Published for SISSA by 🖗 Springer

RECEIVED: April 21, 2022 ACCEPTED: July 2, 2022 PUBLISHED: August 4, 2022

# Spontaneously broken supersymmetric fracton phases with fermionic subsystem symmetries

# Hosho Katsura $^{a,b,c}$ and Yu Nakayama $^d$

<sup>d</sup>Department of Physics, Rikkyo University,

Tokyo, Japan

*E-mail:* katsura@phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp, yu.nakayama@rikkyo.ac.jp

ABSTRACT: We construct a purely fermionic system with spontaneously broken supersymmetry that shares the common feature with a fracton phase of matter. Our model is gapless due to the Nambu-Goldstone mechanism. It shows a ground-state degeneracy with the "Area-law" entropy due to fermionic subsystem symmetries. In the strongly coupled limit, it becomes a variant of the Nicolai model, and we conjecture that the ground-state degeneracy shows the "Volume-law" entropy. Gauging the fermionic subsystem symmetry has an t'Hooft anomaly by itself, but the would-be gauged theory may possess a fermionic defect that is immobile in certain spatial directions.

KEYWORDS: Anomalies in Field and String Theories, Field Theories in Lower Dimensions, Supersymmetry Breaking

ARXIV EPRINT: 2204.01924



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo,

<sup>7-3-1</sup> Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Institute for Physics of Intelligence, The University of Tokyo,

<sup>7-3-1</sup> Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Trans-scale Quantum Science Institute, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

#### Contents

1	Introduction	1
<b>2</b>	Continuum Model	2
3	Lattice model and ground-state degeneracy	5
4	Other models	8
5	(Anomalous) Gauging and defect operators	9
6	Discussions	10
Α	Superfield formalism	11
в	Gauging bosonic/fermionic shift symmetry in quantum mechanics	13

# 1 Introduction

Ordinary matter has extensive entropy at finite temperature, which is proportional to the whole volume of the system, while it has zero entropy at zero temperature, satisfying the third law of the thermodynamics. Certain peculiar matter (e.g. in the glassy phase) shows non-zero entropy at zero temperature that is proportional to the volume. There exists, however, even more peculiar matter which shows the "Area-law" entropy at zero temperature (or more generally entropy which is proportional to the size of subsystems with various co-dimensions). One of the most well-known, yet mysterious, such examples is a black hole [1].<sup>1</sup> Another example is a ground-state entropy of the fracton phase of matter [2–5]. A fracton is an extraordinarily peculiar excitation and has attracted a lot of attention these days. See e.g. [6–8] for reviews.

In the fracton phase of matter, the origin of the "Area-law" entropy can be attributed to the so-called subsystem symmetry [9–20]. The Noether charge for ordinary symmetry (in d dimensional space) is obtained by integrating the charge density over the whole volume:  $Q_0 = \int d^d x \rho$  while that for the subsystem symmetry is obtained by integrating the charge density only over codimension q subsystems  $Q_q = \int d^{d-q} x \rho$ . A subsystem symmetry can be regarded as infinitely many copies of ordinary symmetries and it results in a huge degeneracy in the energy spectrum of the system.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Uncharged black holes have zero entropy at zero temperature satisfying the third law of thermodynamics, but charged black holes have zero-temperature entropy that is proportional to the area of the horizon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Depending on the nature of the subsystem symmetry, the zero-temperature entropy may be proportional to the size of subsystems with various co-dimensions. For instance, the X-cube model in 1 + 3 dimension has perimeter-law entropy.

Although many realizations of subsystem symmetries have been studied (both in lattice models and in continuum field theories) in the context of fracton physics, the construction of a fermionic fracton has been a challenging issue. In [25], they proposed a supersymmetric field theory that has a fermionic subsystem symmetry. In this paper, we construct a purely fermionic model with spontaneously broken supersymmetry that shares common feature with a fracton phase of matter.<sup>3</sup>

Our model has one parameter, and the weak coupling limit gives a tight-binding model of free fermions on a two-dimensional lattice with a peculiar dispersion relation  $E = |k_x k_y|$ with respect to the wavenumber  $k_x$  and  $k_y$ . In the strongly coupled limit, our model becomes a two-dimensional variant of the Nicolai model [28]. The Nicolai model is a supersymmetric lattice model whose Hamiltonian is constructed purely out of fermionic creation and annihilation operators. The ground states on the chain are exponentially degenerate with respect to the system size, and the structure of ground states has been extensively studied in the literature [29–31]. When the coupling constants are randomly generated, it may also be regarded as a supersymmetric analogue of the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model [34–37]. Our model, therefore, interpolates between a fracton phase of matter and a higher-dimensional variant of the Nicolai model.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we construct a purely fermionic system with spontaneously broken supersymmetry that shares common features with a fracton phase of matter as a continuum field theory. In section 3, we present a lattice regularization and study the ground state degeneracy. In section 4, we discuss some related models. In section 5, we study gauging of the fermionic subsystem symmetry in relation to the defect operators. In section 6, we conclude with some discussions. The paper has two appendices. In appendix A, we review the superfield formalism, and in appendix B, we present some general aspects of gauging shift symmetries.

#### 2 Continuum Model

Let us consider a continuum field theory in d = 1 + 2 dimensions  $(t, \vec{x}) = (t, x, y)$  with a complex supercharge

$$Q = \int d^2x \, \left(g\chi + \chi \partial_x \chi \partial_y \psi\right), \qquad (2.1)$$

where  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  are complex fermions with the canonical (equal-time) anti-commutation relations:  $\{\chi^{\dagger}(\vec{x}), \chi(\vec{x}')\} = \{\psi^{\dagger}(\vec{x}), \psi(\vec{x}')\} = \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$  and  $\{\chi(\vec{x}), \chi(\vec{x}')\} = \{\chi(\vec{x}), \psi(\vec{x}')\} = \{\psi(\vec{x}), \psi(\vec{x}')\} = \{\chi^{\dagger}(\vec{x}), \psi(\vec{x}')\} = 0.$ 

We define the supersymmetric Hamiltonian as the anti-commutator of the complex supercharges:

$$H = Q^{\dagger}Q + QQ^{\dagger}$$
  
=  $\int d^{2}x \left(g^{2} + g\left(\chi^{\dagger}\partial_{x}\partial_{y}\psi^{\dagger} - \chi\partial_{x}\partial_{y}\psi\right) + H_{\text{int}}\right),$  (2.2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Note that our effective field theory description, similar to those in [21-23, 25-27], will not include a "fracton" as a dynamical degree of freedom, but it appears as a probe defect.

where  $H_{\text{int}}$  is the four-fermi interaction:

$$H_{\rm int} = -\left(\partial_y(\chi^{\dagger}\partial_x\chi^{\dagger})\partial_y(\chi\partial_x\chi) + (\partial_x\chi\partial_y\psi)(\partial_x\chi^{\dagger}\partial_y\psi^{\dagger}) + \partial_x(\chi\partial_y\psi)(\partial_x\chi^{\dagger}\partial_y\psi^{\dagger}) + \partial_x(\chi^{\dagger}\partial_y\psi^{\dagger})(\partial_x\chi\partial_y\psi) + \partial_x(\chi\partial_y\psi)\partial_x(\chi^{\dagger}\partial_y\psi^{\dagger})\right)$$
(2.3)

so that the supercharges are conserved  $[H,Q] = [H,Q^{\dagger}] = 0$ . The conservation is a direct consequence of the nilpotent property of the supercharges:  $\{Q,Q\} = \{Q^{\dagger},Q^{\dagger}\} = 0$ , which can be shown from (2.1).

By construction, this model is supersymmetric although we have only fermionic canonical fields. It turns out that the supersymmetry Q (as well as  $Q^{\dagger}$ ) is spontaneously broken when  $g \neq 0$ . There, a superpartner of a fermionic particle is a pair of the fermionic particle itself and a Numbu-Goldstone fermion.

This model has a fermionic subsystem symmetry (or kinematic supersymmetry):

$$\psi(\vec{x}) \to \psi(\vec{x}) + \xi(x) \,, \tag{2.4}$$

where the fermionic parameter  $\xi(x)$  depends only on the x coordinate but not on the y coordinate. The corresponding charges are given by

$$q_y^{\dagger}(x) = \int dy \,\psi^{\dagger}(\vec{x}) \,, \qquad (2.5)$$

where the integration is over only the y coordinate. These charges are parametrized by the coordinate x, and they are all conserved because  $\partial_t \psi = \partial_y(\ldots)$  from the equations of motion. In the infinite g limit, our model has another fermionic subsystem symmetry:

$$\psi(\vec{x}) \to \psi(\vec{x}) + \zeta(y) \,, \tag{2.6}$$

where the fermionic parameter  $\zeta(y)$  depends on the y coordinate but not on the x coordinate. This subsystem symmetry, however, is explicitly broken by the four-fermi interaction  $H_{\text{int}}$ .

This model also possesses the U(1) fermion number symmetry:

$$\begin{split} \chi &\to e^{i\phi}\chi \,, \\ \psi &\to e^{-i\phi}\psi \,. \end{split} \tag{2.7}$$

It is generated by the Noether charge

$$Q_{\mathrm{U}(1)} = \int d^2x \left( \chi^{\dagger} \chi - \psi^{\dagger} \psi \right) \ . \tag{2.8}$$

Other discrete symmetries include x-parity symmetry:  $x \to -x$ ,  $y \to y$  with  $\chi \to \chi$ and  $\psi \to -\psi$ , y-parity symmetry:  $x \to x$ ,  $y \to -y$  with  $\chi \to \chi$ ,  $\psi \to -\psi$  as well as charge conjugation (or particle-hole) symmetry:  $\chi \to \chi^{\dagger}$ ,  $\psi \to \psi^{\dagger}$ . Note that in this model x and y coordinates are treated differently as we see in  $H_{\text{int}}$ , and there is no  $\pi/2$  rotation symmetry (which is sometimes common in a fracton phase of matter [22]). Our supercharge and hence Hamiltonian is stable in the sense that there is no other term consistent with the above symmetry without adding more derivatives.

Our model may be regarded as a two-dimensional generalization of the extended Nicolai model [32] equipped with the fermionic subsystem symmetry. In the g = 0 limit, it may be regarded as a two-dimensional generalization of the original Nicolai model.

We would like to note here that  $g^{-1}$  plays the role of the coupling constant of the model. To see this, we rescale the time  $t \to \frac{t}{g}$  and observe that the action is equipped with the canonical kinetic terms with the four-fermi interaction proportional to  $g^{-1}$ :

$$I = \int d^2x \, dt \left( i\chi^{\dagger} \partial_t \chi + i\psi^{\dagger} \partial_t \psi - (\chi^{\dagger} \partial_x \partial_y \psi^{\dagger} - \chi \partial_x \partial_y \psi) - g - g^{-1} H_{\text{int}} \right) \,. \tag{2.9}$$

In the infinite g limit (i.e., free limit), the dispersion relation of  $\psi$  and  $\chi$  is  $E = |k_x k_y|$  in terms of the wave number  $k_x$  and  $k_y$ , and we have a ground-state degeneracy with the "Area-law" entropy (i.e.,  $S \propto \text{Area}$ ).<sup>4</sup> Around infinite g, naive dimension counting suggests  $\Delta[x] = -1$ ,  $\Delta[t] = -2$ ,  $\Delta[\chi] = \Delta[\psi] = 1$ , and  $\Delta[g^{-1}] = -4$ , so  $H_{\text{int}}$  is irrelevant in the renormalization group sense. We will, however, see how the ground state degeneracy is affected by  $H_{\text{int}}$  below.

Supersymmetry  $(Q \text{ and } Q^{\dagger})$  is spontaneously broken when  $g \neq 0$ . For large enough g, the Hamiltonian is dominated by the constant term  $\int d^2x g^2$  and the ground-state energy cannot be zero. From the anti-commutation relation  $\{Q^{\dagger}, Q\} = H$ , if the vacuum energy is non-zero, the supersymmetry must be spontaneously broken [38]. By using the holomorphy argument, we expect that the phase transition only occurs at g = 0 if any. Indeed, we can formally argue for the spontaneously breaking of the supercharge Q in the following way.

Let us introduce a local operator  $O^{\dagger}$ :

$$O^{\dagger} = \chi^{\dagger} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{g} (\partial_x \chi \partial_y \psi + \partial_x (\chi \partial_y \psi)) + \frac{4}{g^2} \chi \partial_x \chi \partial_y \psi \partial_x \partial_y \psi ) \right)$$
(2.10)

such that  $\{Q, O^{\dagger}\} = g$ . This implies that the supercharge Q is spontaneously broken for non-zero g because otherwise  $\langle 0|\{Q, O^{\dagger}\}|0\rangle = 0$ . The argument is valid as long as the regularization and the renormalization do not spoil the supersymmetry transformation  $\{Q, O_i^{\dagger}\} = g$ . We will discuss a lattice regularization in the next section.

The zero-energy excitation (from the dispersion relation  $E = |k_x k_y|$  in the infinite g limit) can be understood as a Nambu-Goldstone fermion  $\chi$  (or more precisely O introduced above) with  $k_x = k_y = 0$ . On the other hand, the zero-energy mode of  $\psi$  with  $k_y = 0, k_x \neq 0$  corresponds to Goldstone fermions for the fermionic subsystem symmetry (2.4). The two distinct Nambu-Goldstone fermions coincide at  $k_x = k_y = 0$  due to the kinetic mixing between  $\chi$  and  $\psi$ . The zero-energy mode of  $\psi$  with  $k_x = 0, k_y \neq 0$  is a consequence of the emergent fermionic subsystem symmetry (2.6) in the free limit and does not survive once we introduce the interaction with finite g.

For finite g, the interaction will gap some of the zero-energy excitations that are not protected by the fermionic subsystem symmetry. The zero-energy mode protected by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>By convention, "Area law" in *d* space dimensions means that the entropy is proportional to  $L^{d-1}$ , where *L* is the system size. In our d = 2 dimensional case, "Area law" actually means perimeter law:  $S \propto L_x + L_y$  (or in our case  $S \propto L_x$ ) as we will see in the next section.

fermionic subsystem symmetry is the  $k_y = 0$  mode. The ground-state entropy is smaller, but it is still proportional to  $L_x$  but is insensitive to  $L_y$  (once we put the theory on a finite box with length  $L_x$  and  $L_y$ ).

When g = 0, the model may be regarded as a variant of the Nicolai model [28] and we conjecture that the ground states are much more degenerate: the ground-state entropy shows the "Volume law":  $S \propto$  Volume  $\sim L_x L_y$ . One supersymmetric ground state is the Fock vacuum:  $\psi(\vec{x})|\Omega\rangle = \chi(\vec{x})|\Omega\rangle = 0$ , but there are many others.

It is trivial to generalize our model to three dimensions  $(t, \vec{x}) = (t, x, y, z)$ :

$$Q = \int d^3x \left( g\chi + \chi \partial_x \chi \partial_y \partial_z \psi \right) ,$$
  

$$H = Q^{\dagger}Q + QQ^{\dagger}$$
  

$$= \int d^3x \left( g^2 + g \left( \chi^{\dagger} \partial_x \partial_y \partial_z \psi^{\dagger} - \chi \partial_x \partial_y \partial_z \psi \right) + H_{\text{int}} \right)$$
(2.11)

with

$$H_{\rm int} = -\left(\partial_y \partial_z (\chi^{\dagger} \partial_x \chi^{\dagger}) \partial_y \partial_z (\chi \partial_x \chi) + (\partial_x \chi \partial_y \partial_z \psi) (\partial_x \chi^{\dagger} \partial_y \partial_z \psi^{\dagger}) + \partial_x (\chi \partial_y \partial_z \psi) (\partial_x \chi^{\dagger} \partial_y \partial_z \psi^{\dagger}) + \partial_x (\chi^{\dagger} \partial_y \partial_z \psi^{\dagger}) (\partial_x \chi \partial_y \partial_z \psi) + \partial_x (\chi \partial_y \partial_z \psi) \partial_x (\chi^{\dagger} \partial_y \partial_z \psi^{\dagger})\right).$$
(2.12)

As in two dimensions, the supersymmetry Q is spontaneously broken when  $g \neq 0$ .

The corresponding action is

$$I = \int d^3x \, dt \left( i\chi^{\dagger} \partial_t \chi + i\psi^{\dagger} \partial_t \psi - g^2 - g \left( \chi^{\dagger} \partial_x \partial_y \partial_z \psi^{\dagger} - \chi \partial_x \partial_y \partial_z \psi \right) - H_{\rm int} \right) \,, \qquad (2.13)$$

and it has the fermionic subsystem symmetries generated by

$$q_y^{\dagger}(z,x) = \int dy \,\psi^{\dagger}(\vec{x}) ,$$
  

$$q_z^{\dagger}(x,y) = \int dz \,\psi^{\dagger}(\vec{x}) .$$
(2.14)

Morally speaking, the free limit of the resulting theory is a fermionic part of the supersymmetric model studied in [25] with the dispersion relation  $E = |k_x k_y k_z|$  (except that our fermions are complex rather than real). We can argue that for finite g, the ground-state entropy shows the "Area law":  $S \sim L^2$ . When g = 0, we conjecture that the ground-state entropy shows the "Volume law":  $S \sim L^3$ .

#### 3 Lattice model and ground-state degeneracy

One lattice realization of our continuum model is to consider the following supercharge on the square lattice:

$$Q = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left( gc_i - c_i c_{i+\hat{x}} (d_i - d_{i+\hat{y}}) \right) .$$
(3.1)

Here, *i* labels the sites and  $c_i$  and  $d_i$  are complex fermion operators with canonical anticommutation relations:  $\{c_i, c_j^{\dagger}\} = \{d_i, d_j^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{ij}$  (and  $\{c_i, c_j\} = \{d_i, d_j\} = \{c_i, d_j\} = \{c_i, d_j\} = \{c_i, d_j\} = \{c_i, d_j^{\dagger}\} = 0$ ). In the continuum limit,  $c_i$  and  $d_i$ , respectively, correspond to  $\chi(\vec{x})$  and  $\psi(\vec{x})$  in the previous section. Here and hereafter,  $i + n\hat{x} + m\hat{y}$  denotes the lattice site that is translated by *n* lattice units in *x* direction and *m* lattice units in *y* direction from site *i*.

The Hamiltonian is given by the anti-commutator:

$$H = Q^{\dagger}Q + QQ^{\dagger}$$
  
=  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left( g^2 - g(c_i^{\dagger} - c_{i+\hat{x}}^{\dagger})(d_i^{\dagger} - d_{i+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}) + g(c_i - c_{i+\hat{x}})(d_i - d_{i+\hat{y}}) + H_{\text{int}} \right), \quad (3.2)$ 

where the interaction Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{int}}$  is

$$H_{\text{int}} = \left( -c_{i+\hat{x}}^{\dagger}c_{i+\hat{x}}(d_{i}-d_{i+\hat{y}})(d_{i}^{\dagger}-d_{i+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}) + c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i+2\hat{x}}(d_{i+\hat{x}}-d_{i+\hat{x}+\hat{y}})(d_{i}^{\dagger}-d_{i+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}) - c_{i}c_{i+2\hat{x}}^{\dagger}(d_{i}-d_{i+\hat{y}})(d_{i+\hat{x}}^{\dagger}-d_{i+\hat{x}+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}) + c_{i}c_{i}^{\dagger}(d_{i}-d_{i+\hat{y}})(d_{i}^{\dagger}-d_{i+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}) + c_{i}c_{i+\hat{x}+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}(d_{i}-d_{i+\hat{y}})(d_{i}^{\dagger}-d_{i+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}) + c_{i}c_{i+\hat{x}+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}(d_{i}-d_{i+\hat{y}})(d_{i}^{\dagger}-d_{i+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}) + c_{i}c_{i+\hat{x}+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}(d_{i}-d_{i+\hat{y}})(d_{i}^{\dagger}-d_{i+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}) + c_{i+\hat{x}}c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i}c_{i+\hat{x}} - c_{i+\hat{x}+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}c_{i+\hat{x}+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}c_{i+\hat{x}+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}c_{i+\hat{x}+\hat{y}}^{\dagger}c_{i-\hat{y}}^{\dagger}c_{i-\hat{y}}c_{i-\hat{y}}c_{i+\hat{x}} + c_{i+\hat{x}}^{\dagger}c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i}c_{i+\hat{x}}\right).$$
(3.3)

A similar supercharge calculation can be found in [32], where the extended Nicolai model was studied.

As in the continuum field theory, we can argue for the spontaneous symmetry breaking of Q by the trick used in [32, 33]. Let us introduce the local operator  $O_i^{\dagger}$ :

$$O_{i}^{\dagger} = c_{i}^{\dagger} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{g} c_{i+\hat{x}} (d_{i} - d_{i+\hat{y}}) - \frac{1}{g} c_{i-\hat{x}} (d_{i-\hat{x}} - d_{i-\hat{x}+\hat{y}}) \right) \\ + \frac{2}{g^{2}} c_{i+\hat{x}} c_{i-\hat{x}} (d_{i} - d_{i+\hat{y}}) (d_{i-\hat{x}} - d_{i-\hat{x}+\hat{y}}) \right)$$
(3.4)

such that  $\{Q, O_i^{\dagger}\} = g$ . This immediately implies that the supersymmetry Q is spontaneously broken unless g = 0 on the finite lattice. A careful analysis of the infinite volume limit may be completed as in [33].

In the free limit  $g \to \infty$ , the dispersion relation becomes  $E = 4 |\sin \frac{k_x}{2} \sin \frac{k_y}{2}|$  (after the rescaling of time  $t \to g^{-1}t$ ). The gapless mode at  $k_x = k_y = 0$  corresponds to a Nambu-Goldstone fermion for the spontaneously broken supersymmetry Q (when  $g \neq 0$ ).

Let us now study the fermionic subsystem charges and ground-state degeneracy on the finite lattice. We consider a square lattice with the lattice size  $L_x \times L_y$ , imposing periodic boundary conditions on fermions (i.e.  $i = i + L_x \hat{x} = i + L_y \hat{y}$ ).

We can clearly see that the fermionic subsystem charges

$$q_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_y}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{L_y}} d_{m\hat{x}+n\hat{y}} \tag{3.5}$$

commute with the Hamiltonian, and they satisfy the standard anti-commutation relations of  $L_x$  complex fermions:

$$\{q_m, q_{m'}^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{m,m'}, \quad \{q_m, q_{m'}\} = 0 .$$
(3.6)

The ground states form a non-trivial representation of this algebra whose dimension is  $2^{L_x}$ so that the ground-state entropy shows  $S \sim L_x$  at least. When  $g = \infty$  it is enhanced to  $S \sim L_y + L_x$  due to the extra zero modes from  $\sum_m d_{m\hat{x}+n\hat{y}}$ . When g = 0, our model becomes a variant of the Nicolai model and we conjecture that the ground-state entropy is given by  $S \sim L_x L_y$ .

We can estimate a lower bound of the ground-state entropy at g = 0 as follows. First note that if we take any of the (classical) supersymmetric ground states of the Nicolai chain (see e.g. [29]) copied in y directions are the supersymmetric ground states of our two-dimensional model (leading to  $S \sim L_x$  ground-state entropy). Now we may still obtain the supersymmetric ground states by flipping some of the occupancies of  $c_i$  while keeping the  $d_j$  states. If this happens to be the case, we can repeat the flipping arbitrarily many times along the  $c_i$  in the y directions. This procedure is local in the x direction so we obtain  $S \sim L_x L_y$  entropy.

We offer numerical studies of the ground-state degeneracy with small lattices. With  $(L_x, L_y) = (2, 2)$ , we have 160 ground states at g = 0. With  $(L_x, L_y) = (3, 2)$ , we have 1504 ground states at g = 0. Similarly, with  $(L_x, L_y) = (2, 3)$ , we have 1792 ground states at g = 0.

When  $g \neq 0$ , we may give a more precise conjecture about the ground-state degeneracy. For  $L_x > 2$ , it is conjectured to be  $4 \cdot 2^{L_x}$ . The number can be explained as follows. We have  $L_x$  zero modes from  $\sum_n d_{m\hat{x}+n\hat{y}}$  as well as one zero mode from the spontaneously broken supercharge Q, leading to the  $2^{L_x+1}$ -fold degeneracy. We further observe that the charge conjugation  $Q \to Q^{\dagger}$  acts non-trivially on them so that we have the  $2^{L_x+2}$ -fold degeneracy in total.<sup>5</sup>

For  $L_x = 2$ , the situation is slightly different. We have  $L_y$  zero modes from  $\sum_m d_{m\hat{x}+n\hat{y}}$ and two zero modes from  $\sum_n d_{m\hat{x}+n\hat{y}}$ , but there is one trivial relation  $\sum_n (\sum_m d_{m\hat{x}+n\hat{y}}) = \sum_m (\sum_n d_{m\hat{x}+n\hat{y}})$  among them. In addition, the supercharge Q gives another zero mode, leading to the  $2^{L_y+2}$ -fold degeneracy. We observe that the charge conjugation  $Q \to Q^{\dagger}$  acts non-trivially (at least when  $L_y = 4, 5$ ) so that we have the  $2^{L_y+3}$ -fold degeneracy in total.

We claim that there are no other zero modes that are not associated with any symmetry. We have less evidence for  $L_x \neq 2$  and  $L_y \neq 2$ , but for small numbers of  $L_x$  and  $L_y$  we have explicitly checked with a computer that there are no other zero modes. The above counting does not depend on g (as long as  $g \neq 0$ ), and we do not expect any phase transition at finite g.

Similarly, in three dimensions, we consider the supercharge on the cubic lattice:

$$Q = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \left( gc_i + c_i c_{i+\hat{x}} (d_i - d_{i+\hat{y}} - d_{i+\hat{z}} + d_{i+\hat{y}+\hat{z}}) \right) , \qquad (3.7)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The extra degeneracy from the charge conjugation had already appeared in the one-dimensional extended Nicolai chain [39]. Suppose that the charge conjugation acts on a ground state  $|\Omega\rangle$  trivially (otherwise the extra degeneracy is obvious), then we can always find a quartet  $|\Omega\rangle, Q|\Omega\rangle, Q^{\dagger}|\Omega\rangle$  and  $QQ^{\dagger}|\Omega\rangle (= (E - Q^{\dagger}Q)|\Omega\rangle)$  as long as the supersymmetry is spontaneously broken. One can then rearrange the quartet into a pair  $Q^{\dagger}|\Omega\rangle$  and  $QQ^{\dagger}|\Omega\rangle$  and their charge conjugates. The argument is generic and it applies to any spontaneously broken supersymmetric models with the charge conjugation symmetry (in finite systems).

and define the Hamiltonian by the anti-commutator  $H = \{Q^{\dagger}, Q\}$ . We can study the degeneracy of the ground states explicitly and verify the "Area-law" entropy when  $g \neq 0$ . For example, when  $L_x = L_y = L_z = 2$  the degeneracy is 256 both at g = 0 and  $g = 1.^6$  When g = 0, we conjecture that the ground-state degeneracy gives the "Volume law" on a larger lattice.

## 4 Other models

Here, we discuss other models that have similar features to our models studied above.

The first model is to construct a supercharge out of one fermion  $\chi$  per one lattice unit (instead of two fermions  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  per one lattice unit). The supercharge is given by

$$Q = \int d^2 x \left( g\chi + \chi \partial_x \chi \partial_y \chi \right) \tag{4.1}$$

with the Hamiltonian  $H = \{Q^{\dagger}, Q\}$ . Note that this model does not possess the U(1) symmetry when  $g \neq 0$ . In the infinite g limit, the dispersion relation is  $E = |k_x k_y|$  and the ground-state degeneracy shows the "Area-law" entropy. On the other hand, with finite but non-zero g, while the supersymmetry Q is still spontaneously broken, the ground-state degeneracy is lifted because the interaction lacks the subsystem symmetry. One lattice realization of this model on the triangular lattice was studied in appendix F of [34].

The second model is to restore the asymmetry between x and y directions. For this purpose, we may introduce two  $\psi$  fields  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ . Then the supercharge

$$Q = \int d^2 x \left( g\chi + \chi \partial_x \psi_1 \partial_y \psi_2 \right) \tag{4.2}$$

with the Hamiltonian  $H = \{Q^{\dagger}, Q\}$  gives the model that retains the  $\pi/2$  rotation symmetry (under which  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are non-trivially exchanged). In the infinite g limit, the dispersion relation is  $E = |k_x k_y|$  (from  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  modes) together with a flat band at zero energy (from  $\chi$  modes). With finite g, the flat band is lifted and we conjecture that the ground-state degeneracy shows the "Area-law" entropy because of the fermionic subsystem symmetry  $\psi_1(\vec{x}) \to \psi_1(\vec{x}) + \zeta(y)$  and  $\psi_2(\vec{x}) \to \psi_2(\vec{x}) + \xi(x)$ .

The third model is to achieve the full fermionic subsystem symmetry in both x and y directions:  $\psi(\vec{x}) \rightarrow \psi(\vec{x}) + \xi(x) + \zeta(y)$ . For this purpose, we introduce two  $\chi$  fields  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  and consider the supercharge

$$Q = \int d^2 x \left( g \chi_1 + \chi_1 \chi_2 \partial_x \partial_y \psi \right)$$
(4.3)

with the Hamiltonian  $H = \{Q^{\dagger}, Q\}$ . It has the fermionic subsystem symmetry  $\psi(\vec{x}) \rightarrow \psi(\vec{x}) + \xi(x) + \zeta(y)$ . In the infinite g limit, it shows the dispersion relation  $E = |k_x k_y|$  (from  $\chi_2$  and  $\psi$  modes) with an additional flat band at zero energy (from  $\chi_1$  modes). With finite but non-zero g, we conjecture that the ground-state degeneracy shows the "Area-law" entropy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>We have seven  $\psi$  zero modes as well as one zero mode from the supercharge, resulting in the 2<sup>8</sup>-fold degeneracy. This does not depend on g. On larger lattices, we expect that the degeneracy depends on whether g = 0 or not.

#### 5 (Anomalous) Gauging and defect operators

Our models discussed so far do not possess a fracton as a dynamical degree of freedom, but we may consider the analogue of a probe fracton by considering a defect in the gauged theory. Our gauge theory will be a fermionic analogue of the tensor gauge theory studied in the context of a fracton phase of matter [40, 41] (see also [42, 43]).

We would like to gauge the shift symmetry of  $\psi$  fields by replacing ordinary derivatives  $\partial_t$  and  $\partial_y$  with covariant derivatives in the action. In the spatial direction, it is not difficult. We simply replace  $\partial_y \psi$  with the covariant derivative  $D_y \psi = \partial_y \psi + \Phi_y$  by assuming the fermionic gauge transformation law:

$$\psi(t, \vec{x}) \to \psi(t, \vec{x}) + \lambda(t, \vec{x}), 
\chi(t, \vec{x}) \to \chi(t, \vec{x}), 
\Phi_t(t, \vec{x}) \to \Phi_t(t, \vec{x}) - \partial_t \lambda(t, \vec{x}), 
\Phi_y(t, \vec{x}) \to \Phi_y(t, \vec{x}) - \partial_y \lambda(t, \vec{x}).$$
(5.1)

Here the gauge fields  $\Phi_t$  and  $\Phi_y$  as well as the gauge parameter  $\lambda$  are fermionic.

On the other hand, gauging of the shift symmetry with the first order time derivative requires extra care. We will see that gauging of our model itself is anomalous in the t'Hooft sense and cancellation of the anomaly is necessary to make the gauge field dynamical. The difficulty comes from the fact that the action is invariant under the shift only up to total derivatives in time.<sup>7</sup>

Let us postulate the action of the form

$$\int d^2x \, dt \, (i\psi^{\dagger} D_t \psi) = \int d^2x \, dt \, (i\psi^{\dagger} \partial_t \psi + i\Phi_t^{\dagger} \psi - i\psi^{\dagger} \Phi_t) \tag{5.2}$$

to describe a gauged kinetic term. The variation of the gauge fields  $\Phi_t$  cancel the first order variation of  $\psi$  fields, but we see that the action fails to be invariant because of the remainder:

$$\int d^2x \, dt \, i (\Phi_t^{\dagger} \lambda - \lambda^{\dagger} \Phi_t) \tag{5.3}$$

which cannot vanish for non-zero  $\Phi_t$ , which we call "anomaly" (because it only depends on the gauge fields rather than the matter field  $\psi$ ). The counter-term that could be used to cancel the anomaly would be  $i\Phi_t^{\dagger}\partial_t^{-1}\Phi_t$ , but it is non-local.

Therefore, in order to gauge the fermionic shift symmetry, we need a certain cancellation mechanism by adding other degrees of freedom. In this discussion, we did not assume the supersymmetry, but we will see the same difficulty in the full supersymmetric action in appendix A. The only difference is that the gauge field must have a reality condition  $\lambda^{\dagger} = -\lambda$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>In this section, we will address this issue in the field theory language, but it has the same difficulty with the lattice regularization. In appendix B we will discuss the origin of the problem in the simplest quantum mechanics in zero spatial dimensions.

Under the assumption of the anomaly cancellation, we may study the defect line operator that would correspond to a fermionic "fracton" or "lineon"[7]. We can construct a gauge invariant fermionic defect line operator

$$\Psi = \int \left( dt \,\Phi_t + dy \,\Phi_y \right) \;. \tag{5.4}$$

The integration is over the worldline trajectory of the probe. Since we do not have the gauge field  $\Phi_x$ , the defect line operator cannot "move" in x direction (i.e., the probe trajectory cannot possess the non-trivial dx component). This defect operator becomes an analogue of "fracton" or "lineon" in our model.

#### 6 Discussions

In this paper, we have constructed a purely fermionic system with spontaneously broken supersymmetry that shares the common feature with a fracton phase of matter. Our model is gapless due to the Nambu-Goldstone mechanism. It shows a ground-state degeneracy with the "Area-law" entropy due to the fermionic subsystem symmetries. In the strongly coupled limit, it becomes a variant of the Nicolai model, and the ground-state degeneracy shows the "Volume-law" entropy.

Our model is characterized by a coupling constant g. The phase boundary of the spontaneous supersymmetry breaking is located at g = 0. This is expected from the holomorphic nature of the supersymmetric model with a complex supercharge. It may be interesting to consider the Majorana version of our model so that the phase transition at finite g is possible. On the one dimensional chain, we find that there exists a phase transition at finite g [44].

From a formal perspective, one might have noticed that the subsystem symmetry in our model is rather trivially realized as a linear combination of fundamental fermionic operators  $d_i$  (or field  $\psi$ ). One may even try to remove these zero-mode states from the model and the exponential degeneracy of the ground states would be gone. We note that the apparent simplicity of removing the zero mode associated with the subsystem symmetry is almost always the case in the effective field theory description [21–23, 25–27]. In the continuum description, however, removing the zero modes leads to a non-locality and break down of the effective field theory.

For future directions, it seems important to understand the anomaly associated with gauging of the fermiomic shift symmetry. We have demonstrated it from the continuum field theory perspective, but understanding of it from the lattice viewpoint may be of interest. It may be related to the difficulty in constructing a model of fermionic fracton phase of matter.

The study of the fracton effective field theories is still in its infancy. Non-trivial UV/IR mixture may make the study of the interaction and the renormalization group non-trivial [26, 45]. Realization in string theory and holography may help better understand the strongly interacting phase [46] and reveal a possible connection to the black hole physics through the "Area-law" entropy formula.

#### Acknowledgments

This work by YN is in part supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. 21K03581. This work by HK is in part supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas No. JP20H04630, JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP18K03445, MEXT KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Areas A "Extreme Universe" No. JP21H05191, and the Inamori Foundation.

### A Superfield formalism

To obtain the supersymmetric action, we may use the superfield technique (see e.g. [38]).<sup>8</sup> Let us represent the supersymmetry by superspace translation:

$$Q = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i\bar{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$
  
$$\bar{Q} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} + i\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$
 (A.1)

Let us introduce superderivative

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i\bar{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$
  
$$\bar{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} - i\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$
 (A.2)

with fermionic chiral superfields  $\overline{D}\Psi = 0$  and  $\overline{D}X = 0$ . In component, they are expressed by

$$\Psi = \psi + \theta f_{\psi} - i\theta \theta \partial_t \psi,$$
  

$$X = \chi + \theta f_{\chi} - i\theta \overline{\theta} \partial_t \chi,$$
(A.3)

where  $f_{\chi}$  and  $f_{\psi}$  are bosonic (auxiliary) fields.

The supersymmetric action can be constructed as superspace integration by noting  $\theta \bar{\theta}$  component of a general superfield and  $\theta$  component of a chiral superfield is supersymmetric (up to total derivatives in time). In our model, it is given by

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \, dt \, d\theta \, d\bar{\theta} \, \left( \bar{\Psi} \Psi + \bar{X} X \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \int d^2x \, dt \, d\theta \, (gX + X \partial_x X \partial_y \Psi) + h.c. \right)$$
  
$$= \int d^2x \, dt \, \left( i\bar{\psi}\partial_t \psi + i\bar{\chi}\partial_t \chi + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{f}_\psi f_\psi + \bar{f}_\chi f_\chi) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (gf_\chi + f_\chi \partial_x \chi \partial_y \psi - \chi \partial_x f_\chi \partial_y \psi + \chi \partial_x \chi \partial_y f_\psi + h.c.) \right) . \tag{A.4}$$

We can integrate out  $f_{\psi}$  and  $f_{\chi}$  to obtain the Hamiltonian

$$H = (g + \partial_x \chi \partial_y \psi + \partial_x (\chi \partial_y \psi)) \left( g - \partial_x \chi^{\dagger} \partial_y \psi^{\dagger} - \partial_x (\chi^{\dagger} \partial_y \psi^{\dagger}) \right) - \left( \partial_y (\partial_x \chi \chi^{\dagger})) (\partial_y (\partial_x \chi^{\dagger} \chi)) \right)$$
(A.5)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Our superspace formalism is much simpler than the one used in [25], where the anti-commutator of the supercharge also involves the spatial derivatives.

In order to gauge the shift symmetry in a manifestly supersymmetric fashion, we introduce a fermionic real superfield V and a fermionic chiral superfield  $\Xi_y$  and impose invariance under the superfield gauge transformation

$$\begin{split} \Psi &\to \Psi + \Omega \,, \\ X &\to X \,, \\ V &\to V - \Omega - \bar{\Omega} \,, \\ \Xi_y &\to \Xi_y - \partial_y \Omega \end{split} \tag{A.6}$$

with respect to a fermionic chiral superfield  $\Omega$ , which plays a role of the gauge parameter. Then the supersymmetric matter action

$$\int d\theta \, X \partial_x X (\partial_y \Psi + \Xi_y) + h.c. \tag{A.7}$$

is superfield gauge invariant.

We would like to discuss the supersymmetric analogue of the t'Hooft anomaly mentioned in section 5. Consider the candidate supersymmetric action

$$\int d^2x \, dt \, d\theta \, d\bar{\theta} \, \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\Psi}\Psi + V\Psi + \bar{\Psi}V) \,, \tag{A.8}$$

which would give a kinetic term. This is superfield gauge invariant up to the anomaly term  $V\Omega + \bar{\Omega}V$ , but without extra degrees of freedom, there is nothing we can add to cancel it. Note in particular that  $V^2 = 0$  since V is fermionic.<sup>9</sup>

In order to partially fix the gauge symmetry induced by  $\Omega$ , while assuming the anomaly cancellation, we may use the Wess-Zumino gauge with the component

$$V = -i\Phi_t \theta \bar{\theta} ,$$
  

$$\Xi_y = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_y + a_y \theta - i\theta \bar{\theta} \partial_t \frac{1}{2} \Phi_y . \qquad (A.9)$$

This fixes the real part of the top component of  $\Omega$  (i.e.  $\theta$  independent component of  $\Omega$ ) and  $\theta$  component of  $\Omega$ . We still have the residual gauge transformation

$$\begin{split} \psi &\to \psi + \lambda \,, \\ \Phi_t &\to \Phi_t - \partial_t \lambda \,, \\ \Phi_y &\to \Phi_y - \partial_y \lambda \,. \end{split} \tag{A.10}$$

Here we note that  $\Phi_t$  is pure imaginary while  $\Phi_y$  is complex. The fermionic gauge parameter  $\lambda$  is pure imaginary. In component, (A.8) gives the supersymmetric version of the anomalous action that we studied in section 5.

In the superspace language, the supersymmetric defect line operator is given by

$$\Psi = \int dt \, d\theta \, d\bar{\theta} \, V + \int dt \, d\theta \, d\bar{\theta} \, dy \, \Xi_y \, . \tag{A.11}$$

Note that our superspace does not involve spatial coordinates, so it preserves the same amount of supersymmetry as the bulk action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>This should be contrasted with a theory with a bosonic superfield where  $\int d\theta \, d\bar{\theta} \, (\Phi + \bar{\Phi} + V)^2$  is a perfectly good action which gauges the shift symmetry of  $\Phi$ .

#### **B** Gauging bosonic/fermionic shift symmetry in quantum mechanics

In this appendix, we will demonstrate the anomaly of gauging a fermionic shift symmetry in the simple quantum mechanics in comparison with a bosonic shift symmetry. Let us begin with a bosonic case. Consider a trivial particle quantum mechanics (in zero spatial dimension) with the action

$$I = \int dt \left( p \partial_t x \right) \tag{B.1}$$

that gives the zero Hamiltonian. The equal time commutation relation is [x, p] = i. It has a shift symmetry of  $p \to p + \text{const}$  and  $x \to x + \text{const}$ .

If we tried to gauge the shift of *both* x and p, we would obtain the gauged action

$$I = \int dt \left( p\partial_t x + xA_p + pA_x \right), \tag{B.2}$$

which is anomalous. This is because the shift symmetry is a symmetry of the Lagrangian only up to total derivatives in time. To see this in the Hamiltonian formulation, we observe that the Hamiltonian becomes non-zero  $H = -xA_p - pA_x$  while the gauge constraints are x = 0 and p = 0. They are, however, inconsistent with the Hamiltonian dynamics because  $i[x, H] = A_x$  and  $i[p, H] = -A_p$  unless  $A_x = A_p = 0$ . This is a manifestation of the anomaly in the Hamiltonian framework.

Instead, if we only gauge the shift of x, the action is *not* anomalous:

$$I = \int dt (p\partial_t x + pA_x) . \tag{B.3}$$

The Hamiltonian is  $H = -pA_x$  and in this case we see that the gauge constraint p = 0 is consistent with the Hamiltonian dynamics. In this way, we may be able to gauge the shift symmetry of the bosonic system, but only one of the shift of x or p can be gauged.

The fermionic system has a drastic difference. Consider a complex fermion with the action

$$I = \int dt \left( i\psi^{\dagger}\partial_t \psi \right), \tag{B.4}$$

which implies the zero Hamiltonian. The equal-time anti-commutation relation (in zero spatial dimension) is  $\{\psi^{\dagger}, \psi\} = 1$ . It has a shift symmetry of  $\psi \to \psi + \text{const.}$  We will see that gauging the shift symmetry of  $\psi$  is anomalous.

Without loss of generality, let us try to gauge the shift of  $\psi + \psi^{\dagger}$ . Would-be gauged action becomes

$$I = \int dt (i\psi^{\dagger}\partial_t \psi + i\Psi(\psi + \psi^{\dagger})), \qquad (B.5)$$

but the gauge transformation is anomalous. The Hamiltonian is  $H = -i\Psi(\psi + \psi^{\dagger})$ , but the gauge constraint is inconsistent with the Hamiltonian dynamics  $i[H, \psi + \psi^{\dagger}] = 2\Psi$  unless  $\Psi = 0$ .

Our discussion is generic, but there is a possible loophole: one may add extra degree of freedom and/or modify the gauge transformation of  $\Psi$ . One successful example of gauging a fermionic shift symmetry is the super-Higgs mechanism in supergravity, where the space-like component of the gravitino and the gravity play the role of the extra degrees of freedom and the modification of the gauge transformation is accompanied [47].

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. SCOAP<sup>3</sup> supports the goals of the International Year of Basic Sciences for Sustainable Development.

#### References

- [1] J.D. Bekenstein, Black holes and entropy, Phys. Rev. D 7 (1973) 2333 [INSPIRE].
- [2] C. Chamon, Quantum Glassiness, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 040402 [cond-mat/0404182]
   [INSPIRE].
- S. Vijay, J. Haah and L. Fu, A New Kind of Topological Quantum Order: A Dimensional Hierarchy of Quasiparticles Built from Stationary Excitations, Phys. Rev. B 92 (2015) 235136 [arXiv:1505.02576] [INSPIRE].
- [4] S. Vijay, J. Haah and L. Fu, Fracton Topological Order, Generalized Lattice Gauge Theory and Duality, Phys. Rev. B 94 (2016) 235157 [arXiv:1603.04442] [INSPIRE].
- [5] M. Pretko, Subdimensional Particle Structure of Higher Rank U(1) Spin Liquids, Phys. Rev. B 95 (2017) 115139 [arXiv:1604.05329] [INSPIRE].
- [6] R.M. Nandkishore and M. Hermele, Fractons, Ann. Rev. Condensed Matter Phys. 10 (2019) 295 [arXiv:1803.11196] [INSPIRE].
- [7] M. Pretko, X. Chen and Y. You, Fracton Phases of Matter, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 35 (2020) 2030003 [arXiv:2001.01722] [INSPIRE].
- [8] K.T. Grosvenor, C. Hoyos, F. Peña-Benitez and P. Surówka, Space-Dependent Symmetries and Fractons, Front. in Phys. 9 (2022) 792621 [arXiv:2112.00531] [INSPIRE].
- C.D. Batista and Z. Nussinov, Generalized Elitzur's theorem and dimensional reduction, Phys. Rev. B 72 (2005) 045137 [cond-mat/0410599] [INSPIRE].
- [10] Z. Nussinov and G. Ortiz, Sufficient symmetry conditions for Topological Quantum Order, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 106 (2009) 16944 [cond-mat/0605316] [INSPIRE].
- Z. Nussinov and G. Ortiz, A symmetry principle for topological quantum order, Annals Phys. 324 (2009) 977 [cond-mat/0702377] [INSPIRE].
- [12] Z. Nussinov, G. Ortiz and E. Cobanera, Arbitrary Dimensional Majorana Dualities and Network Architectures for Topological Matter, Phys. Rev. B 86 (2012) 085415
   [arXiv:1203.2983] [INSPIRE].
- [13] R. Raussendorf, C. Okay, D.-S. Wang, D.T. Stephen and H.P. Nautrup, Computationally universal phase of quantum matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 090501.
- [14] Y. You, T. Devakul, F.J. Burnell and S.L. Sondhi, Subsystem symmetry protected topological order, Phys. Rev. B 98 (2018) 035112 [arXiv:1803.02369] [INSPIRE].

- [15] T. Devakul, D.J. Williamson and Y. You, Classification of subsystem symmetry-protected topological phases, Phys. Rev. B 98 (2018) 235121 [arXiv:1808.05300] [INSPIRE].
- [16] D.T. Stephen, H.P. Nautrup, J. Bermejo-Vega, J. Eisert and R. Raussendorf, Subsystem symmetries, quantum cellular automata, and computational phases of quantum matter, Quantum 3 (2019) 142 [arXiv:1806.08780].
- [17] Y. You, T. Devakul, F.J. Burnell and S.L. Sondhi, Symmetric Fracton Matter: Twisted and Enriched, Annals Phys. 416 (2020) 168140 [arXiv:1805.09800] [INSPIRE].
- T. Devakul, W. Shirley and J. Wang, Strong planar subsystem symmetry-protected topological phases and their dual fracton orders, Phys. Rev. Res. 2 (2020) 012059 [arXiv:1910.01630]
   [INSPIRE].
- [19] X. Shen, Z. Wu, L. Li, Z. Qin and H. Yao, Fracton Topological Order at Finite Temperature, Phys. Rev. Res. 4 (2022) L032008 [arXiv:2109.06887] [INSPIRE].
- [20] B.C. Rayhaun and D.J. Williamson, *Higher-Form Subsystem Symmetry Breaking:* Subdimensional Criticality and Fracton Phase Transitions, arXiv:2112.12735 [INSPIRE].
- [21] A. Paramekanti, L. Balents and M.P.A. Fisher, Ring exchange, the exciton bose liquid, and bosonization in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 054526 [cond-mat/0203171].
- [22] N. Seiberg and S.-H. Shao, Exotic Symmetries, Duality, and Fractons in 2+1-Dimensional Quantum Field Theory, SciPost Phys. 10 (2021) 027 [arXiv:2003.10466] [INSPIRE].
- [23] N. Seiberg and S.-H. Shao, Exotic U(1) Symmetries, Duality, and Fractons in 3+1-Dimensional Quantum Field Theory, SciPost Phys. 9 (2020) 046 [arXiv:2004.00015]
   [INSPIRE].
- [24] N. Seiberg and S.-H. Shao, Exotic  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  symmetries, duality, and fractons in 3+1-dimensional quantum field theory, SciPost Phys. 10 (2021) 003 [arXiv:2004.06115] [INSPIRE].
- [25] S. Yamaguchi, Supersymmetric quantum field theory with exotic symmetry in 3+1 dimensions and fermionic fracton phases, PTEP **2021** (2021) 063B04 [arXiv:2102.04768] [INSPIRE].
- [26] P. Gorantla, H.T. Lam, N. Seiberg and S.-H. Shao, Low-energy limit of some exotic lattice theories and UV/IR mixing, Phys. Rev. B 104 (2021) 235116 [arXiv:2108.00020] [INSPIRE].
- [27] F.J. Burnell, T. Devakul, P. Gorantla, H.T. Lam and S.-H. Shao, Anomaly Inflow for Subsystem Symmetries, arXiv:2110.09529 [INSPIRE].
- [28] H. Nicolai, Supersymmetry and Spin Systems, J. Phys. A 9 (1976) 1497 [INSPIRE].
- [29] H. Katsura, H. Moriya and Y. Nakayama, Characterization of degenerate supersymmetric ground states of the Nicolai supersymmetric fermion lattice model by symmetry breakdown, J. Phys. A 53 (2020) 385003 [arXiv:1710.04385] [INSPIRE].
- [30] H. Moriya, Ergodicity breaking and Localization of the Nicolai supersymmetric fermion lattice model, J. Stat. Phys. 172 (2018) 1270 [arXiv:1610.09142] [INSPIRE].
- [31] R. La, K. Schoutens and S. Shadrin, Ground states of Nicolai and Z<sub>2</sub> Nicolai models, J. Phys. A 52 (2019) 02 [arXiv:1808.02754] [INSPIRE].
- [32] N. Sannomiya, H. Katsura and Y. Nakayama, Supersymmetry breaking and Nambu-Goldstone fermions in an extended Nicolai model, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 045014
   [arXiv:1606.03947] [INSPIRE].
- [33] H. Moriya, Supersymmetry breakdown for an extended version of the Nicolai supersymmetric fermion lattice model, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 015018 [arXiv:1804.10884] [INSPIRE].

- [34] N. Sannomiya, H. Katsura and Y. Nakayama, Supersymmetry breaking and Nambu-Goldstone fermions with cubic dispersion, Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 065001 [arXiv:1612.02285] [INSPIRE].
- [35] D.J. Gross and V. Rosenhaus, A Generalization of Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev, JHEP 02 (2017) 093 [arXiv:1610.01569] [INSPIRE].
- [36] W. Fu, D. Gaiotto, J. Maldacena and S. Sachdev, Supersymmetric Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models, Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 026009 [Addendum ibid. 95 (2017) 069904]
   [arXiv:1610.08917] [INSPIRE].
- [37] E. Iyoda, H. Katsura and T. Sagawa, Effective dimension, level statistics, and integrability of Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev-like models, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 086020 [arXiv:1806.10405]
   [INSPIRE].
- [38] E. Witten, Dynamical Breaking of Supersymmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 188 (1981) 513 [INSPIRE].
- [39] H. Katsura, Supersymmetry breaking and Nambu-Goldstone fermions in lattice models, talk given at Yukawa International Seminar 2016 (YKIS2016), Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics (YITP), Kyoto University, Japan, 13–17 June 2016.
- [40] Y. You, Z. Bi and M. Pretko, Emergent fractons and algebraic quantum liquid from plaquette melting transitions, Phys. Rev. Res. 2 (2020) 013162 [arXiv:1908.08540] [INSPIRE].
- [41] Y. You, F.J. Burnell and T.L. Hughes, Multipolar Topological Field Theories: Bridging Higher Order Topological Insulators and Fractons, Phys. Rev. B 103 (2021) 245128
   [arXiv:1909.05868] [INSPIRE].
- [42] N. Tantivasadakarn, Jordan-Wigner Dualities for Translation-Invariant Hamiltonians in Any Dimension: Emergent Fermions in Fracton Topological Order, Phys. Rev. Res. 2 (2020)
   023353 [arXiv:2002.11345] [INSPIRE].
- [43] W. Shirley, Fractonic order and emergent fermionic gauge theory, arXiv:2002.12026 [INSPIRE].
- [44] N. Sannomiya and H. Katsura, Supersymmetry Breaking and Nambu-Goldstone Fermions in Interacting Majorana Chains, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 045002 [arXiv:1712.01148] [INSPIRE].
- [45] J. Distler, M. Jafry, A. Karch and A. Raz, Interacting fractons in 2+1-dimensional quantum field theory, JHEP 03 (2022) 070 [arXiv:2112.05726] [INSPIRE].
- [46] H. Geng, S. Kachru, A. Karch, R. Nally and B.C. Rayhaun, Fractons and Exotic Symmetries from Branes, Fortsch. Phys. 69 (2021) 2100133 [arXiv:2108.08322] [INSPIRE].
- [47] S. Deser and B. Zumino, Broken Supersymmetry and Supergravity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 1433 [INSPIRE].