \right]
$$

+4w_{33}^{(1)} \left[L_\perp + \ln \left(\frac{t_1 u_1}{m_t^2 M^2}\right)\right] - 2w_{34}^{(1)} \frac{1 + \beta_t^2}{\beta_t} \left[L_\perp \ln x_s + f_{34}\right]
+ 4\epsilon w_{13} \left[L_\perp^2 \ln \frac{-t_1}{m_t M} - L_\perp \text{Li}_2\left(1 - \frac{t_1 u_1}{m_t^2 M^2}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \ln \frac{-t_1}{m_t M} - \text{Li}_3\left(1 - \frac{t_1 u_1}{m_t^2 M^2}\right) \right]
+ 4\epsilon w_{23} \left[L_\perp^2 \ln \frac{-u_1}{m_t M} - L_\perp \text{Li}_2\left(1 - \frac{t_1 u_1}{m_t^2 M^2}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \ln \frac{-u_1}{m_t M} - \text{Li}_3\left(1 - \frac{t_1 u_1}{m_t^2 M^2}\right) \right]
+ \epsilon w_{33} \left[2L_\perp^2 + 4L_\perp \ln \frac{t_1 u_1}{m_t^2 M^2} - 4\text{Li}_2\left(1 - \frac{t_1 u_1}{m_t^2 M^2}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right]
- \epsilon w_{34} \frac{1 + \beta_t^2}{\beta_t} \left[L_\perp^2 \ln x_s + 2f_{34}L_\perp + 2J_{34} + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \ln x_s \right], \qquad (3.5)

Figure 4. Bubble diagrams contributing the NNLO soft function.

where

$$
f_{34} = -\text{Li}_2\left(-x_s \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(-\frac{1}{x_s} \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right) + 4\ln x_s \ln \cos \frac{\theta}{2},\tag{3.6}
$$

$$
J_{34} = -2 \int_0^{\beta_t} \frac{db}{b^2 - 1} \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{b^2 \sin^2 \theta}{b^2 - 1}\right) ,\qquad (3.7)
$$

and the result for J_{34} can be expressed in terms of ordinary polylogarithms.

4 NNLO soft function: methods of calculation

To calculate the next-to-next-to leading order contribution to the bare soft function, one needs to sum several groups of diagrams, each multiplied by a proper colour factor. The relevant master formula can be derived directly using definitions given in eqs. [\(2.32\)](#page-7-1)–[\(2.38\)](#page-8-3), expanding the Wilson lines and truncating the series at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$. The diagrams can be grouped according to the number of distinct Wilson lines connected by the gluons, which can be two, three or four. They can also be classified based on how many lines are cut. And this can be two, one or none. The bare soft function at NNLO reads

$$
\boldsymbol{S}_{\text{bare}}^{(2)} = \boldsymbol{S}_{2\text{-cut},gg} + \boldsymbol{S}_{2\text{-cut},q\bar{q}} + \boldsymbol{S}_{1\text{-cut}} + \boldsymbol{S}_{0\text{-cut}}.
$$
\n(4.1)

We shall now discuss groups of diagrams contributing to each of the terms in the above equation. Then, we will describe the methods used to calculate them.

Double-cut diagrams. The gluons in double-cut diagrams can connect two, three or four Wilson lines. Amongst the two-Wilson-line graphs, one can distinguish a special class of bubble diagrams, depicted in figure [4.](#page-17-1) Besides gluons, they may also involve quarks and, as we work in the Feynman gauge, also the ghost bubble. Even though they belong to the class of two-cut diagrams, they are easier to calculate than most of the graphs in this group. The integrals corresponding to the bubble diagrams, and methods applied to evaluate them, shall be discussed in detail in section [4.2.](#page-23-0) The bubble graphs come with colour matrices which are identical to those appearing in the NLO soft function, i.e. $w^{(1)}$, given in appendix [A.](#page-45-0)

The second group consists of non-bubble graphs in which the gluons attach to only two distinct Wilson lines: i an j . These graphs are shown in figure [5.](#page-18-0) We see that they include both abelian and non-abelian structures.

Figure 5. Two-Wilson-line, double-cut diagrams required for the calculation of the NNLO soft function.

The third group is formed by the three-Wilson-line, double-cut diagrams shown in figure [6.](#page-19-0) The sum of the integral corresponding to $D_8^{(a)}$ $\binom{a}{8}$ and its complex conjugate produce an integral which is a convolution of two NLO expressions. Exactly the same expression is obtained when $D_8^{(b)}$ $_{8}^{(b)}$ and $D_{8}^{(c)}$ $8^(c)$ are added to their complex conjugates. And all the sums $D_8^{(i)} + D_8^{(i)*}$ $S^{(i)*}$, for $i = a, b$ or c, are multiplied by the same colour factor $\{\mathbf{T}_i^a, \mathbf{T}_i^b\} \mathbf{T}_j^a \mathbf{T}_k^b$. The above observations were also made in the related calculation of the NNLO soft function for top pair production in the threshold limit [\[31,](#page-54-8) [32\]](#page-54-9).

The abelian graphs depicted in figure [6](#page-19-0) constitute the only non-vanishing three-Wilsonline contribution in the group of double-cut diagrams. This is because the non-abelian, three-Wilson-line graphs cancel when summed over colour structures, which can be understood by analyzing the graph depicted in figure [7.](#page-19-1) Since it is a double-cut diagram, the corresponding integral is a real-valued function. And it is multiplied by the colour factor $if^{abc}\mathbf{T}_i^aT_j^bT_k^c$. The complete soft function receives also a contribution from a diagram which is a complex conjugate of figure [7](#page-19-1) and the complex conjugation only affects the colour factor, turning it into $-i f^{abc} \mathbf{T}_i^a T_j^b T_k^c$. Hence, the diagram of figure [7](#page-19-1) and its complex conjugate cancel, and the non-abelian graphs with three distinct Wilson lines connected by the gluons do not contribute to the soft function.

We emphasize that this happens only because the integrals are real functions, as they originate from double-cut diagrams. This property will not be true for single-cut, nonabelian diagrams, and we will see that the corresponding contributions do not vanish when summed over all diagrams.

Finally, the double-cut part of the soft functions receives contributions from a subset of diagrams shown in figure [8,](#page-19-2) where the gluons connect four distinct Wilson lines. The corresponding expressions take forms of convolutions of the NLO integrals, similarly to the cases of D_4 and D_8 from figure [5](#page-18-0) and [6.](#page-19-0) The four-Wilson-line diagrams come with the colour operator $\mathbf{T}_i^a \mathbf{T}_j^a \mathbf{T}_k^b \mathbf{T}_l^b$.

Figure 6. Three-Wilson-line, double-cut diagrams required for the calculation of the NNLO soft function.

Figure 7. Three-Wilson-line, double cut diagram appearing in the calculation of the NNLO soft function.

Figure 8. Four-Wilson-line, double cut diagram required in the calculation of the NNLO soft function.

The complete expression for the NNLO soft function derived using the diagrammatic approach described above can be obtained alternatively by taking the soft limit of the relevant matrix elements in QCD.

In the case of the gg final state, in the limit $k, l \rightarrow 0$, the squared matrix element factorizes as [\[10\]](#page-53-2)

$$
\mathcal{M}_{g,g,a_1,...}^{*(0)}(k,l,p_1,...) \mathcal{M}_{g,g,a_1,...}^{(0)}(k,l,p_1,...)
$$
\n
$$
\simeq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \mathcal{S}_{ij}(k) \mathcal{S}_{kl}(l) \langle \mathcal{M}_{a_1,...}^{(0)}(p_1,...) | \{ \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j, \mathbf{T}_k \cdot \mathbf{T}_l \} | \mathcal{M}_{a_1,...}^{(0)}(p_1,...) \rangle
$$
\n
$$
- C_A \sum_{ij} \mathcal{S}_{ij}(k,l) \langle \mathcal{M}_{a_1,...}^{(0)}(p_1,...) | \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j | \mathcal{M}_{a_1,...}^{(0)}(p_1,...) \rangle , \qquad (4.2)
$$

where $S_{ij}(k)$ is the eikonal integrand

$$
S_{ij}(k) = \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{(p_i \cdot k) (p_j \cdot k)},
$$
\n(4.3)

which we had used to construct the NLO soft function integrals in eq. (3.4) , and p_i are the d-momenta of the external partons. We note that the functions $S_{ij}(k)$ and $S_{ij}(k, l)$ are invariant with respect to rescalings of the momenta of external particles of the Born process. Therefore, they can be expressed in terms of velocities

$$
n_i = \begin{cases} n & \text{for } i = 1 \\ \bar{n} & \text{for } i = 2 \\ \tilde{v}_i & \text{for } i = 3, 4 \end{cases}
$$
 (4.4)

The function $S_{ij}(k, l)$ can be split into two parts

$$
S_{ij}(k,l) = S_{ij}^{m=0}(k,l) + \left(m_i^2 S_{ij}^{m \neq 0}(k,l) + m_j^2 S_{ji}^{m \neq 0}(k,l)\right) ,\qquad (4.5)
$$

where m_i and m_j are the masses of the external particles. The first term in eq. [\(4.5\)](#page-20-0) has been given in ref. [\[46\]](#page-55-7) and reads

$$
\mathcal{S}_{ij}^{m=0}(k,l) = \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{(k \cdot l)^2} \frac{p_i \cdot k \ p_j \cdot l + p_i \cdot l \ p_j \cdot k}{p_i \cdot (k+l) \ p_j \cdot (k+l)} \n- \frac{(p_i \cdot p_j)^2}{2 \ p_i \cdot k \ p_j \cdot l \ p_i \cdot l \ p_j \cdot k} \left[2 - \frac{p_i \cdot k \ p_j \cdot l + p_i \cdot l \ p_j \cdot k}{p_i \cdot (k+l) \ p_j \cdot (k+l)} \right] \n+ \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{2 \ k \cdot l} \left[\frac{2}{p_i \cdot k \ p_j \cdot l} + \frac{2}{p_j \cdot k \ p_i \cdot l} - \frac{1}{p_i \cdot (k+l) \ p_j \cdot (k+l)} \right] \n\times \left(4 + \frac{(p_i \cdot k \ p_j \cdot l + p_i \cdot l \ p_j \cdot k)}{p_i \cdot k \ p_j \cdot l \ p_i \cdot l \ p_j \cdot k} \right)
$$
\n(4.6)

In the above equation, the first line comes solely from the gluon and ghost bubble diagrams of figure [4.](#page-17-1) The second line originates from the C_A part of diagrams which do not involve the triple-gluon vertex, that is D_4 and D_5 in figure [5.](#page-18-0) Finally, the last two lines receive contributions from the non-abelian diagrams D_6 , D_7 and the gauge bubble.

The second contribution in eq. (4.5) was derived in ref. $[9]$ and represents additional terms generated by non-vanishing masses. The relevant function is

$$
\mathcal{S}_{ij}^{m \neq 0}(k,l) = -\frac{1}{4 k \cdot l \ p_i \cdot k \ p_i \cdot l} + \frac{p_i \cdot p_j \ p_j \cdot (k+l)}{2 \ p_i \cdot k \ p_j \cdot l \ p_i \cdot l \ p_j \cdot k \ p_i \cdot (k+l)} - \frac{1}{2 k \cdot l \ p_i \cdot (k+l) \ p_j \cdot (k+l)} \left(\frac{(p_j \cdot k)^2}{p_i \cdot k \ p_j \cdot l} + \frac{(p_j \cdot l)^2}{p_i \cdot l \ p_j \cdot k} \right) . \tag{4.7}
$$

Here, the first and the third term come from the C_A part of diagrams which do not involve the triple-gluon vertex, whereas the second term arises due to non-abelian contributions, including the gauge bubble.

Figure 9. Single-cut diagrams contributing to the NNLO soft function.

In the case of the final-state $q\bar{q}$ -pair, in the limit $k, l \to 0$, the matrix element factorizes as [\[10\]](#page-53-2)

$$
\mathcal{M}_{q,\bar{q},a_1,...}^{*(0)}(k,l,p_1,...) \mathcal{M}_{q,\bar{q},a_1,...}^{(0)}(k,l,p_1,...)
$$

\n
$$
\simeq T_F \sum_{ij} \mathcal{I}_{ij}(k,l) \langle \mathcal{M}_{a_1,...}^{(0)}(p_1,...) | \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j | \mathcal{M}_{a_1,...}^{(0)}(p_1,...) \rangle ,
$$
\n(4.8)

where the function $\mathcal{I}_{ij}(k, l)$ has the form

$$
\mathcal{I}_{ij}(k,l) = \frac{(p_i \cdot k) (p_j \cdot l) + (p_j \cdot k) (p_i \cdot l) - (p_i \cdot p_j) (k \cdot l)}{(k \cdot l)^2 [p_i \cdot (k+l)] [p_j \cdot (k+l)]}.
$$
\n(4.9)

The above expressions can be used directly to calculate our soft function of interest by applying the following formula

$$
S_{i\bar{i}}^{(2),f\bar{f}}(q_T) = (4\pi)^4 \left(\frac{\mu^2 e^{\gamma_E}}{4\pi}\right)^{2\epsilon} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{S_{d-3}q_T^{d-3}} \int \frac{d^d \delta_+(k^2)}{(2\pi)^{d-1}(n \cdot k)^\alpha} \frac{d^d l \,\delta_+(l^2)}{(2\pi)^{d-1}(n \cdot l)^\alpha} \,\delta(q_T - |k_\perp + l_\perp|) \times \langle c_I^{\bar{i\bar{i}}}| \mathcal{M}_{f,\bar{f},a_1,\dots}^{*(0)}(k,l,p_1,\dots)\mathcal{M}_{f,\bar{f},a_1,\dots}^{(0)}(k,l,p_1,\dots)|c_J^{\bar{i\bar{i}}}\rangle, \tag{4.10}
$$

where the case with $f = q$ corresponds to the $q\bar{q}$ final state while the case with $f = q$ corresponds to the gg final state.

We have checked through direct calculation that the expression for the NNLO soft function derived using definitions given in eqs. (2.32) – (2.38) , i.e. expanding the Wilson lines and truncating the series at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$, matches exactly the formula [\(4.10\)](#page-21-0).

To complete the definition of eq. (4.10) , we need to specify the colour matrices $w_{ij}^{(1)}$ and $\bm{w}^{(2S)}_{ijkl}$, following eq. [\(2.50\)](#page-9-5). The matrices $\bm{w}^{(1)}_{ij}$, built from products of two colour operators, are identical with those found in the calculation of the NLO soft function. The matrices $w_{ijkl}^{(2S)}$, constructed from the anticommutator, involve products of four colour operators, and appear for the first time at NNLO. The complete set of the $w_{ij}^{(1)}$ and $w_{ijkl}^{(2S)}$ matrices, in both the $q\bar{q}$ and the gq channel, is given in appendix [A.](#page-45-0)

Single-cut diagrams. The single-cut diagrams required for the calculation of the NNLO soft function for top pair production are shown in figure [9.](#page-21-1) In this class of graphs, one gluon is real and the other runs in a loop. Because of the latter, the integrals from the single-cut graphs produce also an imaginary contribution.

As in the case of the double-cuts , also the single-cut term derived using the diagrammatic approach described above can be obtained alternatively by taking the soft limit of the relevant matrix elements in QCD. This results in the formula [\[47\]](#page-55-8)

$$
\mathcal{M}_{g,a_1,...}^{*(1)}(k, p_1,...) \mathcal{M}_{g,a_1,...}^{(0)}(k, p_1,...) + \text{c.c.}
$$
\n
$$
= -\left[2C_A \sum_{i \neq j=1}^n (e_{ij} - e_{ii}) R_{ij} \langle M^{(0)}(n) | \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_j | M^{(0)}(n) \rangle - 4\pi \sum_{i \neq j \neq k=1}^n e_{ik} I_{ij} \langle M^{(0)}(n) | f^{abc} \mathbf{T}_i^a \mathbf{T}_j^b \mathbf{T}_k^c | M^{(0)}(n) \rangle \right],
$$
\n(4.11)

where

$$
e_i^{\mu} = \frac{p_i^{\mu}}{p_i \cdot k},\tag{4.12}
$$

while R_{ij} and I_{ij} correspond to the real and imaginary parts from integration over the loop momentum and they were obtained in refs. [\[47,](#page-55-8) [48\]](#page-55-9). We notice that a new, antisymmetric colour structure appears in the imaginary part: $i f^{abc} \mathbf{T}_i^a \mathbf{T}_j^b \mathbf{T}_k^c = [\mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_k, \mathbf{T}_j \cdot \mathbf{T}_k]$. The corresponding colour matrix $w_{ijk}^{(2A)}$ is defined in eq. [\(2.50c\)](#page-9-5) and its explicit expressions are given in appendix [A.](#page-45-0)

We have checked through direct calculation, based on definitions given in eqs. (2.32) – (2.38) , that we reproduce the single-cut expression of eq. (4.11) . With the latter, we can then determine the real-virtual part of our soft function

$$
\mathbf{S}_{i\bar{i}}^{(2),g}(q_T) = (4\pi)^4 \left(\frac{\mu^2 e^{\gamma_E}}{4\pi}\right)^{2\epsilon} \nu^{\alpha} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{S_{d-3} q_T^{d-3}} \int \frac{d^d k \,\delta_+(k^2)}{(2\pi)^{d-1} (n \cdot k)^{\alpha}} \,\delta(q_T - k_T) \times \langle c_I^{i\bar{i}} | \mathcal{M}_{g,a_1,\dots}^{*(1)}(k, p_1, \dots) \mathcal{M}_{g,a_1,\dots}^{(0)}(k, p_1, \dots) + \text{c.c.} | c_J^{i\bar{i}} \rangle. \tag{4.13}
$$

Zero-cut diagrams. Purely virtual, two-loop diagrams, do not involve a cut gluon. Therefore, the measurement function $\delta^{(d-2)}(f(k, l) - q_{\perp})$ does not appear in the corresponding integrals. As a consequence, these integrals are scaleless and vanish in dimensional regularization. Hence, the NNLO soft function for top pair production does not receive contributions from two-loop diagrams.

4.1 Symmetries between integrals

Our double-cut, soft function integrals have the general structure

$$
\tilde{I}(\{p_i, p_j\}) = \int d^d k \, d^d l \, \delta^{(+)}(k^2) \delta^{(+)}(l^2) h(p_i \cdot p_j, p_i \cdot k, p_i \cdot l) \, \delta^{(d-2)}(k_\perp + l_\perp - q_\perp) \,, \tag{4.14}
$$

where p_i are the momenta of external particles.

The above integral can depend only on the scalar productions $p_i \cdot p_j$, which are invariant with respect to Lorentz transformations $p^{\mu} \to A^{\mu}{}_{\nu} p^{\nu}$. To balance the transformation of the external momenta in the scalar products $p_i \cdot k$ and $p_i \cdot l$ one needs to transform the gluon momenta k and l with $(\Lambda^{-1})^{\mu}$ $_{\nu}$. This, however, does not leave the integral unchanged for a general Lorentz transformation because of the transverse delta function appearing

Figure 10. Gluon vacuum polarization diagram (a) and its cut counterpart (b). Dashed lines correspond to either a quark, a gluon or a ghost.

in eq. [\(4.14\)](#page-22-2). Therefore, the integral $\tilde{I}(\{p_i, p_j\})$ is invariant only under a subgroup of the Lorentz group which involves:

- rescaling of the light-cone components of the momenta k and l compensated by inverse rescaling of the light-cone components of the external momenta,
- rotations of the above momenta in the transverse plane such that $|k_1 + l_1| = q_T$.

Let us denote

$$
p \cdot q
$$
 usual, d-dimensional scalar product, (4.15)

$$
p * q = p_0 q_0 - p_3 q_3 = \frac{1}{2} (p_+ q_- + p_- q_+).
$$
 (4.16)

Given the above reduced Lorentz symmetry, and the fact that the result can only depend on external momenta, we conclude, that our integral must be a function of $p_i * p_j$ and $p_{i,\perp} \cdot p_{j,\perp}$ or, equivalently, $p_i * p_j$ and $p_i \cdot p_j$.

1

As is clear from eqs. (4.3) – (4.9) , the integrand is invariant under the rescaling of $p_3 = \lambda_3 p_3$ and $p_4 = \lambda_4 p_4$, for arbitrary λ , which means that the result can only be a function of ratios of the above scalar products.

Integrals which do not exhibit α poles are, in addition, invariant under rescaling of p_1 . But, here, one cannot form a variable of the type $p_1 \cdot p_3 / \sqrt{p_1^2 p_3^2}$ because p_1 is massless. As a consequence, the only possibility is $p_3 * p_3/p_3^2 = p_4 * p_4/p_4^2$. This is why some abelian integrals are equal. In the cases of the integrals with α poles, rescaling of p_1 is broken by the analytic regulator: $I(\lambda p_1) = \lambda^{-\alpha} I(p_1)$.

4.2 Differential equations approach and bubble diagrams

With an exception of the propagators introduced to regularize rapidity divergences, the quark, gluon and ghost bubble, as well as part of the triple gluon vertex diagrams depicted in figure [5,](#page-18-0) which we shall call "tadpole", depend only on the momenta k and $k + l$. This feature allows one to first integrate over $k + l$ and then solve the integral over k with help of the differential equation approach $[49, 50]$ $[49, 50]$ $[49, 50]$. The integration can be performed mostly analytically, with an exception of a few one-dimensional integrals which we integrate by numerical methods.

4.2.1 Vacuum polarization tensor

We consider the process depicted in figure [10](#page-23-1) (a), where the particle running in the loop can be a quark, a gluon or a ghost. The corresponding vacuum polarization integrals read

$$
\mathrm{Im}\Pi^{\mu\nu}(\alpha) = C_f \frac{g^2}{16} e^{\epsilon \gamma_E} \mu^{2\epsilon} \nu^{2\alpha} \pi^{-4+\epsilon} \int d^d k \frac{N^{\mu\nu}(k, p)}{(k \cdot n)^\alpha (p \cdot n - k \cdot n)^\alpha k^2 (p - k)^2}, \quad (4.17)
$$

where $C_f = C_A$ for the gluon bubble and the tadpole, while $C_f = -T_F$ for the quark bubble. The numerator $N^{\mu\nu}(k, p)$ depends on the particle in the loop. For the quark loop we have

$$
N^{\mu\nu} = 8 k^{\mu} k^{\nu} - 4k^{\mu} p^{\nu} - 4p^{\mu} k^{\nu} - 4g^{\mu\nu} k^2 + 4g^{\mu\nu} k \cdot p, \qquad (4.18)
$$

for the gluon+ghost loop

$$
N^{\mu\nu} = (2d - 4) k^{\mu} k^{\nu} + \frac{2d - 3}{2} k^{\mu} p^{\nu} + \frac{2d - 5}{2} p^{\mu} k^{\nu} + \frac{d - 6}{2} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} + g^{\mu\nu} k^2 + g^{\mu\nu} k \cdot p + \frac{5}{2} g^{\mu\nu} p^2,
$$
\n(4.19)

and for the tadpole

$$
N^{\mu\nu} = -4g^{\mu\nu}p^2.
$$
\n(4.20)

The directions of momenta are indicated with arrows in figure [10](#page-23-1) (a).

All propagators are assumed to be defined with the $+i\epsilon$ prescription. The most generic self-energy tensor that can be formed out the d-vectors p and n, and the metric tensor $g^{\mu\nu}$ is

$$
\mathrm{Im}\Pi^{\mu\nu}(\alpha) = T_{00} g^{\mu\nu} + T_{pp} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} + T_{nn} n^{\mu} n^{\nu} + T_{pn} (n^{\mu} p^{\nu} + p^{\mu} n^{\nu}). \tag{4.21}
$$

The coefficients T_{ij} can be expressed in terms of two scalar integrals, A_0 and B_0 , through the procedure of Passarino-Veltman reduction [\[51\]](#page-55-12)

$$
A_0^{(a)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(n \cdot k)^{\alpha_1} (n \cdot (p+k))^{\alpha_2} k^2},
$$
\n(4.22)

$$
B_0^{(a)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(-n \cdot k)^{\alpha_1} (n \cdot (p+k))^{\alpha_2} k^2 (p+k)^2}.
$$
 (4.23)

The integral A_0 can be shown to be scaleless and, therefore, it vanishes. Hence, it turns out that the only two-point integral that we need to determine $\text{Im}\Pi^{\mu\nu}$ is B_0 , and this integral can be calculated exactly by means of Schwinger parametrization. The result takes the form

$$
B_0^{(a)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = i\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)\Gamma(1-\alpha_1-\epsilon)\Gamma(1-\alpha_2-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-2\epsilon)} \left(-p^2\right)^{-\epsilon} (n \cdot p)^{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}.
$$
 (4.24)

Unitarity allows us to obtain also a version of this function which corresponds to the cut diagram of figure [10](#page-23-1) (b)

$$
B_0^{(b)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = -2\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)\Gamma(1-\alpha_1-\epsilon)\Gamma(1-\alpha_2-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-2\epsilon)} \sin(\pi\epsilon) p^{-2\epsilon} (n \cdot p)^{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}.
$$
 (4.25)

Finally, the coefficients in eq. (4.21) take the following forms. For the quark bubble

$$
T_{00} = \frac{2\left(2(1-\epsilon)^2 - \alpha(1-2\epsilon)\right)}{(1-\epsilon)(3-2\alpha-2\epsilon)} \tilde{B}_0(\alpha) p^2, \qquad T_{pp} = -\frac{4(1-\alpha-\epsilon)}{3-2\alpha-2\epsilon} \tilde{B}_0(\alpha), \qquad (4.26)
$$

$$
T_{nn} = \frac{2\alpha}{(1-\epsilon)(3-2\alpha-2\epsilon)} \tilde{B}_0(\alpha) \frac{p^4}{(n \cdot p)^2}, \qquad T_{pn} = -\frac{2\alpha}{(1-\epsilon)(3-2\alpha-2\epsilon)} \tilde{B}_0(\alpha) \frac{p^2}{n \cdot p},
$$

with

$$
\tilde{B}_0(\alpha) = -\frac{g^2}{16} T_F \frac{e^{\epsilon \gamma_E} \mu^{2\epsilon} \nu^{2\alpha}}{\pi^{4-\epsilon}} B_0(\alpha, \alpha) \,. \tag{4.27}
$$

For the gauge (i.e. gluon $+$ ghost) bubble

$$
T_{00} = \frac{5 - 3\alpha - 3\epsilon}{3 - 2\alpha - 2\epsilon} \tilde{B}_0(\alpha) p^2, \qquad T_{pp} = \frac{-5 + 4\alpha + 3\epsilon}{3 - 2\alpha - 2\epsilon} \tilde{B}_0(\alpha), \qquad (4.28)
$$

$$
T_{nn} = \frac{\alpha}{3 - 2\alpha - 2\epsilon} \tilde{B}_0(\alpha) \frac{p^4}{(n \cdot p)^2}, \qquad T_{pn} = -\frac{\alpha}{3 - 2\alpha - 2\epsilon} \tilde{B}_0(\alpha) \frac{p^2}{n \cdot p},
$$

with

$$
\tilde{B}_0(\alpha) = \frac{g^2}{16} C_A \frac{e^{\epsilon \gamma_E} \mu^{2\epsilon} \nu^{2\alpha}}{\pi^{4-\epsilon}} B_0(\alpha, \alpha) \,. \tag{4.29}
$$

And for the tadpole

$$
T_{00} = -\frac{g^2}{4} C_A \frac{e^{\epsilon \gamma_E} \mu^{2\epsilon} \nu^{2\alpha}}{\pi^{4-\epsilon}} B_0(\alpha, \alpha) p^2, \qquad T_{pp} = 0, \qquad T_{nn} = 0, \qquad T_{pn} = 0. \tag{4.30}
$$

The function $B_0(\alpha, \alpha)$ in eqs. [\(4.27\)](#page-25-1), [\(4.29\)](#page-25-2) and [\(4.30\)](#page-25-3) can be given either by eq. [\(4.24\)](#page-24-2) or by eq. [\(4.25\)](#page-24-3). In our soft function calculation, we will use the latter, cut version of this two-point integral.

One can check that the results given in this section recover standard expressions for the gluon vacuum polarization tensor in the limit $\alpha \to 0$, where, in particular, the coefficients T_{nn} and T_{pn} vanish.

4.2.2 Soft function integrals

The above results for the quark and gauge bubble can now be embedded in the two-Wilsonline soft function graphs, as depicted in figure [4.](#page-17-1) The tadpole integrals correspond to the diagram D_6 of figure [5](#page-18-0) with the eikonal propagator connecting the two gluons replaced by a pinch. The integrals that appear have the following structure

$$
I = \int \frac{d^d k \,\delta(k_T^2 - 1) \,\theta(k^2) \,\theta(k_0)}{(n \cdot k)^{a_1 + 2\alpha} (\bar{n} \cdot k)^{a_2} (v_3 \cdot k)^{a_3} (v_4 \cdot k)^{a_4} (k^2)^{a_0 + \epsilon}} \,. \tag{4.31}
$$

We note that, as in the case of the NLO soft function discussed in section [3,](#page-14-1) the integration measure can be written as $d^d k = d k_+ d k_- d^{d-2} k_\perp$ and the k_+ and k_- components are integrated from minus to plus infinity. However, the phase space of the integration over the light-cone momenta is restricted, this time by $\theta(k^2) \theta(k^0) = \theta(k_+k_- - k_T^2) \theta(k_+ + k_-)$. Because of the first theta function, the integration is fixed not to a line, as in the case of the NLO soft function depicted in figure [3](#page-16-0) (left), but to the region defined by the condition

 $k_+k_- > k_T^2$, which means that k_+ and k_- have to be both positive or negative. The second theta function chooses k_{+} and k_{-} to be both positive. Hence, the d-momentum of the off-shell gluon which appears in the bubble and the tadpole diagrams is integrated over the region depicted in figure [3](#page-16-0) (right).

We would like to solve the class of integrals from eq. [\(4.31\)](#page-25-4) by means of the method of differential equations $[49, 50]$ $[49, 50]$ $[49, 50]$, with help of reverse unitarity $[52]$, which allows one to turn delta functions into propagators. What prevents us from direct use of the latter is the $\theta(k^2)$ function. However, we can trade this theta function for the Dirac delta function at the cost of introducing an extra integration over a spurious mass, m^2 . Namely, we multiply eq. (4.31) by

$$
1 = \int_0^\infty dm^2 \delta(k^2 - m^2),\tag{4.32}
$$

which leads to

$$
I = \int_0^\infty dm^2 \delta(k^2 - m^2) \int \frac{d^d k \,\delta(k_T^2 - 1) \,\theta(k^2) \,\theta(k_0)}{(n \cdot k)^{a_1 + 2\alpha} (\bar{n} \cdot k)^{a_2} (v_3 \cdot k)^{a_3} (v_4 \cdot k)^{a_4} (k^2)^{a_0 + \epsilon}} = \int_0^\infty dm^2 \delta(k^2 - m^2) \int \frac{d^d k \,\delta(k_T^2 - 1) \,\theta(m^2) \,\theta(k_0)}{(n \cdot k)^{a_1 + 2\alpha} (\bar{n} \cdot k)^{a_2} (v_3 \cdot k)^{a_3} (v_4 \cdot k)^{a_4} (m^2)^{a_0 + \epsilon}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dm^2}{(m^2)^{a_0 + \epsilon}} \int \frac{d^d k \,\delta(k_T^2 - 1) \,\delta(k^2 - m^2) \theta(k_0)}{(n \cdot k)^{a_1 + 2\alpha} (\bar{n} \cdot k)^{a_2} (v_3 \cdot k)^{a_3} (v_4 \cdot k)^{a_4}}.
$$
(4.33)

Hence, we obtain

$$
I = \int_0^\infty \frac{dm^2}{(m^2)^{a_0 + \epsilon}} \bar{I}(m^2).
$$
 (4.34)

Now we can use reverse unitarity to turn delta functions in $\bar{I}(m^2)$ into propagators, which leads to the following topology

$$
\bar{I}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) =
$$
\n
$$
= \int \frac{d^d k}{(n \cdot k)^{a_1 + 2\alpha} (\bar{n} \cdot k)^{a_2} (v_3 \cdot k)^{a_3} (v_4 \cdot k)^{a_4} (k^2 - m^2)^{a_5} ((n \cdot k)(\bar{n} \cdot k) - m^2 - 1)^{a_6}}.
$$
\n(4.35)

We observe that all ϵ poles, hence those of the soft origin, are generated by performing the integral over m^2 in eq. [\(4.34\)](#page-26-0) while the function $\bar{I}(m^2)$ is finite in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$.

A set of identities can be derived for the class of integrals defined in eq. [\(4.35\)](#page-26-1). First of all, $\bar{I}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_6)$ obeys the standard integration by parts (IBP) identities

$$
\int d^d k \frac{\partial}{\partial k^\mu} q^\mu \bar{I}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6) = 0, \qquad (4.36)
$$

with $q^{\mu} = n^{\mu}, \bar{n}^{\mu}, v_3^{\mu}$ v_3^{μ}, v_4^{μ} $_{4}^{\mu}$, k^{μ} . The set of IBPs consists of five relations. In addition, the topology \bar{I} exhibits certain redundancies which result in additional identities. One of them comes from the q_T -delta propagator

$$
\bar{I}\frac{(n \cdot k)(\bar{n} \cdot k) - m^2 - 1}{(n \cdot k)(\bar{n} \cdot k) - m^2 - 1} = \bar{I},\tag{4.37}
$$

which gives

$$
\bar{I}(a_1-1, a_2-1, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6+1) - (m^2+1)\bar{I}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6+1) = \bar{I}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6).
$$
\n(4.38)

The last identity arises from momentum conservation following the discussion of section [2.1](#page-4-1)

$$
n + \bar{n} = \tilde{v}_3 + \tilde{v}_4. \tag{4.39}
$$

Multiplying the above by k leads to

$$
\overline{I}(a_1, a_2, a_3 - 1, a_4, a_5, a_6) + \overline{I}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 - 1, a_5, a_6) -
$$
\n
$$
\overline{I}(a_1 - 1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) - \overline{I}(a_1, a_2 - 1, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) = 0.
$$
\n(4.40)

Hence, altogether we have seven identities which we use to reduce all the integrals appearing in the problem to a set of master integrals.

While solving the bubble graphs with the above method, it is important to note that the d-vector k^{μ} in eq. [\(4.35\)](#page-26-1) is now massive. Hence, the parametrization from eq. [\(2.16\)](#page-5-1) does not hold and it must be replaced with

$$
k = (k_0, \dots, |\vec{k}| \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2, , |\vec{k}| \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2, |\vec{k}| \cos \theta_1), \qquad (4.41)
$$

where

$$
|\vec{k}| = \sqrt{k_0^2 - m^2} \,. \tag{4.42}
$$

Therefore, the relevant inner products now take the form

$$
n \cdot k = k_0 - |\vec{k}| \cos \theta_1, \qquad (4.43)
$$

$$
\bar{n} \cdot k = k_0 + |\vec{k}| \cos \theta_1, \qquad (4.44)
$$

$$
\tilde{v}_3 \cdot k = k_0 - \beta_t |\vec{k}| \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta - \beta_t |\vec{k}| \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta, \qquad (4.45)
$$

$$
\tilde{v}_4 \cdot k = k_0 + \beta_t |\vec{k}| \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta + \beta_t |\vec{k}| \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta. \tag{4.46}
$$

We also get that

$$
k_0 = \frac{1}{2} (n \cdot k + \bar{n} \cdot k) = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{v}_3 \cdot k + \tilde{v}_4 \cdot k) .
$$
 (4.47)

The complete bubble+tadpole-part of the NNLO soft function requires calculation of the integrals which correspond to the diagrams of figure [4](#page-17-1) with $ij = 13, 23, 33$ and 34, and similar combinations of pinched diagrams D_6 of figure [5.](#page-18-0) The remaining integrals can be obtained through the relations:

$$
\bar{I}_{14}(\beta_t) = \bar{I}_{13}(-\beta_t), \qquad \bar{I}_{24}(\beta_t) = \bar{I}_{23}(-\beta_t), \qquad \bar{I}_{44}(\beta_t) = \bar{I}_{33}(\beta_t). \tag{4.48}
$$

Since the values of the powers a_i which appear in the definitions of the integrals are governed by the powers in the denominator of eq. (4.17) and the powers of the p^2 , and $n \cdot p$ propagators in the expressions for the coefficients T_{ij} , eqs. [\(4.26\)](#page-25-5)–[\(4.30\)](#page-25-3), they are the same the for the quark and gauge bubble, as well as for the tadpole integrals. Therefore, obtaining a solution for one type of the bubble, allows us to use it for the other type.

In our calculation, we also used a certain property of the topology [\(4.35\)](#page-26-1) that allowed us to express the integrals involved in the Passarino-Veltman reduction of \bar{I}_{23} with the integrals coming from tensor reduction of \bar{I}_{13} . Specifically, first of all, we note that the propagator $k^2 - m^2$ in eq. [\(4.35\)](#page-26-1) in reality represents the delta function from eq. [\(4.33\)](#page-26-2). Therefore

$$
m^{2} = k^{2} = (n \cdot k)(\bar{n} \cdot k) - k_{T}^{2} = (n \cdot k)(\bar{n} \cdot k) - 1,
$$
\n(4.49)

where the last equality comes from the transverse delta function in [\(4.33\)](#page-26-2). The above allows us to write

$$
(n \cdot k) = (m^2 + 1)(\bar{n} \cdot k)^{-1}.
$$
\n(4.50)

Let us now apply this to the class of graphs of the \bar{I}_{23} type, which contain linear propagators $\bar{n} \cdot k$ and $v_3 \cdot k$

$$
\bar{I}(\alpha, \beta_t; 0, a_2, a_3, 0, 1, 1) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(n \cdot k)^{2\alpha} (\bar{n} \cdot k)^{a_2} (v_3 \cdot k)^{a_3} (k^2 - m^2)((n \cdot k)(\bar{n} \cdot k) - m^2 - 1)}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{(m^2 + 1)^{2\alpha}} \int \frac{d^d k}{(\bar{n} \cdot k)^{-2\alpha + a_2} (v_3 \cdot k)^{a_3} (k^2 - m^2)((n \cdot k)(\bar{n} \cdot k) - m^2 - 1)}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{(m^2 + 1)^{2\alpha}} \int \frac{d^d k}{(n \cdot k)^{-2\alpha + a_2} (v_4 \cdot k)^{a_3} (k^2 - m^2)((n \cdot k)(\bar{n} \cdot k) - m^2 - 1)}
$$
\n
$$
= \bar{I}(-\alpha, -\beta_t; a_2, 0, a_3, 0, 1, 1).
$$
\n(4.51)

In the second line we simply used the relation [\(4.50\)](#page-28-0). In the third line we changed $\vec{k} \to -\vec{k}$, and in the fourth line we used the property that the propagators $v_3 \cdot k$ and $v_4 \cdot k$ can be turned to each other by the replacement $\beta_t \to -\beta_t$.

All in all, we see that graphs of the type \bar{I}_{23} can be expressed as slightly modified versions of I_{13} . Hence, we effectively need to calculate the following set integrals

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\bar{I}(\alpha,\beta_t; 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1), & \bar{I}(\alpha,\beta_t; 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1), \\
\bar{I}(\alpha,\beta_t; 0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1), & \bar{I}(\alpha,\beta_t; 2, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1), \\
\bar{I}(\alpha,\beta_t; 2, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1), & \bar{I}(\alpha,\beta_t; 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1), \\
\bar{I}(-\alpha,\beta_t; -1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1), & \bar{I}(-\alpha,\beta_t; 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1).\n\end{aligned} \tag{4.52}
$$

We start by reducing them with help of IdSolver $[53]$ — a C++ implementation of the Laporta algorithm [\[54\]](#page-55-15), which depends on FORM [\[55\]](#page-55-16) and Fermat [\[56\]](#page-55-17). As a result, we obtain five master integrals, for which we then derive a set of differential equations with respect to the variable β_t . The structure of the set is such that the general solutions for the masters can be obtained iteratively as a series in α and ϵ .

In fact, we only had to solve two systems of differential equations: one for three masters from the reduction of \bar{I}_{13} and the other for two masters from the reduction of \bar{I}_{34} . The reduction of \bar{I}_{33} leads to master integrals identical to those found from reduction of \bar{I}_{13} . The same masters can be used to determine I_{23} as discussed above.

The expressions for the boundary integrals, corresponding to $\beta_t = 0$, are easily calculated through direct integration and this allows us to determine the special solutions. As a last step, we integrate the expressions for the functions from eq. [\(4.52\)](#page-28-1) over the spurious mass m^2 , following eq. (4.34) . Except for a few one-dimensional integrals which appear at order ϵ^1 in momentum space, most of the result is given in an analytic form.

4.3 Real-virtual diagrams

We now turn to the class of single-cut diagrams. As shown in figure [9,](#page-21-1) these diagrams involve a gluon loop as well as a real gluon. Following ref. [\[47\]](#page-55-8), we introduce the tree-level, UV-renormalized, one-loop soft currents

$$
J_a^{\mu(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i^a e_i^{\mu},\tag{4.53}
$$

$$
J_a^{\mu(1)} = i f^{abc} \sum_{i \neq j=1}^n T_i^b T_j^c \left(e_i^{\mu} - e_j^{\mu} \right) g_{ij}^{(1)}(\epsilon, k, p_i, p_j), \qquad (4.54)
$$

where e_i^{μ} μ ^{μ} is the eikonal propagator defined in eq. [\(4.12\)](#page-22-3).

The function g_{ij} , which is symmetric under the exchange $i \leftrightarrow j$, has been obtained in a concise form in ref. [\[48\]](#page-55-9). The soft current J_a^{μ} corresponds to the sum of all parts of diagrams shown in figure [9](#page-21-1) to the left of the cut. It can therefore be used directly to construct the single-cut contribution to our soft function of interest by attaching the gluon with momentum p to the Wilson lines in a Born-level amplitude. As a result, we obtain

$$
S_{i\bar{i}}^{\text{1-cut}} = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s} (4\pi)^4 \nu^{\alpha} \left(\frac{e^{\gamma_E}}{4\pi}\right)^{3\epsilon} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{S_{d-3} q_T^{d-3}} \sum_{k=1}^4 \sum_{i\neq j=1}^4 \langle c_i^{i\bar{i}} | i f^{abc} T_k^a T_i^b T_j^c | c_j^{i\bar{j}} \rangle \times \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{\delta^+(p^2) \delta(p_T - q_T)}{(n \cdot p)^\alpha p_i \cdot p} \left(\frac{p_i \cdot p_k}{p_i \cdot p} - \frac{p_j \cdot p_k}{p_j \cdot p}\right) g_{ij}^{(1)}(\epsilon, p, p_i, p_j) + \text{c.c.}\,,
$$
\n(4.55)

where [\[48\]](#page-55-9)

$$
g_{ij}^{(1)}(\epsilon, p, p_i, p_j) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{4\pi}{e^{\gamma_E}}\right)^{\epsilon} \left(\frac{2(p_i \cdot p_j)\mu^2}{2(p_i \cdot p)2(p_j \cdot p)}\right)^{\epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} + \sum_{n=-1}^1 \epsilon^n \left(R_{ij}^{(n)} + i\pi I_{ij}^{(n)}\right)\right].
$$
\n(4.56)

The real and imaginary coefficients, $R_{ij}^{(n)}$ and $I_{ij}^{(n)}$, were derived in refs. [\[47,](#page-55-8) [48\]](#page-55-9), and they depend solely on the rescaling-invariant variables

$$
\alpha_i \equiv \frac{m_i^2 \, 2(p_j \cdot p)}{2(p_i \cdot p_j) 2(p_i \cdot p)}, \qquad \alpha_j \equiv \frac{m_j^2 \, 2(p_i \cdot p)}{2(p_i \cdot p_j) 2(p_j \cdot p)}.
$$
\n(4.57)

From eq. [\(4.56\)](#page-29-2), and taking into account the expansion of the Fourier Transform coefficient of eq. [\(2.61\)](#page-11-2), we observe that the single-cut contributions to the position-space NNLO soft function start at the order $1/\epsilon^3$ for the real part and $1/\epsilon^2$ for the imaginary part. As we shall see in section [5,](#page-40-0) the $1/\epsilon^3$ term cancels between the single and the double-cut contributions.

4.3.1 Diagrammatic configurations

A range of different configurations of diagrams contribute to eq. [\(4.55\)](#page-29-3). If the gluons connect three massless Wilson lines, the corresponding integral is scaleless and vanishes. However, when two massless and one massive Wilson lines are connected, the integral does not vanish. The soft current for massless Wilson lines takes a very simple form and it has

Figure 11. Example of the three-Wilson-line, single-cut diagrams that yield non-vanishing, purely imaginary result.

been calculated in ref. [\[57\]](#page-55-18). Connecting this current to a massive Wilson line leads to an expression which can be integrated analytically.

Another interesting subclass of single-cut diagrams is formed by two-Wilson line configurations with gluons attached to two massless and one massive leg (e.g. 131). These integrals correspond to case 1 of ref. [\[47\]](#page-55-8) and they exhibit rapidity singularities. Finally, we also need to include diagrams with three distinct Wilson lines, which corresponds to case 3 of ref. [\[47\]](#page-55-8).

Altogether, the single-cut part of the soft function receives contributions from ten independent two-Wilson line and ten independent three-Wilson line integrals. All the other integrals can be obtained through symmetry relations.

In particular, for the two-Wilson line diagrams we observe the symmetry $D_{i,j} = D_{i,ij}$, where the comma corresponds to the cut in the diagram. Swapping $i \leftrightarrow j$ on one side of the cut has no effect as the colour and the kinematic parts both produce minus signs which balance each other. One can also show that $D_{ij,i} = D^*_{i,ij}$, which has an important consequence as it implies that the two-Wilson line, single-cut diagrams are purely real.

We also find symmetries for the three-Wilson line diagrams. First of all, swapping particles on one side of the cut has similar effect to the one described above for the two-Wilson-line case, namely $D_{k,ji} = D_{k,ij}$, which, again, arises because changes in signs in the colour and the kinematic part balance each other. The most important relation, however, reads $D_{ij,k} = -D^*_{k,ij}$, and it means that the three-Wilson line, single-cut diagrams are purely imaginary. In fact, this class of diagrams, constitutes the only source of the imaginary part of the entire NNLO soft function for top pair production.

4.3.2 Three-particle diagrams with two massless and one massive Wilson lines

Let us consider a special case of three-Wilson-line diagrams which involve two massless and one massive particle. Two such diagrams are depicted in figure [11.](#page-30-1) Using the notation introduced above, we can write expressions corresponding to those two diagrams as

$$
D_{12,3} = i f^{abc} T_3^a T_1^b T_2^c \left(e_1^\mu - e_2^\mu \right) e_3^\mu g_{12}^{(1)},\tag{4.58}
$$

 (1)

$$
D_{3,12} = -i f^{abc} T_3^a T_1^b T_2^c \left(e_1^\mu - e_2^\mu \right) e_3^\mu g_{12}^{(1)*} \,. \tag{4.59}
$$

Hence, their sum reads

$$
D_{12,3} + D_{3,12} = i f^{abc} T_3^a T_1^b T_2^c (e_1^\mu - e_2^\mu) e_3^\mu (g_{12}^{(1)} - g_{12}^{(1)*}). \qquad (4.60)
$$

We see that the result is purely imaginary and that, contrary to the case of double-cut diagrams, it does not vanish as, here, the antisymmetry of the colour factor under the exchange $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ is compensated by the antisymmetry of the kinematic part.

We note that our case is different from the one of ref. [\[58\]](#page-56-0), which considers infrared singularities of QCD amplitudes and where it is argued that all three-particle structures with two massless and one massive Wilson lines must vanish. The latter happens because ref. [\[58\]](#page-56-0) discusses amplitudes, i.e. objects in which all Wilson lines originate from the same vertex and all soft gluons are virtual. On the contrary, in our real-virtual diagrams, the Wilson lines meet at two vertices and one gluon is cut.

To understand better why the contribution from the diagrams of figure [11](#page-30-1) does not vanish, it is useful to perform an analysis similar to the one of ref. [\[59\]](#page-56-1). For that purpose, we use the soft current for massless particles with momenta $p_{1,2} = (1,0,0,\pm 1)$, which reads [\[57\]](#page-55-18)

$$
J_{2P}^{a(1)}(p_1, p_2; p, \epsilon) = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} i f_{abc} T_1^b T_2^c \epsilon_\mu(p) \left(\frac{p_1^\mu}{p_1 \cdot p} - \frac{p_2^\mu}{p_2 \cdot p} \right) \times \left(\frac{4\pi p_1 \cdot p_2}{2 \, p_1 \cdot p \, p_2 \cdot p \, e^{-i\pi}} \right)^{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\Gamma^3(1-\epsilon)\Gamma^2(1+\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}.
$$
 (4.61)

Embedding the above in the integral over p gives

$$
D_{12,3} \propto i f_{abc} T_3^a T_1^b T_2^c \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\Gamma^3 (1 - \epsilon) \Gamma^2 (1 + \epsilon)}{\Gamma (1 - 2\epsilon)}
$$

$$
\times \int d^d p \frac{\delta^+(p^2) \delta^{(d-2)}(p_\perp - 1)}{p_+ p_{3-} + p_- p_{3+} - p_\perp \cdot p_{3\perp}} \frac{1}{p_+^\alpha} \left(\frac{p_{3+}}{p_+} - \frac{p_{3-}}{p_-}\right) \left(\frac{4\pi}{p_+ p_- e^{-i\pi}}\right)^\epsilon. \tag{4.62}
$$

Let us now apply the change of variables, motivated by ref. [\[59\]](#page-56-1)

$$
(p_+, p_-, p_\perp) \to (\xi p_-, \xi^{-1} p_+, p_\perp) \quad \text{with} \quad \xi = p_{3+}/p_{3-} \,. \tag{4.63}
$$

Our integral becomes

$$
D_{12,3}(\alpha) \propto i f_{abc} T_3^a T_1^b T_2^c \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\Gamma^3 (1 - \epsilon) \Gamma^2 (1 + \epsilon)}{\Gamma (1 - 2\epsilon)} \times \int d^d p \frac{\delta^+(p^2) \delta^{(d-2)}(p_\perp - 1)}{p_+ p_{3-} + p_- p_{3+} - p_\perp \cdot p_{3\perp}} \xi^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{p_-^{\alpha}} \left(\frac{p_{3-}}{p_-} - \frac{p_{3+}}{p_+}\right) \left(\frac{4\pi}{p_+ p_- e^{-i\pi}}\right)^{\epsilon} = -\xi^{-\alpha} D_{12,3}(-\alpha).
$$
\n(4.64)

Hence, we see that the above integral would exhibit scaling w.r.t. ξ , and vanish, if only there was no α regulator. However, without the regulator, the integral is divergent. Hence, we conclude that the contribution from tree-particle graphs with two massless and one massive Wilson lines does not vanish.

This result only affects the imaginary part of the soft function. The tree-particle graphs of the type of 123 do not contribute to the real part as there will always be a complex-conjugate diagram with opposite sign due to colour operator.

The above conclusion does not invalidate the analysis of ref. [\[59\]](#page-56-1), where it is claimed that the massless-massless-massive diagrams vanish because of the scaling property [\(4.63\)](#page-31-0).

The key difference between our case and the one discussed there is that, in ref. [\[59\]](#page-56-1), purely virtual diagrams are considered. In those diagrams, rapidity divergences are regulated by dimensional regularization [\[41\]](#page-55-2). Hence, no α regulator is required and the ξ scaling of eq. (4.63) holds.

The key element of our calculation, which prevents the diagram 123 from vanishing, is the transverse delta function. As explained in ref. [\[41\]](#page-55-2) without this function, integration over the transverse momentum provides a factor $k_{-}^{-\epsilon}$ which regularizes rapidity divergences, hence there is no need for the regulator α . However, when the transverse delta is present, it fixes q_{\perp} to some external value and the integration over q_{\perp} does not provide a regulator of light-cone singularities. Hence, we need to introduce the analytic regulator α .

4.3.3 Method of integration

To evaluate the integrals in eq. [\(4.55\)](#page-29-3) we proceed through the following set of steps. We start from integration over the p_T and $p_-\$ components, which is straightforward as, in the process, we use the two delta functions $\delta(p^2)$ and $\delta(p_T - 1)$. Then, we are left with a nontrivial integration over p_{+} and $\cos \phi$, where ϕ is the azimuthal angle between $v_{3\perp}$ and p_{\perp} . The remaining angular variables do not appear in the integrand and they only produce a surface term.

To deal with the nontrivial 2-dimensional integrals, we remap the variables p_{+} and ϕ to a unit hypercube

$$
p_{+} = \frac{x}{1-x}, \qquad \cos \phi = 1 - 2\cos^2 \frac{\pi y}{2}.
$$
 (4.65)

The second transformation is introduced for efficiency reasons as it eliminates integrable singularity in the azimuthal integration. The first transformation compactifies the plus component of the gluon momentum. This is useful as our integrals are in general divergent when integrated over p_{+} . The divergence comes from rapidity singularities and that is why we have introduced the analytic regulator in eq. (4.55) . To perform the integral over x, we use the Laurent expansion

$$
\frac{1}{x^{1+a\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{a\alpha}\delta(x) + \left[\frac{1}{x^{1+a\alpha}}\right]_+, \text{ where } \int_0^1 dx \left[\frac{1}{x^{1+a\alpha}}\right]_+ f(x) = \int_0^1 dx \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x^{1+a\alpha}},
$$
\n(4.66)

which makes the divergences explicit by turning them in α poles. All the coefficients of α expansion are finite and take forms of one and two-dimensional integrals which we perform numerically with help of the Cuba package [\[60,](#page-56-2) [61\]](#page-56-3). The integration is fast, hence, we are able to achieve arbitrary accuracy.

4.4 Sector decomposition approach and real-real diagrams

The double-cut integrals take the following form

$$
\tilde{I} = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{\delta^+(k^2)\delta^+(l^2)\,\delta(|k_\perp + l_\perp| - 1)}{(n \cdot k)^\alpha (n \cdot l)^\alpha} \times \text{Graph}(n_i, k, l) \,. \tag{4.67}
$$

Two issues arise when one attempts to evaluate them. First of all, they are divergent when integrated over a subset of variables, and the pattern of divergencies is complex, with

many overlapping singularities. Secondly, the remaining part of the integration, where divergencies do not appear, consists of complicated azimuthal integrals and care is needed to perform them efficiently.

Because of these two separate challenges, it is convenient to factorize the graphdependent part as

Graph
$$
(n_i, k, l)
$$
 = $\underbrace{\frac{\text{Graph}(n_i, k, l)|_{\beta_t=0}}{\text{boundary part}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\text{Graph}(n_i, k, l)}{\text{Graph}(n_i, k, l)|_{\beta_t=0}}\right)}_{\text{weight}} \equiv \mathcal{I}(n_i, k, l) \mathcal{W}(n_i, k, l).$ (4.68)

For any graph, the boundary part is not only independent of β_t but also of all the angles except the angle between transverse components of gluons d-momenta $\theta_1 = \langle (k_\perp, l_\perp)$. In other words, all divergences are present already in the boundary integrals and the remaining integration over the angular variables which appear in the weight is finite.

Our strategy of evaluating the double-cut integrals will therefore consist of two elements: (i) use of sector decomposition to disentangle overlapping singularities and cast them into a set of α and ϵ poles, (ii) supplementing the sector-decomposed integrals with carefully parameterized weights and integrating them numerically with Cuba.

4.4.1 Integration of the on-shell and transverse delta functions

The momenta of the heavy quarks can be written using the following parametrization

$$
\tilde{v}_3 = (1, \beta_t \sin \theta \,\hat{v}_{3\perp}, \beta_t \cos \theta), \tag{4.69}
$$

$$
\tilde{v}_4 = (1, -\beta_t \sin \theta \, \hat{v}_{3\perp}, -\beta_t \cos \theta) = (1, -\vec{v}_3), \tag{4.70}
$$

where $\hat{v}_{3\perp}$ is a unit vector in $d-2$ -dimensional space, cf. eq. [\(2.16\)](#page-5-1).

As discussed in section [4.1,](#page-22-0) the integrand in eq. [\(4.67\)](#page-32-2) can only depend on the scalar products between k_{\perp}, l_{\perp} and $v_{3\perp}$. Due to rotational invariance of these scalar products, one can always change the frame in the transverse space such that

$$
k_{\perp} = |k_{\perp}| (1, 0, 0, \vec{0}_{d-5}), \tag{4.71a}
$$

$$
l_{\perp} = |l_{\perp}| (\cos \theta_1, \sin \theta_1, 0, \vec{0}_{d-5}), \tag{4.71b}
$$

$$
v_{3\perp} = |v_{3\perp}| \left(\cos \phi_1, \sin \phi_1 \cos \phi_2, \sin \phi_1 \sin \phi_2, \vec{0}_{d-5} \right). \tag{4.71c}
$$

Since the momenta of the incoming particles are light-like and $v_{4\perp} = -v_{3\perp}$, the soft function integrals can only depend on $v_{3\perp}^2$. Therefore, nothing is sensitive to the position of the versor $\hat{v}_{3\perp}$. Hence, the integral [\(4.67\)](#page-32-2) can be written as

$$
\tilde{I} = \frac{1}{S_{d-3}} \int d\Omega_{d-3}(\hat{v}_{3\perp}) \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{d^d l}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{\delta^+(k^2)\delta^+(l^2)\delta(|k_\perp + l_\perp| - 1)}{(n \cdot k)^\alpha (n \cdot l)^\alpha} \times \text{Graph}(n_i, k, l),\tag{4.72}
$$

where the surface of unit-sphere, S_{d-3} , is given by eq. [\(2.42\)](#page-8-4), and the differential measures read

$$
d^{d}k = dk_{+}dk_{-}dk_{\perp}k_{\perp}^{d-3} d\Omega(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \dots, \chi_{d-3}), \qquad (4.73a)
$$

$$
d^{d}l = dl_{+}dl_{-}dl_{\perp}l_{\perp}^{d-3} d\Omega(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \dots, \theta_{d-3}), \qquad (4.73b)
$$

$$
d\Omega_{d-3}(\hat{v}_{3\perp}) = d\Omega(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{d-3}). \tag{4.73c}
$$

Because we use the parametrization [\(4.71\)](#page-33-1), all the angles except θ_1 , ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 can be integrated trivially. Let us now replace the angle between the transverse components of the two gluons' momenta, θ_1 , by

$$
\eta = \frac{1 - \cos \theta_1}{2} \,. \tag{4.74}
$$

Then, the whole measure takes the form

$$
d\Omega_{d-3}(\hat{v}_{3\perp}) d^d k d^d l = \tag{4.75}
$$
\n
$$
d = \frac{G}{d} \left(\frac{1 - 2\epsilon}{1 - 2\epsilon} \frac{1 - 2\epsilon}{(1 - 2\epsilon)(1 - 2\epsilon)} \right) \left(\frac{1 - \epsilon}{1 - 2\epsilon} \frac{1}{(1 - 2\epsilon)(1 - 2\epsilon)(1 - 2\epsilon)} \right)
$$

$$
=4^{-\epsilon}S_{1-2\epsilon}S_{-2\epsilon}k_T^{1-2\epsilon}l_T^{1-2\epsilon}((1-\eta)\eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}dk_+dk_-dl_+dl_-dk_T dl_T d\eta d\Omega(\phi_1,\phi_2,\ldots,\phi_{d-3}),
$$

where we used eqs. (2.40) , (4.73) and (4.74) . After performing the graph-independent integrations over $k_-, l_-\text{ and } \eta$, where the last quantity gets fixed to

$$
\eta = \frac{k_T^2 + l_T^2 + 2krl_T - 1}{4krl_T},\tag{4.76}
$$

the integral [\(4.67\)](#page-32-2) turns into

$$
\tilde{I} = \int dk_+ dl_+ dk_T dl_T d\Omega(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{d-3}) k_+^{-\alpha} l_+^{-\alpha} k_T^{1-2\epsilon} l_T^{1-2\epsilon}
$$
\n(4.77)

$$
\times \left\{ \left[1 - (k_T - l_T)^2\right] \left[1 - (k_T + l_T)^2\right] \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon} \mathcal{I}(n_i, k_+, l_+, k_T, l_T) \mathcal{W}(n_i, k_+, l_+, k_T, l_T, \phi_1, \phi_2, \beta_t),
$$

and the delta functions generate the following relations

$$
k_{-} = \frac{k_{T}^{2}}{k_{+}}, \qquad l_{-} = \frac{l_{T}^{2}}{l_{+}}, \qquad (4.78)
$$

and

$$
|k_T - l_T| \le 1 \qquad \wedge \qquad k_T + l_T \ge 1. \tag{4.79}
$$

The last pair of inequalities defines the integration region in the (k_T, l_T) plane, which is depicted in figure [12](#page-35-0) (left). The first inequality corresponds to the two (red) solid lines, while the second inequality corresponds to the (blue) dotted line.

4.4.2 Divergencies

The non-integrable divergences of the integral [\(4.77\)](#page-34-3) come from the graph-dependent part. Since the weight is finite, the divergences are fully determined by the boundary integrand $\mathcal{I}(n_i, k_+, l_+, k_T, l_T)$. Hence, each integral can in principle diverge due to integration over the four independent variables: k_+, l_+, k_T and l_T , and some of the limits are coupled due to the constraint of eq. (4.79) . The singularities of the integral \tilde{I} correspond to vanishing of the propagators in \mathcal{I} , and this can happen in the following situations.

Figure 12. The integration region in the transverse coordinates before (left) and after (right) the transformation of variables from Step 1 discussed in section [4.4.3.](#page-36-0)

Propagators of the incoming particles. The incoming-particle propagators, $n \cdot k$, $\bar{n} \cdot k$, $n \cdot l$ and $\bar{n} \cdot l$, produce divergencies in the limits in which the plus or minus components of the momenta of gluons tend to zero or infinity. This occurs in three regions of gluons' momenta

• Rapidity region, where the momenta scale as $(\lambda^{\pm 1}, \lambda^{\mp 1}, 1)$. In terms of the independent variables, the rapidity divergencies occur when

$$
k_+ \to 0
$$
 or $k_+ \to \infty$ or $l_+ \to 0$ or $l_+ \to \infty$. (4.80)

• Soft region, with the momenta scaling like $(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$. This corresponds to either

$$
k_+ \to 0, \ k_T \to 0
$$
 or $l_+ \to 0, \ l_T \to 0.$ (4.81)

We note that, when one gluon becomes soft, transverse momentum of the other gluon tends to one due to the constraint [\(4.79\)](#page-34-4).

• Collinear region, characterized by the scaling $(1, \lambda^2, \lambda)$. In terms of the independent variables, the collinear singularity occurs when

$$
k_T \to 0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad l_T \to 0. \tag{4.82}
$$

Similarly to the case of the soft limit, because of the constraint [\(4.79\)](#page-34-4), vanishing of the transverse momentum of one gluon requires that the transverse momentum of the other gluon tends to one, i.e. the second gluon cannot be soft or collinear to the incoming parton. The reason why the collinear limit leads to vanishing of one of the incoming-particle propagators is because of the relation [\(4.78\)](#page-34-5).

Propagators of the outgoing particles. The propagators involving top or anti-top quark, $v_3 \cdot k$, $v_4 \cdot k$, $v_3 \cdot l$ and $v_4 \cdot l$, vanish only in the soft region. In terms of the independent variables, the latter corresponds to the limits given in eq. [\(4.81\)](#page-35-1) above.

To see that the massive-particle propagators can produce divergencies only in the soft region, let us use the parametrization of eqs. (4.71) and the relation (4.78) . Together they give

$$
v_3 \cdot k = \frac{v_{3+}k_-}{2} + \frac{v_{3-}k_+}{2} - v_{3\perp} \cdot k_\perp
$$

=
$$
\frac{1 + \beta_t \cos \theta}{2} \frac{k_T^2}{k_+} + \frac{1 - \beta_t \cos \theta}{2} k_+ - \beta_t \sin \theta k_T.
$$
 (4.83)

Since $\beta_t \leq \sqrt{1 - m_t^2/\hat{s}} < 1$ and $-1 \leq \cos \theta \leq 1$, the coefficients in front of the components of gluon's d-momentum in the first two terms in eq. [\(4.83\)](#page-36-1) can never vanish. The collinear region corresponds to $k_T \rightarrow 0$ and finite k_+ , and we see that the propagator stays finite in this limit. Similarly, the propagator does not vanish when $k_+ \to 0$ or $k_+ \to \infty$, while $k_T \neq 0$, which is the region of small/large rapidities of the gluon. Hence, the only way to make the above propagator vanish is to send k_{+} and k_{T} to zero simultaneously, and this corresponds to the soft limit. The above proof can be repeated for the other propagators of the outgoing particles.

Exact gluon propagator. Double-cut diagrams with the triple gluon vertex contain the propagator $(k+l)^2$ in which the momenta of the gluons are commensurate. After the integration over $k_-, l_-\text{ and } \eta$ is performed, this exact propagator reads

$$
(k+l)^2 = 2k \cdot l = -1 + \frac{k^+ + l^+}{k^+} k_\perp^2 + \frac{k^+ + l^+}{l^+} l_\perp^2.
$$
 (4.84)

Within the domain of integration defined in figure [12](#page-35-0) (left), the above propagator vanishes when

$$
k_T \to \frac{k^+}{k^+ + l^+} \qquad \text{and} \qquad l_T \to \frac{l^+}{k^+ + l^+} \,. \tag{4.85}
$$

This limit corresponds to the two gluons with momenta k and l becoming collinear. Note that, due to condition (4.79) , only one of the two gluons can have vanishing transverse momentum. One notices that the limit (4.85) is different with respect to the cases discusses earlier. While the divergencies of the eikonal propagators happen only at the edges of the integration domain, i.e. 0 or ∞ (endpoint singularities), the exact propagator vanishes inside the integration region, on the manifold defined by eq. [\(4.85\)](#page-36-2).

4.4.3 Mapping procedure

We would like to transform the integration region in the (k_{+}, l_{+}, k_T, l_T) space into a unit hyper-cube in the space of variables $\{x_i\}$, and, if necessary, split it such that each of the resulting integrals $\int \prod_i dx_i f(\lbrace x_i \rbrace)$ has singularities only when one or more variables $x_i \rightarrow 0$. We achieve the above in the following four steps:

Step 1. The transverse variables (k_T, l_T) are transformed to new variables (x_T, y_T) with the replacements

$$
k_T = \frac{1 + y_T - x_T y_T}{2(1 - x_T)}, \qquad l_T = \frac{1 - y_T + x_T y_T}{2(1 - x_T)}, \qquad (4.86)
$$

Figure 13. Manifold where the double-cut integrals with triple-gluon vertex become divergent. It corresponds to the limit of the two gluons k and l becoming collinear to each other.

and the inverse transformation reads

$$
x_T = 1 - \frac{1}{k_T + l_T}, \qquad y_T = k_T - l_T. \tag{4.87}
$$

This results in change of the integration region from the one shown in figure [12](#page-35-0) (left) to that of figure [12](#page-35-0) (right). The latter corresponds to

$$
0 \le x_T \le 1 \qquad \wedge \qquad -1 \le y_T \le 1. \tag{4.88}
$$

The collinear divergences now occur in the limits

$$
x_T \to 0, y_T \to -1 \qquad \text{or} \qquad x_T \to 0, y_T \to 1, \tag{4.89}
$$

and the soft divergences correspond to

$$
k_+ \to 0
$$
, $x_T \to 0$, $y_T \to -1$ or $l_+ \to 0$, $x_T \to 0$, $y_T \to 1$. (4.90)

The limit of two gluons becoming collinear, eq. [\(4.85\)](#page-36-2), in the new variables happens when

$$
x_T \to 0, \ y_T \to \frac{k_+ - l_+}{k_+ + l_+} \,. \tag{4.91}
$$

The last divergence occurs on a manifold inside the integration region as depicted in figure [13.](#page-37-0)

Step 2. In order to transform the manifold singularity (4.91) into an endpoint singularity, we split the integration region in the variable y_T precisely on the manifold of figure [13](#page-37-0)

$$
I = \int_0^\infty dk_+ \int_0^\infty dl_+ \int_0^1 dx_T \int_{-1}^1 dy_T \ \bar{I}(k_+, l_+, x_T, y_T)
$$

=
$$
\int dk_+ dl_+ dx_T \int_{-1}^{c(k_+,l_+)} dy_T \ \bar{I}(k_+, l_+, x_T, y_T) + \int dk_+ dl_+ dx_T \int_{c(k_+,l_+)}^1 dy_T \ \bar{I}(k_+, l_+, x_T, y_T)
$$

\equiv $I_d + I_u$, (4.92)

where

$$
c(k_{+}, l_{+}) = \frac{k_{+} - l_{+}}{k_{+} + l_{+}}.
$$
\n(4.93)

Now, we use two different parametrizations

$$
y_T = \frac{k_+ - l_+ + 2l_+ \bar{y}_T}{k_+ + l_+}, \qquad \bar{y}_T = \frac{y_T - c(k_+, l_+)}{1 - c(k_+, l_+)} = \frac{k_+ (-1 + y_T) + l_+ (1 + y_T)}{2l_+} \qquad \text{for} \quad I_u,
$$
\n(4.94)

and

$$
y_T = \frac{k_+ - l_+ - 2k_+ \bar{y}_T}{k_+ + l_+}, \qquad \bar{y}_T = \frac{y_T - c(k_+, l_+)}{-1 - c(k_+, l_+)} = \frac{k_+(1 - y_T) - l_+(1 + y_T)}{2k_+} \qquad \text{for} \quad I_d.
$$
\n
$$
(4.95)
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
I_d = \int_0^\infty dk_+ \int_0^\infty dl_+ \int_0^1 dx_T \int_0^1 d\bar{y}_T \ \bar{I}_u(k_+, l_+, x_T, \bar{y}_T) \,, \tag{4.96a}
$$

$$
I_u = \int_0^\infty dk_+ \int_0^\infty dl_+ \int_0^1 dx_T \int_0^1 d\bar{y}_T \, \bar{I}_d(k_+, l_+, x_T, \bar{y}_T). \tag{4.96b}
$$

With the above changes, the soft singularities happen at

$$
k_+ \to 0, x_T \to 0
$$
 or $l_+ \to 0, x_T \to 0,$ (4.97)

in both integrals, I_u and I_d , and for any value of \bar{y}_T . The case in which a gluon is collinear to the incoming parton corresponds to

$$
\bar{y}_T \to 1, \tag{4.98}
$$

for both integrals. We note that in the above limit, for I_u , it is the gluon with momentum l that becomes collinear, whereas, for I_d , the limit [\(4.98\)](#page-38-0) corresponds to the gluon with momentum k being collinear to the beam.

Finally, the limit of two gluons becoming collinear to each other, eq. [\(4.85\)](#page-36-2), in the new variables corresponds to

$$
x_T \to 0, \ \bar{y}_T \to 0,\tag{4.99}
$$

for both I_u and I_d .

Step 3. We see that the integrals [\(4.96\)](#page-38-1) can be divergent at both ends in the variable \bar{y}_T . In order to move all singularities to the limit $x_i \to 0$, we split I_u and I_d at $\bar{y}_T = \frac{1}{2}$ $\overline{2}$

$$
I_{\{u,d\}} = \int_0^1 d\bar{y}_T \,\tilde{I}_{\{u,d\}} = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} d\bar{y}_T \,\tilde{I}_{\{u,d\}} + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 d\bar{y}_T \,\tilde{I}_{\{u,d\}} \equiv I_{\{u,d\}0} + I_{\{u,d\}1} \,. \tag{4.100}
$$

Then, we apply the following transformations

$$
\tilde{y}_T = 2(1 - \bar{y}_T),
$$
\n $\bar{y}_T = 1 - \frac{\tilde{y}_T}{2},$ \nfor $I_{\{u,d\}1}$, (4.101)

and

$$
\tilde{y}_T = 2\bar{y}_T, \qquad \qquad \bar{y}_T = \frac{\tilde{y}_T}{2}, \qquad \text{for} \quad I_{\{u,d\}0}.
$$
\n(4.102)

At this point, we have the following singularities:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{soft} & k_+ \to 0, \ x_T \to 0 \quad \text{or} \quad l_+ \to 0, \ x_T \to 0 \quad I_{u0}, I_{u1}, I_{d0}, I_{d1}, \\
\text{collinear} & x_T \to 0, \qquad \tilde{y}_T \to 0 \qquad I_{u1}, I_{d1}, \\
k \parallel l & x_T \to 0, \qquad \tilde{y}_T \to 0 \qquad I_{u0}, I_{d0}.\n\end{aligned}\n\tag{4.103}
$$

Step 4. In the last step, we compress the ranges of the k_{+} and l_{+} integrals by the transformation

$$
k_{+} = \frac{x}{1-x}, \qquad l_{+} = \frac{y}{1-y}, \qquad (4.104)
$$

whose inverse reads

$$
x = \frac{k_+}{1 + k_+}, \qquad y = \frac{l_+}{1 + l_+}.
$$
\n(4.105)

Hence, finally, our integral is a sum of four contributions

$$
I = I_{d0} + I_{d1} + I_{u0} + I_{u1}.
$$
\n(4.106)

4.4.4 Integrating the weight

As a final step, we integrate the weight over the azimuthal angles of v_3 : ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 . In order to map the angular variables into a unit hypercube, we first write the integration measure as

$$
\int_{S_1^{d-3}} d\Omega(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{d-3}) = \int_{S_1^{1-2\epsilon}} d\Omega(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{1-2\epsilon})
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^1 d\cos\phi_1 \sin^{-1-2\epsilon}\phi_1 \int_{S_1^{-2\epsilon}} d\Omega(\phi_2, \dots, \phi_{1-2\epsilon}). \tag{4.107}
$$

Then, we represent the remaining measure as [\[10\]](#page-53-2)

$$
\int_{S_1^{-2\epsilon}} d\Omega(\phi_2, \dots, \phi_{1-2\epsilon}) = \frac{(4\pi)^{-\epsilon} \Gamma(1-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} \tag{4.108}
$$
\n
$$
\times \int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos\phi_2 \left(\delta(1-\cos\phi_2) + \delta(1+\cos\phi_2) - 2\epsilon \frac{4^{\epsilon} \Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}{\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)} \left[\frac{1}{(1-\cos^2\phi_2)^{1+\epsilon}} \right]_+ \right),
$$

where we used the fact that our integrand is independent of the angles $\phi_3, \ldots, \phi_{1-2\epsilon}$. The plus distribution is defined as

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1} d\cos \rho \left[\frac{1}{(1 - \cos^2 \rho)^{\alpha}} \right]_{+} f(\cos \rho) \tag{4.109}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{0} d\cos \rho \frac{f(\cos \rho) - f(-1)}{(1 - \cos^2 \rho)^{\alpha}} + \int_{0}^{+1} d\cos \rho \frac{f(\cos \rho) - f(+1)}{(1 - \cos^2 \rho)^{\alpha}}.
$$

The integral over the weight now reads

$$
\frac{1}{S_{1-2\epsilon}} \int_{S_1^{1-2\epsilon}} d\Omega(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{1-2\epsilon}) \mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, \phi_2) = \frac{(4\pi)^{-\epsilon} \Gamma(1-\epsilon)}{S_{1-2\epsilon} \Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} \int_{-1}^1 d\cos \phi_1 \sin^{-1-2\epsilon} \phi_1 \quad (4.110)
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[\int_0^1 d\cos \phi_2 \left(\delta(1-\cos\phi_2) \mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, 0) - 2\epsilon \frac{4^{\epsilon} \Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}{\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, \phi_2) - \mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, 0)}{(1-\cos^2 \phi_2)^{1+\epsilon}} \right) \right] + \int_{-1}^0 d\cos \phi_2 \left(\delta(1+\cos\phi_2) \mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, \pi) - 2\epsilon \frac{4^{\epsilon} \Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}{\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, \phi_2) - \mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, \pi)}{(1-\cos^2 \phi_2)^{1+\epsilon}} \right) \right].
$$

Figure 14. Quark bubble contribution to the NNLO soft function in the $q\bar{q}$ channel. The plots correspond to three independent entries of the 2×2 matrix determined for the fixed values of $\theta = \pi/4$ and $L_{\perp} = 0$. We see perfect agreement between RG prediction and the direct calculation obtained with two independent methods: differential equations (DE) and sector decomposition (SD).

To map this integral to a unit hypercube, we use the following changes of variables

$$
\cos \phi_1 = 1 - 2\cos^2(\chi \pi/2), \qquad \cos \phi_2 = 1 - \eta_2, \qquad \sin^2 \phi_2 = \eta_2(2 - \eta_2), \qquad (4.111)
$$

in the second

$$
\cos \phi_1 = 1 - 2\cos^2(\chi \pi/2), \qquad \cos \phi_2 = \eta_2 - 1, \qquad \sin^2 \phi_2 = \eta_2(2 - \eta_2), \qquad (4.112)
$$

and the third line, respectively. From these, one gets

$$
\frac{1}{S_{1-2\epsilon}} \int_{S_1^{1-2\epsilon}} d\Omega(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{1-2\epsilon}) \mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, \phi_2) = \mathcal{R} \int_0^1 d\chi \sin^{-2\epsilon}(\pi \chi)
$$
(4.113)

$$
\times \left[\int_0^1 d\eta_2 \left(4^{-\epsilon} \delta(1 - \cos \phi_2) \mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, 0) - \frac{\epsilon}{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, \phi_2) - \mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, 0)}{(1 - \cos^2 \phi_2)^{1+\epsilon}} \right) + \int_0^1 d\eta_2 \left(4^{-\epsilon} \delta(1 + \cos \phi_2) \mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, \pi) - \frac{\epsilon}{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, \phi_2) - \mathcal{W}(\beta_t, \theta, \phi_1, \pi)}{(1 - \cos^2 \phi_2)^{1+\epsilon}} \right) \right],
$$

where we define the prefactor

$$
\mathcal{R} = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \epsilon)^2}{2\Gamma(1 - 2\epsilon)}.\tag{4.114}
$$

5 NNLO soft function: results

The bare NNLO soft function for the top pair production has the following structure

$$
\mathbf{S}^{(2)}_{\text{bare}}(L_{\perp}, \beta_t, \theta) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathbf{S}^{(2,-2)}(L_{\perp}, \beta_t, \theta) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{S}^{(2,-1)}(L_{\perp}, \beta_t, \theta) + \mathbf{S}^{(2,0)}(L_{\perp}, \beta_t, \theta). \tag{5.1}
$$

Because it encodes single and double-soft limit, it exhibits at most $1/\epsilon^2$ singularity. However, higher order ϵ poles, as well as α poles, appear at intermediate stages of the calculation, but they cancel in the final combination.

Figure 15. Quark bubble contribution to the NNLO soft function in the gg channel. The plots correspond to six independent entries of the 3×3 matrix determined for the fixed values of $\theta = \pi/4$ and $L_{\perp} = 0$. We see perfect agreement between RG prediction and the direct calculation obtained with two independent methods: differential equations (DE) and sector decomposition (SD).

We have checked that, in our calculation, all α poles, including ϵ/α , as well as $1/\epsilon^4$ vanish within each colour structure defined in eq. (2.50) . As for the $1/\epsilon^3$ pole, it comes out with a non-zero coefficient in the single-cut and in the double-cut contributions. The value of the $1/\epsilon^3$ coefficient is however identical, in those two pieces up to a sign. Hence, in the final combination, this pole does not appear in our result. We have demonstrated that, when all contributions to the bare NNLO soft function are included, following eq. (4.1) the soft function indeed shows at most $1/\epsilon^2$ singularity.

As discussed in section [2.8,](#page-12-0) the pole part of the soft function, i.e. the functions $S^{(2,-2)}(L_\perp,\beta_t,\theta)$ and $S^{(2,-1)}(L_\perp,\beta_t,\theta)$ defined in eq. [\(5.1\)](#page-40-1), as well as the L_\perp -dependent part of the finite contribution, $S^{(0,0)}(L_\perp, \beta_t, \theta)$, can be completely determined from the renormalization group. The only term that has to be obtained through direct calculation is the L_{\perp} -independent part of $S^{(2,0)}(L_{\perp}, \beta_t, \theta)$. In spite of the above, it is worth calculating all the terms appearing in eq. [\(5.1\)](#page-40-1) and use the redundant ones for cross checks of our framework against RG prediction.

As much as the comparison of the results from direct calculations to the prediction of the renormalization group is valuable, it is limited by the fact that RG misses the constant

Figure 16. Real part of the NNLO soft function in the $q\bar{q}$ channel. The figure shows three independent entries of the 2 × 2 matrix determined for the fixed values of $\theta = \pi/4$ and $L_{\perp} = 0$. All the points come from our direct calculation at different orders in ϵ expansion. The two results at order ϵ^0 correspond to the finite part of the NNLO soft function before and after renormalization. The RG predictions for the pole part are shown as red (dashed) and green (solid) lines. The points for order ϵ^0 are connected by straight lines for better visibility.

part of $S^{(2,0)}(L_\perp,\beta_t,\theta)$. In our calculation, we are however able to cross-check this missing component as well since part of our soft function, namely the one corresponding to the bubble and tadpole diagrams, can be determined with two completely different methods: differential equations and sector decomposition.

Figures [14](#page-40-2) and [15](#page-41-0) show the results for the quark bubble part of the soft function, respectively in the $q\bar{q}$ and the gq channel, obtained from the renormalization group and from the direct calculation with differential equations and with sector decomposition. The quark bubble contribution can be singled out from the RG prediction as it is proportional to n_f . The plots correspond to three independent entries of the 2×2 matrix and six independent entries of the 3 × 3 matrix, determined for the fixed values of $\theta = \pi/4$ and $L_{\perp} = 0$, and shown as a function of β_t . We see perfect agreement between the two sets of points as well as between the points and the RG prediction. The error bars in the result from sector decomposition come from the uncertainties of numerical integration. We have performed similar comparison of the results from differential equations and sector decomposition for the gauge bubble and for the tadpole, in both channels, each time finding an excellent agreement.

This constitutes a very strong validation of our computational framework. It tests all the elements of the sector decomposition method and tools, discussed in section [4.4,](#page-32-1) up to the finite order in ϵ . Even though the bubble graphs are easier to solve analytically than the rest of the double-cut graphs, they pose a serious challenge to the sector decomposition approach due to non-trivial numerators. Therefore, the comparison shown in figures [14](#page-40-2) and [15](#page-41-0) makes up a highly-nontrivial test of the entire framework used in the calculation of the NNLO soft function.

We are now in a position to present the complete NNLO soft function for top quark pair production at small q_T . The results for the real part of the independent entries of the matrices in the $q\bar{q}$ and gg channel, at fixed values of $\theta = \pi/4$ and $L_{\perp} = 0$, are given in

Figure 17. Real part of the NNLO soft function in the gg channel. The figure shows six independent entries of the 3×3 matrix. All details as in figure [16.](#page-42-0)

figures [16](#page-42-0) and [17,](#page-43-1) respectively. The points correspond to our direct calculation at orders $1/\epsilon^2$, $1/\epsilon$ and ϵ^0 .

For the poles, we also show, as lines, predictions from the renormalization group. We see that the two sets of predictions agree perfectly.

For the finite term, we show two sets of points: the one before and the one after renormalization. They differ by a finite function following the formula [\(2.74\)](#page-13-3). We notice that the difference is often substantial.

As discussed in section [4.3,](#page-29-0) due to the real-virtual contributions, the NNLO soft function contains also an imaginary part. As follows from eqs. $(A.9)$ and $(A.10)$, discussed in appendix [A,](#page-45-0) the imaginary part of the soft function matrix has only one independent element. The corresponding results in the $q\bar{q}$ and gg channel are given in figure [18.](#page-44-0) As in the previous cases, the predictions were obtained for the values of $\theta = \pi/4$ and $L_{\perp} = 0$, as functions of β_t . Like in the case of the real part, we see excellent agreement between the renormalization group predictions and the direct calculation for the pole part.

6 Summary

A second, independent calculation of the NNLO correction for top quark pair production in proton-proton collisions would be of great value. One of the methods that could be

Figure 18. Imaginary part of the NNLO soft function in the $q\bar{q}$ channel (left) and in the gq channel (right). The figures show the only one independent structure in each matrix. All details as in figure [16.](#page-42-0)

used to obtain it, is the q_T slicing approach. In this article, we presented the result for the complete NNLO, small- q_T soft function for $t\bar{t}$ production, which forms a significant step on the way towards this goal. Now, all the ingredients needed to construct the NNLO cross section for top pair production valid at small- q_T are available.

To obtain our results, we have constructed a framework based on sector decomposition and differential equations. The framework has been extensively validated. In particular, we have checked that all the α poles, including ϵ/α , and all the ϵ poles beyond $1/\epsilon^2$, vanish in the complete result for the NNLO soft function. We also checked that we recover all pieces predicted by the renormalization group, both the pole terms and the L_{\perp} -dependent part of the finite term. The strongest validation of our framework and tools comes from finding a perfect agreement between numerical results from the sector decomposition-based framework and analytic results obtained with the method of differential equations, for the graphs involving gauge, ghost or quark bubble.

The NNLO, small- q_T soft function can now be used to obtain full $t\bar{t}$ cross section at NNLO by means of the q_T -slicing method, as well as for small- q_T resummation at NNLL'.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the National Science Centre, Poland grant POLONEZ

No. 2015/19/P/ST2/03007. The project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 665778. The work of M.C. was supported in part by a grant of the BMBF. R.A.M and S.S acknowledge hospitality of the Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics

and Cosmology, RWTH Aachen University, where substantial part of this work has been done. We are also grateful to Andreas van Hameren for illuminating discussions throughout the course of this work. We thank Thomas Hahn for assistance with the Cuba library. This research was supported in part by PLGrid infrastructure. We are grateful for computing resources of ACC Cyfronet AGH where our numerical calculations were performed on the Prometheus cluster. S.S. would like to acknowledge the Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics (MITP) for hospitality and support during the completion of this work.

A Colour matrices

In this appendix, we collect all colour matrices that appear in the formulae for the soft function at NLO and NNLO, in the basis defined in eqs. [\(2.45\)](#page-9-3) and [\(2.46\)](#page-9-4).

Let us start by determining the set of independent dot products of the operators \mathbf{T}_i . For the top pair production in the $i\overline{i}$ channel, we have

$$
\mathbf{T}_i^2 = C_i \mathbb{1}, \quad \text{for the incoming partons } i\bar{i},
$$

$$
\mathbf{T}_i^2 = C_F \mathbb{1}, \quad \text{for the outgoing } t\bar{t} \text{ pair.}
$$
 (A.1)

The colour conservation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathbf{T}_{i} | \mathcal{M} \rangle = 0, \qquad (A.2)
$$

allows us to write the following set of equations

$$
\left\{ \mathbf{T}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{j} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ m \neq j}} \mathbf{T}_{k} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{m} \right\},\tag{A.3}
$$

for $i, j \in \{1, ..., 4\}$. By combining $(A.1)$ and $(A.3)$, we arrive at the relations

$$
\mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_4 = \mathbf{T}_2 \cdot \mathbf{T}_3, \tag{A.4a}
$$

$$
\mathbf{T}_2 \cdot \mathbf{T}_4 = \mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_3, \tag{A.4b}
$$

$$
\mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_1 = \mathbf{T}_2 \cdot \mathbf{T}_2 = -\mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_3 - \mathbf{T}_2 \cdot \mathbf{T}_3 - \mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_2, \tag{A.4c}
$$

$$
\mathbf{T}_3 \cdot \mathbf{T}_3 = \mathbf{T}_4 \cdot \mathbf{T}_4 = -\mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_3 - \mathbf{T}_2 \cdot \mathbf{T}_3 - \mathbf{T}_3 \cdot \mathbf{T}_4. \tag{A.4d}
$$

Hence, in general, we have four independent structures: $T_1 \cdot T_3$, $T_2 \cdot T_3$, $T_1 \cdot T_2$, $T_3 \cdot T_4$. For the $q\bar{q}$ channel, this number is further reduced to three, as all $\mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_i$ are equal, which leads to the relation $\mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_2 = \mathbf{T}_3 \cdot \mathbf{T}_4$.

In the case of the $q\bar{q}$ channel, the three independent matrices $w_{ij}^{(1)}$, defined in eq. [\(2.50a\)](#page-9-6), read

$$
\mathbf{w}_{13}^{(1)} = -\frac{C_F}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2C_F - \frac{N}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.5a}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}_{23}^{(1)} = -\frac{C_F}{2N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -N \\ -N & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.5b}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}_{34}^{(1)} = -\frac{C_F}{4N} \begin{pmatrix} 4N^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.5c}
$$

while, for the *gg* channel, we have the following four independent $w_{ij}^{(1)}$ matrices

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{13}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4N & 0 \\ 4N & N^2 & N^2 - 4 \\ 0 & N^2 - 4 & N^2 - 4 \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (A.6a)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{23}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4N & 0 \\ -4N & N^2 & -N^2 + 4 \\ 0 & -N^2 + 4 & N^2 - 4 \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (A.6b)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{12}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 4N^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & N^2 - 4 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.6c}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{34}^{(1)} = -\begin{pmatrix} C_F N & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{N^2 - 4}{4N^2} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{A.6d}
$$

The $w_{ij}^{(2S)}$ matrices, which enter the abelian part of the double-cut contributions to the soft function [\(4.2\)](#page-19-3), were defined in eq. [\(2.50b\)](#page-9-7). In the case of the $q\bar{q}$ channel, they take the following explicit forms

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1333}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{(N^2-1)^2}{4N^2} \\ -\frac{(N^2-1)^2}{4N^2} & -\frac{(N^2-2)(N^2-1)^2}{8N^3} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (A.7a)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1433}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{(N^2-1)^2}{4N^2} \\ \frac{(N^2-1)^2}{4N^2} & -\frac{(N^2-1)^2}{4N^3} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (A.7b)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1314}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{N^2 - 1}{2N} & \frac{1}{8} \left(-N^2 + 5 - \frac{4}{N^2} \right) \\ \frac{1}{8} \left(-N^2 + 5 - \frac{4}{N^2} \right) & \frac{N^4 - 4N^2 + 3}{8N^3} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (A.7c)

$$
\mathbf{w}_{3334}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{(N^2-1)^2}{2N} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{(N^2-1)^2}{8N^3} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (A.7d)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1334}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{N^4 - 3N^2 + 2}{8N^2} \\ \frac{N^4 - 3N^2 + 2}{8N^2} & -\frac{N^4 - 3N^2 + 2}{8N^3} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (A.7e)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1434}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{N^4 - 3N^2 + 2}{8N^2} \\ -\frac{N^4 - 3N^2 + 2}{8N^2} & -\frac{N^2 - 1}{4N^3} \end{pmatrix} \,, \tag{A.7f}
$$

$$
\mathbf{w}_{3434}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(N^2 - 1)^2}{2N} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{N^2 - 1}{8N^3} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (A.7g)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1414}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{N^2 - 1}{2N} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N^2} - 1 \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N^2} - 1 \right) & \frac{N^4 + 2N^2 - 3}{8N^3} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.7h}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1313}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{N^2 - 1}{2N} & \frac{N^4 - 3N^2 + 2}{4N^2} \\ \frac{N^4 - 3N^2 + 2}{4N^2} & \frac{N^6 - 4N^4 + 6N^2 - 3}{8N^3} \end{pmatrix},
$$
(A.7i)

$$
\mathbf{w}_{3333}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(N^2-1)^2}{2N} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{(N^2-1)^3}{8N^3} \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (A.7j)

In the gg channel, these colour matrices read

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1333}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - N^2 \right) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - N^2 \right) & -\frac{1}{8} N \left(N^2 - 1 \right) & -\frac{N^4 - 5N^2 + 4}{8N} \\ 0 & -\frac{N^4 - 5N^2 + 4}{8N} & -\frac{N^4 - 5N^2 + 4}{8N} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (A.8a)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1433}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(N^2 - 1 \right) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(N^2 - 1 \right) & -\frac{1}{8} N \left(N^2 - 1 \right) & \frac{N^4 - 5N^2 + 4}{8N} \\ 0 & \frac{N^4 - 5N^2 + 4}{8N} & -\frac{N^4 - 5N^2 + 4}{8N} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (A.8b)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1314}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} -N & 0 & 1 - \frac{N^2}{4} \\ 0 & -\frac{N}{4} & 0 \\ 1 - \frac{N^2}{4} & 0 & \frac{N}{4} - \frac{1}{N} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (A.8c)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{3334}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{(N^2-1)^2}{2N} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{N^2-1}{4N} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{N^4-5N^2+4}{4N^3} \end{pmatrix},
$$
(A.8d)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1334}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{4} \left(N^2 - 2 \right) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(N^2 - 2 \right) & -\frac{N}{8} & -\frac{N^2 - 4}{8N} \\ 0 & -\frac{N^2 - 4}{8N} & -\frac{N^2 - 4}{8N} \end{pmatrix},
$$
(A.8e)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1434}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{4} \left(2 - N^2 \right) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(2 - N^2 \right) & -\frac{N}{8} & \frac{N^2 - 4}{8N} \\ 0 & \frac{N^2 - 4}{8N} & -\frac{N^2 - 4}{8N} \end{pmatrix},
$$
(A.8f)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{3434}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(N^2-1)^2}{2N} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{4N} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{N^2-4}{4N^3} \end{pmatrix},
$$
(A.8g)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1414}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} N & -\frac{N^2}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \left(N^2 - 4 \right) \\ -\frac{N^2}{4} & \frac{1}{8} N \left(N^2 + 2 \right) & -\frac{1}{8} N \left(N^2 - 4 \right) \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(N^2 - 4 \right) & -\frac{1}{8} N \left(N^2 - 4 \right) & \frac{N^3}{8} - \frac{3N}{4} + \frac{1}{N} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.8h}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{1313}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \frac{N^2}{4} & \frac{1}{4} (N^2 - 4) \\ \frac{N^2}{4} & \frac{1}{8} N (N^2 + 2) & \frac{1}{8} N (N^2 - 4) \\ \frac{1}{4} (N^2 - 4) & \frac{1}{8} N (N^2 - 4) & \frac{N^3}{8} - \frac{3N}{4} + \frac{1}{N} \end{pmatrix},
$$
(A.8i)

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{3333}^{(2S)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(N^2-1)^2}{2N} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{(N^2-1)^2}{4N} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(N^2-4)(N^2-1)^2}{4N^3} \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (A.8j)

All the other $w_{ijkl}^{(2S)}$ matrices can be derived from the above set by using the relations [\(A.4\)](#page-45-3).

As for the antisymmetric configurations, $w_{ijk}^{(2A)}$, there is only one independent matrix per channel, which we choose to be $w_{123}^{(2A)}$. In the $q\bar{q}$ channel it reads

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{123}^{(2A)} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(N^2 - 1) \\ N^2 - 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.9}
$$

and in the gg channel

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{123}^{(2A)} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -N^2 & 0 \\ N^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{A.10}
$$

All the other antisymmetric matrices can be obtained as combinations of the above and $w_{ij}^{(1)}$, using colour conservation [\(A.2\)](#page-45-4), together with the relation

$$
f^{abc}T_i^bT_i^c = \frac{i}{2}C_A T_i^a. \tag{A.11}
$$

B Cusp angles

The amplitudes are functions of Lorentz invariants [\[62\]](#page-56-4)

$$
s_{ij} \equiv 2\sigma_{ij}p_i \cdot p_j + i\epsilon \,,\tag{B.1}
$$

and

$$
p_i^2 = m_i^2, \tag{B.2}
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} +1 \text{ if } p_i, p_j \text{ are both incoming or outgoing} \\ -1 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
 (B.3)

For massive particles we define velocities

$$
v_i \equiv \frac{p_i}{m_i}, \qquad v_i^2 = 1. \tag{B.4}
$$

To this end, we label massless particles with lowercase i, j, \ldots and massive ones with capital indices I, J, \ldots

The soft anomalous dimension of eq. [\(2.66\)](#page-12-2) is a function of cusp angles, β_{ij} , β_{Ij} and β_{IJ} , formed by massless and/or massive Wilson lines. They read [\[62\]](#page-56-4)

$$
\beta_{ij} = \ln \frac{-2\sigma_{ij} p_i \cdot p_j \mu^2}{(-p_i^2)(-p_j^2)} = L_i + L_j - \ln \frac{\mu^2}{-s_{ij}},
$$
\n(B.5a)

$$
\beta_{Ij} = \ln \frac{-2\sigma_{Ij} v_I \cdot p_j \mu}{(-p_j^2)} = L_j - \ln \frac{m_I \mu}{-s_{Ij}} ,\qquad (B.5b)
$$

$$
\beta_{IJ} = \operatorname{arccosh}(w_{IJ}) = \operatorname{arccosh}\left(\frac{-s_{IJ}}{2m_Im_J}\right),\tag{B.5c}
$$

where we have introduced

$$
L_i = \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\left(-p_i^2\right)},\tag{B.6}
$$

which comes from regularization of the IR divergences in the effective theory by taking massless partons slightly off-shell $(-p_i^2) > 0$ [\[58\]](#page-56-0). The logarithms L_i need to cancel in the final result for the anomalous dimension matrix.

 β_{IJ} in space-like kinematics. For space-like kinematics of heavy particles (e.g. a top quark incoming and a top quark outgoing), the cusp angle β_{IJ} is real. It can still, however, be chosen to be positive or negative, as the function arccosh has two branches, even for real argument.

The choice of the positive β_{IJ} has at least two advantages: (i) functions that appear in the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ contribution in eq. [\(C.11\)](#page-51-0), which we shall discuss in appendix [C,](#page-50-0) are real (in the space-like case) and do not require analytic continuation, as $0 < x < 1$ for $\text{Li}_{2,3}(x)$ and for ln(x), (ii), coth(β_{IJ}) has a physical interpretation of an inverse of a relative velocity between particles I and J , v_{IJ} , which reads

$$
\coth(\beta_{IJ}) = \frac{1}{v_{IJ}},\tag{B.7}
$$

where

$$
v_{IJ} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_t^4}{s_{IJ}^2}}.
$$
 (B.8)

The choice $\beta_{IJ} > 0$ implies $v_{IJ} > 0$ and this leads to the following relation between the latter and the β_t function introduced in eq. [\(2.11\)](#page-5-2)

$$
v_{IJ} = \frac{2\beta_t}{1 + \beta_t^2} \,. \tag{B.9}
$$

We notice that

$$
\beta_t = \sqrt{\frac{s-1}{s+1}}, \quad \text{where} \quad s = \frac{s_{IJ}}{2m_t^2}, \tag{B.10}
$$

which means that

space-like:
$$
s < -1
$$
 $\beta_t \in [1, \infty)$,
time-like: $s > 1$ $\beta_t \in [0, 1]$. (B.11)

We also note that $v_{IJ} \in [0, 1]$, both in the space-like and in the time-like case.

Eq. [\(B.7\)](#page-49-0) has a unique solution for the space-like case with $\beta_{IJ} > 0$ which reads

$$
\beta_{IJ} = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - v_{IJ}}{1 + v_{IJ}},
$$
\n(B.12)

and, with help of eq. [\(B.9\)](#page-49-1) it can be expressed as

$$
\beta_{IJ} = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\left(\frac{\beta_t - 1}{\beta_t + 1} \right)^2 \right] = \ln \left(\frac{\beta_t + 1}{\beta_t - 1} \right), \qquad \beta_t \in [1, \infty). \tag{B.13}
$$

 β_{IJ} in time-like kinematics. We would like to analytically continue β_{IJ} to the time-like region which corresponds to $s_{IJ} > 0$. The function in eq. [\(B.13\)](#page-49-2) has a cut at imaginary axis and, depending whether we cross it in the upper or the lower half-plane, we get a different result.

The convention is already established in eq. $(B.1)$. It implies that the invariant s_{IJ} has a small positive part which corresponds to analytic continuation over the upper half-plane. And this means that β_{IJ} acquires an imaginary part $-i\pi$ in the time-like region. The real part is identical to the one of the space-like kinematics and, at the end, we get

$$
\beta_{IJ} = \ln\left(\frac{1+\beta_t}{1-\beta_t}\right) - i\pi, \qquad \beta_t \in [0,1].
$$
\n(B.14)

C Anomalous dimensions and IR renormalization constant

The cusp anomalous dimension is given by the following perturbative series

$$
\Gamma_{\rm cusp}^{i}(\alpha_s) = \Gamma_0^i \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} + \Gamma_1^i \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^2 + \Gamma_2^i \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^3 + \dots,\tag{C.1}
$$

where

$$
\varGamma_n^i = C_i \gamma_n^{\text{cusp}} \qquad \text{with} \qquad C_i = \begin{cases} C_F & \text{for the } q\bar{q} \text{ channel,} \\ C_A & \text{for the } gg \text{ channel,} \end{cases} \tag{C.2}
$$

and the coefficients to three loops read [\[63\]](#page-56-5)

$$
\gamma_0^{\text{cusp}} = 4 ,
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_1^{\text{cusp}} = \left(\frac{268}{9} - \frac{4\pi^2}{3}\right) C_A - \frac{80}{9} T_F n_f ,
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_2^{\text{cusp}} = C_A^2 \left(\frac{490}{3} - \frac{536\pi^2}{27} + \frac{44\pi^4}{45} + \frac{88}{3}\zeta_3\right) + C_A T_F n_f \left(-\frac{1672}{27} + \frac{160\pi^2}{27} - \frac{224}{3}\zeta_3\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ C_F T_F n_f \left(-\frac{220}{3} + 64\zeta_3\right) - \frac{64}{27} T_F^2 n_f^2 .
$$
\n(C.3)

The QCD β function is given by

$$
\beta(\alpha_s) = -2\alpha_s \left[\beta_0 \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} + \ldots \right],\tag{C.4}
$$

where the leading coefficient for n_f flavours of the active, massless quarks, is

$$
\beta_0 = \frac{11}{3}C_A - \frac{4}{3}T_F n_f.
$$
\n(C.5)

The soft renormalization factor Z_s can be obtained from the hard renormalization factor Z , determined in ref. $[64]$, by discarding all terms proportional to derivatives of the anomalous dimension and by making the replacement $\mathcal{\Gamma}_n \to \gamma_n^s$. Up to the order α_s^2 , it reads

$$
\mathbf{Z}_s = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \frac{\gamma_0^s}{2\epsilon} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^2 \left[\frac{\gamma_0^s}{8\epsilon^2} \left(\gamma_0^s - 2\beta_0\right) + \frac{\gamma_1^s}{4\epsilon}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3). \tag{C.6}
$$

The soft anomalous dimension

$$
\gamma^s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^{n+1} \gamma_n^s, \tag{C.7}
$$

has been introduced in section [2.8,](#page-12-0) and its explicit result for processes involving massive particles reads [\[19\]](#page-53-11)

$$
\gamma_{q\bar{q}}^{s} = \left[C_F \gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\beta_{34}, \alpha_s) + 2\gamma^Q(\alpha_s) \right] \mathbb{1}
$$

+
$$
\frac{N}{2} \left[\gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) \left(\ln \frac{t_1^2}{M^2 m_t^2} + i\pi \right) - \gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\beta_{34}, \alpha_s) \right] \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

+
$$
\gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) \ln \frac{t_1^2}{u_1^2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{C_F}{2N} \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{N} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} g(\beta_{34}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{C_F}{2} \\ -N & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],
$$
 (C.8)

and

$$
\gamma_{gg}^{s} = \left[C_F \gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\beta_{34}, \alpha_s) + 2\gamma^Q(\alpha_s) \right] \mathbb{1} \n+ \frac{N}{2} \left[\gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) \left(\ln \frac{t_1^2}{M^2 m_t^2} + i\pi \right) - \gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\beta_{34}, \alpha_s) \right] \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \n+ \gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) \ln \frac{t_1^2}{u_1^2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & -\frac{N}{4} & \frac{N^2 - 4}{4N} \\ 0 & \frac{N}{4} & -\frac{N}{4} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} g(\beta_{34}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{N}{2} & 0 \\ -N & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].
$$
\n(C.9)

In order to fully determine the above objects, we need the single-particle massive anomalous dimensions for heavy quarks [\[58,](#page-56-0) [62\]](#page-56-4)

$$
\gamma_0^Q = -2C_F ,
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_1^Q = C_F C_A \left(-\frac{98}{9} + \frac{2\pi^2}{3} - 4\zeta_3 \right) + \frac{40}{9} C_F T_F n_f ,
$$
\n(C.10)

as well as the velocity-dependent anomalous dimensions [\[33,](#page-54-10) [62,](#page-56-4) [65](#page-56-7)[–68\]](#page-56-8)

$$
\gamma_0^{\text{cusp}}(\beta) = \gamma_0^{\text{cusp}} \beta \coth \beta ,
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_1^{\text{cusp}}(\beta) = \gamma_1^{\text{cusp}} \beta \coth \beta + 8C_A \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \zeta_3 + \beta^2
$$

\n
$$
+ \coth^2 \beta \left[\text{Li}_3(e^{-2\beta}) + \beta \text{Li}_2(e^{-2\beta}) - \zeta_3 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \beta + \frac{\beta^3}{3} \right] + \coth \beta \left[\text{Li}_2(e^{-2\beta}) - 2\beta \ln(1 - e^{-2\beta}) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} (1 + \beta) - \beta^2 - \frac{\beta^3}{3} \right] \right\}, \quad (C.11)
$$

and the function

$$
g(\beta) = \coth \beta \left[\beta^2 + 2\beta \ln(1 - e^{-2\beta}) - \text{Li}_2(e^{-2\beta}) + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right] - \beta^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{6}.
$$
 (C.12)

The velocity-dependent cusp anomalous dimensions $\gamma^{\text{cusp}}(\beta_{34})$ requires careful treatment. It is unambiguously defined for space-like kinematics, in which case the function given in eq. [\(C.11\)](#page-51-0) is real. In the time-like kinematics, however, $\gamma^{\text{cusp}}(\beta_{34})$ has to be analytically continued to the region $\beta \in [0,1]$, as discussed in appendix [B.](#page-49-3)

It turns out that the functions coth, ln and $Li_{2,3}$, appearing in eqs. [\(C.11\)](#page-51-0) and [\(C.12\)](#page-51-1), do not develop discontinuities when going from the space-like to the time-like case. Hence, in those functions, we just substitute $\beta_{34} \rightarrow \ln \left(\frac{1+\beta_t}{1-\beta_t} \right)$ $1-\beta_t$). And then, wherever β_{34} appears in a coefficient, we use eq. $(B.14)$. At the end, we obtain

$$
\gamma_0^{\text{cusp}}(\beta_t) = -2 \frac{1 + \beta_t^2}{\beta_t} \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t} \right) + i\pi \right\},\tag{C.13}
$$

$$
\gamma_1^{\text{cusp}}(\beta_t) = \frac{1}{9\beta_t^2} \left\{ 30 C_A \pi^2 \beta_t (1 - \beta_t)^2 + \ln \left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t} \right) \left[72 C_A \beta_t (1 + \beta_t^2) \ln \left(\frac{4\beta_t}{(1 + \beta_t)^2} \right) \right] \right\}
$$

$$
+ (1 + \beta_t^2) \left[C_A (3 \pi^2 (\beta_t (5 \beta_t - 8) + 5) - 134 \beta_t) + 40 T_F n_f \beta_t \right]
$$

\n
$$
- 6 C_A (1 - \beta_t)^2 \ln \left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t} \right) \left[6 \beta_t + (1 + \beta_t^2) \ln \left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t} \right) \right]
$$

\n
$$
+ 18 C_A \left((1 + \beta_t^2)^2 \text{Li}_3 \left[\left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t} \right)^2 \right] - (1 - \beta_t^2)^2 \zeta(3)
$$

\n
$$
- (1 + \beta_t^2) \left[(1 + \beta_t^2) \ln \left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t} \right) - 2, \beta_t \right] \text{Li}_2 \left[\left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t} \right)^2 \right] \right) \}
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{i \pi}{9 \beta_t^2} \left\{ 72 C_A \beta_t (1 + \beta_t^2) \ln \left(\frac{4 \beta_t}{(1 + \beta_t)^2} \right) - 18 C_A (1 + \beta_t^2)^2 \text{Li}_2 \left[\left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t} \right)^2 \right]
$$

\n
$$
- 18 C_A (1 - \beta_t)^2 \ln \left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t} \right) \left[4 \beta_t + (1 + \beta_t^2) \ln \left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t} \right) \right]
$$

\n
$$
+ (1 + \beta_t^2) \left[C_A (3 \pi^2 (1 + \beta_t^2) - 134 \beta_t) + 40 T_F n_f \beta_t \right] \right\}, \qquad (C.14)
$$

and

$$
g(\beta_t) = -\frac{1}{\beta_t} \left\{ \left(1 + \beta_t^2\right) \ln \left(\frac{4\beta_t}{(1 + \beta_t)^2}\right) \ln \left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t}\right) + (1 - \beta_t)^2 \left(\frac{5\pi^2}{12} - \ln \left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t}\right)\right) \right\} \tag{C.15}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \beta_t^2\right) \text{Li}_2\left[\left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t}\right)^2\right] \right\} + \frac{i\pi}{\beta_t} \left\{ (1 - \beta_t)^2 \ln \left(\frac{1 - \beta_t}{1 + \beta_t}\right) - (1 + \beta_t^2) \ln \left(\frac{4\beta_t}{(1 + \beta_t)^2}\right) \right\}.
$$

The functions given explicitly in this appendix, together with the result for the NLO soft function, eq. [\(3.5\)](#page-16-1), allow for the complete determination of all the poles and all L_1 dependent terms of the NNLO soft function, following the discussion in section [2.8.](#page-12-0)

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(CC-BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] U. Husemann, Top-quark physics: status and prospects, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2017.03.002) 95 (2017) 48 [[arXiv:1704.01356](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.01356)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.,95,48%22)].
- [2] D. Buttazzo et al., Investigating the near-criticality of the Higgs boson, JHEP 12 [\(2013\) 089](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2013)089) [[arXiv:1307.3536](https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.3536)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1312,089%22)].
- [3] M. Czakon and A. Mitov, *NNLO corrections to top pair production at hadron colliders: the* quark-gluon reaction, JHEP 01 [\(2013\) 080](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2013)080) [[arXiv:1210.6832](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.6832)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1301,080%22)].
- [4] M. Czakon and A. Mitov, NNLO corrections to top-pair production at hadron colliders: the all-fermionic scattering channels, JHEP 12 [\(2012\) 054](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2012)054) [[arXiv:1207.0236](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.0236)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1212,054%22)].
- [5] M. Czakon, P. Fiedler and A. Mitov, Total top-quark pair-production cross section at hadron colliders through $O(\alpha_S^4)$, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.252004) 110 (2013) 252004 [[arXiv:1303.6254](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.6254)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.Lett.,110,252004%22)].
- [6] M. Czakon, D. Heymes and A. Mitov, High-precision differential predictions for top-quark pairs at the LHC, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.082003) 116 (2016) 082003 [[arXiv:1511.00549](https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.00549)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.Lett.,116,082003%22)].
- [7] M. Czakon, P. Fiedler, D. Heymes and A. Mitov, NNLO QCD predictions for fully-differential top-quark pair production at the Tevatron, JHEP 05 [\(2016\) 034](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2016)034) [[arXiv:1601.05375](https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.05375)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1605,034%22)].
- [8] M. Czakon, A novel subtraction scheme for double-real radiation at NNLO, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.08.036) **B** 693 [\(2010\) 259](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.08.036) [[arXiv:1005.0274](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.0274)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Lett.,B693,259%22)].
- [9] M. Czakon, Double-real radiation in hadronic top quark pair production as a proof of a certain concept, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.03.020) B 849 (2011) 250 [[arXiv:1101.0642](https://arxiv.org/abs/1101.0642)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B849,250%22)].
- [10] M. Czakon and D. Heymes, Four-dimensional formulation of the sector-improved residue subtraction scheme, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.11.006) **B** 890 (2014) 152 $\left[$ [arXiv:1408.2500](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.2500) $\right]$ [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B890,152%22)].
- [11] R. Bonciani, S. Catani, M. Grazzini, H. Sargsyan and A. Torre, The q_T subtraction method for top quark production at hadron colliders, [Eur. Phys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3793-y) C 75 (2015) 581 [[arXiv:1508.03585](https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.03585)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Eur.Phys.J.,C75,581%22)].
- [12] G. Abelof, A. Gehrmann-De Ridder and I. Majer, Top quark pair production at NNLO in the quark-antiquark channel, JHEP 12 [\(2015\) 074](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2015)074) [[arXiv:1506.04037](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.04037)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1512,074%22)].
- [13] A. Broggio, A.S. Papanastasiou and A. Signer, Renormalization-group improved fully differential cross sections for top pair production, JHEP 10 [\(2014\) 098](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2014)098) $\ar{xiv:1407.2532}$ [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1407.2532)].
- [14] W. Beenakker, A. Denner, W. Hollik, R. Mertig, T. Sack and D. Wackeroth, Electroweak one loop contributions to top pair production in hadron colliders, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90454-5) **B 411** (1994) 343 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B411,343%22)].
- [15] W. Bernreuther, M. Fuecker and Z.-G. Si, Weak interaction corrections to hadronic top quark pair production, Phys. Rev. D 74 [\(2006\) 113005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.113005) [[hep-ph/0610334](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0610334)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.,D74,113005%22)].
- [16] M. Czakon, D. Heymes, A. Mitov, D. Pagani, I. Tsinikos and M. Zaro, Top-pair production at the LHC through NNLO QCD and NLO EW, JHEP 10 [\(2017\) 186](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)186) [[arXiv:1705.04105](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.04105)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1710,186%22)].
- [17] M. Czakon, A. Mitov and G.F. Sterman, Threshold resummation for top-pair hadroproduction to next-to-next-to-leading log, Phys. Rev. \bf{D} 80 [\(2009\) 074017](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.074017) [[arXiv:0907.1790](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.1790)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.,D80,074017%22)].
- [18] M. Beneke, P. Falgari and C. Schwinn, Soft radiation in heavy-particle pair production: all-order colour structure and two-loop anomalous dimension, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.11.004) B 828 (2010) 69 [[arXiv:0907.1443](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.1443)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B828,69%22)].
- [19] V. Ahrens, A. Ferroglia, M. Neubert, B.D. Pecjak and L.L. Yang, Renormalization-group improved predictions for top-quark pair production at hadron colliders, JHEP 09 [\(2010\) 097](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2010)097) [[arXiv:1003.5827](https://arxiv.org/abs/1003.5827)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1009,097%22)].
- [20] N. Kidonakis, Next-to-next-to-leading soft-gluon corrections for the top quark cross section and transverse momentum distribution, Phys. Rev. $\bf{D} 82$ [\(2010\) 114030](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.114030) [[arXiv:1009.4935](https://arxiv.org/abs/1009.4935)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.,D82,114030%22)].
- [21] M. Cacciari, M. Czakon, M. Mangano, A. Mitov and P. Nason, Top-pair production at hadron colliders with next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic soft-gluon resummation, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.03.013) B 710 [\(2012\) 612](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.03.013) [[arXiv:1111.5869](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.5869)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Lett.,B710,612%22)].
- [22] B.D. Pecjak, D.J. Scott, X. Wang and L.L. Yang, Resummed differential cross sections for top-quark pairs at the LHC, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.202001) 116 (2016) 202001 [[arXiv:1601.07020](https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.07020)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.Lett.,116,202001%22)].
- [23] M. Czakon et al., Resummation for (boosted) top-quark pair production at NNLO+NNLL' in QCD, JHEP 05 [\(2018\) 149](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2018)149) [[arXiv:1803.07623](https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.07623)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1805,149%22)].
- [24] J. Piclum and C. Schwinn, Soft-gluon and Coulomb corrections to hadronic top-quark pair production beyond NNLO, JHEP 03 [\(2018\) 164](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2018)164) arXiv:1801.05788 arXiv:1801.05788 arXiv:1801.05788 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1803,164%22)].
- [25] H.T. Li, C.S. Li, D.Y. Shao, L.L. Yang and H.X. Zhu, Top quark pair production at small transverse momentum in hadronic collisions, Phys. Rev. $\bf{D} 88$ [\(2013\) 074004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.074004) [[arXiv:1307.2464](https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.2464)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.,D88,074004%22)].
- [26] S. Catani, M. Grazzini and A. Torre, Transverse-momentum resummation for heavy-quark hadroproduction, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.11.019) B 890 (2014) 518 $arXiv:1408.4564$ [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B890,518%22)].
- [27] S. Catani, M. Grazzini and H. Sargsyan, Transverse-momentum resummation for top-quark pair production at the LHC, $arXiv:1806.01601$ [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1806.01601)].
- [28] S. Catani and M. Grazzini, An NNLO subtraction formalism in hadron collisions and its application to Higgs boson production at the LHC, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.222002) 98 (2007) 222002 [[hep-ph/0703012](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0703012)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.Lett.,98,222002%22)].
- [29] T. Becher, A. Broggio and A. Ferroglia, Introduction to soft-collinear effective theory, [Lect.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14848-9) [Notes Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14848-9) 896 (2015) pp.1 [[arXiv:1410.1892](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.1892)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1410.1892)].
- [30] B. Jantzen, Foundation and generalization of the expansion by regions, JHEP 12 [\(2011\) 076](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2011)076) [[arXiv:1111.2589](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.2589)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1112,076%22)].
- [31] A. Ferroglia, B.D. Pecjak, L.L. Yang, B.D. Pecjak and L.L. Yang, The NNLO soft function for the pair invariant mass distribution of boosted top quarks, JHEP 10 [\(2012\) 180](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)180) [[arXiv:1207.4798](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.4798)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1210,180%22)].
- [32] G. Wang, X. Xu, L.L. Yang and H.X. Zhu, The next-to-next-to-leading order soft function for top quark pair production, JHEP 06 [\(2018\) 013](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2018)013) arXiv:1804.05218 arXiv:1804.05218 arXiv:1804.05218 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1806,013%22)].
- [33] A. Ferroglia, M. Neubert, B.D. Pecjak and L.L. Yang, Two-loop divergences of massive scattering amplitudes in non-Abelian gauge theories, JHEP 11 [\(2009\) 062](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/11/062) [[arXiv:0908.3676](https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.3676)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,0911,062%22)].
- [34] T. Gehrmann, T. Lubbert and L.L. Yang, Transverse parton distribution functions at next-to-next-to-leading order: the quark-to-quark case, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.242003)* 109 (2012) 242003 [[arXiv:1209.0682](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.0682)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.Lett.,109,242003%22)].
- [35] T. Gehrmann, T. Luebbert and L.L. Yang, Calculation of the transverse parton distribution functions at next-to-next-to-leading order, JHEP 06 [\(2014\) 155](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2014)155) [[arXiv:1403.6451](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.6451)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1406,155%22)].
- [36] M. Czakon, Tops from light quarks: full mass dependence at two-loops in QCD, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.05.028) B 664 [\(2008\) 307](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.05.028) [[arXiv:0803.1400](https://arxiv.org/abs/0803.1400)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Lett.,B664,307%22)].
- [37] P. Bärnreuther, M. Czakon and P. Fiedler, Virtual amplitudes and threshold behaviour of hadronic top-quark pair-production cross sections, JHEP 02 [\(2014\) 078](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2014)078) [[arXiv:1312.6279](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6279)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1402,078%22)].
- [38] T. Becher, M. Neubert and G. Xu, Dynamical threshold enhancement and resummation in Drell-Yan production, JHEP 07 [\(2008\) 030](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/07/030) arXiv:0710.0680 arXiv:0710.0680 arXiv:0710.0680 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,0807,030%22)].
- [39] M. Czakon and P. Fiedler, The soft function for color octet production at threshold, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2013.12.008) Phys. B 879 [\(2014\) 236](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2013.12.008) [[arXiv:1311.2541](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.2541)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B879,236%22)].
- [40] S. Catani and M.H. Seymour, A general algorithm for calculating jet cross-sections in NLO QCD, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00589-5) B 485 (1997) 291 [Erratum ibid. B 510 (1998) 503] [[hep-ph/9605323](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9605323)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B485,291%22)].
- [41] T. Becher and G. Bell, Analytic regularization in soft-collinear effective theory, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.05.016) B 713 [\(2012\) 41](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.05.016) [[arXiv:1112.3907](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.3907)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Lett.,B713,41%22)].
- [42] H.X. Zhu, C.S. Li, H.T. Li, D.Y. Shao and L.L. Yang, Transverse-momentum resummation for top-quark pairs at hadron colliders, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.082001) 110 (2013) 082001 [[arXiv:1208.5774](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.5774)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.Lett.,110,082001%22)].
- [43] T. Becher and M. Neubert, *Drell-Yan production at small* q_T , *transverse parton distributions* and the collinear anomaly, [Eur. Phys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1665-7) C 71 (2011) 1665 [[arXiv:1007.4005](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.4005)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Eur.Phys.J.,C71,1665%22)].
- [44] T. Becher, M. Neubert and D. Wilhelm, Higgs-boson production at small transverse momentum, JHEP 05 [\(2013\) 110](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2013)110) [[arXiv:1212.2621](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.2621)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,1305,110%22)].
- [45] A. Adler, Soft effects in transverse spectra at hadron colliders, Master thesis, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany, (2015).
- [46] S. Catani and M. Grazzini, Infrared factorization of tree level QCD amplitudes at the next-to-next-to-leading order and beyond, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00778-6) **B** 570 (2000) 287 [[hep-ph/9908523](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9908523)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B570,287%22)].
- [47] I. Bierenbaum, M. Czakon and A. Mitov, The singular behavior of one-loop massive QCD amplitudes with one external soft gluon, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.11.002) **B** 856 (2012) 228 [[arXiv:1107.4384](https://arxiv.org/abs/1107.4384)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B856,228%22)].
- [48] M.L. Czakon and A. Mitov, A simplified expression for the one-loop soft-gluon current with massive fermions, $arXiv:1804.02069$ [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1804.02069)].
- [49] A.V. Kotikov, Differential equations method: new technique for massive Feynman diagrams calculation, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90413-K) \bf{B} 254 (1991) 158 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Lett.,B254,158%22)].
- [50] E. Remiddi, Differential equations for Feynman graph amplitudes, Nuovo Cim. A 110 (1997) 1435 [[hep-th/9711188](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9711188)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22NuovoCim.,A110,1435%22)].
- [51] G. Passarino and M.J.G. Veltman, One loop corrections for e^+e^- annihilation into $\mu^+\mu^-$ in the Weinberg model, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(79)90234-7) **B** 160 (1979) 151 INSPIRE INSPIRE INSPIRE .
- [52] C. Anastasiou and K. Melnikov, Higgs boson production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00837-4) B 646 (2002) 220 [[hep-ph/0207004](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0207004)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B646,220%22)].
- [53] M. Czakon, *IdSolver*, private.
- [54] S. Laporta, High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations, [Int. J. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0217-751X(00)00215-7) A 15 (2000) 5087 [[hep-ph/0102033](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0102033)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Int.J.Mod.Phys.,A15,5087%22)].
- [55] B. Ruijl, T. Ueda and J. Vermaseren, FORM version 4.2, (2017).
- [56] R.H. Lewis, Computer algebra system Fermat webpage, [http://home.bway.net/lewis.](http://home.bway.net/lewis)
- [57] S. Catani and M. Grazzini, The soft gluon current at one loop order, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00572-1) **B 591** [\(2000\) 435](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00572-1) [[hep-ph/0007142](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0007142)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B591,435%22)].
- [58] T. Becher and M. Neubert, On the structure of infrared singularities of gauge-theory amplitudes, JHEP 06 [\(2009\) 081](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/06/081) [Erratum ibid. 11 (2013) 024] [[arXiv:0903.1126](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.1126)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22JHEP,0906,081%22)].
- [59] S.M. Aybat, L.J. Dixon and G.F. Sterman, The two-loop anomalous dimension matrix for soft gluon exchange, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.072001) 97 (2006) 072001 [[hep-ph/0606254](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0606254)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.Lett.,97,072001%22)].
- [60] T. Hahn, CUBA: a library for multidimensional numerical integration, [Comput. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2005.01.010) [Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2005.01.010) 168 (2005) 78 [[hep-ph/0404043](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0404043)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Comput.Phys.Commun.,168,78%22)].
- [61] T. Hahn, *Concurrent Cuba, [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/608/1/012066)* **608** (2015) 012066 [[arXiv:1408.6373](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.6373)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22J.Phys.Conf.Ser.,608,012066%22)].
- [62] T. Becher and M. Neubert, Infrared singularities of QCD amplitudes with massive partons, Phys. Rev. **D 79** [\(2009\) 125004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.125004) [Erratum ibid. **D 80** (2009) 109901] [[arXiv:0904.1021](https://arxiv.org/abs/0904.1021)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.,D79,125004%22)].
- [63] S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, The three loop splitting functions in QCD: the nonsinglet case, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.03.030) \bf{B} 688 (2004) 101 [[hep-ph/0403192](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0403192)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B688,101%22)].
- [64] T. Becher and M. Neubert, Infrared singularities of scattering amplitudes in perturbative QCD, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.162001) 102 (2009) 162001 [Erratum ibid. 111 (2013) 199905] [[arXiv:0901.0722](https://arxiv.org/abs/0901.0722)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.Lett.,102,162001%22)].
- [65] A. Ferroglia, M. Neubert, B.D. Pecjak and L.L. Yang, Two-loop divergences of scattering amplitudes with massive partons, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.201601) 103 (2009) 201601 [[arXiv:0907.4791](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.4791)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.Lett.,103,201601%22)].
- [66] G.P. Korchemsky and A.V. Radyushkin, Renormalization of the Wilson loops beyond the leading order, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(87)90277-X) **B 283** (1987) 342 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B283,342%22)].
- [67] G.P. Korchemsky and A.V. Radyushkin, Infrared factorization, Wilson lines and the heavy quark limit, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(92)90405-S) **B 279** (1992) 359 [[hep-ph/9203222](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9203222)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Lett.,B279,359%22)].
- [68] N. Kidonakis, Two-loop soft anomalous dimensions and NNLL resummation for heavy quark production, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.232003)* **102** (2009) 232003 [[arXiv:0903.2561](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.2561)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.Lett.,102,232003%22)].