Published for SISSA by 🖉 Springer

RECEIVED: April 30, 2012 ACCEPTED: July 4, 2012 PUBLISHED: July 16, 2012

Comments on knotted 1/2 BPS Wilson loops

Akinori Tanaka

Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

E-mail: akinori@het.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we show that the localization of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Chern-Simons theory on the ellipsoid-like squashed sphere is related to a nontrivial knot structure called torus knot. More precisely, we can capture the three sphere as the nontrivial so-called Seifert fibrations by regarding 1/2 BPS Wilson loops as U(1) fibers. The topology of knotted 1/2 BPS Wilson loops is controlled by squashing parameters. We calculate the 1/2 BPS condition of the Wilson loop and find perfect agreement with known results. We also remark on the level shift and framing anomaly.

KEYWORDS: Supersymmetric gauge theory, Chern-Simons Theories

ARXIV EPRINT: 1204.5975



Contents

T	Introduction	1
2	Hama, Hosomichi and Lee's theory	2
	2.1 Preliminaries	2
	2.2 Localization formula	3
3	1/2 BPS Wilson loop and Seifert fibrations	5
	3.1 1/2 BPS condition	5
	3.2 The shape of each loop and Jones polynomials	5
	3.3 Hopf link (on north pole and south pole) and Seifert fibration	7
4	Comments on anomalies	8
	4.1 Parity anomaly	8
	4.2 Framing anomaly	9
5	Discussion	10

1 Introduction

It is well known that the embedding of S^1 into S^3 makes many nontrivial topological objects such as so-called knots. This structure is one of the most important ingredients to understand low dimensional topology. As a physical representation of knot theory, there is a celebrated work by Witten [1] that proves that the expectation values of knotted Wilson loops weighted by pure Chern-Simons action turn out to be knot invariants. In particular, when we take the gauge group as SU(2) and the representation of Wilson loop as the fundamental representation, the invariants become the famous Jones polynomials.

On the other hand, in these days, so called localization techniques were developed by Pestun [2] in four-dimension, Kapustin, Willett, Yaakov [3] in three-dimension. Using these techniques, we can calculate exact results of supersymmetric field theories. It is mentioned in [3] that $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Chern-Simons theory reduces to pure Chern-Simons theory by integrating out gaugino and auxiliary fields. Therefore, it is expected that the expectation value of a supersymmetric Wilson loop becomes knot invariant.

However there is one problem. All calculable observables using localization technique are 1/2 BPS ones. As discussed in [3], this condition determines the topological structure of Wilson loops completely as trivial knots (unknotted ones). So one may wonder whether it is possible to modify this localization technique to output nontrivial knots as 1/2 BPS Wilson loops?

In this paper, we find that one of modification can be accomplished by using Hama, Hosomichi and Lee's localization on ellipsoid-like squashed three spheres [4]. In their theory, there are two squashing parameters l and \tilde{l} . We find that if and only if the ratio l/\tilde{l} is a rational number, there exist nontrivially knotted closed 1/2 BPS Wilson loops. And we find that these knotted loops construct nontrivial so-called Seifert fibrations, one of the generalizations of the famous Hopf fibration. Our result matches with known results [5, 6], and of course matches with Jones polynomials gained by Witten.

The construction of this paper is the followings. In section 2, we review Hama, Hosomichi, Lee's theory. In section 3, we discuss the 1/2 BPS condition. And in section 4, we comment on some technical details of level shift and the extra phase factors in the results of section 3.

2 Hama, Hosomichi and Lee's theory

We briefly review the result of Hama, Hosomich and Lee [4].

2.1 Preliminaries

Let us consider the following ellipsoid metric on S^3

$$ds^{2} = f(\theta)^{2} d\theta^{2} + l^{2} \cos^{2} \theta d\phi^{2} + \tilde{l}^{2} \sin^{2} \theta d\chi^{2}, \quad f(\theta) = \sqrt{l^{2} \sin^{2} \theta + \tilde{l}^{2} \cos^{2} \theta}.$$
 (2.1)

This metric can be regarded as

$$ds^{2} = l^{2}(dx_{0}^{2} + dx_{1}^{2}) + \tilde{l}^{2}(dx_{2}^{2} + dx_{3}^{2}), \qquad (2.2)$$

where

$$x_0 = \cos\theta\cos\phi, \ x_1 = \cos\theta\sin\phi, \ x_2 = \sin\theta\cos\chi, \ x_3 = \sin\theta\sin\chi.$$
(2.3)

In order to construct supersymmetric theory, we need a Killing spinor. We must redefine covariant derivatives to maintain the existence of Killing spinors. Hama, Hosomichi, Lee used usual Killing spinors on round S^3

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -e^{\frac{i}{2}(\chi - \phi + \theta)} \\ e^{\frac{i}{2}(\chi - \phi - \theta)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{i}{2}(-\chi + \phi + \theta)} \\ e^{\frac{i}{2}(-\chi + \phi - \theta)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then we get

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\epsilon = \frac{i}{2f}\gamma_{\mu}\epsilon, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\overline{\epsilon} = \frac{i}{2f}\gamma_{\mu}\overline{\epsilon}$$
(2.5)

where

$$\mathcal{D} = d + \frac{1}{4}\omega^{ab}\gamma^{ab} - iqV, \quad V = V_{\mu}dx^{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{l}{f}\right)d\phi + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\tilde{l}}{f}\right)d\chi.$$
(2.6)

They assigned R-charge q as +1 to ϵ and -1 to $\overline{\epsilon}$, and to vector multiplets as follows. (See table 1)

Field	A_{μ}	σ	λ	$\overline{\lambda}$	D
spin	1	0	1/2	1/2	0
q	0	0	+1	-1	0

Table 1. Assignments of R-charge q.

The supersymmetry transformations are

$$\delta_{\epsilon}A_{\mu} = +\frac{i}{2}\overline{\lambda}\gamma_{\mu}\epsilon, \qquad \qquad \delta_{\overline{\epsilon}}A_{\mu} = -\frac{i}{2}\overline{\epsilon}\gamma_{\mu}\lambda, \qquad (2.7)$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\sigma = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\lambda}\epsilon, \qquad \qquad \delta_{\overline{\epsilon}}\sigma = +\frac{1}{2}\overline{\epsilon}\lambda, \qquad (2.8)$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\epsilon F_{\mu\nu} - D\epsilon + i\gamma^{\mu}\epsilon\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\sigma + \frac{2i}{3}\sigma\gamma^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\epsilon, \qquad \delta_{\overline{\epsilon}}\lambda = 0,$$
(2.9)

$$\delta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\bar{\lambda} = 0, \qquad \delta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\bar{\epsilon}F_{\mu\nu} + D\bar{\epsilon} - i\gamma^{\mu}\bar{\epsilon}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\sigma - \frac{2i}{3}\sigma\gamma^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\bar{\epsilon}, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$\delta_{\epsilon}D = -\frac{i}{2}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\epsilon + \frac{i}{2}[\overline{\lambda}\epsilon,\sigma] - \frac{i}{6}\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\epsilon, \qquad \delta_{\overline{\epsilon}}D = -\frac{i}{2}\overline{\epsilon}\gamma^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\lambda + \frac{i}{2}[\overline{\epsilon}\lambda,\sigma] - \frac{i}{6}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\overline{\epsilon}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda.$$
(2.11)

Under these transformations, the supersymmetric Chern-Simons action

$$S_{SCS}(A,\sigma,\lambda,\overline{\lambda},D) = \int d^3x \,\sqrt{g} \,\operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}(A_\mu\partial_\nu A_\lambda - \frac{2i}{3}A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda) - \overline{\lambda}\lambda + 2D\sigma\right] (2.12)$$

is invariant. And the supersymmetric Yang-Mills action is $\delta_{\overline{\epsilon}}$ exact

$$S_{YM}(A,\sigma,\lambda,\overline{\lambda},D) = \int d^3x \,\sqrt{g} \,\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\sigma\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\sigma + \frac{1}{2}(D+\frac{\sigma}{f})^2 + \frac{i}{2}\overline{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\lambda + \frac{i}{2}\overline{\lambda}[\sigma,\lambda] - \frac{1}{4f}\overline{\lambda}\lambda\right)$$
$$= \int d^3x \,\sqrt{g} \,\delta_{\overline{\epsilon}}\delta_{\epsilon} \,\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{2}\overline{\lambda}\lambda - 2D\sigma\right). \tag{2.13}$$

Locus that gives the bosonic part of S_{YM} as zero is characterized by

$$F_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\sigma = 0, \left(D + \frac{\sigma}{f}\right) = 0$$
 (2.14)

which is equivalent to

$$A_{\mu} = 0, \qquad \sigma = \sigma_0(\text{constant}), D = -\frac{\sigma_0}{f}$$
 (2.15)

up to gauge transformation.

2.2 Localization formula

Let us define the supersymmetric Wilson loop as the usual one

$$W_S(R,C;A,\sigma) = \text{Tr}_R \mathcal{P} \exp\left(\oint_C d\tau (iA_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + \sigma |\dot{x}|)\right).$$
(2.16)

The main statement of localization theorem is following. The function

$$W_{S}(t) = \int \mathcal{D}A \ \mathcal{D}\lambda \ \mathcal{D}\overline{\lambda} \ \mathcal{D}D \ \mathcal{D}\sigma \ e^{i\frac{k}{4\pi}S_{SCS}(A,\sigma,\lambda,\overline{\lambda},D) - tS_{YM}(A,\sigma,\lambda,\overline{\lambda},D)} W_{S}(R,C;A,\sigma)$$
(2.17)

does not depend on t if and only if

$$\delta_{\overline{\epsilon}} W_S(R,C;A,\sigma) = 0 \tag{2.18}$$

is satisfied.

Therefore, if we satisfy this 1/2 BPS condition,

$$\lim_{t \to +0} W_S(t) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} W_S(t) \tag{2.19}$$

is valid. Let us define this value as W.

Naively the left hand side of (2.19) looks to be level k Chern-Simons theory, however when we consider the gauge group as U(N) or SU(N), it turns to be level k - N Chern-Simons theory. We will get back to this issue in section 4. On the right hand side of (2.19), field configuration localize at the locus that makes the bosonic part of S_{YM} zero. Then, after performing the usual localization procedure, we get

$$W = \lim_{t \to +\infty} W_S(t) = \int_{\text{Cartan}} d\sigma_0 \ e^{i\frac{k}{4\pi}S_{SCS}(0,\sigma_0,0,0,-\frac{\sigma_0}{f})} W_S(R,C;0,\sigma_0) \times \mathcal{Z}_{1-\text{loop}}^{(HHL)}(\sigma_0)$$
$$= \int_{\text{Cartan}} d\sigma_0 \ e^{-ik\pi l\tilde{l}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_0^2)} \operatorname{Tr}_R(e^{\sigma_0 \oint_C d\tau |\dot{x}|}) \times \mathcal{Z}_{1-\text{loop}}^{(HHL)}(\sigma_0), \qquad (2.20)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}_{1\text{-loop}}^{(HHL)}(\sigma_0) = \prod_{\alpha>0} \sinh(l\alpha(\sigma_0)) \sinh(\tilde{l}\alpha(\sigma_0))$$
(2.21)

as discussed in [4]. Here, α means root and $\alpha > 0$ means positive roots. In general, we can insert not one but many 1/2 BPS Wilson loops into path integral. Assume there are many 1/2 BPS contours denoted as C_i (i = 1, 2, ..., n), then we get

$$\int \mathcal{D}A \ \mathcal{D}\lambda \ \mathcal{D}\overline{\lambda} \ \mathcal{D}D \ \mathcal{D}\sigma \ e^{i\frac{k}{4\pi}S_{SCS}(A,\sigma,\lambda,\overline{\lambda},D)-tS_{YM}(A,\sigma,\lambda,\overline{\lambda},D)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} W_{S}(R_{i},C_{i};A,\sigma)$$
$$= \int_{\text{Cartan}} d\sigma_{0} \ e^{-ik\pi l\tilde{l}\text{Tr}(\sigma_{0}^{2})} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Tr}_{R_{i}}(e^{\sigma_{0} \oint_{C_{i}} d\tau |\dot{x}|}) \times \mathcal{Z}_{1\text{-loop}}^{(HHL)}(\sigma_{0}), \qquad (2.22)$$

where R_i is a representation assigned with the loop C_i . Let us define this value as $W_{12...n}$.

And as usual, let us define the partition function as

$$Z = \int_{\text{Cartan}} d\sigma_0 \ e^{-ik\pi l\tilde{l}\text{Tr}(\sigma_0^2)} \mathcal{Z}_{1\text{-loop}}^{(HHL)}(\sigma_0).$$
(2.23)





Figure 1. T^2 .

Figure 2. S^3 as $R^3 \cup \{\infty\}$.

3 1/2 BPS Wilson loop and Seifert fibrations

$3.1 \quad 1/2 \text{ BPS condition}$

In order to evaluate (2.20) or (2.22), we have to know $\oint_C d\tau |\dot{x}|$ that is determined by 1/2 BPS condition (2.18). It reduces to following condition

$$\delta_{\overline{\epsilon}} W_S(R,C;A,\sigma) \propto \frac{1}{2} \overline{\epsilon} (\gamma_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + |\dot{x}|) \lambda = 0.$$
(3.1)

Therefore, we must solve following ODE

$$\bar{\epsilon}(\gamma_{\mu}\dot{x}^{\mu} + |\dot{x}|) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Substituting the explicit form of $\overline{\epsilon}$ (2.4) and taking $|\dot{x}| = 1$, this condition is equivalent to

$$\dot{x}^{\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{l}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} - \frac{1}{l}\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi} & (\theta \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \\ \frac{1}{l}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} & (\theta = 0) \\ -\frac{1}{\tilde{l}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi} & (\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}) \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

Let us investigate each situation in detail.

3.2 The shape of each loop and Jones polynomials

First of all, we regard S^3 as one point compactified R^3 . Through this picture, we can visualize coordinates ϕ, χ, θ . ϕ and χ represent 2-dimensional torus T^2 (figure 1).

 θ can be regarded as the size of this torus. During $0 < \theta < \pi/2$, this torus changes its size as described in figure 2. When $\theta = 0$, χ shrinks to one point and torus reduces to a circle (red one in figure 2). If we grow up this torus bigger and bigger, the size tends to infinity, and when we reach $\theta = \pi/2$, ϕ vanishes into far away. Then torus reduces to a line (blue one in figure 2). However we should regard it not a line but a circle because of the one point compactification.

1/2 BPS Wilson loop on $\theta \neq 0, \pi/2$. In this case, according to (3.3), we get 1/2 BPS Wilson loop as

$$\phi = -\frac{l}{\tilde{l}}\chi + \phi_0, \quad \theta = \theta_0 \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$$
(3.4)



Figure 3. Green line corresponds to (3.4).

where ϕ_0, θ_0 are integration constants. As shown in figure 3, this curve becomes closed loop if and only if l/\tilde{l} is a rational number.¹

We can get the length of this loop as

$$\oint d\tau |\dot{x}| = \oint d\tau = 2\pi l \tilde{l}. \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, in this case we get

$$W = \int_{\text{Cartan}} d\sigma_0 \ e^{-ik\pi l\tilde{l}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_0^2)} \operatorname{Tr}_R(e^{2\pi l\tilde{l}\sigma_0}) \times \mathcal{Z}_{1\text{-loop}}^{(HHL)}(\sigma_0).$$
(3.6)

As a consistency check, we calculate this integration. For simplicity, we take gauge group as U(2) and R = 2. The result is

$$\frac{W}{Z} = q^{-(l+1)(\tilde{l}+1)/2} \frac{-1}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}} (q^{l+\tilde{l}} + 1 - q^{l+1} - q^{\tilde{l}+1}),$$
(3.7)

where

$$q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}.$$
(3.8)

This polynomial is well known (l, \tilde{l}) -torus knot Jones polynomial up to extra phase factor $e^{-l\tilde{l}\frac{2\pi i}{k}}$. As pointed out in [7], we must use normalized Jones polynomial so that the polynomial of trivial knot becomes $\frac{q-q^{-1}}{q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2}}$. After this renormalization procedure, by using the well-known formula of torus-knot [8], we get (l, \tilde{l}) -torus knot Jones polynomial

$$J_{l,\tilde{l}}(q) = q^{l\tilde{l}}q^{-(l+1)(\tilde{l}+1)/2} \frac{-1}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}} (q^{l+\tilde{l}} + 1 - q^{l+1} - q^{\tilde{l}+1}).$$
(3.9)

1/2 BPS Wilson loop on $\theta = 0$. In this case (figure 4), (3.3) says the length is

$$\oint d\tau |\dot{x}| = \oint d\tau = 2\pi l. \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore (2.20) turns to be

$$W = \int_{\text{Cartan}} d\sigma_0 \ e^{-ik\pi l\tilde{l}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_0^2)} \operatorname{Tr}_R(e^{2\pi l\sigma_0}) \times \mathcal{Z}_{1\text{-loop}}^{(HHL)}(\sigma_0).$$
(3.11)

In the case of U(2) gauge theory and R = 2, we get

$$\frac{W}{Z} = e^{-\frac{l}{\tilde{l}}\frac{2\pi i}{k}} \frac{q-q^{-1}}{q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2}}.$$
(3.12)

¹If not, the integral curve cannot get back to the initial point, and wraps the torus densely.



Figure 4. 1/2 BPS Wilson loop on $\theta = 0$.



Figure 5. 1/2 BPS Wilson loop on $\theta = \pi/2$.

1/2 BPS Wilson loop on $\theta = \pi/2$. In this case (figure 5), (3.3) says the length is

$$\oint d\tau |\dot{x}| = \oint d\tau = 2\pi \tilde{l}. \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore (2.20) turns to be

$$W = \int_{\text{Cartan}} d\sigma_0 \ e^{-ik\pi l\tilde{l}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_0^2)} \operatorname{Tr}_R(e^{2\pi\tilde{l}\sigma_0}) \times \mathcal{Z}_{1\text{-loop}}^{(HHL)}(\sigma_0).$$
(3.14)

In the case of U(2) gauge theory and R = 2, we get

$$\frac{W}{Z} = e^{-\frac{\tilde{l}}{l}\frac{2\pi i}{k}} \frac{q-q^{-1}}{q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2}}.$$
(3.15)

(3.12) and (3.15) are trivial knot Jones polynomials up to extra phase factors $e^{-\frac{\tilde{l}}{\tilde{l}}\frac{2\pi i}{k}}, e^{-\frac{\tilde{l}}{\tilde{l}}\frac{2\pi i}{k}}$ respectively.

3.3 Hopf link (on north pole and south pole) and Seifert fibration

As discussed above, we can also evaluate correlation function of many 1/2 BPS Wilson loops. As the simplest example, we put two Wilson loops on the north pole ($\theta = \pi/2$) and the south pole ($\theta = 0$). These loops $C_{\theta=\pi/2}$ and $C_{\theta=0}$ form so-called Hopf link (figure 6).

The result is

$$W_{\theta=\pi/2,\theta=0} = \int_{\text{Cartan}} d\sigma_0 \ e^{-ik\pi l\tilde{l}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_0^2)} \operatorname{Tr}_{R_{\theta=\pi/2}}(e^{2\pi\tilde{l}\sigma_0}) \operatorname{Tr}_{R_{\theta=0}}(e^{2\pi l\sigma_0}) \times \mathcal{Z}_{1\text{-loop}}^{(HHL)}(\sigma_0).$$
(3.16)

We can get the simplest result by taking $R_{\theta=\pi/2} = R_{\theta=0} = 2$. Then, this reduces to the form

$$\frac{W_{\theta=\pi/2,\theta=0}}{Z} = e^{-(2+\frac{\tilde{l}}{l}+\frac{l}{l})\frac{2\pi i}{k}}(q^3+q^2+q+1).$$
(3.17)

In this case, we have extra phase factor as $e^{-(2+\frac{\tilde{l}}{\tilde{l}}+\frac{l}{\tilde{l}})\frac{2\pi i}{k}}$.





Figure 6. Left handed Hopf link.

Figure 7. Seifert fibration.



Figure 8. Leading contributions.

When we gather all of 1/2 BPS Wilson loops, they wrap whole of the S^3 . In addition, this wrapping means that we can separate S^3 into each U(1) equivalent classes. And because of $S^3 = SU(2)$ and $S^3/U(1) = SU(2)/U(1) = S^2$ we get nontrivial fibration structure U(1) $\rightarrow S^3 \rightarrow S^2$. This fibration is called Seifert fibration (figure 7).

4 Comments on anomalies

4.1 Parity anomaly

One may naively expect that the corresponding result on pure Chern-Simons side is level k, because

$$W_S(0) = (\text{constant}) \times \int \mathcal{D}A \, \exp\left(\frac{ik}{4\pi} \int d^3x \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{Tr}(A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\lambda - \frac{2i}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda)\right). \tag{4.1}$$

However, if it is true, the definition $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}$ in our paper conflicts with that of [1]. Here, it is important to be careful with the order of taking limit. As pointed out in [9, 10], we have to perform path integral first, then take limit $t \to +0$. In this procedure, we encounter following effective action that comes from integration with gaugino²

$$\int \mathcal{D}\lambda \ \mathcal{D}\overline{\lambda} \ e^{\text{gaugino term in } (i\frac{k}{4\pi}S_{SCS}-tS_{YM})} = e^{\Gamma(A,t)}.$$
(4.2)

Performing usual perturbative expansion, the leading terms come from 1-loop diagrams as shown in figure 8. This phenomenon is well known in the context of parity anomaly [11, 12].

When we take the gauge group as U(N) or SU(N), the result is level (-N) pure Chern-Simons theory

$$\Gamma(A,t) \to \frac{-iN}{4\pi} \int d^3x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{Tr}(A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\lambda - \frac{2i}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda), \quad (t \to +0). \tag{4.3}$$

²We would like to thank Y. Hosotani and T. Onogi who suggested this idea.

Therefore, we can conclude

$$\lim_{t \to +0} W(t) = (\text{constant}) \times \int \mathcal{D}A \, \exp\left(\frac{i(k-N)}{4\pi} \int d^3x \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{Tr}\left(A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\lambda - \frac{2i}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda\right)\right). \tag{4.4}$$

4.2 Framing anomaly

As commented in [3], we have the framing anomaly as

$$\frac{W_{12\dots n}}{Z} = e^{\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \operatorname{lk}(C_i, C_j) \frac{2\pi i}{k}} \times \text{Jones polynomial of link } (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n),$$
(4.5)

where $lk(C_i, C_j)$ means linking number between C_i and C_j .³ As we can see, even if there is only one knot, we have the phase $e^{lk(C,C)\frac{2\pi i}{k}}$. lk(C,C) is called self linking number. This value is UV divergent, therefore we must regularize it by using point-splitting regularization [1]. Here, we evaluated 1/2 BPS Wilson loops. In our calculations, this regularization scheme is automatically selected in order to maintain the 1/2 BPS condition [3]. In other words, we calculated lk(C,C) as

$$lk(one 1/2 BPS loop, another 1/2 BPS loop).$$
(4.6)

We would like to call another 1/2 BPS Wilson loop as splitting loop.

Torus knot case. In our orientation, all of 1/2 BPS Wilson loops are constructed as left-handed manner. Therefore, all linking numbers are negative. In general, left-handed (l, \tilde{l}) -torus knot has linking number as $-l\tilde{l}$. So, our result must match with

$$e^{-l\tilde{l}\frac{2\pi i}{k}} \times J_{l\tilde{l}}(q). \tag{4.7}$$

According to (3.7) and (3.9), this is satisfied.

Trivial knot case. According to (3.12) and (3.15), we seem to have rational numbers as these linking numbers as $-\frac{l}{\tilde{l}}$ and $-\frac{\tilde{l}}{\tilde{l}}$. What is the meaning of rational linking number?

1/2 BPS Wilson loop near the trivial knot on $\theta = 0$ is (l, \tilde{l}) -torus knot. Linking number between these two knots is -l because (l, \tilde{l}) -torus knot wraps l times around the trivial knot on $\theta = 0$ in the left handed manner.

As we calculated in section 3, these loops have their length as $2\pi l$ and $2\pi l l$. Here the ratio of these length is $2\pi l/2\pi l \tilde{l} = 1/\tilde{l}$. It means that during a test particle wraps the trivial knot on $\theta = 0$, another test particle on torus knot cannot travel whole of the loop but $1/\tilde{l}$ of it. Then, $1/\tilde{l}$ part of torus knot wraps $-l/\tilde{l}$ times around centered trivial knot, i.e.

$$lk(C_{\theta=0}, C_{\theta=0}) = -l/\tilde{l}.$$
(4.8)

As same,

$$lk(C_{\theta=\pi/2}, C_{\theta=\pi/2}) = -\tilde{l}/l.$$
(4.9)

This interpretation does not depend on the choice of splitting 1/2 BPS Wilson loop.

³The framing anomaly of three manifold itself is cancelled because of dividing $W_{12,...,n}$ by Z.

Hopf link case. The phase $e^{-(2+\frac{1}{l}+\frac{1}{l})\frac{2\pi i}{k}}$ can be interpreted as

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \operatorname{lk}(C_{i},C_{j})\frac{2\pi i}{k}$$

$$= (\operatorname{lk}(C_{\theta=\pi/2},C_{\theta=\pi/2}) + \operatorname{lk}(C_{\theta=\pi/2},C_{\theta=0}) + \operatorname{lk}(C_{\theta=0},C_{\theta=\pi/2}) + \operatorname{lk}(C_{\theta=0},C_{\theta=0}))\frac{2\pi i}{k}$$

$$= \left(-\frac{\tilde{l}}{l} - 1 - 1 - \frac{l}{\tilde{l}}\right)\frac{2\pi i}{k} = -\left(2 + \frac{\tilde{l}}{l} + \frac{l}{\tilde{l}}\right)\frac{2\pi i}{k}.$$
(4.10)

5 Discussion

We checked the consistency between localization techniques and well known exact results of Chern-Simons theory and found complete equivalence as expected. Another squashing is discussed in [13] and localization on other three-dimensional manifolds is discussed by [14] on lens spaces, [6] on general Seifert manifolds by performing topological twist on $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet. It might be possible to construct more generic knot matrix model by using these modified localization techniques.

Our result (2.20) is the well known knot matrix model [5, 6]. However our derivation is simpler and more comprehensive because we only use supersymmetry.

Originally, the squashing techniques were developed in order to study domain walls in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories [15, 16]. It may be interesting to apply our results to these studies.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank S. Yamaguchi, Y. Hosotani, T. Onogi and Y. Tachikawa, V. Pestun, D. Gang for valuable discussions and comments. And I would also like to thank H. Tanida, T. Nishinaka, K.Oda for encouragement, and W. Naylor for a careful reading of this manuscript and useful comments.

References

- E. Witten, Quantum Field Theory and the Jones Polynomial, Commun. Math. Phys. 121 (1989) 351 [INSPIRE].
- [2] V. Pestun, Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops, Commun. Math. Phys. 313 (2012) 71 [arXiv:0712.2824] [INSPIRE].
- [3] A. Kapustin, B. Willett and I. Yaakov, Exact Results for Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Matter, JHEP 03 (2010) 089 [arXiv:0909.4559] [INSPIRE].
- [4] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi and S. Lee, SUSY Gauge Theories on Squashed Three-Spheres, JHEP 05 (2011) 014 [arXiv:1102.4716] [INSPIRE].
- [5] C. Beasley, Localization for Wilson Loops in Chern-Simons Theory, arXiv:0911.2687 [INSPIRE].

- [6] J. Kallen, Cohomological localization of Chern-Simons theory, JHEP 08 (2011) 008 [arXiv:1104.5353] [INSPIRE].
- [7] E. Witten, Fivebranes and Knots, arXiv:1101.3216 [INSPIRE].
- [8] C. Frohman, R. Gelca, Skein Modules and the Noncommutative Torus, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000) 4877.
- H.-C. Kao, K.-M. Lee and T. Lee, The Chern-Simons coefficient in supersymmetric Yang-Mills Chern-Simons theories, Phys. Lett. B 373 (1996) 94 [hep-th/9506170] [INSPIRE].
- [10] A. Kapustin, B. Willett and I. Yaakov, Tests of Seiberg-like Duality in Three Dimensions, arXiv:1012.4021 [INSPIRE].
- [11] A. Niemi and G. Semenoff, Axial Anomaly Induced Fermion Fractionization and Effective Gauge Theory Actions in Odd Dimensional Space-Times, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 2077 [INSPIRE].
- [12] A. Redlich, Parity Violation and Gauge Noninvariance of the Effective Gauge Field Action in Three-Dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 29 (1984) 2366 [INSPIRE].
- [13] Y. Imamura and D. Yokoyama, N = 2 supersymmetric theories on squashed three-sphere, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 025015 [arXiv:1109.4734] [INSPIRE].
- [14] D. Gang, Chern-Simons theory on L(p,q) lens spaces and Localization, arXiv:0912.4664 [INSPIRE].
- [15] N. Drukker, D. Gaiotto and J. Gomis, The Virtue of Defects in 4D Gauge Theories and 2D CFTs, JHEP 06 (2011) 025 [arXiv:1003.1112] [INSPIRE].
- [16] K. Hosomichi, S. Lee and J. Park, AGT on the S-duality Wall, JHEP 12 (2010) 079 [arXiv:1009.0340] [INSPIRE].
- [17] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi and S. Lee, Notes on SUSY Gauge Theories on Three-Sphere, JHEP 03 (2011) 127 [arXiv:1012.3512] [INSPIRE].
- [18] M. Mariño, Lectures on localization and matrix models in supersymmetric Chern-Simons-matter theories, J. Phys. A 44 (2011) 463001 [arXiv:1104.0783] [INSPIRE].
- [19] J. Qiu, Lecture Notes on Topological Field Theory: Perturbative and Non-perturbative Aspects, arXiv:1201.5550 [INSPIRE].
- [20] L.F. Alday, D. Gaiotto and Y. Tachikawa, Liouville Correlation Functions from Four-dimensional Gauge Theories, Lett. Math. Phys. 91 (2010) 167 [arXiv:0906.3219]
 [INSPIRE].
- [21] C. Beasley and E. Witten, Non-Abelian localization for Chern-Simons theory, J. Diff. Geom. 70 (2005) 183 [hep-th/0503126] [INSPIRE].
- [22] A. Brini, B. Eynard and M. Mariño, Torus knots and mirror symmetry, arXiv:1105.2012 [INSPIRE].
- [23] Y. Nakayama and S.-J. Rey, Observables and Correlators in Nonrelativistic ABJM Theory, JHEP 08 (2009) 029 [arXiv:0905.2940] [INSPIRE].