PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY 2 SPRINGER

Received: *January 23, 2024* Revised: *May 30, 2024* Accepted: *June 4, 2024* Published: *July 4, 2024*

Entanglement inside a black hole before the Page time

Yuxuan Liu [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9852-8174) *^a* **Shao-Kai Jian [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3058-8725)** *^b* **Yi Lin[g](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7392-8641)** *c,d* **and Zhuo-Yu Xian** *[e](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2245-0059),*[∗]

a Institute of Quantum Physics, School of Physics, Central South University, Changsha 418003, China

^bDepartment of Physics and Engineering Physics, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70118, U.S.A. c Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China ^dSchool of Physics, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China e Institute for Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics and Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence ct.qmat, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, 97074 Würzburg, Germany E-mail: [223093@csu.edu.cn,](mailto:223093@csu.edu.cn) [sjian@tulane.edu,](mailto:sjian@tulane.edu) [lingy@ihep.ac.cn,](mailto:lingy@ihep.ac.cn)

zhuo-yu.xian@physik.uni-wuerzburg.de

ABSTRACT: We investigate the evolution of entanglement within an open, strongly coupled system interacting with a heat bath as its environment, in the frameworks of both the doubly holographic model and the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model. Generally, the entanglement within the system initially increases as due to internal interactions; however, it eventually dissipates into the environment. In the doubly holographic setup, we consider an end-of-theworld brane in the bulk to represent an eternal black hole coupled with its radiation and the evolution of the global thermofeld double (TFD) state. For small black holes, the refected entropy between the bipartition exhibits a ramp-plateau-slump behavior, where the plateau arises due to the phase transition of the entanglement wedge cross-section before the Page time. Similarly, the mutual information between the bipartition displays a ramp-slop-stabilizing behavior. In quantum mechanics, we consider a double copy of the SYK-plus-bath system in a global TFD state, resembling an eternal black hole interacting with an environment. The Rényi mutual information within the double-copied SYK clusters exhibits a ramp-plateauslope-stabilizing behavior. The dynamic behaviors of the entanglement quantities observed in these two models are attributable to the competition between the internal interaction of the system and the external interaction with the baths. Our study provides a fne-grained picture of the entanglement dynamics inside black holes before their Page time.

Keywords: Black Holes, Holography and Condensed Matter Physics (AdS/CMT), AdS-CFT Correspondence, Gauge-Gravity Correspondence

ArXiv ePrint: [2401.04706](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.04706)

[∗]Corresponding author.

Contents

1 Introduction

Understanding the nature of Hawking radiation in black hole physics requires us to consider the evaporation procedure from the quantum mechanical point of view, which is a formidable challenge due to the intricacy of the interaction between quantum felds and spacetime itself. This challenge leads to the renowned black hole information paradox, which asks whether black hole evaporation is a unitary process $[1-7]$ $[1-7]$. The early work by Hawking suggests that the nearly thermal spectrum of Hawking radiation implies a continuous increase in the entanglement between the black hole and the radiation, such that the information would be unavoidably lost after the black hole has evaporated completely. However, from the perspective of unitary quantum physics, in particular, viewing the black hole and the radiation as a joint system described by quantum states which are governed by random evolution, the entanglement entropy of the radiation is expected to decrease at late stages, aligning with the dynamics depicted by the Page curve $[8-10]$ $[8-10]$.

Recently, the Gauge/Gravity duality offers a clear correspondence between black holes in AdS space and strongly coupled quantum systems, where unitarity could be implemented during evolution. Within this framework, signifcant progress has been made in revealing the entropy dynamics during black hole evaporation [\[11,](#page-24-3) [12\]](#page-24-4). This advancement can be ascribed to the fact that the generalized gravitational entropy can be geometrically described by extremizing the area of the minimal surface [\[13,](#page-24-5) [14\]](#page-24-6), allowing the entanglement attributed to Hawking radiation to be encapsulated by the quantum extremal surface (QES), as delineated by the island formula [\[15\]](#page-24-7)

$$
S[\mathcal{R}] = \min_{\mathcal{I}} \left\{ \operatorname{ext}_{\mathcal{I}} \left[\frac{\mathbf{A}[\partial \mathcal{I}]}{4G_N} + S[\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I}] \right] \right\},\tag{1.1}
$$

with **A** the area, \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I} the radiation and the islands, respectively. According to the island formula (1.1) , the entanglement entropy of radiation can be computed, and it turns out that throughout the evolution it aligns with the Page curve $[16]$. See $[17-47]$ $[17-47]$ for further investigations and discussions on the island paradigm. This formula was initially introduced in a model featuring two-dimensional gravity coupled with two-dimensional CFT matter sectors $|15|$.

In addition to black hole evaporation, the information paradox also manifests in the equilibrating process between an eternal black hole and its thermal radiation, maintained at the same temperature [\[48,](#page-26-1) [49\]](#page-26-2). Despite the absence of energy exchange in the radiation exchange process, the entanglement between the black hole and the radiation does not grow indefnitely. Consequently, a similar Page curve is expected to emerge, indicating a turnover in entanglement entropy over time. Notably, the concept of the island remains relevant and applicable in these static geometries.

To compute the second term in the island formula (1.1) in a non-perturbative way, one can consider the radiation as a 2-dimensional CFT [\[48\]](#page-26-1) or a holographic CFT, which allows for a higher dimensional gravity dual, namely doubly holographic setup [\[15,](#page-24-7) [50,](#page-26-3) [51\]](#page-26-4), generalized from the holographic dual of boundary conformal feld theory (BCFT) [\[52–](#page-26-5)[56\]](#page-26-6). In the doubly holographic setup, the black hole is modeled by a tensioned brane under Neumann boundary condition [\[57,](#page-26-7) [58\]](#page-26-8) and the QES is translated into a standard Hubeny-Rangamani-Takayanagi (HRT) surface within the higher dimensional geometry. In this framework, realizing a dynamical Page curve requires a sufficient number of degrees of freedom (DOF) on the brane [\[59](#page-26-9)[–62\]](#page-26-10), and various methods for incorporating adequate DOF have been suggested [\[59\]](#page-26-9). For further explorations of brane-world models in higher dimensions, refer to [\[60–](#page-26-11)[70\]](#page-27-0). In path integral formalism, islands arise due to the predominance of a specifc saddle point, which serves to link diferent replicas and is called replica wormholes [\[49,](#page-26-2) [71](#page-27-1)[–73\]](#page-27-2). It becomes dominant when the black hole has been coupled to the radiation for a long time, which leads to the Page time.

From the boundary point of view, the above equilibrating process corresponds to the evolution of the system-plus-bath in a global thermofeld-double (TFD) state. The interaction between the system and the bath leads to the production of entanglement entropy without energy exchange. However, due to the fnite size efect of the system, the entanglement entropy ceases to grow and saturates to the thermal entropy after the fnal thermalization of the system in its environment. Microscopic models could be realized in the SYK clusters [\[74–](#page-27-3)[76\]](#page-27-4) coupled to heat baths, where the baths could be taken as other SYK clusters [\[49,](#page-26-2) [77](#page-27-5)[–81\]](#page-27-6) or Majorana chains [\[82,](#page-27-7) [83\]](#page-27-8). In addition to the replica diagonal solution [\[80\]](#page-27-9), a new replica wormhole solution emerges. This confguration demonstrates a robust correlation among distinct replicas, mediated by the intersecting interaction between diferent replicas of the systems and the baths. It becomes dominant after the Page time and leads to the saturation of entropy.

However, to completely understand the dynamic behavior of the entanglement in the whole system during evolution, the mere study of the entanglement between radiation and black holes is not enough. Instead, one needs to further consider the interior entanglement inside the black hole. It is well known that the environment renders the decoherence and disentanglement within a system [\[84–](#page-27-10)[88\]](#page-27-11), where interactions between the system and environment can lead to a reduction of system purity and internal entanglement. Such efects are anticipated during the evolution of radiating black holes and SYK clusters coupled to baths. Nevertheless, the chaotic nature of black holes and the SYK model, which promotes rapid entanglement growth within the system, must also be taken into account. Consequently, the evolution of interior entanglement is likely a result of competing dynamics: the thermalization driven by interactions with the bath and the local thermalization stemmed from the internal interactions within the system.

We anticipate a common occurrence of competitive dynamics during the evolution of radiating black holes and strongly coupled open systems. The evolution of entanglement between separate black holes has been explored in previous studies [\[83,](#page-27-8) [89–](#page-28-0)[91\]](#page-28-1), as well as within the SYK model [\[92\]](#page-28-2). However, these scenarios lack direct strong interactions between distinct black holes or between two SYK clusters. Therefore, the type of competition in the literature is almost one-sided, primarily driven by the interaction of the system with its environment rather than interactions within the individual components of the system. Consequently, our study aims to further explore the entanglement properties within strongly coupled systems, by focusing on the interior of gravity or SYK systems. We will particularly focus on how internal interactions generate entanglement within the system, and how interactions with the environment (typically a heat bath) can disrupt this internal entanglement. This paper will analyze such competing dynamics in the following two strongly coupled systems: Firstly, we set up a fnite-sized gravity system coupled to a heat bath, and calculate the interior entanglement within this gravity system. Secondly, we construct a combined SYK system by coupling a SYK cluster to a huge SYK bath, and in a similar vein, we focus on the interior entanglement within the SYK cluster. For simplicity, in the gravitational system, the interior entanglement will be measured by the refected entropy, which was applied to the black hole information problem [\[93–](#page-28-3)[96\]](#page-28-4). While in the SYK system, it will be measured by the Rényi mutual information.

The structure of our paper is organized as follows: in section [2,](#page-3-0) we explore a doubly holographic setup in $(3+1)$ dimensions, which corresponds to a black hole on the brane coupled to a heat bath at its boundary. We then compute the holographic refected entropy and mutual information respectively, to describe the mixed-state entanglement of the black hole bipartition. In section [3,](#page-16-0) we couple the SYK clusters to baths and calculate the second Rényi mutual information between the bi-partition of the SYK clusters. By numerically solving the Schwinger-Dyson equation, we fnd replica wormhole solutions and observe a growth-decay behavior for the second Rényi mutual information before the Page time. Conclusions and discussions are presented in section [4.](#page-21-0)

2 The doubly holographic setup

Within the framework of double holography $[15, 60]$ $[15, 60]$ $[15, 60]$, we consider a $(d+1)$ -dimensional AAdS spacetime, which is intersected by a *d*-dimensional end-of-the-world (EOW) brane, denoted as B. This brane meets the conformal boundary ∂ at an intersection of dimension $(d-1)$, which we denote by *∂*B [\[15,](#page-24-7) [48,](#page-26-1) [52,](#page-26-5) [60\]](#page-26-11). This system can be equivalently described from three diferent points of view as follows. Firstly, we articulate the action of the gravity

theory in $(d + 1)$ dimensions as:

$$
I = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N^{(d+1)}} \left[\int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + \frac{d(d-1)}{L^2} \right) + 2 \int_{\partial} d^d x \sqrt{-h_{\partial}} K_{\partial} \right. \right. \\ \left. + 2 \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} d^d x \sqrt{-h} \left(K - \alpha \right) - \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} d^{d-1} x \sqrt{-\Sigma} \left(\pi - \theta_0 \right) \right) \right]. \tag{2.1}
$$

In this formulation, the Newton constant in the bulk is represented by $G_N^{(d+1)}$, while the AdS radius is denoted by *L*. The terms K_{∂} and h_{∂} correspond to the extrinsic curvature and the induced metric of the conformal boundary ∂ , respectively. Meanwhile, K , h , and α denote the extrinsic curvature, the induced metric, and the tension component on the EOW brane B. On the intersection *∂*B between B and *∂*, the induced metric and the internal angle are expressed as Σ and θ_0 , respectively. Moreover, one can also introduce a Dvali-Gabadadze-Porrati (DGP) component [\[97,](#page-28-5) [98\]](#page-28-6) into this gravitational action to tune the efective Newton constant on the brane.

From a wider lens, this viewpoint is often termed as the "bulk perspective". There are also two alternative interpretations of this system from either the brane perspective or boundary perspective:

- **Brane perspective.** The gravity of dimension $(d+1)$ in the bulk finds its dual in a semiclassical gravity on the brane B, housing a CFT on both B and the heat bath ∂ [\[99\]](#page-28-7).
- **Boundary perspective.** The combined gravity and matter feld on the brane can be mapped to a (*d* − 1)-dimensional conformal defect system on *∂*B [\[15\]](#page-24-7). Within this frame of reference, the entire construction is encapsulated by the BCFT [\[52,](#page-26-5) [60\]](#page-26-11).

The primary framework discussed in this section is expounded from the bulk perspective. To facilitate dynamical gravity on the brane, we set Neumann boundary conditions on the EOW brane (also refer to [\[58,](#page-26-8) [100,](#page-28-8) [101\]](#page-28-9) for diverse perspectives). These conditions are articulated as:

$$
K_{ij} - Kh_{ij} + \alpha h_{ij} = 0,\t\t(2.2)
$$

with h_{ij} denoting the induced metric on the brane \mathcal{B} .

2.1 The background

However, the backreaction of the brane β to the bulk spacetime leads to a complicated bulk metric, which cannot be expressed analytically. For simplicity, in this paper, we consider the case with the vanishing of brane tension, i.e. $\alpha = 0$.

The AAdS spacetime with a brane is considered to be the TFD state at inverse temperature *β*, which leads to a compactification along imaginary time τ , namely $\tau \sim \tau + \beta$. From the brane perspective, this combined system is dual to an equilibrium system, where a two-sided black hole exchanges Hawking modes with heat baths. Here we consider a compactifcation along *y* direction, namely $y \sim y + l$. Due to the compactification along τ and *y* directions, we expect two solutions in bulk: the black hole solution and the thermal gas solution. As

Figure 1. The spatial slices of the $(3+1)$ -dimensional AAdS spacetime with the EOW brane depicted in brown. The ambient geometries show the (a) black hole solution and (b) the thermal gas solution.

we will determine the solution below, the black hole solution becomes dominant when $\beta < l$, and the thermal gas solution becomes dominant when $\beta > l$.

The black hole solution renders a standard Schwarzschild-AdS metric as:

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left[-f(z)dt^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{f(z)} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} \right],
$$

\n
$$
f(z) = 1 - \left(\frac{z}{z_{h}}\right)^{3},
$$
\n(2.3)

where $\tau = it$, $0 \le y < l$ and $z_h = 3\beta/4\pi$. To be consistent with the tension-vanishing condition, the positions of the conformal boundary and the EOW brane are respectively denoted as:

 ∂ : $z = 0$ and β : $x = 0$ (or equivalently $\theta_0 = \pi/2$). (2.4)

The spatial slide of the black hole solution is shown in figure $1(a)$.

It is noteworthy that $z = z_h$ delineates the locus of the event horizon, which gives the Hawking temperature of the boundary combined system:

$$
\frac{1}{\beta} = T_h = \frac{3}{4\pi z_h}.\tag{2.5}
$$

To determine the solution, we will calculate the on-shell action via the free energy. The renormalized action in 4-dimensions can be expressed as [\[102](#page-28-10)[–104\]](#page-28-11)

$$
8\pi G_N^{(4)} I_{\text{ren}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + 6 \right) + \int_{\partial} d^3x \sqrt{-h_{\partial}} K_{\partial} + \int_{\partial} d^3x \sqrt{-h_{\partial}} (R_{\partial} + 4)
$$

+ brane terms, (2.6)

where we have set $L = 1$ and R_{∂} is the Ricci scalar associated with the induced metric h_{∂} on the boundary. The dual Brown-York tensor for the boundary feld theory can be obtained as

$$
\langle T_{ij} \rangle = -2 \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{1}{z \sqrt{h_{\partial}}} \frac{\delta I_{\text{ren}}}{\delta (h_{\partial})^{ij}} = \frac{1}{8\pi G_N^{(4)}} \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{1}{z} \left[G[h_{\partial}]_{ij} - (K_{\partial})_{ij} - (2 - K_{\partial}) (h_{\partial})_{ij} \right], \quad (2.7)
$$

with $G[h_{\theta}]_{ij}$ being the Einstein tensor associated with h_{θ} . With these expansions in hand, the free energy and the thermal entropy of the combined system can be expressed by

$$
\mathcal{F} = E - T_h S_h, \quad S_h := A_h / 4G_N^{(4)} \tag{2.8}
$$

Figure 2. The HRT surfaces anchor at $x = x^*$ on the conformal boundary that separates the black hole system $(0 \le x \le x^*)$ and the radiation system $(x^* \le x)$. (a): The connected surface penetrates the event horizon. (b): The disconnected surface ends on the EOW brane.

with $E := \int T_{00} dx dy$ the total energy of the combined system and A_h the area of the event horizon. The black hole solution [\(2.3\)](#page-5-1) has the Euclidean on-shell action

$$
I_{\rm BH} = \beta \mathcal{F}_{\rm BH} = -\frac{4\pi^2}{27G_N^{(4)}} \frac{l}{\beta^2} L_x,\tag{2.9}
$$

where L_x is the regularized length in x direction.

The thermal gas solution can be obtained via the double Wick rotation of (2.3) as

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left[h(z)dy^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{h(z)} + dx^{2} - dt^{2} \right],
$$

\n
$$
h(z) = 1 - \left(\frac{z}{z_{0}}\right)^{3},
$$
\n(2.10)

with the periodicity $y \sim y+l$ and $\tau \sim \tau+\beta$ and without a horizon. Here $z_0 = 3l/4\pi$ and $S_h = 0$. The spatial slide is shown in figure $1(b)$. From the same holographic renormalization (2.7) and (2.8) , the thermal gas solution (2.10) has the Euclidean on-shell action

$$
I_{\rm TG} = \beta \mathcal{F}_{\rm TG} = -\frac{4\pi^2}{27G_N^{(4)}} \frac{\beta}{l^2} L_x.
$$
 (2.11)

Comparing (2.9) with (2.11) , we have

$$
I_{\rm BH} - I_{\rm TG} = -\frac{4\pi^2}{27G_N^{(4)}} \left(\frac{l}{\beta^2} - \frac{\beta}{l^2}\right) L_x.
$$
 (2.12)

Therefore, for $T_h l > 1$, the system is in the black hole phase; while for $T_h l < 1$, the system is in the thermal gas phase. The entanglement proprieties are trivially static when the system is in the thermal gas phase. So we only focus on the entanglement properties when the system is in the black hole phase, and in the next subsection, we will evaluate the entanglement entropy of the radiation system frst, in preparation for analyzing the mixed-state entanglement of the black hole interior.

2.2 The entanglement entropy of the radiation

In this subsection, we will study the entanglement between the black hole and the radiation. First, we will construct the HRT surface of the radiation system to describe its entanglement entropy. Then, we will calculate the growth rate of the entanglement entropy.

From the brane perspective, we bipartition the whole system into black hole part $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}$ and the radiation R. Specifically, we refer to the region within $0 \leq x \leq x^*$ as the black hole system \mathcal{BH} [\[59\]](#page-26-9), while the remaining bath within $x > x^*$ as the radiation system R, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{BH} = \{(z, x, y) | z = 0, 0 \le x \le x^*, 0 \le y < l\},\
$$

$$
\mathcal{R} = \{(z, x, y) | z = 0, x > x^*, 0 \le y < l\}.
$$

(2.13)

For a fixed l , the larger x^* is, the more degrees of freedom there are within the black hole. Intuitively, we will call a black hole containing more(less) degrees of freedom a larger(smaller) black hole.

2.2.1 Plateau values

The entanglement between the black hole and radiation will be calculated by the HRT formula in a doubly holographic setup. In light of the Island rule, the entanglement entropy of the radiation system R is determined by a quantum extremal surface. This can be dual to a $(d+1)$ -dimensional HRT surface, given as [\[51,](#page-26-4) [60,](#page-26-11) [64,](#page-26-12) [65\]](#page-26-13)

$$
S[\mathcal{R}] = \frac{1}{4G_N^{(d+1)}} \min_{\mathcal{I}} \left\{ \operatorname{ext}_{\mathcal{I}} \left[\mathbf{A}(\gamma_{\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}}) \right] \right\},\tag{2.14}
$$

where $\gamma_{\mathcal{I}\cup\mathcal{R}}$ represents the HRT surface that shares its boundary with $\mathcal{I}\cup\mathcal{R}$. Within the framework of double holography, two distinct candidates emerge, each characterized by the HRT surface illustrated in fgure [2.](#page-6-4) One is the *connected surface* presenting an HRT surface γ ^T anchored to both the left and right baths, and the island I is absent — figure [2\(a\);](#page-6-4) the other is the *disconnected surface* presenting a surface γ *I* anchored on the EOW brane B with a nontrivial island $\mathcal I$ on the brane. The presence of island $\mathcal I$ ensures that the von Neumann entropy (2.14) remains finite after the Page time $[48]$ — figure $2(b)$. Note that the HRT surface cannot pass through the $x = +\infty$ lines in figure [2.](#page-6-4) Therefore, there are no other candidate HRT surfaces besides the two mentioned above.

On the one hand, when the entanglement entropy of the black hole system is described by a *disconnected surface*, it typically reaches a saturation point given by

$$
S[\mathcal{R}] = \frac{1}{4G_N^{(4)}} \mathbf{A}_{\text{dis}} := \frac{2l}{4G_N^{(4)}} \int_{\epsilon}^{z_s} \frac{dz}{z^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{f(z)} + y'(z)^2},
$$
(2.15)

with $y(z)$ representing the parameterization of the *disconnected surface* and z_s being the turning point in *z* direction. On the other hand, in cases where the entanglement entropy of the black hole system is represented by a *connected surface*, it increases over time, initially expressed at $t = 0$ as

$$
S[\mathcal{R}]\bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{4G_N^{(4)}}\mathbf{A}_{\text{con}} := \frac{2l}{4G_N^{(4)}} \int_{\epsilon}^{z_h} \frac{dz}{z^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{f(z)}}.
$$
 (2.16)

Based on these formulae, [\(2.14\)](#page-7-0) can be expressed as

$$
S[\mathcal{R}] = \frac{1}{4G_N^{(4)}} \min \left\{ \mathbf{A}_{\text{dis}}, \mathbf{A}_{\text{con}} \right\}. \tag{2.17}
$$

2.2.2 Evolution

Investigating the dynamical evolution of entropy in doubly holographic models is typically challenging, mainly due to the complexity of solving the backreaction of the brane on the ambient geometry, which limits data accessibility inside the event horizon during evolution [\[59\]](#page-26-9). To circumvent these difficulties, in the current work, we adopt the probe limit approach, similar to $[59]$. In such scenarios, the EOW brane β does not exert backreaction on the ambient geometry, allowing the examination of time-dependent entanglement properties.

When the entanglement entropy in a black hole system is characterized by the connected surface, it usually grows with time and can be written in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates {*v, z, x, y*} as

$$
S[\mathcal{R}] = \frac{l}{4G_N^{(4)}} \int \frac{d\lambda}{z(\lambda)^2} \sqrt{-v'(\lambda) \left[f(z(\lambda))v'(\lambda) + 2z'(\lambda) \right]},
$$
\n(2.18)

with λ being the intrinsic parameter of the connected surface (2.18) and

$$
v = t - \int \frac{dz}{f(z)}.
$$

Since the integrand in [\(2.18\)](#page-8-0) does not depend explicitly on *v*, one can derive a conserved quantity as

$$
C = \frac{f(z)v' + z'}{z^2 \sqrt{-v'[f(z)v' + 2z']}}.
$$
\n(2.19)

It is also noticed that the integral shown in [\(2.18\)](#page-8-0) is invariant under the reparametrization. Hence, the integrand can be chosen freely as

$$
\sqrt{-v'[f(z)v'+2z']} = z^2.
$$
\n(2.20)

Substituting (2.19) and (2.20) into (2.18) , we have

$$
\frac{d}{dt}S[\mathcal{R}] = \frac{2l}{4G_N^{(4)}} \frac{\sqrt{-f(z_{\text{max}})}}{z_{\text{max}}^2},\tag{2.21}
$$

$$
t = \int_0^{z_{\text{max}}} dz \frac{Cz^2}{f(z)\sqrt{f(z) + C^2 z^4}}.
$$
\n(2.22)

Here z_{max} is the turning point of the connected surface and the relation between z_{max} and the conserved quantity *C* is given by

$$
f(z_{\text{max}}) + C^2 z_{\text{max}}^4 = 0.
$$
 (2.23)

At late times, the connected surface tends to surround a special extremal slice at $z = z_M$, as shown in [\[105\]](#page-28-12). Defne

$$
F(z) := \frac{\sqrt{-f(z)}}{z^2},\tag{2.24}
$$

we find that $C^2 = F(z_{\text{max}})^2$ keeps growing until meeting the extremum at $z_{\text{max}} = z_M$, where we have

$$
F'(z_M) = -2z_M^{-3}\sqrt{-f(z_M)} - \frac{z_M^{-2}f'(z_M)}{2\sqrt{-f(z_M)}} = 0.
$$
\n(2.25)

By solving (2.25) , we finally obtain the evolution of the entropy as

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{d}{dt} S[\mathcal{R}] = \frac{2l}{4G_N^{(4)}} F(z_M) = \frac{l}{4G_N^{(4)}} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt[3]{2}} T_h^2.
$$
\n(2.26)

For all the parameters considered in this paper, the $S[\mathcal{R}]$ grows from (2.16) with rate (2.26) and reach a plateau [\(2.15\)](#page-7-2) at the Page time $\frac{\mathbf{A}_{\text{dis}}-\mathbf{A}_{\text{con}}}{4G} / (\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{dS[\mathcal{R}]}{dt})$, as shown in figure [5.](#page-13-1) In the following two subsections, we will study the entanglement between the bi-partition of the black hole, by calculating the holographic refected entropy and mutual information respectively.

2.3 The refected entropy of the bipartite black hole

To study the entanglement inside the black hole, We further partition the black hole into two subsystems as

$$
\mathcal{BH}_1 = \{(z, x, y) | z = 0, 0 \le x \le x^*, 0 \le y < l/2 \},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{BH}_2 = \{(z, x, y) | z = 0, 0 \le x \le x^*, l/2 \le y < l \}.
$$
\n
$$
(2.27)
$$

2.3.1 Plateau values

To quantify this mixed-state entanglement, we turn to calculate the holographic refected entropy [\[42,](#page-25-0) [106\]](#page-28-13), which is proposed to be measured by the area of the quantum entanglement wedge cross section (Q-EWCS).

Beyond the quantum extremal surface, we can introduce the Q-EWCS to delineate the contributions of quantum felds within the entanglement wedge. This can be further dual to the classical EWCS in a one-dimensional higher spacetime in the doubly holographic setup [\[107,](#page-28-14) [108\]](#page-28-15). The EWCS between \mathcal{BH}_1 and \mathcal{BH}_2 is defined as the minimal codimension-two cross-section in the entanglement wedge, which can be articulated as:

$$
S^{R}[\mathcal{BH}_{1}:\mathcal{BH}_{2}] = \frac{2}{4G_{N}^{(d+1)}}\mathbf{A}(E[\mathcal{BH}_{1}:\mathcal{BH}_{2}]),
$$
\n(2.28)

where $E[\mathcal{BH}_1 : \mathcal{BH}_2]$ stands for the EWCS dividing the entanglement wedge of \mathcal{BH}_1 and $\mathcal{B}H_2$. Notably, it is characterized by having a minimal area and is bounded by the HRT surface and EOW brane.

When the HRT surface of the black hole system is dominated by the *connected surface*, there exist two possible phases for $E[\mathcal{B}H_1 : \mathcal{B}H_2]$. The first phase we call Ph-**T**₁, is a co-dimension two surface, which pierces the event horizon and ends on the opposite conformal boundary as depicted in figure $3(a)$. As this surface evolves temporally, the correlation between \mathcal{BH}_1 and \mathcal{BH}_2 correspondingly increases, which can be initially given at $t = 0$ by

$$
S^{R}[\mathcal{BH}_1 : \mathcal{BH}_2] \bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{8x^*}{4G_N^{(4)}} \int_{\epsilon}^{z_h} \frac{dz}{z^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{f(z)}}.
$$
 (2.29)

Figure 3. The EWCS represented by the 2-dimensional green surface between the black hole bipartition \mathcal{BH}_1 and \mathcal{BH}_2 in (a) the first trivial phase Ph-**T**₁, (b) the second trivial phase Ph-**T**₂, and (c) the island phase Ph-**I**.

Alternatively, the second phase that we call Ph-**T**2, is a co-dimension-two surface that terminates on the same side of the conformal boundary, as illustrated in figure $3(b)$. The corresponding refected entropy can be expressed as

$$
S^{R}[\mathcal{BH}_{1}:\mathcal{BH}_{2}] = \frac{8x^{*}}{4G_{N}^{(4)}} \int_{\epsilon}^{z_{s}} \frac{dz}{z^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{f(z)} + y'(z)^{2}},
$$
(2.30)

where $y(z)$ represents the parameterization of the Ph- \mathbf{T}_2 , and z_s is the turning point in *z* direction. The green minimal cross-section in fgure [3\(b\),](#page-10-0) representing a saddle point, spontaneously breaks the Z_2 symmetry between the subregions \mathcal{BH}_1 and \mathcal{BH}_2 . This green surface shifts outward or backward relative to the red surface, towards the viewer's perspective. The degeneracy can be lifted by slightly breaking the symmetry between the sizes of the subregions $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_2$. A similar phenomenon occurs in the RT formula for a disjoint entanglement region, where the connected RT surface and the disconnected RT surface have equivalent areas.

When the HRT surface of the black hole system is described by the disconnected surface, we turn to the island phase (Ph-**I**), where the $E[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1:\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_2]$ remains static, reflecting the invariance of the correlation between $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_2$ — figure [3\(c\).](#page-10-0) The corresponding refected entropy is

$$
S^{R}[\mathcal{BH}_1 : \mathcal{BH}_2] = \frac{8}{4G_N^{(4)}} \int_{\epsilon}^{z_s} \frac{dz}{z^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{f(z)}} x(z).
$$
 (2.31)

Figure 4. (a): The entanglement entropy of the radiation system at $t = 0$. (b): The reflected entropy of the black hole bipartition at $t = 0$. In addition, we have set $4G_N^{(4)} = 1$. The blank region of $T_h 4y^* < 1$ corresponds to the thermal gas phase, which is excluded.

2.3.2 Evolution

When \mathcal{BH}_1 and \mathcal{BH}_2 are in Ph- \mathbf{T}_1 , the reflected entropy can be described by the EWCS $E[\mathcal{B}H_1 : \mathcal{B}H_2]$ penetrating the horizon also in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates as

$$
S^{R}[\mathcal{BH}_{1}:\mathcal{BH}_{2}] = \frac{4x^{*}}{4G_{N}^{(4)}} \int \frac{d\lambda}{z(\lambda)^{2}} \sqrt{-v'(\lambda) \left[f(z(\lambda))v'(\lambda) + 2z'(\lambda)\right]},
$$
(2.32)

with the Lagrangian being calculated in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates and the growth rate during the evolution can be similarly measured by

$$
\frac{d}{dt}S^{R}[\mathcal{BH}_{1}:\mathcal{BH}_{2}] = \frac{8x^{*}}{4G_{N}^{(4)}} \frac{\sqrt{-f(z_{\text{max}})}}{z_{\text{max}}^{2}},
$$
\n
$$
t = \int_{0}^{z_{\text{max}}} dz \frac{Cz^{2}}{f(z)\sqrt{f(z) + C^{2}z^{4}}}.
$$
\n(2.33)

Then, we will calculate the dynamical evolution of the refected entropy. For numerical convenience, we set the UV cutoff near the conformal boundary at $z = \epsilon = 1/100$. The analysis utilizes dimensionless parameters $\{y^*/x^*, t/x^*, T_hx^*, \epsilon/x^*\}$, where $y^* = l/4$. Specifically, to describe the properties of diferent evolution patterns, we subtract the initial value of the entanglement measures as

$$
\Delta \mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}|_{t=0},\tag{2.34}
$$

where $M \in \{S[\mathcal{R}], S[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1], S[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_2], S^R[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1 : \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_2], I[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1 : \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_2]\}$, with $S[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1], S[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_2]$ and $I[\mathcal{BH}_1 : \mathcal{BH}_2]$ denoting the entanglement entropy of \mathcal{BH}_1 , \mathcal{BH}_2 and the mutual information of the black hole bipartition.

Firstly, we observe that the initial phase diagram of the refected entropy of the black hole bipartition largely depends on the confguration of the HRT surface of the corresponding black hole system $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}$. Initially, the entanglement entropy of $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}$ is shown in figure $4(a)$. In general, hotter black holes are more likely to have their entanglement entropy with the radiation represented by a connected surface that grows over time. In contrast, for cooler black holes, the entanglement entropy typically remains static, described by a disconnected surface, which only dominates at $t = 0$ for $T_h x^* < 0.14$ and is not covered by the range of parameters in this fgure. Importantly, the transition from connected to disconnected surfaces will also trigger a phase transition for the refected entropy, as the EWCS is confned by the RT surface.

Specifically, for a hotter and larger black hole, the EWCS tends to stay in the T_1 phase $(Ph-T_1)$, while for a cooler and smaller black hole, the EWCS tends to stay in the T_2 phase (Ph- \mathbf{T}_2). The island phase only dominates at $t = 0$ for $T_h x^* < 0.14$, and hence is not covered. The reason is obvious from the viewpoint of gravity. For a hotter black hole, the event horizon is closer to the conformal boundary. Its infuence on the EWCS is twofold: the area of the EWCS in $Ph-T_1$ is compressed by the location of the horizon, while the area of the EWCS in Ph-**T**² becomes larger due to the volume law entanglement.

Next, we observe that the dynamical evolution and the possible transition of the refected entropy of the black hole bipartition depend on the dynamical behavior of the entanglement entropy as well. We show that the phase transition of the EWCS occurs during the evolution when the RT surface is initially the connected surface — figure $4(b)$. Figure $4(b)$ illustrates the entanglement phase diagram of the EWCS at the beginning of evolution $t = 0$. Subsequently, this diagram will generally evolve over time. Specifying the dimensionless temperature as $T_h y^* = 0.53$, the corresponding dynamical phase structure during the evolution is shown in fgure [5.](#page-13-1) Diferent phases are undergone by the refected entropy of the black hole bipartition during the dynamical evolution — figure $5(a)$, which depend on different ratios y^*/x^* of the bipartition. The black curves separate the diagram into $Ph-T_1$, $Ph-T_2$ and $Ph-I$ phases.

By virtue of the subtracted entanglement entropy of the radiation system and the refected entropy of the black hole bipartition, we may extract two typical evolution patterns as follows.

- **Type I: the evolution with plateau.** In a smaller black hole, the refected entropy $S^R[B\mathcal{H}₁:B\mathcal{H}₂]$ typically exhibits a ramp-plateau-slump behavior with two phase transitions at t_1 and t_2 , as shown in figure [5\(b\).](#page-13-1) When $t/x^* < t_1$, the reflected entropy in Ph-**T**¹ intensifes owing to the internal interactions of the black hole system. When $t_1 < t/x^* < t_2$, the reflected entropy in Ph- \mathbf{T}_2 achieves saturation. When $t_2 < t/x^*$, the entanglement entropy for the entire black hole is given by the disconnected RT surface, and hence the entanglement of the entire system achieves equilibrium. This leads to a discontinuous slump of the refected entropy in Ph-**I**.
- **Type II: the evolution without plateau.** In a larger black hole, the reflected entropy $S^R[B\mathcal{H}₁:B\mathcal{H}₂]$ typically exhibits a ramp-slump behavior with a single phase transition at t_2 , as depicted in figure $5(c)$. The reflected entropy directly transition from Ph- T_1 to Ph-**I** without having a plateau.

For both types of evolutionary behavior, we observe that the fnal value of the refected entropy after the black hole Page time t_2 is always smaller than its initial value, indicating the strong thermalization of the black hole with the radiation, regardless of the amount of entanglement generated by the internal interaction.

Figure 5. The different evolutionary patterns of the entropies with the dimensionless temperature $T_h x^* = 0.530$. (a) Ph- \mathbf{T}_1 , Ph- \mathbf{T}_2 and Ph-**I** of the reflected entropy of the black hole bipartition are bounded by the black curves. We plot the evolution of entropies along the green and blue trajectories in other panels. (b) For small black holes with $y^*/x^* = 0.985$, the reflected entropy exhibits a ramp-plateau-slump behavior. (c): For large black holes with $y^*/x^* = 1.000$, the reflected entropy exhibits a ramp-slump behavior. The slumps appear at the Page time t_2 . The red curves represent the entanglement entropy of the radiation system.

At the end of this subsection, we briefly comment on the effect of the radiation $\mathcal R$ on the entanglement inside the black hole $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}$. To explore the dynamics in isolation from the radiation, one can conceptually decouple the black hole from the radiation by placing another EOW brane at $x = x^*$. We will consider a large enough x^* such that the EOW branes located at $x = 0$ and $x = x^*$ are disconnected in order to allow the entanglement to grow. Intriguingly, after this decoupling from the radiation, the entanglement wedge of the black hole $\beta\mathcal{H}$ remains the same as observed in the coupled scenarios, except that the island phase as well as the Page time cease to exist. Consequently, the refected entropy between the bipartition $\mathcal{BH}_1 : \mathcal{BH}_2$ grows as fast as it does in the coupled scenarios. This phenomenon suggests that the early thermalization driven by the radiation does not signifcantly infuence the entanglement inside the black hole.

2.4 The mutual information of the bipartite black hole

Another mixed-state entanglement measure, mutual information, is also extensively discussed in the literature. For any two individual systems \mathcal{BH}_1 and \mathcal{BH}_2 , their mutual information can be expressed as

$$
I[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1 : \mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_2] = S[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1] + S[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_2] - S[\mathcal{R}]. \tag{2.35}
$$

Here, the explicit expression of the last term $S[\mathcal{R}]$ is just the entanglement entropies shown in section [2.2.1,](#page-7-3) and since we always focus on the cases with $S[\mathcal{BH}_1] = S[\mathcal{BH}_2]$, we will only derive the expression of $S[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1]$. In this subsection, we analyze the mutual information inside the black hole during evolution.

Figure 6. The discrete version of the candidates of the (half of) HRT surface of the black hole subsystem \mathcal{BH}_1 (\mathcal{BH}_2). (a) The first candidate γ_T is illustrated with parameters $\{x^*, y^*, z_h\}$ $\{64/65, 13/10, 1/5^{1/3}\}.$ (b) The second candidate γ_I is illustrated with parameters $\{x^*, y^*, z_h\} =$ $\{64/65, 13/10, 1\}$. Here *y* direction is periodic with $y \sim y + l$, with $l = 4y^*$.

$\theta = 0$	$\theta = \pi/2$	$z=0$	$z = zh$
		$\partial_{\theta} \theta_{\theta} = 0 \left \begin{array}{cc} \partial_{\theta} r = 0 \end{array} \right \left. 1/r = \sqrt[n]{\left(\frac{\cos \theta}{x^*} \right)^n + \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{y^*} \right)^n} \right \left. \partial_z r = 0 \right $	

Table 1. The boundary conditions. For sufficient large *n*, the configuration of $r(\theta, 0)$ on the conformal boundary approaches $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_i$, where $i = 1, 2$. For numerical simulation, we choose $n = 100$.

2.4.1 Plateau values

In general, there are two candidates for the HRT surface of \mathcal{BH}_1 . The first candidate is a co-dimension two surface γ_T that goes across the event horizon — figure [6\(a\),](#page-14-0) and hence grows with time. At the initial stage $t = 0$, the area functional of γ_T can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{A}(\gamma_T) = 4 \int_{\epsilon}^{z_h} dz \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \frac{1}{z^2} \sqrt{\frac{r(\theta, z)^2 \left[f(z)r^{(0,1)}(\theta, z)^2 + 1\right] + r^{(1,0)}(\theta, z)^2}{f(z)}}.
$$
(2.36)

Here $\{r, \theta, z\}$ is a three-dimensional cylindrical-like coordinate set to describe the surface *γ*_{*T*}, with *r* being the radial distance in $z = const.$ plane, *θ* being the azimuthal angle in *z* = *const.* plane, measured from the positive *y*-axis, by the coordinate transformation

$$
x = r\sin\theta, \qquad y = r\cos\theta.
$$

The corresponding boundary conditions of $r(\theta, z)$ are given by table [1.](#page-14-1)

The second candidate γ *I* dominates after the Page time of \mathcal{BH}_1 , which remains still figure $6(b)$. Here we can use three-dimensional spherical-like coordinates $\{r', \theta', \phi\}$ to describe this surface $r'(\theta', \phi)$, with the coordinate transformation

> $z = r' \sin \theta' \cos \phi$, $x = -r' \cos \theta'$ $\cos \phi$, $y = r' \sin \phi$.

The area functional of γ *I* can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{A}(\gamma_I) = 4 \int_0^{\pi/2} d\phi \int_{\theta_\epsilon}^{\pi/2} d\theta' \mathcal{L}[r'(\theta', \phi), \partial_{\theta'} r', \partial_{\phi} r', \theta', \phi], \qquad (2.37)
$$

$\theta'=\pi/2$	$\theta'=\pi$	$\phi = \mathbf{0}$	$\mid \phi = \pi/2 \mid$
	$\partial_{\theta'}r' = 0 \left 1/r' = \sqrt[n]{\left(\frac{\cos\phi}{x^*}\right)^n + \left(\frac{\sin\phi}{y^*}\right)^n} \right \partial_{\phi}r' = 0 \left r' = y^* \right $		

Table 2. The boundary conditions. For sufficient large *n*, the configuration of $r'(\pi/2, \phi)$ approaches \mathcal{BH}_i , where $i = 1, 2$. Here we also choose $n = 100$ for numerical simulations.

Figure 7. The growth of diferent entanglement measures. The red curve represents the entanglement entropy of the radiation system, while the blue curve represents the entanglement entropy of the black hole subsystem, and the green curve stands for the mutual information between the bipartition of the black hole system. t_1 is the Page time of the black hole subsystem, while t_2 is the Page time of the black hole system, with the dimensionless parameters to be $\{T_h x^*, y^*/x^*\} = \{0.530, 0.985\}.$

where θ_{ϵ} is obtained by solving

$$
z_{\epsilon} = r'(\theta'_{\epsilon}, \phi) \sin \theta'_{\epsilon} \cos \phi
$$

at any specific ϕ , and the explicit expression of $\mathcal L$ is so long that we do not write it. The corresponding boundary conditions of $r'(\theta', \phi)$ are given by table [2.](#page-15-0)

2.4.2 Evolution

When the entanglement entropies of \mathcal{BH}_1 and \mathcal{BH}_2 are described by γ_T , its growth can be approximated by

$$
\frac{d}{dt}S[\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1] \approx \frac{2(2x^* + y^*)}{4G_N^{(4)}} \frac{\sqrt{-f(z_{\text{max}})}}{z_{\text{max}}^2},
$$
\n
$$
t = \int_0^{z_{\text{max}}} dz \frac{Cz^2}{f(z)\sqrt{f(z) + C^2 z^4}}.
$$
\n(2.38)

Note that due to the constraints of our numerical strategy, we provide only an approximation of the growth rate. An exact solution may be derived within the framework of Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates, which would facilitate the description of a series of two-dimensional inhomogeneous extremal surfaces.

The mutual information exhibits a ramp-slope-stabilizing behavior, as shown in fgure [7.](#page-15-1) There are two distinct times scales t_1 and t_2 , standing for the Page time of the black hole bipartition and the entire black hole system, respectively. The ramp is generated by the internal interaction. The slope is due to the saturation of the entanglement entropy of the subsystem $\mathcal{B}_{1,2}$. The stabilizing phase indicates the final thermalization of the black hole with the radiation, where the black hole subsystem is primarily entangled with the radiation rather than with the rest of the black hole. After all, although our approximation on the growth rate may affect the accuracy of the value of t_1' , the analysis of the evolution of the mutual information remains qualitatively reliable.

Let us compare the refected entropy and the mutual information of the bipartition \mathcal{BH}_1 : \mathcal{BH}_2 . First, under our approximation, their initial growth rates are the same, both being proportional to the area of the interface of the bipartition. Second, the frst transition time t_1 of the reflected entropy and t_1' of the mutual information are close but not identical in principle, since these two timescales are determined by comparing diferent sets of minimal surface candidates. Third, the refected entropy grows or reaches a plateau, while the mutual information decreases just before the black hole Page time *t*2. Fourth, both of their fnal values are smaller than their initial values, respectively. Both interior entropy quantities describe the same tendency: entanglement is initially created within the system through internal interactions and eventually dissipates into the surrounding environment.

3 The SYK cluster coupled to a bath

In this section we turn to study the evolution of entanglement inside the SYK cluster coupled to a bath. The approaches we consider here are generalized from [\[49,](#page-26-2) [77–](#page-27-5)[80,](#page-27-9) [109\]](#page-29-0). We expect the interior entanglement will grow initially and decay to a low value after the Page time of the whole SYK cluster, similar to the tendency in gravity.

3.1 Hamiltonian

We consider a SYK cluster ψ_j with $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ coupled to a huge SYK bath $\phi_{j'}$ with $j' = 1, 2, \dots, M$. The Majorana fermions $\{\psi_j, \phi_{j'}\}$ obey the anti-commutation relation $\{\psi_i, \psi_k\} = \{\phi_i, \phi_k\} = \delta_{jk}$. The Hamiltonian of the whole system is

$$
H = i^{q/2} \sum_{I_q}^{N} J_{I_q} \Psi_{I_q} + i^{p/2} \sum_{I'_p}^{M} K_{I'_p} \Phi_{I'_p} + i^{(n+m)/2} \sum_{I_s}^{N} \sum_{I'_r}^{M} V_{I_s I'_r} \Psi_{I_s} \Phi_{I'_r},
$$
(3.1)

where we use the shorthand $I_q = j_1 j_2 \cdots j_q$, $I'_p = j'_1 j'_2 \cdots j'_p$, $\Psi_{I_q} = \psi_{j_1} \psi_{j_2} \cdots \psi_{j_q}$, $\Phi_{I'_p} =$ $\phi_{j'_1}\phi_{j'_2}\cdots \phi_{j'_p}, \sum_{I_q}^N = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_q \leq N}$, and numbers $q, p, s + r$ are positive and even. The disorder couplings $\left\{J_{I_q}, K_{I'_q}, V_{I_s I'_r}\right\}$ have zero mean values and finite variances

$$
\left\langle J_{I_q}^2 \right\rangle = 2^{q-1} \frac{(q-1)!}{N^{q-1}} J^2, \quad \left\langle K_{I'_p}^2 \right\rangle = 2^{p-1} \frac{(p-1)!}{N^{p-1}} K^2, \quad \left\langle V_{I_s I'_r}^2 \right\rangle = 2^{s+r-1} \frac{(s-1)!r!}{N^{s-1}M^r} V^2. \tag{3.2}
$$

We will work on the efective action with bi-local felds. We identify

$$
G_{\psi}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \psi_j(\tau_1) \psi_j(\tau_2), \quad G_{\phi}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \phi_j(\tau_1) \phi_j(\tau_2), \tag{3.3}
$$

by introducing the Legendre multipliers $\{\Sigma_{\psi}(\tau_1,\tau_2), \Sigma_{\phi}(\tau_1,\tau_2)\}\$ in the path integral. Integrating out the disorder and Majorana fermions, we obtain the efective action for the bi-local felds in the replica diagonal part

$$
S = S_{\psi} + S_{\phi} + S_{i},
$$
\n
$$
-S_{\psi} = N\frac{1}{2}\log\det(\partial - \Sigma_{\psi}) + N\int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau_{1} d\tau_{2} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{\psi}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2})G_{\psi}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}) + \frac{J^{2}}{4q}(2G_{\psi}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}))^{q} \right],
$$
\n
$$
-S_{\phi} = M\frac{1}{2}\log\det(\partial - \Sigma_{\phi}) + M\int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau_{1} d\tau_{2} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{\phi}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2})G_{\phi}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}) + \frac{K^{2}}{4p}(2G_{\phi}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}))^{p} \right],
$$
\n
$$
-S_{i} = N\frac{V^{2}}{4s} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau_{1} d\tau_{2} (2G_{\psi}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}))^{s} (2G_{\phi}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}))^{r}.
$$
\n(3.4)

We will consider the bi-partition $A : B$ of the SYK cluster ψ_j , whose correlation are

$$
G_A(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{1}{N_A} \sum_{j=1}^{N_A} \psi_j(\tau_1) \psi_j(\tau_2), \quad G_B(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{1}{N_B} \sum_{j=N_A+1}^{N_B-N_A} \psi_j(\tau_1) \psi_j(\tau_2)
$$
(3.5)

with $N = N_A + N_B$. Then the $-\mathcal{S}_{\psi}$ becomes

$$
-\mathcal{S}_{\psi} = \frac{N_A}{2} \log \det(\partial - \Sigma_A) + \frac{N_B}{2} \log \det(\partial - \Sigma_B)
$$

+
$$
\iint \left[-\frac{N_A}{2} \Sigma_A G_A - \frac{N_B}{2} \Sigma_B G_B + N \frac{J^2}{4q} (2G_{\psi})^q \right],
$$
(3.6)

where $G_{\psi} = \frac{N_A}{N} G_A + \frac{N_B}{N} G_B$. Here and after, we use the abbreviation $\iint = \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 d\tau_2$ and omit the time dependence (τ_1, τ_2) in *G*'s and Σ 's.

3.2 Rényi mutual information

To proceed, we set the initial state to be a thermofeld double (TFD) state by doubling the Hilbert space to $\mathcal{H}_L \otimes \mathcal{H}_R$. Explicitly, we consider a double-copy of the composite system $\mathcal{H}_L \otimes \mathcal{H}_R$ and define the maximally entangled state by

$$
\left(\psi_j^L + i\psi_j^R\right)|0\rangle = \left(\phi_j^L + i\phi_j^R\right)|0\rangle = 0, \ \forall j \tag{3.7}
$$

and the TFD state is prepared by $|\beta\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{Z_{\beta}}e^{-\beta H_L/2}$ |0 \rangle . We can evolve the state $|\beta\rangle$ along time *t* with the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{L} = H \otimes 1$ and reach a state $|\beta + it\rangle = e^{it\mathcal{L}} |\beta\rangle$.

We will calculate the *n*-th Rényi mutual information between the subsystem $A = A_L \cup A_R$ and $B = B_L \cup B_R$, which contain the *n*-th Rényi entropies of *A*, *B* and $A \cup B$ defined via replica trick

$$
S_n(\mathcal{R}) = \frac{\log \text{Tr}\rho(\mathcal{R})^n}{1 - n} = \frac{\log Z_n(\mathcal{R}) - n \log Z_1}{1 - n} = \frac{S_n(\mathcal{R}) - nS_1}{n - 1}, \quad \mathcal{R} = A, B, A \cup B \quad (3.8)
$$

where $\rho(\mathcal{R}) = \text{Tr}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}} |\beta + it\rangle \langle \beta + it|$ is the reduced density matrix of the region \mathcal{R} . We have considered the large N, M limit (with their ratio fixed) at the last step and $\mathcal{S}_n(\mathcal{R})$ is the *n* replica on-shell action with twist boundary condition on region R . To calculate the

replica partition function $Z_n(\mathcal{R})$, we replicate the Majorana as ψ_j^a, ϕ_j^b with replica indexes $a, b = 1, 2, \dots, n$. On replicated bi-local fields are

$$
G_A^{ab}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{1}{N_A} \sum_{j=1}^{N_A} \psi_j^a(\tau_1) \psi_j^b(\tau_2),
$$

\n
$$
G_B^{ab}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{1}{N_B} \sum_{j=N_A+1}^{N_B-N_A} \psi_j^a(\tau_1) \psi_j^b(\tau_2),
$$

\n
$$
G_{\phi}^{ab}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \phi_j^a(\tau_1) \phi_j^b(\tau_2).
$$
\n(3.9)

The replica actions are

$$
S_n = S_{\psi,n} + S_{\phi,n} + S_{i,n},
$$
\n
$$
-S_{\psi,n} = N\frac{1}{2}\log \det(\partial - \Sigma_{\psi}^{ab}) + N \iint \sum_{ab} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{\psi}^{ab}G_{\psi}^{ab} + \frac{J^2}{4q}(2G_{\psi}^{ab})^q \right],
$$
\n
$$
-S_{\phi,n} = M\frac{1}{2}\log \det(\partial - \Sigma_{\phi}^{ab}) + M \iint \sum_{ab} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{\phi}^{ab}G_{\phi}^{ab} + \frac{K^2}{4p}(2G_{\phi}^{ab})^p \right],
$$
\n
$$
-S_{i,n} = N \iint \sum_{ab} \frac{V^2}{4s}(2G_{\psi}^{ab})^s(2G_{\phi}^{ab})^r.
$$
\n(3.10)

We impose the twist boundary conditions^{[1](#page-18-0)}

$$
\psi_j^a(\tau_*^-) = \psi_j^{a+1}(\tau_*^+), \quad \psi_j^a(0^+) = \psi_j^{a+1}(0^-), \tag{3.11}
$$

where $\psi_j^{n+1} = \psi_j^1$, for the ψ_j^a with index *j* depending on the entanglement regions

$$
S_n(A): \quad 1 \le j \le N_A,
$$

\n
$$
S_n(B): \quad N_A + 1 \le j \le N,
$$

\n
$$
S_n(A \cup B): \quad 1 \le j \le N.
$$

\n(3.12)

We will consider the analytic continuation from τ_* to $\beta + it$. Also, we should apply the ordinary anti-periodic boundary condition for each fermion loop, which depends on the twist boundary conditions.

Without the interactions, namely $J = K = V = 0$, the untwist solution, and the twist solution are respectively given by

$$
G_0^{ab}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{1}{2} \text{sgn}(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \delta_{ab}, \quad G_0^{ab, T}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = G_0^{a - \theta_*(\tau_1), b - \theta_*(\tau_2)}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \tag{3.13}
$$

where $\theta_*(\tau) = \Theta(\tau - \tau_*)\Theta(\beta - \tau_* - \tau)$ and $\Theta(\tau)$ is the step function. They are free propagators subjected to the boundary conditions. We will use them to defne the untwist and twist diferential operators.

¹Although our method is equivalent to that in $[49, 80]$ $[49, 80]$ $[49, 80]$, the time parametrization and the replica indexes here are diferent, so that only the contours with the same replica index are coupled by interaction terms.

For numerical convenience, we can equivalently work in the time domain (0*, nβ*) and identify $\psi_j(\tau + a\beta) = \psi_j^a(\tau)$. To take the twist boundary condition into account, we introduce two undetermined diferential operators *X, Y* for subsystems *A* and *B* respectively, and rewrite the replicated action as

$$
-\mathcal{S}[X,Y] = -S_{\phi} - S_i + \frac{N_A}{2} \log \det(X - \Sigma_A) + \frac{N_B}{2} \log \det(Y - \Sigma_B) + \iint_C \left[-\frac{N_A}{2} \Sigma_A G_A - \frac{N_B}{2} \Sigma_B G_B + N \frac{J^2}{4q} f(\tau_1) f(\tau_2) (2G_{\psi})^q \right],
$$
(3.14)

where the integral $\iint_C = \int_C d\tau_1 d\tau_2$ goes along the contour $C = C_T \cup C_+ \cup C_-$ with thermal contour $C_T = \bigcup_{a=1}^n ((a-1)\beta^+, a\beta^-)$, forward contour $C_+ = \bigcup_{a=1}^n (a\beta^-, a\beta + it)$ and backward contour $C_$ = $\cup_{a=1}^n (a\beta + it, a\beta^+)$. The entire contour *C* for $n = 2$ with a twist on subsystem *A* is illustrated in the left panel of figure [8.](#page-20-0) To take into account the direction of time, we have inserted functions $f(\tau_1)f(\tau_2)$ into the interaction terms in \mathcal{S}_{ψ} , \mathcal{S}_{ϕ} , \mathcal{S}_{ϕ} where $f(\tau) = 1, i, -i$ for $\tau \in C_T, C_+, C_-$ respectively. The differential operators *X*, *Y* will take the value of either G_0^{-1} or $(G_0^T)^{-1}$ with propagators $G_0(\tau_1 + a\beta, \tau_2 + b\beta) = G_0^{ab}(\tau_1, \tau_2), G_0^T(\tau_1 + a\beta, \tau_2 + b\beta) =$ $G_0^{ab,T}$ $_{0}^{ab, T}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ defined in [\(3.13\)](#page-18-1).

In the large *N, M* limit, the Schwinger-Dyson (SD) equation reads

$$
G_A^{-1} = X - \Sigma_A, \quad G_B^{-1} = Y - \Sigma_B, \quad G_{\phi}^{-1} = G_0^{-1} - \Sigma_{\phi},
$$

\n
$$
\Sigma_A = \Sigma_B = f(\tau_1) f(\tau_2) \left[J^2 (2G_{\psi})^{q-1} + V^2 (2G_{\psi})^{n-1} (2G_{\phi})^m \right],
$$

\n
$$
\Sigma_{\phi} = f(\tau_1) f(\tau_2) \left[K^2 (2G_{\phi})^{p-1} + \frac{rN}{sM} V^2 (2G_{\psi})^s (2G_{\phi})^{r-1} \right].
$$
\n(3.15)

Using the solution of the SD equation with given diferential operators *X, Y* , we can write the on-shell action as

$$
\mathcal{S}[X,Y] = -\frac{N_A}{2} \log \det(G_A^{-1}) - \frac{N_B}{2} \log \det(G_B^{-1}) - \frac{M}{2} \log \det(G_{\phi}^{-1})
$$

+
$$
\iint_C f(\tau_1) f(\tau_2) \left[N \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) \frac{J^2}{4} (2G_{\psi})^q + M \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \frac{K^2}{4} (2G_{\phi})^p \right. \tag{3.16}
$$

$$
+ N \left(1 + \frac{r}{s} - \frac{1}{s} \right) \frac{V^2}{4} (2G_{\psi})^s (2G_{\phi})^r \right].
$$

Finally, the Rényi entropies and mutual information are given by

$$
(n-1)S_n(A) = \mathcal{S}[(G_0^T)^{-1}, G_0^{-1}] - \mathcal{S}_0,
$$

\n
$$
(n-1)S_n(B) = \mathcal{S}[G_0^{-1}, (G_0^T)^{-1}] - \mathcal{S}_0,
$$

\n
$$
(n-1)S_n(A \cup B) = \mathcal{S}[(G_0^T)^{-1}, (G_0^T)^{-1}] - \mathcal{S}_0,
$$

\n
$$
(n-1)I_n(A:B) = \mathcal{S}[(G_0^T)^{-1}, G_0^{-1}] + \mathcal{S}[G_0^{-1}, (G_0^T)^{-1}] - \mathcal{S}[(G_0^T)^{-1}, (G_0^T)^{-1}] - \mathcal{S}_0,
$$
\n(3.17)

where $S_0 = S[G_0^{-1}, G_0^{-1}].$

We will numerically solve the SD equation for the $n = 2$ replica. At the late time, there are two solutions in the replica action, including one replica diagonal solution and one wormhole solution, similar to [\[49\]](#page-26-2). In fgure [8,](#page-20-0) we show the contours and two solutions for action $S[(G_0^T)^{-1}, G_0^{-1}]$ at the late time. From these two solutions, we should choose the

Figure 8. Contours and solutions for second-replicated action $\mathcal{S}[(G_0^T)^{-1}, G_0^{-1}]$. The arrows in the contours denote the time direction and the dashed lines denote the interactions. The parameters for the solutions are $J = K = 1$, $V = 0.5$, $q = 4$, $p = 2$, $s = r = 1$, $N/M = 0.1$, $N_A/N = 0.75$, $\beta = 0$, and $t = 2$.

Figure 9. Renyi mutual information $I_2(A:B)$ and Renyi entropies $S_2(A)$ *,* $S_2(B)$ *,* $S_2(AB)$ in the SYK cluster coupled to bath. The parameters are $J = K = 1$, (solid) $V = 0.5$ or (dashed) $V = 0$, $q = 4, p = 2, s = r = 1, N/M = 0.1, \beta = 0, \text{ and (left)}$ $N_A/N = 0.5$ or (right) $N_A/N = 0.75$.

Figure 10. Renyi mutual information $I_2(A:B)$ and Renyi entropies $S_2(A)$ *,* $S_2(B)$ *,* $S_2(AB)$ in the SYK cluster coupled to bath. The parameters are the same as that in figure [10,](#page-20-1) except $\beta = 2$.

one that results in a smaller value of the on-shell action. Finally, substituting the on-shell actions into [\(3.17\)](#page-19-0) for diferent time *t*, we obtain the time evolution of Rényi entropy and Rényi mutual information, as shown in fgures [9](#page-20-2) and [10.](#page-20-1) We mainly focus on the case of $N_B \leq N_A \ll M$, $q = 4$, $p = 2$, $s = r = 1$ and $V \lesssim J \sim K$. So the SYK cluster itself is chaotic and the bath itself is integrable. In general, the entropy and mutual information undergo through four stages:

- 1. All orders of Rényi entropy and the mutual information increase due to the interactions.
- 2. The entropy $S_2(B)$ is saturated. The growth of $S_2(A)$, $S_2(AB)$ slightly slows down. The mutual information $I_2(A:B)$ stops growing.
- 3. The entropy $S_2(A)$ is saturated. The growth of $S_2(AB)$ slightly slows down. The mutual information $I_2(A:B)$ decays.
- 4. The entropy $S_2(AB)$ is saturated. The mutual information $I_2(A:B)$ reaches a plateau.

Notice that both the initial value and the final value of the mutual information $I_2(A:B)$ are zero for $\beta = 0$ and finite for $\beta > 0$. The evolution of the mutual information $I_2(A : B)$, indicates the entangling between *A* and *B* due to the *J* interaction and disentangling due to the thermalization from the bath via the *V* interaction.

To study the efect of baths on the internal entanglement of the SYK clusters, we compare the evolution of mutual information and entropies with and without the interaction between the SYK clusters and the baths in figures [9](#page-20-2) and [10.](#page-20-1) As expected, $S_2(A : B) = 0$ always and $S_2(A)$, $S_2(B)$, $I_2(A:B)$ grow and then become saturated. We can compare the case of $V \neq 0$ and $V = 0$ to see the impact of baths.

When $\beta = 0$, we observe that the entropies $S_2(A)$ and $S_2(B)$ in the $V \neq 0$ case grow faster than that in the $V = 0$ case before their saturation. The enhancement of the growth rate is a natural consequence of the additional thermalization from baths. While the mutual information in the $V \neq 0$ case grows at the same rate as that in the $V = 0$ case before the saturation of either $S_2(A)$ or $S_2(B)$. It implies that the thermalization due to the baths hardly afects the entanglement between *A* and *B* until one of their entropies becomes saturated.

When $\beta > 0$, all the growth of $S_2(A)$, $S_2(B)$, $I_2(A:B)$ are affected by the bath. Their growth rates in the $V = 0$ case are different from those in the $V \neq 0$ case. The reason is that the baths already afect the preparation of the initial state. In other words, the TFD state is given by the combined Hamiltonian [\(3.1\)](#page-16-2) including the interaction term with the baths. It is different from the initial state at $\beta = 0$, which is a maximally entangled state and is independent of the Hamiltonian.

4 Conclusion and outlook

In this paper, we have investigated the dynamic process of interior entanglement of two strongly coupled systems, including the gravity and SYK systems. The frst model we have proposed is a doubly holographic model in $(3 + 1)$ -dimensions, where the CFT matter sectors are dual to a 4-dimensional bulk and the black hole is modeled by an EOW brane in the bulk. In the zero tension limit, the bulk geometry can be described by the standard SAdS metric with Neumann boundary conditions on the branes. By introducing a circular compactifcation in the *y* direction, we have obtained a fnite-sized black hole system from the boundary perspective. In this scenario, we have constructed the EWCS and the twodimensional inhomogeneous HRT surface to quantify the refected entropy and mutual information between the black hole bipartition $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H}_2$, respectively. Generally, the refected entropy and mutual information both increase at early times due to the internal interactions of the black hole system. While at late times, these interior entanglements seep into the environment, since the interactions between the black hole system and the radiation drive the system to the fnal equilibrium. Moreover, we have extracted two universal patterns of evolution: For large black holes, no plateau emerges during the evolution, and the refected entropy directly declines from the maximum to the fnal equilibrium value. For small black holes, a plateau emerges during the evolution of the refected entropy, before the fnal equilibrium at a lower value. Similarly for mutual information, a corresponding ramp-slope-stabilizing exists in the dynamical evolution.

Our second model introduces a joint system comprising a SYK cluster, denoted as ψ_i , coupled to a large SYK bath, ϕ_i . Specifically, the DOF in both SYK clusters and the bath are defined by the number of fermions they contain, expressed as $N = N_A + N_B$ and M, with *A* and *B* representing the bi-partition of the SYK clusters. In the large *N* limit, this system is analyzed by numerically solving the SD equations. We have computed the second Rényi entropies and mutual information using the replica trick in this joint model. Our study covers different-sized bi-partitions of the SYK cluster, with $N_A \leq N_B$. Generally, we observe an initial increase in the mutual information of the bi-partition due to the internal interactions within the SYK cluster. At late times, the entanglement entropies of the subsystems saturate, while the entanglement between the SYK cluster and the bath continues to evolve. Consequently, the interior entanglement gradually leaks into the bath, eventually stabilizing at a relatively low equilibrium level.

For the frst model, the zero tension condition is usually imposed in literature to circumvent the backreaction of the brane to the ambient geometry in the investigation of the dynamical evolution [\[59,](#page-26-9) [83\]](#page-27-8). Therefore, an intriguing area for future research lies in examining the dynamics within a backreacted spacetime. This challenge could potentially be addressed by employing the ingoing Eddington coordinates within the Einstein-DeTurck formulation [\[110\]](#page-29-1). It is important to note that in such a framework, the Einstein equations are transformed into a mixed elliptic-hyperbolic system, raising issues related to the local uniqueness of solutions [\[111\]](#page-29-2). Aside from stationary scenarios, another promising avenue involves extending the numerical setup to non-equilibrium cases [\[50,](#page-26-3) [112](#page-29-3)[–118\]](#page-29-4), expanding the scope and applicability of the study to more dynamic and complex systems.

In both the gravity and SYK models, we have noticed a pronounced diference between the evolution patterns of the refected entropy and the mutual information. For any holographic state, there often exists a substantial gap of order N^2 between these two measures, known as the Markov gap [\[119\]](#page-29-5). This gap is commonly associated with the fdelity of a specifc Markov recovery problem and has been a topic of extensive exploration in the context of double holography [\[120](#page-29-6)[–123\]](#page-29-7). By comparing the behaviors of the refected entropy and the mutual information, we anticipate a noticeable enhancement of the Markov gap at late times but before the Page time. The reason for this enhancement deserves investigation in future studies.

Finally, in the model of SYK clusters coupled to baths, we can approximate the dynamics of the SYK clusters using the Lindblad master equation in the Born-Markov approximation $[124-126]$ $[124-126]$. This approximation is expected to be valid under the conditions $N \ll M$ and $V \ll J \ll K$. The slope-stabilizing behavior observed in the mutual information here is akin to the slope-stabilizing behavior observed in the purity in the Lindbladian SYK [\[24\]](#page-24-10), although in our case, the bipartite systems *A* and *B* are entangled due to their interactions during time evolution, rather than being initially confgured to be in a TFD state. It would still be interesting to apply a large *q* analysis as well as a quantum trajectories analysis in our model.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Pritam Chattopadhyay, Sayantan Choudhury, and Yuan Sun for helpful discussions. Yuxuan Liu is supported by the Beijing Natural Science Foundation under Grant No. 1222031 and the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12035016. He is particularly grateful to his wife Peiwen Cao. Shao-Kai Jian is supported by a startup fund at Tulane University. Yi Ling is supported in part by the Beijing Natural Science Foundation under Grant No. 1222031, and the Innovative Projects of Science and Technology at IHEP. He is also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12035016 and 12275275. Zhuo-Yu Xian is funded by DFG through the Collaborative Research Center SFB 1170 ToCoTronics, Project-ID 258499086–SFB 1170, as well as by Germany's Excellence Strategy through the Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence on Complexity and Topology in Quantum Matter — ct.qmat (EXC 2147, projectid 390858490). Zhuo-Yu Xian also acknowledges support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12075298.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(CC-BY4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] S.W. Hawking, *Black hole explosions?*, *Nature* **248** (1974) 30.
- [2] S.W. Hawking, *Particle creation by black holes*, in *Euclidean quantum gravity*, World Scientifc (1975), pp. 167–188.
- [3] S.W. Hawking, *Breakdown of predictability in gravitational collapse*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.14.2460)* **14** (1976) [2460](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.14.2460) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/114952)].
- [4] L. Susskind, L. Thorlacius and J. Uglum, *The stretched horizon and black hole complementarity*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.3743)* **48** (1993) 3743 [[hep-th/9306069](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.hep-th/9306069)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/355341)].
- [5] D. Harlow and P. Hayden, *Quantum computation vs. frewalls*, *JHEP* **06** [\(2013\) 085](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2013)085) [[arXiv:1301.4504](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1301.4504)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1215350)].
- [6] A. Almheiri, D. Marolf, J. Polchinski and J. Sully, *Black Holes: Complementarity or Firewalls?*, *JHEP* **02** [\(2013\) 062](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2013)062) [[arXiv:1207.3123](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1207.3123)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1122534)].
- [7] J. Maldacena and L. Susskind, *Cool horizons for entangled black holes*, *[Fortsch. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.201300020)* **61** (2013) [781](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.201300020) [[arXiv:1306.0533](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1306.0533)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1236661)].
- [8] D.N. Page, *Information in black hole radiation*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.3743)* **71** (1993) 3743 [[hep-th/9306083](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.hep-th/9306083)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/355396)].
- [9] D.N. Page, *Hawking radiation and black hole thermodynamics*, *[New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/7/1/203)* **7** (2005) 203 [[hep-th/0409024](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.hep-th/0409024)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/658199)].
- [10] D.N. Page, *Time Dependence of Hawking Radiation Entropy*, *JCAP* **09** [\(2013\) 028](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2013/09/028) [[arXiv:1301.4995](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1301.4995)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1215583)].
- [11] G. Penington, *Entanglement Wedge Reconstruction and the Information Paradox*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)002)* **09** [\(2020\) 002](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)002) [[arXiv:1905.08255](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1905.08255)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1735792)].
- [12] A. Almheiri, N. Engelhardt, D. Marolf and H. Maxfeld, *The entropy of bulk quantum felds and the entanglement wedge of an evaporating black hole*, *JHEP* **12** [\(2019\) 063](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)063) [[arXiv:1905.08762](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1905.08762)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1735823)].
- [13] A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, *Generalized gravitational entropy*, *JHEP* **08** [\(2013\) 090](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2013)090) [[arXiv:1304.4926](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1304.4926)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1228721)].
- [14] N. Engelhardt and A.C. Wall, *Quantum Extremal Surfaces: Holographic Entanglement Entropy beyond the Classical Regime, JHEP* 01 [\(2015\) 073](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2015)073) [[arXiv:1408.3203](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1408.3203)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1310822)].
- [15] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan, J. Maldacena and Y. Zhao, *The Page curve of Hawking radiation from semiclassical geometry*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2020\) 149](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2020)149) [[arXiv:1908.10996](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1908.10996)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1751747)].
- [16] A. Almheiri et al., *The entropy of Hawking radiation*, *[Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.93.035002)* **93** (2021) 035002 [[arXiv:2006.06872](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2006.06872)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1801017)].
- [17] M. Alishahiha, A. Faraji Astaneh and A. Naseh, *Island in the presence of higher derivative terms*, *JHEP* **02** [\(2021\) 035](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2021)035) [[arXiv:2005.08715](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2005.08715)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1796787)].
- [18] K. Hashimoto, N. Iizuka and Y. Matsuo, *Islands in Schwarzschild black holes*, *JHEP* **06** [\(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)085) [085](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)085) [[arXiv:2004.05863](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2004.05863)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1790966)].
- [19] T. Anegawa and N. Iizuka, *Notes on islands in asymptotically fat 2d dilaton black holes*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2020)036)* **07** [\(2020\) 036](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2020)036) [[arXiv:2004.01601](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2004.01601)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1789588)].
- [20] T. Hartman, E. Shaghoulian and A. Strominger, *Islands in Asymptotically Flat 2D Gravity*, *JHEP* **07** [\(2020\) 022](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2020)022) [[arXiv:2004.13857](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2004.13857)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1793416)].
- [21] H.Z. Chen et al., *Evaporating Black Holes Coupled to a Thermal Bath*, *JHEP* **01** [\(2021\) 065](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)065) [[arXiv:2007.11658](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2007.11658)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1808550)].
- [22] A. Bhattacharya et al., *Topological shadows and complexity of islands in multiboundary wormholes*, *JHEP* **02** [\(2021\) 152](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2021)152) [[arXiv:2010.04134](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2010.04134)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1821997)].
- [23] F. Deng, J. Chu and Y. Zhou, *Defect extremal surface as the holographic counterpart of Island formula*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2021\) 008](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)008) [[arXiv:2012.07612](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2012.07612)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1836434)].
- [24] X. Wang, R. Li and J. Wang, *Islands and Page curves of Reissner-Nordström black holes*, *JHEP* **04** [\(2021\) 103](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2021)103) [[arXiv:2101.06867](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2101.06867)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1841569)].
- [25] S. He, Y. Sun, L. Zhao and Y.-X. Zhang, *The universality of islands outside the horizon*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2022)047)* **05** [\(2022\) 047](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2022)047) [[arXiv:2110.07598](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2110.07598)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1944959)].
- [26] F.F. Gautason, L. Schneiderbauer, W. Sybesma and L. Thorlacius, *Page Curve for an Evaporating Black Hole*, *JHEP* **05** [\(2020\) 091](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2020)091) [[arXiv:2004.00598](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2004.00598)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1789262)].
- [27] C. Krishnan, V. Patil and J. Pereira, *Page Curve and the Information Paradox in Flat Space*, [arXiv:2005.02993](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2005.02993) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1794506)].
- [28] W. Sybesma, *Pure de Sitter space and the island moving back in time*, *[Class. Quant. Grav.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/abff9a)* **38** [\(2021\) 145012](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/abff9a) [[arXiv:2008.07994](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2008.07994)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1812123)].
- [29] C.-J. Chou, H.B. Lao and Y. Yang, *Page curve of efective Hawking radiation*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.066008)* **106** [\(2022\) 066008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.066008) [[arXiv:2111.14551](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2111.14551)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1978980)].
- [30] T.J. Hollowood, S.P. Kumar, A. Legramandi and N. Talwar, *Grey-body factors, irreversibility and multiple island saddles, JHEP* 03 [\(2022\) 110](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2022)110) [[arXiv:2111.02248](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2111.02248)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1959665)].
- [31] K. Suzuki and T. Takayanagi, *BCFT and Islands in two dimensions*, *JHEP* **06** [\(2022\) 095](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2022)095) [[arXiv:2202.08462](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2202.08462)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2034325)].
- [32] Y.-K. Suzuki and S. Terashima, *On the dynamics in the AdS/BCFT correspondence*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2022)103)* **09** [\(2022\) 103](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2022)103) [[arXiv:2205.10600](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.10600)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2086470)].
- [33] A. Bhattacharya, A. Bhattacharyya, P. Nandy and A.K. Patra, *Bath deformations, islands, and holographic complexity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** [\(2022\) 066019](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.066019) [[arXiv:2112.06967](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2112.06967)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1989997)].
- [34] A. Bhattacharya, A. Bhattacharyya, P. Nandy and A.K. Patra, *Partial islands and subregion complexity in geometric secret-sharing model*, *JHEP* **12** [\(2021\) 091](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2021)091) [[arXiv:2109.07842](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2109.07842)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1922771)].
- [35] E. Caceres, A. Kundu, A.K. Patra and S. Shashi, *Page curves and bath deformations*, *[SciPost](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysCore.5.2.033) [Phys. Core](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysCore.5.2.033)* **5** (2022) 033 [[arXiv:2107.00022](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2107.00022)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1874182)].
- [36] A. Bhattacharya, A. Bhattacharyya, P. Nandy and A.K. Patra, *Islands and complexity of eternal black hole and radiation subsystems for a doubly holographic model*, *JHEP* **05** [\(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2021)135) [135](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2021)135) [[arXiv:2103.15852](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2103.15852)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1854509)].
- [37] E. Caceres, A. Kundu, A.K. Patra and S. Shashi, *Warped information and entanglement islands in AdS/WCFT*, *JHEP* **07** [\(2021\) 004](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2021)004) [[arXiv:2012.05425](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2012.05425)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1835761)].
- [38] Y. Chen, *Pulling Out the Island with Modular Flow*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2020\) 033](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2020)033) [[arXiv:1912.02210](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1912.02210)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1768646)].
- [39] V. Balasubramanian et al., *Geometric secret sharing in a model of Hawking radiation*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)177)* **01** [\(2021\) 177](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)177) [[arXiv:2003.05448](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2003.05448)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1785381)].
- [40] L. Anderson, O. Parrikar and R.M. Soni, *Islands with gravitating baths: towards ER = EPR*, *JHEP* **10** [\(2020\) 226](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2021)226) [[arXiv:2103.14746](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2103.14746)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1854198)].
- [41] V. Balasubramanian, B. Craps, M. Khramtsov and E. Shaghoulian, *Submerging islands through thermalization*, *JHEP* **10** [\(2021\) 048](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2021)048) [[arXiv:2107.14746](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2107.14746)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1896578)].
- [42] N. Engelhardt and Å. Folkestad, *Canonical purifcation of evaporating black holes*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.086010)* **105** [\(2022\) 086010](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.086010) [[arXiv:2201.08395](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2201.08395)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2015408)].
- [43] C.-J. Chou, H.B. Lao and Y. Yang, *Page curve of AdS-Vaidya model for evaporating black holes*, *JHEP* **05** [\(2024\) 342](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2024)342) [[arXiv:2306.16744](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.16744)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2672935)].
- [44] H.-S. Jeong, K.-Y. Kim and Y.-W. Sun, *Entanglement entropy analysis of dyonic black holes using doubly holographic theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** [\(2023\) 126016](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.126016) [[arXiv:2305.18122](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.18122)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2738407)].
- [45] B. Ahn et al., *Islands in charged linear dilaton black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** [\(2022\) 046012](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.046012) [[arXiv:2107.07444](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2107.07444)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1885484)].
- [46] A. Karch, H. Sun and C.F. Uhlemann, *Double holography in string theory*, *JHEP* **10** [\(2022\) 012](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2022)012) [[arXiv:2206.11292](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2206.11292)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2100031)].
- [47] C.F. Uhlemann, *Islands and Page curves in 4d from Type IIB*, *JHEP* **08** [\(2021\) 104](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2021)104) [[arXiv:2105.00008](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2105.00008)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1861717)].
- [48] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan and J. Maldacena, *Islands outside the horizon*, [arXiv:1910.11077](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1910.11077) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1760763)].
- [49] G. Penington, S.H. Shenker, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, *Replica wormholes and the black hole interior*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2022\) 205](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2022)205) [[arXiv:1911.11977](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1911.11977)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1767458)].
- [50] H.Z. Chen et al., *Information Flow in Black Hole Evaporation*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2020\) 152](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2020)152) [[arXiv:1911.03402](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1911.03402)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1763845)].
- [51] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan and J.E. Santos, *Entanglement islands in higher dimensions*, *[SciPost](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.9.1.001) Phys.* **9** [\(2020\) 001](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.9.1.001) [[arXiv:1911.09666](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1911.09666)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1766462)].
- [52] T. Takayanagi, *Holographic Dual of BCFT*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.101602)* **107** (2011) 101602 [[arXiv:1105.5165](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1105.5165)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/901430)].
- [53] M. Nozaki, T. Takayanagi and T. Ugajin, *Central Charges for BCFTs and Holography*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2012)066)* **06** [\(2012\) 066](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2012)066) [[arXiv:1205.1573](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1205.1573)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1113894)].
- [54] K. Izumi et al., *Brane dynamics of holographic BCFTs*, *JHEP* **10** [\(2022\) 050](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2022)050) [[arXiv:2205.15500](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.15500)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2089839)].
- [55] J. Erdmenger, M. Flory and M.-N. Newrzella, *Bending branes for DCFT in two dimensions*, *JHEP* **01** [\(2015\) 058](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2015)058) [[arXiv:1410.7811](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1410.7811)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1324931)].
- [56] J. Erdmenger et al., *Entanglement Entropy in a Holographic Kondo Model*, *[Fortsch. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.201500099)* **64** [\(2016\) 109](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.201500099) [[arXiv:1511.03666](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1511.03666)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1404144)].
- [57] C.-S. Chu and R.-X. Miao, *Anomalous Transport in Holographic Boundary Conformal Field Theories*, *JHEP* **07** [\(2018\) 005](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)005) [[arXiv:1804.01648](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1804.01648)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1666049)].
- [58] R.-X. Miao, *Holographic BCFT with Dirichlet Boundary Condition*, *JHEP* **02** [\(2019\) 025](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2019)025) [[arXiv:1806.10777](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1806.10777)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1680029)].
- [59] Y. Ling, Y. Liu and Z.-Y. Xian, *Island in Charged Black Holes*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2021\) 251](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)251) [[arXiv:2010.00037](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2010.00037)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1820623)].
- [60] H.Z. Chen et al., *Quantum Extremal Islands Made Easy, Part I: Entanglement on the Brane*, *JHEP* **10** [\(2020\) 166](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2020)166) [[arXiv:2006.04851](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2006.04851)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1800409)].
- [61] H. Geng et al., *Information Transfer with a Gravitating Bath*, *[SciPost Phys.](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.10.5.103)* **10** (2021) 103 [[arXiv:2012.04671](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2012.04671)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1835408)].
- [62] H. Geng and A. Karch, *Massive islands*, *JHEP* **09** [\(2020\) 121](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)121) [[arXiv:2006.02438](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2006.02438)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1799453)].
- [63] C. Krishnan, *Critical Islands*, *JHEP* **01** [\(2021\) 179](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)179) [[arXiv:2007.06551](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2007.06551)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1806625)].
- [64] H.Z. Chen et al., *Quantum Extremal Islands Made Easy, Part II: Black Holes on the Brane*, *JHEP* **12** [\(2020\) 025](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2020)025) [[arXiv:2010.00018](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2010.00018)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1820670)].
- [65] J. Hernandez, R.C. Myers and S.-M. Ruan, *Quantum extremal islands made easy. Part III. Complexity on the brane*, *JHEP* **02** [\(2021\) 173](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2021)173) [[arXiv:2010.16398](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2010.16398)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1827361)].
- [66] G. Grimaldi, J. Hernandez and R.C. Myers, *Quantum extremal islands made easy. Part IV. Massive black holes on the brane*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2022\) 136](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2022)136) [[arXiv:2202.00679](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2202.00679)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2024912)].
- [67] R.-X. Miao, *An Exact Construction of Codimension two Holography*, *JHEP* **01** [\(2021\) 150](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)150) [[arXiv:2009.06263](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2009.06263)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1817081)].
- [68] I. Akal, Y. Kusuki, T. Takayanagi and Z. Wei, *Codimension two holography for wedges*, *[Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.126007) Rev. D* **102** [\(2020\) 126007](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.126007) [[arXiv:2007.06800](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2007.06800)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1806834)].
- [69] I. Akal et al., *Entanglement Entropy in a Holographic Moving Mirror and the Page Curve*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.061604)* **126** (2021) 061604 [[arXiv:2011.12005](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2011.12005)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1832650)].
- [70] F. Omidi, *Entropy of Hawking radiation for two-sided hyperscaling violating black branes*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2022)022)* **04** [\(2022\) 022](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2022)022) [[arXiv:2112.05890](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2112.05890)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1989055)].
- [71] A. Almheiri et al., *Replica Wormholes and the Entropy of Hawking Radiation*, *JHEP* **05** [\(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2020)013) [013](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2020)013) [[arXiv:1911.12333](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1911.12333)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1767472)].
- [72] M. Rozali et al., *Information radiation in BCFT models of black holes*, *JHEP* **05** [\(2020\) 004](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2020)004) [[arXiv:1910.12836](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1910.12836)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1761516)].
- [73] A. Karlsson, *Replica wormhole and island incompatibility with monogamy of entanglement*, [arXiv:2007.10523](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2007.10523) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1808132)].
- [74] A. Kitaev, *A simple model of quantum holography (part 1)*, talk at *KITP*, April 7, 2015, [http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/entangled15/kitaev/.](http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/entangled15/kitaev/)
- [75] A. Kitaev, *A simple model of quantum holography (part 2)*, talk at *KITP*, May 27, 2015, [http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/entangled15/kitaev2/.](http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/entangled15/kitaev2/)
- [76] J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, *Remarks on the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.106002)* **94** [\(2016\) 106002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.106002) [[arXiv:1604.07818](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1604.07818)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1452588)].
- [77] P. Zhang, *Evaporation dynamics of the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.245104)* **100** (2019) [245104](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.245104) [[arXiv:1909.10637](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1909.10637)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1755753)].
- [78] A. Almheiri, A. Milekhin and B. Swingle, *Universal Constraints on Energy Flow and SYK Thermalization*, [arXiv:1912.04912](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1912.04912) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1770151)].
- [79] Y. Gu, X.-L. Qi and D. Stanford, *Local criticality, difusion and chaos in generalized Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models*, *JHEP* **05** [\(2017\) 125](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2017)125) [[arXiv:1609.07832](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1609.07832)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1488146)].
- [80] Y. Gu, A. Lucas and X.-L. Qi, *Spread of entanglement in a Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev chain*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2017)120)* **09** [\(2017\) 120](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2017)120) [[arXiv:1708.00871](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1708.00871)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1614340)].
- [81] S.-K. Jian and B. Swingle, *Note on entropy dynamics in the Brownian SYK model*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)042)* **03** [\(2021\) 042](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)042) [[arXiv:2011.08158](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2011.08158)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1830479)].
- [82] Y. Chen, X.-L. Qi and P. Zhang, *Replica wormhole and information retrieval in the SYK model coupled to Majorana chains*, *JHEP* **06** [\(2020\) 121](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)121) [[arXiv:2003.13147](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2003.13147)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1788701)].
- [83] Y. Liu, Z.-Y. Xian, C. Peng and Y. Ling, *Black holes entangled by radiation*, *JHEP* **11** [\(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2022)043) [043](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2022)043) [*Addendum ibid.* **11** (2022) 043] [[arXiv:2205.14596](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.14596)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2089022)].
- [84] P.J. Dodd and J.J. Halliwell, *Disentanglement and decoherence by open system dynamics*, *[Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.69.052105) Rev. A* **69** [\(2004\) 052105.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.69.052105)
- [85] Z. Sun, X. Wang and C.P. Sun, *Disentanglement in a quantum-critical environment*, *[Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.75.062312) A* **75** [\(2007\) 062312.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.75.062312)
- [86] Y.-S. Kim, J.-C. Lee, O. Kwon and Y.-H. Kim, *Protecting entanglement from decoherence using weak measurement and quantum measurement reversal*, *[Nature Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2178)* **8** (2011) 117 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2732080)].
- [87] A. Del Campo and T. Takayanagi, *Decoherence in Conformal Field Theory*, *JHEP* **02** [\(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2020)170) [170](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2020)170) [[arXiv:1911.07861](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1911.07861)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1766011)].
- [88] Y. Yang, Z. Xu and A. del Campo, *Decoherence rate in random Lindblad dynamics*, *[Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.023229) Res.* **6** [\(2024\) 023229](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.023229) [[arXiv:2402.04705](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.04705)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2756292)].
- [89] M. Afrasiar, J. Kumar Basak, A. Chandra and G. Sengupta, *Islands for entanglement negativity in communicating black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** [\(2023\) 066013](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.066013) [[arXiv:2205.07903](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.07903)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2083205)].
- [90] H. Geng, S. Lüst, R.K. Mishra and D. Wakeham, *Holographic BCFTs and Communicating Black Holes*, *JHEP* **08** [\(2021\) 003](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2021)003) [[arXiv:2104.07039](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2104.07039)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1858431)].
- [91] H. Geng et al., *Entanglement phase structure of a holographic BCFT in a black hole background*, *JHEP* **05** [\(2022\) 153](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2022)153) [[arXiv:2112.09132](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2112.09132)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1992110)].
- [92] H. Wang, C. Liu, P. Zhang and A.M. García-García, *Entanglement transition and replica wormholes in the dissipative Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **109** [\(2024\) 046005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.046005) [[arXiv:2306.12571](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.12571)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2670851)].
- [93] V. Chandrasekaran, M. Miyaji and P. Rath, *Including contributions from entanglement islands to the refected entropy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** [\(2020\) 086009](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.086009) [[arXiv:2006.10754](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2006.10754)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1802098)].
- [94] T. Li, M.-K. Yuan and Y. Zhou, *Defect extremal surface for refected entropy*, *JHEP* **01** [\(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2022)018) [018](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2022)018) [[arXiv:2108.08544](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2108.08544)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1907943)].
- [95] T. Li, J. Chu and Y. Zhou, *Refected Entropy for an Evaporating Black Hole*, *JHEP* **11** [\(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2020)155) [155](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2020)155) [[arXiv:2006.10846](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2006.10846)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1802128)].
- [96] B. Czech, S. Shuai and H. Tang, *Entropies and refected entropies in the Hayden-Preskill protocol*, *JHEP* **02** [\(2024\) 040](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2024)040) [[arXiv:2310.16988](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.16988)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2714502)].
- [97] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *An alternative to compactifcation*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4690)* **83** (1999) 4690 [[hep-th/9906064](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.hep-th/9906064)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/501443)].
- [98] G.R. Dvali, G. Gabadadze and M. Porrati, *4-D gravity on a brane in 5-D Minkowski space*, *[Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00669-9)* **485** (2000) 208 [[hep-th/0005016](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.hep-th/0005016)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/526784)].
- [99] S.S. Gubser, *AdS / CFT and gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** [\(2001\) 084017](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.084017) [[hep-th/9912001](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.hep-th/9912001)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/510993)].
- [100] R.-X. Miao, C.-S. Chu and W.-Z. Guo, *New proposal for a holographic boundary conformal feld theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** [\(2017\) 046005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.046005) [[arXiv:1701.04275](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1701.04275)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1509231)].
- [101] C.-S. Chu, R.-X. Miao and W.-Z. Guo, *On New Proposal for Holographic BCFT*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2017)089)* **04** [\(2017\) 089](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2017)089) [[arXiv:1701.07202](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1701.07202)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1510555)].
- [102] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, *Action Integrals and Partition Functions in Quantum Gravity*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.15.2752)* **15** (1977) 2752 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/110328)].
- [103] M. Bianchi, D.Z. Freedman and K. Skenderis, *Holographic renormalization*, *[Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00179-7)* **631** [\(2002\) 159](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00179-7) [[hep-th/0112119](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.hep-th/0112119)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/568280)].
- [104] H. Elvang and M. Hadjiantonis, *A Practical Approach to the Hamilton-Jacobi Formulation of Holographic Renormalization*, *JHEP* **06** [\(2016\) 046](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2016)046) [[arXiv:1603.04485](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1603.04485)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1427705)].
- [105] T. Hartman and J. Maldacena, *Time Evolution of Entanglement Entropy from Black Hole Interiors*, *JHEP* **05** [\(2013\) 014](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2013)014) [[arXiv:1303.1080](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1303.1080)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1222536)].
- [106] S. Dutta and T. Faulkner, *A canonical purifcation for the entanglement wedge cross-section*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2021\) 178](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)178) [[arXiv:1905.00577](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1905.00577)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1732946)].
- [107] Y. Ling et al., *Refected entropy in double holography*, *JHEP* **02** [\(2022\) 037](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2022)037) [[arXiv:2109.09243](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2109.09243)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1924375)].
- [108] Y. Liu et al., *Entanglement of defect subregions in double holography*, [arXiv:2312.08025](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.08025) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2736561)].
- [109] K. Su, P. Zhang and H. Zhai, *Page curve from non-Markovianity*, *JHEP* **06** [\(2020\) 156](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2021)156) [[arXiv:2101.11238](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2101.11238)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1843008)].
- [110] P. Figueras and T. Wiseman, *Stationary holographic plasma quenches and numerical methods for non-Killing horizons*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.171602)* **110** (2013) 171602 [[arXiv:1212.4498](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1212.4498)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1208081)].
- [111] Ó.J.C. Dias, J.E. Santos and B. Way, *Numerical Methods for Finding Stationary Gravitational Solutions*, *[Class. Quant. Grav.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/13/133001)* **33** (2016) 133001 [[arXiv:1510.02804](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1510.02804)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1397149)].
- [112] R.A. Janik, J. Jankowski and H. Soltanpanahi, *Real-Time dynamics and phase separation in a holographic frst order phase transition*, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.261601)* **119** (2017) 261601 [[arXiv:1704.05387](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1704.05387)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1591971)].
- [113] Q. Chen et al., *Critical dynamics in holographic frst-order phase transition*, *JHEP* **01** [\(2023\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2023)056) [056](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2023)056) [[arXiv:2209.12789](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2209.12789)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2156708)].
- [114] Q. Chen et al., *Quench dynamics in holographic frst-order phase transition*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.106017)* **108** [\(2023\) 106017](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.106017) [[arXiv:2211.11291](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2211.11291)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2513064)].
- [115] C.-Y. Zhang et al., *Dynamical charged black hole spontaneous scalarization in anti-de Sitter spacetimes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** [\(2021\) 084089](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.084089) [[arXiv:2103.13599](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2103.13599)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1853447)].
- [116] C.-Y. Zhang et al., *Dynamical transitions in scalarization and descalarization through black hole accretion*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** [\(2022\) L061501](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.L061501) [[arXiv:2204.09260](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2204.09260)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2069850)].
- [117] Q. Chen et al., *Descalarization by quenching charged hairy black hole in asymptotically AdS spacetime*, *JHEP* **01** [\(2023\) 062](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2023)062) [[arXiv:2210.14539](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.14539)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2170987)].
- [118] Q. Chen et al., *Nonlinear dynamics of hot, cold, and bald Einstein-Maxwell-scalar black holes in AdS spacetime*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** [\(2023\) 084016](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.084016) [[arXiv:2307.03060](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.03060)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2674859)].
- [119] P. Hayden, O. Parrikar and J. Sorce, *The Markov gap for geometric refected entropy*, *[JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2021)047)* **10** [\(2021\) 047](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2021)047) [[arXiv:2107.00009](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2107.00009)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1874147)].
- [120] Y. Lu and J. Lin, *The Markov gap in the presence of islands*, *JHEP* **03** [\(2023\) 043](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2023)043) [[arXiv:2211.06886](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2211.06886)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2181799)].
- [121] M. Afrasiar, J.K. Basak, A. Chandra and G. Sengupta, *Refected entropy for communicating black holes. Part I. Karch-Randall braneworlds*, *JHEP* **02** [\(2023\) 203](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2023)203) [[arXiv:2211.13246](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2211.13246)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2593366)].
- [122] M. Afrasiar, J.K. Basak, A. Chandra and G. Sengupta, *Refected entropy for communicating black holes II: Planck braneworlds*, *[Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12461-x)* **84** (2024) 250 [[arXiv:2302.12810](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2302.12810)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2636358)].
- [123] J.K. Basak et al., *Holographic refected entropy and islands in interface CFTs*, *JHEP* **05** [\(2024\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2024)143) [143](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2024)143) [[arXiv:2312.12512](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.12512)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2739272)].
- [124] K. Kawabata et al., *Dynamical quantum phase transitions in Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Lindbladians*, *Phys. Rev. B* **108** [\(2023\) 075110](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.108.075110) [[arXiv:2210.04093](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.04093)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2163301)].
- [125] A. Kulkarni, T. Numasawa and S. Ryu, *Lindbladian dynamics of the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, *Phys. Rev. B* **106** [\(2022\) 075138](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.075138) [[arXiv:2112.13489](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2112.13489)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2139763)].
- [126] A.M. García-García, L. Sá, J.J.M. Verbaarschot and J.P. Zheng, *Keldysh wormholes and anomalous relaxation in the dissipative Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.106006)* **107** (2023) [106006](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.106006) [[arXiv:2210.01695](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.01695)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/2159883)].