Symmetries of holographic super-minimal models

Kentaro Hanaki and Cheng Peng

Department of Physics, University of Michigan,
450 Church street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, U.S.A.

E-mail: hanaki@umich.edu, chpeng@umich.edu

Abstract: We compute the asymptotic symmetry of the higher-spin supergravity theory in AdS$_3$ and obtain an infinite-dimensional non-linear superalgebra, which we call the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra. According to the recently proposed supersymmetric duality between higher-spin supergravity in an AdS$_3$ background and the 't Hooft limit of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $\mathbb{CP}^n$ Kazama-Suzuki model on the boundary, this symmetry algebra should agree with the 't Hooft limit of the chiral algebra of the CFT, $\mathcal{SW}_n$. We provide two nontrivial checks of the duality. By comparing the algebras, we explicitly match the lowest-spin commutation relations in the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ with the corresponding commutation relations in the 't Hooft limit on the CFT side. We also consider the degenerate representations of the two algebras and find that any degenerate representation of the $\mathbb{CP}^n$ model can be a representation of the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra and vice versa.

Contents

1 Introduction

The AdS$_3$/CFT$_2$ correspondence is an attractive testing-ground for gauge/gravity dualities. On the gravity side, three-dimensional gravity possesses significantly fewer degrees of freedom than higher-dimensional analogues due to the fact that tensor fields with spin greater than one do not have any bulk degrees of freedom, but their dynamics are localized at the boundary. This fact even allows an exact computation of the partition function of the theory for the pure gravity case [1]. Therefore, gravity on AdS$_3$ spacetime is much simpler than its higher-dimensional counterparts. On the field theory side, the Virasoro algebra of the two-dimensional CFT imposes an infinite number of constraints on the dynamics, and this drastically facilitates the analysis of the theory.

Among various versions of the $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ duality, the recently proposed duality [2] between pure gravity coupled to massless higher-spin gauge fields with two massive scalars in an AdS_3 background and the large-N limit of 2d $\mathcal{W}_N$ minimal models is of great interest. The key ingredients in this conjecture are the higher-spin fields. It has been shown that in a d-dimensional background with constant negative curvature, an infinite tower of massless higher-spin fields can be introduced with consistent interactions [3, 4]. Since the proposed CFT dual to this higher-spin theory, the W_n-minimal model, is in principle solvable at any value of the 't Hooft coupling, this duality is supposed to be easier to study than the previously conjectured duality between higher-spin gravity in AdS_4 and the 3d $O(N)$ vector model [5]. Therefore, it serves as a useful tool to understand the connection between the large-N limit of gauge theory and gravity beyond the pure gravity limit [2].

Several nontrivial checks have been done on the duality: including the matching of the symmetries [6–9], the spectra [2], the partition functions [10] and the correlation functions [11, 12]. Further studies of spacetime geometry in higher-spin gravity can be found in [13–16] and of the higher-spin $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ correspondence in [17–20].

In this paper, we discuss the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric version of the duality [21], with a particular emphasis on the correspondence of the symmetries. In the supersymmetric case, it was proposed in [21] that $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin supergravity in AdS_3 based on the higher-spin algebra $shs[\lambda]$ [23, 24] is dual to the 't Hooft limit of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $\mathbb{CP}^n$ minimal model defined in [22] by the coset

$$\frac{\widehat{\text{SU}(n+1)}_k \times \widehat{\text{SO}(2n)}_1}{\widehat{\text{SU}(n)}_{k+1} \times \widehat{\text{U}(1)}_{n(n+1)(k+n+1)}} . \tag{1.1}$$

The 't Hooft limit is defined by[1]

$$n, \ k \to \infty, \quad \lambda = \frac{n}{2(n+k)} \ : \ \text{fixed} . \tag{1.2}$$

Although supersymmetry is not necessary to take full control of the theories on both sides, it is still very useful to consider the supersymmetric version of the duality. First, with supersymmetry, calculations are easier thanks to the presence of more symmetry constraints. Secondly, there are new objects we can study such as chiral rings and spectral flow, which provide a larger stage to study the duality. Finally, the higher-spin theory is expected to be related to string theory in the small string tension limit [6]. So, to make a connection to superstring theory, it is very natural to consider the supersymmetrized version of the duality.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the Chern-Simons formulation of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin supergravity based on the higher-spin algebra $shs[\lambda]$ [23, 24]. In section 3, we discuss the asymptotic symmetry of the higher-spin supergravity theory and obtain the non-linear super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra.[2] In section 4, we introduce the chiral superalge-

---

[1]We follow the convention of [23, 24] for $shs[\lambda]$. Their λ is different from λ in [21] by a factor of two, and that is why there is two in the denominator of the following equation.

[2]This non-linear super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra should be distinguished from the linear super-W_∞ algebra obtained in [23, 24]. In the rest of the paper, the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra means the non-linear version unless otherwise mentioned.

bra, denoted by $\mathcal{SW}_n$, of the dual $\mathbb{CP}^n$ minimal model and provide two non-trivial checks on the correspondence of the symmetries. Finally, we conclude with a discussion in section 5.

As we were in the final stage of the work, the paper [25] appeared with some overlapping results.

2 Higher-spin supergravity as a Chern-Simons theory

In [26, 27], it was shown that classical three-dimensional Einstein gravity in an AdS$_3$ background can be reformulated as an $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})_L \times \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})_R$ Chern-Simons theory. Define the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})_L \times \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})_R$ connections

$$A = \left(\omega^a + \frac{1}{\ell}e^a\right)J^a\,, \qquad \tilde{A} = \left(\omega^a - \frac{1}{\ell}e^a\right)\tilde{J}^a\,, \qquad a = 1,2,3\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where ℓ is the radius of AdS$_3$, J^a are generators of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})_L$, and $\tilde{J}^a$ are generators of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})_R$. The Einstein-Hilbert action can then be written as

$$I_{EH} = I_{CS}(A) - I_{CS}(\tilde{A})\,, \qquad I_{CS}(A) = \frac{k_{CS}}{4\pi}\int_{\mathcal{M}}\mathrm{Tr}\left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A\right)\,, \tag{2.2}$$

where the Chern-Simons level k_{CS} is related to the Newton's constant in AdS$_3$ spacetime as

$$k_{CS} = \frac{\ell}{4G_3}\,, \tag{2.3}$$

and the trace Tr is taken over gauge indices throughout the paper. One can show that e^a and ω^a behave in the same way as the vielbein and spin connection, respectively, in Einstein gravity on-shell [27]. This formulation is extended to particular types of higher-spin theories with and without supersymmetry in [30]. In this section, we discuss how to extend this Chern-Simons formulation to $\mathcal{N}=2$ higher-spin supergravity based on $shs[\lambda]$.

2.1 Supersymmetric higher-spin algebra $shs[\lambda]$

The $\mathcal{N}=2$ higher-spin supergravity theory is formulated as a Chern-Simons theory based on the super higher-spin algebra $shs[\lambda]$. We start with a briefly review of this algebra.

$shs[\lambda]$ is a one-parameter family of Lie superalgebras [23, 24]. It admits $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry and consists of two sets of bosonic generators $L_m^{(s)\pm}$ as well as two sets of fermionic generators $G_r^{(s)\pm}$. s is an integer and satisfies $s \geq 2$ for $L_m^{(s)+}$ and $G_r^{(s)\pm}$, and $s \geq 1$ for $L_m^{(s)-}$. m takes values in the integers satisfying $|m| < s$ and r is a half-integer satisfying $|r| < s - 1$. The algebraic structure of $shs[\lambda]$ is provided in appendix A. Here, we only make two points:[24]

- The $shs[\lambda]$ algebra contains an $Osp(1,2)$ algebra generated by $L_m^{(2)+}$ and $G_r^{(2)+}$ as a subalgebra. $(L_m^{(s)+}, G_r^{(s)+})$ and $(L_m^{(s)-}, G_r^{(s+1)-})$ form $\mathcal{N}=1$ supermultiplets of the $Osp(1,2)$ subalgebra with SL(2) spins $(s, s-1/2)$ and $(s, s+1/2)$, respectively. The $Osp(1,2)$ generators $L_m^{(2)+}$ and $G_r^{(2)+}$, together with $L_m^{(1)-}$ and $G_r^{(2)-}$ generate an $Osp(2,2)$ subalgebra, where $L_0^{(1)-}$ corresponds to the R-charge of the superalgebra.

- The $shs[\lambda]$ algebra can be truncated at a special value of λ. For $\lambda = 1/4$, the $+$ sector (of generators with a "$+$" index) and $-$ sector decouple, and the $+$ sector reduces to the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superalgebra, which was used to construct $\mathcal{N} = 1$ higher-spin supergravity in [29, 30].

In the following, we relabel the generators in $+$ sector and $-$ sector as

$$L_m^{(s)} = L_m^{(s)+}, \qquad L_m^{(s+1/2)} = L_m^{(s)-}, \qquad G_r^{(s)} = G_r^{(s)+}, \qquad G_r^{(s+1/2)} = G_r^{(s+1)-} \qquad (2.4)$$

for notational simplicity.

The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin supergravity theory is formulated as a Chern-Simons theory based on the gauge group $shs[\lambda]_L \times shs[\lambda]_R$.[3] The $shs[\lambda]_L \times shs[\lambda]_R$ super-connections are given by

$$\Gamma = \sum_{s,m} A_m^{(s)} L_m^{(s)} + \sum_{s,r} \psi_r^{(s)} G_r^{(s)} \,, \qquad \tilde{\Gamma} = \sum_{s,m} \tilde{A}_m^{(s)} \tilde{L}_m^{(s)} + \sum_{s,r} \tilde{\psi}_r^{(s)} \tilde{G}_r^{(s)} \,, \qquad (2.5)$$

where A and $\tilde{A}$ are expressed using (particular linear combinations of higher-spin analogues of) vielbeins and spin connections as

$$A_m^{(s)} = \omega_m^{(s)} + \frac{1}{\ell} e_m^{(s)} \,, \qquad\qquad \tilde{A}^{(s)} = \omega_m^{(s)} - \frac{1}{\ell} e_m^{(s)} \,, \qquad (2.6)$$

where ℓ is the AdS radius and the action is obtained as a difference of two Chern-Simons actions

$$I_{SHS} = I_{CS}(\Gamma) - I_{CS}(\tilde{\Gamma}) \,. \qquad (2.7)$$

With the help of the equations of motion, $e^{(2)}$ and $\omega^{(2)}$ are identified with the vielbein and spin connection and $\psi^{(2)}$, $\tilde{\psi}^{(2)}$ are identified as two sets of gravitinos.

2.2 Boundary conditions, constraints and gauge fixing

Now that the action is obtained, we discuss how one defines a consistent theory based on this action. First of all, in order for the variational principle to be well-defined, the variation of the action should not depend on the variation of the field at the boundary. The variation of the action is

$$\delta I_{CS} = -\frac{k_{CS}}{4\pi} \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} \text{Tr}(\Gamma_+ \delta \Gamma_- - \Gamma_- \delta \Gamma_+) - \frac{k_{CS}}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} (\text{e.o.m.}) \,, \qquad (2.8)$$

where we use coordinates (t, ρ, θ) to parameterize the spacetime manifold $\mathcal{M}$, and define $x^\pm = t/\ell \pm \theta$. One can set the boundary contribution to zero by fixing Γ_- on the boundary:

$$\Gamma_-\big|_{\partial \mathcal{M}} = 0 \,. \qquad (2.9)$$

We call this "minimal" boundary condition to distinguish it from the boundary condition we impose in the next section from which we obtain the asymptotic algebra super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$.

---

[3]There can be several ways to embed the gravity sector into the higher-spin algebra. We take $L_m^{(2)}$, $L_m^{(3/2)}$, $G_r^{(2)}$ and $G_r^{(3/2)}$ as the generators associated with the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity.

We also need to fix the gauge degrees of freedom. We choose the gauge fixing conditions, following [7], as

$$\Gamma_\rho = b^{-1}(\rho)\partial_\rho b(\rho) \ , \tag{2.10}$$

where $b(\rho)$ is an arbitrary, but fixed, function of the radial coordinate ρ and takes values in the group $shs[\lambda]$. In the later sections, we take $b(\rho)$ to be

$$b(\rho) = e^{\rho L_0^{(2)}} \tag{2.11}$$

for $shs[\lambda]$ Chern-Simons theory, but the discussions in this section are independent of the choice of $b(\rho)$. In the action, there is no time derivative of A_t, implying that there is a constraint. The variation of the action with respect to A_t yields

$$\partial_\rho \Gamma_\theta + [\Gamma_\rho, \Gamma_\theta] = 0 \ . \tag{2.12}$$

This can be solved uniquely by

$$\Gamma_\theta = b^{-1}(\rho)\gamma(t,\theta)b(\rho) \ , \tag{2.13}$$

where $\gamma(t,\theta)$ is an arbitrary function of t and θ. Therefore, the degrees of freedom are reduced to $\gamma(t,\theta)$ by the gauge fixing and constraints.

2.3 Global symmetry

We are ready to discuss the global symmetry of the theory with our minimal boundary condition (2.9). The global symmetry is defined to be the residual symmetry after the gauge fixing that leaves the boundary condition (2.9) and the gauge fixing condition (2.10) invariant. The invariance of the gauge fixing condition (2.10), $\delta\Gamma_\rho = 0$, implies that the gauge transformation parameter Λ should satisfy

$$\partial_\rho \Lambda + [\Gamma_\rho, \Lambda] = 0 \ . \tag{2.14}$$

This can be solved uniquely by

$$\Lambda = b^{-1}(\rho)\lambda(t,\theta)b(\rho) \ . \tag{2.15}$$

Now, the invariance of the boundary condition (2.9) imposes a further constraint

$$\partial_- \Lambda\big|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = 0 \ . \tag{2.16}$$

This implies that

$$\lambda(t,\theta) = \lambda(x^+) \ . \tag{2.17}$$

So, the time dependence of the transformations are fixed by the θ dependence. Thus the gauge degrees of freedom are completely fixed to $\lambda(x^+)$.

What we are interested in is to discuss the global algebra generated by these transformations. Note that the global charge of this algebra is given by [31, 32]

$$Q[\Lambda] = -\frac{k_{CS}}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} d\theta \ \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda\Gamma_\theta) \ , \tag{2.18}$$

where Σ is a constant time slice. From our boundary conditions and gauge fixing, we have (2.13) and (2.15), which lead to

$$Q[\Lambda] = -\frac{k_{CS}}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} d\theta \ \mathrm{Tr}(\lambda(\theta)\gamma(\theta)) \ . \tag{2.19}$$

From this expression, given that the symmetry transformation parameters are $\lambda(\theta)$, the generators of the global symmetries are $\gamma(\theta)$ and their algebra is obtained by considering the symmetry transformations of $\gamma(\theta)$. Namely,

$$\delta\gamma(\theta) = \{Q, \gamma(\theta)\} = -\frac{k_{CS}}{2\pi} \int d\theta' \ \lambda(\theta')\{\gamma(\theta'), \gamma(\theta)\} \ , \tag{2.20}$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket. $\delta\gamma(\theta)$ on the left hand side can be derived from the original gauge transformation of Γ_θ. To see this, note that the gauge transformation of Γ_θ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Gamma_\theta &= \partial_\theta\Lambda - [\Gamma_\theta, \Lambda] \\
&= b^{-1}(\rho)(\partial_\theta\lambda(\theta) - [\gamma(\theta), \lambda(\theta)])b(\rho) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Then, by comparing this with $\delta\Gamma_\theta = b^{-1}\delta\gamma(\theta)b$, one obtaines

$$\delta\gamma(\theta) = \partial_\theta\lambda(\theta) - [\gamma(\theta), \lambda(\theta)] \ . \tag{2.22}$$

If one expands $\gamma(\theta)$ in terms of the generators of the gauge group T^a as $\gamma(\theta) = \sum \gamma^a(\theta)T^a$, then the transformations (2.22) can be reproduced by the following Poisson bracket:

$$\{\gamma^a(\theta), \gamma^b(\theta')\} = \frac{2\pi}{k_{CS}} \left[K^{ab}\delta'(\theta - \theta') - f^{ab}{}_c\gamma^c(\theta)\delta(\theta - \theta') \right] \ , \tag{2.23}$$

where K^{ab} is the inverse of the Killing form K_{ab} and $f^{ab}{}_c$ are the structure constants of the gauge group. One can expand $\gamma^a(\theta)$ in modes as

$$\gamma^a(\theta) = \frac{1}{k_{CS}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_m^a e^{-im\theta} \ . \tag{2.24}$$

Then, we get the affine Kac-Moody algebra associated with the gauge group:

$$\{\gamma_m^a, \gamma_n^b\} = imk_{CS}K^{ab}\delta_{m+n,0} - f^{ab}{}_c\gamma_{m+n}^c \ . \tag{2.25}$$

Note that this result is true for general gauge group. As a summary of this section, we reviewed how higher spin supergravity is realized as a Chern-Simons theory and the global symmetry of the theory with the minimal boundary condition (2.9). In the next section, we impose a more restrictive boundary condition and see the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ is realized as the asymptotic symmetry.

3   Super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra as the asymptotic symmetry

The goal of this section is to obtain the non-linear super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ as the asymptotic symmetry by imposing additional boundary conditions. Super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ is a higher-spin extension of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super-Virasoro algebra. The boundary condition to obtain the super-Virasoro algebra from the affine Kac-Moody algebra is known in the literature [28, 36], and we use the same boundary condition and extend their analysis to higher spin cases.

3.1 Boundary condition for super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra

In order to obtain the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ symmetry, we, impose a boundary condition:[4]

$$\left.(\Gamma - \Gamma_{AdS_3})\right|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{O}(1) \,, \tag{3.1}$$

in addition to the minimal boundary condition (2.9), where Γ_{AdS} is the gauge field configuration corresponding to the global AdS geometry and is given explicitly by

$$\Gamma_{AdS_3} = \left[e^\rho L_1^{(2)} + \frac{1}{4} e^{-\rho} L_{-1}^{(2)} \right] d\theta + b(\rho)^{-1} \partial_\rho b(\rho) d\rho + \Gamma_t dt \,. \tag{3.2}$$

where $b(\rho)$ is the same as that in (2.11). The boundary condition (3.1) imposes constraints on $\gamma(\theta)$. To see that, we expand $\gamma(\theta)$ in terms of the $shs[\lambda]$ generators as

$$\gamma(\theta) = \sum_{s,m} a_m^{(s)}(\theta) L_m^{(s)} + \sum_{s,r} \psi_r^{(s)}(\theta) G_r^{(s)} \,. \tag{3.3}$$

This, together with (2.10) and (2.13), fixes the super-connection as:

$$\Gamma = b^{-1}(\rho) \left(a_m^{(s)} L_m^{(s)} + \psi_r^{(s)} G_r^{(s)} \right) b(\rho) d\theta + b^{-1}(\rho) \partial_\rho b(\rho) d\rho + \Gamma_t dt \,, \tag{3.4}$$

where the repeated indices are summed over. Here, the Γ_t is equal to Γ_θ at the boundary due to the boundary condition (2.9), though in the bulk, there is no restriction on it. This time component, however, is expected not to affect the global symmetry of the theory because the charge integral (2.19) is taken on a constant time slice, and the dependence on the time component of the gauge field disappear. Therefore, we will not discuss Γ_t in the rest of the paper.

The $shs[\lambda]$ commutation relations read

$$[L_0^{(2)}, L_m^{(s)}] = -m L_m^{(s)}, \qquad [L_0^{(2)}, G_r^{(s)}] = -r G_r^{(s)} \,. \tag{3.5}$$

which reflect the fact that the commutator of any generator with $L_0^{(2)}$ just gives the conformal weight of the generator. Together with the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula and (2.11), (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$\Gamma = \left(e^{m\rho} a_m^{(s)} L_m^{(s)} + e^{\rho r} \psi_r^{(s)} G_r^{(s)} \right) d\theta + b^{-1}(\rho) \partial_\rho b(\rho) d\rho + \Gamma_t dt \,. \tag{3.6}$$

The boundary condition (3.1) implies that, at the boundary $\rho \to \infty$, the difference between (3.6) and (3.2) is order one. This imposes the following constraints:

$$a_1^{(2)} = 1 \,, \tag{3.7}$$

$$a_m^{(s)} = 0 \qquad (s \geq 3, \ m > 0) \,, \qquad \psi_r^{(s)} = 0 \quad (i > 0) \,. \tag{3.8}$$

The constraints (3.8) are first class because the Poisson bracket, given in (2.23), between any pair of them closes into a linear combination of (3.8).[5] Therefore, each of these first

---

[4]This boundary condition (3.1) has been extensively studied in three-dimensional gravity and its supersymmetric extensions [28, 33–36].

[5]Note that a Poisson bracket between positive frequency modes close into a linear combination of positive frequency modes.

class constraints generates a gauge symmetry. These $(\lfloor s \rfloor - 1) + (\lfloor s \rfloor - 1)$ gauge symmetries are fixed by the following $(\lfloor s \rfloor - 1) + (\lfloor s \rfloor - 1)$ gauge fixing conditions

$$a_m^{(s)} = 0 \quad (-\lfloor s \rfloor + 1 < m \le 0), \qquad \psi_r^{(s)} = 0 \quad (\lfloor -s \rfloor + 3/2 < m < 0) , \qquad (3.9)$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the "floor" function. These conditions are second class because generally commutators $[a_m^{(s)}, a_{-m+1}^{(s)}]$ and $\{\psi_r^{(s)}, \psi_{-r+1}^{(s)}\}$ close into certain linear combinations of constraints plus $a_1^{(2)}$, which is non-vanishing under the constraints. Therefore, the only unconstrained fields are

$$a_{1-\lfloor s \rfloor}^{(s)} \equiv \frac{2\pi}{k_{CS} N_s^B} a_s , \qquad \psi_{3/2+\lfloor -s \rfloor}^{(s)} \equiv \frac{2\pi}{k_{CS} N_s^F} \psi_s . \qquad (3.10)$$

where the normalization functions are defined by $N_s^B = \text{Tr}(L_{-\lfloor s \rfloor + 1}^{(s)} L_{\lfloor s \rfloor - 1}^{(s)})$ and $N_s^F = \text{Tr}(G_{\lceil s \rceil - 3/2}^{(s)} G_{\lfloor -s \rfloor + 3/2}^{(s)})$ with $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ being the "ceiling" function. Their values at small s are listed in appendix A.

The $\gamma(\theta)$ in (3.3) is thus constrained by (3.8), (3.9) to be

$$\gamma(\theta) = L_1 + \frac{2\pi}{k_{CS}} \sum_{s \ge 3/2, s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{N_s^B} a_s(\theta) L_{-\lfloor s \rfloor + 1}^{(s)} + \frac{1}{N_s^F} \psi_s(\theta) G_{\lfloor -s \rfloor + 3/2}^{(s)} \right) . \qquad (3.11)$$

This is the most general form of the super-connection that is compatible with the boundary condition (3.1). In the next subsection, we will derive the symmetry algebra that leaves the form of the super-connection (3.11) invariant.

3.2 Super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ symmetries

We are now ready to discuss the asymptotic symmetry under the boundary condition (3.1). For convenience, we expand the gauge transformation parameter Λ, and the gauge variations of fields $a(\theta)$ and $\psi(\theta)$ in terms of the generators of $shs[\lambda]$ as

$$\Lambda = \sum_{s \ge 3/2, s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_m^{(s)} L_m^{(s)} + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} \epsilon_r^{(s)} G_r^{(s)} \right) , \qquad (3.12)$$

$$\delta a = \sum_s \sum_m c_{s,m}^B L_m^{(s)} , \qquad \delta \psi = \sum_s \sum_r c_{s,r}^F G_r^{(s)} , \qquad (3.13)$$

where we omit the argument θ. Then, under the gauge transformation (2.22), $c_{s,m}^B$ and $c_{s,r}^F$ are found to be

$$c_{s,m}^B = \partial_+ \xi_m^{(s)} + (-m + \lfloor s \rfloor) \xi_{m-1}^{(s)}$$

$$+ \sum_t \left[\sum_u a_{-\lfloor t \rfloor + 1}^{(t)} \xi_{m+\lfloor t \rfloor - 1}^{(s+u-t)} g_u^{t, s+u-t} \left(-\lfloor t \rfloor + 1, m + \lfloor t \rfloor - 1; \lambda \right) \right.$$

$$\left. - \sum_v \psi_{\lfloor -t \rfloor + 3/2}^{(t)} \epsilon_{m+\lfloor -t \rfloor - 3/2}^{(s+v-t)} \tilde{g}_v^{t, s+v-t} \left(\lfloor -t \rfloor + 3/2, m + \lfloor -t \rfloor - 3/2; \lambda \right) \right] , (3.14)$$

$$c_{s,r}^F = \partial_+ \epsilon_r^{(s)} + (-r + \lfloor s+1/2 \rfloor - 1/2)$$

$$+ \sum_t \left[\sum_v a_{-\lfloor t \rfloor + 1}^{(t)} \, \xi_{r+\lfloor t \rfloor - 1}^{(s+v-t)} \, h_v^{t,s+v-t} \big(-\lfloor t \rfloor + 1, r + \lfloor t \rfloor - 1; \lambda \big) \right.$$

$$\left. - \sum_u \psi_{\lfloor -t \rfloor + 3/2}^{(t)} \, \epsilon_{r+\lfloor -t \rfloor - 3/2}^{(s+u-t)} \, \tilde{h}_u^{t,s+u-t} \big(\lfloor -t \rfloor + 3/2, r + \lfloor -t \rfloor - 3/2; \lambda \big) \right] , \quad (3.15)$$

where g_u^{st}, $\tilde{g}_u^{st}$, h_u^{st} and $\tilde{h}_u^{st}$ are the structure constants of $shs[\lambda]$ and we provide examples of their explicit expressions in appendix A. The ranges of summations in (3.14) are

$$\max(1 + |m + \lceil t \rceil - 1|, 1 + \lfloor s \rfloor - \lfloor t \rfloor) \le \lfloor s + u - t \rfloor \qquad \text{and} \qquad 1 \le u \le 2s - \frac{1}{2} \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\max\left(\frac{3}{2} + |m + \lfloor t \rfloor - \frac{3}{2}|, 2 + \lceil s \rceil - \lfloor t \rfloor \right) \le \lceil s + v - t \rceil \qquad \text{and} \qquad 1 \le v \le 2s - \frac{1}{2} \quad (3.16b)$$

The ranges of summations in (3.15) are

$$\max\left(\frac{3}{2} + |m + \lfloor t \rfloor - 1|, 1 + \lceil s \rceil - \lfloor t \rfloor \right) \le \lceil s + v - t \rceil \qquad \text{and} \qquad 1 \le v \le 2s - \frac{1}{2} \quad (3.17a)$$

$$\max(1 + |m + \lceil t \rceil - 1|, 1 + \lceil s \rceil - \lceil t \rceil) \le \lfloor s + u - t \rfloor \qquad \text{and} \qquad 1 \le u \le 2s - \frac{1}{2} \quad (3.17b)$$

The global symmetry consists of the transformations which preserve the structure (3.11). In terms of $c_{s,m}^B$ and $c_{s,r}^F$, preserving (3.11) implies:

$$c_{s,m}^B = 0 \quad \text{for } m \ne -\lfloor s \rfloor + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad c_{s,r}^F = 0 \quad \text{for } r \ne \lfloor -s \rfloor + 3/2 . \quad (3.18)$$

One can solve these conditions. As a result, we find that the only independent transformation parameters are

$$\eta_s \equiv \xi_{\lfloor s \rfloor - 1}^{(s)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \epsilon_s \equiv \epsilon_{\lceil s \rceil - 3/2}^{(s)} \quad (3.19)$$

and all other parameters can be expressed in terms of these independent parameters.

Once all the transformation parameters $\xi_m^{(s)}$, $\epsilon_r^{(s)}$ are solved in terms of η_s and ϵ_s, one can compute the variation of a's and ψ's (3.13). These variations can be written as:

$$\delta_s^B a_t = \frac{k_{CS}}{2\pi} N_t^B c_{t,1-\lfloor t \rfloor}^B (\eta_s) , \qquad\qquad \delta_s^F a_t = \frac{k_{CS}}{2\pi} N_t^B c_{t,1-\lfloor t \rfloor}^B (\epsilon_s) ,$$

$$\delta_s^B \psi_t = \frac{k_{CS}}{2\pi} N_t^F c_{t,\lfloor -t \rfloor + 3/2}^F (\eta_s) , \qquad\qquad \delta_s^F \psi_t = \frac{k_{CS}}{2\pi} N_t^F c_{t,\lfloor -t \rfloor + 3/2}^F (\epsilon_s) . \quad (3.20)$$

where $\delta_s^{B(F)}$ represents a variation corresponding to the bosonic (fermionic) generator with spin s. The argument (η_s) means that we turn on η_s and set ϵ_s to zero, and similar for (ϵ_s).

Calculating the global symmetry algebra amounts to solve (3.18) and express all $\xi_m^{(s)}$ and $\epsilon_r^{(s)}$ in (3.20) in terms of η_s and ϵ_s. While solving (3.18) in full generality is a difficult task, we focus on the variations including the lower spin generators. First of all, we find that the variations including $s = 3/2$ and $s = 2$ are given by

$$\delta_2^B a_2 = 2 a_2 \eta' + a_2' \eta - \frac{k_{CS}}{4\pi} \eta''' , \quad (3.21a)$$

$$\delta_2^B a_{3/2} = 0 \, , \tag{3.21b}$$

$$\delta_{3/2}^B a_{3/2} = -\frac{k_{CS}}{\pi}\eta' \, , \tag{3.21c}$$

$$\delta_2^B \psi_2 = \frac{3}{2}\psi_2\eta' + \psi_2'\eta + \frac{\pi}{k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi_{3/2}\eta \, , \tag{3.21d}$$

$$\delta_2^B \psi_{3/2} = \frac{3}{2}\psi_{3/2}\eta' + \psi_{3/2}'\eta + \frac{\pi}{k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi_2\eta \, , \tag{3.21e}$$

$$\delta_{3/2}^B \psi_2 = \psi_{3/2}\eta \, , \tag{3.21f}$$

$$\delta_{3/2}^B \psi_{3/2} = \psi_2\eta \, , \tag{3.21g}$$

$$\delta_2^F \psi_2 = -2a_2\epsilon + \frac{\pi}{k_{CS}}a_{3/2}^2\epsilon + \frac{k_{CS}}{\pi}\epsilon'' \, , \tag{3.21h}$$

$$\delta_2^F \psi_{3/2} = 2a_{3/2}\epsilon' + a_{3/2}'\epsilon \, , \tag{3.21i}$$

$$\delta_{3/2}^F \psi_{3/2} = 2a_2\epsilon - \frac{\pi}{k_{CS}}a_{3/2}^2\epsilon - \frac{k_{CS}}{\pi}\epsilon'' \, , \tag{3.21j}$$

where η' represents $\frac{\partial\eta(\theta)}{\partial\theta}$ and the subscripts s of η_s, which are the same s as in the $\delta_s^{B(F)}$, are omitted.

To reproduce the standard form of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super-Virasoro algebra, one first needs to, as in [28], redefine the a_2 as

$$a_2^{\mathrm{SVA}} = a_2 + \frac{\pi}{2k_{CS}}a_{3/2}a_{3/2} \tag{3.22}$$

and the fermionic generators as $\psi_+^{\mathrm{SVA}} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_2 + \psi_{3/2})$ and $\psi_-^{\mathrm{SVA}} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_2 - \psi_{3/2})$, where $\psi_\pm^{\mathrm{SVA}}$ has $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ charge ± 1. Then, one can convert the variation into Poisson bracket using (2.20) and expand the fields into modes using

$$\mathcal{O}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_p e^{ip\theta} \, . \tag{3.23}$$

Plugging this into the Poisson bracket gives the commutators between the modes. Finally, one needs to modify the zero mode of a_2^{SVA} as

$$a_{2,p}^{\mathrm{SVA}} \to a_{2,p}^{\mathrm{SVA}} - \frac{k_{CS}}{4}\delta_{p,0} \, . \tag{3.24}$$

For example, the commutator between two a_2^{SVA}'s reproduces the Virasoro algebra:

$$[(a_2)_m, \ (a_2)_n] = (m-n)(a_2)_{m+n} + \frac{c_{\mathrm{AdS}}}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0}, \qquad \text{where } c_{\mathrm{AdS}} = 6k_{CS} \, . \tag{3.25}$$

This is how we obtain the standard form of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super-Virasoro algebra.

We have also shown that the variations of a_s and ψ_s with $s = 3/2, 2$ with respect to generators with the spin greater than two satisfy

$$\delta_n^B a_2 = \lfloor n\rfloor a_n\eta' + (\lfloor n\rfloor - 1)a_n'\eta - \frac{k_{CS}}{4\pi}\eta'''\delta_{n,2} \, , \tag{3.26a}$$

$$\delta_n^F a_2 = (\lfloor -n\rfloor + 1/2)\psi_n\epsilon' + (\lfloor -n\rfloor + 3/2)\psi_n'\epsilon + FB_{n,2} \, , \tag{3.26b}$$

$$\delta_n^B a_{3/2} = 0 \;, \qquad\qquad \delta_{n-1/2}^B a_{3/2} = 0 \;, \tag{3.26c}$$

$$\delta_{n-1/2}^F a_{3/2} = -\psi_n \epsilon \;, \tag{3.26d}$$

$$\delta_n^F a_{3/2} = -\psi_{n-1/2} \epsilon \;, \tag{3.26e}$$

$$\delta_n^B \psi_2 = (n-1/2)\psi_n \eta' + (n-1)\psi_n' \eta + BF_{n,2} \;, \tag{3.26f}$$

$$\delta_{n-1/2}^B \psi_2 = \psi_{n-1/2}\eta \;, \tag{3.26g}$$

$$\delta_n^F \psi_2 = -2a_n \epsilon + FF_{n,2} \;, \tag{3.26h}$$

$$\delta_{n-1/2}^F \psi_2 = (2-2n)a_{n-1/2}\epsilon' + (3-2n)a_{n-1/2}'\epsilon \;, \tag{3.26i}$$

$$\delta_n^B \psi_{3/2} = (n-1/2)\psi_{n-1/2}\eta' + (n-1)\psi_{n-1}'\eta + BF_{n,3/2} \;, \tag{3.26j}$$

$$\delta_{n-1/2}^B \psi_{3/2} = \psi_n \eta \;, \tag{3.26k}$$

$$\delta_n^F \psi_{3/2} = (2n-2)a_{n-1/2}\epsilon' + (2n-3)a_{n-1/2}'\epsilon \;, \tag{3.26l}$$

$$\delta_{n-1/2}^F \psi_{3/2} = 2a_n \epsilon + FF_{n-1/2,3/2} \;, \tag{3.26m}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $BF_{i,j}, FB_{i,j}$ and $FF_{i,j}$ represent the non-linear terms, whose explicit forms are given in appendix C. The results (3.26a) and (3.26b) correspond to the conditions that a's and ψ's are primary fields at least at linear order.

Finally, we present the variations including $s = 5/2$ and $s = 3$.[6] The bosonic variations of a's are

$$\delta_{5/2}^B a_{5/2} = \frac{1-4\lambda}{3}\left[2a_{5/2}\eta' + a_{5/2}'\eta\right] - N_{5/2}^B\left[2a_2\eta' + a_2'\eta\right] + \frac{k_{CS}N_{5/2}^B}{4\pi}\eta''' \;, \tag{3.27}$$

$$\delta_{5/2}^B a_3 = 3a_{7/2}\eta' + a_{7/2}'\eta + \frac{1-4\lambda}{15}\left[3a_3\eta' + a_3'\eta\right] + BB_{5/2,3} \;, \tag{3.28}$$

$$\delta_3^B a_3 = 4a_4\eta' + 2a_4'\eta - \frac{N_3^B}{12}\left[2a_2'''\eta + 9a_2'' + 15a_2'\eta'' + 10a_2\eta'''\right]$$
$$+ \frac{1-4\lambda}{60}\left[2a_{5/2}'''\eta + 9a_{5/2}'' + 15a_{5/2}'\eta'' + 10a_{5/2}\eta'''\right] + \frac{k_{CS}N_3^B}{48}\eta'''' + BB_{3,3} \;, \tag{3.29}$$

where $BB_{i,j}$ are the non-linear terms and the explicit forms are given in appendix C. The bosonic variations of ψ's are

$$\delta_{5/2}^B \psi_{5/2} = \psi_4 \eta + \frac{1-4\lambda}{15}\left[5\psi_{5/2}\eta' + 2\psi_{5/2}'\eta\right] - \frac{N_3^B}{12}\left[6\psi_2\eta'' + 4\psi_2'\eta' + \psi_2''\eta\right] + BF_{5/2,5/2} \;, \tag{3.30}$$

$$\delta_{5/2}^B \psi_3 = \eta\psi_{7/2} + \frac{1-4\lambda}{15}\left[2\eta\psi_3' + 5\eta'\psi_3\right] - \frac{N_3^F}{12}\left[\eta\psi_{3/2}'' + 4\eta'\psi_{3/2}' + 6\eta''\psi_{3/2}\right] + BF_{5/2,3} \;, \tag{3.31}$$

$$\delta_3^B \psi_3 = \frac{7}{2}\eta'\psi_4 + 2\eta\psi_4' - \frac{1-4\lambda}{30}\left[2\eta\psi_{5/2}'' + 6\eta'\psi_{5/2}' + 5\eta''\psi_{5/2}\right]$$
$$- \frac{N_3^F}{24}\left[4\eta\psi_2''' + 15\eta'\psi_2'' + 20\eta''\psi_2' + 10\eta'''\psi_2\right] + BF_{3,3} \;. \tag{3.32}$$

---

[6]The computations of the variations including $s = 5/2$ and $s = 3$ were done using computer. As an illustration, the computation of $\delta_{5/2}^B a_{5/2}$ is presented in appendix B.1.

The fermionic variations of ψ's are

$$\delta^F_{5/2}\psi_{5/2} = 2a_4\epsilon + \frac{1-4\lambda}{15}\left[3a''_{5/2}\epsilon + 10a'_{5/2}\epsilon' + 10a_{5/2}\epsilon''\right]$$
$$-\frac{N^B_3}{6}\left[3a''_2\epsilon + 10a'_2\epsilon' + 10a_2\epsilon''\right] + \frac{k_{CS}N^B_3}{12\pi}\epsilon'''' + FF_{5/2,5/2} , \qquad (3.33)$$

$$\delta^F_{5/2}\psi_3 = -3a'_{7/2}\epsilon - 6a_{7/2}\epsilon' + \frac{2(1-4\lambda)}{15}\left[a'_3\epsilon + 2a_3\epsilon'\right]$$
$$+\frac{N^B_3}{12}\left[a'''_{3/2}\epsilon + 4a''_{3/2}\epsilon' + 6a'_{3/2}\epsilon'' + 4a_{3/2}\epsilon'''\right] + FF_{5/2,3} , \qquad (3.34)$$

$$\delta^F_3\psi_3 = -2a_4\epsilon - \frac{1-4\lambda}{15}\left[3a''_{5/2}\epsilon + 10a'_{5/2}\epsilon' + 10a_{5/2}\epsilon''\right]$$
$$+\frac{N^B_3}{6}\left[3a''_2\epsilon + 10a'_2\epsilon' + 10a_2\epsilon''\right] - \frac{k_{CS}N^B_3\epsilon''''}{12\pi} + FF_{3,3} . \qquad (3.35)$$

Note that the variation (3.27) does not have any non-linear terms, it can be converted into a commutator of the algebra after the shift (3.24)

$$[(a_{\frac{5}{2}})_m, \ (a_{\frac{5}{2}})_n] = \frac{1-4\lambda}{3}(m-n)(a_{\frac{5}{2}})_{m+n} - N^B_{5/2}(m-n)(a_2)_{m+n} - \frac{k_{CS}N^B_{5/2}}{2}(m^3-m)\delta_{m+n,0} \quad (3.36)$$

This plays an important role in section 4, where we compare it with the commutator in the dual CFT.

The super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ we have just obtained is non-linear due to the non-vanishing curvature of AdS$_3$. As in the bosonic case [8, 37], these non-linear terms drop once the curvature is taken to zero, and the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra further reduces to the $shs[\lambda]$ algebra if one takes its wedge subalgebra. To see this, one first converts the shift in mode (3.24) back to a shift in the energy-momentum tensor according to (3.23):

$$a_2 \to a_2 - \frac{k_{CS}}{8\pi} . \qquad (3.37)$$

Applying this to the variations of the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra will generate some linear terms from the nonlinear terms. The remaining nonlinear terms are negligible in the vanishing curvature limit. The mode expansion according to (3.23) then takes us back to the $shs[\lambda]$ algebra[7] (e.g. (A.8)).

4 Identification with the $\mathbb{C}P^n$ chiral algebras

In this section, we examine the duality between $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin supergravity and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $\mathbb{C}P^n$ model at large n [21] from the perspective of the symmetry. The authors of [21] proposed in their work that $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher-spin supergravity based on $shs[\lambda] \times shs[\lambda]$ algebra is equivalent to the large-n limit of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Kazama-Suzuki type coset model (1.1) (known as $\mathbb{C}P^n$ model [22]) with

$$n, k \to \infty , \qquad \lim_{n,k\to\infty} \frac{n}{2(n+k)} = \lambda , \qquad c_{\text{AdS}} = c_{\text{CFT}} . \qquad (4.1)$$

---

[7]Here we do not consider the central terms in the super-W_∞ algebra.

One can check that the relation between the central charge and the Chern-Simons level is consistent with the asymptotic super-Virasoro algebra (See (3.21a), for example.). The central charge of the coset model c_{CFT} is known to be $3nk/(n+k+1)$, so after taking the 't Hooft limit, one obtains the identification

$$k_{CS} = \frac{n(1-2\lambda)}{2} \ . \tag{4.2}$$

The goal of this section is to check this duality by understanding the underlying symmetries. The global symmetry of the higher-spin supergravity forms the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra we obtained in the previous section, and the procedure we followed to get the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra coincides with the classical Drinfeld-Sokolov (CDS) reduction of the $shs[\lambda]$ algebra. On the other side of the duality, we consider the large-n limit of the chiral algebra $\mathcal{SW}_n$ of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ $\mathbb{CP}^n$ model which comes from the quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov (QDS) reduction of the Lie superalgebra $A(n, n-1)$ [38–40]. We propose that the $\mathcal{SW}_n$ algebra in the large n limit coincides with the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ with the parameter identifications (4.1). In the following two subsections, we carry out two non-trivial checks to support the above proposal. We first check the matching of the two algebras and then the relation between the representations of the two algebras.

4.1 Large n limits of the $\mathbb{CP}^n$ chiral algebra

In this section, we match the two algebras by explicitly showing that the variation of the higher-spin fields $a_{\frac{5}{2}}$ under the asymptotic symmetry transformation agrees with the OPE of the corresponding operators in the 't Hooft limit of the $\mathbb{CP}^n$ model. This non-trivial check partially supports the claim that the two algebras are identical.

Before the actual check, we briefly review the chiral algebra structure of the $\mathbb{CP}^n$ minimal model. The chiral algebra $\mathcal{SW}_n$ can be derived from $A(n, n-1)$ by the QDS reduction [40]. Concretely, the higher-spin currents can be obained from the super-Lax operator

$$L(Z) \equiv \ : (aD - \Theta_{2n+1}(Z))(aD - \Theta_{2n}(Z)) \cdots (aD - \Theta_1(Z)) : , \tag{4.3}$$

where $Z = (z, \theta)$ is the $\mathcal{N}=1$ superspace coordinate with z being bosonic and θ being fermionic, $D = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ is the super-covariant derivative, $:\ :$ denotes the normal ordering, a is a bosonic parameter from the QDS reduction and $\Theta_i(Z) = (-1)^{i-1}(\Lambda_i - \Lambda_{i-1}, \ D\Phi(Z))$. Here, Λ_i is a fundamental weight of $A(n, n-1)$ with $\Lambda_0 = 0 = \Lambda_{2n+1}$, Φ is a free chiral superfield, taking values in the root space of $A(n, n-1)$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ represents the inner product on the root space. One can expand $L(Z)$ in terms of aD by moving aD to the very right of the expression, then the coefficients of different powers of aD are the generators of the super-W_n algebra [41]:

$$L(Z) = (aD)^{2n+1} + \sum_{i=2}^{2n+1} W_{\frac{i}{2}}(Z)(aD)^{2n+1-i} \ . \tag{4.4}$$

The superfields W_k decompose into components fields. We present here how the first few W_k's are decomposed:

$$W_1(Z) = W_1^-(z) + i\theta[G_2^+(z) + G_2^-(z)] ,$$

$$W_{\frac{3}{2}}(Z) = a[iG_2^-(z) + \theta W_2^+(z)] \, ,$$
$$W_2(Z) = W_2^-(z) + i\theta[G_3^+(z) + G_3^-(z)] \, ,$$
$$W_{\frac{5}{2}}(Z) = a[iG_3^-(z) + \theta W_3^+(z)] \, ,$$

where $W_i^{\pm}$ are bosonic generators with conformal weight i and $G_i^{\pm}$ are fermionic generators with conformal weight $i - \frac{1}{2}$. We identify W_1^- and W_2^+ with the familiar U(1) charge and the energy momentum tensor J and T respectively. In addition to the matching of the central charge, we can match the higher spin fields on the both side of the duality now. The dictionary between the higher-spin fields in the asymptotic algebra and the primaries in the $\mathbb{CP}^n$ model is:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
a_s \leftrightarrow W_s^+ \, , & a_{t+1/2} \leftrightarrow W_t^- \, , & \psi_s \leftrightarrow G_s^+ \, , & \psi_{t+1/2} \leftrightarrow G_{t+1}^- \\
s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, & s \geq 2 \, , & t \geq 1 \, . &
\end{array}
\tag{4.5}
$$

where the spin of the fields in the AdS side is matched with the conformal weight of the operators in the CFT side.

The OPEs between these operators can be computed from the free field realization of $\mathcal{SW}_n$ algebra [41, 42], where the results are explicitly known for $n = 3$. Computing the OPEs in the 't Hooft limit requires the knowledge of the OPEs at general n, which is complicated in general. Our strategy is to compute the OPEs at several small n first and then extrapolate to results at general n. However, this extrapolation is possible in principle but difficult in practice, because there are non-linear terms in the OPE between higher spin operators. These non-linear terms make the extrapolation to general n difficult. Nevertheless, in the supersymmetric setting, there are examples such as the $W_2^- W_2^-$ OPE that is linear. This makes the general n extrapolation straightforward. For this reason, we restrict our attention to the $W_2^- W_2^-$ OPE.

Since our goal is to compare the algebra in the AdS side and that in the CFT side, we need to redefine the operators in the CFT side in such a way that the higher spin operators in the CFT are in the same bases as the ones in the AdS side. Up to the W_2^- operator, this can be done as follows:

1. Compute the relevant OPEs for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and extrapolating the results to the general n expressions.[8]

2. Redefine the super-Virasoro operators, T and $G^{\pm}$ to $\widetilde{T}$ and $\widetilde{G}^{\pm}$ so that the OPEs between the redefined operators match the variations on the AdS side (3.21).

3. Redefine the W_2^- operator so that its OPEs with the operators J and the $\widetilde{T}$ match with the corresponding variation on the AdS side (3.26c) and (3.26a).

The redefinitions are explicitly given by

$$T \to \widetilde{T} = T - \frac{1}{2}\partial J - \frac{: JJ :}{2n\left(1 + a^2 + na^2\right)} \tag{4.6a}$$

_____
[8]This procedure for $J(z)W_2^-(w)$ OPE is discussed in appendix B.2.

$$W_2^- \to \widetilde{W}_2^- = W_2^- + \frac{1}{2}\left(a^2 - na^2\right)\partial J + \frac{(1-n):JJ:}{2n} - \frac{\left(1+a^2+n^3a^4-n\left(1+a^2+a^4\right)\right)\tilde{T}}{-1+3n^2a^2+3n\left(1+a^2\right)} \quad (4.6b)$$

Now we carry out the OPE between the modified operators $\widetilde{W}_2^-$ on the CFT side

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{W}_2^-(z)\widetilde{W}_2^-(w) = &-\frac{(-1+n)n\left(-1+a^2n\right)\left(1+a^2+a^2n\right)\left(2+a^2+a^2n\right)\left(1+2a^2+a^2n\right)}{2\left(-1+3n+3a^2n+3a^2n^2\right)(z-w)^4} \\
&-\frac{2(1+n)\left(1+a^2n\right)\left(1+a^2+2a^2n\right)\widetilde{W}_2^-}{\left(-1+3\left(1+a^2\right)n+3a^2n^2\right)(z-w)^2} \\
&-\frac{2(-1+n)n\left(-1+a^2n\right)\left(1+a^2+a^2n\right)\left(2+a^2+a^2n\right)\left(1+2a^2+a^2n\right)\tilde{T}}{\left(-1+3\left(1+a^2\right)n+3a^2n^2\right)^2(z-w)^2} \\
&-\frac{(1+n)\left(1+a^2n\right)\left(1+a^2+2a^2n\right)\partial\widetilde{W}_2^-}{\left(-1+3\left(1+a^2\right)n+3a^2n^2\right)(z-w)} \\
&-\frac{n(-1+n)\left(-1+a^2n\right)\left(1+a^2+a^2n\right)\left(2+a^2+a^2n\right)\left(1+2a^2+a^2n\right)\partial\tilde{T}}{\left(-1+3\left(1+a^2\right)n+3a^2n^2\right)^2(z-w)} .
\end{aligned}
\quad (4.7)
$$

According to the duality proposed in [21], we take the 't Hooft limit (4.1):

$$n, k \to \infty , \qquad \lim_{n,k\to\infty} na^2 = -\lim_{n,k\to\infty}\frac{n}{n+k+1} = -2\lambda . \quad (4.8)$$

then the above OPE can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{W}_2^-(z)\widetilde{W}_2^-(w)\bigg|_{\text{'t Hooft limit}} = &-\frac{(2\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(1-\lambda)n}{3(z-w)^4} \\
&-\frac{2(3(1-4\lambda)\widetilde{W}_2^- + 2(2\lambda+1)(\lambda-1)\widetilde{T})}{9(z-w)^2} \\
&-\frac{3(1-4\lambda)\partial\widetilde{W}_2^- + 2(2\lambda+1)(\lambda-1)\partial\widetilde{T}}{9(z-w)} ,
\end{aligned}
\quad (4.9)
$$

where we keep only the leading term at large n.

The OPEs in the CFT are functions of complex variables z and w, while the variations of higher-spin fields under the asymptotic symmetry are functions of variable θ, so we cannot compare them directly. Therefore, we first convert the results on the both sides to commutators between modes. The converting in the AdS side is given in (3.23) and the discussion there. The mode expansion on the CFT side is defined by:

$$\widetilde{W} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{W}_n z^{-n-h_{\widetilde{W}}} \quad (4.10)$$

where $h_{\widetilde{W}}$ is the conformal weight of $\widetilde{W}$. Plugging this into the OPE (4.9) and redefining $\widetilde{W}_2^- \to -\widetilde{W}_2^-$ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\widetilde{W}_{2,m}^-, \widetilde{W}_{2,n}^-] = &\frac{(1+2\lambda)(1-2\lambda)(1-\lambda)n}{18}(m^3-m)\delta_{m+n,0} \\
&+\frac{2(1+2\lambda)(1-\lambda)}{9}(m-n)\widetilde{T}_{m+n} + \frac{(1-4\lambda)}{3}(m-n)\widetilde{W}_{2,m+n}^-
\end{aligned}
\quad (4.11)
$$

From the dictionary (4.5), we expect that this commutation relation should match with the $[(a_{\frac{5}{2}})_m, (a_{\frac{5}{2}})_n]$ commutator (3.36) in the asymptotic symmetry algebra. Using the relation between the central charge and the Chern-Simons level (4.2) and $N_B^{5/2} = (2/9)(-1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)$, one sees that this commutator exactly agrees with the one in the CFT side (4.11), including the numerical coefficients!

This computation is possible only in the supersymmetric case, since in the bosonic case, the OPE between any pair of higher-spin generators contains non-linear terms and the large-n extrapolation is difficult as discussed above. However, in the supersymmetric case, there exists an OPE (4.9) that is linear and the extrapolation is straightforward to carry out. Note that the generator $\widetilde{W}_2^-$ is introduced by the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry so the possibility of this check is available to us only by introducing supersymmetry.

4.2 Degenerate representations

In this section, we compare the degenerate representations, whose Verma modules are truncated by null vectors, on both AdS and CFT sides. We show that any degenerate representation of the $\mathbb{C}P^n$ model in the 't Hooft limit can be a degenerate representation of the asymptotic symmetry algebra of the higher-spin supergravity theory and vice versa.

Finding the degenerate representations on the CFT side is straightforward. The chiral algebra of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $\mathbb{C}P^n$ minimal model is shown to be the $\mathcal{SW}_n$ algebra that can be derived from the Lie superalgebra $A(n, n-1)$ by the QDS reduction [41]. We can find the degenerate representations by explicitly constructing the null vectors in the modules and the resulting expressions for the degenerate representations are known (see e.g. [41, 43] and reference therein). We then take the 't Hooft limit by simply applying the limit (4.1) to the representations.

The degenerate representations of the asymptotic algebra are not explicitly known in the literature. We thus take a step back and find them indirectly. First, note that the way we get the asymptotic super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra on the AdS side is the same as the classical Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction of $shs[\lambda]$. Secondly, we utilize the fact that $shs[\lambda]$ is realized by analytically continuing $A(n, n-1)$ to $n = -2\lambda$ [10, 44]. Thirdly, we know that the Quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction of $A(n, n-1)$ gives $\mathcal{SW}_n$ algebra and we know how to find its degenerate representations. Finally, one can take the classical limit that reduces the Quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction to the Classical Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction. This limit corresponds to taking the level of QDS reduction, k_{DS}, to infinity.[9] Thus, we can start with any degenerate representation of the algebra $\mathcal{SW}_n$ and apply the combination of these operation: $n = -2\lambda, k_{DS} \to \infty$, then the resulting representation is a degenerate representation of the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra.

With this reasoning in mind, we can compare the degenerate representations on both sides by starting with any degenerate representation of $\mathcal{SW}_n$, taking the two limits, (i) Super-higher-spin limit: $n = -2\lambda, k_{DS} \to \infty$ and (ii) the 't Hooft limit:

---

[9]In the duality, the central charges on both sides are identified. Since the central charge diverges in the 't Hooft limit in the CFT side, this implies that the central charge of the AdS side should also diverges. This is equivalent to taking $k_{DS} \to \infty$, according to (4.15) and $n = -2\lambda$, $\alpha_-^2 = k_{DS} + 1$.

$n, k \to \infty$, $\lim\limits_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{n+k+1} = 2\lambda$. Then we compare the spectra of conformal weights and the U(1) charges of the two resulting representations. The relation is clear in the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A(n, n-1) & \xrightarrow{\ n \to -2\lambda\ } & shs[\lambda] \\[2pt]
\big\downarrow {\scriptstyle \text{QDS}} & & \big\downarrow {\scriptstyle \text{CDS}} \\[4pt]
\mathcal{SW}_n & \xrightarrow[\substack{n \to -2\lambda \\ k_{DS} \to \infty}]{\text{classical limit}} & \text{super-}W_\infty[\lambda]
\end{array}
\qquad\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2 \text{ Proposal} \\[10pt]
\mathcal{SW}_n \ \xrightarrow[\ ?\ ]{\text{'t Hooft limit}}\ \text{super-}W_\infty[\lambda]
\end{array}
$$

Let us now move on to computing the degenerate representations. We start from any degenerate representation of $\mathcal{SW}_n$. In the bosonic sector, the highest weight state of the module is characterized by a weight of the form:

$$\Lambda = \alpha_+ \Lambda_+ + \alpha_- \Lambda_- \ , \tag{4.12}$$

where $\alpha_- = -\sqrt{k_{DS}+1}$ and $\alpha_- \alpha_+ = -1$. Λ_+ and Λ_- are linear combinations of fundamental weights with non-negative integer coefficients. For a given Λ, the conformal dimension is represented as [40, 43]

$$h(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\alpha_- \rho) \ , \tag{4.13}$$

where ρ is the dual Weyl vector [45]. The $U(1)_R$ charge is given by

$$Q(\Lambda) = -\alpha_-(\Lambda, \nu) \ , \tag{4.14}$$

where ν is the generator of the center of the $A(n, n-1)$ algebra.

We first consider the spectrum in the CFT side. To find out the relation between k_{DS} and the level in the coset model k, we match the central charge of $\mathcal{SW}_n$ from the QDS reduction with that of the $\mathbb{CP}^n$ coset model [40, 41, 43]. It yields

$$c_{mm} = \frac{3nk}{n+k+1}$$
$$c_{DS} = 3n(1 - (n+1)\alpha_-^2) \tag{4.15}$$
$$c_{mm} = c_{DS} \Rightarrow k_{DS} + 1 = \frac{1}{n+k+1} \ , \tag{4.16}$$

where the subscript mm stands for the minimal model. Therefore, $k_{DS} + 1 \to 0$ ($\alpha_- \to 0^-$ and $\alpha_+ \to \infty$) in the 't Hooft limit. What we are interested in is to extract representations with finite conformal dimensions in the 't Hooft limit. The finiteness of the conformal dimensions yields strong constraints on the allowed value of weight Λ. Plugging the Λ given in (4.12) into (4.13), we have the following expression for the conformal weight of a general degenerate representation:[10]

$$h_{mm}(\Lambda) = \frac{n+k+1}{2}(\Lambda_+, \Lambda_+) - (\Lambda_-, \Lambda_+) + \frac{(\Lambda_-, \Lambda_-)}{2(n+k+1)} - (\Lambda_+, \rho) + \frac{(\Lambda_-, \rho)}{n+k+1} \ . \tag{4.17}$$

To further evaluate the inner products between weights, we decompose the weight Λ_+ and Λ_- of the Lie superalgebra $sl(n+1|n)$ into sums of weights $\Lambda_\pm^{(1)}, \Lambda_\pm^{(2)}, Q_\pm$ of the bosonic

---

[10]We replace the α_+^2's in various places by $k_{DS}+1$ to simplify the expressions.

subalgebra $sl(n+1) \oplus sl(n) \oplus u(1)$ of the $sl(n+1|n)$. This decomposition has already been considered in [40]. It reads

$$\Lambda_\pm = \Lambda_\pm^{(1)} + \Lambda_\pm^{(2)} + Q_\pm \nu/(n+1) \,, \tag{4.18}$$

where we have factored out the explicit n dependence in the U(1) part, according to the analysis in [40] so that $Q_\pm \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. We can further choose sets of orthogonal bases e_i, d_i of the $sl(n+1)$ and $sl(n)$ on which the $\Lambda_\pm^{(1)}$ and $\Lambda_\pm^{(2)}$ can be expanded. The bases satisfy

$$e_i \cdot e_j = \delta_{ij} \,, \qquad d_i \cdot d_j = -\delta_{ij} \,, \tag{4.19}$$

where the negative signatures of the inner products between d_i bases are required due to the negative signature of the $sl(n)$ part of the $sl(n+1|n)$. An advantage to adopt this decomposition is that we can directly use the results in the bosonic computation in [10]. Thus, as in [10], we consider the following formal expansion of the weights:

$$\Lambda_+^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} l_i \, e_i \,, \qquad l_i = r_i^{(1+)} - \frac{B^{(1+)}}{n+1} \,, \qquad B^{(1+)} = \sum r_i^{(1+)} \,, \tag{4.20}$$

$$\Lambda_+^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \, d_i \,, \qquad k_i = r_i^{(2+)} - \frac{B^{(2+)}}{n} \,, \qquad B^{(2+)} = \sum r_i^{(2+)} \,, \tag{4.21}$$

$$\Lambda_-^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i \, e_i \,, \qquad p_i = r_i^{(1-)} - \frac{B^{(1-)}}{n+1} \,, \qquad B^{(1-)} = \sum r_i^{(1-)} \,, \tag{4.22}$$

$$\Lambda_-^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \, d_i \,, \qquad q_i = r_i^{(2-)} - \frac{B^{(2-)}}{n} \,, \qquad B^{(2-)} = \sum r_i^{(2-)} \,, \tag{4.23}$$

where r_i^x is the number of boxes in the ith row of the Young tableau corresponding to the weight x, and B^x represent the total number of boxes in the Young tableau. With these notations, we can compute the conformal weights in the two limits and express the results in terms of the Young tableau associated to each of the bosonic weights.

Requiring the representations to have finite conformal weights in the 't Hooft limit yields the following conditions:

$$B^{(2+)} = B^{(1+)}, \qquad \sum (r_i^{(2+)})^2 = \sum (r_i^{(1+)})^2 \qquad Q_+ = 0 \,. \tag{4.24}$$

The conformal weight is thus[11]

$$h_{mm}(\Lambda) = 2\lambda(B^{(1-)} - B^{(2-)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^{(1+)}(i - r_i^{(1-)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i^{(2+)}(r_i^{(2-)} - i) - B^{(1+)} \,. \tag{4.25}$$

---

[11]The λ-dependent part can be shown to be non-negative. From the decomposition (4.18) (see also [41]) and (4.20)–(4.23) (see also [10]), one can show that

$$B^{(1-)} = \sum_{s=1}^{N} s(m_{2s-1} + m_{2s}) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} s \, m_{2s} + \sum_{s'=0}^{N-1} (s'+1) \, m_{2s'+1}$$

$$B^{(2-)} = \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} s(m_{2s} + m_{2s+1}) = \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} s \, m_{2s} + \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} s \, m_{2s+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad B^{(1-)} - B^{(2-)} = N m_{2N} + \sum_{s=1}^{N} m_{2s-1} \geq 0 \,,$$

where the m_i is a non-negative Dynkin coefficient of the weight Λ of the original $sl(n+1|n)$ algebra.

One can also compute the U(1) charge (4.14) under the requirement (4.24). It reads

$$q_{mm}(\Lambda) = 2\lambda\, Q_- \ . \tag{4.26}$$

The fermionic sector represents the affine Lie algebra $SO(2n)$ at level one. The degenerate representation is characterized by a weight $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and the contributions to the conformal weight and the $U(1)_R$ charge are $\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Lambda}^2$ and $\sum_i \tilde{\Lambda}_i$, respectively [22]. Therefore, the conformal weight of a degenerate representation ends up with

$$h_{mm}(\Lambda) = 2\lambda(B^{(1-)} - B^{(2-)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^{(1+)}(i - r_i^{(1-)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i^{(2+)}(r_i^{(2-)} - i) - B^{(1+)} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Lambda}^2 \ , \tag{4.27}$$

and the $U(1)_R$ charge with

$$q_{mm}(\Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}) = 2\lambda Q_- + \sum_i \tilde{\Lambda}_i \ . \tag{4.28}$$

Then, we compute the conformal weights and $U(1)_R$ charges of degenerate representations of the symmetry algebra in the AdS side. We take the limits $n \to -2\lambda$ and $k_{DS} \to \infty$, where the general expression for the conformal weight reads

$$h_{HS}(\Lambda) = \frac{(\Lambda_+, \Lambda_+)}{2(k_{DS}+1)} - (\Lambda_-, \Lambda_+) + \frac{(k_{DS}+1)}{2}(\Lambda_-, \Lambda_-) - (\Lambda_+, \rho) + (k_{DS}+1)(\Lambda_-, \rho) \ . \tag{4.29}$$

In this limit, one needs to set $\Lambda_- = 0$ to get representations with finite conformal weights. The conformal weight can be evaluated as

$$h_{HS}(\Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}) = 2\lambda(B^{(1+)} - B^{(2+)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} i\, r_i^{(1+)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i\, r_i^{(2+)} - B^{(1+)} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Lambda}^2 \ . \tag{4.30}$$

The $U(1)_R$ charge is evaluated as

$$q_{HS}(\Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}) = 2\lambda Q_+ + \sum_i \tilde{\Lambda}_i \ . \tag{4.31}$$

Note that in these expressions, the symbols $B, r, \tilde{\Lambda}$ are different from those in the other limit.

Now, we can compare the two limits. We see that the spectra of U(1) charges match in a simple way. The spectra of λ-dependent part of the conformal dimensions also match straightforwardly. But the matching of the λ-independent part in the conformal dimensions is not obvious since they have different expressions. We thus leave a more careful check of the matching of the λ-independent part in future work and we conclude here that any degenerate representation of the CFT in the 't Hooft limit *can* be a representation of the bulk higher-spin theory and vise versa. This provides another piece of evidence for the validity of the duality.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have analyzed the asymptotic symmetry of the supergravity theory supersymmetrically coupled to an infinite tower of higher-spin fields. The matching of this asymptotic symmetry algebra with the chiral algebra of the $\mathbb{CP}^n$ CFT model in the 't Hooft limit provides another non-trivial check of the recently proposed supersymmetric duality [21]. We have also shown that the degenerate representations on gravity sides can be a degenerate representations of the $\mathbb{CP}^n$ model and vice versa.

For future directions, it would be interesting to extend the matching of the symmetry algebras to higher order. Due to the technical difficulties, we found it hard to obtain the commutators for higher-spin generators in the coset CFT for general n. It is, however, possible in principle, and should provide firmer evidence for the duality. Another direction is to compute the partition function and correlation functions on both sides and see the agreement so as to provide other strong evidence for the duality. The one-loop partition function was discussed in the recent paper [47].

A Super higher-spin algebra $shs[\lambda]$

We briefly summarize the basic facts about the $shs[\lambda]$ algebra. The super-higher-spin algebra is generated by bosonic generators $L_m^{(s)\pm}$ as well as fermionic generators $G_r^{(s)\pm}$. It can be obtained as the wedge subalgebra of the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra constructed in [24].[12] The wedge is taken to be:

$$|m| \leq s - 1, \qquad |r| \leq s - \frac{3}{2}. \tag{A.1}$$

This wedge condition restricts the generators with given spin s to be in finite-dimensional irreducible representations[13] of the bosonic $sl(2,\mathbb{R})$ algebra. A realization of these operators as differential operators in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace is given in [24].

The following definition of the $shs[\lambda]$ algebra is convenient for our later discussion. Consider the universal enveloping algebra of $Osp(1,2)$ factered out an ideal χ:

$$SB[\lambda] = \mathrm{U}(Osp(1,2))/\chi, \qquad \chi = \langle\, C_2(Osp(1,2)) - \lambda\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)\,\rangle, \tag{A.2}$$

---

[12]Note that this super-$W_\infty(\lambda)$ algebra in [24] is not the asymptotic algebra we found in the main text, although accidentally they have the same name.

[13]$\dim(L^{(s)}) = 2s - 1, \dim(G^{(s)}) = 2s - 2,\ s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

where $C_2(Osp(1,2))$ is the quadratic Casimir of $Osp(1,2)$.[14] The super-higher-spin algebra (as a vector space) is identified with a subspace of $SB[\lambda]$:

$$\mathrm{U}(Osp(1,2))/\chi = shs[\lambda] \oplus \mathbb{C}. \tag{A.3}$$

The $\mathbb{C}$ is generated by the identity element $\mathbf{1}$, which corresponds the $L_0^{(1)+}$ generator in the realization [24]. $\mathrm{U}(Osp(1,2))$ is an associative algebra. We denote (associative) multiplication between two elements in $\mathrm{U}(Osp(1,2))$ as $\mathcal{J} \star \mathcal{L}$. The commutator of $shs[\lambda]$ is defined by the multiplication in $\mathrm{U}(Osp(1,2))$:

$$[\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{L}] = \mathcal{J} \star \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L} \star \mathcal{J}. \tag{A.4}$$

In our computation, we further define a bilinear trace of the product of two elements in $SB[\lambda]$:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}) = \left. \frac{\mathcal{K} \star \mathcal{L}}{(2\lambda^2 - \lambda)} \right|_{\mathcal{J}=0}, \quad \forall \mathcal{J} \neq \mathbf{1} \tag{A.5}$$

Note the product $\mathcal{K} \star \mathcal{L}$ can be expanded in terms of generators of $SB[\lambda]$, the right hand side of (A.5) means we keep only terms proportional to $\mathbf{1}$ (or $L_0^{(1)+}$ in the language of [24]) and send all the other generators of $SB[\lambda]$ to zero. We further divide out a factor of $2\lambda^2 - \lambda$ to make sure that our bosonic normalization functions N_s^B, N_s^F, which are bilinear traces of special pairs of elements in $SB[\lambda]$

$$N_s^B = \mathrm{Tr}(L_{-\lfloor s \rfloor + 1}^{(s)} L_{\lfloor s \rfloor - 1}^{(s)}), \qquad N_s^F = \mathrm{Tr}(G_{\lceil s \rceil - 3/2}^{(s)} G_{\lfloor -s \rfloor + 3/2}^{(s)}), \tag{A.6}$$

give the correct value at $s = 2$. We present here some examples of the normalization functions that are used in our computation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\frac{3}{2}}^B &= -2, & N_{\frac{3}{2}}^F &= 2, \\
N_2^B &= -1, & N_2^F &= -2, \\
N_{\frac{5}{2}}^B &= \frac{2}{9}(-1 + \lambda)(1 + 2\lambda), & N_{\frac{5}{2}}^F &= -\frac{2}{3}(-1 + \lambda)(1 + 2\lambda), \\
N_3^B &= \frac{2}{3}(-1 + \lambda)(1 + 2\lambda), & N_3^F &= \frac{2}{3}(-1 + \lambda)(1 + 2\lambda).
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.7}
$$

The algebraic structure of the $shs[\lambda]$ algebra is encoded in the following commutation relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[L_m^{(s)}, L_n^{(t)}]} &= \sum_{u=1}^{s+t-1} g_u^{st}(m, n, \lambda) L_{m+n}^{(s+t-u)} \\
\{G_p^{(s)}, G_q^{(t)}\} &= \sum_{u=1}^{s+t-1} \tilde{g}_u^{st}(p, q, \lambda) L_{p+q}^{(s+t-u)} \\
{[L_m^{(s)}, G_q^{(t)}]} &= \sum_{u=1}^{s+t-1} h_u^{st}(m, q, \lambda) G_{m+q}^{(s+t-u)} \\
{[G_p^{(s)}, L_n^{(t)}]} &= \sum_{u=1}^{s+t-1} \tilde{h}_u^{st}(p, n, \lambda) G_{p+n}^{(s+t-u)}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.8}
$$

---

[14]Factoring out the ideal makes sure that representations with spin s are irreducible.

where $h_u^{st}(m, q, \lambda) = -\tilde{h}_u^{ts}(q, m, \lambda)$. The structure constants $g_u^{st}(m, n, \lambda)$, $\tilde{g}_u^{st}(p, q, \lambda)$, $h_u^{st}(m, q, \lambda)$ can be derived from the associate multiplication (A.4) of $SB[\lambda]$. Here we first review the commutation relations in [24], then we show how to get the structure constants in (A.8) from the results in [24]. The generators of the $shs[\lambda]$ algebra can be expressed in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric language as:

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{(s)}(\Omega^{(s)}) = \sum_{m=1-s}^{s-1} \Lambda_{-m}^{(s)} L_m^{(s)} - \sum_{r=\frac{3}{2}-s}^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \Theta_{-r}^{(s)} G_r^{(s)} \tag{A.9}$$

where $\Lambda_m^{(s)}(\Theta_r^{(s)})$ are Grassmann even (odd) parameters and

$$\Omega^{(s)} = \begin{cases} \Lambda^{(s)+} + 2\theta\Theta^{(s)+}, & s \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \Theta^{(s+\frac{1}{2})-} + \theta\Lambda^{(s-\frac{1}{2})-}, & s \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \tag{A.10}$$

with expansions $\Lambda^{(s)\pm} = \sum_n \Lambda_n^{(s)\pm} z^{n+s-1}$ and $\Theta^{(s)\pm} = \sum_r \Lambda_r^{(s)\pm} z^{r+s-\frac{3}{2}}$. Note we can separate each individual mode $L_m^{(s)}(G_r^{(s)})$ in (A.9) by setting $\Lambda_{-m'}^{(s')} \to \delta_{s,s'}\delta_{m,m'}$ ($\Theta_{-r'}^{(s')} \to \sim \delta_{s,s'}\delta_{r,r'}$). The commutation relation between generators can be computed as follows [24]:

$$\left[\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(\Omega^{(s)}), \mathcal{L}^{(t)}(\Omega^{(t)})\right] = \sum_{u=1}^{s+t-1} \mathcal{L}^{(s+t-u)}(\xi_{(s)(t)}^{(s+t-u)}) \tag{A.11}$$

$$\xi_{(s)(t)}^{(s+t-u)} = f_{st}^u(\lambda) \sum_{i=0}^{2u-2} (-1)^{[\frac{i}{2}+2i(s+u)]} \begin{bmatrix} u-1 \\ i/2 \end{bmatrix} ([2s-u])_{[u-1-i/2]+|2u|_2|2u-2-i|_2}$$

$$\times ([2t-u])_{[i/2]+|2u|_2|i|_2}(D^i\Omega^{(s)})(D^{2u-2-i}\Omega^t), \tag{A.12}$$

where u takes both integer and half integer values, i takes integer values and:

$$|n|_2 \equiv n - 2[n/2],$$
$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \theta\frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$
$$(a)_n = a(a+1)(a+2)\dots(a+n-1), \ (a)_0 = 1,$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \equiv \frac{[a]!}{[b]![a-b]!},$$
$$f_{st}^u(\lambda) = F_{st}^u(\lambda) + (-)^{[-u]+4(s+u)(t+u)}F_{st}^u(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda),$$
$$F_{st}^u(\lambda) = (-)^{[s+t-u-1]}\frac{(2s+2t-2u-2)!}{(2s+2t-[u]-3)!} \sum_{i=0}^{2s-2}\sum_{j=0}^{2t-2} \delta(i+j-2s-2t+2u+2)$$
$$\times A^i(s, \frac{1}{2} - \lambda)A^j(t, \lambda)(-)^{2s+2i(s+t-u)},$$
$$A^i(s, \lambda) = (-)^{[s]+1+2s(i+1)}\begin{bmatrix} s-1 \\ i/2 \end{bmatrix}\frac{([(i+1)/2]+2\lambda)_{[s-1/2]-[(i+1)/2]}}{([s+i/2])_{2s-1-[s+i/2]}}.$$

This form of the commutation relation was derived in [24]. However, it is not convenient for us to use, so we convert the above results to the more familiar form (A.8): the structure

constants $g_u^{st}(m,n,\lambda)$, $\tilde{g}_u^{st}(p,q,\lambda)$, $h_u^{st}(m,q,\lambda)$ are simply the function $\xi_{(s)(t)}^{(s+t-u)}$ with only $\Lambda_{-m}^{(s)}\Lambda_{-n}^{(t)}$, $\Theta_{-p}^{(s)}\Theta_{-q}^{(t)}$ and $\Lambda_{-m}^{(s)}\Theta_{-q}^{(t)}$ turned on respectively as discussed below (A.10).[15]

We give some examples from our computation at small s,t,u,m,n:

$$g_2^{2,\frac{3}{2}}(-1,2,\lambda)=-2, \quad g_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{3}{2}}(-1,2,\lambda)=\frac{4}{3}(-1+4\lambda), \quad g_3^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{5}{2}}(-1,\frac{5}{2},\lambda)=\frac{7}{9}\left(-1-\lambda+2\lambda^2\right),$$

$$\tilde{g}_2^{\frac{3}{2},2}(0,1,\lambda)=-1, \quad \tilde{g}_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{5}{2}}(0,1,\lambda)=\frac{1}{6}(1-4\lambda), \quad \tilde{g}_3^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{7}{2}}(0,1,\lambda)=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\lambda}{2}-\lambda^2,$$

$$h_2^{2,\frac{3}{2}}(-1,2,\lambda)=-\frac{5}{2}, \quad h_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{3}{2}}(-1,2,\lambda)=\frac{7}{15}(-1+4\lambda), \quad h_3^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{5}{2}}(-1,\frac{5}{2},\lambda)=-\frac{2}{3}\left(-1-\lambda+2\lambda^2\right),$$

$$\tilde{h}_2^{\frac{3}{2},2}(0,1,\lambda)=-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \tilde{h}_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2},3}(0,1,\lambda)=\frac{1}{12}(-1+4\lambda), \quad \tilde{h}_3^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{7}{2}}(0,1,\lambda)=-\frac{3}{40}\left(-1-2\lambda+4\lambda^2\right).$$

From the commutation relations of the $shs[\lambda]$ algebra, the generators $L_m^{(s)}, G_r^{(s)}$ with $s \geq N$ generate a proper subalgebra at the special value $\lambda = \frac{1-N}{2}$ with integer $N > 2$. In addition, the bilinear trace (A.5) degenerates, explicitly,

$$\text{Tr}(L_m^{(s)}L_n^{(t)}) = 0, \qquad \text{Tr}(G_p^{(s)}G_q^{(t)}) = 0, \qquad \text{for} \quad s > N. \tag{A.13}$$

This implies that we can consistently set all generators $L_m^{(s)}, G_r^{(s)}$ with $s \geq N$ to zero and obtain a finite Lie superalgebra $sl(N, N-1)$.

B   Two example computations including spin-2 operators

B.1   The computation of the variation $\delta_{5/2}^B a_{5/2}$

In this subsection, we explicitly show the derivation of (3.27). As explained in 3.2, we compute the variation according to (3.20), where $c_{t,n}^B$ is given by (3.14) and N_t^B is given in (A.7). Since we are computing the variation generated by bosonic gauge transformations, all fermionic gauge transformation parameters $\epsilon_m^{(s)}$ are set to zero. Then the only task left is to solve the relevant bosonic gauge parameters $\xi_m^{(5/2)}$ with $m \neq \lfloor 5/2 \rfloor - 1$ in terms of $\eta_{5/2} = \xi_{\lfloor 5/2 \rfloor - 1}^{(5/2)}$, the only independent transformation parameter with $s = 5/2$. The other relevant gauge parameters are related to $\eta_{5/2}$ as

$$\xi_0^{(5/2)} = -\eta_{5/2}'$$

$$\xi_{-1}^{(5/2)} = \frac{2\pi a_2\,\eta_{5/2}}{k_{CS}\,N_2^B} + (1-4\lambda)\frac{2\pi a_{5/2}\,\eta_{5/2}}{3\,k_{CS}\,N_2^B} + \frac{\eta_{5/2}''}{2}\,.$$

Plugging these into (3.14) and (3.18) reproduces (3.27).

B.2   The extrapolation of $J(z)W_2^-(w)$ for general n

In this subsection, we illustrate how we derive $J(z)W_2^-(w)$ OPE for general n by extrapolating from small n results. The extrapolations for other OPEs are done similarly. For

---

[15]We need an extra minus sign for $\tilde{g}_u^{st}(p,q,\lambda)$ due to the ordering of Grassmann variables.

small n, the $J(z)W_2^-(w)$ is given by

$$n = 2 : \qquad J(z)W_2^-(w) = \frac{2a^2(1+3a^2)}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{1+3a^2}{(z-w)^2} + \dots ,$$

$$n = 3 : \qquad J(z)W_2^-(w) = \frac{6a^2(1+4a^2)}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{2(1+4a^2)}{(z-w)^2} + \dots ,$$

$$n = 4 : \qquad J(z)W_2^-(w) = \frac{12a^2(1+5a^2)}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{3(1+5a^2)}{(z-w)^2} + \dots ,$$

$$n = 5 : \qquad J(z)W_2^-(w) = \frac{20a^2(1+6a^2)}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{4(1+6a^2)}{(z-w)^2} + \dots .$$

where ... represents regular terms. We find the following expression fits the above results $J(z)W_2^-(w) = \frac{n(n-1)a^2(1+(n+1)a^2)}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{(n-1)(1+(n+1)a^2)}{(z-w)^2}$, and we claim this as the correct expression for arbitrary n.

C Nonlinear terms in super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$

In this appendix, we present the non-linear terms in the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra obtained in section 3.2. First, the non-linear terms in the commutators of two bosonic generators are

$$BB_{5/2,3} = \frac{4\pi(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}}\psi_{3/2}\psi_{5/2}\eta - \frac{4\pi(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}}\psi_2\psi_3\eta$$
$$+ \frac{\pi N_3^B}{6k_{CS}}(\psi_{3/2}\psi_2' - \psi_{3/2}'\psi_2)\eta ,$$

$$BB_{3,3} = \frac{16\pi N_3^B}{3k_{CS}}a_2(a_2\eta)' - \frac{16\pi(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}}[(a_2a_{5/2})'\eta + 2a_2a_{5/2}\eta']$$
$$+ \frac{16\pi(11+2\lambda-4\lambda^2)}{15k_{CS}N_3^B}a_{5/2}(a_{5/2}\eta)'$$
$$+ \frac{4\pi^2(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}(\psi_{3/2}\psi_{5/2} + \psi_2\psi_3)\eta + \frac{2\pi^2 N_3^B}{3k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}(\psi_{3/2}\psi_2' - \psi_{3/2}'\psi_2)\eta$$
$$+ \frac{11\pi(1-4\lambda)}{30k_{CS}}(2\psi_2\psi_{5/2}\eta' + (\psi_2\psi_{5/2})'\eta - 2\psi_{3/2}\psi_3\eta' - (\psi_{3/2}\psi_2)'\eta)$$
$$+ \frac{7\pi N_3^B}{12k_{CS}}(\psi_2\psi_2'' - \psi_{3/2}\psi_{3/2}'')\eta + \frac{7\pi N_3^B}{6k_{CS}}(\psi_2\psi_2' - \psi_{3/2}\psi_{3/2}')\eta' .$$

Then, the non-linear terms in the commutators of bosonic and fermionic generators are

$$FB_{2,2} = \frac{\pi}{k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi_{3/2}\epsilon ,$$

$$FB_{5/2,2} = \frac{\pi}{k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi_3\epsilon ,$$

$$FB_{3,2} = \frac{\pi}{k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi_{5/2}\epsilon ,$$

$$BF_{3,3/2} = \frac{2\pi}{k_{CS}}(a_{5/2}\psi_2 + a_{3/2}\psi_3)\eta ,$$

$$BF_{3,2} = \frac{2\pi}{k_{CS}}(a_{5/2}\psi_{3/2} + a_{3/2}\psi_{5/2})\eta ,$$

$$BF_{5/2,5/2} = -\frac{6\pi(1-4\lambda)}{5k_{CS}}a_{5/2}\psi_2\eta + \frac{2\pi(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi_3\eta + \frac{3\pi N_3^B}{2k_{CS}}a_2\psi_2\eta$$
$$- \frac{\pi N_3^B}{12k_{CS}}(4a_{3/2}\psi_{3/2}\eta' + 2a_{3/2}\psi'_{3/2}\eta + a'_{3/2}\psi_{3/2}\eta) - \frac{\pi^2 N_3^B}{12k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}^2\psi_2\eta\,,$$

$$BF_{5/2,3} = -\frac{6\pi(1-4\lambda)}{5k_{CS}}a_{5/2}\psi_{3/2}\eta + \frac{2\pi(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi_{5/2}\eta - \frac{\pi^2 N_3^B}{12k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}^2\psi_{3/2}\eta$$
$$- \frac{\pi N_3^B}{12k_{CS}}(a'_{3/2}\psi_2\eta + 4a_{3/2}\psi_2\eta' + 2a_{3/2}\psi'_2\eta) + \frac{3\pi N_3^B}{2k_{CS}}a_2\psi_{3/2}\eta\,,$$

$$BF_{3,3} = \frac{2\pi}{k_{CS}}(a_{3/2}\psi_{7/2} + a_{5/2}\psi_{5/2})\eta - \frac{2\pi(1-4\lambda)}{3k_{CS}}a_3\psi_{3/2}\eta - \frac{23\pi(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}}a_{5/2}\psi_2\eta'$$
$$- \frac{16\pi^2(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}a_{5/2}\psi_{3/2}\eta - \frac{\pi^2(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}^2\psi_{5/2}\eta + \frac{2\pi(1-4\lambda)}{3k_{CS}}a_2\psi_{5/2}\eta$$
$$- \frac{\pi(1-4\lambda)}{5k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi_3\eta' - \frac{16\pi(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}}a_{5/2}\psi'_2\eta - \frac{2\pi(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi'_3\eta$$
$$- \frac{\pi(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}}a'_{3/2}\psi_3\eta - \frac{11\pi(1-4\lambda)}{15k_{CS}}a'_{5/2}\psi_2\eta - \frac{\pi^3 N_3^B}{6k_{CS}^3}a_{3/2}^3\psi_{3/2}\eta$$
$$+ \frac{3\pi^2 N_3^B}{k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}a_2\psi_{3/2}\eta - \frac{5\pi N_3^B}{6k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi_{3/2}\eta''' - \frac{5\pi^2 N_3^B}{8k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}^2\psi_2\eta'$$
$$+ \frac{55\pi N_3^B}{12k_{CS}}a_2\psi_2\eta' - \frac{5\pi N_3^B}{4k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi'_{3/2}\eta' - \frac{\pi^2 N_3^B}{2k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}^2\psi'_2\eta + \frac{3\pi N_3^B}{k_{CS}}a_2\psi'_2\eta$$
$$- \frac{\pi N_3^B}{2k_{CS}}a_{3/2}\psi''_{3/2}\eta - \frac{5\pi N_3^B}{8k_{CS}}a'_{3/2}\psi_{3/2}\eta' - \frac{\pi^2 N_3^B}{2k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}a'_{3/2}\psi_2\eta$$
$$- \frac{\pi N_3^B}{2k_{CS}}a'_{3/2}\psi'_{3/2}\eta + \frac{7\pi N_3^B}{3k_{CS}}a'_2\psi_2\eta - \frac{\pi N_3^B}{6k_{CS}}a''_{3/2}\psi_{3/2}\eta\,.$$

Finally, the non-linear terms in the commutators of two fermionic generators are

$$FF_{3,2} = \frac{4\pi}{k_{CS}}a_{3/2}a_{5/2}\epsilon\,,$$

$$FF_{5/2,3/2} = -\frac{4\pi}{k_{CS}}a_{3/2}a_{5/2}\epsilon\,,$$
$$- \frac{\pi N_{\frac{5}{2}}^F\left(3\epsilon a'^2_{\frac{3}{2}} + 6a_{\frac{3}{2}}^2\epsilon'' + 4a_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(3\epsilon' a'_{\frac{3}{2}} + \epsilon a''_{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\right)}{12k_{CS}} - \frac{3\pi\epsilon a_2^2 N_{\frac{5}{2}}^F}{k_{CS}} + \frac{4\pi\epsilon(1-4\lambda)a_{\frac{3}{2}}a_3}{15k_{CS}}$$
$$- \frac{12\pi\epsilon(1-4\lambda)a_2 a_{\frac{5}{2}}}{5k_{CS}} - \frac{6\pi\epsilon a_{\frac{3}{2}}a_{\frac{7}{2}}}{k_{CS}} + \frac{\pi\psi_{\frac{3}{2}}\psi'_{\frac{3}{2}}\epsilon N_{\frac{5}{2}}^F}{12k_{CS}} - \frac{3\pi\psi_2\psi'_2\epsilon N_{\frac{5}{2}}^F}{4k_{CS}}\,,$$

$$FF_{5/2,5/2} = -\frac{6\pi}{k}a_{3/2}a_{7/2}\epsilon + \frac{2\pi^2(1-4\lambda)}{3k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}^2 a_{5/2}\epsilon - \frac{12\pi(1-4\lambda)}{5k_{CS}}a_2 a_{5/2}\epsilon$$
$$+ \frac{\pi^3 N_3^B}{12k_{CS}^3}a_{3/2}^4\epsilon - \frac{5\pi^2 N_3^B}{3k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}^2 a_2\epsilon + \frac{3\pi N_3^B}{k_{CS}}a_2^2\epsilon + \frac{\pi N_3^B}{4k_{CS}}a'_{3/2}a'_{3/2}\epsilon$$
$$+ \frac{\pi N_3^B}{3k_{CS}}N_3^B a_{3/2}a''_{3/2}\epsilon + \frac{\pi N_3^B}{k_{CS}}a_{3/2}a'_{3/2}\epsilon' + \frac{\pi N_3^B}{2k_{CS}}a_{3/2}^2\epsilon'' + \frac{4\pi\epsilon(1-4\lambda)a_{\frac{3}{2}}a_3}{15k_{CS}}$$

$$-\frac{\pi N_B^3}{12k_{CS}}\psi_{3/2}\psi'_{3/2}\epsilon + \frac{3\pi N_3^B}{4k_{CS}}\psi_2\psi'_2\epsilon + \frac{12\pi\epsilon\left(3+\lambda-2\lambda^2\right)a_{\frac{5}{2}}^2}{5k_{CS}N_{\frac{5}{2}}^B}\,,$$

$$FF_{5/2,3} = \frac{2\pi(1-4\lambda)}{3k_{CS}}(a_{3/2}a_{5/2})'\epsilon + \frac{4\pi(1-4\lambda)}{3k_{CS}}a_{3/2}a_{5/2}\epsilon'$$
$$-\frac{5\pi N_3^B}{3k_{CS}}(a_{3/2}a_2)'\epsilon - \frac{10\pi N_3^B}{3k_{CS}}a_{3/2}a_2\epsilon' + \frac{\pi^2 N_3^B}{2k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}^2 a'_{3/2}\epsilon + \frac{\pi^2 N_3^B}{3k_{CS}^2}a_{3/2}^3\epsilon'$$
$$-\frac{17\pi N_3^B}{12k_{CS}}\psi_{3/2}\psi'_2\epsilon + \frac{3\pi N_3^B}{4k_{CS}}\psi'_{3/2}\psi_2\epsilon\,,$$

$$FF_{3,3} = -\frac{\pi^3\epsilon a_{\frac{3}{2}}^4 N_3^F}{12k_{CS}^3} + \frac{5\pi^2\epsilon a_{\frac{3}{2}}^2 a_2 N_3^F}{3k_{CS}^2} + \frac{2\pi^2\epsilon(-1+4\lambda)a_{\frac{3}{2}}^2 a_{\frac{5}{2}}}{3k_{CS}^2} + \frac{12\pi\epsilon\left(-3-\lambda+2\lambda^2\right)a_{\frac{5}{2}}^2}{5k_{CS}N_3^B}$$
$$-\frac{3\pi\epsilon a_2^2 N_3^F}{k_{CS}} - \frac{4\pi\epsilon(1-4\lambda)a_{\frac{3}{2}}a_3}{15k_{CS}} + \frac{12\pi\epsilon(1-4\lambda)a_2 a_{\frac{5}{2}}}{5k_{CS}}$$
$$+\frac{6\pi\epsilon a_{\frac{3}{2}}a_{\frac{7}{2}}}{k_{CS}} + \frac{3\pi\epsilon\psi_{\frac{3}{2}}\psi'_{\frac{3}{2}}N_3^F}{4k_{CS}}$$
$$-\frac{\pi\epsilon\psi_2\psi'_2 N_3^F}{12k_{CS}} - \frac{\pi N_3^F\left(12a_{\frac{3}{2}}\epsilon'a'_{\frac{3}{2}} + 3\epsilon a'^2_{\frac{3}{2}} + 6a_{\frac{3}{2}}^2\epsilon'' + 4\epsilon a_{\frac{3}{2}}a''_{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}{12k_{CS}}\,.$$

D Useful formulae for $A(n, n-1)$ algebra

In this appendix, we derive useful formulae to compute the conformal weight of the degenerate representations of $\mathcal{SW}_n$. For $A(n, n-1)$, we take ϵ_i $(i = 1, \ldots, n+1)$ as an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and δ_i $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ as that for $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the inner product defined as $(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $(\delta_i, \delta_j) = -\delta_{ij}$, $(\epsilon_i, \delta_j) = (\delta_i, \epsilon_j) = 0$. In this parametrization, there is a redundancy coming from the supertrace condition of $sl(m|n)$, and it results in the following identification [48]:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} l_i\epsilon_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i\delta_i \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(l_i + t)\epsilon_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(k_i - t)\delta_i\,, \qquad \forall t\,. \tag{D.1}$$

The weight on the left hand side of this identification is denoted as $(l_1, \ldots, l_{n+1}|k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ for simplicity.

We use the pure odd simple root system $\alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_{2n}$ of $A(n, n-1)$, where αs are represented in terms of ϵ_i and δ_i as

$$\alpha_{2i} = \delta_i - \epsilon_{i+1}, \quad \alpha_{2i-1} = \epsilon_i - \delta_i\,, \tag{D.2}$$

and the fundamental weights are expressed as

$$\Lambda_{2i-1} = \sum_{j=i}^{n}\left(\delta_j - \epsilon_{j+1}\right), \quad \Lambda_{2i} = \sum_{j=1}^{i}\left(\epsilon_j - \delta_j\right). \tag{D.3}$$

It is then easy to check the following identity:

$$(\Lambda_i, \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}\,. \tag{D.4}$$

References

[1] A. Maloney and E. Witten, *Quantum gravity partition functions in three dimensions*, *JHEP* **02** (2010) 029 [arXiv:0712.0155] [INSPIRE].

[2] M.R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, *An AdS$_3$ dual for minimal model CFTs*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 83** (2011) 066007 [arXiv:1011.2986] [INSPIRE].

[3] M.A. Vasiliev, *Consistent equation for interacting gauge fields of all spins in* $(3+1)$-*dimensions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 243** (1990) 378 [INSPIRE].

[4] M. Vasiliev, *Nonlinear equations for symmetric massless higher spin fields in* $(A)dS_d$, *Phys. Lett.* **B 567** (2003) 139 [hep-th/0304049] [INSPIRE].

[5] I. Klebanov and A. Polyakov, *AdS dual of the critical O(N) vector model*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 550** (2002) 213 [hep-th/0210114] [INSPIRE].

[6] M. Henneaux and S.-J. Rey, *Nonlinear W_∞ as asymptotic symmetry of three-dimensional higher spin anti-de Sitter gravity*, *JHEP* **12** (2010) 007 [arXiv:1008.4579] [INSPIRE].

[7] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen, S. Pfenninger and S. Theisen, *Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional gravity coupled to higher-spin fields*, *JHEP* **11** (2010) 007 [arXiv:1008.4744] [INSPIRE].

[8] M.R. Gaberdiel and T. Hartman, *Symmetries of holographic minimal models*, *JHEP* **05** (2011) 031 [arXiv:1101.2910] [INSPIRE].

[9] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen and S. Pfenninger, *Asymptotic W-symmetries in three-dimensional higher-spin gauge theories*, *JHEP* **09** (2011) 113 [arXiv:1107.0290] [INSPIRE].

[10] M.R. Gaberdiel, R. Gopakumar, T. Hartman and S. Raju, *Partition functions of holographic minimal models*, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 077 [arXiv:1106.1897] [INSPIRE].

[11] K. Papadodimas and S. Raju, *Correlation functions in holographic minimal models*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 856** (2012) 607 [arXiv:1108.3077] [INSPIRE].

[12] M. Ammon, P. Kraus and E. Perlmutter, *Scalar fields and three-point functions in $D = 3$ higher spin gravity*, *JHEP* **07** (2012) 113 [arXiv:1111.3926] [INSPIRE].

[13] A. Castro, A. Lepage-Jutier and A. Maloney, *Higher spin theories in AdS$_3$ and a gravitational exclusion principle*, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 142 [arXiv:1012.0598] [INSPIRE].

[14] M. Gutperle and P. Kraus, *Higher spin black holes*, *JHEP* **05** (2011) 022 [arXiv:1103.4304] [INSPIRE].

[15] M. Ammon, M. Gutperle, P. Kraus and E. Perlmutter, *Spacetime geometry in higher spin gravity*, *JHEP* **10** (2011) 053 [arXiv:1106.4788] [INSPIRE].

[16] A. Castro, R. Gopakumar, M. Gutperle and J. Raeymaekers, *Conical defects in higher spin theories*, *JHEP* **02** (2012) 096 [arXiv:1111.3381] [INSPIRE].

[17] C.-M. Chang and X. Yin, *Higher spin gravity with matter in AdS$_3$ and its CFT dual*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 024 [arXiv:1106.2580] [INSPIRE].

[18] C. Ahn, *The large-N 't Hooft limit of coset minimal models*, *JHEP* **10** (2011) 125 [arXiv:1106.0351] [INSPIRE].

[19] M.R. Gaberdiel and C. Vollenweider, *Minimal model holography for* SO(2N), *JHEP* **08** (2011) 104 [arXiv:1106.2634] [INSPIRE].

[20] M.R. Gaberdiel, T. Hartman and K. Jin, *Higher spin black holes from CFT*, *JHEP* **04** (2012) 103 [arXiv:1203.0015] [INSPIRE].

[21] T. Creutzig, Y. Hikida and P.B. Ronne, *Higher spin AdS$_3$ supergravity and its dual CFT*, *JHEP* **02** (2012) 109 [arXiv:1111.2139] [INSPIRE].

[22] Y. Kazama and H. Suzuki, *New $N = 2$ superconformal field theories and superstring compactification*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 321** (1989) 232 [INSPIRE].

[23] E. Bergshoeff, M.A. Vasiliev and B. de Wit, *The super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 256** (1991) 199 [INSPIRE].

[24] E. Bergshoeff, B. de Wit and M.A. Vasiliev, *The structure of the super-$W_\infty[\lambda]$ algebra*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 366** (1991) 315 [INSPIRE].

[25] M. Henneaux, G. Lucena Gomez, J. Park and S.-J. Rey, *Super-W_∞ asymptotic symmetry of higher-spin AdS$_3$ supergravity*, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 037 [arXiv:1203.5152] [INSPIRE].

[26] A. Achucarro and P. Townsend, *A Chern-Simons action for three-dimensional anti-de Sitter supergravity theories*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 180** (1986) 89 [INSPIRE].

[27] E. Witten, *$(2 + 1)$-dimensional gravity as an exactly soluble system*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 311** (1988) 46 [INSPIRE].

[28] M. Henneaux, L. Maoz and A. Schwimmer, *Asymptotic dynamics and asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional extended AdS supergravity*, *Annals Phys.* **282** (2000) 31 [hep-th/9910013] [INSPIRE].

[29] E. Fradkin and M.A. Vasiliev, *Superalgebra of higher spins and auxiliary fields*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 3** (1988) 2983 [INSPIRE].

[30] M. Blencowe, *A consistent interacting massless higher spin field theory in $D = (2 + 1)$*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **6** (1989) 443 [INSPIRE].

[31] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, *Role of surface integrals in the Hamiltonian formulation of general relativity*, *Annals Phys.* **88** (1974) 286 [INSPIRE].

[32] R. Benguria, P. Cordero and C. Teitelboim, *Aspects of the Hamiltonian dynamics of interacting gravitational gauge and Higgs fields with applications to spherical symmetry*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 122** (1977) 61 [INSPIRE].

[33] J.D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: an example from three-dimensional gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207 [INSPIRE].

[34] M. Bañados, *Global charges in Chern-Simons field theory and the $(2 + 1)$ black hole*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 52** (1996) 5816 [hep-th/9405171] [INSPIRE].

[35] M. Bañados, K. Bautier, O. Coussaert, M. Henneaux and M. Ortiz, *Anti-de Sitter/CFT correspondence in three-dimensional supergravity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 58** (1998) 085020 [hep-th/9805165] [INSPIRE].

[36] M. Bañados, *Three-dimensional quantum geometry and black holes*, hep-th/9901148 [INSPIRE].

[37] P. Bowcock and G. Watts, *On the classification of quantum W algebras*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 379** (1992) 63 [hep-th/9111062] [INSPIRE].

[38] V. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, *Adv. Math.* **26** (1977) 8 [INSPIRE].

[39] V. Dobrev and V. Petkova, *Group theoretical approach to extended conformal supersymmetry: function space realizations and invariant differential operators*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **35** (1987) 537 [INSPIRE].

[40] K. Ito, *Quantum Hamiltonian reduction and $N = 2$ coset models*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 259** (1991) 73 [INSPIRE].

[41] K. Ito, $N = 2$ *superconformal $\mathbb{C}P^n$ model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 370** (1992) 123 [INSPIRE].

[42] K. Ito, *Free field realization of $N = 2$ super-W_3 algebra*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 304** (1993) 271 [hep-th/9302039] [INSPIRE].

[43] P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens, W *symmetry in conformal field theory*, *Phys. Rept.* **223** (1993) 183 [hep-th/9210010] [INSPIRE].

[44] E. Fradkin and V.Y. Linetsky, *Supersymmetric Racah basis, family of infinite dimensional superalgebras*, $SU(\infty + 1|\infty)$ *and related $2D$ models*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 6** (1991) 617 [INSPIRE].

[45] C. Candu and K. Ito, private communications.

[46] D. Gepner, *Scalar field theory and string compactification*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 322** (1989) 65 [INSPIRE].

[47] C. Candu and M.R. Gaberdiel, *Supersymmetric holography on AdS_3*, arXiv:1203.1939 [INSPIRE].

[48] J. Hughes, R. King and J. Van der Jeugt, *On the composition factors of Kac modules for the Lie superalgebras $sl(m|n)$*, *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1992) 470 [INSPIRE].